ON THE LEAST COMMON MULTIPLE OF RANDOM q-INTEGERS

CARLO SANNA[†]

ABSTRACT. For every positive integer n and for every $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, let $\mathcal{B}(n, \alpha)$ denote the probabilistic model in which a random set $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ is constructed by picking independently each element of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with probability α . Cilleruelo, Rué, Šarka, and Zumalacárregui proved an almost sure asymptotic formula for the logarithm of the least common multiple of the elements of \mathcal{A} .

Let q be an indeterminate and let $[k]_q := 1 + q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{k-1} \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ be the q-analog of the positive integer k. We determine the expected value and the variance of $X := \deg \operatorname{lcm}([\mathcal{A}]_q)$, where $[\mathcal{A}]_q := \{[k]_q : k \in \mathcal{A}\}$. Then we prove an almost sure asymptotic formula for X, which is a q-analog of the result of Cilleruelo et al.

1. INTRODUCTION

For every positive integer n and every $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, let $\mathcal{B}(n, \alpha)$ denote the probabilistic model in which a random set $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ is constructed by picking independently each element of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with probability α . Cilleruelo, Rué, Šarka, and Zumalacárregui [7] studied the least common multiple lcm(\mathcal{A}) of the elements of \mathcal{A} and proved the following result (see [1] for a more precise version, and [3–6,9,10] for other results of a similar flavor).

Theorem 1.1. Let \mathcal{A} be a random set in $\mathcal{B}(n, \alpha)$. Then, as $\alpha n \to +\infty$, we have

$$\log \operatorname{lcm}(\mathcal{A}) \sim \frac{\alpha \log(1/\alpha)}{1-\alpha} \cdot n$$

with probability 1 - o(1), where the factor involving α is meant to be equal to 1 for $\alpha = 1$.

Let q be an indeterminate. The q-analog of a positive integer k is defined by

 $[k]_q := 1 + q + q^2 + \dots + q^{k-1} \in \mathbb{Z}[q].$

The q-analogs of many other mathematical objects (factorial, binomial coefficients, hypergeometric series, derivative, integral...) have been extensively studied, especially in Analysis and Combinatorics [2,8]. For every set S of positive integers, let $[S]_q := \{[k]_q : k \in S\}$.

The aim of this paper is to study the least common multiple of the elements of $[\mathcal{A}]_q$ for a random set \mathcal{A} in $\mathcal{B}(n, \alpha)$. Our main results are the following:

Theorem 1.2. Let \mathcal{A} be a random set in $\mathcal{B}(n, \alpha)$ and put $X := \operatorname{deg lcm}([\mathcal{A}]_q)$. Then, for every integer $n \geq 2$ and every $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, we have

(1)
$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \frac{3}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{\alpha \operatorname{Li}_2(1-\alpha)}{1-\alpha} \cdot n^2 + O\left(\alpha n (\log n)^2\right),$$

where $\operatorname{Li}_2(z) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z^k / k^2$ is the dilogarithm and the factor involving α is meant to be equal to 1 when $\alpha = 1$. In particular,

$$\mathbb{E}[X] \sim \frac{3}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{\alpha \operatorname{Li}_2(1-\alpha)}{1-\alpha} \cdot n^2,$$

as $n \to +\infty$, uniformly for $\alpha \in (0, 1]$.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 11N37, Secondary: 11B99.

Key words and phrases. asymptotic formula; least common multiple; q-analog; random set.

[†]C. Sanna is a member of GNSAGA of INdAM and of CrypTO, the group of Cryptography and Number Theory of Politecnico di Torino.

Theorem 1.3. Let \mathcal{A} be a random set in $\mathcal{B}(n, \alpha)$ and put $X := \operatorname{deglcm}([\mathcal{A}]_q)$. Then there exists a function $v : (0, 1) \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that, as $\alpha n/((\log n)^3 \log \log n) \to +\infty$, we have

(2)
$$\mathbb{V}[X] = (\mathbf{v}(\alpha) + o(1)) n^3.$$

Moreover, the upper bound

(3)
$$\mathbb{V}[X] \ll \alpha n^3,$$

holds for every positive integer n and every $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

As a consequence of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3, we obtain the following q-analog of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.4. Let \mathcal{A} be a random set in $\mathcal{B}(n, \alpha)$. Then, as $\alpha n \to +\infty$, we have

$$\deg \operatorname{lcm}([\mathcal{A}]_q) \sim \frac{3}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{\alpha \operatorname{Li}_2(1-\alpha)}{1-\alpha} \cdot n^2,$$

with probability 1 - o(1), where the factor involving α is meant to be equal to 1 for $\alpha = 1$.

We remark that in Theorem 1.4 the condition $\alpha n \to +\infty$ is necessary. Indeed, if $\alpha n \leq C$, for some constant C > 0, then

$$\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{A} = \varnothing] = (1 - \alpha)^n \ge \left(1 - \frac{C}{n}\right)^n \to e^C$$

as $n \to +\infty$, and so no (nontrivial) asymptotic formula for deg lcm($[\mathcal{A}]_q$) can hold with probability 1 - o(1).

2. NOTATION

We employ the Landau–Bachmann "Big Oh" and "little oh" notations O and o, as well as the associated Vinogradov symbol \ll , with their usual meanings. Any dependence of the implied constants is explicitly stated or indicated with subscripts. For real random variables X and Y, we say that " $X \sim Y$ with probability 1 - o(1)" if $\mathbb{P}[|X - Y| > \varepsilon|Y|] = o_{\varepsilon}(1)$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$. We let (a, b) and [a, b] denote the greatest common divisor and the least common multiple, respectively, of two integers a and b. As usual, we write $\varphi(n)$, $\mu(n)$, $\tau(n)$, and $\sigma(n)$, for the Euler totient function, the Möbius function, the number of divisors, and the sum of divisors, of a positive integer n, respectively.

3. Preliminaries

In this section we collect some preliminary results needed in later arguments.

Lemma 3.1. We have

$$\sum_{m \le x} \tau(m) \ll x \log x,$$

for every $x \geq 2$.

Proof. See, e.g., [11, Theorem 3.2].

Lemma 3.2. We have

$$\sum_{[e_1, e_2] > x} \frac{1}{e_1 e_2[e_1, e_2]} \ll \frac{\log x}{x}$$

for every $x \geq 2$.

Proof. From Lemma 3.1 and partial summation, it follows that

$$\sum_{m > x} \frac{\tau(m)}{m^2} \ll \frac{\log x}{x}.$$

Let $e := (e_1, e_2)$ and $e'_1 := e_i/e$ for i = 1, 2. Then we have

$$\sum_{[e_1, e_2] > x} \frac{1}{e_1 e_2[e_1, e_2]} \le \sum_{e \ge 1} \frac{1}{e^3} \sum_{\substack{e_1' e_2' > x/e}} \frac{1}{(e_1' e_2')^2} = \sum_{e \ge 1} \frac{1}{e^3} \sum_{\substack{m > x/e}} \frac{\tau(m)}{m^2}$$
$$\ll \sum_{e \le x/2} \frac{1}{e^3} \frac{\log(x/e)}{x/e} + \sum_{e > x/2} \frac{1}{e^3} \ll \frac{\log x}{x} + \frac{1}{x^2} \ll \frac{\log x}{x},$$

as desired.

Let us define

$$\Phi(x) := \sum_{n \le x} \varphi(n)$$
 and $\Phi(a_1, a_2; x) := \sum_{n \le x} \varphi(a_1 n) \varphi(a_2 n)$

for every $x \ge 1$ and for all positive integers a_1, a_2 .

Lemma 3.3. For every $x \ge 2$, we have

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{3}{\pi^2} x^2 + O(x \log x),$$

Proof. See, e.g., [11, Theorem 3.4].

Lemma 3.4. We have

(4)
$$\Phi(a_1, a_2; x) = C_1(a_1, a_2) x^3 + O(\sigma(a_1 a_2) x^2 (\log x)^2),$$

for every $x \ge 2$, where

(5)
$$C_1(a_1, a_2) := \frac{a_1 a_2}{3} \sum_{d_1, d_2 \ge 1} \frac{\mu(d_1) \mu(d_2)}{d_1 d_2 [d_1/(a_1, d_1), d_2/(a_2, d_2)]}$$

and the series is absolutely convergent.

Proof. From the identity $\varphi(n)/n = \sum_{d \mid n} \mu(d)/d$, it follows that

$$\sum_{n \le x} \frac{\varphi(a_1 n)}{a_1 n} \frac{\varphi(a_2 n)}{a_2 n} = \sum_{n \le x} \left(\sum_{d_1 \mid a_1 n} \frac{\mu(d_1)}{d_1} \sum_{d_2 \mid a_2 n} \frac{\mu(d_2)}{d_2} \right)$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{d_1 \le a_1 x \\ d_2 \le a_2 x}} \frac{\mu(d_1)}{d_1} \frac{\mu(d_2)}{d_2} \# \left\{ n \le x : d_1 \mid a_1 n \text{ and } d_2 \mid a_2 n \right\}$$
$$= \sum_{[d'_1, d'_2] \le x} \frac{\mu(d_1)}{d_1} \frac{\mu(d_2)}{d_2} \left(\frac{x}{[d'_1, d'_2]} + O(1) \right),$$

where $d'_i := d_i/(a_i, d_i)$ for i = 1, 2. On the one hand, we have

$$\sum_{[d'_1, d'_2] \le x} \frac{1}{d_1 d_2} \le \sum_{c \mid a_1 a_2} \frac{1}{c} \sum_{e_1, e_2 \le x} \frac{1}{e_1 e_2} \ll \frac{\sigma(a_1 a_2)}{a_1 a_2} \, (\log x)^2.$$

On the other hand, thanks to Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\sum_{[d_1', d_2'] > x} \frac{1}{d_1 d_2[d_1', d_2']} \le \sum_{c \mid a_1 a_2} \frac{1}{c} \sum_{[e_1, e_2] > x} \frac{1}{e_1 e_2[e_1, e_2]} \ll \frac{\sigma(a_1 a_2)}{a_1 a_2} \frac{\log x}{x},$$

which, in particular, implies that series (5) is absolutely convergent. Therefore, letting $C_0(a_1, a_2) := 3C_1(a_1, a_2)/(a_1a_2)$, we obtain

(6)
$$\sum_{n \le x} \frac{\varphi(a_1 n)}{a_1 n} \frac{\varphi(a_2 n)}{a_2 n} = \left(C_0(a_1, a_2) + O\left(\sum_{[d'_1, d'_2] > x} \frac{1}{d_1 d_2[d_1, d_2]}\right) \right) x + O\left(\sum_{[d'_1, d'_2] \le x} \frac{1}{d_1 d_2}\right) = C_0(a_1, a_2) x + O\left(\frac{\sigma(a_1 a_2)}{a_1 a_2} (\log x)^2\right).$$

Now (4) follows easily from (6) by partial summation.

Remark 3.1. The obvious bound $\varphi(m) \leq m$ yields $C_1(a_1, a_2) \leq a_1 a_2/3$ (which is not so obvious from (5)).

The following lemma is an easy inequality that will be useful later.

Lemma 3.5. It holds $1 - (1 - x)^k \leq kx$, for all $x \in [0, 1]$ and all integers $k \geq 0$.

Proof. The claim is $(1 + (-x))^k \ge 1 + k(-x)$, which follows from Bernoulli's inequality. \Box

4. Proofs

Henceforth, let \mathcal{A} be a random set in $\mathcal{B}(n, \alpha)$, let $[\mathcal{A}]_q$ be its q-analog, and put $L := \operatorname{lcm}([\mathcal{A}]_q)$ and $X := \deg L$. For every positive integer d, let us define

$$I_{\mathcal{A}}(d) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d \mid k \text{ for some } k \in \mathcal{A}; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The following lemma gives a formula for X in terms of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ and the Euler function.

Lemma 4.1. We have

(7)
$$X = \sum_{1 < d \le n} \varphi(d) I_{\mathcal{A}}(d).$$

Proof. For every positive integer k, it holds

$$[k]_q = \frac{q^k - 1}{q - 1} = \prod_{\substack{d \mid k \\ d > 1}} \Phi_d(q),$$

where $\Phi_d(q)$ is the *d*th cyclotomic polynomials. Since, as it is well known, every cyclotomic polynomial is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} , it follows that *L* is the product of the polynomials $\Phi_d(q)$ such that d > 1 and $d \mid k$ for some $k \in \mathcal{A}$. Finally, the equality $\deg(\Phi_d(q)) = \varphi(d)$ and the definition of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ yield (7).

Let $\beta := 1 - \alpha$. The next lemma provides two expected values involving $I_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Lemma 4.2. For all positive integers d, d_1, d_2 , we have

(8)
$$\mathbb{E}[I_{\mathcal{A}}(d)] = 1 - \beta^{\lfloor n/d \rfloor}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\big[I_{\mathcal{A}}(d_1)I_{\mathcal{A}}(d_2)\big] = 1 - \beta^{\lfloor n/d_1 \rfloor} - \beta^{\lfloor n/d_2 \rfloor} + \beta^{\lfloor n/d_1 \rfloor + \lfloor n/d_2 \rfloor - \lfloor n/[d_1, d_2] \rfloor}.$$

Proof. On the one hand, by the definition of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\big[I_{\mathcal{A}}(d)\big] = \mathbb{P}\big[\exists k \in \mathcal{A} : d \mid k\big] = 1 - \mathbb{P}\left[\bigwedge_{m \leq \lfloor n/d \rfloor} (dm \notin \mathcal{A})\right] = 1 - \beta^{\lfloor n/d \rfloor},$$

which is (8). On the other hand, by linearity of the expectation and by (8), we have

$$\mathbb{E}[I_{\mathcal{A}}(d_1)I_{\mathcal{A}}(d_2)] = \mathbb{E}[I_{\mathcal{A}}(d_1) + I_{\mathcal{A}}(d_2) - 1 + (1 - I_{\mathcal{A}}(d_1))(1 - I_{\mathcal{A}}(d_2))]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[I_{\mathcal{A}}(d_1)] + \mathbb{E}[I_{\mathcal{A}}(d_2)] - 1 + \mathbb{E}[(1 - I_{\mathcal{A}}(d_1))(1 - I_{\mathcal{A}}(d_2))]$$

$$= 1 - \beta^{\lfloor n/d_1 \rfloor} - \beta^{\lfloor n/d_2 \rfloor} + \mathbb{E}[(1 - I_{\mathcal{A}}(d_1))(1 - I_{\mathcal{A}}(d_2))],$$

where the last expected value can be computed as

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(1-I_{\mathcal{A}}(d_{1})\right)\left(1-I_{\mathcal{A}}(d_{2})\right)\right] = \mathbb{P}\left[\forall k \in \mathcal{A} : d_{1} \nmid k \text{ and } d_{2} \nmid k\right]$$
$$= \mathbb{P}\left[\bigwedge_{\substack{k \leq n \\ d_{1} \mid k \text{ or } d_{2} \mid k}} (k \notin \mathcal{A})\right] = \beta^{\lfloor n/d_{1} \rfloor + \lfloor n/d_{2} \rfloor - \lfloor n/[d_{1}, d_{2}] \rfloor},$$

and second claim follows.

We are ready to compute the expected value of X.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. From Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, it follows that

(9)
$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{1 < d \le n} \varphi(d) \mathbb{E}[I_{\mathcal{A}}(d)] = \sum_{1 < d \le n} \varphi(d) (1 - \beta^{\lfloor n/d \rfloor})$$

Moreover, since $\lfloor n/d \rfloor = j$ if and only if $n/(j+1) < d \le n/j$, we get that

(10)
$$\sum_{d \le n} \varphi(d) \left(1 - \beta^{\lfloor n/d \rfloor}\right) = \sum_{j \le n} (1 - \beta^j) \sum_{n/(j+1) < d \le n/j} \varphi(d)$$
$$= \sum_{j \le n} (1 - \beta^j) \left(\Phi\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{n}{j+1}\right)\right)$$
$$= \alpha \sum_{j \le n} \beta^{j-1} \Phi\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$
$$= \frac{3}{\pi^2} \cdot \alpha \sum_{j \le n} \frac{\beta^{j-1}}{j^2} \cdot n^2 + O\left(\alpha \sum_{j \le n} \frac{n}{j} \log\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)\right)$$
$$= \frac{3}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{\alpha \operatorname{Li}_2(1 - \alpha)}{1 - \alpha} \cdot n^2 + O(\alpha n (\log n)^2),$$

where we used Lemma 3.3. Putting together (9) and (10), and noting that, by Lemma 3.5, the addend of (10) corresponding to d = 1 is $1 - \beta^n = O(\alpha n)$, we get (1). The proof is complete.

Now we consider the variance of X.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. From Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, it follows that

(11)
$$\mathbb{V}[X] = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mathbb{E}[X]^2$$
$$= \sum_{1 < d_1, d_2 \le n} \varphi(d_1) \varphi(d_2) \Big(\mathbb{E}[I_{\mathcal{A}}(d_1) I_{\mathcal{A}}(d_2)] - \mathbb{E}[I_{\mathcal{A}}(d_1)] \mathbb{E}[I_{\mathcal{A}}(d_2)] \Big)$$
$$= \sum_{1 < d_1, d_2 \le n} \varphi(d_1) \varphi(d_2) \beta^{\lfloor n/d_1 \rfloor + \lfloor n/d_2 \rfloor - \lfloor n/[d_1, d_2] \rfloor} \Big(1 - \beta^{\lfloor n/[d_1, d_2] \rfloor} \Big).$$

Let us define

$$V_n(\alpha) := \frac{1}{n^3} \sum_{d_1, d_2 \le n} \varphi(d_1) \varphi(d_2) \beta^{\lfloor n/d_1 \rfloor + \lfloor n/d_2 \rfloor - \lfloor n/[d_1, d_2] \rfloor} (1 - \beta^{\lfloor n/[d_1, d_2] \rfloor}).$$

Clearly, we have

$$V_n(\alpha) - \frac{\mathbb{V}[X]}{n^3} \ll \frac{1}{n^3} \sum_{d \le n} \varphi(d) \,\beta^n \left(1 - \beta^{\lfloor n/d \rfloor}\right) \le \frac{1}{n^3} \sum_{d \le n} d \ll \frac{1}{n}.$$

Hence, in order to prove (2), it sufficies to show that $V_n(\alpha) = v(\alpha) + o(1)$.

Let $d := (d_1, d_2)$ and $a_i := d_i/d$ for i = 1, 2. Then, for all positive integers j_0, j_1, j_2 , an easy computation shows that the equalities

$$j_1 = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{d_1} \right\rfloor, \quad j_2 = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{d_2} \right\rfloor, \quad j_3 = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{[d_1, d_2]} \right\rfloor,$$

are equivalent to

$$\rho_1(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{j}) \, n < d \le \rho_2(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{j}) \, n,$$

where

$$\rho_1(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{j}) := \max\left(\frac{1}{a_1(j_1+1)}, \frac{1}{a_2(j_2+1)}, \frac{1}{a_1a_2(j_3+1)}\right)$$

and

$$\rho_2(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{j}) := \min\left(\frac{1}{a_1 j_1}, \frac{1}{a_2 j_2}, \frac{1}{a_1 a_2 j_3}\right).$$

Therefore, letting

$$\mathcal{S}_n := \left\{ (\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{j}) \in \mathbb{N}^5 : (a_1, a_2) = 1, \exists d \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } \rho_1(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{j}) \, n < d \le \rho_2(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{j}) \, n \right\}$$

and

$$S(\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{j};n) := \frac{1}{n^3} \sum_{\rho_1(\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{j}) n < d \le \rho_2(\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{j}) n} \varphi(a_1 d) \varphi(a_2 d),$$

we have

$$V_n(\alpha) = \sum_{(\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{j})\in\mathcal{S}_n} \beta^{j_1+j_2-j_3} (1-\beta^{j_3}) S(\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{j};n).$$

Now let us define

(12)
$$\mathbf{v}(\alpha) := \sum_{(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{j}) \in S_{\infty}} \beta^{j_1 + j_2 - j_3} (1 - \beta^{j_3}) D(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{j}),$$

where

$$\mathcal{S}_{\infty} := \bigcup_{m \ge 1} \mathcal{S}_m = \left\{ (\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{j}) \in \mathbb{N}^5 : (a_1, a_2) = 1, \, \rho_1(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{j}) < \rho_2(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{j}) \right\}$$

and

$$D(a, j) := C_1(a_1, a_2) (\rho_2(a, j)^3 - \rho_1(a, j)^3).$$

The convergence of series (12) follows easily from Remark 3.1, $\rho_2(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{j}) \leq 1/(a_1a_2j_3)$, and the fact that $\min(j_1, j_2) \geq j_3$ for all $(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{j}) \in \mathcal{S}_{\infty}$.

Thanks to Lemma 3.4, for each $(a, j) \in S_n$ we have

$$S(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{j}; n) = D(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{j}) + O\left(\sigma(a_1 a_2) \rho_2(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{j})^2 \cdot \frac{(\log n)^2}{n}\right).$$

Consequently, we get that

(13)
$$V_n(\alpha) = \mathbf{v}(\alpha) - \Sigma_1 + O\left(\Sigma_2 \cdot \frac{(\log n)^2}{n}\right),$$

where

$$\Sigma_1 := \sum_{(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{j}) \in \mathcal{S}_{\infty} \setminus \mathcal{S}_n} \beta^{j_1 + j_2 - j_3} (1 - \beta^{j_3}) D(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{j})$$

and

$$\Sigma_2 := \sum_{(a,j) \in S_n} \beta^{j_1 + j_2 - j_3} (1 - \beta^{j_3}) \, \sigma(a_1 a_2) \, \rho_2(a, j)^2.$$

If $(a, j) \in S_{\infty}$ then, as we already noticed, $\min(j_1, j_2) \ge j_3$ and, moreover,

$$\frac{j_2}{j_3+1} < a_1 < \frac{j_2+1}{j_3}$$
 and $\frac{j_1}{j_3+1} < a_2 < \frac{j_1+1}{j_3}$.

Hence, we have

$$(14) \quad \sum_{(a,j)\in\mathcal{S}_{\infty}} \frac{\beta^{j_1+j_2-j_3}(1-\beta^{j_3})}{a_1a_2j_3^2} \leq \sum_{j_3\geq 1} \frac{1-\beta^{j_3}}{j_3^2} \sum_{j_1,j_2\geq j_3} \beta^{j_1+j_2-j_3} \sum_{\substack{j_2/(j_3+1)< a_1<(j_2+1)/j_3\\j_1/(j_3+1)< a_2<(j_1+1)/j_3}} \frac{1}{a_1a_2}$$
$$\ll \sum_{j_3\geq 1} \frac{1-\beta^{j_3}}{j_3^2} \sum_{j_1,j_2\geq j_3} \beta^{j_1+j_2-j_3} = \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \sum_{j\geq 1} \frac{(1-\beta^j)\beta^j}{j^2}$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{j\leq 1/\alpha} \frac{1}{j} + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \sum_{j>1/\alpha} \frac{1}{j^2} \ll \frac{\log(1/\alpha)+1}{\alpha},$$

where we used the inequality $1 - \beta^j \leq \alpha j$, which follows from Lemma 3.5.

If $(a, j) \in S_{\infty} \setminus S_n$ then $(\rho_2(a, j) - \rho_1(a, j))n < 1$ and consequently, also by Remark 3.1,

(15)
$$D(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{j}) \ll a_1 a_2 \left(\rho_2^3 - \rho_1^3\right) = a_1 a_2 \left(\rho_1^2 + \rho_1 \rho_2 + \rho_2^2\right) \left(\rho_2 - \rho_1\right) \ll \frac{a_1 a_2 \rho_2^2}{n} \le \frac{1}{a_1 a_2 j_3^2 n},$$

where, for brevity, we wrote $\rho_i := \rho_i(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{j})$ for i = 1, 2.

On the one hand, from (14) and (15) it follows that

(16)
$$\Sigma_1 \ll \frac{\log(1/\alpha) + 1}{\alpha n} = o(1),$$

as $\alpha n/((\log n)^3 \log \log n)) \to +\infty$ (actually, $\alpha n/\log n \to +\infty$ is sufficient). On the other hand, from (14) and the inequality $\sigma(m) \le m \log \log m$ (see, e.g., [11, Theorem 5.7]) it follows that

(17)
$$\Sigma_2 \leq \sum_{(\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{j})\in\mathcal{S}_n} \frac{\beta^{j_1+j_2-j_3}(1-\beta^{j_3})}{a_1a_2j_3^2} \cdot \frac{\sigma(a_1a_2)}{a_1a_2} \ll \frac{(\log(1/\alpha)+1)\log\log n}{\alpha} = o\left(\frac{n}{(\log n)^2}\right),$$

as $\alpha n / ((\log n)^3 \log \log n) \to +\infty$.

At this point, putting together (13), (16), and (17), we obtain $V_n(\alpha) = v(\alpha) + o(1)$. The proof of (2) is complete.

It remains only to prove the upper bound (3). From (11) it follows that

$$\mathbb{V}[X] \leq \sum_{[d_1, d_2] \leq n} \varphi(d_1) \varphi(d_2) \beta^{\lfloor n/d_1 \rfloor + \lfloor n/d_2 \rfloor - \lfloor n/[d_1, d_2] \rfloor} \left(1 - \beta^{\lfloor n/[d_1, d_2] \rfloor}\right)$$
$$\leq \sum_{[d_1, d_2] \leq n} d_1 d_2 \cdot \frac{\alpha n}{[d_1, d_2]} = \alpha n \sum_{[d_1, d_2] \leq n} (d_1, d_2) \leq \alpha n \sum_{d \leq n} d \sum_{a_1 a_2 \leq n/d} 1$$
$$= \alpha n \sum_{d \leq n} d \sum_{m \leq n/d} \tau(m) \ll \alpha n^2 \sum_{d \leq n} \log\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) = \alpha n^2 \left(n \log n - \log(n!)\right) < \alpha n^3,$$

where we used Lemma 3.5, Lemma 3.1, and the bound $n! > (n/e)^n$. Thus (3) is proved. \Box *Proof of Theorem 1.4.* By Chebyshev's inequality, Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\big[|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| > \varepsilon \mathbb{E}[X]\big] < \frac{\mathbb{V}[X]}{\left(\varepsilon \mathbb{E}[X]\right)^2} \ll \frac{\alpha n^3}{(\varepsilon \alpha n)^2} \ll \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 \alpha n} = o_{\varepsilon}(1),$$

as $\alpha n \to +\infty$. Hence, using again Theorem 1.2, we get

$$X \sim \frac{3}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{\alpha \operatorname{Li}_2(1-\alpha)}{1-\alpha} \cdot n^2,$$

with probability 1 - o(1), as $\alpha n \to +\infty$.

C. SANNA

References

- 1. G. Alsmeyer, Z. Kabluchko, and A. Marynych, *Limit theorems for the least common multiple of a random set of integers*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **372** (2019), no. 7, 4585–4603.
- G. E. Andrews, q-Series: Their Development and Application in Analysis, Number Theory, Combinatorics, Physics, and Computer Algebra, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 66, Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1986.
- A. Bostan, A. Marynych, and K. Raschel, On the least common multiple of several random integers, J. Number Theory 204 (2019), 113–133.
- 4. D. Buraczewski, A. Iksanov, and A. Marynych, A Brownian weak limit for the least common multiple of a random m-tuple of integers, https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.05643.
- 5. J. Cilleruelo and J. Guijarro-Ordóñez, Ratio sets of random sets, Ramanujan J. 43 (2017), no. 2, 327-345.
- J. Cilleruelo, D. S. Ramana, and O. Ramaré, Quotient and product sets of thin subsets of the positive integers, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 296 (2017), no. 1, 52–64.
- J. Cilleruelo, J. Rué, P. Šarka, and A. Zumalacárregui, The least common multiple of random sets of positive integers, J. Number Theory 144 (2014), 92–104.
- 8. V. Kac and P. Cheung, Quantum calculus, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.
- 9. C. Sanna, On the l.c.m. of shifted Fibonacci numbers, https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.13330.
- 10. C. Sanna, On the l.c.m. of random terms of binary recurrence sequences, J. Number Theory **213** (2020), 221–231.
- G. Tenenbaum, Introduction to Analytic and Probabilistic Number Theory, third ed., Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 163, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015, Translated from the 2008 French edition by Patrick D. F. Ion.

POLITECNICO DI TORINO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES CORSO DUCA DEGLI ABRUZZI 24, 10129 TORINO, ITALY *Email address:* carlo.sanna.dev@gmail.com