

# DUALS OF NON-ZERO SQUARE

HANNAH R. SCHWARTZ

**ABSTRACT.** In this short note, for each non-zero integer  $n$ , we construct a 4-manifold containing a smoothly concordant pair of spheres with a common dual of square  $n$  but no automorphism carrying one sphere to the other. Our examples, besides showing that the square zero assumption on the dual is necessary in Gabai’s and Schneiderman-Teichner’s versions of the 4D Light Bulb Theorem, have the interesting feature that both the Freedman-Quinn and Kervaire-Milnor invariant of the pair of spheres vanishes. The proof gives a surprising application of results due to Akbulut-Matveyev and Aukly-Kim-Melvin-Ruberman pertaining to the well-known Mazur cork.

## 0. INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

We work throughout in the smooth, oriented category. Begin by considering a pair of homotopic 2-spheres  $S$  and  $T$  embedded in a smooth 4-manifold  $X$ , with an embedded 2-sphere  $G \subset X$  intersecting both  $S$  and  $T$  transversally in a single point. Such a sphere is called a **common dual** of  $S$  and  $T$ . Recent work of Gabai [9] and Schneiderman-Teichner [17] has completely characterized the conditions under which the spheres  $S$  and  $T$  are isotopic, so long as their common dual  $G$  has square zero, i.e. a trivial normal bundle, in the 4-manifold  $X$ . We call such a dual **standard**, and **non-standard** otherwise. The objective of this note is to show that the assumption of a standard dual is necessary one in [9] and [17].

**Main Theorem.** *For each  $n \neq 0$ , there exists a 4-manifold  $X_n$  containing smoothly concordant embedded spheres  $S_n$  and  $T_n$  with a common dual of square  $n$  such that there is no automorphism of  $X_n$  carrying one sphere to the other.*

The proof of our Main Theorem gives a surprising application of well-studied 4-dimensional objects called **corks**: compact contractible 4-manifolds  $C$  equipped with an orientation preserving diffeomorphism  $h: \partial C \rightarrow \partial C$ . The study of corks was initially motivated by the fact that the **cork twist**  $X_{C,h} = (X - \text{int}(C)) \cup_h C$  of an embedded cork  $C \subset X$  is homeomorphic to  $X$  by Freedman [7], but need not be diffeomorphic to  $X$  by Akbulut [1]. Such an embedding of a cork is called **non-trivial**. Our construction builds upon examples given by Akbulut and Matveyev [3] of non-trivial embeddings of corks.

**Acknowledgements.** The author is grateful to both Dave Gabai and Peter Teichner for their encouragement to write up this result, and for their thoughtful comments and advice.

## 1. WARM-UP

The first example of a cork with a non-trivial embedding was produced by Akbulut in [1]. Now ubiquitous, the “Akbulut-Mazur cork”  $(W, \tau)$  consists of the Mazur manifold\*  $W$  shown in Figure 1, and  $\tau$  the involution on its boundary induced by a rotation of  $\pi$  around the indicated axis of symmetry. Many 4-manifolds are now known to admit non-trivial embeddings of the Mazur cork; we outline one such embedding due to Akbulut and Matveyev [3] as a warm-up to the proof of the Main Theorem.

Let  $X$  denote the compact 4-manifold shown on the left in Figure 2, built from the Mazur manifold  $W$  by adding a single 2-handle. Note that  $X$  has a handlebody decomposition consisting

---

\*Mazur’s [15] contractible 4-manifolds are each built with a single 0,1, and 2-handle. They are not homeomorphic to the 4-ball, but their products with the interval give the standard 5-ball.




FIGURE 1. The Akbulut-Mazur cork  $(W, \tau)$




FIGURE 2. The manifold  $X$  (left) and the cork twist  $X_{W,\tau}$  (right)

of a single 1-handle, and two 2-handles each attached along knots in  $S^1 \times S^2$  with framings less than their maximum Thurston-Bennequin numbers, as illustrated on the bottom right of Figure 3. Therefore  $X$  is a compact Stein domain<sup>†</sup> by a result of Eliashberg [6]; see also [11] for more exposition.

On the other hand, the cork twist  $X_{W,\tau}$  contains an embedded 2-sphere of square  $-1$ , seen in the diagram for  $X_{W,\tau}$  in Figure 2 as the union of the shaded disk  $D$  and the core of the 2-handle attached along  $\partial D$ . Therefore  $X_{W,\tau}$  must not be a compact Stein domain. This follows from a result due to Lisca and Matić [14] that compact Stein domains embed in minimal, closed Kähler surfaces, which contain no smoothly embedded 2-spheres of square  $-1$ . Therefore,  $X$  and  $X_{W,\tau}$  are not diffeomorphic.

## 2. MAIN THEOREM

To contextualize our main result, we outline the previous results about common duals referred to in Section 0. By Gabai [9] and Schneiderman-Teichner [17], the existence of a common standard dual for homotopic spheres  $S, T \subset X$  guarantees a smooth isotopy between  $S$  and  $T$  whenever the **Freedman-Quinn invariant**, a concordance invariant defined in [8], of the pair  $(S, T)$  vanishes. Recent work of Gabai [10] shows an analogous result holds for certain properly embedded disks with a common standard dual and vanishing **Dax invariant**, an isotopy invariant of properly embedded disks recently formulated by Gabai in [10] using homotopy theoretic work of Dax [5]

<sup>†</sup>For a precise definition of what we mean by “compact Stein domain”, see [2].




FIGURE 3. Identical handlebody structures for  $X$ , drawn with (left and middle) and without (right) the dotted circle notation for 1-handles from [12, Chapter I.2]. The Thurston-Bennequin framing of the attaching circle of each 2-handle is computed from the rightmost diagram using the usual formula (writhe) - (number of right cusps).

from the 70's. To guarantee even a smoothly embedded concordance between  $S$  and  $T$  when their common dual is non-standard, it is also required that their *Kervaire-Milnor invariant*, defined by Stong in [20], vanishes.

**2.1. Remark.** Recently, Klug-Miller [13, Example 7.2] showed that it is necessary that the dual have square zero for Gabai [9] and Schneiderman-Teichner [17] to achieve an isotopy, by presenting a pair of spheres whose common dual of square  $+1$ , with vanishing Freedman-Quinn invariant but non-vanishing Kervaire-Milnor invariant. On the other hand, for each  $n \neq 0$ , the Main Theorem gives examples of pairs of spheres with dual of square  $n$  whose Freedman-Quinn invariant and Kervaire-Milnor invariants vanish, but that are not related by any automorphism of the ambient 4-manifold. Such an automorphism always exists for spheres when the common dual is standard by [18, Lemma 2.3], since in this case the common dual can be surgered (Gabai remarks after [10, Theorem 0.8] that by a similar proof, this also holds for properly embedded disks with a common standard dual).

*Proof of Main Theorem.* For  $n \leq -1$ , consider the 4-manifold  $X_n$  pictured in Figure 4. Since  $X_n$  is simply-connected, the spheres  $S_n$  and  $T_n$  are not only homologous, but also homotopic. It is also immediate that the both the Freedman-Quinn and Kervaire-Milnor invariants of the pair  $(S_n, T_n)$  vanish, since these invariants are elements of  $H_1(X_n; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  and a quotient of  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)]$  respectively, which are both trivial in this case. Let  $R_n$  denote the sphere of square  $n$  gotten by capping off the red disk in Figure 4 with the core of the 2-handle attached with framing  $n$  along its boundary. The sphere  $R_n$  is dual to both  $S_n$  and  $T_n$ , since  $S_n$  and  $T_n$  each pass once (geometrically) over the 2-handle with framing 1 in the topmost diagram of Figure 4. Therefore, by [8] and [20], the spheres  $S_n$  and  $T_n$  are smoothly concordant in  $X_n \times I$ .

The manifold  $X_n$  contains Akbulut and Matveyev's manifold  $X$  [3] discussed in Section 1. To show that there is no automorphism of  $X_n$  carrying  $S_n$  to  $T_n$ , we use an argument similar to one of Auckly-Kim-Melvin-Ruberman [4, Theorem A]; see in particular Figure 18 of their paper. For, blowing down  $S_n$  gives the bottom left manifold of Figure 5, which is not Stein since it contains an embedded sphere of square  $-1$ , as in the argument from Section 1. On the other hand, blowing down  $T_n$  gives the bottom right manifold of Figure 5, which is Stein whenever  $n \leq -1$  by [6], since all 2-handles are attached along Legendrian knots whose framings are strictly less than their Thurston-Bennequin numbers.




FIGURE 4. The spheres  $S_n$  and  $T_n$  (blue) in  $X_n$ , with their common dual (red).

As the manifolds that result from blowing down  $S_n$  and  $T_n$  are not diffeomorphic, there can be no automorphism of  $X_n$  carrying one sphere to the other when  $n \leq -1$ . The result therefore also holds for  $n \geq 1$ , setting  $X_n = -X_{-n}$  and considering the spheres  $S_n, T_n \subset X_n$  that are the images of the spheres  $S_{-n}, T_{-n} \subset X_{-n}$  under the (orientation reversing) identity map.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. Akbulut, *A fake compact contractible 4-manifold*, J. Differential Geom. **33** (1991), no. 2, 335 – 356.
- [2] S. Akbulut and R. Matveyev, *A convex decomposition theorem for 4-manifolds*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices **7** (1998), 371–381.
- [3] S. Akbulut and R. Matveyev, *Exotic structures and adjunction inequality*, Turkish J. of Math. **21** (1997), no. 1, 47 –53.
- [4] D. Auckly, H-J. Kim, P. Melvin and D. Ruberman, *Stable isotopy in four dimensions*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **91** (2015), 439 –463.
- [5] J. P. Dax, *Étude homotopique des espaces de plongements*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) **5** (1972), 303–377.
- [6] Y. Eliashberg, *A topological characterization of Stein manifolds of dimension  $\geq 2$* , International J. of Math. **1** (1990), no. 1, 29–46.
- [7] M. H. Freedman, *The topology of four-dimensional manifolds*, J. Diff. Geo. **17** (1982), 357–432.
- [8] M. H. Freedman and F. Quinn, *Topology of 4-manifolds*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1990.
- [9] D. Gabai, *The 4-Dimensional Lightbulb Theorem*, J. AMS **33** (2020), 609 – 652.
- [10] D. Gabai, *Self-referential disks and the light bulb lemma*, arXiv:2006.15450 [math.GT], 2020.
- [11] B. Gompf, *Constructing Stein manifolds after Eliashberg*, arXiv:0810.4511 [math.GT], 2008.
- [12] R. C. Kirby, *The topology of 4-manifolds*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1374, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.




FIGURE 5. Blowing down the spheres  $S_n$  and  $T_n$

- [13] M. Klug and M. Miller, *Concordance of surfaces and the Freedman-Quinn invariant*, arXiv:1912.12286 [math.GT], 2019.
- [14] P. Lisca and G. Matić, *Tight contact structures and Seiberg-Witten invariants*, Invent. Math. **129** (1997), 509–525.
- [15] B. Mazur, *A note on some contractible 4-manifolds*, Ann. of Math. **73** (1961), 221–228.
- [16] M. Miller, *A concordance analogue of the 4-dimensional light bulb theorem*, arXiv:1903.03055 [math.GT], 2019. To appear in Int. Math. Res. Notices IMRN.
- [17] R. Schneiderman and P. Teichner, *Homotopy versus Isotopy: spheres with duals in 4-manifolds*, arXiv: 1904.12350 [math.GT], 2019.
- [18] H. Schwartz, *Equivalent non-isotopic spheres in 4-manifolds*, J. of Top. **12** (2019), 1396–1412.
- [19] S. Smale, *A classification of immersions of the two-sphere*, Trans. AMS (1957), 281–290.
- [20] R. Stong, *Existence of  $\pi_1$ -negligible embeddings in 4-manifolds: A correction to Theorem 10.5 of Freedman and Quinn*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **120** (1994), 1309–1314.

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, PRINCETON, NJ 08544

Email address: [hs25@princeton.edu](mailto:hs25@princeton.edu)