

Ideals generated by traces or by supertraces in the symplectic reflection algebra $H_{1,\nu}(I_2(2m+1))$ II

I.A. Batalin^{*†}, S.E. Konstein^{*‡}, I.V. Tyutin^{*§}

Abstract

The algebra $\mathcal{H} := H_{1,\nu}(I_2(2m+1))$ of observables of the Calogero model based on the root system $I_2(2m+1)$ has an m -dimensional space of traces and an $(m+1)$ -dimensional space of supertraces. In the preceding paper we found all values of the parameter ν for which either the space of traces contains a degenerate nonzero trace tr_ν or the space of supertraces contains a degenerate nonzero supertrace str_ν and, as a consequence, the algebra \mathcal{H} has two-sided ideals: one consisting of all vectors in the kernel of the form $B_{tr_\nu}(x, y) = tr_\nu(xy)$ or another consisting of all vectors in the kernel of the form $B_{str_\nu}(x, y) = str_\nu(xy)$. We noticed that if $\nu = \frac{z}{2m+1}$, where $z \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus (2m+1)\mathbb{Z}$, then there exist both a degenerate trace and a degenerate supertrace on \mathcal{H} .

Here we prove that the ideals determined by these degenerate forms coincide.

Keywords: symplectic reflection algebra, trace, supertrace, ideal, dihedral group

1 Introduction

This paper is a continuation of [5]; we advise the reader to recall [5].

1.1 Definitions

Let \mathcal{A} be an associative \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded algebra with unit; let ε denote its parity. All expressions of linear algebra are given for homogenous elements only and are supposed to be extended to inhomogeneous elements via linearity.

A linear complex-valued function tr on \mathcal{A} is called a *trace* if $tr(fg - gf) = 0$ for all $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$. A linear complex-valued function str on \mathcal{A} is called a *supertrace* if $str(fg - (-1)^{\varepsilon(f)\varepsilon(g)}gf) = 0$ for all $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$. These two definitions can be unified as follows.

Let $\varkappa = \pm 1$. A linear complex-valued function sp^\varkappa on \mathcal{A} is called \varkappa -*trace* if $sp^\varkappa(fg - \varkappa^{\varepsilon(f)\varepsilon(g)}gf) = 0$ for all $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$.

*I.E. Tamm Department of Theoretical Physics, P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, RAS 119991, Leninsky prosp., 53, Moscow, Russia.

†E-mail: batalin@lpi.ru

‡E-mail: konstein@lpi.ru (corresponding author)

§E-mail: tyutin@lpi.ru

Each nonzero \varkappa -trace sp^\varkappa defines the nonzero symmetric¹ bilinear form $B_{sp^\varkappa}(f, g) := sp^\varkappa(fg)$.

If B_{sp^\varkappa} is degenerate, then the set of the vectors of its kernel is a proper ideal in \mathcal{A} . We say that the \varkappa -trace sp^\varkappa is *degenerate* if the bilinear form B_{sp^\varkappa} is degenerate.

1.2 The goal and structure of the paper

The simplicity (or, alternatively, existence of ideals) of Symplectic Reflection Algebras or, briefly, SRA (for definition, see [3]) was investigated in a number of papers, see, e.g., [2], [9]. In particular, it is shown that all SRA $H_{1,\nu}(G)$ with $\nu = 0$ are simple (see [10], [2]).

It follows from [4] and [7] that an associative algebra of observables of the Calogero model with harmonic term in the potential and with coupling constant ν based on the root system $I_2(2m+1)$ (this algebra is SRA denoted $H_{1,\nu}(I_2(2m+1))$) has an m -dimensional space of traces and an $(m+1)$ -dimensional space of supertraces.

We say that the parameter ν is *singular*, if the algebra $H_{1,\nu}(I_2(n))$ has a degenerate trace or a degenerate supertrace.

In [5], we found all singular values of ν for the algebras $\mathcal{H} := H_{1,\nu}(I_2(n))$ in the case of n odd ($n = 2m+1$) and found the corresponding degenerate traces and supertraces; the result is formulated in Theorem 10.1.

We noticed that if $\nu = \frac{z}{2m+1}$, where $z \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus (2m+1)\mathbb{Z}$, then there exist both a degenerate trace and a degenerate supertrace on H .

Denote this degenerate trace by tr_z and the degenerate supertrace by str_z

Theorem 10.1 proved in [5] implies that if $z \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus n\mathbb{Z}$, then

(i) the trace given by the formula (10.1) in [5] is degenerate and generates the ideal \mathcal{I}_{tr_z} consisting of all the vectors in the kernel of the degenerate form $B_{tr_z}(x, y) = tr_z(xy)$,

(ii) the supertrace (10.2) is degenerate and generates the ideal \mathcal{I}_{str_z} consisting of all the vectors in the kernel of the degenerate form $B_{str_z}(x, y) = str_z(xy)$.

The goal of this paper is Theorem 13.1, which proves

Conjecture 1.1. ([5, Conjecture 9.1]) $\mathcal{I}_{tr_z} = \mathcal{I}_{str_z}$.

In Sections 2–10 we recall the necessary definitions and preliminary facts.

2 The group $I_2(2m+1)$

Hereafter in this paper, $n = 2m+1$.

Definition 2.1. The group $I_2(n)$ is a finite subgroup of the orthogonal group $O(2, \mathbb{R})$ generated by the root system $I_2(n)$.

The group $I_2(n)$ is the symmetry group of a flat regular n -gon; $I_2(n)$ consists of n reflections R_k and n rotations S_k , where $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2m$. We consider the indices k as integers modulo n .

These elements (R_k and S_k for all k) satisfy the relations

$$R_k R_l = S_{k-l}, \quad S_k S_l = S_{k+l}, \quad R_k S_l = R_{k-l}, \quad S_k R_l = R_{k+l}.$$

The element S_0 is the unit in the group $I_2(n)$. Obviously, since n is odd, all the reflections R_k are in the same conjugacy class.

¹Initially, we used the term “(super)symmetric bilinear form” currently used by many, e.g., in the paper [1], even in its title. However, in a recent preprint [8], it is explained that the supersymmetry $B(v, w) = (-1)^{p(v)p(w)} B(w, v)$ is related with the isomorphism $V \otimes W \simeq W \otimes V$ of superspaces and has nothing to do with the (anti)symmetry of the bilinear form B on $V = W$.

The rotations S_k and S_l constitute a conjugacy class if $k + l = n$.

Let

$$\lambda := \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{n}\right).$$

Let

$$G := \mathbb{C}[I_2(n)] \tag{2.1}$$

be the group algebra of the group $I_2(n)$. In G , it is convenient to introduce the following basis

$$L_p := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda^{kp} R_k, \quad Q_p := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda^{-kp} S_k.$$

3 Symplectic reflection algebra $H_{1,\nu}(I_2(2m+1))$

Definition 3.1. *The symplectic reflection algebra $\mathcal{H} := H_{1,\nu}(I_2(2m+1))$ is the associative algebra of polynomials in the noncommuting elements a^α and b^α , where $\alpha = 0, 1$, with coefficients in G (see Eq. (2.1)), satisfying the relations*

$$\begin{aligned} L_p a^\alpha &= -b^\alpha L_{p+1}, & L_p b^\alpha &= -a^\alpha L_{p-1}, \\ Q_p a^\alpha &= a^\alpha Q_{p+1}, & Q_p b^\alpha &= b^\alpha Q_{p-1}, \\ L_k L_l &= \delta_{k+l} Q_l, & L_k Q_l &= \delta_{k-l} L_l, \\ Q_k L_l &= \delta_{k+l} L_l, & Q_k Q_l &= \delta_{k-l} Q_l, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [a^\alpha, b^\beta] &= \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} (1 + \mu L_0), \\ [a^\alpha, a^\beta] &= \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} \mu L_1, \\ [b^\alpha, b^\beta] &= \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} \mu L_{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_k := \delta_{k0}$, and $\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}$ is the skew-symmetric tensor normalized so that $\varepsilon^{01} = 1$, and

$$\mu := n\nu.$$

Defining the parity in \mathcal{H} by setting

$$\varepsilon(a^\alpha) = \varepsilon(b^\alpha) = 1, \quad \varepsilon(R_k) = \varepsilon(S_k) = 0,$$

we turn this algebra into a superalgebra.

The algebra $H_{1,\nu}(I_2(2m+1))$ depends on one complex parameter ν .

4 Subalgebra of singlets

Consider the elements² $T^{\alpha\beta} := \frac{1}{2}(\{a^\alpha, b^\beta\} + \{b^\alpha, a^\beta\})$ of the algebra \mathcal{H} , and the inner derivations of \mathcal{H} they generate:

$$D^{\alpha\beta} : f \mapsto [f, T^{\alpha\beta}] \quad \text{for any } f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

²Here the brackets $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ denote anticommutator.

It is easy to verify that the linear span of these derivations is a Lie algebra isomorphic to sl_2 .

Definition 4.1. A *singlet* is any element $f \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $[f, T^{\alpha\beta}] = 0$ for all α, β . The subalgebra $H^0 \subset \mathcal{H}$ consisting of all singlets of the algebra \mathcal{H} is called the *subalgebra of singlets*.

One can consider the algebra \mathcal{H} as an sl_2 -module and decompose it into the direct sum of irreducible submodules.

Observe, that any \varkappa -trace is identically zero on all irreducible sl_2 -submodules of \mathcal{H} , except for singlets.

Let the skew-symmetric tensor $\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}$ be normalized so that $\varepsilon_{01} = 1$ and so $\sum_{\alpha} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} \varepsilon^{\alpha\gamma} = \delta_{\beta}^{\gamma}$. We set

$$\mathfrak{s} := \frac{1}{4i} \sum_{\alpha, \beta=0,1} (\{a^\alpha, b^\beta\} - \{b^\alpha, a^\beta\}) \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}.$$

Proposition 4.2. ([5, Proposition 4.2]) *The subalgebra of singlets H^0 is the algebra of polynomials in the element \mathfrak{s} with coefficients in the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[I_2(2m+1)]$.*

The commutation relations of the singlet \mathfrak{s} with generators of the algebra \mathcal{H} have the form:

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathfrak{s}, Q_p] &= [\mathfrak{s}, S_k] = [T^{\alpha\beta}, \mathfrak{s}] = 0, \\ \mathfrak{s}L_p &= -L_p\mathfrak{s}, \quad \mathfrak{s}R_k = -R_k\mathfrak{s}, \\ (\mathfrak{s} - i\mu L_0)a^\alpha &= a^\alpha(\mathfrak{s} + i + i\mu L_0). \end{aligned}$$

5 The form of ideals in \mathcal{H} and in H^0

Theorem 5.1. ([5, Theorem 4.3]) Let \mathcal{I} be a proper ideal in the algebra \mathcal{H} , and $\mathcal{I}_0 := \mathcal{I} \cap H^0$. Then, there exist nonzero polynomials $\phi_k^0 \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{s}]$, where $k = 0, \dots, n-1$, such that \mathcal{I}_0 is the span over $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{s}]$ of the elements

$$\phi_k^0(\mathfrak{s})Q_k, \quad \phi_{n-k}^0 L_k, \quad \text{where } k = 0, \dots, n-1 \text{ and } \phi_n^0 := \phi_0^0.$$

Proposition 5.2. ([5, Proposition 4.4]) If $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is a proper ideal, then $\mathcal{I}_0 = \mathcal{I} \cap H^0$ is a proper ideal in H^0 .

Definition 5.3. For each $p = 0, \dots, 2m$, we define the ideals \mathcal{J}_p and \mathcal{J}^p in the algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{s}]$ by setting

$$\mathcal{J}_p := \{f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{s}] \mid f(\mathfrak{s})Q_p \in \mathcal{I}\}, \quad \mathcal{J}^p := \{f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{s}] \mid f(\mathfrak{s})L_p \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$

Proposition 5.4. ([5, Proposition 4.7]). We have $\mathcal{J}_p = \mathcal{J}^{-p}$.

Proposition 5.5. ([5, Proposition 4.8]). We have $\mathcal{J}_p \neq 0$ for any $p = 0, \dots, 2m$.

Since $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{s}]$ is a principal ideal ring, we have the following statement:

Corollary 5.6. For any $p = 0, \dots, 2m$, there exists a nonzero polynomial $\phi_p^0 \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{s}]$ such that $\mathcal{J}_p = \phi_p^0 \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{s}]$.

Theorem 5.1 evidently follows from Corollary 5.6.

6 Generating functions of \varkappa -traces

For each \varkappa -trace sp^\varkappa on \mathcal{H} , one can define the following set of generating functions which allow one to calculate the \varkappa -trace of arbitrary element in H^0 via finding the values of the derivatives of these

functions with respect to parameter t at zero:

$$\begin{aligned} F_p^{sp^\varkappa}(t) &:= sp^\varkappa(\exp(t(\mathfrak{s} - i\mu L_0))Q_p), \\ \Psi_p^{sp^\varkappa}(t) &:= sp^\varkappa(\exp(t\mathfrak{s})L_p), \quad \text{where } p = 0, \dots, 2m. \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

Since $L_0 Q_p = 0$ for any $p \neq 0$, it follows from the definition (6.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} F_p^{sp^\varkappa}(t) &= sp^\varkappa(\exp(t\mathfrak{s})Q_p) \text{ if } p \neq 0, \\ F_0^{sp^\varkappa}(t) &= sp^\varkappa(\exp(t(\mathfrak{s} - i\mu L_0))Q_0). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to find $\Psi_p^{sp^\varkappa}$ for $p \neq 0$. Since $\mathfrak{s}L_q = -L_q\mathfrak{s}$ for any $q = 0, \dots, 2m$, we have

$$\Psi_q^{sp^\varkappa}(t) = sp^\varkappa(\exp(t\mathfrak{s})L_q) = sp^\varkappa(L_q). \quad (6.2)$$

Next, since $sp^\varkappa(R_k)$ does not depend on k , we have $sp^\varkappa(L_p) = 0$ for any $p \neq 0$ and

$$\Psi_p^{sp^\varkappa}(t) \equiv 0 \text{ for any } p \neq 0. \quad (6.3)$$

The value of $sp^\varkappa(L_0)$ will be calculated later, in Section 9.

We consider also the functions

$$\Phi_p^{sp^\varkappa}(t) := sp^\varkappa(\exp(t(\mathfrak{s} + i\mu L_0))Q_p).$$

It is easily verified, by expanding the exponential in a series, that these functions are related with the functions $F_p^{sp^\varkappa}$ by the formula

$$\Phi_p^{sp^\varkappa}(t) = F_p^{sp^\varkappa}(t) + 2i\Delta_p^{sp^\varkappa}(t), \text{ where } \Delta_p(t)^{sp^\varkappa} = \delta_p \sin(\mu t)sp^\varkappa(L_0).$$

The form of generating functions is related with (non)degeneracy of the form B_{sp^\varkappa} as described in Proposition 7.1 below.

7 Degeneracy conditions for the \varkappa -trace

Proposition 7.1. ([5, Proposition 6.1]). The \varkappa -trace on the algebra \mathcal{H} is degenerate if and only if the generating functions $F_p^{sp^\varkappa}$ defined by formula (6.1) have the following form

$$F_p^{sp^\varkappa}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{j_p} \exp(t\omega_{j,p})\varphi_{j,p}(t), \quad (7.1)$$

where $\omega_{j,p} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\varphi_{j,p} \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ might depend on \varkappa .

8 Equations for the generating functions $F_p^{sp^\varkappa}$

In [5, Eq. (7.1)], the following system of differential equations for the generating functions is obtained:

$$\frac{d}{dt}F_p^{sp^\varkappa} - \varkappa e^{it} \frac{d}{dt}F_{p+1}^{sp^\varkappa} = iF_p^{sp^\varkappa} + \varkappa i e^{it} F_{p+1}^{sp^\varkappa} + 2\varkappa i \frac{d}{dt} \left(e^{it} \Delta_{p+1}^{sp^\varkappa} \right). \quad (8.1)$$

The initial conditions for this system are:

$$F_p^{sp^\varkappa}(0) = sp^\varkappa(Q_p).$$

To solve the system (8.1), we consider its Fourier transform. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &:= e^{2\pi i/(2m+1)}, \\ G_k^{sp^\varkappa} &:= \sum_{p=0}^{2m} \lambda^{kp} F_p^{sp^\varkappa}, \text{ where } k = 0, \dots, 2m, \\ \tilde{\Delta}_k^{sp^\varkappa} &:= \sum_{p=0}^{2m} \lambda^{kp} \Delta_{p+1}^{sp^\varkappa} = \lambda^{-k} (\sin(\mu t) sp^\varkappa(L_0)), \text{ where } k = 0, \dots, 2m. \end{aligned} \quad (8.2)$$

For the functions $G_k^{sp^\varkappa}$, we then obtain the equations

$$\frac{d}{dt} G_k^{sp^\varkappa} = i \frac{\lambda^k + \varkappa e^{it}}{\lambda^k - \varkappa e^{it}} G_k^{sp^\varkappa} + \frac{2i\varkappa\lambda^k}{\lambda^k - \varkappa e^{it}} \frac{d}{dt} \left(e^{it} \tilde{\Delta}_k^{sp^\varkappa} \right) \quad (8.3)$$

with the initial conditions

$$G_k^{sp^\varkappa}(0) = sp^\varkappa(S_k). \quad (8.4)$$

We choose the following form of the solution of the system (8.3):

$$G_k^{sp^\varkappa}(t) = \frac{\varkappa e^{it}}{(\varkappa e^{it} - \lambda^k)^2} \lambda^k g_k^{sp^\varkappa}(t), \quad (8.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_k^{sp^\varkappa}(t) &= \left(\frac{2}{\mu} (\cos(t\mu) - 1) + 2i\lambda^{-k} (\lambda^k - \varkappa e^{it}) \sin(t\mu) \right) sp^\varkappa(L_0) \\ &\quad + \varkappa \lambda^{-k} (\varkappa - \lambda^k)^2 sp^\varkappa(S_k). \end{aligned} \quad (8.6)$$

Evidently, this solution satisfies the initial condition (8.4) for each \varkappa and k , except for the case where $\varkappa = +1$ and $k = 0$.

If $\varkappa = +1$ and $k = 0$, then the expression (8.5) for G_0^{tr} has a removable singularity at $t = 0$. In this case, instead of the condition $G_0^{tr}(0) = tr(S_0)$ we consider the condition $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} G_0^{tr}(t) = tr(S_0)$.

When $\varkappa = +1$ the solution (8.5) – (8.6) gives

$$G_0^{tr}(t) = \frac{e^{it}}{(e^{it} - 1)^2} \left(\frac{2}{\mu} (\cos(t\mu) - 1) + 2i(1 - e^{it}) \sin(t\mu) \right) tr(L_0),$$

and one can easily see that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} G_0^{tr}(t) = -\mu tr(L_0).$$

It is shown in Subsection 9.1 that if $\varkappa = +1$, then

$$tr(S_0) = -\mu tr(L_0)$$

for any trace tr on \mathcal{H} .

So, $G_0^{tr}(t)$ satisfies the initial conditions (8.4) also.

In the case where $\varkappa = -1$, the \varkappa -trace is a supertrace (see [4]). In this case, the $m + 1$ values $str(S_k) = str(S_{2m+1-k})$ for $k = 0, \dots, m$ completely define the supertrace on \mathcal{H} (see [7]).

In the case where $\varkappa = +1$, the \varkappa -trace is a trace (see [4]). In this case, the m values $tr(S_k) = tr(S_{2m+1-k})$ for $k = 1, \dots, m$ completely define the trace on \mathcal{H} (see [7]). The value $tr(S_0)$ linearly depends on parameters $tr(S_k)$, where $k = 1, \dots, m$, and this value is found in Subsection 9.1 (see Eqs. (9.4) – (9.5)).

9 Values of the \varkappa -trace on $\mathbb{C}[I_2(2m+1)]$

From [5] we have

$$sp^\varkappa(R_k) = -\frac{2\mu}{2m+1} \left(\frac{1+\varkappa}{2} X^{tr} + \frac{1-\varkappa}{2} Y^{str} \right), \quad (9.1)$$

where

$$X^{tr} := \sum_{r=1}^{2m} \sin^2 \left(\frac{\pi r}{2m+1} \right) tr(S_r), \quad (9.2)$$

$$Y^{str} := \sum_{r=0}^{2m} \cos^2 \left(\frac{\pi r}{2m+1} \right) str(S_r). \quad (9.3)$$

Below we consider these values for the traces and supertraces separately.

9.1 Values of the traces ($\varkappa = +1$) on $\mathbb{C}[I_2(2m+1)]$

The group $I_2(2m+1)$ has m conjugacy classes without the eigenvalue $+1$ in the spectrum: $\{S_p, S_{2m+1-p}\}$, where $p = 1, \dots, m$.

By Theorem 2.3 in [4], the values of the trace on these conjugacy classes

$$s_k := tr(S_k), \quad \text{where } s_{2m+1-k} = s_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, m,$$

are arbitrary and completely define the trace on the algebra \mathcal{H} . Therefore, the dimension of the space of traces is equal to m .

Further, the group $I_2(2m+1)$ has one conjugacy class with one eigenvalue $+1$ in its spectrum: $\{R_1, \dots, R_{2m+1}\}$. The value of $tr(R_k)$ is expressed via s_k by formula (9.1).

Besides, the group $I_2(2m+1)$ has one conjugacy class with two eigenvalues $+1$ in its spectrum: $\{S_0\}$.

The traces on conjugacy classes with two eigenvalues $+1$ in the spectrum is calculated in [5] using Ground Level Conditions (for their definition, see [4]):

$$tr(S_0) = 2\nu^2(2m+1)X^{tr}. \quad (9.4)$$

We also note that

$$tr(L_0) = -\frac{2\mu}{2m+1} X^{tr}, \quad tr(L_p) = 0 \text{ for } p \neq 0, \quad tr(S_0) = -\mu tr(L_0). \quad (9.5)$$

9.2 Values of the supertraces ($\varkappa = -1$) on $\mathbb{C}[I_2(2m+1)]$

The group $I_2(2m+1)$ has $m+1$ conjugacy classes without the eigenvalue -1 in the spectrum:

$$\{S_0\}, \{S_p, S_{2m+1-p}\}, \text{ where } p = 1, \dots, m.$$

By [4, Theorem 2.3], the values of the supertrace on these conjugacy classes

$$u_k := str(S_k) = str(S_{2m+1-k}), \quad \text{where } k = 0, \dots, m,$$

are arbitrary parameters that completely define the supertrace str on the algebra \mathcal{H} , and therefore the dimension of the space of supertraces is equal to $m+1$.

Besides, the group $I_2(2m+1)$ has one conjugacy class with one eigenvalue -1 in the spectrum: $\{R_1, \dots, R_{2m+1}\}$.

The supertraces of the conjugacy class with eigenvalue -1 in its spectrum are given by Eq. (9.1): $str(R_k) = -2\nu Y^{str}$, where $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2m$, and where Y^{str} is defined by Eq (9.3).

10 Singular values of the parameter μ

The solution Eq (8.5)-(8.6) determines the generation functions of traces and supertraces on H^0 for any trace and any supertrace on \mathcal{H} . Generally speaking, $G_k^{sp^\varkappa}$ is a meromorphic function on t , but if μ and sp^\varkappa are such that the form B_{sp^\varkappa} is degenerate, then $G_k^{sp^\varkappa}$ is an integer function on t for each k . The complete list of such pairs of μ and sp^\varkappa is given in Theorem 10.1. For these values of μ and sp^\varkappa , the functions $G_k^{sp^\varkappa}$ are Laurent polynomials in $\exp(it)$.

Theorem 10.1. ([5, Theorem 9.1]). Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $m \geq 1$, and $n = 2m + 1$. Then

1) The associative algebra $H_{1,\nu}(I_2(n))$ has a 1-parameter set of nonzero traces tr_z such that the symmetric invariant bilinear form $B_{tr_z}(x, y) = tr_z(xy)$ is degenerate if and only if $\nu = \frac{z}{n}$, where $z \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus n\mathbb{Z}$. These traces are completely defined by their values at S_k for $k = 1, \dots, m$:

$$tr_z(S_k) = \frac{\tau}{n \sin^2 \frac{\pi k}{n}} \left(1 - \cos \frac{2\pi k z}{n} \right), \quad \text{where } \tau \in \mathbb{C}, \tau \neq 0. \quad (10.1)$$

Here τ is an arbitrary parameter specifying the trace in 1-dimensional space of traces.

2) The associative superalgebra $H_{1,\nu}(I_2(n))$ has a 1-parameter set of nonzero supertraces str_z such that the symmetric invariant bilinear form $B_{str_z}(x, y) = str_z(xy)$ is degenerate if $\nu = \frac{z}{n}$, where $z \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus n\mathbb{Z}$. These supertraces are completely defined by their values at S_k for $k = 0, \dots, m$:

$$str_z(S_k) = \frac{\tau}{n \cos^2 \frac{\pi k}{n}} \left(1 - (-1)^z \cos \frac{2\pi k z}{n} \right), \quad \text{where } \tau \in \mathbb{C}, \tau \neq 0. \quad (10.2)$$

Here τ is an arbitrary parameter specifying the supertrace in 1-dimensional space of supertraces.

3) The associative superalgebra $H_{1,\nu}(I_2(n))$ has a 1-parameter set of nonzero supertraces $str_{1/2}$ such that the symmetric invariant bilinear form $B_{str_{1/2}}(x, y) = str_{1/2}(xy)$ is degenerate if $\nu = z + \frac{1}{2}$, where $z \in \mathbb{Z}$. These supertraces are completely defined by their values at S_k for $k = 0, \dots, m$:

$$str_{1/2}(S_k) = \frac{\tau}{n \cos^2 \frac{\pi k}{n}}, \quad \text{where } \tau \in \mathbb{C}, \tau \neq 0.$$

Here τ is an arbitrary parameter specifying the supertrace in 1-dimensional space of supertraces.

4) For all other values of ν , all nonzero traces and supertraces are nondegenerate.

11 Generating functions $F_p^{sp^\varkappa}$ for the degenerate \varkappa -trace

Let $\mu \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus n\mathbb{Z}$. Substitute the solutions (10.1) for the case $\varkappa = +1$ and (10.2) for the case $\varkappa = -1$ to Eqs. (8.5)–Eq. (8.6). We obtain the formula for both values of \varkappa

$$g_k^{sp^\varkappa} = -\frac{4\tau}{n} \left[\cos(t\mu) + i\mu\lambda^{-k}(\lambda^k - \varkappa e^{it}) \sin(t\mu) - \varkappa^\mu \cos \frac{2\pi k \mu}{n} \right]. \quad (11.1)$$

Introducing the new variable y instead of t

$$y := \varkappa e^{it} \quad (11.2)$$

we can rewrite Eq. (11.1) in the form

$$g_k^{sp^\varkappa} = -\frac{2\tau}{n} \varkappa^\mu \left[(y^\mu + y^{-\mu}) + \mu\lambda^{-k}(\lambda^k - y)(y^\mu - y^{-\mu}) - 2 \cos \frac{2\pi k \mu}{n} \right]$$

and Eq. (8.5) in the form

$$G_k^{sp^\varkappa} = \frac{\lambda^k y}{(y - \lambda^k)^2} g_k^{sp^\varkappa}. \quad (11.3)$$

Now we see that $G_k^{sp^\varkappa}$ are the Laurent polynomials in y with the highest degree $\leq |\mu|$ and the lowest degree $\geq 1 - |\mu|$.

Note, that the expressions (11.3) are even functions of the parameter μ , so we can assume that μ is a positive integer.

Let $\mu > 0$ in what follows.

Thus, $G_k^{sp^\varkappa}$ can be expressed in the form

$$G_k^{sp^\varkappa} = \varkappa^\mu \sum_{\ell=\mu}^{1-\mu} \beta_\ell^k y^\ell, \quad (11.4)$$

where the β_ℓ^k are constants not depending on \varkappa and not all of them equal to zero.

Eq (11.4) implies that

$$\beta_\mu^k = \frac{2\tau\mu}{n}. \quad (11.5)$$

Further, Eq. (8.2) implies

$$F_p^{sp^\varkappa} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{2m} \lambda^{-kp} G_k^{sp^\varkappa}$$

and the generating functions $F_p^{sp^\varkappa}$ have the form

$$F_p^{sp^\varkappa} = \varkappa^\mu \sum_{\ell=\mu}^{-\mu} \alpha_\ell^p y^\ell, \quad (11.6)$$

where the α_ℓ^k are constants not depending on \varkappa . Observe that $F_p^{sp^\varkappa}$ can be equal to zero for some $p \neq 0$ (e.g., if $\mu = 1$, then $F_p^{sp^\varkappa} = 0$ for each $p \neq 0$), but $F_0^{sp^\varkappa} \neq 0$ since Eq (11.5) implies $\alpha_\mu^0 = \frac{2\tau\mu}{n} \neq 0$. Eq (11.5) implies also that $\alpha_{-\mu}^0 = 0$.

12 The generating function $\mathcal{F}^{sp^\varkappa} = sp^\varkappa(\exp(t\mathfrak{s})Q_0)$ for the de-generate \varkappa -trace

Let $\mu \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus n\mathbb{Z}$ and \varkappa -trace be defined by Eq (10.1) in the case $\varkappa = +1$ and by Eq (10.2) in the case $\varkappa = -1$.

In this section we introduce the function

$$\mathcal{F}^{sp^\varkappa} := sp^\varkappa(\exp(t\mathfrak{s})Q_0)$$

and express it via $F_0^{sp^\varkappa}$.

Proposition 12.1. $\mathcal{F}^{sp^\varkappa}$ is an even function of t :

$$\mathcal{F}^{sp^\varkappa} = sp^\varkappa(\cosh(t\mathfrak{s})Q_0). \quad (12.1)$$

Indeed, $\mathcal{F}^{sp^\varkappa} = sp^\varkappa(\cosh(t\mathfrak{s})Q_0 + \sinh(t\mathfrak{s})Q_0)$ and $sp^\varkappa(\sinh(t\mathfrak{s})Q_0) = 0$ since

$$\begin{aligned} sp^\varkappa(\sinh(t\mathfrak{s})Q_0) &= sp^\varkappa((\sinh(t\mathfrak{s})L_0)L_0) = sp^\varkappa(L_0(\sinh(t\mathfrak{s})L_0)) = \\ &= sp^\varkappa((L_0 \sinh(t\mathfrak{s}))L_0) = sp^\varkappa((-\sinh(t\mathfrak{s})L_0)L_0) = sp^\varkappa(-\sinh(t\mathfrak{s})Q_0) \end{aligned}$$

Now, decompose $F_0^{sp^\varkappa}$:

$$\begin{aligned} F_0^{sp^\varkappa} &= sp^\varkappa(e^{t(\mathfrak{s}-i\mu L_0)}Q_0) = F_{even} + F_{odd} \text{ where} \\ F_{even} &= sp^\varkappa\left(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2s)!} (t(\mathfrak{s}-i\mu L_0))^{2s} Q_0\right) = sp^\varkappa\left(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2s)!} t^{2s} (\mathfrak{s}^2 - \mu^2)^s Q_0\right), \quad (12.2) \\ F_{odd} &= sp^\varkappa\left(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2s+1)!} (t(\mathfrak{s}-i\mu L_0))^{2s+1} Q_0\right) = \\ &= sp^\varkappa\left(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2s+1)!} t^{2s+1} (\mathfrak{s}^2 - \mu^2)^s (\mathfrak{s} - i\mu L_0) Q_0\right) = \\ &= sp^\varkappa\left(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2s+1)!} t^{2s+1} (\mathfrak{s}^2 - \mu^2)^s (-i\mu L_0) Q_0\right) = \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2s+1)!} t^{2s+1} (-\mu^2)^s (-i\mu) sp^\varkappa L_0 = \\ &= \sinh(-i\mu) sp^\varkappa L_0 = -\frac{\varkappa^\mu}{2} (y^\mu - y^{-\mu}) sp^\varkappa L_0. \quad (12.3) \end{aligned}$$

Eq. (11.6) implies that

$$F_{odd} = \frac{\varkappa^\mu}{2} \left(\sum_{\ell=\mu}^{-\mu} \alpha_\ell^0 y^\ell - \sum_{\ell=\mu}^{-\mu} \alpha_{-\ell}^0 y^\ell \right). \quad (12.4)$$

Comparing Eq. (12.4) with Eq. (12.3) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_\ell^0 &= \alpha_{-\ell}^0, \quad \text{if } \ell \neq \mu, \ell \neq -\mu, \\ \alpha_\mu^0 &- \alpha_{-\mu}^0 = -sp^\varkappa L_0, \end{aligned} \quad (12.5)$$

and

$$F_{even} = \frac{\varkappa^\mu}{2} \alpha_\mu^0 (y^\mu + y^{-\mu}) + \frac{\varkappa^\mu}{2} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\mu-1} \alpha_\ell^0 (y^\ell + y^{-\ell}) = \alpha_\mu^0 \cosh(it\mu) + \varkappa^\mu \sum_{\ell=0}^{\mu-1} \varkappa^\ell \alpha_\ell^0 \cosh(it\ell). \quad (12.6)$$

Proposition 12.2.

$$\mathcal{F}^{sp^\varkappa}(t) = \alpha_\mu^0 + \varkappa^\mu \sum_{\ell=0}^{\mu-1} \varkappa^\ell \alpha_\ell^0 \cosh\left(t\sqrt{\mu^2 - \ell^2}\right).$$

Proof. Taking Proposition 12.1 into account let us decompose Eq (12.1) into the Taylor series:

$$\mathcal{F}^{sp^\varkappa}(t) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} a_{2s} \frac{t^{2s}}{(2s)!},$$

where $a_{2s} := sp^\varkappa(\mathfrak{s}^{2s}Q_0)$ for $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Eq (12.2) implies

$$a_{2s} = \left(\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \mu^2 \right)^s F_{\text{even}}|_{t=0},$$

and Eq (12.6) implies

$$a_{2s} = \begin{cases} a_\mu^0 + \varkappa^\mu \sum_{\ell=0}^{\mu-1} \varkappa^\ell \alpha_\ell^0 & \text{if } s = 0 \\ \varkappa^\mu \sum_{\ell=0}^{\mu-1} \varkappa^\ell \alpha_\ell^0 (-\ell^2 + \mu^2)^s & \text{if } s \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

So

$$\mathcal{F}^{sp^\varkappa}(t) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} a_{2s} \frac{t^{2s}}{(2s)!} = \alpha_\mu^0 + \varkappa^\mu \sum_{\ell=0}^{\mu-1} \varkappa^\ell \alpha_\ell^0 \cosh\left(t\sqrt{\mu^2 - \ell^2}\right).$$

□

13 Ideals generated by degenerate \varkappa -traces

Let $\mu = z \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus n\mathbb{Z}$ and the \varkappa -trace be defined by Eq (10.1) for the case $\varkappa = +1$ and by Eq (10.2) for the case $\varkappa = -1$.

These degenerate \varkappa -traces are denoted in Theorem 10.1 by tr_z and str_z .

Denote the ideals generated by these traces sp^\varkappa by \mathcal{I}^\varkappa ; in H^0 , consider the ideals $\mathcal{I}_0^\varkappa := \mathcal{I}^\varkappa \cap H^0$.

Now we can prove Conjecture 1.1 ([5, Conjecture 9.1]):

Theorem 13.1. $\mathcal{I}^{+1} = \mathcal{I}^{-1}$.

To prove Theorem 13.1 we use Theorem 4.2 from [6] which in our case implies

Theorem 13.2. ([6, Theorem 4.2]) $\mathcal{I}^{+1} = \mathcal{I}^{-1}$ if and only if $\mathcal{I}^{+1} \cap H^0 = \mathcal{I}^{-1} \cap H^0$.

So, Theorem 13.1 follows from

Theorem 13.3. $\mathcal{I}^{+1} \cap H^0 = \mathcal{I}^{-1} \cap H^0$.

Proof. For degenerate sp^\varkappa , we established the following facts:

$$F_p^{sp^\varkappa}(t) = sp^\varkappa(e^{t\mathfrak{s}}Q_p) = \varkappa^\mu \sum_{\ell=\mu}^{-\mu} \alpha_\ell^p \varkappa^\ell e^{it\ell} \quad \text{for } p = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{sp^\varkappa}(t) = sp^\varkappa(e^{t\mathfrak{s}}Q_0) = \alpha_\mu^0 + \varkappa^\mu \sum_{\ell=0}^{\mu-1} \varkappa^\ell \alpha_\ell^0 \cosh\left(t\sqrt{\mu^2 - \ell^2}\right) \quad \text{where } \alpha_\mu^0 \neq 0,$$

and where the α -s do not depend on \varkappa .

For any $p = 1, \dots, n$, it is easy to find the lowest degree polynomial differential operators with constant coefficients $D_p^\varkappa(d/dt)$ such that $D_p^\varkappa(d/dt)F_p^{sp^\varkappa}(t) = 0$:

$$D_p^\varkappa\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) = \begin{cases} \prod_{\ell=-\mu: \alpha_\ell^p \neq 0}^{\mu} \left(\frac{d}{dt} - i\ell\right) & \text{if } F_p^{sp^\varkappa} \neq 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } F_p^{sp^\varkappa} = 0, \end{cases}$$

and $D_0^\varkappa(d/dt)$ such that $D_0^\varkappa(d/dt)\mathcal{F}^{sp^\varkappa}(t) = 0$:

$$D_0^\varkappa\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) = \frac{d}{dt} \prod_{\ell=0: \alpha_\ell^0 \neq 0}^{\mu-1} \left(\frac{d^2}{dt^2} - \mu^2 + \ell^2\right).$$

Further, it is a simple exercise to prove that

$$D_p^\varkappa(\mathfrak{s})Q_p, D_p^\varkappa(\mathfrak{s})L_{-p} \in \mathcal{I}_0^\varkappa \quad \text{for any } p = 0, \dots, n-1,$$

namely,

$$B_{sp^\varkappa}(D_p^\varkappa(\mathfrak{s})Q_p, f) = B_{sp^\varkappa}(D_p^\varkappa(\mathfrak{s})L_{-p}, f) = 0 \quad \text{for any } f \in H^0 \text{ and } p = 0, \dots, n-1.$$

Consider, for example, $B_{sp^\varkappa}(D_0^\varkappa(\mathfrak{s})Q_0, f)$ for $f = g(\mathfrak{s})Q_p$ and $f = g(\mathfrak{s})L_p$:

$$sp^\varkappa(D_0^\varkappa(\mathfrak{s})Q_0g(\mathfrak{s})Q_p) = sp^\varkappa(D_0^\varkappa(\mathfrak{s})Q_0g(\mathfrak{s})L_p) = 0 \quad \text{for } p \neq 0,$$

since $Q_0Q_p = Q_0L_p = 0$ for $p \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} sp^\varkappa(D_0^\varkappa(\mathfrak{s})Q_0g(\mathfrak{s})Q_0) &= sp^\varkappa(D_0^\varkappa(\mathfrak{s})g(\mathfrak{s})Q_0) = D_0^\varkappa\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)g\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)sp^\varkappa(e^{t\mathfrak{s}}Q_0)|_{t=0} = \\ &= g\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)D_0^\varkappa\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)\mathcal{F}^{sp^\varkappa}(t)|_{t=0} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} sp^\varkappa(D_0^\varkappa(\mathfrak{s})Q_0g(\mathfrak{s})L_0) &= sp^\varkappa(D_0^\varkappa(\mathfrak{s})g(\mathfrak{s})L_0) = D_0^\varkappa\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)g\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)sp^\varkappa(e^{t\mathfrak{s}}L_0)|_{t=0} = \\ &= g\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)D_0^\varkappa\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)sp^\varkappa(L_0) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

due to Eq (6.2) and since the operator $D_0^\varkappa\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)$ contains the factor $\frac{d}{dt}$.

Further, it is easy to see that for each of the ideals \mathcal{I}_0^\varkappa , where $\varkappa = \pm 1$, the polynomials $\phi_p^0 \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{s}]$ defined in Corollary 5.6 satisfy the relations $\phi_p^0(\mathfrak{s}) = D_p^\varkappa(\mathfrak{s})$ for $p = 0, \dots, n-1$.

So, Theorem 5.1 implies that the $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{s}]$ -span of the $D_p^\varkappa(\mathfrak{s})Q_p$ and $D_p^\varkappa(\mathfrak{s})L_{-p}$ for $p = 0, \dots, n-1$ is \mathcal{I}_0^\varkappa .

Since $D_p^{+1} = D_p^{-1}$, we have $\mathcal{I}_0^{+1} = \mathcal{I}_0^{-1}$, and as result, $\mathcal{I}^{+1} = \mathcal{I}^{-1}$. \square

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Russian Fund for Basic Research (grant No. 20-02-00193) for partial support of this work.

References

- [1] S. Bouarroudj, A. Krutov, D. Leites, I. Shchepochkina, “Non-degenerate invariant (super)symmetric bilinear forms on simple Lie (super)algebras”, *Algebras and Repr. Theory.* 21(5) (2019), 897–941; arXiv:1806.05505.
- [2] K.A. Brown, I. Gordon, “Poisson orders, symplectic reflection algebras and representation theory”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 559 (2003), 193 – 216; arXiv:math/0201042v2 [math.RT].
- [3] P. Etingof and V. Ginzburg, “Symplectic reflection algebras, Calogero–Moser space, and deformed Harish–Chandra homomorphism”, *Inv. Math.* 147 (2002), 243 – 348.

- [4] S.E. Konstein and I.V. Tyutin, “Traces on the Superalgebra of Observables of Rational Calogero Model based on the Root System”, *Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics*, 20:2 (2013), 271 – 294; arXiv:1211.6600; arXiv:math-ph/9904032.
- [5] S.E. Konstein and I.V. Tyutin, “Ideals generated by traces or by supertraces in the symplectic reflection algebra $H_{1,\nu}(I_2(2m + 1))$ ”, *Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics*, 24:3 (2017), 405-425, DOI: 10.1080/14029251.2017.1341702; arXiv:1612.00536.
- [6] S.E. Konstein and I.V. Tyutin, “Connection between the ideals generated by traces and by supertraces in the superalgebras of observables of Calogero models”, *Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics*, 27:1 (2020), 7-11, DOI: 10.1080/14029251.2020.1684005; arXiv:math-ph/1909.02781.
- [7] S.E. Konstein and R. Stekolshchik, “Klein operator and the Number of Traces and Supertraces on the Superalgebra of Observables of Rational Calogero Model based on the Root System”, *Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics*, Vol. 20:2 (2013), 295 – 308.
- [8] A. Krutov, A. Lebedev, D. Leites, I. Shchepochkina, “Non-degenerate invariant symmetric bilinear forms on simple Lie superalgebras in characteristic 2”, Oberwolfach preprint OWP 2020-02, <http://publications.mfo.de/handle/mfo/3697>.
- [9] I. Losev, “Completions of symplectic reflection algebras”, *Selecta Math.*, 18 (2012), N1, 179-251; arXiv:1001.0239v4.
- [10] D.S. Passman, *Infinite Crossed Products*, Pure and Applied Math vol. 135, Academic Press, San Diego, 1989.