

On cyclic and nontransitive probabilities*

Pavle Vuksanovic[†] A.J. Hildebrand[‡]

August 30, 2020

Abstract

Motivated by classical nontransitivity paradoxes, we call an n -tuple $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [0, 1]^n$ *cyclic* if there exist independent random variables U_1, \dots, U_n with $P(U_i = U_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$ such that $P(U_{i+1} > U_i) = x_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $P(U_1 > U_n) = x_n$. We call the tuple (x_1, \dots, x_n) *nontransitive* if it is cyclic and in addition satisfies $x_i > 1/2$ for all i .

Let p_n (resp. p_n^*) denote the probability that a randomly chosen n -tuple $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [0, 1]^n$ is cyclic (resp. nontransitive). We determine p_3 and p_3^* exactly, while for $n \geq 4$ we give upper and lower bounds for p_n that show that p_n converges to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We also determine the distribution of the smallest, middle, and largest elements in a cyclic triple.

1 Introduction

A classic example of a nontransitive probability paradox is provided by the *Efron dice*, a set of four dice invented by Bradley Efron and popularized by Martin Gardner [8]. The Efron dice are six-sided dice with face values given as follows:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} A &= \{0, 0, 4, 4, 4, 4\}, & B &= \{1, 1, 1, 5, 5, 5\}, \\ C &= \{2, 2, 2, 2, 6, 6\}, & D &= \{3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3\}. \end{aligned}$$

One can easily check that, with probability $2/3$ each, B beats A , C beats B , and D beats C while, at the same time, A beats D with probability $2/3$. In this sense, the dice A , B , C , D form a nontransitive cycle.

More formally, if we let A , B , C , D denote independent discrete random variables that are uniformly distributed over the values listed in (1.1), then these variables satisfy

$$(1.2) \quad P(B > A) = P(C > B) = P(D > C) = P(A > D) = \frac{2}{3}.$$

*Subject Classification: 60C05, 91A60

[†]University of Illinois, email pavlev2@illinois.edu

[‡]University of Illinois, email ajh@illinois.edu (corresponding author)

Another classic example of nontransitivity is given by the following set of three-sided dice which seems to have first appeared (in a different, but equivalent, context) in a paper by Moon and Moser [16]:

$$(1.3) \quad A = \{1, 5, 9\}, \quad B = \{2, 6, 7\}, \quad C = \{3, 4, 8\}.$$

The three-sided dice A, B, C defined by (1.3) form a nontransitive cycle with probabilities

$$(1.4) \quad P(B > A) = P(C > B) = P(A > C) = \frac{5}{9}.$$

There now exists a large body of research motivated by such nontransitivity phenomena; see [2, 5, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13, 15] for some recent work on this subject.

It is natural to ask what the most “extreme” level of nontransitivity is that can be achieved with constructions such as the Efron and Moon-Moser dice. Can one replace the probabilities $2/3$ and $5/9$ in (1.2) and (1.4) by even larger numbers?

To formalize this question, one can consider, for each integer $n \geq 3$, the quantity

$$(1.5) \quad \pi_n = \max \min (P(U_2 > U_1), \dots, P(U_n > U_{n-1}), P(U_1 > U_n)),$$

where the maximum is taken over all sets of independent random variables U_1, \dots, U_n . The Efron and Moon-Moser dice constructions show that $\pi_4 \geq 2/3$ and $\pi_3 \geq 5/9$.

The quantities π_n were first investigated in the 1960s by Steinhaus and Trybula [18], Trybula [21, 22], Chang [6], and Usiskin [23] who showed that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi_n = 3/4$ and determined the first few values of π_n . In particular, the values of π_3 and π_4 are given by

$$(1.6) \quad \pi_3 = \frac{\sqrt{5} - 1}{2}, \quad \pi_4 = \frac{2}{3}.$$

Thus the Efron dice construction is best-possible in the sense of achieving the value π_n for $n = 4$; meanwhile, the Moon-Moser dice construction for $n = 3$ is not best-possible as $5/9 < (\sqrt{5} - 1)/2$. More recently (see, e.g., [3, 11, 17]) it was shown that, for any $n \geq 3$,

$$(1.7) \quad \pi_n = 1 - \frac{1}{4 \cos^2(\pi/(n+2))}.$$

Interestingly, the numbers π_n defined by (1.5) have come up independently in very different contexts such as graph theory [4, 14, 17] and theoretical computer science [12, 20].

In this paper, we focus on another aspect of the nontransitivity phenomenon that has received less attention in the literature, namely the question of which n -tuples can be realized as a tuple of *cyclic probabilities* $(P(U_2 > U_1), \dots, P(U_n > U_{n-1}), P(U_1 > U_n))$, and how common such tuples are among all n -tuples in $[0, 1]^n$. We introduce the following definitions:

Definition 1.1 (Cyclic and nontransitive tuples). Let n be an integer with $n \geq 3$.

- (i) An n -tuple $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [0, 1]^n$ is called *cyclic* if there exist independent random variables U_1, \dots, U_n with $P(U_i = U_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$ such that

$$(1.8) \quad P(U_{i+1} > U_i) = x_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, n-1) \text{ and } P(U_1 > U_n) = x_n.$$

- (ii) An n -tuple $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [0, 1]^n$ is called *nontransitive* if it is cyclic and satisfies $x_i > 1/2$ for all i .

The dice examples (1.1) and (1.3) show that the 4-tuple $(2/3, 2/3, 2/3, 2/3)$ and the triple $(5/9, 5/9, 5/9)$ are nontransitive and, hence, also cyclic. The n -tuple $(1/2, 1/2, \dots, 1/2)$ is cyclic for any $n \geq 3$ as can be seen by taking U_1, \dots, U_n to be independent random variables with a continuous common distribution.

On the other hand, the tuple $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ is not cyclic. Indeed, otherwise there would exist random variables U_i such that, with probability 1, both $U_1 < U_2 < \dots < U_n$ and $U_n < U_1$ hold. But the first of these relations implies that $U_1 < U_n$ holds with probability 1, contradicting the second relation. A similar contradiction arises whenever the components of (x_1, \dots, x_n) are sufficiently close to 1.

The first non-trivial case of Definition 1.1 is the case $n = 3$, i.e., the case of cyclic *triples*. For this case, Trybula [21] and, independently, Suck [19], gave necessary and sufficient conditions for a triple (x, y, z) to be cyclic (see Lemma 2.3 below). For $n \geq 4$ a complete characterization of cyclic n -tuples is not known, though some partial results are known (see, for example, Trybula [22]).

In this paper we consider the question of how common cyclic and nontransitive tuples are among all tuples in the n -dimensional unit cube $[0, 1]^n$. Let p_n (resp. p_n^*) be the probability that an n -tuple (x_1, \dots, x_n) chosen randomly and uniformly from $[0, 1]^n$ is cyclic (resp. nontransitive). These probabilities are given by the volumes of the regions C_n (resp. C_n^*) of cyclic (resp. nontransitive) n -tuples inside the unit cube $[0, 1]^n$; that is, we have

$$(1.9) \quad p_n = \text{vol}(C_n), \quad p_n^* = \text{vol}(C_n^*),$$

where

$$(1.10) \quad C_n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [0, 1]^n : (x_1, \dots, x_n) \text{ is cyclic}\},$$

$$(1.11) \quad C_n^* = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [0, 1]^n : (x_1, \dots, x_n) \text{ is nontransitive}\}.$$

In our first theorem, we determine the probabilities p_3 and p_3^* exactly.

Theorem 1.

- (i) A random triple (x_1, x_2, x_3) in $[0, 1]^3$ is cyclic with probability

$$(1.12) \quad p_3 = \frac{11\sqrt{5}}{4} - \frac{17}{4} - 6 \ln(\sqrt{5} - 1) \approx 0.627575 \dots$$

- (ii) A random triple (x_1, x_2, x_3) in $[0, 1]^3$ is nontransitive with probability

$$(1.13) \quad p_3^* = \frac{11\sqrt{5}}{8} - \frac{43}{16} - 3 \ln(\sqrt{5} - 1) + \frac{3 \ln 2}{8} \approx 0.011217 \dots$$

In particular, the theorem shows that a random triple in $[0, 1]^3$ is more likely than not to be cyclic, while only about 1% of all such triples are nontransitive.

In our second theorem, we consider the case of general $n \geq 4$. We derive upper and lower bounds for the probabilities p_n that show that p_n converges to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 2. For any integer $n \geq 4$ the probability p_n that a random n -tuple $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [0, 1]^n$ is cyclic satisfies

$$(1.14) \quad 1 - 3 \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \right)^n \leq p_n \leq 1 - 2 \left(\frac{1}{4} \right)^n .$$

In particular, p_n converges exponentially to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In our final result we consider the distribution of the smallest, middle, and largest elements of a cyclic triple. Let (X_1, X_2, X_3) be a random vector that is uniformly distributed over the region C_3 of all cyclic triples, and let (X_1^*, X_2^*, X_3^*) be the order statistics of (X_1, X_2, X_3) . Thus, X_i is the i -th coordinate of a random cyclic triple, while X_i^* is the i -th smallest among the three coordinates of a random cyclic triple, where ‘‘random’’ is to be interpreted with respect to the usual Lebesgue measure on C_3 .

In particular, X_1^* is the smallest element of a random cyclic triple, and the triple (X_1, X_2, X_3) is nontransitive if and only if $X_1^* > 1/2$. Thus it is of interest to determine the precise distribution of the random variable X_1^* . More generally, in the following theorem we determine the density function $f_i(x)$ for each of the three random variables X_i^* , $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Theorem 3. Let $f_1(x)$, $f_2(x)$, and $f_3(x)$ denote, respectively, the density function of the smallest, middle, and largest element of a random cyclic triple; i.e., $f_i(x)$ is the density of the random variable X_i^* defined above. Then:

$$(1.15) \quad f_1(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{p_3} \left(x^3 - 3x^2 + \frac{1-x}{2-x} - (1-x) \ln(1-x) \right) & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}, \\ \frac{3}{p_3} \left(x^2 - 3x + 1 - (1-x) \ln(1-x) \right) & \text{if } \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2} < x \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{3}{p_3} \left(x^2 + x - 1 + (1-x) \ln(1-x) - 2(1-x) \ln x \right) & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < x \leq \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

$$(1.16) \quad f_2(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{p_3} (3x^2 - x^3) & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}, \\ \frac{6}{p_3} \left(3x - x^2 - \frac{1}{2(1-x)} \right) & \text{if } \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2} < x \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ f_2(1-x) & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < x \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

$$(1.17) \quad f_3(x) = f_1(1-x),$$

where p_3 is given by (1.12).




Figure 1: The density functions $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(x)$ of the smallest, respectively middle, value in a random cyclic triple. The dark-shaded portion of the graph on the left corresponds to nontransitive triples.

The densities $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(x)$ are shown in Figure 1. The dark-shaded portion of the graph of $f_1(x)$ is the portion of this density corresponding to nontransitive triples. As can be seen from the graph, the contribution of such triples to cyclic triples is quite small. This is consistent with the result of Theorem 1, which shows that nontransitive triples make up a proportion of only around $0.627575/0.011217 \approx 1/55$ of all cyclic triples.

Formula (1.15) shows that the density $f_1(x)$ is supported on the interval $[0, (\sqrt{5} - 1)/2]$. Note that the right endpoint of this interval, $(\sqrt{5} - 1)/2$, is equal to the number π_3 defined above (see (1.5) and (1.6)). Figure 1 shows that the density $f_1(x)$ is strictly positive on the entire interval $[0, (\sqrt{5} - 1)/2]$, and that it has a unique mode (i.e., local maximum) located at around 0.1.

It is interesting to compare the distribution of X_1^* , the smallest element in a random *cyclic* triple in $[0, 1]^3$, to that of X^* , the smallest element in an *unrestricted* random triple in $[0, 1]^3$. An easy calculation shows that X^* has density function $f(x) = 3(1 - x)^2$, mean $1/4$, and median $1 - 2^{-1/3} = 0.206\dots$. In contrast to the density function $f_1(x)$, the latter density function is supported on the entire interval $[0, 1]$ and is strictly decreasing on this interval. Further statistics on the distributions $f_1(x)$ and $f(x)$ are given in Table 1.

Random Variable	Expected Value	Median	Mode
X_1^*	0.211...	0.197...	0.107...
X^*	0.25	0.206...	0

Table 1: Statistics on the distributions of X_1^* , the smallest element in a random cyclic triple, and X^* , the smallest element in a random triple in $[0, 1]^3$.

The graph on the right of Figure 1 shows the density of the middle value in a random cyclic triple. As can be seen from formula (1.16), this distribution is symmetric with respect to the line $x = 1/2$, and it is supported on the full interval $[0, 1]$.

Formula (1.17) shows that the distribution of the largest value in a random cyclic triple, up to a reflection at the line $x = 1/2$, is the same as the distribution of the smallest value. This is a consequence of the symmetry properties of cyclic triples (cf. Lemma 2.1 below).

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we prove some elementary properties of cyclic n -tuples, we present Trybula's characterization of cyclic triples, and we derive a simplified form of this characterization under additional assumptions on the triples. Sections 3–5 contain the proofs of our main results, Theorems 1–3. We conclude in Section 6 with a discussion of some related questions and open problems suggested by our results.

2 Auxiliary results

We begin by deriving some elementary properties of cyclic n -tuples. Here, and in the remainder of the paper, we make the convention that subscripts of n -tuples are to be interpreted modulo n . Thus, for example, the definition (1.8) of a cyclic n -tuple (x_1, \dots, x_n) can be written more concisely as

$$P(U_{i+1} > U_i) = x_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

Given a real number $t \in [0, 1]$, we write

$$(2.1) \quad \bar{t} = 1 - t.$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [0, 1]^n$ be a cyclic n -tuple. Then:*

- (i) *Any cyclic permutation of (x_1, \dots, x_n) is cyclic; that is, for any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, the tuple $(x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+n})$ (with subscripts interpreted modulo n) is cyclic as well.*
- (ii) *The “reverse” tuple $(x_n, x_{n-1}, \dots, x_1)$ is cyclic.*
- (iii) *The “complementary” tuple $(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n)$ is cyclic.*

Proof. Part (i) of the lemma follows immediately from the definition (1.8) of cyclic n -tuples.

For parts (ii) and (iii) suppose $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [0, 1]^n$ is a cyclic n -tuple with associated random variables U_1, \dots, U_n satisfying (1.8). Setting $U_i^* = -U_{n+1-i}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(U_{i+1}^* > U_i^*) &= P(-U_{n-i} > -U_{n+1-i}) \\ &= P(U_{n+1-i} > U_{n-i}) = x_{n-i} \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that the tuple $(x_n, x_{n-1}, \dots, x_1)$ is cyclic.

Similarly, the fact that $(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n)$ is cyclic follows by letting $U_i^* = -U_i$ and observing that

$$\begin{aligned} P(U_{i+1}^* > U_i^*) &= P(-U_{i+1} > -U_i) \\ &= P(U_i > U_{i+1}) = 1 - x_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, n). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 2.2. *If $(x, y, z) \in [0, 1]^3$ is a cyclic triple, then so is any permutation of (x, y, z) .*

Proof. Suppose $(x, y, z) \in [0, 1]^3$ is cyclic. By part (i) of Lemma 2.1 the *cyclic* permutations (y, z, x) and (z, x, y) are also cyclic. By part (ii) the reverse triple (z, y, x) is cyclic. Applying part (i) again to the triple (z, y, x) , we obtain that (y, x, z) and (x, z, y) are cyclic as well. Hence all permutations of (x, y, z) are cyclic. \square

The next result contains Trybula’s characterization of cyclic triples [21]. We state this characterization in the slightly different—though equivalent—version given by Suck [19].

Lemma 2.3 (Trybula [21, Theorem 1]; Suck [19, Theorems 2 and 3]). *A triple $(x, y, z) \in [0, 1]^3$ is cyclic if and only if it satisfies the following inequalities:*

$$(2.2) \quad \min(x + yz, y + zx, z + xy) \leq 1,$$

$$(2.3) \quad \min(\bar{x} + \bar{y}\bar{z}, \bar{y} + \bar{z}\bar{x}, \bar{z} + \bar{x}\bar{y}) \leq 1.$$

The conditions (2.2) and (2.3) in this characterization are rather unwieldy to work with directly. However, by imposing additional constraints on the variables x, y, z , the conditions simplify significantly as the next lemma shows.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $(x, y, z) \in [0, 1]^3$.*

(i) *If $x \leq y \leq z$, then the triple (x, y, z) is cyclic if and only if it satisfies the following two inequalities:*

$$(2.4) \quad x + yz \leq 1,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \bar{z} + \bar{x}\bar{y} \leq 1.$$

(ii) *If $x = \min(x, y, z)$ and $y, z \geq 1/2$, then (x, y, z) is cyclic if and only if (2.4) holds.*

Proof. We need to show that the conditions (2.2) and (2.3) reduce to (2.4) and (2.5) under the assumptions of part (i), and to (2.4) under the assumptions of part (ii).

Suppose first that (x, y, z) satisfies the conditions of part (i), i.e., that $x \leq y \leq z$. Then $x(1-y) \leq z(1-y)$ and $x(1-z) \leq y(1-z)$, and therefore $x+yz \leq z+xy$ and $x+yz \leq y+zx$. Thus, the minimum on the left of the inequality (2.2) is equal to $x + yz$, and the inequality therefore holds if and only if $x + yz \leq 1$. Similarly, noting that $x \leq y \leq z$ is equivalent to $\bar{z} \leq \bar{y} \leq \bar{x}$, we see that condition (2.3) is equivalent to $\bar{z} + \bar{x}\bar{y} \leq 1$. This proves part (i) of the lemma.

Next, suppose that $y, z \geq 1/2$ and $x = \min(x, y, z)$. Then either $z = \max(x, y, z)$ or $y = \max(x, y, z)$. In the first case we have $x \leq y \leq z$, so part (i) of the lemma applies and shows that the triple (x, y, z) is cyclic if and only if it satisfies (2.4) and (2.5). But since $y, z \geq 1/2$, we have $\bar{y}, \bar{z} \leq 1/2$ and therefore $\bar{z} + \bar{x}\bar{y} \leq (1/2) + 1 \cdot (1/2) = 1$. Hence condition (2.5) holds trivially, so (x, y, z) is cyclic if and only if (2.4) holds.

In the case where we have $x \leq z \leq y$, we note that, by Lemma 2.2, (x, y, z) is cyclic if and only if (x, z, y) is cyclic. Applying the above argument to (x, z, y) then yields the same conclusion. This completes the proof of part (ii). \square

3 Proof of Theorem 1

By (1.9) we have $p_3 = \text{vol}(C_3)$ and $p_3^* = \text{vol}(C_3^*)$, so computing these probabilities amounts to computing the volumes of the regions C_3 and C_3^* of cyclic and nontransitive triples.

We begin by using the symmetry properties established in Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 to reduce this computation to one involving simpler regions. Let

$$(3.1) \quad C_3^{(I)} = \{(x, y, z) \in C_3 : 1/2 < x \leq 1 \text{ and } x \leq y, z \leq 1\},$$

$$(3.2) \quad C_3^{(II)} = \{(x, y, z) \in C_3 : 0 \leq x < 1/2 \text{ and } 1/2 < y, z \leq 1\}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *We have*

$$(3.3) \quad \text{vol}(C_3^*) = 3 \text{vol}(C_3^{(I)}),$$

$$(3.4) \quad \text{vol}(C_3) = 6 \text{vol}(C_3^{(I)}) + 6 \text{vol}(C_3^{(II)}).$$

Proof. By definition, the set C_3^* of nontransitive triples consists of those cyclic triples (x, y, z) in which all coordinates are $> 1/2$. Since, by Lemma 2.2, the ‘‘cyclic triple’’ property is invariant with respect to taking permutations, the volume of this set is three times the volume of the set of those triples (x, y, z) in C_3^* which satisfy $x = \min(x, y, z)$, i.e., the set $C_3^{(I)}$. This proves (3.3).

To prove (3.4), note first that we may ignore triples (x, y, z) in which one of the coordinates is equal to $1/2$ as these do not contribute to the volume. We classify the remaining triples (x, y, z) in C_3 into 8 mutually disjoint classes, according to their *signature* σ , defined as

$$\sigma = (\text{sign}(x - 1/2), \text{sign}(y - 1/2), \text{sign}(z - 1/2)),$$

where $\text{sign}(t) = 1$ if $t > 0$ and $\text{sign}(t) = -1$ if $t < 0$. For example, a triple (x, y, z) with $x < 1/2, y > 1/2, z > 1/2$ has signature $(-1, 1, 1)$.

Letting C_3^σ denote the set of cyclic triples (x, y, z) with signature σ , we then have

$$(3.5) \quad \text{vol}(C_3) = \sum_{\sigma=(\pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1)} \text{vol}(C_3^\sigma),$$

where the sum is over all 8 possible values of the signature σ . Now note that the cyclic triples with signature $(1, 1, 1)$ are exactly the nontransitive triples, and the cyclic triples with signature $(-1, 1, 1)$ are exactly those counted in the set $C_3^{(II)}$. Thus we have

$$(3.6) \quad \text{vol}(C_3^{(1,1,1)}) = \text{vol}(C_3^*) = 3 \text{vol}(C_3^{(I)}),$$

$$(3.7) \quad \text{vol}(C_3^{(-1,1,1)}) = \text{vol}(C_3^{(II)}).$$

Next, observe that if (x, y, z) has signature σ , then the complementary triple $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) = (1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z)$ has signature $-\sigma$. Since, by Lemma 2.1(iii), a triple (x, y, z) is cyclic if and only if $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$ is cyclic, it follows that

$$(3.8) \quad \text{vol}(C_3^{(-1,-1,-1)}) = \text{vol}(C_3^{(1,1,1)}) = \text{vol}(C_3^*).$$

Finally, using Lemma 2.1(iii) along with Lemma 2.2, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.9) \quad \text{vol}(C_3^{(-1,-1,1)}) &= \text{vol}(C_3^{(1,-1,-1)}) = \text{vol}(C_3^{(-1,1,-1)}) \\
&= \text{vol}(C_3^{(1,1,-1)}) = \text{vol}(C_3^{(1,-1,1)}) = \text{vol}(C_3^{(-1,1,1)}) \\
&= \text{vol}(C_3^{(II)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.5)–(3.9) yields (3.4). □

Let

$$(3.10) \quad \omega = \frac{\sqrt{5} - 1}{2} = 0.618034\dots$$

and note that ω is the positive root of the quadratic equation

$$(3.11) \quad \omega^2 + \omega = 1.$$

We remark that ω is also equal to the number π_3 defined in (1.5) and (1.6), i.e., ω is the largest number for which there exists a cyclic triple (x, y, z) with $\min(x, y, z) \geq \omega$. We will, however, not use this fact in our proof.

Lemma 3.2.

(i) A triple (x, y, z) belongs to the set $C_3^{(I)}$ if and only if it satisfies

$$(3.12) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{2} < x \leq \omega \\ x \leq y \leq \frac{1-x}{x} \\ x \leq z \leq \frac{1-x}{y} \end{array} \right\}.$$

(ii) A triple (x, y, z) belongs to the set $C_3^{(II)}$ if and only if it satisfies

$$(3.13) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} < y \leq 1-x \\ \frac{1}{2} < z \leq 1 \end{array} \right\} \quad \text{or} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{2} \\ 1-x < y \leq 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} < z \leq \frac{1-x}{y} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Proof. By definition (see (3.1) and (3.2)) the sets $C_3^{(I)}$ and $C_3^{(II)}$ consist of those cyclic triples $(x, y, z) \in [0, 1]^3$ that satisfy $1/2 < x \leq y, z \leq 1$ and $0 \leq x < 1/2 < y, z \leq 1$, respectively. By part (ii) of Lemma 2.4, under either of the latter two conditions, a triple (x, y, z) is cyclic if and only if it satisfies $x + yz \leq 1$.

Next, note that any triple $(x, y, z) \in C_3^{(I)}$ must satisfy $x \leq y$ and $x \leq z$, so the inequality $x + yz \leq 1$ can only hold if $x + x^2 \leq 1$, i.e., if $x \leq \omega$, where ω is defined by (3.10) and (3.11).

For each x in the range $1/2 < x \leq \omega$, the set of pairs (y, z) with $x \leq y, z \leq 1$ satisfying $x + yz \leq 1$ is nonempty and consists of exactly those pairs that satisfy $x \leq y \leq (1-x)/x$ and $x \leq z \leq (1-x)/y$. It follows that a triple (x, y, z) belongs to $C_3^{(I)}$ if and only if it satisfies (3.12). This proves part (i) of the lemma.

For the proof of part (ii), note that $C_3^{(II)}$ is the set of triples (x, y, z) satisfying $0 \leq x < 1/2$, $1/2 < y, z \leq 1$, and $x + yz \leq 1$. For any fixed pair (x, y) with $0 \leq x < 1/2$ and $1/2 < y \leq 1$, the set of values z with $1/2 < z \leq 1$ for which $x + yz \leq 1$ holds is exactly the interval $(1/2, \min((1-x)/y, 1)]$. The latter interval is nonempty, and it reduces to $(1/2, 1]$ if $y \leq 1-x$, and to $(1/2, (1-x)/y]$ if $1-x < y \leq 1$. The desired characterization (3.13) now follows. \square

Lemma 3.3. *We have*

$$(3.14) \quad \text{vol}(C_3^{(II)}) = \frac{3}{16} - \frac{\ln 2}{8}.$$

Proof. Using the characterization (3.13) of the set $C_3^{(II)}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}(C_3^{(II)}) &= \int_0^{1/2} \int_{1/2}^{1-x} \int_{1/2}^1 dz dy dx + \int_0^{1/2} \int_{1-x}^1 \int_{1/2}^{\frac{1-x}{y}} dz dy dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - x \right) dx + \int_0^{1/2} \int_{1-x}^1 \left(\frac{1-x}{y} - \frac{1}{2} \right) dy dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - 2x \right) dx - \int_0^{1/2} (1-x) \ln(1-x) dx \\ &= - \int_0^{1/2} (1-x) \ln(1-x) dx \\ &= - \left[\frac{(1-x)^2}{4} (1 - 2 \ln(1-x)) \right]_0^{1/2} = \frac{3}{16} - \frac{\ln 2}{8}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.4. *We have*

$$(3.15) \quad \text{vol}(C_3^{(I)}) = \frac{\ln 2}{8} - \ln(2\omega) + \frac{11\omega}{12} - \frac{7}{16},$$

where $\omega = (\sqrt{5} - 1)/2$ is defined as in (3.10).

Proof. Using the characterization (3.12) of the set $C_3^{(I)}$ we obtain, on noting that $1 > (1-x)/x \geq x$ for $1/2 < x \leq \omega$ (since ω is the positive root of $\omega^2 = 1 - \omega$) and $1 > (1-x)/y \geq x$ for $x \leq y \leq (1-x)/x$,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.16) \quad \text{vol}(C_3^{(I)}) &= \int_{1/2}^{\omega} \int_x^{\frac{1-x}{x}} \int_x^{\frac{1-x}{y}} dz dy dx = \int_{1/2}^{\omega} \int_x^{\frac{1-x}{x}} \left(\frac{1-x}{y} - x \right) dy dx \\ &= \int_{1/2}^{\omega} (1-x) \ln \left(\frac{1-x}{x^2} \right) dx - \int_{1/2}^{\omega} x \left(\frac{1-x}{x} - x \right) dx \\ &= I_1 - I_2, \end{aligned}$$

say. The integrals I_1 and I_2 can be evaluated as follows, using the relations (see (3.11)) $\omega^2 = 1 - \omega$ and $\omega^3 = \omega - \omega^2 = 2\omega - 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.17) \quad I_1 &= \left[\frac{-(1-x)^2}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1-x}{x^2} \right) \right]_{1/2}^{\omega} + \int_{1/2}^{\omega} \frac{(1-x)^2}{2} \left(-\frac{1}{1-x} - \frac{2}{x} \right) dx \\
&= \frac{-(1-\omega)^2}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1-\omega}{\omega^2} \right) + \frac{\ln 2}{8} + \int_{1/2}^{\omega} \left(-\frac{1}{x} + \frac{3}{2} - \frac{x}{2} \right) dx \\
&= \frac{\ln 2}{8} - \ln(2\omega) + \frac{3(\omega - 1/2)}{2} - \frac{\omega^2 - 1/4}{4} \\
&= \frac{\ln 2}{8} - \ln(2\omega) + \frac{7\omega}{4} - \frac{15}{16},
\end{aligned}$$

$$(3.18) \quad I_2 = \int_{1/2}^{\omega} (1-x-x^2) dx = \omega - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\omega^2 - 1/4}{2} - \frac{\omega^3 - 1/8}{3} = \frac{5\omega}{6} - \frac{1}{2}.$$

Substituting (3.17) and (3.18) into (3.16) yields (3.15). □

Proof of Theorem 1. Combining (1.9) and Lemmas 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
p_3^* &= \text{vol}(C_3^*) = 3 \text{vol}(C_3^{(I)}) \\
&= 3 \left(\frac{\ln 2}{8} - \ln(2\omega) + \frac{11\omega}{12} - \frac{7}{16} \right) \\
&= 3 \left(\frac{\ln 2}{8} - \ln(\sqrt{5} - 1) + \frac{11\sqrt{5} - 11}{24} - \frac{7}{16} \right) \\
&= \frac{3 \ln 2}{8} - 3 \ln(\sqrt{5} - 1) + \frac{11\sqrt{5}}{8} - \frac{43}{16} = 0.01121759\dots
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
p_3 &= \text{vol}(C_3) = 6 \text{vol}(C_3^{(I)}) + 6 \text{vol}(C_3^{(II)}) \\
&= 6 \left(\frac{\ln 2}{8} - \ln(\sqrt{5} - 1) + \frac{11\sqrt{5} - 11}{24} - \frac{7}{16} \right) + 6 \left(\frac{3}{16} - \frac{\ln 2}{8} \right) \\
&= \frac{11\sqrt{5} - 17}{4} - 6 \ln(\sqrt{5} - 1) = 0.6275748\dots
\end{aligned}$$

These are the desired formulas (1.13) and (1.12). □

4 Proof of Theorem 2

Proof of Theorem 2, upper bound. For the upper bound in (1.14), note that, by (1.7), a cyclic tuple $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [0, 1]^n$ must satisfy

$$\min(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq \pi_n = 1 - \frac{1}{4 \cos^2(\pi/(n+2))} < \frac{3}{4}.$$

Therefore any tuple $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [3/4, 1]^n$ is *not* cyclic. Moreover, since, by Lemma 2.1(iii), a tuple (x_1, \dots, x_n) is cyclic if and only if the complementary tuple $(1 - x_1, \dots, 1 - x_n)$ is cyclic, any tuple (x_1, \dots, x_n) satisfying $x_i \in [0, 1/4]$ for all i is also *not* cyclic. Thus the set C_n of cyclic tuples lies in the complement of the sets $[3/4, 1]^n$ and $[0, 1/4]^n$. Hence we have

$$p_n = \text{vol}(C_n) \leq 1 - \text{vol}([3/4, 1]^n) - \text{vol}([0, 1/4]^n) = 1 - 2 \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^n,$$

which is the desired upper bound. \square

We next turn to the lower bound in (1.14). The argument is based on the following lemma, which gives a sufficient condition for an n -tuple to be cyclic. Recall our convention that subscripts in n -tuples (x_1, \dots, x_n) are to be interpreted modulo n .

Lemma 4.1. *Let $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [0, 1]^n$, and suppose that there exists an index $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that*

$$(4.1) \quad x_i + x_{i+1} \geq 1 \text{ and } x_{i+2} + x_{i+3} \leq 1.$$

Then (x_1, \dots, x_n) is cyclic.

Proof. Since, by Lemma 2.1(i), a cyclic permutation of a cyclic tuple is also cyclic, we may assume without loss of generality that the assumption (4.1) of the lemma holds with $i = n-2$, i.e., that

$$(4.2) \quad x_{n-2} + x_{n-1} \geq 1 \text{ and } x_n + x_1 \leq 1.$$

Let $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [0, 1]^n$ be an n -tuple satisfying (4.2). Define independent random variables U_1, \dots, U_n with values in $\{-n+1, -n+2, \dots, n+1, n+2\}$ as follows:

$$(4.3) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(U_{n-1} = -n+1) = 1 - x_{n-2}, \\ P(U_i = -i) = 1 - x_{i-1} \quad (n-2 \geq i \geq 3), \\ P(U_2 = -2) = 1 - \frac{x_1}{1 - x_n}, \\ P(U_1 = 0) = 1 - x_n, \\ P(U_2 = 2) = \frac{x_1}{1 - x_n}, \\ P(U_i = i) = x_{i-1} \quad (3 \leq i \leq n-2), \\ P(U_{n-1} = n-1) = x_{n-1} + x_{n-2} - 1, \\ P(U_n = n) = 1, \\ P(U_1 = n+1) = x_n, \\ P(U_{n-1} = n+2) = 1 - x_{n-1}. \end{array} \right.$$

The values of the random variables U_i are shown below:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc} -(n-1) & & -i & & -2 & 0 & 2 & & i & & n-1 & n & n+1 & n+2 \\ \leftarrow & | & | & \cdots & | & | & | & \cdots & | & \cdots & | & | & | & | \\ & U_{n-1} & \cdots & U_i & \cdots & U_2 & U_1 & U_2 & \cdots & U_i & \cdots & U_{n-1} & U_n & U_1 & U_{n-1} \end{array}$$

The idea behind this construction is the following: If we let U_i be random variables with values $\pm i$, then $U_{i+1} > U_i$ holds if and only if $U_{i+1} = i + 1$. Thus, if we require that $P(U_{i+1} = i + 1) = x_i$ (and hence $P(U_{i+1} = -i - 1) = 1 - x_i$), then $U_{i+1} > U_i$ holds with the desired probability x_i . This is indeed how the variables U_i in (4.3) are defined for the “interior” indices $i = 3, \dots, n - 2$, so the probabilities $P(U_{i+1} > U_i)$ have the desired value x_i if $2 \leq i \leq n - 3$.

For the remaining indices $i = 1, 2, n - 1, n$, the definition of U_i has to be adjusted to ensure that the “wrap-around” probability $P(U_n > U_1)$ also has the desired value. The following calculations show that if U_1, U_2, U_{n-1}, U_n are defined as in (4.3), then the remaining probabilities $P(U_{i+1} > U_i)$ also have the desired values:

$$\begin{aligned} P(U_2 > U_1) &= P(U_2 = 2)P(U_1 = 0) = \frac{x_1}{1 - x_n} \cdot (1 - x_n) = x_1, \\ P(U_n > U_{n-1}) &= 1 - P(U_{n-1} = n + 2) = 1 - (1 - x_{n-1}) = x_{n-1}, \\ P(U_{n-1} > U_{n-2}) &= 1 - P(U_{n-1} = -n + 1) = 1 - (1 - x_{n-2}) = x_{n-2}, \\ P(U_1 > U_n) &= P(U_1 = n + 1) = x_n. \end{aligned}$$

The assumption (4.2) ensures that the numbers $x_1/(1 - x_n)$ and $x_{n-1} + x_{n-2} - 1$ arising in the definition of U_2 and U_{n-1} are contained in the interval $[0, 1]$ and thus can represent probabilities.

Thus the tuple (x_1, \dots, x_n) is indeed cyclic. □

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [0, 1]^n$ satisfies **neither** of the conditions*

$$(4.4) \quad x_i + x_{i+1} < 1 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

and

$$(4.5) \quad x_i + x_{i+1} > 1 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

Then (x_1, \dots, x_n) is cyclic.

Proof. Let

$$s_i = x_i + x_{i+1}.$$

By Lemma 4.1, it suffices to show that if neither (4.4) nor (4.5) hold, then there exists an index $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$(4.6) \quad s_i \geq 1 \text{ and } s_{i+2} \leq 1.$$

We split the argument into two cases based on the parity of n .

If n is odd, consider the sequence of n numbers $s_1, s_3, \dots, s_n, s_2, s_4, \dots, s_{n-1}$. If there is no i for which (4.6) holds, then the numbers in this sequence must be either all strictly greater than 1 or all strictly less than 1. But since this sequence is a permutation of s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n , it then follows that either (4.4) or (4.5) holds, contradicting the assumption of the lemma.

If n is even, consider the two sequences $A = \{s_1, s_3, \dots, s_{n-1}\}$ and $B = \{s_2, s_4, \dots, s_n\}$. If there is no i for which (4.6) holds, we conclude as before that, *within each of these two sequences*, either all elements are greater than 1 or all elements are less than 1. If the elements

of *both* sequences are all greater than 1 or all less than 1, then (4.4) or (4.5) follows, and we again have a contradiction.

In the remaining case the elements of one sequence are all greater than 1 and those of the other sequence are all less than 1. Since each sequence has exactly $n/2$ elements, one of the two sums $\sum_{a \in A} a$ and $\sum_{b \in B} b$ must be strictly greater than $n/2$, while the other sum must be strictly less than $n/2$. On the other hand, the identity

$$\sum_{a \in A} a = \sum_{j=1}^{n/2} (x_{2j-1} + x_{2j}) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n/2} (x_{2j} + x_{2j+1}) = \sum_{b \in B} b$$

shows that the two sums $\sum_{a \in A} a$ and $\sum_{b \in B} b$ are in fact equal. Thus, this case cannot occur and the proof of the lemma is complete. \square

Define the sets

$$(4.7) \quad D_n^{(I)} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [0, 1]^n : (x_1, \dots, x_n) \text{ satisfies (4.4)}\},$$

$$(4.8) \quad D_n^{(II)} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [0, 1]^n : (x_1, \dots, x_n) \text{ satisfies (4.5)}\},$$

$$(4.9) \quad D_n^* = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in D_n^{(I)} : x_1 = \min(x_1, \dots, x_n)\}.$$

Lemma 4.3. *We have*

$$(4.10) \quad \text{vol}(D_n^{(I)}) = \text{vol}(D_n^{(II)}),$$

$$(4.11) \quad \text{vol}(D_n^{(I)}) = n \text{vol}(D_n^*),$$

$$(4.12) \quad \text{vol}(C_n) \geq 1 - 2n \text{vol}(D_n^*).$$

Proof. First note that an n -tuple (x_1, \dots, x_n) satisfies condition (4.5) if and only if the complementary n -tuple $(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n) = (1 - x_1, \dots, 1 - x_n)$ satisfies (4.4). The transformation $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow (\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n)$ then shows that the regions $D_n^{(I)}$ and $D_n^{(II)}$ have the same volume. This yields (4.10).

For the proof of (4.11), note that if a tuple (x_1, \dots, x_n) satisfies condition (4.4), then so does any cyclic permutation of this tuple. Therefore the set $D_n^{(I)}$ is invariant with respect to taking cyclic permutations. It follows that the volume of $D_n^{(I)}$ is n times that of D_n^* , the set consisting of those tuples in $D_n^{(I)}$ with smallest component x_1 . This proves (4.11).

Finally, (4.12) follows on noting that, by Lemma 4.2, the set C_n of cyclic n -tuples contains the complement of the set $D_n^{(I)} \cup D_n^{(II)}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}(C_n) &\geq 1 - \text{vol}(D_n^{(I)} \cup D_n^{(II)}) \geq 1 - \text{vol}(D_n^{(I)}) - \text{vol}(D_n^{(II)}) \\ &= 1 - 2 \text{vol}(D_n^{(I)}) = 1 - 2n \text{vol}(D_n^*), \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we have used (4.10) and (4.11). \square

To obtain the desired lower bound for the volume of C_n , it now remains to obtain an appropriate upper bound for the volume of the region D_n^* . The following lemma expresses this volume in terms of a combinatorial quantity counting *alternating* permutations. Here a permutation of a set of n distinct real numbers x_1, \dots, x_n is called *up-down alternating* if it satisfies $x_1 < x_2 > x_3 < \dots$, i.e., if $x_{i+1} - x_i$ is positive for odd i and negative for even i ; see André [1] for more about such permutations.

Lemma 4.4. *We have*

$$(4.13) \quad \text{vol}(D_n^*) = \frac{A_{n-1}}{(2n)(n-1)!},$$

where A_{n-1} is the number of up-down alternating permutations of length $n-1$.

Proof. By definition, D_n^* is the set of n -tuples $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [0, 1]^n$ satisfying the inequalities (4.4) and in addition $x_1 = \min(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Under the latter condition the last inequality in (4.4), $x_n + x_1 < 1$, is implied by the second-last inequality, $x_{n-1} + x_n < 1$, and thus can be omitted. Moreover, the inequalities (4.4) can only hold if $0 \leq x_1 < 1/2$. Thus, the set D_n^* is the set of tuples (x_1, \dots, x_n) satisfying

$$(4.14) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq x_1 < 1/2 \\ x_1 \leq x_2 < 1 - x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_1 \leq x_n < 1 - x_{n-1} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Hence we have

$$(4.15) \quad \text{vol}(D_n^*) = \int_0^{1/2} \int_{x_1}^{1-x_1} \int_{x_1}^{1-x_2} \cdots \int_{x_1}^{1-x_{n-1}} dx_n \cdots dx_3 dx_2 dx_1.$$

To evaluate the latter integral, we apply the change of variables

$$(4.16) \quad y_0 = x_1, \quad y_i = \frac{x_{i+1} - x_1}{1 - 2x_1} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n-1).$$

It is easily checked that the transformation (4.16) has Jacobian determinant $\pm(1 - 2y_0)^{n-1}$ and maps the region (4.14) to the set of n -tuples (y_0, \dots, y_{n-1}) satisfying

$$(4.17) \quad 0 \leq y_0 < 1/2, \quad 0 \leq y_1 < 1, \quad 0 \leq y_i < 1 - y_{i-1} \quad (i = 2, \dots, n-1).$$

It follows that

$$(4.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{vol}(D_n^*) &= \int_0^{1/2} (1 - 2y_0)^{n-1} dy_0 \int_0^1 \int_0^{1-y_1} \cdots \int_0^{1-y_{n-2}} dy_{n-1} \cdots dy_2 dy_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{2n} \int_0^1 \int_0^{1-y_1} \cdots \int_0^{1-y_{n-2}} dy_{n-1} \cdots dy_2 dy_1 = \frac{1}{2n} \text{vol}(E_{n-1}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$E_{n-1} = \left\{ (y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) \in [0, 1]^{n-1} : 0 \leq y_1 < 1, 0 \leq y_i < 1 - y_{i-1} \quad (i = 2, \dots, n-1) \right\}.$$

Now set, for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$,

$$(4.19) \quad u_i = \begin{cases} y_i & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \\ 1 - y_i & \text{if } i \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

The transformation (4.19) has Jacobian determinant ± 1 and thus is volume-preserving. Moreover, noting that the condition $y_i < 1 - y_{i-1}$ is equivalent to $u_i < u_{i-1}$ when i is odd, and to $u_{i-1} < u_i$ when i is even, we see that this transformation maps the region E_{n-1} to the region

$$E_{n-1}^* = \{(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}) \in [0, 1]^{n-1} : u_1 < u_2 > u_3 < \dots\}.$$

The set E_{n-1}^* is, up to a set of volume 0, the set of tuples in the $(n-1)$ -dimensional unit cube whose coordinates form an up-down alternating permutation of length $n-1$. Since there are $(n-1)!$ permutations of the coordinates and, by symmetry, each such permutation contributes an amount $1/(n-1)!$ to the volume of the unit cube, it follows that

$$(4.20) \quad \text{vol}(E_{n-1}) = \text{vol}(E_{n-1}^*) = \frac{A_{n-1}}{(n-1)!},$$

where A_{n-1} is the number of up-down alternating permutations of length $n-1$. Combining (4.18) with (4.20) we obtain

$$\text{vol}(D_n^*) = \frac{1}{2n} \text{vol}(E_{n-1}) = \frac{1}{2n} \text{vol}(E_{n-1}^*) = \frac{A_{n-1}}{(2n)(n-1)!}.$$

This is the desired formula (4.13). □

Lemma 4.5. *The number A_n of alternating permutations satisfies*

$$(4.21) \quad \frac{A_n}{n!} \leq 3 \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{n+1} \quad (n \geq 1).$$

Proof. André [1, p. 23] showed that, for any integer $m \geq 1$,

$$(4.22) \quad \frac{A_{2m}}{(2m)!} = 2 \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{2m+1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{3^{2m+1}} + \frac{1}{5^{2m+1}} - \frac{1}{7^{2m+1}} + \dots\right),$$

$$(4.23) \quad \frac{A_{2m-1}}{(2m-1)!} = 2 \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{2m} \left(1 + \frac{1}{3^{2m}} + \frac{1}{5^{2m}} + \frac{1}{7^{2m}} + \dots\right).$$

The desired bound (4.21) follows as the series in parentheses in (4.22) is bounded above by 1, while the series in (4.23) is at most

$$\leq 1 + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = -\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\pi^2}{6} < \frac{3}{2}. \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 2, lower bound. Combining Lemmas 4.3, 4.4, and 4.5 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p_n = \text{vol}(C_n) &\geq 1 - 2n \text{vol}(D_n^*) = 1 - 2n \frac{A_{n-1}}{(2n)(n-1)!} \\ &= 1 - \frac{A_{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \geq 1 - 3 \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^n, \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired lower bound for p_n . □

5 Proof of Theorem 3

Let

$$(5.1) \quad C_3^o = \{(x, y, z) \in [0, 1]^3 : (x, y, z) \text{ is cyclic and } x \leq y \leq z\},$$

i.e., C_3^o is the set of triples in C_3 with nondecreasing coordinates.

The following lemma characterizes the set C_3^o in terms of inequalities on x , y , and z . Recall (see (3.10) and (3.11)) that $\omega = (\sqrt{5} - 1)/2$ is the positive root of $\omega^2 + \omega = 1$.

Lemma 5.1. *We have:*

(i) *A triple (x, y, z) belongs to the set C_3^o if and only if it satisfies*

$$(5.2) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq x \leq \omega \\ x \leq y \leq \sqrt{1-x} \\ \max(y, (1-x)(1-y)) \leq z \leq \min\left(1, \frac{1-x}{y}\right) \end{array} \right\}.$$

(ii) *A triple (x, y, z) belongs to the set C_3^o if and only if it satisfies*

$$(5.3) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq y \leq 1 \\ 0 \leq x \leq \min(y, 1-y^2) \\ \max(y, (1-x)(1-y)) \leq z \leq \min\left(1, \frac{1-x}{y}\right) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Moreover, the intervals for the variables x , y , and z in (5.2) and (5.3) are always non-empty, i.e., the upper bounds on these variables are always greater or equal to the corresponding lower bounds.

Proof. By Lemma 2.4(i), a triple $(x, y, z) \in [0, 1]^3$ with $x \leq y \leq z$ is cyclic if and only if it satisfies the inequalities

$$(5.4) \quad x + yz \leq 1 \text{ and } (1-z) + (1-y)(1-x) \leq 1.$$

Hence C_3^o is the set of triples $(x, y, z) \in [0, 1]^3$ satisfying $x \leq y \leq z$ and (5.4).

Consider now a triple $(x, y, z) \in [0, 1]^3$ satisfying these conditions. Then $x + x^2 \leq x + yz \leq 1$, and hence $x \leq \omega$, with $\omega = (\sqrt{5} - 1)/2$ defined as above. Thus, we must have

$$(5.5) \quad 0 \leq x \leq \omega.$$

Next, note that $x + y^2 \leq x + yz \leq 1$ and hence $y \leq \sqrt{1-x}$. Since we also have $x \leq y \leq z$, it follows that

$$(5.6) \quad x \leq y \leq \sqrt{1-x}.$$

Note that since $x \leq \omega$, with ω being the positive root of $\omega^2 + \omega = 1$, we have $x^2 + x \leq 1$ and hence $x \leq \sqrt{1-x}$. Thus, the interval for y in (5.6) is non-empty.

Next, we have trivially

$$(5.7) \quad 0 \leq y \leq 1,$$

while the inequalities $x \leq y \leq z$ and $x + y^2 \leq x + yz \leq 1$ imply

$$(5.8) \quad 0 \leq x \leq \min(y, 1 - y^2).$$

Since $0 \leq y \leq 1$, the interval (5.8) is clearly non-empty.

Finally, the inequalities (5.4) imply $z \leq (1 - x)/y$ and $z \geq (1 - y)(1 - x)$, which combined with $0 \leq z \leq 1$ and $x \leq y \leq z$ yields

$$(5.9) \quad \max(y, (1 - x)(1 - y)) \leq z \leq \min\left(1, \frac{1 - x}{y}\right).$$

Again the interval for z here is non-empty since, by (5.6), $(1 - x)/y \geq y$, while we also have $(1 - x)/y \geq (1 - x) \geq (1 - x)(1 - y)$, $1 \geq y$, and $1 \geq (1 - x)(1 - y)$ which follow from $0 \leq x, y \leq 1$.

Note that the inequalities (5.5), (5.6), and (5.9) are exactly those in the desired characterization (5.2) of C_3^o , while (5.7), (5.8), and (5.9) are those in (5.3). Thus, we have shown that any triple $(x, y, z) \in C_3^o$ must satisfy (5.2) and (5.3) and that the intervals for x , y , and z in (5.2) and (5.3) are all non-trivial.

Conversely, assume that (x, y, z) satisfies (5.2) or (5.3). Then $0 \leq x \leq y \leq z \leq 1$, and z must satisfy (5.9). The upper bound for z in (5.9) implies $z \leq (1 - x)/y$ and hence $x + yz \leq 1$, i.e., the first inequality in (5.4). The lower bound for z in (5.9) implies $(1 - x)(1 - y) \leq z$ and hence $(1 - z) + (1 - x)(1 - y) \leq 1$, i.e., the second inequality in (5.4). Thus, (x, y, z) must be an element of C_3^o .

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Proof of Theorem 3. Since, by Lemma 2.2, the ‘‘cyclic triple’’ property is invariant with respect to taking permutations, we have

$$(5.10) \quad \text{vol}(C_3^o) = \frac{1}{3!} \text{vol}(C_3) = \frac{1}{6} p_3.$$

Moreover, the distribution of the order statistics (X_1^*, X_2^*, X_3^*) of a random triple in C_3 is the same as the distribution of a random vector (X, Y, Z) that is distributed uniformly over the region C_3^o . It follows that the density functions f_1 , f_2 , and f_3 in Theorem 3 are the marginal densities of the latter vector and thus can be obtained by integrating over appropriate slices of the region C_3^o and dividing by the volume of this region. That is, we have

$$(5.11) \quad f_1(x) = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(C_3^o)} \iint_{A_1(x)} 1 \, dz \, dy = \frac{6}{p_3} \iint_{A_1(x)} 1 \, dz \, dy,$$

where

$$(5.12) \quad A_1(x) = \{(y, z) \in [0, 1]^2 : (x, y, z) \in C_3^o\},$$

along with analogous formulas for f_2 and f_3 .

Using the first characterization of C_3^0 in Lemma 5.1, we see that $f_1(x)$ is supported on the interval $[0, \omega]$, and that for each x with $0 \leq x \leq \omega$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1(x) &= \frac{6}{p_3} \int_x^{\sqrt{1-x}} \int_{\max(y, (1-x)(1-y))}^{\min(1, \frac{1-x}{y})} dz dy \\
&= \frac{6}{p_3} \int_x^{\sqrt{1-x}} \left(\min\left(1, \frac{1-x}{y}\right) - \max(y, (1-x)(1-y)) \right) dy \\
&= \frac{6}{p_3} \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} (x^3 - 3x^2 + \frac{1-x}{2-x} - (1-x) \ln(1-x)) & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1 - \omega, \\ \frac{1}{2} (x^2 - 3x + 1 - (1-x) \ln(1-x)) & \text{if } 1 - \omega \leq x \leq 1/2, \\ \frac{1}{2} (x^2 + x - 1 + (1-x) \ln(1-x) - 2(1-x) \ln x) & \text{if } 1/2 \leq x \leq \omega. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

This yields the desired formula (1.15) on noting that $\omega = (1 - \sqrt{5})/2$ and

$$(5.13) \quad 1 - \omega = 1 - \frac{\sqrt{5} - 1}{2} = \frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{2}.$$

Similarly, using the second characterization of Lemma 5.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
f_2(y) &= \frac{6}{p_3} \int_0^{\min(y, 1-y^2)} \int_{\max(y, (1-x)(1-y))}^{\min(1, \frac{1-x}{y})} dz dx \\
&= \frac{6}{p_3} \int_0^{\min(y, 1-y^2)} \left(\min\left(1, \frac{1-x}{y}\right) - \max(y, (1-x)(1-y)) \right) dx \\
&= \frac{6}{p_3} \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} (3y^2 - y^3) & \text{if } 0 \leq y \leq 1 - \omega, \\ 3y - y^2 - \frac{1}{2(1-y)} & \text{if } 1 - \omega \leq y \leq 1/2, \\ 2 - y - y^2 - \frac{1}{2y} & \text{if } 1/2 \leq y \leq \omega, \\ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{y^3}{2} - \frac{3y}{2} + 1 \right) & \text{if } \omega \leq y \leq 1 \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \frac{3}{p_3} (3x^2 - x^3) & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1 - \omega, \\ \frac{6}{p_3} \left(3x - x^2 - \frac{1}{2(1-x)} \right) & \text{if } 1 - \omega < x \leq 1/2, \\ f_2(1-x) & \text{if } 1/2 < x \leq 1, \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

This yields the desired formula (1.16) for $f_2(y)$.

Finally, since, by Lemma 2.1(iii), a triple (x_1, x_2, x_3) is cyclic if and only if $(1 - x_1, 1 - x_2, 1 - x_3)$ is cyclic, the distribution of X_3^* , the largest element in a cyclic triple, is the same as that of $1 - X_1^*$. Thus, we have $f_3(x) = f_1(1 - x)$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 3. \square

6 Concluding Remarks

In this section we mention some related questions and conjectures suggested by our results.

Precise asymptotic behavior of p_n . Theorem 2 implies that

$$e^{-(1+o(1))c_1n} \leq 1 - p_n \leq e^{-(1+o(1))c_2n} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

holds with $c_1 = \ln 4$ and $c_2 = \ln(\pi/2)$. It seems reasonable to expect that there exists a *single* constant c , with $c_2 \leq c \leq c_1$, such that

$$1 - p_n = e^{-(1+o(1))cn} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

i.e., that the limit

$$c = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\ln(1 - p_n)}{n}$$

exists. If true, the value of c must lie between the constants $c_2 = \ln(\pi/2) = 0.451\dots$ and $c_1 = \ln 4 = 1.386\dots$

Prescribing all pairwise probabilities $P(U_i > U_j)$. By definition, a cyclic n -tuple is an n -tuple of real numbers in $[0, 1]$ that can represent the n probabilities $P(U_{i+1} > U_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, with a suitable choice of independent random variables U_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, satisfying $P(U_i = U_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$.

As a natural extension of this concept, one can ask which arrays x_{ij} , $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, can represent probabilities of the form

$$(6.1) \quad x_{ij} = P(U_j > U_i) \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, n),$$

under the same assumptions on the random variables U_i . This problem arises in the theory of Social Choice, where it is known under the term *utility representations*; see Suck [19] for background and references.

Obviously, in order for (6.1) to hold, it is necessary that $x_{ii} = 0$ for all i . Moreover, the assumption that $P(U_i = U_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$ implies $x_{ij} = P(U_j > U_i) = 1 - P(U_i > U_j) = 1 - x_{ji}$ for $i > j$. Thus the array x_{ij} is determined by the $\binom{n}{2}$ values x_{ij} , $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. Considering these values as tuples in the $\binom{n}{2}$ -dimensional unit cube, one can then ask for the probability that a *random* tuple in this cube has a representation of the form (6.1).

When $n = 3$, the values x_{ij} are determined by $x_{12} = P(U_2 > U_1)$, $x_{23} = P(U_3 > U_2)$, and $x_{13} = P(U_3 > U_1) = 1 - P(U_1 > U_3)$. Thus we see that a representation (6.1) is possible if and only if the triple $(x_{12}, x_{23}, 1 - x_{13})$ is cyclic, so the problem is equivalent to the problem considered in Theorem 1.

In the general case, however, the two problems are different. Indeed, the question of characterizing arrays x_{ij} that have representations of the form (6.1) seems to be an extraordinarily hard problem that remains largely unsolved; we refer to Suck [19] for further discussion and some partial results.

Representations with dependent random variables U_i . In our definition of cyclic tuples we assumed the random variables U_i to be independent. This is a natural assumption that is made in most of the mathematical literature on nontransitivity phenomena. In the context of dice rolls the assumption reflects the fact that if n dice are rolled, then the values showing on the faces of these dice are indeed independent.

However, there also exist well-known nontransitivity paradoxes—especially in voting theory and social choice theory—in which the underlying random variables are dependent. Thus, it would be of interest to study the analogs of cyclic and nontransitive tuples if the independence assumption on U_i is dropped. We refer to Marengo et al. [15] for some recent results in this direction, and to Suck [19] for an in-depth discussion of the differences between dependent and independent representations of the form (6.1).

References

- [1] Désiré André, *Sur les permutations alternées*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **7** (1881), 167–184.
- [2] Levi Angel and Matt Davis, *Properties of sets of nontransitive dice with few sides*, Involve **11** (2018), no. 4, 643–659. MR 3778917
- [3] I.I. Bogdanov, *Nontransitive Roulette*, Mat. Prosveshch. **3** (2010), 240–255.
- [4] Adrian Bondy, Jian Shen, Stéphan Thomassé, and Carsten Thomassen, *Density conditions for triangles in multipartite graphs*, Combinatorica **26** (2006), no. 2, 121–131. MR 2223630
- [5] Joe Buhler, Ron Graham, and Al Hales, *Maximally nontransitive dice*, Amer. Math. Monthly **125** (2018), no. 5, 387–399. MR 3785874
- [6] Li-Chien Chang, *On the maximin probability of cyclic random inequalities*, Scientia Sinica **10** (1961), no. 5, 499.
- [7] Brian Conrey, James Gabbard, Katie Grant, Andrew Liu, and Kent E. Morrison, *Intransitive dice*, Math. Mag. **89** (2016), no. 2, 133–143. MR 3510988
- [8] Martin Gardner, *The paradox of nontransitive dice and the elusive principle of indifference*, Scientific American **223** (1970), no. 6, 110–115.
- [9] E. Gilson, C. Cooley, W. Ella, M. Follett, and L. Traldi, *The Efron dice voting system*, Soc. Choice Welf. **39** (2012), no. 4, 931–959. MR 2983388
- [10] Artem Hulko and Mark Whitmeyer, *A game of nontransitive dice*, Math. Mag. **92** (2019), no. 5, 368–373. MR 4044971
- [11] Andrzej KomisarSKI, *Nontransitive random variables and nontransitive dice*, Amer. Math. Monthly (2021), to appear.
- [12] Daniel Král, *Locally satisfiable formulas*, Proceedings of the Fifteenth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, ACM, New York, 2004, pp. 330–339. MR 2291069
- [13] A. V. Lebedev, *The nontransitivity problem for three continuous random variables*, Autom. Remote Control **80** (2019), no. 6, 1058–1068. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S0005117919060055>

- [14] L. Lovász and J. Pelikán, *On the eigenvalues of trees*, Period. Math. Hungar. **3** (1973), 175–182. MR 416964
- [15] James E. Marengo, Quinn T. Kolt, and David L. Farnsworth, *An upper bound for a cyclic sum of probabilities*, Statist. Probab. Lett. **165** (2020), 108861. MR 4118940
- [16] J. W. Moon and L. Moser, *Generating oriented graphs by means of team comparisons*, Pacific J. Math. **21** (1967), 531–535. MR 216984
- [17] Zoltán Lóránt Nagy, *A multipartite version of the Turán problem—density conditions and eigenvalues*, Electron. J. Combin. **18** (2011), no. 1, Paper 46, 15. MR 2776822
- [18] Hugo Steinhaus and S. Trybula, *On a paradox in applied probabilities*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci **7** (1959), no. 67-69, 108.
- [19] Reinhard Suck, *Independent random utility representations*, Math. Social Sci. **43** (2002), no. 3, 371–389. MR 2072963
- [20] Luca Trevisan, *On local versus global satisfiability*, SIAM J. Discrete Math. **17** (2004), no. 4, 541–547. MR 2085212
- [21] S. Trybula, *On the paradox of three random variables*, Zastos. Mat. **5** (1960/61), 321–332. MR 126865
- [22] ———, *On the paradox of n random variables*, Zastos. Mat. **8** (1965), 143–156. MR 190970
- [23] Zalman Usiskin, *Max-min probabilities in the voting paradox*, Ann. Math. Statist. **35** (1964), 857–862. MR 161353