

ON A RADICAL EXTENSION OF THE FIELD OF RATIONAL FUNCTIONS IN SEVERAL VARIABLES

XIANG-DONG HOU AND CHRISTOPHER SZE

ABSTRACT. Let F be a field and let $F(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be the field of rational functions in n variables X_1, \dots, X_n over F . Let $T = X_1 + \dots + X_n \in F(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and let m be a positive integer such that $\text{char } F \nmid m$. Is it possible to express each X_i as a rational function in X_1^m, \dots, X_n^m and T over F ? It is not difficult to prove that this can be done but it is another matter to show how this is done. We answer the above question affirmatively with a nonconstructive proof and a constructive proof.

1. INTRODUCTION

While studying permutation rational functions over finite fields, the authors of [1] found it necessary to express each X_i in the field of rational functions $\mathbb{F}_q(X_1, \dots, X_4)$ as rational function in X_1^2, \dots, X_4^2 and $X_1 + \dots + X_4$ over \mathbb{F}_q , where q is odd. More generally, consider the following question. Let F be a field and $F(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be the field of rational functions in n variables X_1, \dots, X_n over F . Let $T = X_1 + \dots + X_n \in F(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and let m be a positive integer such that $\text{char } F \nmid m$. Is it possible to express each X_i as a rational function in X_1^m, \dots, X_n^m, T over F ? The answer is positive; a quick nonconstructive proof is given in Section 2. Now that we know that it can be done, the next question how it is done. This becomes more interesting as we are looking for a rational function $f \in F(X_1, \dots, X_n, X_{n+1})$ such that

$$X_1 = f(X_1^m, \dots, X_n^m, T).$$

(A transposition of X_1 and X_i in $f(X_1^m, \dots, X_n^m, T)$ gives X_i .) In Section 3, we find such a rational function f , and in fact, $f \in F(X_1^m, \dots, X_n^m)[T]$.

Remark 1.1.

- (i) Each X_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, can be expressed as a rational function in X_1^m, \dots, X_n^m, T over F if and only if

$$(1.1) \quad F(X_1, \dots, X_n) = F(X_1^m, \dots, X_n^m, T).$$

By symmetry, (1.1) holds if and only if $X_1 \in F(X_1^m, \dots, X_n^m, T)$.

- (ii) If $n > 1$ and $\text{char } F = p \mid m$, then (1.1) does not hold. In fact,

$$[F(X_1^p, \dots, X_n^p, T) : F(X_1^p, \dots, X_n^p)] \leq p$$

since $T^p = X_1^p + \dots + X_n^p$. On the other hand,

$$[F(X_1, \dots, X_n) : F(X_1^p, \dots, X_n^p)] = p^n > p.$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11C20, 11T06, 12F10.

Key words and phrases. Galois group, Moore matrix, radical extension, rational function, Vandermonde matrix.

Hence

$$F(X_1^m, \dots, X_n^m, T) \subset F(X_1^p, \dots, X_n^p, T) \subsetneq F(X_1, \dots, X_n).$$

In our notation, $\text{char } F$ denotes the characteristic of a field F and \overline{F} denotes the algebraic closure of F . \mathbb{F}_q denotes the finite field with q elements. For $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\langle a, b \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i$. The symbol $[a_{ij}]_{i \in I, j \in J}$ represents a matrix whose rows are labeled by a set I and columns by a set J and whose (i, j) entry is a_{ij} . δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta. $s_i(\)$ is the i th elementary symmetric function.

2. A NONCONSTRUCTIVE PROOF

Theorem 2.1. *Let F be a field and let $T = X_1 + \dots + X_n \in F(X_1, \dots, X_n)$. Let m be a positive integer such that $\text{char } F \nmid m$. Then*

$$(2.1) \quad F(X_1, \dots, X_n) = F(X_1^m, \dots, X_n^m, T).$$

Proof. Since $\text{char } F \nmid m$, $F(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is a finite separable extension over $F(X_1^m, \dots, X_n^m)$. Let K be the Galois closure of $F(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ over $F(X_1^m, \dots, X_n^m)$. ($K = F(X_1, \dots, X_n, \epsilon)$, where ϵ is a primitive m th root of unity in \overline{F} .) To prove (2.1), it suffices to show that in the Galois correspondence of $K/F(X_1^m, \dots, X_n^m)$, the corresponding groups of $F(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and $F(X_1^m, \dots, X_n^m, T)$ are the same, i.e.,

$$(2.2) \quad \text{Aut}(K/F(X_1, \dots, X_n)) = \text{Aut}(K/F(X_1^m, \dots, X_n^m, T)).$$

Let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(K/F(X_1^m, \dots, X_n^m, T))$. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have $\sigma(X_i) = \epsilon^{a_i} X_i$ for some $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$X_1 + \dots + X_n = T = \sigma(T) = \epsilon^{a_1} X_1 + \dots + \epsilon^{a_n} X_n.$$

It follows that for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\epsilon^{a_i} = 1$, that is, $\sigma(X_i) = X_i$. Therefore $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(K/F(X_1, \dots, X_n))$ and (2.2) is proved. \square

Assume that $\text{char } F \nmid m$ and let $\epsilon \in \overline{F}$ be a primitive m th root of unity. By Theorem 2.1,

$$[F(X_1^m, \dots, X_n^m, T) : F(X_1^m, \dots, X_n^m)] = [F(X_1, \dots, X_n) : F(X_1^m, \dots, X_n^m)] = m^n,$$

that is, T is of degree m^n over $F(X_1^m, \dots, X_n^m)$. On the other hand, every conjugate of T over $F(X_1^m, \dots, X_n^m)$ is of the form $\epsilon^{a_1} X_1 + \dots + \epsilon^{a_n} X_n$, where $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}^n$. Hence the minimal polynomial of T over $F(X_1^m, \dots, X_n^m)$ is

$$\prod_{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}^n} \left(X - \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon^{a_i} X_i \right).$$

Remark 2.2. In Theorem 2.1, X_1, \dots, X_n can be replaced by elements x_1, \dots, x_n in an extension of F . As long as $x_1 + \dots + x_n = \epsilon^{a_1} x_1 + \dots + \epsilon^{a_n} x_n$ implies $\epsilon^{a_i} = 1$ for all i , Theorem 2.1 holds. The result in this form frequently appears as exercises in graduate algebra textbooks; see, for example, [3, Exercise 18.14].

3. A CONSTRUCTIVE PROOF

Assume that $\text{char } F \nmid m$. Our objective is to express X_1 as a polynomial in $T = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ with coefficients in $F(X_1^m, \dots, X_n^m)$.

3.1. A naive approach.

Let's begin with an example to show what a naive approach might look like.

Example 3.1. Let $m = 2$. We try to express X_1 as a rational function in X_1^2, \dots, X_n^2, T .

When $n = 1$, $X_1 = T$.

When $n = 2$,

$$T^2 = X_1^2 + X_2^2 + 2X_1X_2 = X_1^2 + X_2^2 + 2X_1(T - X_1) = -X_1^2 + X_2^2 + 2TX_1,$$

whence

$$X_1 = \frac{T^2 + X_1^2 - X_2^2}{2T}.$$

When $n = 3$, from $(T - X_1)^2 = (X_2 + X_3)^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T^2 + X_1^2 - 2TX_1 &= X_2^2 + X_3^2 + 2X_2X_3, \\ T^2 + X_1^2 - X_2^2 - X_3^2 - 2TX_1 &= 2X_2X_3, \\ (T^2 + X_1^2 - X_2^2 - X_3^2 - 2TX_1)^2 &= 4X_2^2X_3^2, \\ (T^2 + X_1^2 - X_2^2 - X_3^2)^2 + 4T^2X_1^2 - 4T(T^2 + X_1^2 - X_2^2 - X_3^2)X_1 &= 4X_2^2X_3^2, \\ X_1 &= \frac{(T^2 + X_1^2 - X_2^2 - X_3^2)^2 + 4T^2X_1^2 - 4X_2^2X_3^2}{4T(T^2 + X_1^2 - X_2^2 - X_3^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

When $n = 4$, from $(T - X_1 - X_2)^2 = (X_3 + X_4)^2$, we have

$$(T - X_1)^2 + X_2^2 - 2(T - X_1)X_2 = X_3^2 + X_4^2 + 2X_3X_4.$$

In what follows, f_1, \dots, f_5 are polynomials in X_1^2, \dots, X_n^2, T over F . We have

$$\begin{aligned} -2TX_1 + f_1 &= 2(T - X_1)X_2 + 2X_3X_4, \\ (-TX_1 + f_1/2)^2 &= ((T - X_1)X_2 + X_3X_4)^2, \\ -Tf_1X_1 + f_2 &= (T - X_1)^2X_2^2 + 2(T - X_1)X_2X_3X_4, \\ (2TX_2^2 - Tf_1)X_1 + f_3 &= 2(T - X_1)X_2X_3X_4, \\ (T(2X_2^2 - f_1)X_1 + f_3)^2 &= (2(T - X_1)X_2X_3X_4)^2, \\ 2T(2X_2^2 - f_1)f_3X_1 + f_4 &= 4(T - X_1)^2X_2^2X_3^2X_4^2, \\ (2T(2X_2^2 - f_1)f_3 + 8TX_2^2X_3^2X_4^2)X_1 &= f_5, \\ X_1 &= \frac{f_5}{2T((2X_2^2 - f_1)f_3 + 4X_2^2X_3^2X_4^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Unfortunately, when $n \geq 5$, it appears that this method no longer works.

3.2. The case $\text{char } F = p > 0$.

When $\text{char } F = p > 0$, we can take advantage of the fact that $T^p = X_1^p + \dots + X_n^p$. Since $p \nmid m$, there exists a positive integer e such that $p^e \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. Let $q = p^e$. The matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} X_1^{q^0} & \cdots & X_n^{q^0} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ X_1^{q^{n-1}} & \cdots & X_n^{q^{n-1}} \end{bmatrix}$$

is called the *Moore Matrix*. Its determinant, the *Moore determinant*, denoted by $\Delta(X_1, \dots, X_n)$, is given in [6] by

$$\Delta(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \det M = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{a_1, \dots, a_{i-1} \in \mathbb{F}_q} \left(X_i + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_j X_j \right);$$

also see [2, Exercise 2.15] or [4, Lemma 3.51]. (When $i = 1$, the inner product in the above is understood to be X_1 .) We have

$$M \begin{bmatrix} X_1^{-1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & X_n^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ \vdots \\ X_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} T^{q^0} \\ \vdots \\ T^{q^{n-1}} \end{bmatrix},$$

where the entries of

$$M \begin{bmatrix} X_1^{-1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & X_n^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

belong to $F[X_1^{q-1}, \dots, X_n^{q-1}] \subset F[X_1^m, \dots, X_n^m]$. Thus

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ \vdots \\ X_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & X_n \end{bmatrix} M^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} T^{q^0} \\ \vdots \\ T^{q^{n-1}} \end{bmatrix},$$

where the entries of

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & X_n \end{bmatrix} M^{-1}$$

belong to $F(X_1^{q-1}, \dots, X_n^{q-1})$. We have

$$M^{-1} = \frac{1}{\Delta(X_1, \dots, X_n)} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_0 & -\Delta_1 & \cdots & (-1)^{n-1} \Delta_{n-1} \\ & & * & \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$(3.1) \quad \Delta_i = \begin{vmatrix} X_2^{q^0} & \cdots & X_n^{q^0} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ X_2^{q^{i-1}} & \cdots & X_n^{q^{i-1}} \\ X_2^{q^{i+1}} & \cdots & X_n^{q^{i+1}} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ X_2^{q^{n-1}} & \cdots & X_n^{q^{n-1}} \end{vmatrix}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n-1.$$

Hence

$$(3.2) \quad X_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^i X_1 \Delta_i}{\Delta(X_1, \dots, X_n)} T^{q^i},$$

where

$$\frac{X_1 \Delta_i}{\Delta(X_1, \dots, X_n)} \in F(X_1^{q-1}, \dots, X_n^{q-1}).$$

The determinant Δ_i can be computed by using a normal basis of \mathbb{F}_{q^n} over \mathbb{F}_q or by using the roots of any irreducible polynomial of degree n over \mathbb{F}_q ; see the appendix for the details.

3.3. The general case.

Let $\Lambda = \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}^n$. For $t \geq 0$,

$$T^t = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \binom{t}{i_1, \dots, i_n} X_1^{i_1} \cdots X_n^{i_n} = \sum_{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \Lambda} a(t, \lambda) X_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots X_n^{\lambda_n},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a(t, \lambda) &= X_1^{-\lambda_1} \cdots X_n^{-\lambda_n} \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \equiv \lambda \pmod{m}} \binom{t}{i_1, \dots, i_n} X_1^{i_1} \cdots X_n^{i_n} \\ &\in F(X_1^m, \dots, X_n^m). \end{aligned}$$

So, we have a linear system in $X_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots X_n^{\lambda_n}$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$:

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a(t, \lambda) X_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots X_n^{\lambda_n} = T^t, \quad 0 \leq t \leq m^n - 1,$$

whose coefficient matrix is

$$A = [a(t, \lambda)]_{0 \leq t \leq m^n - 1, \lambda \in \Lambda}.$$

Let $\epsilon \in \overline{F}$ be a primitive m th root of unity. Since

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \epsilon^{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m}, \\ m & \text{if } j \equiv 0 \pmod{m}, \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} a(t, \lambda) &= X_1^{-\lambda_1} \cdots X_n^{-\lambda_n} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \binom{t}{i_1, \dots, i_n} X_1^{i_1} \cdots X_n^{i_n} \prod_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j_k=0}^{m-1} \epsilon^{(i_k - \lambda_k) j_k} \right) \\ &= m^{-n} X_1^{-\lambda_1} \cdots X_n^{-\lambda_n} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \binom{t}{i_1, \dots, i_n} X_1^{i_1} \cdots X_n^{i_n} \prod_{k=1}^n \left(\sum_{j_k=0}^{m-1} \epsilon^{(i_k - \lambda_k) j_k} \right) \\ &= m^{-n} X_1^{-\lambda_1} \cdots X_n^{-\lambda_n} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \binom{t}{i_1, \dots, i_n} X_1^{i_1} \cdots X_n^{i_n} \sum_{(j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \Lambda} \epsilon^{\langle i - \lambda, j \rangle} \\ &\quad (i = (i_1, \dots, i_n), j = (j_1, \dots, j_n)) \\ &= m^{-n} X_1^{-\lambda_1} \cdots X_n^{-\lambda_n} \sum_{j \in \Lambda} \epsilon^{-\langle \lambda, j \rangle} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \binom{t}{i_1, \dots, i_n} X_1^{i_1} \cdots X_n^{i_n} \epsilon^{\langle i, j \rangle} \\ &= m^{-n} X_1^{-\lambda_1} \cdots X_n^{-\lambda_n} \sum_{j \in \Lambda} \epsilon^{-\langle \lambda, j \rangle} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \binom{t}{i_1, \dots, i_n} (\epsilon^{j_1} X_1)^{i_1} \cdots (\epsilon^{j_n} X_n)^{i_n} \\ &= m^{-n} X_1^{-\lambda_1} \cdots X_n^{-\lambda_n} \sum_{j \in \Lambda} \epsilon^{-\langle \lambda, j \rangle} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \epsilon^{j_k} X_k \right)^t. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$A = m^{-n} BCD,$$

where

$$B = \left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \epsilon^{j_k} X_k \right)^t \right]_{0 \leq t \leq m^n - 1, j \in \Lambda}$$

is a nonsingular Vandermonde matrix,

$$C = [\epsilon^{-\langle j, \lambda \rangle}]_{j \in \Lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda},$$

and D is the $m^n \times m^n$ diagonal matrix labeled by $\Lambda \times \Lambda$ whose (λ, λ) entry is $X_1^{-\lambda_1} \cdots X_n^{-\lambda_n}$. From the orthogonality of the characters of $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^n$, we have

$$C^{-1} = m^{-n} [\epsilon^{\langle \lambda, j \rangle}]_{\lambda \in \Lambda, j \in \Lambda}.$$

Hence

$$(3.3) \quad A^{-1} = m^n D^{-1} C^{-1} B^{-1} = D^{-1} [\epsilon^{\langle \lambda, j \rangle}]_{\lambda \in \Lambda, j \in \Lambda} B^{-1}.$$

Let

$$b_j = \sum_{k=1}^n \epsilon^{j_k} X_k, \quad j = (j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \Lambda.$$

Then

$$B = [b_j^t]_{0 \leq t \leq m^n - 1, j \in \Lambda}.$$

Although the inverse of a nonsingular Vandermonde matrix is well known [5], we include a brief derivation of B^{-1} for the reader's convenience. Let

$$(3.4) \quad B^{-1} = [a_{jt}]_{j \in \Lambda, 0 \leq t \leq m^n - 1}.$$

Then

$$\sum_{t=0}^{m^n - 1} a_{jt} b_i^t = \delta_{ji}, \quad i \in \Lambda.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{t=0}^{m^n - 1} a_{jt} X^t = \frac{\prod_{i \neq j} (X - b_i)}{\prod_{i \neq j} (b_j - b_i)}.$$

So

$$(3.5) \quad a_{jt} = (-1)^{m^n - 1 - t} \frac{s_{m^n - 1 - t}((b_i)_{i \neq j})}{\prod_{i \neq j} (b_j - b_i)},$$

where $s_{m^n - 1 - t}(\cdot)$ is the $(m^n - 1 - t)$ -th elementary symmetric function. Now by (3.3) and (3.4), we have

$$X_1 = X_1 \sum_{j \in \Lambda} \sum_{t=0}^{m^n - 1} \epsilon^{j_1} a_{jt} T^t = \sum_{t=0}^{m^n - 1} \left(X_1 \sum_{j \in \Lambda} \epsilon^{j_1} a_{jt} \right) T^t,$$

where $X_1 \sum_{j \in \Lambda} \epsilon^{j_1} a_{jt} \in F(X_1^m, \dots, X_n^m)$.

APPENDIX. COMPUTATION OF Δ_i

Let Δ_i be the determinant in (3.1). We show that it can be computed in two ways: by using a normal basis of \mathbb{F}_{q^n} over \mathbb{F}_q or by using the roots of an irreducible polynomial of degree n over \mathbb{F}_q .

A1. Computation of Δ_i using a normal basis of \mathbb{F}_{q^n} over \mathbb{F}_q .

For $z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$, define

$$M(z) = \begin{bmatrix} z & z^q & \cdots & z^{q^{n-1}} \\ z^q & z^{q^2} & \cdots & z \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z^{q^{n-1}} & z & \cdots & z^{q^{n-2}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $z, z^q, \dots, z^{q^{n-1}}$ form a normal basis of \mathbb{F}_{q^n} over \mathbb{F}_q if and only if $\det M(z) = \Delta(z, z^q, \dots, z^{q^{n-1}}) \neq 0$. Choose $z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ such that $\det M(z) \neq 0$. Then $M(z)^{-1} = M(w)$ for some $w \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$; see [1, §2]. Since

$$M(z)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det M(z)} \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_{11} &= \begin{vmatrix} z^{q^2} & z^{q^3} & \cdots & z \\ z^{q^3} & z^{q^4} & \cdots & z^q \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z & z^q & \cdots & z^{q^{n-2}} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} z & z^q & \cdots & z^{q^{n-2}} \\ z^q & z^{q^2} & \cdots & z^{q^{n-1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z^{q^{n-2}} & z^{q^{n-1}} & \cdots & z^{q^{n-4}} \end{vmatrix} q^2 \\ &= \Delta(z, z^q, \dots, z^{q^{n-2}}) q^2, \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$w = \frac{a_{11}}{\det M(z)} = \frac{\Delta(z, z^q, \dots, z^{q^{n-2}}) q^2}{\Delta(z, z^q, \dots, z^{q^{n-1}})}.$$

From $M(w)M(z) = I$, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (w^{q^i})^{q^k} (z^{q^k})^{q^j} = \delta_{ij}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_i &= (-1)^i \begin{vmatrix} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (w^{q^i})^{q^k} (z^{q^k})^{q^0} & X_2^{q^0} & \cdots & X_n^{q^0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (w^{q^i})^{q^k} (z^{q^k})^{q^{n-1}} & X_2^{q^{n-1}} & \cdots & X_n^{q^{n-1}} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (-1)^i \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (w^{q^i})^{q^k} \begin{vmatrix} (z^{q^k})^{q^0} & X_2^{q^0} & \cdots & X_n^{q^0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (z^{q^k})^{q^{n-1}} & X_2^{q^{n-1}} & \cdots & X_n^{q^{n-1}} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (-1)^i \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w^{q^{i+k}} \Delta(z^{q^k}, X_2, \dots, X_n) \\ &= (-1)^i \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\Delta(z, z^q, \dots, z^{q^{n-2}}) q^{i+k+2}}{\Delta(z, z^q, \dots, z^{q^{n-1}}) q^{i+k}} \Delta(z^{q^k}, X_2, \dots, X_n). \end{aligned}$$

A2. Computation of Δ_i using the roots of an irreducible polynomial of degree n over \mathbb{F}_q .

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ be of degree n over \mathbb{F}_q and let $\alpha_i = \alpha^{q^i}$, $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Let

$$(A1) \quad g(X) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (X - \alpha_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (\alpha_0 - \alpha_i)} = a_0 + \cdots + a_{n-1}X^{n-1},$$

which satisfies $g(\alpha_i) = \delta_{i0}$, $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha^0 & \alpha^1 & \cdots & \alpha^{n-1} \\ \alpha^{0q} & \alpha^{1q} & \cdots & \alpha^{(n-1)q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \alpha^{0q^{n-1}} & \alpha^{1q^{n-1}} & \cdots & \alpha^{(n-1)q^{n-1}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ \vdots \\ a_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha^0 & \alpha^1 & \cdots & \alpha^{n-1} \\ \alpha^{0q} & \alpha^{1q} & \cdots & \alpha^{(n-1)q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \alpha^{0q^{n-1}} & \alpha^{1q^{n-1}} & \cdots & \alpha^{(n-1)q^{n-1}} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 & a_0^q & \cdots & a_0^{q^{n-1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n-1} & a_{n-1}^q & \cdots & a_{n-1}^{q^{n-1}} \end{bmatrix},$$

and hence

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha^{kq^i} a_k^{q^j} = \delta_{ij}.$$

Therefore

$$(A2) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_i &= (-1)^i \begin{vmatrix} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha^{kq^i} a_k^{q^0} & X_2^{q^0} & \cdots & X_n^{q^0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha^{kq^i} a_k^{q^{n-1}} & X_2^{q^{n-1}} & \cdots & X_n^{q^{n-1}} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (-1)^i \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha^{kq^i} \begin{vmatrix} a_k^{q^0} & X_2^{q^0} & \cdots & X_n^{q^0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_k^{q^{n-1}} & X_2^{q^{n-1}} & \cdots & X_n^{q^{n-1}} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (-1)^i \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha^{kq^i} \Delta(a_k, X_2, \dots, X_n). \end{aligned}$$

In (A1) and (A2),

$$a_k = \frac{(-1)^{n-1-k} s_{n-i-k}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (\alpha_0 - \alpha_i)}.$$

However, it is more effective to compute a_k in terms of the minimal polynomial $f(X)$ of α over \mathbb{F}_q . Let

$$f(X) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (X - \alpha_i) = X^n + b_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \cdots + b_0.$$

Then

$$f'(\alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (\alpha - \alpha_i)$$

