

PERSISTENCE OF MORSE DECOMPOSITIONS OVER GRID RESOLUTION FOR MAPS AND TIME SERIES

JIM WISEMAN

ABSTRACT. We can approximate a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow X$ of a compact metric space by discretizing the space into a grid. Through either the map itself or a time series, f induces a multivalued grid map \mathcal{F} . The dynamical properties of \mathcal{F} depend on the resolution of the grid, and we study the persistence of these properties as we change the resolution. In particular, we look at the persistence of Morse decompositions, at both the global (Morse graph) and local (individual Morse set) levels, using several notions of persistence – graph structure, persistent homology, and mixing properties.

1. INTRODUCTION

In order to understand the dynamics of a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow X$ of a compact metric space, we can create a finite discretization of the space, then use a computer to create a multivalued map \mathcal{F} on the discretization to approximate f . The relationship between the dynamics of f and those of \mathcal{F} is an active area of research (see, for example, [1, 26, 27, 30, 33, 41–43]), and as the computational technology improves, understanding this relationship becomes increasingly important. This is true whether the map \mathcal{F} is constructed directly, from the action of f on the elements of the discretization, or indirectly, from a sample of the dynamics (a time series).

We will discretize the space using a grid (defined in Section 2). The behavior of the map \mathcal{F} depends on the resolution of the grid, perhaps especially in the case of maps reconstructed from time series. This is discussed in [11]: too coarse a grid will give only a very rough approximation of f , while too fine a grid can isolate each individual data point. As stated there, it is an interesting problem to understand this dependence of the dynamics on grid resolution in the spirit of persistent topology, as in [13, 20, 22].

This paper studies persistence of Morse decompositions as a step in that direction. A Morse decomposition ([15]) is a collection of invariant sets of recurrent points (Morse sets), such that all other points in the space move from one Morse set to another. This notion is very useful in computational dynamics (see, for example, [5, 8, 10, 11, 19, 25, 30, 31, 38]). The persistent homology of Morse sets has been studied in [47] (zero-dimensional persistence for vector fields) and more generally in [20]. In [20], the authors use Alexandrov topology to study the persistent homology of the Morse sets (where the persistence can be over different kinds of

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 55N31, 37B20, 37B35, 37B65, 37E25, 37M10.

Key words and phrases. Morse decomposition, persistent homology, time series.

This work was supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation (282398, JW).

parameters). In this paper we take a different approach, looking at the Morse decomposition more broadly, including the connections between the different Morse sets (the Morse graph) and the dynamics on the individual Morse sets.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we discuss grids on X and the multivalued grid maps induced by the map $f : X \rightarrow X$. We define recurrence and Morse decompositions in Section 3, and define and prove persistence for grid maps and Morse decompositions in Section 4. We discuss Morse graphs and persistence of the global dynamics in Section 5, and local persistence of individual Morse sets in Section 6. Finally, in Section 7, we apply our results to grid maps generated by time series.

2. GRIDS AND INDUCED MAPS

Let X be a compact metric space, and $f : X \rightarrow X$ a continuous map. In many cases X will be a subset of \mathbb{R}^n . We discretize the space using a grid. In defining grids on X and the induced maps, we mostly follow the notation of [30]; see [16] for an introduction.

Definition 2.1 ([39]). A *grid* \mathcal{G} on X is a finite collection of nonempty compact subsets of X such that

- (1) $X = \bigcup_{G \in \mathcal{G}} G$
- (2) $G = \text{cl}(\text{int}(G))$ for all $G \in \mathcal{G}$
- (3) $G \cap \text{int}(H) = \emptyset$ for all $G \neq H \in \mathcal{G}$.

When X is a subset of \mathbb{R}^n , we often take \mathcal{G} to be a cubical grid. In general, we define the *diameter*, or *resolution*, of \mathcal{G} by $\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}) = \max_{G \in \mathcal{G}} \text{diam}(G)$. The *geometric realization* $|\cdot|$ is a map from the power set of \mathcal{G} to the power set of X , given by $|\mathcal{A}| = \bigcup_{G \in \mathcal{A}} G$.

Let \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{G}' be two grids on X . We say that \mathcal{G} *refines* \mathcal{G}' , or \mathcal{G} *is a refinement* of \mathcal{G}' , or \mathcal{G}' *is a coarsening* of \mathcal{G} , and write $\mathcal{G} < \mathcal{G}'$, if for every element $G \in \mathcal{G}$ there is a $G' \in \mathcal{G}'$ such that $G \subset G'$.

We can use the map f directly to generate a multivalued map on \mathcal{G} . In section 7, we use time series to generate the map.

Given a compact metric space X , a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow X$, and a grid \mathcal{G} on X , we define the *minimal multivalued map* $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{G}}$ associated to f on \mathcal{G} by $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{G}}(G) := \{H \in \mathcal{G} : H \cap f(G) \neq \emptyset\}$. Note that $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{G}} : \mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{G}$ is an outer approximation of $f : X \rightarrow X$, meaning that $f(G) \subset \text{int}(|\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{G}}(G)|)$ for every $G \in \mathcal{G}$ ([30, Prop. 2.5]).

An *orbit* for a multivalued map $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{G}$ is a (possibly infinite or bi-infinite) sequence $\{G_i\}$ of grid elements such that $G_{i+1} \in \mathcal{F}(G_i)$ for each i .

We can identify a multivalued map $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{G}$ with a directed graph, also denoted \mathcal{F} , with the grid elements G of \mathcal{G} as the vertices and an edge from G to H if $H \in \mathcal{F}(G)$. An orbit for the map corresponds to a path in the graph.

Example 2.2. In Figure 1, we have a simple one-dimensional example, showing only the grid elements and edges relevant to the example. The grids grow increasingly coarser. Going from (A) to (B), for example, G_1 and G_2 are merged, as are G_3 and G_4 .

We define the *inverse* of \mathcal{F} by $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(G) := \{H \in \mathcal{G} : G \in \mathcal{F}(H)\}$. We say that a subset $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{G}$ is *invariant* if $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{S})$ and $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{S})$.

We say that \mathcal{F} is *closed* if $\mathcal{F}(G) \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(G) \neq \emptyset$ for every $G \in \mathcal{G}$. Equivalently, each vertex has at least one edge coming in and one edge going out, that




FIGURE 1. Grid maps.

is, there are no stranded vertices. If f is surjective (in particular, if f is a homeomorphism), then the minimal multivalued map associated to f , \mathcal{F}^G , is closed ([30, Prop. 3.2]). More generally, since there are no bi-infinite orbits through a stranded vertex, we can remove all stranded vertices from \mathcal{F} without affecting the dynamics. Thus we can assume that each \mathcal{F} is closed.

3. MORSE DECOMPOSITIONS

There are many notions of recurrence for dynamical systems ([1, 3]), but recurrence is simpler for maps $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{G}$. We say that a grid element G is *recurrent* if there is a nontrivial orbit from G to itself. Grid elements G and H are equivalent if there are orbits from G to H and from H to G . This gives an equivalence relation on the recurrent set. The equivalence classes correspond exactly to the nontrivial strongly connected components of the graph \mathcal{F} .

Definition 3.1 ([39]). A *Morse decomposition* \mathcal{M} for a closed multivalued map $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{G}$ is a collection of invariant sets $\mathcal{S}_1, \dots, \mathcal{S}_n$, called Morse sets, with a partial order \succeq such that for any bi-infinite orbit $\{G_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$, either there exists an \mathcal{S}_j such that $G_i \in \mathcal{S}_j$ for all i , or there exist integers $i^- < i^+$ and Morse sets $\mathcal{S}_j \succ \mathcal{S}_k$ such that $G_i \in \mathcal{S}_j$ for all $i \leq i^-$ and $G_i \in \mathcal{S}_k$ for all $i \geq i^+$. That is, every complete orbit either is contained in one of the Morse sets, or begins in one Morse set and ends in another, lower Morse set.

The equivalence classes of the recurrent set (the strongly connected components of \mathcal{F}) are the Morse sets of the finest Morse decomposition \mathcal{M}^G ([20, Thm. 4.1]). The partial order \succeq is given by $\mathcal{S}_j \succeq \mathcal{S}_k$ if there is an orbit going from \mathcal{S}_j to \mathcal{S}_k . We observe that in this case, if there is an orbit from \mathcal{S}_j to \mathcal{S}_k and an orbit from \mathcal{S}_k to \mathcal{S}_l , then there is an orbit from \mathcal{S}_j to \mathcal{S}_l , because there are orbits between any two points within a Morse set. This differs from the case of Morse decompositions for maps, where there can be an orbit from one Morse set to another, and from the second to a third, but not from the first to the third.

Example 3.2. In Figure 1(A), the Morse sets are $\{G_2\}$, $\{G_6\}$, and $\{G_7\}$. In (B), the first has grown to the merged grid element $\{G_1 \cup G_2\}$. In (C), we add the Morse set consisting of the merged $G_3 \cup G_4 \cup G_5$. Finally, in (D) the Morse sets $\{G_6\}$ and $\{G_7\}$ are merged into the single Morse set $\{G_6 \cup G_7\}$.

We are interested not in just the Morse sets themselves, but in the dynamics of \mathcal{F} on each set as well. On each \mathcal{S}_j , \mathcal{F} induces the restriction map $\mathcal{F}_j : \mathcal{S}_j \rightrightarrows \mathcal{S}_j$, given by $\mathcal{F}_j(G) = \mathcal{F}(G) \cap \mathcal{S}_j$. Equivalently, \mathcal{F}_j is the induced subgraph of \mathcal{F} on the vertices in \mathcal{S}_j . We define \mathfrak{M} , the *augmented Morse decomposition*, to be the Morse decomposition along with the induced maps,

$$\mathfrak{M} = (\mathcal{M}, \{\mathcal{F}_j : \mathcal{S}_j \rightrightarrows \mathcal{S}_j\}) = (\{\mathcal{S}_j\}, \succeq, \{\mathcal{F}_j : \mathcal{S}_j \rightrightarrows \mathcal{S}_j\}).$$

Topological dynamics on graphs is discussed more generally in [6]. It is shown in [1, 30, 43], in slightly varying contexts, that as the diameter of the grid goes to 0, the recurrent set of $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{G}}$ limits on the chain recurrent set for the underlying map f . For more on the role of Morse decompositions in computational dynamics, see, for example, [8, 19, 25, 31, 38].

4. PERSISTENCE

Let $\{\mathcal{G}_k\}$ be a collection of grids for X , partially ordered by refinement. Our goal is to show that this collection gives persistence of augmented Morse decompositions, in the following sense, which we will make precise.

For a given grid \mathcal{G}_k , with associated minimal multivalued map $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{G}_k}$, denote by

$$\mathfrak{M}^{\mathcal{G}_k} = (\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{G}_k}, \{\mathcal{F}_j^{\mathcal{G}_k} : \mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}_k} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}_k}\}) = (\{\mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}_k}\}, \succeq^{\mathcal{G}_k}, \{\mathcal{F}_j^{\mathcal{G}_k} : \mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}_k} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}_k}\})$$

the corresponding finest augmented Morse decomposition, arising from the strongly connected components of $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{G}_k}$. Then for every $\mathcal{G}_{k_1} < \mathcal{G}_{k_2}$, there is an appropriately defined morphism $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathcal{G}_{k_1}}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_2}} : \mathfrak{M}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_1}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_2}}$ that respects the Morse sets, the partial order, and the induced maps. If $\mathcal{G}_{k_1} < \mathcal{G}_{k_2} < \mathcal{G}_{k_3}$, then $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathcal{G}_{k_2}}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_3}} \circ \mathfrak{h}_{\mathcal{G}_{k_1}}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_2}} = \mathfrak{h}_{\mathcal{G}_{k_1}}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_3}}$.

We will proceed as follows. It is straightforward to show that grid refinement gives persistence of the minimal multivalued maps associated to the grids. It then follows that the multivalued map persistence induces persistence of the augmented Morse decompositions. (There are somewhat analogous results, using covers instead of grids, in [42].)

Definition 4.1. Let \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{G}' be grids, and $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{F}' : \mathcal{G}' \rightrightarrows \mathcal{G}'$ be multivalued maps. A (single-valued) map $h : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$ is a *grid map morphism* if $h(\mathcal{F}(G)) \subset \mathcal{F}'(h(G))$ for all $G \in \mathcal{G}$. Equivalently, h is a directed graph homomorphism from \mathcal{F} to \mathcal{F}' .

Proposition 4.2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous map of a compact metric space, and let $\{\mathcal{G}_k\}$ be a collection of grids for X , partially ordered by refinement. For each k , let $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{G}_k} : \mathcal{G}_k \rightrightarrows \mathcal{G}_k$ be the minimal multivalued map associated to f on \mathcal{G}_k . Then for every $\mathcal{G}_{k_1} < \mathcal{G}_{k_2}$, there is a morphism $h_{\mathcal{G}_{k_1}}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_2}} : \mathcal{G}_{k_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{k_2}$, and if $\mathcal{G}_{k_1} < \mathcal{G}_{k_2} < \mathcal{G}_{k_3}$, then $h_{\mathcal{G}_{k_2}}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_3}} \circ h_{\mathcal{G}_{k_1}}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_2}} = h_{\mathcal{G}_{k_1}}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_3}}$.*

Proof. Take grids $\mathcal{G} < \mathcal{G}'$. Since \mathcal{G} is a refinement of \mathcal{G}' , by definition for any $G \in \mathcal{G}$ there is a $G' \in \mathcal{G}'$ such that $G \subset G'$; define h by $h(G) := G'$. If $H \in \mathcal{F}(G)$, then $H \cap f(G) \neq \emptyset$. Since $G \subset h(G)$ and $H \subset h(H)$, we have $h(H) \cap f(h(G)) \neq \emptyset$, so $h(H) \in \mathcal{F}'(h(G))$. Since H was an arbitrary element of $\mathcal{F}(G)$, we have $h(\mathcal{F}(G)) \subset$

$\mathcal{F}'(h(G))$. That $h_{k_2}^{k_3} \circ h_{k_1}^{k_2} = h_{k_1}^{k_3}$ follows from the definition; the composition of inclusions is inclusion. \square

Example 4.3. In Figure 1, we see that as the grid goes coarser, the inclusion of a grid element into a larger, merged grid element induces persistence of grid maps.

Definition 4.4. Let \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{G}' be grids, and $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{F}' : \mathcal{G}' \rightrightarrows \mathcal{G}'$ multivalued maps. Let $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{G}} = (\{\mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}}\}, \succeq^{\mathcal{G}})$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{G}'} = (\{\mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}'}\}, \succeq^{\mathcal{G}'})$ be the corresponding finest Morse decompositions, arising from the strongly connected components of \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}' . A map $\bar{h} : \{\mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}}\} \rightarrow \{\mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}'}\}$ is a *Morse decomposition morphism* if $\bar{h}(\mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}}) \succeq^{\mathcal{G}'}$ $\bar{h}(\mathcal{S}_k^{\mathcal{G}})$ whenever $\mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}} \succeq^{\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{S}_k^{\mathcal{G}}$. Let $\mathfrak{M}^{\mathcal{G}} = (\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{G}}, \{\mathcal{F}_j^{\mathcal{G}} : \mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}}\})$ and $\mathfrak{M}^{\mathcal{G}'} = (\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{G}'}, \{\mathcal{F}_j^{\mathcal{G}'} : \mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}'} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}'}\})$ be the corresponding augmented Morse decompositions. An *augmented Morse decomposition morphism* $\mathfrak{h} : \mathfrak{M}^{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^{\mathcal{G}'}$ is a pair $(\bar{h}, \{h_j\})$, where \bar{h} is a morphism between $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{G}}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{G}'}$, and for each $\mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}}$, $h_j : \mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \bar{h}(\mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}})$ is a grid map morphism.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\{\mathcal{G}_k\}$ be a collection of grids, partially ordered by refinement, with maps $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{G}_k} : \mathcal{G}_k \rightrightarrows \mathcal{G}_k$. Then persistence for grid maps induces persistence for the corresponding finest augmented Morse decompositions. That is, if for every $\mathcal{G}_{k_1} < \mathcal{G}_{k_2}$, there is a morphism $h_{k_1}^{k_2} : \mathcal{G}_{k_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{k_2}$ such that $h_{k_2}^{k_3} \circ h_{k_1}^{k_2} = h_{k_1}^{k_3}$ for any $\mathcal{G}_{k_1} < \mathcal{G}_{k_2} < \mathcal{G}_{k_3}$, then for every $\mathcal{G}_{k_1} < \mathcal{G}_{k_2}$, there is a morphism $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathcal{G}_{k_1}}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_2}} : \mathfrak{M}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_1}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_2}}$ such that $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathcal{G}_{k_2}}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_3}} \circ \mathfrak{h}_{\mathcal{G}_{k_1}}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_2}} = \mathfrak{h}_{\mathcal{G}_{k_1}}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_3}}$ for any $\mathcal{G}_{k_1} < \mathcal{G}_{k_2} < \mathcal{G}_{k_3}$.*

Proof. This is essentially the fact that directed graph homomorphisms preserve strong connectedness. Take grids $\mathcal{G} < \mathcal{G}'$ and maps $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{F}' : \mathcal{G}' \rightrightarrows \mathcal{G}'$, with morphism $h : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$. Then any \mathcal{F} -orbit $(G_0 = G, G_1, \dots, G_n = H)$ from G to $H \in \mathcal{G}$ gives an \mathcal{F}' -orbit $(h(G_0) = h(G), h(G_1), \dots, h(G_n) = h(H))$ from $h(G)$ to $h(H) \in \mathcal{G}'$. Thus if G is recurrent for \mathcal{F} , then $h(G)$ is recurrent for \mathcal{F}' , and if G and H are in the same Morse set $\mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}}$, then $h(G)$ and $h(H)$ are in the same Morse set $\mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}'}$, and we can define \bar{h} by $\bar{h}(\mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}}) := \mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}'}$, where $h(G) \in \mathcal{S}_k^{\mathcal{G}'}$ for all $G \in \mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}}$. Furthermore, if there is an \mathcal{F} -orbit from $\mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}}$ to $\mathcal{S}_k^{\mathcal{G}}$, then there is an \mathcal{F}' -orbit from $\bar{h}(\mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}})$ to $\bar{h}(\mathcal{S}_k^{\mathcal{G}})$, and so $\bar{h}(\mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}}) \succeq^{\mathcal{G}'}$ $\bar{h}(\mathcal{S}_k^{\mathcal{G}})$ whenever $\mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}} \succeq^{\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{S}_k^{\mathcal{G}}$. Thus \bar{h} is a Morse decomposition morphism.

For each $\mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}}$, we can now define $h_j : \mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \bar{h}(\mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}})$ as the restriction of h to $\mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}}$.

The identity $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathcal{G}_{k_2}}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_3}} \circ \mathfrak{h}_{\mathcal{G}_{k_1}}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_2}} = \mathfrak{h}_{\mathcal{G}_{k_1}}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_3}}$ for any $\mathcal{G}_{k_1} < \mathcal{G}_{k_2} < \mathcal{G}_{k_3}$ follows from the definition. \square

As an immediate corollary, we have persistence over grid refinement for the finest Morse decompositions.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous map of a compact metric space, and let $\{\mathcal{G}_k\}$ be a collection of grids for X , partially ordered by refinement. For each k , let $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{G}_k} : \mathcal{G}_k \rightrightarrows \mathcal{G}_k$ be the minimal multivalued map associated to f on \mathcal{G}_k . Then for every $\mathcal{G}_{k_1} < \mathcal{G}_{k_2}$, there is a morphism $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathcal{G}_{k_1}}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_2}} : \mathfrak{M}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_1}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_2}}$ such that $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathcal{G}_{k_2}}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_3}} \circ \mathfrak{h}_{\mathcal{G}_{k_1}}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_2}} = \mathfrak{h}_{\mathcal{G}_{k_1}}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_3}}$ for any $\mathcal{G}_{k_1} < \mathcal{G}_{k_2} < \mathcal{G}_{k_3}$.*

Example 4.7. In Figure 1 and Example 3.2, we see that as the grid goes coarser, the inclusion of a grid element into a larger, merged grid element induces persistence of augmented Morse decompositions.

Remark 4.8. It may seem more natural to have morphisms going the other way, from the objects corresponding to the coarser grid to those corresponding to the finer grid, in particular so that we can take finer and finer grids. One obvious way to achieve this is to take cohomology instead when we look at homology in the following sections.

More generally, there are essentially two reasons that we do not get grid map morphisms $\mathcal{G}' \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ for $\mathcal{G} < \mathcal{G}'$. First, a $G' \in \mathcal{G}'$ can correspond to multiple elements of \mathcal{G} , and second, an edge in \mathcal{G}' may not correspond to an edge in \mathcal{G} . We can address both of these issues by looking at a kind of dual graph to $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{G}}$, $(\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{G}})^*$. The vertices of $(\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{G}})^*$ are the subsets of the vertices of $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{G}}$, and there is an edge from $\{G_1, \dots, G_m\}$ to $\{H_1, \dots, H_n\}$ if for all i and j there is no edge $G_i \rightarrow H_j$ in $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{G}}$. Then there is a directed graph homomorphism $(\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{G}'})^* \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{G}})^*$ for $\mathcal{G} < \mathcal{G}'$, which gives persistence, as discussed in this section.

A disadvantage of this approach is that the vertex set is very large, but we can take advantages of symmetries to greatly reduce the computational complexity. The details of these dual graphs and the relation of their properties, such as Morse decompositions, to the properties of $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{G}}$ will be the subject of future work.

5. GLOBAL PERSISTENCE

5.1. Morse graphs. For a given grid \mathcal{G} , we can define the associated Morse graph $M^{\mathcal{G}}$ by starting with the graph $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{G}}$ and collapsing each Morse set $\mathcal{S}_i^{\mathcal{G}}$ to a single vertex. (This is essentially the condensation of $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{G}}$, or the quotient graph by the equivalence relation on the recurrent set.) This gives a directed acyclic graph that describes the gradient-like (non-recurrent) behavior of the system (see [5]). More precisely, we have the following definition.

Definition 5.1. The Morse graph $M^{\mathcal{G}}$ for the grid \mathcal{G} has the vertex set $\{v_i = \mathcal{S}_i^{\mathcal{G}}\}$, with an edge from v_i to v_j if $\mathcal{S}_i^{\mathcal{G}} \succ \mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}}$, that is, if there is an orbit from $\mathcal{S}_i^{\mathcal{G}}$ to $\mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}}$.

The vertices inherit the partial order \succeq on the Morse sets. We write $v \not\geq v'$ if the two vertices are incomparable, that is, $v \not\prec v'$ and $v' \not\prec v$. Observe that a Morse graph has no loops and no multiple edges. In addition, the edges are transitive: if there are edges $v_i \rightarrow v_j$ and $v_j \rightarrow v_k$, then there is an edge $v_i \rightarrow v_k$.

It is clear that we have persistence over grid refinement of Morse graphs, since grid map morphisms preserve orbits. To be precise, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous map of a compact metric space, and let $\{\mathcal{G}_k\}$ be a collection of grids for X , partially ordered by refinement. For each k , let $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{G}_k} : \mathcal{G}_k \rightrightarrows \mathcal{G}_k$ be the minimal multivalued map associated to f on \mathcal{G}_k . Then for every $\mathcal{G}_{k_1} < \mathcal{G}_{k_2}$, there is a directed graph homomorphism $h_{\mathcal{G}_{k_1}}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_2}} : M^{\mathcal{G}_{k_1}} \rightarrow M^{\mathcal{G}_{k_2}}$ such that $h_{\mathcal{G}_{k_2}}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_3}} \circ h_{\mathcal{G}_{k_1}}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_2}} = h_{\mathcal{G}_{k_1}}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_3}}$ for any $\mathcal{G}_{k_1} < \mathcal{G}_{k_2} < \mathcal{G}_{k_3}$.*

Example 5.3. In Figure 2, we see the Morse graphs from the example in Figure 1 and Example 3.2.

In [4, 5, 14] the authors discuss Morse-Conley graphs, stronger versions of Morse graphs containing information about a range of parameters as well as about the dynamics on individual Morse sets, in the form of the Conley index, and they consider local refinements of the grid in order to obtain more detailed information.




FIGURE 2. Persistence of Morse graphs

5.2. Merge trees for Morse graph vertices. (A general reference for merge trees and persistent homology is [21].) Example 5.3 shows that passing to a coarser grid can affect the Morse graph by adding an edge, adding a vertex, or merging vertices. (When vertices \mathcal{S}_i and \mathcal{S}_j are merged, that is, when $\bar{h}(\mathcal{S}_i) = \bar{h}(\mathcal{S}_j)$, the affected edges are also merged; any edges from the set $\bar{h}^{-1}(\bar{h}(\mathcal{S}_i))$ to a given vertex are merged into one, as are any edges from a given vertex to $\bar{h}^{-1}(\bar{h}(\mathcal{S}_i))$.) It is easy to see that these are the only possible changes.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $\mathcal{G} < \mathcal{G}'$ be two grids. Then $M^{\mathcal{G}'}$ can be obtained from $M^{\mathcal{G}}$ by a series of the following operations:*

- (1) Adding a vertex.
- (2) Adding an edge.
- (3) Merging vertices.

Proof. As we see in Figure 1 and Example 5.3, passing from $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{G}}$ to $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{G}'}$ can create new orbits, and thus add a vertex by making a set recurrent, add an edge by creating an orbit from one Morse set to another, or merge vertices by creating orbits between Morse sets or merging the grid elements in them. The fact that we cannot lose vertices or edges (without merging) follows from Proposition 5.2; every vertex is mapped to a vertex and every edge to an edge. \square

The simplest way of keeping track of the changes in the Morse graphs is by looking only at the vertices, and ignoring the edges. For an ordered set of grids $\{\mathcal{G}_k\}_{k=1}^N$, we create a merge tree as follows. For each \mathcal{G}_k , we draw the vertices of $M^{\mathcal{G}_k}$ as points. We draw an edge from the point $\mathcal{S}_i^{\mathcal{G}_k}$ in level k to the point $\mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}_{k+1}}$ in level $k+1$ if $\bar{h}(\mathcal{S}_i^{\mathcal{G}_k}) = \mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}_{k+1}}$. Figure 3 shows the merge tree for the Morse graphs in Example 5.3.

5.3. Persistent homology. The merge tree is an easy way to measure the changes in the Morse graphs, but it loses information about the gradient-like structure since it does not take into account the edges of the graphs. A simple way to do that is




FIGURE 3. Merge tree for Morse graphs

to count the cycles in the graph. (Note that while a Morse graph is acyclic as a directed graph, it may have cycles as an undirected graph.)

Cycles are concatenations of forward and backward orbit segments. The most dynamically significant cycles are created when there are two (directed) paths of the same length from a vertex v_i to a vertex v_j . We can count these by looking at the (directed) adjacency matrix A for the Morse graph M . (Since M is acyclic, A will be nilpotent.) The i, j entry of A^m gives the number of (directed) paths from v_i to v_j , so if it is greater than 1, then there is a cycle: follow one path from v_i to v_j , then the other backwards from v_j back to v_i . Unfortunately, this method can overcount the number of such cycles. If we have two paths of length m_1 from v_i to v_j and two of length m_2 from v_j to v_k , then $A^{m_1+m_2}$ will detect four paths from v_i to v_k , implying multiple cycles, when in fact there are just combinations of the two existing cycles. We can address this overcounting algebraically, in homology.

Homology for graphs is particularly simple: H_0 counts the connected components, and H_1 counts the cycles algebraically. (See [35, §VII.3].) For simplicity, we will use \mathbb{R} coefficients. Persistence for Morse graphs induces persistence for homology:

Proposition 5.5. *Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous map of a compact metric space, and let $\{\mathcal{G}_k\}$ be a collection of grids for X , partially ordered by refinement. For each k , let $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{G}_k} : \mathcal{G}_k \rightrightarrows \mathcal{G}_k$ be the minimal multivalued map associated to f on \mathcal{G}_k . Then for every $\mathcal{G}_{k_1} < \mathcal{G}_{k_2}$, there are homomorphisms $(h_{\mathcal{G}_{k_1}}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_2}})_* : H_*(M^{\mathcal{G}_{k_1}}) \rightarrow H_*(M^{\mathcal{G}_{k_2}})$ such that $(h_{\mathcal{G}_{k_2}}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_3}})_* \circ (h_{\mathcal{G}_{k_1}}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_2}})_* = (h_{\mathcal{G}_{k_1}}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_3}})_*$ for any $\mathcal{G}_{k_1} < \mathcal{G}_{k_2} < \mathcal{G}_{k_3}$.*

As we saw in Proposition 5.4, passing from a more refined grid \mathcal{G} to a coarser grid \mathcal{G}' can change $M^{\mathcal{G}}$ to $M^{\mathcal{G}'}$ by adding edges, adding vertices, or merging vertices. We now examine the effect of each change on homology. We first make some definitions for a Morse graph M . For a given vertex v , call the set of vertices above it $A(v) := \{u : u \succ v\}$, the vertices below $B(v) := \{u : u \prec v\}$, and the incomparable vertices $N(v) := \{u : u \not\geq v\}$. For a pair of vertices $v \succ v'$, call the set of vertices in between $I(v, v') := \{u : v \succ u \succ v'\} = B(v) \cap A(v')$. Let $a(v) = \#(A(v))$ and $b(v) = \#(B(v))$.

Recall that when we merge two vertices v and v' , we also merge the relevant edges (for example, edges $u \rightarrow v$ and $u \rightarrow v'$ are merged).

Theorem 5.6. *For grids $\mathcal{G} < \mathcal{G}'$, the graph $M^{\mathcal{G}'}$ can be obtained from $M^{\mathcal{G}}$ by a series of the following operations.*

- (1) Adding a vertex (with no edges).

- (2) Adding an edge $v \rightarrow v'$, where v and v' are incomparable, v is maximal in $N(v')$ (that is, $A(v) \cap N(v') = \emptyset$) and v' is minimal in $N(v)$ (that is, $B(v') \cap N(v) = \emptyset$).
- (3) Merging two vertices v and v' , such that $v \succ v'$, there are no intermediate vertices (that is, $I(v, v') = \emptyset$), and $u \succ u'$ for all $u \in A(v')$ and $u' \in B(v)$.

Proof. If coarsening the grid adds a vertex with edges, we can clearly add the vertex first, then add the edges.

If it adds an edge $u \rightarrow u'$, we claim that u and u' must be incomparable. If $u \succ u'$, then there is already an edge $u \rightarrow u'$, and we cannot add one. If $u' \succ u$, then adding an edge $u \rightarrow u'$ means that the corresponding Morse sets are now in the same equivalence class, and thus the vertices are merged. So u and u' must be incomparable. Since $M^{\mathcal{G}}$ is a Morse graph, adding an edge from $u \rightarrow u'$ implies that the resulting graph will have edges from every vertex in $\{u\} \cup A(u)$ to every vertex in $\{u'\} \cup B(u')$. We can add these edges iteratively, starting with an edge $v \rightarrow v'$ that does not force the addition of any other edges (that is, v is maximal in $N(v')$ and v' is minimal in $N(v)$), then continuing with the resulting graph. We claim that we can find such an edge by picking v to be a maximal element of $(\{u\} \cup A(u)) \cap N(u')$ and v' to be a minimal element of $(\{u'\} \cup B(u')) \cap N(v)$. Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that there exists a $y \in A(v) \cap N(v')$. Then y is not in $N(u')$ (that would contradict maximality of v), so y is comparable to u' but not to v' . Since $u' \succeq v'$, that means that $u' \succ y$, so we have $u' \succ y \succ v \succeq u$, meaning that u and u' are comparable, which is a contradiction. Thus $A(v) \cap N(v') = \emptyset$. And since $B(v') \subset \{u'\} \cup B(u')$, the minimality of v' implies that $B(v') \cap N(v) = \emptyset$.

We can merge two incomparable vertices v and u by first adding an edge from v to u . So we can assume that $v \succ u$. If $I(v, u) \neq \emptyset$, then, since $M^{\mathcal{G}}$ is a Morse graph, merging v and u into one vertex also merges everything in $I(v, u)$ with v . We can perform these mergers iteratively, starting by merging v with a maximal member v' of $I(v, u)$, that is, one with $I(v, v') = \emptyset$, continuing with the resulting graph by merging the new vertex $v \sim v'$ with a maximal member of $I(v \sim v', u)$, and so on.

Merging v and v' forces $u \succ u'$ for all $u \in A(v')$ and $u' \in B(v)$ (we already have $w \succ w'$ for all $w \in A(v)$ and $w' \in B(v')$, since $v \succ v'$). If any such edge $u \rightarrow u'$ does not already exist, that is, $u \neq u'$, then $u \not\preceq u'$: if $u' \succ u$, then we would have $v \succ u' \succ u \succ v'$, contradicting the assumption that there are no intermediate vertices between v and v' . So, by adding edges as in (2) before merging, we can assume that $u \succ u'$ for all $u \in A(v')$ and $u' \in B(v)$. □

So, to understand the effect on homology of passing from $M^{\mathcal{G}}$ to $M^{\mathcal{G}'}$ for grids $\mathcal{G} < \mathcal{G}'$, it is sufficient to understand the effects of the three operations above.

Theorem 5.7. *Let M and M' be Morse graphs.*

- (1) If M' is obtained from M by adding a vertex (with no edges), then $\dim H_0(M') = \dim H_0(M) + 1$ and $\dim H_1(M') = \dim H_1(M)$.
- (2) If M' is obtained from M by adding an edge $v \rightarrow v'$, where v and v' are incomparable, v is maximal in $N(v')$ (that is, $A(v) \cap N(v') = \emptyset$) and v' is minimal in $N(v)$ (that is, $B(v') \cap N(v) = \emptyset$), then

- (a) if v and v' are in different connected components of M , then
 - $\dim H_0(M') = \dim H_0(M) - 1$ and
 - $\dim H_1(M') = \dim H_1(M)$.
- (b) if v and v' are in the same connected component of M , then
 - $\dim H_0(M') = \dim H_0(M)$ and
 - $\dim H_1(M') = \dim H_1(M) + 1$.
- (3) If M' is obtained from M by merging two vertices v and v' , such that $v \succ v'$, there are no intermediate vertices (that is, $I(v, v') = \emptyset$), and $u \succ u'$ for all $u \in A(v')$ and $u' \in B(v)$, then
 - $\dim H_0(M') = \dim H_0(M)$ and
 - $\dim H_1(M') = \dim H_1(M) - a(v) - b(v')$.

Proof. The result in (1) is immediate, since H_0 counts connected components and H_1 counts loops.

For (2), we observe that since v is maximal in $N(v')$ and v' is minimal in $N(v)$, adding the edge does not force the existence of any other edges. Assume first that v and v' are in different connected components. Then adding the edge $v \rightarrow v'$ joins the two components, reducing $\dim H_0$ by one, and contributes nothing to $\dim H_1$.

Now consider the case with v and v' in the same connected component. Then adding the edge e from v to v' does not change the number of components, so $\dim H_0$ does not change. Since v and v' are in the same connected component, there is a path p from v' to v in the undirected graph. This gives a new cycle, pe . If p' is another path from v' to v , then in homology the loop $p'e = p'(-p) + pe$, so adding e adds only one to $\dim H_1$.

For (3), since $v \succ v'$, they are already in the same connected component, and merging them does not change $\dim H_0$. We observe that because there are no intermediate vertices, merging v and v' does not merge any other vertices, and because $u \succ u'$ for all $u \in A(v')$ and $u' \in B(v)$, it does not create any new edges.

We show that merging does not create any new loops. Let \bar{v} be the new merged vertex. Any simple loop $\bar{v}u_1u_2 \dots u_n\bar{v}$ in M' corresponds to a loop in M of the form $vu_1u_2 \dots u_nv$, $v'u_1u_2 \dots u_nv'$, $v'u_1u_2 \dots u_nv v'$, or $vu_1u_2 \dots u_nv'v$, since v and v' are adjacent in M (thought of as undirected).

However, merging can eliminate loops. If $vvv'v$ (or $v'vv'v$) is a nontrivial loop in M , then it becomes the trivial $\bar{v}u\bar{v}$ in M' . If u is above v or below v' , then $vvv'v$ is such a loop, since $v \succ v'$. Conversely, for any nontrivial loop $vvv'v$, u must be above v or below v' ; otherwise we would have $v \succ u \succ v'$, contradicting the assumption that there are no intermediate vertices between v and v' . The sets $A(v)$ and $B(v')$ are disjoint, since $v \succ v'$, so the total number of such loops is $a(v) + b(v')$.

We observe that if $vu_1 \dots u_nv$ and $v'u_1 \dots u_nv'$ are two loops in M , then in homology $vu_1 \dots u_nv = v'u_1 \dots u_nv' + v'u_nv v' + v'vu_1v'$. Similarly, if $vu_1 \dots u_nv$ and $vu_1 \dots u_nv'v$ are two loops in M , then in homology, $vu_1 \dots u_nv'v = vu_1 \dots u_nv + vu_nv'v$. Thus collapsing a loop of the form $vvv'v$ to a trivial loop reduces $\dim H_1$ by only one. Since there are $a(v) + b(v')$ such loops, the result follows. \square

6. LOCAL PERSISTENCE

The Morse graph helps us to understand the gradient-like behavior of the system. To understand the recurrent behavior, we study the dynamics on individual Morse sets. (The Conley index can rigorously relate these multivalued map dynamics to

the dynamics of the underlying system; see, for example, [5, 9, 11, 12, 15, 17, 18, 28, 37, 46].)

Let $\mathcal{G} < \mathcal{G}'$ be two grids, and $\mathfrak{M}^{\mathcal{G}} = (\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{G}}, \{\mathcal{F}_j^{\mathcal{G}} : \mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}}\})$ and $\mathfrak{M}^{\mathcal{G}'} = (\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{G}'}, \{\mathcal{F}_j^{\mathcal{G}'} : \mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}'} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}'}\})$ be the corresponding augmented Morse decompositions. We have seen that f induces a morphism of finest augmented Morse decompositions $\mathfrak{h} : \mathfrak{M}^{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^{\mathcal{G}'}$, that is, a pair $(\bar{h}, \{h_j\})$, where \bar{h} is a morphism between the finest Morse decompositions $(\{\mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}}\}, \succeq^{\mathcal{G}})$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{G}'} = (\{\mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}'}\}, \succeq^{\mathcal{G}'})$, and for each Morse set $\mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}}$, $h_j : \mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \bar{h}(\mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}'})$ is a grid map morphism. We will consider an individual Morse set $\mathcal{S}_j^{\mathcal{G}}$, which we will generally denote simply by \mathcal{S} ; we denote the corresponding Morse set in \mathcal{G}' , $\bar{h}(\mathcal{S})$, by \mathcal{S}' , the map $h_j : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$ simply by h , and the maps $\mathcal{F}_j^{\mathcal{G}} : \mathcal{S} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{F}_j^{\mathcal{G}'} : \mathcal{S}' \rightrightarrows \mathcal{S}'$ by \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}' , respectively.

As we pass from \mathcal{G} to the coarser \mathcal{G}' , a Morse set can change in two ways. The geometric realization of the Morse set can grow, that is, $|\mathcal{S}| \subsetneq |\mathcal{S}'|$, and the dynamics can change as new edges appear, that is, $h(\mathcal{F}(G)) \subsetneq \mathcal{F}'(h(G))$ for some $G \in \mathcal{S}$. We first discuss the case where the Morse set grows.

Just the mapping h which takes grid elements in $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{G}$ to coarser elements in $\mathcal{S}' \subset \mathcal{G}'$ can cause the geometric realization of the Morse set to grow. We will have $|\mathcal{S}| \subsetneq |h(\mathcal{S})|$ if there are \mathcal{G} -grid elements $G \in \mathcal{S}$ and $H \notin \mathcal{S}$ such that $|G|$ and $|H|$ are both subsets of $|h(G)|$; then $|\mathcal{S}|$ does not include all of $|h(G)|$. For example, in Figure 1(A), we have $\mathcal{S} = \{G_2\}$, but $|h(\mathcal{S})| = G_1 \cup G_2$ in (B).



Proposition 6.1. *$h(\mathcal{S})$ is an \mathcal{F}' -invariant set.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that h is a grid map morphism, so $h(\mathcal{F}(G)) \subset \mathcal{F}'(h(G))$ for all $G \in \mathcal{S}$. We need to show that $h(\mathcal{S}) \subset \mathcal{F}'(h(\mathcal{S}))$ and $h(\mathcal{S}) \subset (\mathcal{F}')^{-1}(h(\mathcal{S}))$. For the first, we have $h(\mathcal{S}) = h(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{S})) \subset \mathcal{F}'(h(\mathcal{S}))$. For the second, the fact that h is a grid map morphism implies that $h(\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{S})) \subset (\mathcal{F}')^{-1}(h(\mathcal{S}))$, so we have $h(\mathcal{S}) = h(\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{S})) \subset (\mathcal{F}')^{-1}(h(\mathcal{S}))$. \square

When the mapping $h : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$ is not surjective, $h(\mathcal{S}) \subsetneq \mathcal{S}'$, we see a change in the recurrent dynamics as we pass from \mathcal{G} to \mathcal{G}' . To better understand these changes in the size and shape of the Morse set, we can look at the persistent homology. In [20], the authors define persistent homology for Morse sets as finite topological spaces, in part to address the issue that in the grid setting, different Morse sets can have nonempty intersection. Since we are studying Morse sets individually, we can also use cubical homology [29, 40].

Even when passing from \mathcal{F} to \mathcal{F}' does not increase the size of the Morse set, that is, even when $h(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{S}'$, it can still change the dynamics by growing the image, $h(\mathcal{F}(G)) \subsetneq \mathcal{F}'(h(G))$ for some $G \in \mathcal{S}$. Equivalently, there are edges in $\mathcal{F}' : \mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$ that do not come from edges in $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. (This will not happen for the minimal multivalued map associated to $f : X \rightarrow X$, since there is an \mathcal{F}' -edge $G' \rightarrow H'$ if and only if there is an \mathcal{F} -edge $G \rightarrow H$ for some $G \in h^{-1}(G')$ and $H \in h^{-1}(H')$, but it can occur for other methods of defining multivalued grid maps, discussed in Section 7.)

So, for the rest of this section, we assume that $h : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$ is surjective. We cannot compare $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$ and $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$ directly, since they act on different spaces. To understand the change in dynamics, we compare $\mathcal{F}' : \mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$ to $\bar{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$, the map induced by \mathcal{F} on \mathcal{S}' .

(A) \mathcal{F} is 2-periodic.(B) \mathcal{F}' is mixing.FIGURE 4. Passing from \mathcal{G} to \mathcal{G}' can make the dynamics mixing.

More precisely, we define $\bar{\mathcal{F}} := h \circ \mathcal{F} \circ h^{-1}$. Thus, thinking of $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ as a graph, we have an edge $G' \rightarrow H'$ if and only if \mathcal{F} has an edge $G \rightarrow H$ for some G and H such that $h(G) = G'$ and $h(H) = H'$. We observe that $\bar{\mathcal{F}} \subset \mathcal{F}'$, that is, $\bar{\mathcal{F}}(G') \subset \mathcal{F}'(G')$ for all $G' \in \mathcal{S}'$.

Since the Morse sets correspond to the strongly connected components of the multivalued map considered as a graph, the dynamics on any Morse set are transitive, meaning that for any $G, H \in \mathcal{S}$, there is an $n > 0$ such that $H \subset \mathcal{F}^n(G)$. Thus passing from \mathcal{F} to \mathcal{F}' will not cause a radical change in the dynamics; they will still be transitive. However, it can cause one important change. Recall that \mathcal{F} is mixing if there exists an $n > 0$ such that for any $G, H \in \mathcal{S}$ and any $m \geq n$, $H \subset \mathcal{F}^m(G)$ ([32, §4.5]). Any mixing map is transitive, but not vice versa. If \mathcal{F} is transitive but not mixing, then we can partition \mathcal{S} into subsets $\mathcal{S}_1, \dots, \mathcal{S}_d$ for some d , where \mathcal{F} cyclically permutes the sets \mathcal{S}_i ($\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{S}_i) = \mathcal{S}_{i+1 \bmod d}$) and the restriction of the d th power of \mathcal{F} to each \mathcal{S}_i , $\mathcal{F}^d|_{\mathcal{S}_i} : \mathcal{S}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_i$, is mixing. If d is the minimal such integer, we call d the period of \mathcal{F} , $\text{per}(\mathcal{F})$, and say that \mathcal{F} is d -periodic (so a mixing map is 1-periodic). We see in Figure 4 that passing from \mathcal{F} to \mathcal{F}' can change the dynamics from non-mixing to mixing.

Proposition 6.2. $\text{per}(\bar{\mathcal{F}})$ divides $\text{per}(\mathcal{F})$, and $\text{per}(\mathcal{F}')$ divides $\text{per}(\bar{\mathcal{F}})$.

Proof. We use the fact that $\text{per}(\mathcal{F})$ is the greatest common divisor of the set of lengths of closed \mathcal{F} -orbits ([32, §4.5]). Since every \mathcal{F} -orbit maps to an $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ -orbit, and every $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ -orbit is an \mathcal{F}' -orbit, the result follows. \square

When h is surjective, we see that passing from \mathcal{G} to \mathcal{G}' can transform a non-mixing \mathcal{F} into a mixing \mathcal{F}' in two ways. Either h can combine two vertices that are

in different elements of the periodic partition for \mathcal{F} , or \mathcal{F}' can add an edge between two such vertices. More precisely, we have the following.

Theorem 6.3. *Let $h : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$ be surjective.*

- (1) *Let \mathcal{F} be d -periodic ($d > 1$) and let $h(G) = h(H)$ for some $G \in \mathcal{S}_i$ and $H \in \mathcal{S}_j$, where \mathcal{S}_i and \mathcal{S}_j are elements of the d -periodic partition of \mathcal{S} and $i - j$ is relatively prime to d . Then $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ is mixing.*
- (2) *Let $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ be d -periodic ($d > 1$) and let \mathcal{F}' add an edge from G' to H' for some $G' \in \mathcal{S}'_i$ and $H' \in \mathcal{S}'_j$, where \mathcal{S}'_i and \mathcal{S}'_j are elements of the d -periodic partition of \mathcal{S}' and $i - j + 1$ is relatively prime to d . (That is, $H' \in \mathcal{F}'(G')$ but $H' \notin \bar{\mathcal{F}}(G')$.) Then \mathcal{F}' is mixing.*

Observe that by Proposition 6.2, \mathcal{F}' is mixing if $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ is. Figure 4 shows an example of part (2) of the theorem.

Proof. We again use the fact that the period is the greatest common denominator of the set of lengths of closed orbits. For notational convenience, assume that we have ordered the periodic partition elements so that $i > j$.

For (1), observe that $\mathcal{F}^{i-j}(\mathcal{S}_j) = \mathcal{S}_i$, so, in particular, there is a $G_0 \in \mathcal{S}_i$ such that $G_0 \in \mathcal{F}^{i-j}(H)$. Since $\mathcal{F}^d|_{\mathcal{S}_i} : \mathcal{S}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_i$ is mixing, for some $n > 0$, there is an \mathcal{F} -orbit of length nd from G_0 to G . By concatenating, we get an \mathcal{F} -orbit of length $i - j + nd$ from H to G ; its image under h is a closed $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ -orbit from $h(H) \sim h(G)$ to itself of the same length. Since $\gcd(d, i - j + nd) = 1$, $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ is mixing.

The proof of (2) is similar. There is a $G'_0 \in \mathcal{S}'_i$ such that $G'_0 \in \bar{\mathcal{F}}^{i-j-1}(H)$. Since $\bar{\mathcal{F}}^d|_{\mathcal{S}'_i} : \mathcal{S}'_i \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'_i$ is mixing, for some $n > 0$, there is an $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ -orbit of length nd from G'_0 to G' . Concatenating the orbit from H' to G'_0 , the orbit from G'_0 to G' , and the (length-one) \mathcal{F}' -orbit from G' to H' , and recalling that every $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ -orbit is *a fortiori* an \mathcal{F}' -orbit, we get a closed orbit of length $i - j + nd + 1$. Again, since $\gcd(d, i - j + 1 + nd) = 1$, \mathcal{F}' is mixing. □

7. TIME SERIES

In applications, we may not have complete information about the map $f : X \rightarrow X$, or it may be too difficult to compute. We can also get multivalued grid maps from time series, either from sampling the phase space directly or from observations. Reconstructing dynamics from time series is a very active area of research. See, for example, [2, 7, 11, 23, 24, 34, 36, 44, 45]. In particular, in [38] the authors create a Morse decomposition for the time series map.

7.1. Observations. We largely follow the presentation in [38]. Let $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a continuous map. We think of π as an observation of the state of the system. It can measure m different scalar quantities, or a single quantity π_0 at m time steps:

$$\pi(x) = (\pi_0(x), \pi_0(f(x)), \dots, \pi_0(f^{m-1}(x))).$$

Our data consist of observations of a finite number of finite orbit segments. More precisely, let $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^K$ be a set of initial points in X . For each x_i , we take observations from the first N_i points on the orbit of x_i , and define $y_i^j := \pi(f^j(x_i))$ for $0 \leq j \leq N_i - 1$. Let $\mathbf{y}_i = (y_i^0, \dots, y_i^{N_i-1})$ denote the observations from initial point x_i , and $O = \{\mathbf{y}_i\}$ the set of all observations.

Now take a grid \mathcal{G} on $\pi(X) \subset \mathbb{R}^m$. We define the multivalued map $\mathcal{F}_O^{\mathcal{G}} : \mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{G}$ associated to O on \mathcal{G} by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_O^{\mathcal{G}}(G) = \{H \in \mathcal{G} : \text{there exist } \mathbf{y}_i \in O \text{ and } j, 0 \leq j < N_i - 1, \\ \text{such that } y_i^j \in G, y_i^{j+1} \in H\}. \end{aligned}$$

As with the minimal multivalued map associated to f in Section 2, we trim any stranded vertices from the graph associated to $\mathcal{F}_O^{\mathcal{G}}$.

We can define a Morse decomposition for $\mathcal{F}_O^{\mathcal{G}}$ just as before: Let \mathcal{M}_O be the finest Morse decomposition associated to O , corresponding to the strongly connected components of $\mathcal{F}_O^{\mathcal{G}}$. We now show that we get persistence over grid refinement. We begin with the following analogue of Proposition 4.2.

Proposition 7.1. *Let O be a set of observations, and let $\{\mathcal{G}_k\}$ be a collection of grids for $\pi(X)$, partially ordered by refinement. For each k , let $\mathcal{F}_O^{\mathcal{G}_k} : \mathcal{G}_k \rightrightarrows \mathcal{G}_k$ be the multivalued map associated to O on \mathcal{G}_k . Then for every $\mathcal{G}_{k_1} < \mathcal{G}_{k_2}$, there is a morphism $h_{k_1}^{k_2} : \mathcal{G}_{k_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{k_2}$, and if $\mathcal{G}_{k_1} < \mathcal{G}_{k_2} < \mathcal{G}_{k_3}$, then $h_{k_2}^{k_3} \circ h_{k_1}^{k_2} = h_{k_1}^{k_3}$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Proposition 4.2. Take grids $\mathcal{G} < \mathcal{G}'$. Since \mathcal{G} is a refinement of \mathcal{G}' , by definition for any $G \in \mathcal{G}$ there is a $G' \in \mathcal{G}'$ such that $G \subset G'$; define h by $h(G) := G'$. If $y_i^j \in G$ and $y_i^{j+1} \in H$, then $y_i^j \in h(G)$ and $y_i^{j+1} \in h(H)$. Thus we have $h(\mathcal{F}_O(G)) \subset \mathcal{F}_O(h(G))$. It follows from the definition that $h_{k_2}^{k_3} \circ h_{k_1}^{k_2} = h_{k_1}^{k_3}$. \square

Corollary 7.2. *Let O be a set of observations, and let $\{\mathcal{G}_k\}$ be a collection of grids for $\pi(X)$, partially ordered by refinement. For each k , let $\mathcal{F}_O^{\mathcal{G}_k} : \mathcal{G}_k \rightrightarrows \mathcal{G}_k$ be the multivalued map associated to O on \mathcal{G}_k . Then for every $\mathcal{G}_{k_1} < \mathcal{G}_{k_2}$, there is a morphism $h_{\mathcal{G}_{k_1}}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_2}} : \mathfrak{M}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_1}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_2}}$ such that $h_{\mathcal{G}_{k_2}}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_3}} \circ h_{\mathcal{G}_{k_1}}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_2}} = h_{\mathcal{G}_{k_1}}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_3}}$ for any $\mathcal{G}_{k_1} < \mathcal{G}_{k_2} < \mathcal{G}_{k_3}$.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 7.1 and Lemma 4.5. \square

As a consequence, all of the results on global and local persistence from Sections 5 and 6 apply to the maps $\mathcal{F}_O^{\mathcal{G}}$ arising from time series of observations.

7.2. Sampled dynamics. Instead of taking observations, we can also gather information about the map $f : X \rightarrow X$ by sampling the phase space directly. Abstractly, in our setting, the two approaches are essentially the same, but they are conceptually different ways of looking at the dynamics, so we treat them separately. We generally follow the presentation in [36].

Our data consist of a sample of the dynamics, in the form of pairs of points, $D := \{(x_i, y_i) : y_i = f(x_i)\}_{i=1}^K$. For a grid \mathcal{G} on X , we define the multivalued map $\mathcal{F}_D^{\mathcal{G}} : \mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{G}$ associated to D on \mathcal{G} by

$$\mathcal{F}_D^{\mathcal{G}}(G) = \{H \in \mathcal{G} : \text{there exists } (x_i, y_i) \in D \text{ with } x_i \in G, y_i \in H\}.$$

We proceed just as we did with the observations. We trim any stranded vertices from the graph associated to $\mathcal{F}_D^{\mathcal{G}}$. We define \mathcal{M}_D to be the finest Morse decomposition associated to D , corresponding to the strongly connected components of $\mathcal{F}_D^{\mathcal{G}}$. And we get persistence over grid refinement.

Proposition 7.3. *Let D be a set of sampled data, and let $\{\mathcal{G}_k\}$ be a collection of grids for X , partially ordered by refinement. For each k , let $\mathcal{F}_D^{\mathcal{G}_k} : \mathcal{G}_k \rightrightarrows \mathcal{G}_k$ be the multivalued map associated to D on \mathcal{G}_k . Then for every $\mathcal{G}_{k_1} < \mathcal{G}_{k_2}$, there is a morphism $h_{k_1}^{k_2} : \mathcal{G}_{k_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{k_2}$, and if $\mathcal{G}_{k_1} < \mathcal{G}_{k_2} < \mathcal{G}_{k_3}$, then $h_{k_2}^{k_3} \circ h_{k_1}^{k_2} = h_{k_1}^{k_3}$.*

Proof. The proof is essentially identical to that of Proposition 7.1. Take grids $\mathcal{G} < \mathcal{G}'$. Since \mathcal{G} is a refinement of \mathcal{G}' , by definition for any $G \in \mathcal{G}$ there is a $G' \in \mathcal{G}'$ such that $G \subset G'$; define h by $h(G) := G'$. If $x_i \in G$ and $y_i \in H$, then $x_i \in h(G)$ and $y_i \in h(H)$. Thus we have $h(\mathcal{F}_D(G)) \subset \mathcal{F}_D(h(G))$. It follows from the definition that $h_{k_2}^{k_3} \circ h_{k_1}^{k_2} = h_{k_1}^{k_3}$. \square

Corollary 7.4. *Let D be a set of sampled data, and let $\{\mathcal{G}_k\}$ be a collection of grids for X , partially ordered by refinement. For each k , let $\mathcal{F}_D^{\mathcal{G}_k} : \mathcal{G}_k \rightrightarrows \mathcal{G}_k$ be the multivalued map associated to D on \mathcal{G}_k . Then for every $\mathcal{G}_{k_1} < \mathcal{G}_{k_2}$, there is a morphism $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathcal{G}_{k_1}}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_2}} : \mathfrak{M}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_1}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_2}}$ such that $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathcal{G}_{k_2}}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_3}} \circ \mathfrak{h}_{\mathcal{G}_{k_1}}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_2}} = \mathfrak{h}_{\mathcal{G}_{k_1}}^{\mathcal{G}_{k_3}}$ for any $\mathcal{G}_{k_1} < \mathcal{G}_{k_2} < \mathcal{G}_{k_3}$.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 7.3 and Lemma 4.5. \square

Thus all of the results on persistence from Sections 5 and 6 apply to the maps $\mathcal{F}_D^{\mathcal{G}}$ arising from time series of sampled dynamics as well.

7.3. Generalizations. In applications, the time series data may be noisy, and we may not want to construct grid maps with images corresponding to every data point, as we do above. Instead, we may require that they meet some type of frequency threshold. We discuss two such methods, and their relation to persistence.

One such method is discussed in [20]. Translating their approach slightly to our setting, of a grid \mathcal{G} and sampled data points $D = \{(x_i, y_i) : y_i = f(x_i)\}_{i=1}^K$, they define functions $n(G, H) := \#\{x_i : x_i \in G, y_i \in H\}$ and $n_{max}(\mathcal{G}) := \max_{G, H \in \mathcal{G}} \{n(G, H)\}$. They then define the grid map $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_D^{\mathcal{G}} : \mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{G}$ by

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_D^{\mathcal{G}}(G) := \{H : n(G, H) > \mu \cdot n_{max}(\mathcal{G})\},$$

for some threshold parameter μ . (They then take the convex hull, but we can ignore that for our purposes.)

For a fixed threshold μ , this method will not give persistence over grid refinement. If $\mathcal{G} < \mathcal{G}'$ and $H \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_D^{\mathcal{G}}(G)$, it will not necessarily be true that $h(H) \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_D^{\mathcal{G}'}(h(G))$. Let $M = M(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}') := \max_{G' \in \mathcal{G}'} \#\{h^{-1}(G')\}$, the maximum number of \mathcal{G} -grid elements any \mathcal{G}' -grid element is subdivided into. Then $n_{max}(\mathcal{G}')$ can be as great as $M^2 \cdot n_{max}(\mathcal{G})$, if there are elements $G', H' \in \mathcal{G}'$ such that $n(G, H) = n_{max}(\mathcal{G})$ for all $G \in h^{-1}(G')$ and $H \in h^{-1}(H')$. At the same time, there can be $G', H' \in \mathcal{G}'$ such that $n(G', H') = n(G, H)$ for some $G \in h^{-1}(G')$ and $H \in h^{-1}(H')$, if there are no data points going from any other element of $h^{-1}(G')$ to any other element of $h^{-1}(H')$. Thus $n(G, H) > \mu \cdot n_{max}(\mathcal{G})$ does not imply $n(h(G), h(H)) > \mu \cdot n_{max}(\mathcal{G}')$.

However, if we can choose the threshold μ to depend on the grids \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{G}' (and *not* on the data D), we can get persistence. Since $n(h(G), h(H)) \geq n(G, H)$ and $n_{max}(\mathcal{G}') \leq M^2 \cdot n_{max}(\mathcal{G})$, if we choose a threshold $\mu(\mathcal{G}') \leq \frac{\mu(\mathcal{G})}{M^2}$, we will get persistence.

Morita et al. present another method in [38]. They define $v(G) := \#\{x_i : x_i \in G\}$ and the transition probability $t(G, H) := \frac{n(G, H)}{v(G)}$. They then define the grid map

$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_D^{\mathcal{G}} : \mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{G}$ by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_D^{\mathcal{G}}(G) := \{H : t(G, H) \geq \lambda \cdot t(H, G) \text{ and } n(G, H) \geq \mu\},$$

for some threshold parameters λ and μ . This definition will not give persistence, and there is no way to get persistence by choosing thresholds based solely on the grids \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{G}' : we can have $t(G, H) > t(H, G)$, but $\frac{t(h(G), h(H))}{t(h(H), h(G))}$ arbitrarily small, if there are many data points going from the other elements of $h^{-1}(H)$ to the other elements of $h^{-1}(G)$. However, we may be able to get persistence if we construct thresholds based on characteristics of the data as well as of the grids. This is not necessarily an unreasonable requirement, given that in practice we may want to choose refinements based on the density of the data points anyway.

Both of these results can be generalized. Characterizing persistent methods for constructing grid maps from time series will be the subject of future work.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Akin, *The general topology of dynamical systems*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 1, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1993. MR1219737
- [2] Z. Alexander, E. Bradley, J. D. Meiss, and N. F. Sanderson, *Simplicial multivalued maps and the witness complex for dynamical analysis of time series*, SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst. **14** (2015), no. 3, 1278–1307. MR3369984
- [3] J. M. Alongi and G. S. Nelson, *Recurrence and topology*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 85, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007. MR2330357
- [4] Z. Arai, M. Gameiro, T. Gedeon, H. Kokubu, K. Mischaikow, and H. Oka, *Graph-based topological approximation of saddle-node bifurcation in maps*, Far-from-equilibrium dynamics, 2012, pp. 225–241. MR3156802
- [5] Z. Arai, W. Kalies, H. Kokubu, K. Mischaikow, H. Oka, and P. Pilarczyk, *A database schema for the analysis of global dynamics of multiparameter systems*, SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst. **8** (2009), no. 3, 757–789. MR2533624
- [6] J. Ayala and W. Kliemann, *Topological dynamics on finite directed graphs*, Topology Appl. **241** (2018), 345–362. MR3794173
- [7] A. W. Baker, *Lower bounds on entropy via the Conley index with application to time series*, Topology Appl. **120** (2002), no. 3, 333–354. MR1897266
- [8] H. Ban and W. D. Kalies, *A Computational Approach to Conley’s Decomposition Theorem*, Journal of Computational and Nonlinear Dynamics **1** (2006), no. 4, 312–319.
- [9] B. Batko, *Weak index pairs and the Conley index for discrete multivalued dynamical systems. Part II: Properties of the index*, SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst. **16** (2017), no. 3, 1587–1617. MR3687843
- [10] B. Batko, T. Kaczynski, M. Mrozek, and T. Wanner, *Linking combinatorial and classical dynamics: Conley index and Morse decompositions*, Found. Comput. Math. **20** (2020), no. 5, 967–1012. MR4156992
- [11] B. Batko, K. Mischaikow, M. Mrozek, and M. Przybylski, *Conley index approach to sampled dynamics*, SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst. **19** (2020), no. 1, 665–704. MR4080379
- [12] B. Batko and M. Mrozek, *Weak index pairs and the Conley index for discrete multivalued dynamical systems*, SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst. **15** (2016), no. 2, 1143–1162. MR3513850
- [13] U. Bauer, H. Edelsbrunner, G. Jabłoński, and M. Mrozek, *Čech-Delaunay gradient flow and homology inference for self-maps*, J. Appl. Comput. Topol. **4** (2020), no. 4, 455–480. MR4163432
- [14] J. Bush, M. Gameiro, S. Harker, H. Kokubu, K. Mischaikow, I. Obayashi, and P. Pilarczyk, *Combinatorial-topological framework for the analysis of global dynamics*, Chaos **22** (2012), no. 4, 047508, 16. MR3388721
- [15] C. Conley, *Isolated invariant sets and the Morse index*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 38, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1978. MR511133
- [16] S. Day, *Dynamics and chaos for maps and the Conley index*, Rigorous numerics in dynamics, 2018, pp. 175–194. MR3792795

- [17] S. Day and R. Frongillo, *Sofic shifts via Conley index theory: computing lower bounds on recurrent dynamics for maps*, SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst. **18** (2019), no. 3, 1610–1642. MR4002713
- [18] S. Day, R. Frongillo, and R. Treviño, *Algorithms for rigorous entropy bounds and symbolic dynamics*, SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst. **7** (2008), no. 4, 1477–1506. MR2470974
- [19] S. Day and W. D. Kalies, *Rigorous computation of the global dynamics of integrodifference equations with smooth nonlinearities*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **51** (2013), no. 6, 2957–2983. MR3124898
- [20] T. K. Dey, M. Juda, T. Kapela, J. Kubica, M. Lipiński, and M. Mrozek, *Persistent homology of Morse decompositions in combinatorial dynamics*, SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst. **18** (2019), no. 1, 510–530. MR3922908
- [21] H. Edelsbrunner and J. L. Harer, *Computational topology*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010. An introduction. MR2572029
- [22] H. Edelsbrunner, G. Jabłoński, and M. Mrozek, *The persistent homology of a self-map*, Found. Comput. Math. **15** (2015), no. 5, 1213–1244. MR3394709
- [23] J. Garland, E. Bradley, and J. D. Meiss, *Exploring the topology of dynamical reconstructions*, Phys. D **334** (2016), 49–59. MR3545969
- [24] S. Gholizadeh and W. Zadrozny, *A short survey of topological data analysis in time series and systems analysis*, ArXiv [abs/1809.10745](https://arxiv.org/abs/1809.10745) (2018).
- [25] A. Goulet, S. Harker, K. Mischaikow, W. D. Kalies, and D. Kasti, *Efficient computation of Lyapunov functions for Morse decompositions*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B **20** (2015), no. 8, 2418–2451. MR3423241
- [26] P.-A. Guihéneuf, *Dynamical properties of spatial discretizations of a generic homeomorphism*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **35** (2015), no. 5, 1474–1523. MR3365731
- [27] F. Y. Hunt, *Finite precision representation of the Conley decomposition*, J. Dynam. Differential Equations **13** (2001), no. 1, 87–105. MR1822213
- [28] T. Kaczynski and M. Mrozek, *Conley index for discrete multi-valued dynamical systems*, Topology Appl. **65** (1995), no. 1, 83–96. MR1354383
- [29] T. Kaczynski, K. Mischaikow, and M. Mrozek, *Computational homology*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, vol. 157, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004. MR2028588
- [30] W. D. Kalies, K. Mischaikow, and R. C. A. M. VanderVorst, *An algorithmic approach to chain recurrence*, Found. Comput. Math. **5** (2005), no. 4, 409–449. MR2189545
- [31] W. D. Kalies, D. Kasti, and R. Vandervorst, *An algorithmic approach to lattices and order in dynamics*, SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst. **17** (2018), no. 2, 1617–1649. MR3810499
- [32] D. Lind and B. Marcus, *An introduction to symbolic dynamics and coding*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. MR1369092
- [33] S. Luzzatto and P. Pilarczyk, *Finite resolution dynamics*, Found. Comput. Math. **11** (2011), no. 2, 211–239. MR2776399
- [34] S. Maletić, Y. Zhao, and M. Rajković, *Persistent topological features of dynamical systems*, Chaos **26** (2016), no. 5, 053105, 14. MR3501790
- [35] W. S. Massey, *A basic course in algebraic topology*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 127, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991. MR1095046
- [36] K. Mischaikow, M. Mrozek, J. Reiss, and A. Szymczak, *Construction of symbolic dynamics from experimental time series*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82** (1999), 1144–1147.
- [37] K. Mischaikow and M. Mrozek, *Chaos in the Lorenz equations: a computer-assisted proof*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **32** (1995), no. 1, 66–72. MR1276767
- [38] H. Morita, M. Inatsu, and H. Kokubu, *Topological computation analysis of meteorological time-series data*, SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst. **18** (2019), no. 2, 1200–1222. MR3968235
- [39] M. Mrozek, *An algorithm approach to the Conley index theory*, J. Dynam. Differential Equations **11** (1999), no. 4, 711–734. MR1725408
- [40] ———, *Topological dynamics: rigorous numerics via cubical homology*, Advances in applied and computational topology, 2012, pp. 41–73. MR2963601
- [41] D. E. Norton, *Discrete approximations and computer investigations of isolated invariant sets*, Communications in difference equations (Poznan, 1998), 2000, pp. 283–290. MR1792012
- [42] G. Osipenko, *Dynamical systems, graphs, and algorithms*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1889, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2007. Appendix A by N. B. Ampilova and Appendix B by Danny Fundinger. MR2269800

- [43] G. Osipenko and S. Campbell, *Applied symbolic dynamics: attractors and filtrations*, Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems **5** (1999), no. 1, 43–60. MR1664473
- [44] J. A. Perea, *Topological times series analysis*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **66** (2019), no. 5, 686–694. MR3929469
- [45] C. M. M. Pereira and R. F. de Mello, *Persistent homology for time series and spatial data clustering*, Expert Systems with Applications **42** (2015), no. 15, 6026–6038.
- [46] K. Stolot, *Homotopy Conley index for discrete multivalued dynamical systems*, Topology Appl. **153** (2006), no. 18, 3528–3545. MR2270603
- [47] A. Szymczak, *Hierarchy of stable Morse decompositions*, IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics **19** (May 2013), no. 5, 799–810.

AGNES SCOTT COLLEGE, DECATUR, GA 30030
Email address: jwiseman@agnesscott.edu