

INTERTWINING PROPERTY FOR COMPRESSIONS OF MULTIPLICATION OPERATORS

M. CRISTINA CÂMARA, KAMILA KLIŚ-GARLICKA, BARTOSZ ŁANUCHA,
AND MAREK PTAK

ABSTRACT. Following Beurling's theorem the natural compressions of the multiplication operator in the classical L^2 space are compressions to model spaces and to their orthogonal complements. Two possibly different model spaces are considered hence asymmetric truncated Toeplitz and asymmetric dual truncated Toeplitz operators are investigated. The main purpose of the paper is to characterize operators which intertwine compressions of the unilateral shift.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let L^2 be the classical space of (equivalent classes of) functions on the unit circle \mathbb{T} , which are measurable and square integrable with respect to the normalized Lebesgue measure m on \mathbb{T} . Let H^2 be the Hardy space, that is, the space of those functions from L^2 , which can be extended to functions holomorphic on whole unit disk \mathbb{D} . Denote by P the natural projection from L^2 onto H^2 . For any $\varphi \in L^\infty$ define a (classical) *Toeplitz operator* with symbol φ as $T_\varphi f = P(\varphi f)$ for all $f \in H^2$. The unitary equivalence of the unilateral shift S and the Toeplitz operator T_z makes Toeplitz operators especially interesting.

Beurling's theorem characterizes all nontrivial invariant subspaces for $T_{\bar{z}}$ as $K_\theta := H^2 \ominus \theta H^2$ (these subspaces are called *model spaces*) with a nonconstant inner function θ (for a constant inner function θ we will use the convention $K_\theta = \{0\}$). Recently, compressions of Toeplitz operators to model spaces K_θ or their orthogonal complements $K_\theta^\perp = L^2 \ominus K_\theta$ were strongly investigated, see e.g. [24, 1, 9, 12, 20, 16, 23]. In what follows P_θ will denote the orthogonal projection from L^2 onto K_θ and $P_\theta^\perp = I_{L^2} - P_\theta$ from L^2 onto K_θ^\perp .

For $\varphi \in L^2$ let $M_\varphi : D(M_\varphi) \rightarrow L^2$ be the densely defined multiplication operator $M_\varphi f = \varphi f$, where $D(M_\varphi) = \{f \in L^2 : \varphi f \in L^2\}$. Note

Date: December 29, 2020.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47B35, 47B38, 47B32, 30H10.

Key words and phrases. model space, truncated Toeplitz operator, dual truncated Toeplitz operator, intertwining.

The work of the first author was partially supported by FCT/Portugal through UID/MAT/04459/2020. The research of the second and the fourth authors was financed by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Republic of Poland.

that $L^\infty \subset D(M_\varphi)$ for all $\varphi \in L^2$. Recall after [10] that $K_\theta^\infty := K_\theta \cap L^\infty$ is a dense subset of K_θ . The space $K_\theta^\perp \cap L^\infty$ is also a dense subset of K_θ^\perp as it was observed in [5]. For nonconstant inner functions θ, α and for $\varphi \in L^2$ define

$$A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} = P_\alpha M_{\varphi|_{K_\theta \cap L^\infty}}, \quad B_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} = P_\alpha^\perp M_{\varphi|_{K_\theta \cap L^\infty}} \quad \text{and} \quad D_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} = P_\alpha^\perp M_{\varphi|_{K_\theta^\perp \cap L^\infty}}.$$

If $A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha}$ extends to the whole K_θ as a bounded operator, it is called an *asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operator* (ATTO, see [7, 8, 3, 17]). Similarly, if $B_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha}$ extends to a bounded operator from K_θ to K_α^\perp , it is called a *big asymmetric truncated Hankel operator* (ATHO, see [20, 5]), and if $D_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha}$ extends to the whole K_θ^\perp as a bounded operator, it is called an *asymmetric dual truncated Toeplitz operator* (ADTTO, see [4, 5]). It is easy to verify that $(A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha})^* = A_\varphi^{\alpha, \theta}$, $(D_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha})^* = D_\varphi^{\alpha, \theta}$ and $(B_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha})^* = P_\theta M_{\varphi|_{K_\alpha \cap L^\infty}}$. Let us fix the notation

$$\mathcal{T}(K_\theta, K_\alpha) = \{A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} : \varphi \in L^2 \text{ and } A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} \text{ is bounded}\},$$

$$\mathcal{T}(K_\theta, K_\alpha^\perp) = \{B_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} : \varphi \in L^2 \text{ and } B_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} \text{ is bounded}\},$$

$$\mathcal{T}(K_\theta^\perp, K_\alpha^\perp) = \{D_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} : \varphi \in L^2 \text{ and } D_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} \text{ is bounded}\}.$$

In case $\theta = \alpha$ we will use the shorter notation A_φ^θ , B_φ^θ , D_φ^θ and $\mathcal{T}(K_\theta)$ and $\mathcal{T}(K_\theta, K_\theta^\perp)$, $\mathcal{T}(K_\theta^\perp)$, respectively.

Since the unilateral shift S is unitarily equivalent to the Toeplitz operator T_z we are able to describe the commutant of the unilateral shift as

$$\{S\}' = \{T_z\}' = \{T_\varphi : \varphi \in H^\infty := L^\infty \cap H^2\}.$$

Now considering the compressions A_z^θ and D_z^θ , it is natural to try to describe the commutants $\{A_z^\theta\}'$ and $\{D_z^\theta\}'$. In the more general asymmetric setting we are searching for all operators intertwining A_z^θ and A_z^α in the case of two model spaces or searching for all operators intertwining D_z^θ and D_z^α in the case of orthogonal complements of two model spaces, i.e., we try to describe the following sets of operators

$$\mathcal{I}(K_\theta, K_\alpha) = \{B \in \mathcal{B}(K_\theta, K_\alpha) : A_z^\alpha B = B A_z^\theta\},$$

$$\mathcal{I}(K_\theta^\perp, K_\alpha^\perp) = \{B \in \mathcal{B}(K_\theta^\perp, K_\alpha^\perp) : D_z^\alpha B = B D_z^\theta\}.$$

Section 3 is devoted to characterization of operators intertwining A_z^α and A_z^θ . Such characterization (but less precise) was given in [2] (Theorem III.1.16). However, the proof there is based on the commutant lifting theorem. Here we give a more basic proof.

In Section 4 we investigate functional calculus for asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operators.

In Section 5, Theorem 5.2, we characterize those asymmetric dual truncated Toeplitz operators which intertwine D_z^α and D_z^θ . In Section 5 we give a necessary and sufficient condition for an operator from $\mathcal{B}(K_\theta^\perp, K_\alpha^\perp)$ to intertwine D_z^α and D_z^θ (Theorem 6.3). Our results from Sections 4 and 5 were obtained as new even in the symmetric case

$\theta = \alpha$. However, this special case was considered in the very recent papers [14, 18].

2. OPERATORS WITH ANALYTIC SYMBOLS

Recall the standard notations for a nonconstant inner function θ . Namely $k_0^\theta = P_\theta 1 = 1 - \overline{\theta(0)}\theta$. We also set $S_\theta = A_z^\theta$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let α, θ be nonconstant inner functions and let $\varphi \in H^\infty$.*

- (1) *If $\alpha \leq \theta$ (i.e., α divides θ), then $(A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha})^* = A_\varphi^{\alpha, \theta} = T_{\overline{\varphi}|K_\alpha}$.*
- (2) *$A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} = 0$ if and only if $\varphi \in \alpha H^\infty$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that $(A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha})^* = A_\varphi^{\alpha, \theta} = P_\theta T_{\overline{\varphi}|K_\alpha}$. Since $K_\alpha \subset K_\theta$ is invariant for $T_{\overline{\varphi}}$, we get $P_\theta T_{\overline{\varphi}|K_\alpha} = T_{\overline{\varphi}|K_\alpha}$. Hence (1) follows.

Part (2) follows from [17, Thm 2.1]. \square

Corollary 2.2. *Let α, θ be nonconstant inner functions and $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in H^\infty$. Then*

$$A_{\varphi_1}^{\theta, \alpha} = A_{\varphi_2}^{\theta, \alpha} \text{ if and only if } \varphi_1 - \varphi_2 \in \alpha H^\infty.$$

Let $\mathcal{A}(\theta, \alpha)$ denote the set of all bounded asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operators in $\mathcal{B}(K_\theta, K_\alpha)$ with analytic symbols, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{A}(\theta, \alpha) = \{A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} \in \mathcal{T}(K_\theta, K_\alpha) : \varphi \in H^2\}.$$

Remark 2.3. If $A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} \in \mathcal{A}(\theta, \alpha)$, then by [17, Corollary 2.6] there is $\psi \in K_\alpha$ such that $A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} = A_\psi^{\theta, \alpha}$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(K_\theta, K_\alpha)$. Then the following are equivalent*

- (1) $A \in \mathcal{A}(\theta, \alpha)$;
- (2) *there is $\psi \in K_\alpha$ such that $A - S_\alpha A S_\alpha^* = \psi \otimes k_0^\theta$;*
- (3) $\langle A S^* f, S^* g \rangle = \langle A f, g \rangle$ for all $f \in K_\theta, g \in K_\alpha$ such that $f(0) = 0$.

Proof. It follows from [15, Theorem 2.1] and its proof that if A satisfies (2), then $A = A_\psi^{\theta, \alpha} \in \mathcal{A}(\theta, \alpha)$. On the other hand, if $A \in \mathcal{A}(\theta, \alpha)$, then, by Remark 2.3, we have $A = A_\psi^{\theta, \alpha}$ with $\psi \in K_\alpha$. By [15, Lemma 2.2],

$$A - S_\alpha A S_\alpha^* = (\psi - \psi(0)k_0^\alpha) \otimes k_0^\theta + k_0^\alpha \otimes (\overline{\psi(0)}k_0^\theta) = \psi \otimes k_0^\theta.$$

Thus (1) and (2) are equivalent.

Assume now that

$$A - S_\alpha A S_\alpha^* = \psi \otimes k_0^\theta.$$

Then for $f \in K_\theta, g \in K_\alpha$ such that $f(0) = 0$ it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A f, g \rangle - \langle A S^* f, S^* g \rangle &= \langle A f, g \rangle - \langle A S_\alpha^* f, S_\alpha^* g \rangle = \langle (A - S_\alpha A S_\alpha^*) f, g \rangle \\ &= \langle (\psi \otimes k_0^\theta) f, g \rangle = \langle f, k_0^\theta \rangle \langle \psi, g \rangle = f(0) \langle \psi, g \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (2) \Rightarrow (3).

To prove the implication (3) \Rightarrow (2) define $B = A - S_\alpha A S_\theta^*$. Then by (3), for any $c \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$0 = B(I_{K_\theta} - ck_0^\theta \otimes k_0^\theta) = B - (cBk_0^\theta) \otimes k_0^\theta.$$

and (2) follows since $cBk_0^\theta \in K_\alpha$. \square

3. INTERTWINING WITH RESTRICTED SHIFTS

Let α, θ be nonconstant inner functions. Denote by $\text{lcm}(\alpha, \theta)$ and by $\text{gcd}(\alpha, \theta)$ the least common multiplier and the greatest common divisor of θ and α , respectively.

Recall that $\mathcal{I}(K_\theta, K_\alpha)$ is the set of all operators $A \in \mathcal{B}(K_\theta, K_\alpha)$ which intertwine S_α and S_θ , i.e.,

$$(3.1) \quad S_\alpha A = A S_\theta.$$

Remark 3.1. Note that the operators satisfying (3.1) were studied and characterized in [2] (see Theorem III.1.16 and Proposition III.1.21 in [2]). However, the proof there is based on the commutant lifting theorem. Below we obtain a characterization of these operators using more basic methods (Theorem 3.9).

To describe $\mathcal{I}(K_\theta, K_\alpha)$ we start with the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let α, θ be nonconstant inner functions. Then*

$$(3.2) \quad \alpha K_\theta \cap \theta K_\alpha = \text{lcm}(\alpha, \theta) K_{\text{gcd}(\alpha, \theta)}.$$

Proof. Let $\gamma = \text{gcd}(\alpha, \theta)$, $\eta = \text{lcm}(\alpha, \theta)$ and put $\alpha_1 = \frac{\alpha}{\gamma}$, $\theta_1 = \frac{\theta}{\gamma}$. Since α_1, θ_1 are relatively prime (have no nonconstant common divisor), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha K_\theta \cap \theta K_\alpha &= \alpha(K_{\theta_1} \oplus \theta_1 K_\gamma) \cap \theta(K_{\alpha_1} \oplus \alpha_1 K_\gamma) = \\ &= (\alpha K_{\theta_1} \oplus \frac{\alpha\theta}{\gamma} K_\gamma) \cap (\theta K_{\alpha_1} \oplus \frac{\alpha\theta}{\gamma} K_\gamma) \\ &= \gamma(\alpha_1 K_{\theta_1} \cap \theta_1 K_{\alpha_1}) \oplus \eta K_\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Let $f \in \alpha_1 K_{\theta_1} \cap \theta_1 K_{\alpha_1}$. Then $f = \alpha_1 f_1 = \theta_1 f_2$, where $f_1 \in K_{\theta_1}$, $f_2 \in K_{\alpha_1}$. Since α_1, θ_1 are relatively prime, then $f_1 = \theta_1 f_1'$ with $f_1' \in H^2$. This is possible only if $f = f_1 = 0$, which means that $\alpha_1 K_{\theta_1} \cap \theta_1 K_{\alpha_1} = \{0\}$. \square

Example 3.3. Take $a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ and let $\theta(z) = z\varphi_a(z) = z\frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a}z}$, $\alpha(z) = z^2$. Then $\gamma(z) = z$ and

$$\alpha K_\theta \cap \theta K_\alpha = z^2 \varphi_a K_z = z^2 \varphi_a \mathbb{C}.$$

Corollary 3.4. *Let α, θ be nonconstant inner functions such that $\alpha \leq \theta$. Then $\alpha K_\theta \cap \theta K_\alpha = \theta K_\alpha$.*

Corollary 3.5. *Let α, θ be nonconstant relatively prime inner functions. Then $\alpha K_\theta \cap \theta K_\alpha = \{0\}$.*

Observe that as in [24], if $A \in \mathcal{I}(K_\theta, K_\alpha)$, then

$$(3.3) \quad A - S_\alpha A S_\theta^* = A(I_{K_\theta} - S_\theta S_\theta^*) = (A k_0^\theta) \otimes k_0^\theta,$$

and by [15, Theorem 2.1], $A \in \mathcal{T}(K_\theta, K_\alpha)$. Therefore we have that $\mathcal{I}(K_\theta, K_\alpha) \subset \mathcal{T}(K_\theta, K_\alpha)$. To determine which $A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha}$ belong to $\mathcal{I}(K_\theta, K_\alpha)$ we will study the difference

$$S_\alpha A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} - A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} S_\theta.$$

Recall firstly that for any inner θ the operator $C_\theta : L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ defined by

$$C_\theta f(z) = \theta \overline{z f(z)}$$

is an antilinear isometry such that $C_\theta^2 = I_{L^2}$. Moreover, C_θ preserves K_θ and maps θH^2 onto $\overline{z H^2}$ and vice versa [10, 11]. We will use the notation \tilde{k}_0^θ for $C_\theta k_0^\theta$.

Let $f \in K_\theta^\infty$ and $g \in K_\alpha^\infty$. Since $P_\alpha = P - M_\alpha P M_\alpha$ on L^2 the following holds

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_\alpha A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} f, g \rangle &= \langle S_\alpha P_\alpha(\varphi f), g \rangle = \langle z P_\alpha(\varphi f), g \rangle \\ &= \langle z P(\varphi f), g \rangle - \langle z \alpha P_\alpha(\bar{\alpha} \varphi f), g \rangle \\ &= \langle \varphi f, P(\bar{z} g) \rangle = \langle \varphi f, \bar{z} g - \bar{z} g(0) \rangle \\ &= \langle \varphi z f, g \rangle - \langle f, P_\theta(\bar{z} \bar{\varphi}) \rangle \langle k_0^\alpha, g \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $S_\theta f = z f - \langle f, \tilde{k}_0^\theta \rangle \theta$, we have

$$\langle A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} S_\theta f, g \rangle = \langle \varphi z f, g \rangle - \langle f, \tilde{k}_0^\theta \rangle \langle \varphi \theta, g \rangle.$$

Therefore we have the following relation

$$(3.4) \quad S_\alpha A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} - A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} S_\theta = P_\alpha(\varphi \theta) \otimes \tilde{k}_0^\theta - k_0^\alpha \otimes P_\theta(\bar{z} \bar{\varphi})$$

(compare with [15, Corollary 2.4(d)]).

Lemma 3.6. *The right hand side of (3.4) cancels, i.e.,*

$$(3.5) \quad P_\alpha(\varphi \theta) \otimes \tilde{k}_0^\theta = k_0^\alpha \otimes P_\theta(\bar{z} \bar{\varphi})$$

if and only if there is $c \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $(\varphi \theta - c) \perp K_{\text{lcm}(\alpha, \theta)}$.

Proof. Note that (3.5) holds if and only if there is $c \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$P_\alpha(\varphi \theta) = c k_0^\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad P_\theta(\bar{z} \bar{\varphi}) = \bar{c} \tilde{k}_0^\theta.$$

The first condition is equivalent to $P_\alpha(\varphi \theta - c) = 0$, which means that $(\varphi \theta - c) \perp K_\alpha$. The second condition is equivalent to

$$P_\theta(\varphi \theta) = P_\theta(C_\theta(\bar{z} \bar{\varphi})) = C_\theta P_\theta(\bar{z} \bar{\varphi}) = C_\theta(\bar{c} \tilde{k}_0^\theta) = c k_0^\theta,$$

which, similarly as above, gives that $(\varphi \theta - c) \perp K_\theta$. Hence (3.5) holds if and only if

$$(\varphi \theta - c) \perp \text{span}\{K_\alpha, K_\theta\} = K_{\text{lcm}(\alpha, \theta)}$$

(see [10, Corollary 5.9]). □

Observe that if $\varphi \in L^2$, then $(\varphi\theta - c) \perp K_{\text{lcm}(\alpha, \theta)}$ (for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$) if and only if

$$(\varphi\theta - c) \in \overline{zH^2} + \text{lcm}(\alpha, \theta)H^2,$$

or, equivalently,

$$\varphi \in \bar{\theta}\mathbb{C} + \overline{\theta zH^2} + \bar{\theta} \text{lcm}(\alpha, \theta)H^2.$$

Note that the set on the right hand side is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\theta H^2} + \bar{\theta} \text{lcm}(\alpha, \theta)H^2 &= \overline{\theta H^2} + \frac{\alpha}{\text{gcd}(\alpha, \theta)}H^2 \\ &= \overline{\theta H^2} + \alpha H^2 + \frac{\alpha}{\text{gcd}(\alpha, \theta)}K_{\text{gcd}(\alpha, \theta)}. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.7. *Let $\varphi \in L^2$. Then*

$$S_\alpha A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} - A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} S_\theta = 0$$

if and only if $\varphi \in \overline{\theta H^2} + \alpha H^2 + \frac{\alpha}{\text{gcd}(\alpha, \theta)}K_{\text{gcd}(\alpha, \theta)}$.

Corollary 3.8. *Every operator $A \in \mathcal{I}(K_\theta, K_\alpha)$ has a symbol from $\frac{\alpha}{\text{gcd}(\alpha, \theta)}K_{\text{gcd}(\alpha, \theta)}$.*

Theorem 3.9. *Let α, θ be nonconstant inner functions and let $A \in \mathcal{B}(K_\theta, K_\alpha)$. Then $S_\alpha A = AS_\theta$ if and only if $A \in \mathcal{T}(K_\theta, K_\alpha)$ and $A = A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha}$ with $\varphi \in \frac{\alpha}{\text{gcd}(\alpha, \theta)}K_{\text{gcd}(\alpha, \theta)}$.*

Remark 3.10. The consequence of [2, Theorem III.1.16] is that there is $\varphi \in \frac{\alpha}{\text{gcd}(\alpha, \theta)}H^\infty$. Here we will show that φ is in the smaller space but we can not guarantee that it is bounded. Moreover in the proof below we will not use the commutant lifting theorem.

Proof. If $S_\alpha A = AS_\theta$, then by (3.3) and [15, Theorem 2.1], $A \in \mathcal{T}(K_\theta, K_\alpha)$ and $A = A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha}$ with $\varphi = Ak_0^\theta \in K_\alpha$. By Corollary 3.7, $\varphi \in \overline{\theta H^2} + \alpha H^2 + \frac{\alpha}{\text{gcd}(\alpha, \theta)}K_{\text{gcd}(\alpha, \theta)}$ and since θ is nonconstant, it follows that

$$\varphi \in K_\alpha \cap \left(\overline{\theta H^2} + \alpha H^2 + \frac{\alpha}{\text{gcd}(\alpha, \theta)}K_{\text{gcd}(\alpha, \theta)} \right) = \frac{\alpha}{\text{gcd}(\alpha, \theta)}K_{\text{gcd}(\alpha, \theta)}.$$

On the other hand, if $A = A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} \in \mathcal{T}(\theta, \alpha)$ with $\varphi \in \frac{\alpha}{\text{gcd}(\alpha, \theta)}K_{\text{gcd}(\alpha, \theta)}$, then $S_\alpha A = AS_\theta$ by Corollary 3.7. \square

Corollary 3.11. *Let α, θ be nonconstant inner functions. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(K_\theta, K_\alpha)$. Then $S_\alpha A = AS_\theta$ if and only if $A \in \mathcal{T}(\theta, \alpha)$ and*

- (1) *if $\alpha \leq \theta$, then $A = A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha}$ has a symbol from K_α ,*
- (2) *if $\alpha \geq \theta$, then $A = A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha}$ has a symbol from $\frac{\alpha}{\theta}K_\theta$,*
- (3) *if $\alpha = \theta$, then $A = A_\varphi^\theta$ has a symbol from K_θ .*

Corollary 3.12. *Let α, θ be nonconstant relatively prime inner functions. Then the only operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(K_\theta, K_\alpha)$ fulfilling $S_\alpha A = AS_\theta$ is the zero operator.*

Corollary 3.13. *Let α, θ be nonconstant inner functions and let $A \in \mathcal{B}(K_\theta, K_\alpha)$. Then $S_\alpha^* A = AS_\theta^*$ if and only if $A \in \mathcal{T}(K_\theta, K_\alpha)$ and $A = A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha}$ with $\varphi \in \frac{\alpha}{\gcd(\alpha, \theta)} K_{\gcd(\alpha, \theta)}$.*

In his unpublished paper [13], C. Gu investigated operators on K_θ intertwining S_θ and S_θ^* . Let us observe here that for $B \in \mathcal{B}(K_\theta, K_\alpha)$ the equation

$$(3.6) \quad S_\alpha B - BS_\theta^* = 0$$

can be equivalently expressed as

$$S_{\alpha^\#} A - AS_\theta^* = 0,$$

where $A = J^\# BC_\theta \in \mathcal{B}(K_\theta, K_{\alpha^\#})$ and $J^\# : L^2 \rightarrow L^2$,

$$J^\# f(z) = f^\#(z) = \overline{f(\bar{z})}.$$

Indeed, this follows easily from the fact that $J^\#$ is a conjugation which maps K_α onto $K_{\alpha^\#}$, $J^\# S_{\alpha^\#} J^\# = S_\alpha$ (see [10] or [15]) and S_θ is C_θ -symmetric, i.e., $S_\theta^* = C_\theta S_\theta C_\theta$.

Now, by Theorem 3.9, $B \in \mathcal{B}(K_\theta, K_\alpha)$ satisfies (3.6) if and only if $J^\# BC_\theta \in \mathcal{T}(K_\theta, K_{\alpha^\#})$, $J^\# BC_\theta = A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha^\#}$ with $\varphi \in \frac{\alpha^\#}{\gcd(\alpha^\#, \theta)} K_{\gcd(\alpha^\#, \theta)}$. In other words, B satisfies (3.6) if and only if

$$B = J^\# A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha^\#} C_\theta = P_\alpha J(I - P) M_{\psi|_{K_\theta}}$$

(here $Jf(z) = \bar{z}f(\bar{z})$) with

$$\psi = \overline{\theta\varphi} \in \overline{\text{lcm}(\alpha^\#, \theta) \cdot K_{\gcd(\alpha^\#, \theta)}}$$

(see [15, Proposition 3.2(f)]). In [13], the operators of such form as above were called truncated Hankel operators (see also [15] and [19]). The result thus obtained is a generalization of Corollary 5.2(a) from [13].

Corollary 3.14. *Let α, θ be nonconstant inner functions and let $B \in \mathcal{B}(K_\theta, K_\alpha)$. Then $S_\alpha B = BS_\theta^*$ if and only if $B = P_\alpha J(I - P) M_{\psi|_{K_\theta}}$ with $\psi \in \overline{\text{lcm}(\alpha^\#, \theta) \cdot K_{\gcd(\alpha^\#, \theta)}}$.*

It is also not difficult to verify that $B \in \mathcal{B}(K_\theta, K_\alpha)$ satisfies

$$(3.7) \quad S_\alpha^* B - BS_\theta = 0$$

if and only if $C_\alpha BC_\theta$ satisfies (3.6), that is $B = C_\alpha J^\# A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha^\#}$ with $\varphi \in \frac{\alpha^\#}{\gcd(\alpha^\#, \theta)} K_{\gcd(\alpha^\#, \theta)}$. Using [15, Proposition 3.2(b)] we obtain

Corollary 3.15. *Let α, θ be nonconstant inner functions and let $B \in \mathcal{B}(K_\theta, K_\alpha)$. Then $S_\alpha^* B = BS_\theta$ if and only if $B = P_\alpha J(I - P) M_{\psi|_{K_\theta}}$ with $\psi \in \overline{\gcd(\alpha^\#, \theta) K_{\gcd(\alpha^\#, \theta)}} = \overline{z} \overline{K_{\gcd(\alpha^\#, \theta)}}$.*

4. FUNCTIONAL CALCULUS FOR ATTO'S

Since $A_z^{\theta, \alpha}$ is a completely non-unitary contraction we can refer to B. Sz.-Nagy–Foias functional calculus [21, Theorem III.2.1].

The weak* topology (ultraweak topology) in $\mathcal{B}(K_\theta, K_\alpha)$ is given by trace class operators of the form $t = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n \otimes g_n$ with $f_n \in K_\theta, g_n \in K_\alpha$

such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|f_n\|^2 < \infty, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|g_n\|^2 < \infty$. Denote by $\mathcal{B}_1(K_\theta, K_\alpha)$ the

space of all such trace class operators. Note that if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n \otimes g_n \in$

$\mathcal{B}_1(K_\theta, K_\alpha)$, then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n \bar{g}_n \in L^1$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let α, θ be nonconstant inner functions. The mapping $\Phi: L^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(K_\theta, K_\alpha)$, where $\Phi(\varphi) = A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha}$, is a linear contractive weak* – weak*(WOT) continuous homomorphism.*

Proof. The linearity is trivial. The contractivity follows from the inequalities:

$$(4.1) \quad \|\Phi(\varphi)\| = \|P_\alpha T_{\varphi|_{K_\theta}}\| \leq \|P_\alpha T_\varphi\| \leq \|T_\varphi\| \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty.$$

To show continuity of Φ let us take $u_\beta \rightarrow u$ in the weak* topology in L^∞ . Then for any $t = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n \otimes g_n \in \mathcal{B}_1(K_\theta, K_\alpha)$ we have

$$\langle \Phi(u_\beta), t \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{T}} u_\beta \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n \bar{g}_n dm \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{T}} u \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n \bar{g}_n dm = \langle \Phi(u), t \rangle.$$

Continuity in WOT topology can be proved similarly. \square

Recall that weak* topology on $H^\infty \subset L^\infty$ is given by the duality of L^∞ to L^1 ($(L^1)^* = L^\infty$). Denote by H_0^1 the subspace of $H^1 \subset L^1$ containing functions vanishing at zero. Recall that the dual to H^∞ is isomorphic to L^1/H_0^1 since $H^\infty = (H_0^1)^\perp$. Note that the following subspaces $\alpha H^\infty \subset \frac{\alpha}{\gcd(\alpha, \theta)} H^\infty \subset H^\infty \subset L^\infty$ are weak* closed and

$$\alpha H^\infty = (\bar{\alpha} H_0^1)^\perp, \quad \frac{\alpha}{\gcd(\alpha, \theta)} H^\infty = \left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\gcd(\alpha, \theta)} H_0^1 \right)^\perp.$$

As a consequence of Hahn–Banach theorem we get

$$\left(\bar{\alpha} H_0^1 / \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\gcd(\alpha, \theta)} H_0^1 \right)^* = \frac{\alpha}{\gcd(\alpha, \theta)} H^\infty / \alpha H^\infty$$

and the equality is up to isometric isomorphism. The duality is given by the bilinear form

$$(4.2) \quad \left\langle \frac{\alpha}{\gcd(\alpha, \theta)} \varphi + \alpha H^\infty, \bar{\alpha} f + \frac{\alpha}{\gcd(\alpha, \theta)} H_0^1 \right\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\alpha}{\gcd(\alpha, \theta)} \varphi \bar{\alpha} f \, dm \\ = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi f \overline{\gcd(\alpha, \theta)} \, dm, \quad \varphi \in H^\infty, f \in H_0^1.$$

On the other hand, the space $\frac{\alpha}{\gcd(\alpha, \theta)} H^\infty / \alpha H^\infty$ is isomorphic to $\frac{\alpha}{\gcd(\alpha, \theta)} \left(H^\infty / \gcd(\alpha, \theta) H^\infty \right)$ and also $\bar{\alpha} H_0^1 / \frac{\alpha}{\gcd(\alpha, \theta)} H_0^1$ is isomorphic to $\frac{\alpha}{\gcd(\alpha, \theta)} \left(\gcd(\alpha, \theta) H_0^1 / H_0^1 \right)$. Similarly as above,

$$\left(\overline{\gcd(\alpha, \theta)} H_0^1 / H_0^1 \right)^* = H^\infty / \gcd(\alpha, \theta) H^\infty.$$

Hence

$$(4.3) \quad \left(\frac{\alpha}{\gcd(\alpha, \theta)} \left(\overline{\gcd(\alpha, \theta)} H_0^1 / H_0^1 \right) \right)^* = \frac{\alpha}{\gcd(\alpha, \theta)} \left(H^\infty / \gcd(\alpha, \theta) H^\infty \right).$$

The duality here is given by the bilinear form

$$(4.4) \quad \left\langle \frac{\alpha}{\gcd(\alpha, \theta)} (\varphi + \gcd(\alpha, \theta) H^\infty), \frac{\alpha}{\gcd(\alpha, \theta)} (\overline{\gcd(\alpha, \theta)} f + H_0^1) \right\rangle \\ = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi f \overline{\gcd(\alpha, \theta)} \, dm, \quad \varphi \in H^\infty, f \in H_0^1.$$

Hence it coincides with (4.2).

Theorem 4.2. *Let α, θ be nonconstant inner functions and let $X \in \mathcal{I}(K_\theta, K_\alpha)$. Then there is $\varphi \in \frac{\alpha}{\gcd(\alpha, \theta)} H^\infty$ such that $X = A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha}$. Moreover, we have*

$$(4.5) \quad \|X\| = \text{dist}(\varphi, \alpha H^\infty) \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty.$$

Let Φ be as in Proposition 4.1, π be the quotient map $\pi: \frac{\alpha}{\gcd(\alpha, \theta)} H^\infty \rightarrow \frac{\alpha}{\gcd(\alpha, \theta)} H^\infty / \alpha H^\infty$ and ι_1, ι_2 be the natural inclusions. Then there is an isometry

$$\hat{\Phi}: \frac{\alpha}{\gcd(\alpha, \theta)} H^\infty / \alpha H^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(K_\theta, K_\alpha), \quad [\varphi] \mapsto A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha},$$

such that the following diagram is commuting, i.e., $\iota_2 \circ \hat{\Phi} \circ \pi = \Phi \circ \iota_1$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \frac{\alpha}{\gcd(\alpha, \theta)} H^\infty & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \frac{\alpha}{\gcd(\alpha, \theta)} H^\infty / \alpha H^\infty & \xrightarrow{\hat{\Phi}} & \mathcal{I}(K_\theta, K_\alpha) \\ & \searrow \iota_1 & & & \swarrow \iota_2 \\ & & L^\infty & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathcal{T}(K_\theta, K_\alpha) \end{array}$$

The map $\hat{\Phi}$ is continuous with respect to the weak* topology in both spaces.

Proof. The existence of $\varphi \in \frac{\alpha}{\gcd(\alpha, \theta)} H^\infty$ for a given $X \in \mathcal{I}(K_\theta, K_\alpha)$ such that $X = A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha}$ follows from [2, Theorem III.1.16], see also Remark 3.10. The inequalities in (4.5) follow from Corollary 2.2 with the same argument as in [10, Theorem 10.9], where the case $\alpha = \theta$ was considered. This gives the isometric behaviour of $\hat{\Phi}$. Moreover,

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \iota_2 \circ \hat{\Phi} \circ \pi \left(\frac{\alpha}{\gcd(K_\theta, K_\alpha)} \varphi \right) &= \hat{\Phi} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\gcd(K_\theta, K_\alpha)} \varphi + \alpha H^\infty \right) \\ &= A_{\frac{\alpha}{\gcd(K_\theta, K_\alpha)} \varphi}^{\theta, \alpha} = \Phi \left(\frac{\alpha}{\gcd(K_\theta, K_\alpha)} \varphi \right) = \Phi \circ \iota_1 \left(\frac{\alpha}{\gcd(K_\theta, K_\alpha)} \varphi \right). \end{aligned}$$

Continuity of $\hat{\Phi}$ is a consequence of the fact that weak* topology on $\frac{\alpha}{\gcd(\alpha, \theta)} H^\infty / \alpha H^\infty$ is given by the quotient of weak* topology on $\frac{\alpha}{\gcd(\alpha, \theta)} H^\infty$ as a restriction of weak* topology on H^∞ . \square

In the case when $\alpha \leq \theta$ we have that

$$\hat{\Phi}: H^\infty / \alpha H^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(K_\theta, K_\alpha)$$

and $\Phi(\varphi) = \hat{\Phi}([\varphi]) = A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha}$ for $\varphi \in H^\infty$. Hence by [2, Theorem III.1.16] and Theorem 4.2 we have the following:

Corollary 4.3. *Let α, θ be nonconstant inner functions such that $\alpha \leq \theta$. Then*

- (1) $\mathcal{A}(K_\theta, K_\alpha) = \mathcal{I}(K_\theta, K_\alpha)$,
- (2) $\hat{\Phi}: H^\infty / \alpha H^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(K_\theta, K_\alpha)$ is a homeomorphism with respect to the corresponding weak* topologies,
- (3) The weak* topology and the weak operator topology coincide on $\mathcal{I}(K_\theta, K_\alpha)$.

5. INTERTWINING PROPERTY FOR ASYMMETRIC DUAL TRUNCATED TOEPLITZ OPERATORS

Let θ, α be nonconstant inner functions. For $\varphi \in L^2$ we can consider the densely defined multiplication operator

$$M_\varphi: (K_\theta \cap L^\infty) \oplus (K_\theta^\perp \cap L^\infty) \rightarrow K_\alpha \oplus K_\alpha^\perp.$$

According to this decomposition the operator M_φ is given by the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_\alpha M_{\varphi|K_\theta} & P_\alpha M_{\varphi|K_\theta^\perp} \\ P_\alpha^\perp M_{\varphi|K_\theta} & P_\alpha^\perp M_{\varphi|K_\theta^\perp} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} & (B_{\bar{\varphi}}^{\alpha, \theta})^* \\ B_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} & D_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} \end{bmatrix}.$$

One can easily calculate that $M_\theta C_\alpha M_\varphi = M_\alpha M_{\bar{\varphi}} C_\theta$, that is

$$(5.1) \quad C_\alpha M_\varphi = M_{\alpha \bar{\varphi}} C_\theta.$$

Writing that using matrices we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} C_{\alpha|K_\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & C_{\alpha|K_\alpha^\perp} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} & (B_{\bar{\varphi}}^{\alpha, \theta})^* \\ B_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} & D_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{\alpha \bar{\varphi}}^{\theta, \alpha} & (B_{\bar{\alpha \varphi \theta}}^{\alpha, \theta})^* \\ B_{\alpha \bar{\varphi}}^{\theta, \alpha} & D_{\alpha \bar{\varphi}}^{\theta, \alpha} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C_{\theta|K_\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & C_{\theta|K_\theta^\perp} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus we have the following

Lemma 5.1. *Let α, θ be inner functions and $\varphi \in L^2$. Then*

- (1) $C_\alpha A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} g = A_{\alpha \bar{\varphi} \theta}^{\theta, \alpha} C_\theta g$ for $g \in K_\theta \cap L^\infty$;
- (2) $C_\alpha D_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} h = D_{\alpha \bar{\varphi} \theta}^{\theta, \alpha} C_\theta h$ for $h \in K_\theta^\perp \cap L^\infty$;
- (3) $C_\alpha (B_\varphi^{\alpha, \theta})^* h = (B_{\alpha \bar{\varphi} \theta}^{\alpha, \theta})^* C_\theta h$ for $h \in K_\theta^\perp \cap L^\infty$;
- (4) $C_\alpha B_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} g = B_{\alpha \bar{\varphi} \theta}^{\theta, \alpha} C_\theta g$ for $g \in K_\theta \cap L^\infty$.

Recall that an asymmetric dual truncated Toeplitz operator is bounded if and only if it has a bounded symbol (see [4, Proposition 1.1]). We therefore have

$$\mathcal{T}(K_\theta^\perp, K_\alpha^\perp) = \{D_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} : \varphi \in L^\infty\}.$$

The following result describes $\mathcal{T}(K_\theta^\perp, K_\alpha^\perp) \cap \mathcal{I}(K_\theta^\perp, K_\alpha^\perp)$.

Theorem 5.2. *Let α, θ be nonconstant inner functions and $\varphi \in L^\infty$, $\varphi \neq 0$. Then $D_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} D_z^\theta = D_z^\alpha D_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha}$ if and only if one of the following holds*

- (1) $\alpha(0) = 0 = \theta(0)$ and $\varphi \in \frac{\alpha}{\gcd(\alpha, \theta)} K_{z \cdot \gcd(\alpha, \theta)}$, or
- (2) $\alpha = \theta$ and $\varphi \in (k_0^\theta)^{-1} K_{z\theta}$.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in L^\infty \setminus \{0\}$. The commutation relation $M_\varphi M_z = M_z M_\varphi$ can be written as

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} & (B_\varphi^{\alpha, \theta})^* \\ B_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} & D_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_z^\theta & (B_z^\theta)^* \\ B_z^\theta & D_z^\theta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_z^\alpha & (B_z^\alpha)^* \\ B_z^\alpha & D_z^\alpha \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} & (B_\varphi^{\alpha, \theta})^* \\ B_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} & D_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus we have

$$B_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} (B_z^\theta)^* + D_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} D_z^\theta = B_z^\alpha (B_\varphi^{\alpha, \theta})^* + D_z^\alpha D_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha}.$$

Clearly,

$$B_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} k_0^\theta = P_\alpha^\perp(\varphi k_0^\theta)$$

and, by Lemma 5.1(4),

$$B_\varphi^{\alpha, \theta} \tilde{k}_0^\alpha = B_\varphi^{\alpha, \theta} C_\alpha k_0^\alpha = C_\theta B_{\theta \bar{\alpha} \varphi}^{\alpha, \theta} k_0^\alpha = C_\theta P_\theta^\perp(\theta \bar{\alpha} \varphi k_0^\alpha).$$

Therefore, by [5, Corollary 7], we get

$$\begin{aligned} D_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} D_z^\theta - D_z^\alpha D_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} &= B_z^\alpha (B_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha})^* - B_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} (B_z^\theta)^* \\ &= (\alpha \otimes \tilde{k}_0^\alpha) (B_\varphi^{\alpha, \theta})^* - B_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} (k_0^\theta \otimes \bar{z}) \\ &= \alpha \otimes (B_\varphi^{\alpha, \theta} \tilde{k}_0^\alpha) - (B_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} k_0^\theta) \otimes \bar{z} \\ &= \alpha \otimes C_\theta P_\theta^\perp(\theta \bar{\alpha} \varphi k_0^\alpha) - P_\alpha^\perp(\varphi k_0^\theta) \otimes C_\theta \theta \end{aligned}$$

(compare with [5, Theorem 11(1)]). Hence

$$(5.2) \quad D_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} D_z^\theta = D_z^\alpha D_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha}$$

if and only if there is a constant $c \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{cases} P_\alpha^\perp(\varphi k_0^\theta) = c \alpha, \\ P_\theta^\perp(\theta \bar{\alpha} \varphi k_0^\alpha) = c \theta. \end{cases}$$

Equivalently, there are $g \in K_\alpha$ and $h \in K_\theta$ such that

$$(5.4) \quad \varphi k_0^\theta = c\alpha + g \quad \text{and}$$

$$(5.5) \quad \theta \bar{\alpha} \varphi k_0^\alpha = c\theta + h.$$

Since the functions k_0^α, k_0^θ are bounded from below and analytic (i.e., $(k_0^\alpha)^{-1}, (k_0^\theta)^{-1} \in H^\infty$), we get by (5.4) that $\varphi \in H^2$. Let $\gamma = \gcd(\alpha, \theta)$. Then, by (5.5),

$$\varphi k_0^\alpha - c\alpha = \bar{\theta} \alpha h = \frac{\bar{\theta}}{\gamma} \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} h \in H^2.$$

Hence h is divisible by $\frac{\theta}{\gamma}$ and since $h \in K_\theta = K_{\frac{\theta}{\gamma}} \oplus \frac{\theta}{\gamma} K_\gamma$, we have

$$h = \frac{\theta}{\gamma} h_1 \quad \text{with } h_1 \in K_\gamma.$$

Therefore, by (5.5),

$$\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\gamma} \varphi k_0^\alpha = c\gamma + h_1 \in H^2.$$

Since k_0^α is an outer function, it cannot be divisible by $\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}$, which implies that

$$\varphi = \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} \varphi_1 \quad \text{with } \varphi_1 \in H^2 \setminus \{0\}.$$

Hence by (5.4) we get $\frac{\alpha}{\gamma} \varphi_1 k_0^\theta = c\alpha + g$. Therefore $g = \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} g_1$, $g_1 \in K_\gamma$, and (5.4), (5.5) are equivalent to

$$(5.6) \quad \varphi_1 k_0^\theta = c\gamma + g_1, \quad g_1 \in K_\gamma,$$

$$(5.7) \quad \varphi_1 k_0^\alpha = c\gamma + h_1, \quad h_1 \in K_\gamma.$$

Moreover,

$$P_\gamma(\varphi_1) = P_\gamma(\varphi_1 k_0^\theta + \overline{\theta(0)} \theta \varphi_1) = P_\gamma(c\gamma + g_1 + \overline{\theta(0)} \theta \varphi_1) = g_1.$$

Similarly, $P_\gamma(\varphi_1) = h_1$, so $g_1 = h_1$. Comparing (5.6) with (5.7) we thus get $\varphi_1(k_0^\alpha - k_0^\theta) = 0$. Since $\varphi_1, (k_0^\alpha - k_0^\theta) \in H^2$ and $\varphi_1 \neq 0$, we must have

$$k_0^\alpha - k_0^\theta = \overline{\theta(0)} \theta - \overline{\alpha(0)} \alpha = 0.$$

This is possible only in two cases:

- (1) if $\alpha(0) = 0 = \theta(0)$, then $k_0^\alpha = k_0^\theta = 1$ and by (5.6),

$$\varphi = \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} \varphi_1 \in \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} (K_\gamma \oplus \mathbb{C}\gamma) = \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} K_{z,\gamma};$$

- (2) if $\alpha = \theta$, then (5.6) and (5.7) become the same condition, equivalent to $\varphi k_0^\theta \in K_\theta \oplus \mathbb{C}\theta = K_{z\theta}$, which leads to $\varphi \in (k_0^\theta)^{-1} K_{z\theta}$.

□

The following Corollary was recently obtained independently in [14] (see [14, Corollary 2.6]).

Corollary 5.3. *Let θ be a nonconstant inner function and let $\varphi \in L^\infty$, $\varphi \neq 0$. Then $D_\varphi^\theta \in \{D_z^\theta\}'$ if and only if $\varphi \in (k_0^\theta)^{-1} K_{z\theta}$.*

6. CHARACTERIZATION OF OPERATORS INTERTWINING D_z^θ AND D_z^α

In this section we will characterize operators from $\mathcal{I}(K_\theta^\perp, K_\alpha^\perp)$. We will use the description of shift invariant operators from $\mathcal{B}(K_\theta^\perp, K_\alpha^\perp)$, given in [6].

Recall that $D \in \mathcal{B}(K_\theta^\perp, K_\alpha^\perp)$ is called *shift invariant* if

$$\langle DM_z f, M_z g \rangle = \langle Df, g \rangle$$

for all $f \in K_\theta^\perp$ and $g \in K_\alpha^\perp$ such that $M_z f \in K_\theta^\perp$ and $M_z g \in K_\alpha^\perp$, that is, $f \in K_\theta^\perp \cap \{\bar{z}\}^\perp$ and $g \in K_\alpha^\perp \cap \{\bar{z}\}^\perp$ (see [6]). The characterization of shift invariant elements of $\mathcal{B}(K_\theta^\perp, K_\alpha^\perp)$ is expressed in terms of compressions of M_φ to some subspaces of K_θ^\perp . Using decompositions $K_\theta^\perp = \theta H^2 \oplus H_-^2$ and $K_\alpha^\perp = \alpha H^2 \oplus H_-^2$ one can write each operator $D \in \mathcal{B}(K_\theta^\perp, K_\alpha^\perp)$ as a matrix

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} P_{\alpha H^2} D|_{\theta H^2} & P_{\alpha H^2} D|_{H_-^2} \\ P^- D|_{\theta H^2} & P^- D|_{H_-^2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

In particular, for $\varphi \in L^\infty$, we obtain

$$D_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T}_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} & \check{\Gamma}_\varphi^\alpha \\ \hat{\Gamma}_\varphi^\theta & \check{T}_\varphi \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T}_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} & (\hat{\Gamma}_\varphi^\alpha)^* \\ \hat{\Gamma}_\varphi^\theta & \check{T}_\varphi \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{T}_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} &= P_{\alpha H^2} M_\varphi|_{\theta H^2}, & \check{\Gamma}_\varphi^\alpha &= P_{\alpha H^2} M_\varphi|_{H_-^2}, \\ \hat{\Gamma}_\varphi^\theta &= P^- M_\varphi|_{\theta H^2} & \text{and} & \check{T}_\varphi &= P^- M_\varphi|_{H_-^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, each of the operators above can be defined for $\varphi \in L^2$ (densely, for bounded functions).

We will now consider operators of the form

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T}_{\varphi_1}^{\theta, \alpha} & \check{\Gamma}_{\varphi_2}^\alpha \\ \hat{\Gamma}_{\varphi_3}^\theta & \check{T}_{\varphi_4} \end{bmatrix}.$$

with $\varphi_i \in L^2$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Note that if the operator D given above is bounded, then necessarily $\varphi_1, \varphi_4 \in L^\infty$. This is a consequence of the fact that $\hat{T}_{\varphi_1}^{\theta, \alpha}$ and \check{T}_{φ_4} are determined by the classical Toeplitz operators T_{φ_1} and $T_{\bar{\varphi}_4}$, respectively (see [5, Proposition 20]), and that a classical Toeplitz operator is bounded if and only if its symbol is from L^∞ . On the other hand, even if D is bounded, the functions φ_2 and φ_3 may not belong to L^∞ (since a classical Hankel operator may be bounded even if its symbol is not, see [22, Chapter 1] for details).

Lemma 6.1. *Let α, θ be nonconstant inner functions. Then*

- (i) $\hat{T}_{\varphi_1}^{\theta, \alpha} = 0$ if and only if $\varphi_1 = 0$;
- (ii) $\check{\Gamma}_{\varphi_2}^\alpha = 0$ if and only if $\varphi_2 \perp \alpha z H^2$, that is, $\varphi_2 \in \overline{\alpha H^2}$. In particular, $\check{\Gamma}_{\varphi_2}^\alpha = 0$ for $\varphi_2 \in \overline{\alpha H^\infty}$;

- (iii) $\hat{\Gamma}_{\varphi_3}^\theta = 0$ if and only if $\varphi_3 \perp \overline{\theta z H^2}$, that is, $\varphi_3 \in \bar{\theta} H^2$. In particular, $\hat{\Gamma}_{\varphi_3}^\theta = 0$ for $\varphi_3 \in \bar{\theta} H^\infty$;
- (iv) $\check{T}_{\varphi_4} = 0$ if and only if $\varphi = 0$.

Proof. See [5]. □

Theorem 6.2 ([6]). *Let θ, α be two nonconstant inner functions and let $K_\theta^\perp = \theta H^2 \oplus H_-^2$, $K_\alpha^\perp = \alpha H^2 \oplus H_-^2$. The operator $D \in \mathcal{B}(K_\theta^\perp, K_\alpha^\perp)$ is shift invariant if and only if there are $\varphi_i \in L^\infty$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ such that*

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T}_{\varphi_1}^{\theta, \alpha} & \check{\Gamma}_{\varphi_2}^\alpha \\ \hat{\Gamma}_{\varphi_3}^\theta & \check{T}_{\varphi_4} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can now state our main result.

Theorem 6.3. *Let α, θ be nonconstant inner functions. The operator $D \in \mathcal{B}(K_\theta^\perp, K_\alpha^\perp)$ intertwines D_z^θ and D_z^α , i.e.,*

$$(6.1) \quad DD_z^\theta = D_z^\alpha D$$

if and only if $D \in \mathcal{T}^2(K_\theta^\perp, K_\alpha^\perp)$, i.e., $D = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T}_{\psi_1}^{\theta, \alpha} & \check{\Gamma}_{\psi_2}^\alpha \\ \hat{\Gamma}_{\psi_3}^\theta & \check{T}_{\psi_4} \end{bmatrix}$, where the functions $\psi_i \in L^2$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, satisfy the following conditions:

(i) *if $\theta(0) \neq 0$, then*

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi_1 &= \frac{\overline{\alpha(0)}}{\overline{\theta(0)}} \alpha \bar{\theta} \psi_4, & \psi_2 &= \overline{\alpha(0)} \alpha P^+(\psi_4), \\ \psi_3 &= \frac{1}{\overline{\theta(0)}} \bar{\theta} P^-(\psi_4), & \psi_4 &\in L^\infty. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *if $\theta(0) = 0$ and $\alpha(0) \neq 0$, then*

$$(6.3) \quad \psi_1 \in L^\infty, \quad \psi_2 = 0, \quad \psi_3 = \frac{1}{\overline{\alpha(0)}} \bar{\theta} P^-(\bar{\alpha} \theta \psi_1), \quad \psi_4 = 0.$$

(iii) *if $\theta(0) = \alpha(0) = 0$, then*

$$(6.4) \quad \psi_1 \in \alpha \bar{\theta} H^\infty, \quad \psi_2 = 0, \quad \psi_3 \in L^\infty, \quad \psi_4 \in H^\infty.$$

Corollary 6.4 should be compared with [14, Proposition 3.1] and [18, Theorem 1.1].

Corollary 6.4. *Let θ be a nonconstant inner function. The operator $D \in \mathcal{B}(K_\theta^\perp)$ commutes with D_z^θ if and only if $D \in \mathcal{T}^2(K_\theta^\perp)$, i.e., $D =$*

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{T}_{\psi_1}^\theta & \check{\Gamma}_{\psi_2}^\theta \\ \hat{\Gamma}_{\psi_3}^\theta & \check{T}_{\psi_4} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where the functions } \psi_i \in L^2, \text{ } i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \text{ satisfy the}$$

following conditions:

(i) *if $\theta(0) \neq 0$, then*

$$(6.5) \quad \psi_1 = \psi_4, \quad \psi_2 = \overline{\theta(0)} \theta P^+(\psi_4), \quad \psi_3 = \frac{1}{\overline{\theta(0)}} \bar{\theta} P^-(\psi_4), \quad \psi_4 \in L^\infty.$$

(ii) *if $\theta(0) = 0$, then*

$$(6.6) \quad \psi_1 \in H^\infty, \quad \psi_2 = 0, \quad \psi_3 \in L^\infty, \quad \psi_4 \in H^\infty.$$

Proof of Theorem 6.3. Let $D \in \mathcal{B}(K_\theta^\perp, K_\alpha^\perp)$. We first show that if D satisfies (6.1), then it is shift invariant. To this end, take $f \in K_\theta^\perp$ and $g \in K_\alpha^\perp$ such that $M_z f \in K_\theta^\perp$ and $M_z g \in K_\alpha^\perp$. Then $D_z^\theta f = M_z f$, $D_z^\alpha g = M_z g$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} \langle DM_z f, M_z g \rangle &= \langle DD_z^\theta f, M_z g \rangle = \langle D_z^\alpha Df, M_z g \rangle \\ &= \langle M_z Df, M_z g \rangle = \langle Df, g \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., D is shift invariant. Therefore, by Theorem 6.2, to describe all D satisfying (6.1) it is enough to consider operators of the form

$$(6.7) \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T}_{\varphi_1}^{\theta, \alpha} & \check{\Gamma}_{\varphi_2}^\alpha \\ \hat{\Gamma}_{\varphi_3}^\theta & \check{T}_{\varphi_4} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\varphi_i \in L^\infty$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Assume then that D is given by (6.7). Recall that $\check{\Gamma}_z^\alpha: H_-^2 \rightarrow \alpha H^2$ and for $h \in zH^2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\Gamma}_z^\alpha \bar{h} &= P_{\alpha H^2}(z\bar{h}) = P_{\alpha H^2} P^+(z\bar{h}) \\ &= P_{\alpha H^2}(\langle \bar{h}, \bar{z} \rangle \cdot 1) = \overline{\alpha(0)} \langle \bar{h}, \bar{z} \rangle \alpha = \overline{\alpha(0)} (\alpha \otimes \bar{z}) \bar{h}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $\hat{\Gamma}_z^\alpha: \alpha H^2 \rightarrow H_-^2$ and for $h \in H^2$ we have

$$\hat{\Gamma}_z^\alpha \alpha h = P^-(z\alpha h) = 0.$$

Similarly, $\check{\Gamma}_z^\theta = \overline{\theta(0)} (\theta \otimes \bar{z})$ and $\hat{\Gamma}_z^\theta = 0$. It follows that

$$(6.8) \quad D_z^\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T}_z^\theta & \overline{\theta(0)}(\theta \otimes \bar{z}) \\ 0 & \check{T}_z \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad D_z^\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T}_z^\alpha & \overline{\alpha(0)}(\alpha \otimes \bar{z}) \\ 0 & \check{T}_z \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore the intertwining relation (6.1) can be expressed as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{T}_{\varphi_1}^{\theta, \alpha} & \check{\Gamma}_{\varphi_2}^\alpha \\ \hat{\Gamma}_{\varphi_3}^\theta & \check{T}_{\varphi_4} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T}_z^\theta & \overline{\theta(0)}(\theta \otimes \bar{z}) \\ 0 & \check{T}_z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T}_z^\alpha & \overline{\alpha(0)}(\alpha \otimes \bar{z}) \\ 0 & \check{T}_z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T}_{\varphi_1}^{\theta, \alpha} & \check{\Gamma}_{\varphi_2}^\alpha \\ \hat{\Gamma}_{\varphi_3}^\theta & \check{T}_{\varphi_4} \end{bmatrix},$$

or equivalently as the following set of conditions

$$(6.9) \quad \hat{T}_{\varphi_1}^{\theta, \alpha} \hat{T}_z^\theta = \hat{T}_z^\alpha \hat{T}_{\varphi_1}^{\theta, \alpha} + \overline{\alpha(0)} (\alpha \otimes \bar{z}) \hat{\Gamma}_{\varphi_3}^\theta;$$

$$(6.10) \quad \overline{\theta(0)} \hat{T}_{\varphi_1}^{\theta, \alpha}(\theta) \otimes \bar{z} + \check{\Gamma}_{\varphi_2}^\alpha \check{T}_z = \hat{T}_z^\alpha \check{\Gamma}_{\varphi_2}^\alpha + \overline{\alpha(0)} (\alpha \otimes \bar{z}) \check{T}_{\varphi_4};$$

$$(6.11) \quad \hat{\Gamma}_{\varphi_3}^\theta \hat{T}_z^\theta = \check{T}_z \hat{\Gamma}_{\varphi_3}^\theta;$$

$$(6.12) \quad \overline{\theta(0)} \hat{\Gamma}_{\varphi_3}^\theta(\theta) \otimes \bar{z} + \check{T}_{\varphi_4} \check{T}_z = \check{T}_z \check{T}_{\varphi_4}.$$

Note firstly that the condition (6.12) is equivalent to

$$(6.13) \quad \check{T}_z \check{T}_{\varphi_4} - \check{T}_{\varphi_4} \check{T}_z = \overline{\theta(0)} \hat{\Gamma}_{\varphi_3}^\theta(\theta) \otimes \bar{z}.$$

For $h \in zH^2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\check{T}_z \check{T}_{\varphi_4} - \check{T}_{\varphi_4} \check{T}_z) \bar{h} &= P^-(zP^-(\varphi_4 \bar{h})) - P^-(\varphi_4 P^-(z\bar{h})) \\ &= P^-(zP^-(\varphi_4 \bar{h})) - P^-(\varphi_4(z\bar{h} - \langle \bar{h}, \bar{z} \rangle \cdot 1)) \\ &= P^-(zP^-(\varphi_4 \bar{h})) - P^-(\varphi_4 z\bar{h}) + \langle \bar{h}, \bar{z} \rangle P^-(\varphi_4) \\ &= P^-(z(P^-(\varphi_4 \bar{h}) - \varphi_4 \bar{h})) + \langle \bar{h}, \bar{z} \rangle P^-(\varphi_4). \end{aligned}$$

Because $P^- - I_{L^2} = -P^+$, thus

$$P^-(z(P^-(\varphi_4\bar{h}) - \varphi_4\bar{h})) = -P^-(zP^+(\varphi_4\bar{h})) = 0$$

and (6.13) can be written as

$$P^-(\varphi_4) \otimes \bar{z} = P^-(\overline{\theta(0)}\theta\varphi_3) \otimes \bar{z},$$

or

$$P^-(\varphi_4 - \overline{\theta(0)}\theta\varphi_3) \otimes \bar{z} = 0,$$

and finally (6.12) is equivalent to

$$(6.14) \quad P^-(\varphi_4 - \overline{\theta(0)}\theta\varphi_3) = 0.$$

Note that (6.11) is always satisfied. Indeed, for $h \in H^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Gamma}_{\varphi_3}^\theta \hat{T}_z^\theta(\theta h) &= P^-(\varphi_3 P_{\theta H^2}(z\theta h)) = P^-(\varphi_3 z\theta h) \\ &= P^-(zP^-(\varphi_3\theta h) + zP^+(\varphi_3\theta h)) \\ &= P^-(zP^-(\varphi_3\theta h)) = \check{T}_z \hat{\Gamma}_{\varphi_3}^\theta(\theta h). \end{aligned}$$

Let us now focus on condition (6.9). Note that for $h \in H^2$,

$$(6.15) \quad \begin{aligned} (\alpha \otimes \bar{z}) \hat{\Gamma}_{\varphi_3}^\theta(\theta h) &= (\alpha \otimes (\hat{\Gamma}_{\varphi_3}^\theta)^* \bar{z})(\theta h) \\ &= (\alpha \otimes (\check{\Gamma}_{\varphi_3}^\theta \bar{z}))(\theta h) = \langle \theta h, \bar{z} \bar{\varphi}_3 \rangle \alpha, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{T}_{\varphi_1}^{\theta, \alpha} \hat{T}_z^\theta - \hat{T}_z^\alpha \hat{T}_{\varphi_1}^{\theta, \alpha})(\theta h) &= P_{\alpha H^2}(\varphi_1 P_{\theta H^2}(z\theta h)) - P_{\alpha H^2}(z P_{\alpha H^2}(\varphi_1 \theta h)) \\ &= P_{\alpha H^2}(z(\varphi_1 \theta h - P_{\alpha H^2}(\varphi_1 \theta h))) = P_{\alpha H^2}(z(P^- + P_\alpha)(\varphi_1 \theta h)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $P^- + P_\alpha = \alpha P^- \bar{\alpha}$, (6.15) and the calculations above show that (6.9) is equivalent to

$$(6.16) \quad P_{\alpha H^2}(z\alpha P^-(\bar{\alpha}\theta\varphi_1 h)) = \overline{\alpha(0)} \langle z\theta h, \bar{\varphi}_3 \rangle \alpha \quad \text{for all } h \in H^2.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\alpha H^2}(z\alpha P^-(\bar{\alpha}\theta\varphi_1 h)) &= \alpha P^+(zP^-(\bar{\alpha}\theta\varphi_1 h)) \\ &= \alpha \langle zP^-(\bar{\alpha}\theta\varphi_1 h), 1 \rangle = \langle \bar{\alpha}\theta\varphi_1 h, \bar{z} \rangle \alpha, \end{aligned}$$

condition (6.16) can be expressed as

$$\langle \bar{\alpha}\theta\varphi_1 - \overline{\alpha(0)}\theta\varphi_3, \bar{z}\bar{h} \rangle = 0.$$

We have thus proved that (6.9) is equivalent to

$$P^-(\bar{\alpha}\theta\varphi_1 - \overline{\alpha(0)}\theta\varphi_3) = 0 \quad \text{for all } h \in H^2.$$

Finally, condition (6.10) is equivalent to

$$\check{\Gamma}_{\varphi_2}^\alpha \check{T}_z^\alpha - \hat{T}_z^\alpha \check{\Gamma}_{\varphi_2}^\alpha = \overline{\alpha(0)}(\alpha \otimes \check{T}_{\varphi_4}^*(\bar{z})) - \overline{\theta(0)}(\hat{T}_{\varphi_1}^{\theta, \alpha}(\theta) \otimes \bar{z}).$$

Now for any $h \in zH^2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\check{\Gamma}_{\varphi_2}^\alpha \check{T}_z - \hat{T}_z^\alpha \check{\Gamma}_{\varphi_2}^\alpha) \bar{h} &= P_{\alpha H^2}(\varphi_2 P^-(z\bar{h})) - P_{\alpha H^2}(z P_{\alpha H^2}(\varphi_2 \bar{h})) \\ &= P_{\alpha H^2}(\varphi_2(z\bar{h} - \langle \bar{h}, \bar{z} \rangle \cdot 1)) - z P_{\alpha H^2}(\varphi_2 \bar{h}) \\ &= P_{\alpha H^2}(z\varphi_2 \bar{h}) - z P_{\alpha H^2}(\varphi_2 \bar{h}) - \langle \bar{h}, \bar{z} \rangle P_{\alpha H^2}(\varphi_2). \end{aligned}$$

Since for each $f \in L^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\alpha H^2}(zf) - z P_{\alpha H^2}f &= \alpha P_+(z\bar{\alpha}f) - \alpha z P_+(\bar{\alpha}f) \\ &= \alpha \langle \bar{\alpha}f, \bar{z} \rangle \cdot 1 = \langle f, \alpha \bar{z} \rangle \alpha, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\check{\Gamma}_{\varphi_2}^\alpha \check{T}_z - \hat{T}_z^\alpha \check{\Gamma}_{\varphi_2}^\alpha) \bar{h} &= \langle \varphi_2 \bar{h}, \bar{z} \alpha \rangle \alpha - \langle \bar{h}, \bar{z} \rangle P_{\alpha H^2}(\varphi_2) \\ &= (\alpha \otimes P^-(\bar{z}\alpha\bar{\varphi}_2) - P_{\alpha H^2}(\varphi_2) \otimes \bar{z}) \bar{h}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (6.10) is equivalent to

$$\alpha \otimes P^-(\bar{z}\alpha\bar{\varphi}_2) - P_{\alpha H^2}(\varphi_2) \otimes \bar{z} = \overline{\alpha(0)}(\alpha \otimes \check{T}_{\varphi_4}^*(\bar{z})) - \overline{\theta(0)}(\hat{T}_{\varphi_1}^{\theta, \alpha}(\theta) \otimes \bar{z}),$$

which in turn is equivalent to

$$(6.17) \quad \alpha \otimes P^-(\bar{z}\alpha\bar{\varphi}_2 - \alpha(0)\bar{z}\bar{\varphi}_4) = P_{\alpha H^2}(\varphi_2 - \overline{\theta(0)}\theta\varphi_1) \otimes \bar{z}.$$

Now (6.17) is possible only if for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\begin{cases} P_{\alpha H^2}(\varphi_2 - \overline{\theta(0)}\theta\varphi_1) = c\alpha, \\ P^-(\bar{z}\alpha\bar{\varphi}_2 - \alpha(0)\bar{z}\bar{\varphi}_4) = \bar{c}\bar{z}, \end{cases}$$

or equivalently

$$(6.18) \quad \begin{cases} P^+(\bar{\alpha}\varphi_2 - \overline{\theta(0)}\bar{\alpha}\theta\varphi_1) = c, \\ P^+(\bar{\alpha}\varphi_2 - \overline{\alpha(0)}\varphi_4) = c. \end{cases}$$

The second condition follows from the fact that $P^-(\bar{z}f) = \overline{\bar{z}P^+(f)}$ for each $f \in L^2$.

Summing up, we have shown that the intertwining relation (6.1) is equivalent to the following set of conditions

$$(6.19) \quad \begin{cases} P^-(\varphi_4) = \overline{\theta(0)}P^-(\theta\varphi_3), \\ P^-(\bar{\alpha}\theta\varphi_1) = \overline{\alpha(0)}P^-(\theta\varphi_3), \\ P^+(\bar{\alpha}\varphi_2) = \overline{\theta(0)}P^+(\bar{\alpha}\theta\varphi_1) + c, \\ P^+(\bar{\alpha}\varphi_2) = \overline{\alpha(0)}P^+(\varphi_4) + c, \end{cases} \quad c \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We now consider three possible cases.

Case (i). If $\theta(0) \neq 0$, then (6.19) is equivalent to

$$(6.20) \quad \begin{cases} P^+(\bar{\alpha}\theta\varphi_1) = \frac{\overline{\alpha(0)}}{\overline{\theta(0)}}P^+(\varphi_4), \\ P^-(\bar{\alpha}\theta\varphi_1) = \frac{\overline{\alpha(0)}}{\overline{\theta(0)}}P^-(\varphi_4), \\ P^+(\bar{\alpha}\varphi_2) = \overline{\alpha(0)}P^+(\varphi_4) + c, \\ P^-(\theta\varphi_3) = \frac{1}{\overline{\theta(0)}}P^-(\varphi_4). \end{cases}$$

In other words,

$$(6.21) \quad \begin{cases} \varphi_1 = \frac{\overline{\alpha(0)}}{\overline{\theta(0)}}\alpha\bar{\theta}\varphi_4, \\ \varphi_2 = \overline{\alpha(0)}\alpha P^+(\varphi_4) + c\alpha + \alpha P^-(\bar{\alpha}\varphi_2), \\ \varphi_3 = \bar{\theta}P^+(\theta\varphi_3) + \frac{1}{\overline{\theta(0)}}\bar{\theta}P^-(\varphi_4) \end{cases}$$

and $\varphi_4 \in L^\infty$ is arbitrary. Since

$$c\alpha + \alpha P^-(\bar{\alpha}\varphi_2) \perp \alpha zH^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\theta}P^+(\theta\varphi_3) \perp \overline{\theta zH^2},$$

we see that

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T}_{\varphi_1}^{\theta,\alpha} & \check{\Gamma}_{\varphi_2}^\alpha \\ \hat{\Gamma}_{\varphi_3}^\theta & \check{T}_{\varphi_4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T}_{\psi_1}^{\theta,\alpha} & \check{\Gamma}_{\psi_2}^\alpha \\ \hat{\Gamma}_{\psi_3}^\theta & \check{T}_{\psi_4} \end{bmatrix}$$

with arbitrary $\psi_4 = \varphi_4 \in L^\infty$ and

$$\begin{cases} \psi_1 = \frac{\overline{\alpha(0)}}{\overline{\theta(0)}}\alpha\bar{\theta}\psi_4, \\ \psi_2 = \overline{\alpha(0)}\alpha P^+(\psi_4), \\ \psi_3 = \frac{1}{\overline{\theta(0)}}\bar{\theta}P^-(\psi_4). \end{cases}$$

Note that although φ_2 and φ_3 are bounded the same is not necessarily true for ψ_2 and ψ_3 .

Case (ii). If $\theta(0) = 0$ and $\alpha(0) \neq 0$, then (6.19) is equivalent to

$$(6.22) \quad \begin{cases} P^-(\theta\varphi_3) = \frac{1}{\alpha(0)}P^-(\bar{\alpha}\theta\varphi_1), \\ P^-(\varphi_4) = P^+(\varphi_4) = 0, \\ P^+(\bar{\alpha}\varphi_2) = c, \end{cases}$$

that is,

$$(6.23) \quad \begin{cases} \varphi_2 = c\alpha + \alpha P^-(\bar{\alpha}\varphi_2), \\ \varphi_3 = \bar{\theta}P^+(\theta\varphi_3) + \frac{1}{\alpha(0)}\bar{\theta}P^-(\bar{\alpha}\theta\varphi_1), \\ \varphi_4 = 0 \end{cases}$$

and $\varphi_1 \in L^\infty$ is arbitrary. Here

$$\varphi_2 \perp \alpha zH^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\theta}P^+(\theta\varphi_3) \perp \overline{\theta zH^2},$$

so we can take arbitrary $\psi_1 \in L^\infty$, $\psi_2 = \psi_4 = 0$ and $\psi_3 = \frac{1}{\alpha(0)}\bar{\theta}P^-(\bar{\alpha}\theta\psi_1)$.

Case (iii). If $\theta(0) = \alpha(0) = 0$, then (6.19) is equivalent to

$$(6.24) \quad \begin{cases} \bar{\alpha}\theta\varphi_1 \in H^\infty, \\ \varphi_4 \in H^\infty, \\ \bar{\alpha}\varphi_2 \in \overline{zH^\infty} + \mathbb{C}, \end{cases}$$

with $\varphi_3 \in L^\infty$ arbitrary. In this case

$$\varphi_2 \perp \alpha z H^2$$

and we can take arbitrary $\psi_1 \in \bar{\theta}\alpha H^\infty$, $\psi_4 \in H^\infty$, $\psi_3 \in \overline{\theta z H^2}$ and $\psi_2 = 0$. \square

Example 6.5. Let θ and α be two arbitrary nonconstant inner functions.

(a) If $\theta(0) \neq 0$ and $\alpha(0) \neq 0$ (for simplicity of notations assume also that $\alpha(0) = \theta(0)$), then we can for example take $\psi_4 = \theta$ or $\psi_4 = \bar{z}$ or $\psi_4 = \bar{z}\theta$ in (6.2). Then we obtain the following operators from $\mathcal{I}(K_\theta^\perp, K_\alpha^\perp)$:

$$(6.25) \quad \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T}_\alpha^{\theta, \alpha} & \check{\Gamma}_{\frac{\alpha}{\theta(0)\alpha\theta}}^\alpha \\ 0 & \check{T}_\theta \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T}_{\bar{z}\alpha\bar{\theta}}^{\theta, \alpha} & 0 \\ \hat{\Gamma}_{\frac{1}{\theta(0)}\bar{z}\bar{\theta}}^\theta & \check{T}_{\bar{z}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T}_{\bar{z}\alpha}^{\theta, \alpha} & \check{\Gamma}_{\frac{\alpha}{\theta(0)\bar{z}\bar{\alpha}(\theta-\theta(0))}}^\alpha \\ \hat{\Gamma}_{\frac{\theta(0)}{\theta(0)}\bar{z}\bar{\theta}}^\theta & \check{T}_{\bar{z}\theta} \end{bmatrix}.$$

(b) If $\theta(0) \neq 0$ and $\alpha(0) = 0$, then choosing ψ_4 as in (a) we obtain operators

$$(6.26) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \check{T}_\theta \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \hat{\Gamma}_{\frac{1}{\theta(0)}\bar{z}\bar{\theta}}^\theta & \check{T}_{\bar{z}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \hat{\Gamma}_{\frac{\theta(0)}{\theta(0)}\bar{z}\bar{\theta}}^\theta & \check{T}_{\bar{z}\theta} \end{bmatrix}$$

(c) If $\theta(0) = 0$ and $\alpha(0) \neq 0$, then we can for example take $\psi_1 = \alpha$ or $\psi_1 = \bar{\theta}$ or $\psi_1 = \bar{z}\theta$ in (6.3). Then we obtain the following operators

$$(6.27) \quad \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T}_\alpha^{\theta, \alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T}_{\bar{\theta}}^{\theta, \alpha} & 0 \\ \hat{\Gamma}_{\frac{1}{\theta(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha(0)})-1}}^\theta & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T}_{\bar{z}\bar{\theta}}^{\theta, \alpha} & 0 \\ \hat{\Gamma}_{\frac{1}{\alpha(0)}\bar{z}\bar{\alpha}\bar{\theta}}^\theta & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(d) If $\theta(0) = 0 = \alpha(0)$ and $\chi_1, \chi_3, \chi_4 \in H^\infty$ are arbitrary, then any linear combination of

$$(6.28) \quad \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T}_{\alpha\bar{\theta}\chi_1}^{\theta, \alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \hat{\Gamma}_{\bar{\theta}\chi_3}^\theta & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \check{T}_{\chi_4} \end{bmatrix}$$

satisfies (6.1) and belongs to $\mathcal{I}(K_\theta^\perp, K_\alpha^\perp)$.

We can now use Theorem 6.3 to describe all those operators $D \in \mathcal{B}(K_\theta^\perp, K_\alpha^\perp)$ that satisfy (6.1) and belong to $\mathcal{T}(K_\theta^\perp, K_\alpha^\perp)$ (give another proof of Theorem 5.2). Clearly, $D = 0$ is one such operator. We can assume that $D \neq 0$.

By Theorem 6.3, D satisfies (6.1) if and only if

$$(6.29) \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T}_{\psi_1}^{\theta, \alpha} & \check{\Gamma}_{\psi_2}^\alpha \\ \hat{\Gamma}_{\psi_3}^\theta & \check{T}_{\psi_4} \end{bmatrix}$$

with ψ_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, satisfying conditions (6.2), (6.3) or (6.4) (depending on the case). On the other hand, $D \in \mathcal{T}(K_\theta^\perp, K_\alpha^\perp)$, $D = D_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha}$ for some $\varphi \in L^\infty$, if and only if

$$(6.30) \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T}_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} & \check{\Gamma}_\varphi^\alpha \\ \check{\Gamma}_\varphi^\theta & \hat{T}_\varphi \end{bmatrix}.$$

Comparing (6.29) and (6.30) we get

$$(6.31) \quad \hat{T}_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha} = \hat{T}_{\psi_1}^{\theta, \alpha}, \quad \check{T}_\varphi = \check{T}_{\psi_4}, \quad \check{\Gamma}_\varphi^\alpha = \check{\Gamma}_{\psi_2}^\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\Gamma}_\varphi^\theta = \hat{\Gamma}_{\psi_3}^\theta.$$

First two equalities in (6.31) amount to

$$(6.32) \quad \varphi = \psi_1 = \psi_4,$$

while the last two give

$$(6.33) \quad P^-(\alpha\bar{\varphi}) = P^-(\alpha\bar{\psi}_2) \quad \text{and} \quad P^-(\theta\varphi) = P^-(\theta\psi_3).$$

By (6.32)–(6.33), from the cases considered in Theorem 6.3 only cases (i) and (iii) are possible ((ii) is not possible since $D \neq 0$).

Case (i). Here $\theta(0) \neq 0$, $\psi_4 \in L^\infty$ and

$$(6.34) \quad \begin{cases} \psi_1 = \frac{\overline{\alpha(0)}}{\overline{\theta(0)}} \alpha \bar{\theta} \psi_4, \\ \psi_2 = \overline{\alpha(0)} \alpha P^+(\psi_4), \\ \psi_3 = \frac{1}{\overline{\theta(0)}} \bar{\theta} P^-(\psi_4). \end{cases}$$

The first of the three equalities above together with (6.32) gives

$$1 = \frac{\overline{\alpha(0)}}{\overline{\theta(0)}} \alpha \bar{\theta}.$$

Clearly, then $\alpha(0) \neq 0$. It follows that α is a constant multiple of θ , $\alpha = \lambda \cdot \theta$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$.

By (6.33) and (6.34),

$$P^-(\theta\varphi) = P^-(\theta\psi_3) = \frac{1}{\overline{\theta(0)}} P^-(\psi_4) = \frac{1}{\overline{\theta(0)}} P^-(\varphi),$$

which means that

$$\varphi - \overline{\theta(0)} \theta \varphi = k_0^\theta \varphi \in H^2.$$

Thus $\varphi \in L^\infty \cap H^2 = H^\infty$ and there exists $h \in H^\infty$ such that $\theta\varphi = h$, $\varphi = h/\theta = \bar{\theta}h$. Since $\alpha = \lambda \cdot \theta$ and $\varphi = \psi_4 \in H^\infty$, by (6.33) and (6.34) we get

$$P^-(\theta^2\bar{h}) = P^-(\theta(0)\theta\bar{h}).$$

Hence, for each $f \in H^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle k_0^\theta \varphi, \theta z f \rangle &= \langle \varphi - \overline{\theta(0)} \theta \varphi, \theta z f \rangle = \langle \bar{\theta} h, \theta z f \rangle - \langle \overline{\theta(0)} \bar{\theta} h, z f \rangle \\ &= \langle \bar{z} f, \theta^2 \bar{h} \rangle - \langle \bar{z} f, \theta(0) \theta \bar{h} \rangle = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and $k_0^\theta \varphi \in K_{z\theta}$.

Case (iii). Here $\theta(0) = \alpha(0) = 0$ and

$$(6.35) \quad \psi_1 \in \alpha \bar{\theta} H^\infty, \quad \psi_2 = 0, \quad \psi_3 \in L^\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_4 \in H^\infty.$$

Denote $\theta = \theta_1 \cdot \gcd(\alpha, \theta)$. Since there exists $h \in H^\infty$ such that

$$\varphi = \alpha \bar{\theta} h = \psi_4 \in H^\infty,$$

we must have

$$\varphi = \frac{\alpha}{\gcd(\alpha, \theta)} \cdot \frac{h}{\theta_1} \in \frac{\alpha}{\gcd(\alpha, \theta)} H^\infty.$$

Moreover, by (6.33),

$$P^-(\theta \bar{h}) = 0$$

and so, for each $f \in H^2$,

$$\langle h/\theta_1, \gcd(\alpha, \theta) \cdot zf \rangle = \langle h, \theta zf \rangle = \langle \overline{zf}, \theta \bar{h} \rangle = 0.$$

That is, $h/\theta_1 \in K_{z \cdot \gcd(\alpha, \theta)}$ and

$$\varphi \in \frac{\alpha}{\gcd(\alpha, \theta)} K_{z \cdot \gcd(\alpha, \theta)}.$$

Note that by Theorem 5.2 the set $\mathcal{I}(K_\theta^\perp, K_\alpha^\perp)$ contains operators from $\mathcal{T}(K_\theta^\perp, K_\alpha^\perp)$ if and only if $\alpha = \lambda \cdot \theta$ or $\alpha(0) = \theta(0) = 0$. Otherwise no operators from $\mathcal{T}(K_\theta^\perp, K_\alpha^\perp)$ satisfy (6.1).

Example 6.6. Take any nonconstant inner function α and let $\theta = z\alpha$. Then $\gcd(\alpha, \theta) = \alpha$ (here $\alpha \leq \theta$) and

$$\frac{\alpha}{\gcd(\alpha, \theta)} K_{z \cdot \gcd(\alpha, \theta)} = K_\theta = K_{z\alpha} = K_z \oplus zK_\alpha.$$

If $\alpha(0) = 0$, then by Theorem 5.2 every $D_\varphi^{\theta, \alpha}$ with $\varphi \in K_\theta \cap L^\infty$ satisfies (6.1) (note that $K_\theta \cap L^\infty$ is always nonempty; here it contains for example constant functions). On the other hand, if $\alpha(0) \neq 0$, then no operator from $\mathcal{T}(K_\theta^\perp, K_\alpha^\perp)$ satisfies (6.1).

Remark 6.7. Can we find nonconstant α and θ such that every operator satisfying (6.1) belongs to $\mathcal{T}(K_\theta^\perp, K_\alpha^\perp)$? The answer is no. If $\alpha(0) = \theta(0) = 0$, then for example the first operator in (6.26) satisfies (6.1) but does not belong to $\mathcal{T}(K_\theta^\perp, K_\alpha^\perp)$ (as $\theta \neq 0$). If $\alpha = \lambda \cdot \theta$ and $\theta(0) \neq 0$ (otherwise $\alpha(0) = \theta(0) = 0$) we can consider the first operator in (6.25), which in this case takes the form

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T}_\theta^{\theta, \alpha} & \check{\Gamma}_\alpha \\ 0 & T_\theta \end{bmatrix}.$$

Again, D satisfies (6.1) but does not belong to $\mathcal{T}(K_\theta^\perp, K_\alpha^\perp)$. If it did belong to $\mathcal{T}(K_\theta^\perp, K_\alpha^\perp)$, then we would have $D = D_\theta^{\theta, \alpha}$ and by Theorem 5.2, $\theta k_0^\theta \in K_{z\theta}$. But since for each $h \in H^2$,

$$\langle \theta k_0^\theta, \theta zh \rangle = \langle 1, zh \rangle - \overline{\theta(0)} \langle \theta, zh \rangle = -\overline{\theta(0)} \langle \theta, zh \rangle$$

and $\theta(0) \neq 0$, we see that $\theta k_0^\theta \in K_{z\theta}$ if and only if θ is a constant function which is a contradiction.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Baranov, I. Chalendar, E. Fricain, J. E. Mashreghi, D. Timotin, *Bounded symbols and reproducing kernel thesis for truncated Toeplitz operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **259** (2010), 2673–2701.
- [2] H. Bercovici, *Operator theory and arithmetic in H^∞* , Mathematical Surveys and Monographs No. 26, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island 1988.
- [3] C. Câmara, J. Jurasik, K. Kliś-Garlicka, M. Ptak, *Characterizations of asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operators*, Banach J. Math. Anal. **11** (2017), 899–922.
- [4] M. C. Câmara, K. Kliś-Garlicka, B. Łanucha, M. Ptak, *Invertibility, Fredholmness and kernels of dual truncated Toeplitz operators*, Banach J. Math. Anal. **14** (2020), 1558–1580.
- [5] M. C. Câmara, K. Kliś-Garlicka, B. Łanucha, M. Ptak, *Compressions of multiplications operators and their characterizations*, Results Math. **75:157** (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00025-020-01283-4>
- [6] M. C. Câmara, K. Kliś-Garlicka, B. Łanucha, M. Ptak, *Shift invariance*, preprint.
- [7] M. C. Câmara, J. R. Partington, *Asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operators and Toeplitz operators with matrix symbol*, J. Oper. Theory **77** (2017), 455–479.
- [8] M. C. Câmara, J. R. Partington, *Spectral properties of truncated Toeplitz operators by equivalence after extension*, J. Math. Anal. and Appl. **433** (2016), 762–784.
- [9] X. Ding, Y. Sang, *Dual truncated Toeplitz operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **461** (2018), 929–946.
- [10] S. R. Garcia, J. E. Mashreghi, W. Ross, *Introduction to Model Spaces and their Operators*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **148**, Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- [11] S. R. Garcia, M. Putinar, *Complex symmetric operators and applications*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **358** (2006), 1285–1315.
- [12] S. R. Garcia, W. T. Ross, *Recent progress on truncated Toeplitz operators*, in: J. Mashreghi, E. Fricain (Eds.), *Blaschke products and their applications*, Fields Inst. Commun. **65**, Springer, New York, 2013, pp. 275–319.
- [13] C. Gu, *Algebraic properties of truncated Hankel operators*, preprint.
- [14] C. Gu, *Characterizations of dual truncated Toeplitz operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2020.124815>
- [15] C. Gu, B. Łanucha, M. Michalska, *Characterizations of asymmetric truncated Toeplitz and Hankel operators*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory **13** (2019), 673–684.
- [16] Y. Hu, J. Deng, T. Yu, L. Liu, Y. Lu, *Reducing Subspaces of the Dual Truncated Toeplitz Operator*, J. Funct. Spaces (2018), Art. ID 7058401, 9 pp.
- [17] J. Jurasik, B. Łanucha, *Asymmetric truncated Toeplitz operators equal to the zero operator*, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska, Sect. A **70** (2016), 51–62.
- [18] Y. Li, Y. Sang, X. Ding, *The commutant and invariant subspaces for dual truncated Toeplitz operators*, Banach J. Math. Anal. **14**, 17 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43037-020-00102-w>
- [19] B. Łanucha, M. Michalska, *When is an asymmetric truncated Hankel operator equal to the zero operator?*, Bull. Soc. Sci. Lett. Łódź, Sér. Rech. Déform. **67** (2017), 69–76.
- [20] P. Ma, F. Yan, D. Zhang, *Zero, finite rank, and compact big truncated Hankel operators on model spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **146** (2018), 5235–5242.
- [21] B. SZ.-NAGY, C. F. FOIAS, H. BERCOVICI, L. KÉRCHY, *Harmonic Analysis of Operators on a Hilbert Space*, second edition, Springer, London 2010.

- [22] V. V. Peller, *Hanel operators and their applications*, Springer-Verlage, New York, 2003.
- [23] Y. Sang, Y. Qin, X. Ding, *Dual truncated Toeplitz C^* -algebras*, Banach J. Math. Anal. **13** (2019), 275–292.
- [24] D. SARASON *Algebraic properties of truncated Toeplitz operators*, Oper. Matrices **1** (2007), 491–526.

M. CRISTINA CÂMARA, CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS, GEOMETRY AND DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS, MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, INSTITUTO SUPERIOR TÉCNICO, UNIVERSIDADE DE LISBOA, AV. ROVISCO PAIS, 1049- 001 LISBOA, PORTUGAL.

Email address: ccamara@math.ist.utl.pt

KAMILA KLIŚ-GARLICKA, DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF AGRICULTURE, UL. BALICKA 253C, 30-198 KRAKÓW, POLAND.

Email address: rmklis@cyfronet.pl

BARTOSZ ŁANUCHA, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, MARIA CURIE-SKŁODOWSKA UNIVERSITY, PL. M. CURIE-SKŁODOWSKIEJ 1, 20-031 LUBLIN, POLAND

Email address: bartosz.lanucha@poczta.umcs.lublin.pl

MAREK PTAK, DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF AGRICULTURE, UL. BALICKA 253C, 30-198 KRAKÓW, POLAND.

Email address: rmptak@cyf-kr.edu.pl