

MICROSCOPIC CONSERVATION LAWS FOR THE DERIVATIVE NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION

XINGDONG TANG AND GUIXIANG XU

ABSTRACT. Compared with macroscopic conservation law for the solution of the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation (DNLS) with small mass in [21], we show the corresponding microscopic conservation laws for the Schwartz solutions of DNLS with small mass. The new ingredient is to make use of the logarithmic perturbation determinant introduced in [34, 35] to show one-parameter family of microscopic conservation laws of the $A(\kappa)$ flow and the DNLS flow, which is motivated by [11, 19, 20].

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation (DNLS)

$$i\partial_t q + \partial_x^2 q + i\partial_x(|q|^2 q) = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where $q: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. (1.1) is L^2 -critical since the dilation

$$q(t, x) \mapsto q_\lambda(t, x) = \lambda^{1/2} q(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x) \quad (1.2)$$

leaves both (1.1) and the L^2 norm invariant. The derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation appears in plasma physics [28, 29, 36], and references therein.

Local well-posedness result for (1.1) in the energy space was worked out by N. Hayashi and T. Ozawa [14, 31]. They combined the fixed point argument with the $L_t^4 W_x^{1,\infty}$ estimate to construct local-in-time solution with arbitrary data in energy space. For other results, we can refer to [12, 13]. Since (1.1) is energy subcritical case, the maximal time interval of existence only depends on H^1 norm of initial data. Later, local well-posedness result for (1.1) in H^s , $s \geq 1/2$ is due to H. Takaoka [37] by Bourgain's Fourier restriction method. The sharpness is shown in [38] in the sense that nonlinear evolution

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 35L70, Secondary: 35Q55.

Key words and phrases. Microscopic conservation law; Derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation; Diagonal Green's function; Perturbation determinant.

$u(0) \mapsto u(t)$ fails to be C^3 or even uniformly C^0 in this topology, even when t is arbitrarily close to zero and H^s norm of the data is small (see also Biagioni-Linares [3]).

Global well-posedness is shown for (1.1) in the energy space in [31], under the smallness condition

$$\|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 < 2\pi, \quad (1.3)$$

the argument is based on the sharp Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and the energy method (conservation of mass and energy). This result is improved by H. Takaoka [38] by Bourgain's restriction method, who proved global well-posedness in H^s for $s > 32/33$ under the condition (1.3). In [6, 7], I-team make use of almost conservation law [41] to show global well-posedness in H^s , $s > 1/2$ under (1.3). Miao, Wu and Xu [24] combine almost conservation law and the refined resonant decomposition technique to obtain the global well-posedness in $H^{1/2}$ under (1.3). Later, Wu use the generalized Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality to improve the global well-posedness of (1.1) in the energy space under the condition

$$\|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 < 4\pi \quad (1.4)$$

in [42], where 4π is the mass of the solitary waves with critical parameters of (1.1). Miao, Tang and Xu use the structure analysis and classical variational argument to show the existence of solitary waves with two parameters and improve the global result of (1.1) in the energy space in [26], and further use perturbation argument, modulation analysis and Lyapunov stability to show the orbital stability of weak interaction multi-soliton solution with subcritical parameters in the energy space in [25]. We can also refer to [5, 9, 23, 27, 40] for the stability analysis of the solitary waves of the (generalized) derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation in the energy space and to [10] for lower regularity result of (1.1) by almost conservation law in [41].

Since (1.1) is an integrable system in [1, 18], there are lots of global well-posedness of (1.1) with mass restriction in the weighted Sobolev space based on the inverse scattering method, please refer to [15, 16, 17, 32, 33] and reference therein.

The conjecture about (1.1) is the following.

Conjecture 1.1. *Let $s > 0$. (1.1) is globally well-posed for all initial data in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ in the sense that the solution map Φ extends uniquely from Schwartz space to a jointly continuous map $\Phi: \mathbb{R} \times H^s(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^s(\mathbb{R})$.*

According to the above well known result, we need loosen the continuous dependence of the solution on initial data to consider the solution of (1.1) in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $s \in (0, 1/2)$. The basic question is that how to control the uniform estimates of the solution of (1.1).

Motivated by Killip-Visan-Zhang's argument in [20], Klaus and Schippa combine the integrability of (1.1) with the series expansion of the perturbation determinant [34, 35] to obtain macroscopic conservation law for the Schwartz solution of (1.1) with small mass in [21], In this paper, we will show the corresponding microscopic form and obtain one-parameter family of microscopic conservation laws for the $A(\kappa)$ flows (see (4.1)) and the DNLS flow by Harrop-Griffiths-Killip-Visan's argument in [11, 19]. Compared with macroscopic form, microscopic conservation law with coercivity helps to show the local smoothing effect for (1.1) in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ and can be further applied into global wellposedness analysis. We can refer to [11, 19, 39] and reference therein.

We now recall some Hamiltonian mechanics background. (1.1) is Hamiltonian equation with respect to the following Poisson structure:

$$\{F, G\} := \int \frac{\delta F}{\delta r} \partial_x \left(\frac{\delta G}{\delta q} \right) + \frac{\delta F}{\delta q} \partial_x \left(\frac{\delta G}{\delta r} \right) dx, \quad (1.5)$$

where the operators $\frac{\delta}{\delta q}$ and $\frac{\delta}{\delta r}$ denote the functional Fréchet derivatives. Any Hamiltonian $H(q, r, t)$ generates a flow via the equation

$$\partial_t \begin{bmatrix} q \\ r \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \partial_x \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\delta H}{\delta q} \\ \frac{\delta H}{\delta r} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (1.6)$$

Correspondingly, (1.1) is the Hamiltonian flow associated to

$$H_{\text{DNLS}} := \int_{\mathbb{R}} -iqr' + \frac{1}{2}q^2r^2 dx, \quad (1.7)$$

where $r = -\bar{q}$. Two other important Hamiltonian quantities for (1.1) are

$$M := \int qr dx, \quad E_{\text{DNLS}} := \int q'r' - \frac{3}{2}iq^2rr' + \frac{1}{2}q^3r^3 dx. \quad (1.8)$$

Conservations of M , H_{DNLS} and E_{DNLS} is due to gauge, space translation and time translation invariance of (1.1), the commutativity of H_{DNLS} and E_{DNLS} is based on the fact that they are completely integrable, which means the existence of an infinite family of commuting flows for (1.1). We can refer to [1] [21] for more details. Based on the recent breakthrough in KdV, mKdV and NLS by B. Harrop-Griffiths, R. Killip and M. Visan in [11, 19],

the commuting flow approximation will be the robust method for showing global well-posedness theory of (1.1) in the lower regularity space. We can also refer to [22, 30] and reference therein.

Let us write the Lax operator related to (1.1) and its unperturbed one

$$L(\varkappa) := \begin{bmatrix} \partial + i\varkappa^2 & -\varkappa q \\ -\varkappa r & \partial - i\varkappa^2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } L_0(\varkappa) := \begin{bmatrix} \partial + i\varkappa^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \partial - i\varkappa^2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (1.9)$$

By simple calculations, we know that

$$R_0(\varkappa) := L_0(\varkappa)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (\partial + i\varkappa^2)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & (\partial - i\varkappa^2)^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

admits the integral kernel

$$G_0(x, y; \varkappa) = e^{-i\varkappa^2|x-y|} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{\{y < x\}} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{1}_{\{x < y\}} \end{bmatrix} \text{ for } i\varkappa^2 > 0.$$

For $i\varkappa^2 < 0$, we may use $G_0(x, y; \varkappa) = -G_0(y, x; -\bar{\varkappa})$. The resolvent operator $R(\varkappa) := L(\varkappa)^{-1}$ for Schwartz function q with small mass also has the integral kernel $G(x, y; \varkappa)$ (See Proposition 3.1)

$$\begin{bmatrix} G_{11}(x, y, \varkappa) & G_{12}(x, y, \varkappa) \\ G_{21}(x, y, \varkappa) & G_{22}(x, y, \varkappa) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let us define three key functionals as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(x; \varkappa) &:= \operatorname{sgn}(i\varkappa^2) [G_{11}(x, x; \varkappa) - G_{22}(x, x; \varkappa)] - 1, \\ g_{12}(x; \varkappa) &:= \operatorname{sgn}(i\varkappa^2) G_{12}(x, x; \varkappa), \\ g_{21}(x; \varkappa) &:= \operatorname{sgn}(i\varkappa^2) G_{21}(x, x; \varkappa), \end{aligned}$$

With these preparations, the main result in this paper is

Theorem 1.2. *Let $s \in (0, 1/2)$, $i\varkappa^2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-1, 1)$, $q(0) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{S}$ with small mass. Suppose that q is a solution to (1.1), then we have*

$$\partial_t \rho(\varkappa) + \partial_x j_{\text{DNLS}}(\varkappa) = 0,$$

where the density ρ and the flux j_{DNLS} are defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\varkappa) &:= -\varkappa \frac{qg_{21}(\varkappa) + rg_{12}(\varkappa)}{2 + \gamma(\varkappa)}, \\ j_{\text{DNLS}}(\varkappa) &:= i\varkappa \frac{q'g_{21}(\varkappa) - r'g_{12}(\varkappa)}{2 + \gamma(\varkappa)} - i\varkappa^2 qr - 2\varkappa^2 \rho(\varkappa) - qr\rho(\varkappa). \end{aligned}$$

Remark. We give some remarks as follows.

- (1) In this paper, we consider microscopic conservation law for the solution of (1.1). That is the reason why we consider the Schwartz solution. In addition, mass threshold is another interesting problem. Please refer to [14, 26][42] and reference therein. Recently, it is very interesting that Bahouri and Perelman obtain global well-posedness for (1.1) in $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$ without mass restriction by combining the profile decomposition techniques with the integrability structure in [2].
- (2) The above microscopic conservation law corresponds to macroscopic conservation law of (1.1) in [21]. In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(\varkappa) &= \operatorname{sgn}(i\varkappa^2) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{j} \operatorname{tr} \left\{ \left(\sqrt{R_0} (L - L_0) \sqrt{R_0} \right)^j \right\} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho(\varkappa) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Compared to macroscopic conservation law, microscopic conservation law with coercivity can be used to show the local smoothing estimate for the solution of (1.1) with small mass in $H^s \cap \mathcal{S}$, $s \in (0, 1/2)$ and others. Please refer to [19, 20].

- (3) The leading order term in ρ has the following form

$$\left| \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho^{[2]}(\varkappa) \, dx \right| \approx |\varkappa|^2 \|q\|_{H_{\varkappa}^{-1/2}}^2,$$

which captures L^2 norm of the part of q living at frequencies $|\xi| \lesssim |\varkappa|^2$ (See also (2.8) and (4.2)). Combining the above conservation law and the similar argument on Besov norm estimate in [20, 21, Section 3] for any Hamiltonian flow preserving $A(\varkappa)$ for all $|\varkappa| \geq 1$, we can obtain a uniform bound of $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ norm of the Schwartz solution of (1.1) with small mass

$$\|q(t)\|_{H^s} \lesssim \|q(0)\|_{H^s}, \text{ for } s \in (0, 1/2). \quad (1.10)$$

Lastly, the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some notations and preliminary estimates. In Section 3, we show the existence and some properties of the Green's function related to the Lax operator $L(\kappa)$. In Section 4, we introduce the invariant quantity $A(\kappa)$ from the logarithmic perturbation determinant and show its microscopic conservation laws for the $A(\kappa)$ flow and the DNLS flow.

Acknowledgements. X. Tang was supported by NSFC (No. 12001284), and G. Xu was supported by NSFC (No. 11671046, and No. 11831004)

and by National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2020YFA0712900). The authors would like to thank Professor Monica Visan for her valuable comments and suggestions.

2. SOME NOTATION AND PRELIMINARY ESTIMATES

In this paper, we take $r = -\bar{q}$ and choose $s \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, and all implicit constants can depend on s . We denote \mathcal{S} the Schwartz function, and introduce the notation

$$B_\delta := \{q \in H^s : \|q\|_{H^s} \leq \delta\}. \quad (2.1)$$

which can be ensured by scaling argument under the assumption that the mass $\|q\|_{L^2}$ is small enough.

We use the inner product on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ as follows

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int \overline{f(x)}g(x) dx,$$

which also gives the dual product between $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ and $H^{-s}(\mathbb{R})$. In addition, If $F : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is C^1 , we have

$$\left. \frac{d}{d\theta} \right|_{\theta=0} F(q + \theta f) = \langle \bar{f}, \frac{\delta F}{\delta q} \rangle - \langle f, \frac{\delta F}{\delta r} \rangle. \quad (2.2)$$

The Fourier transform is defined by

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi x} f(x) dx, \quad \text{whence} \quad \widehat{f\hat{g}}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} [\hat{f} * \hat{g}](\xi).$$

2.1. Sobolev spaces. For complex κ with $|\kappa| \geq 1$ and $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ we define the norm

$$\|q\|_{H_\kappa^\sigma}^2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}} (4|\kappa|^4 + \xi^2)^\sigma |\hat{q}(\xi)|^2 d\xi$$

and write $H^\sigma := H_1^\sigma$.

For $0 < s < \frac{1}{2}$, simple calculation yields the Sobolev inequality

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\hat{f}\|_{L^1} \leq \|f\|_{H_\kappa^{s+\frac{1}{2}}} \|(|\xi|^2 + 4|\kappa|^4)^{-\frac{2s+1}{4}}\|_{L^2} \lesssim |\kappa|^{-2s} \|f\|_{H_\kappa^{s+\frac{1}{2}}}. \quad (2.3)$$

Consequently, we have the following algebra property of $H_\kappa^{s+\frac{1}{2}}$ space:

$$\|fg\|_{H_\kappa^{s+\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim |\kappa|^{-2s} \|f\|_{H_\kappa^{s+\frac{1}{2}}} \|g\|_{H_\kappa^{s+\frac{1}{2}}}. \quad (2.4)$$

By duality and the fractional product rule in [4], Sobolev embedding, and (2.3), we obtain

$$\|qf\|_{H^{s-1/2}} \lesssim |\kappa|^{-2s} \|q\|_{H^{s-1/2}} \|f\|_{H_\kappa^{s+\frac{1}{2}}}. \quad (2.5)$$

2.2. Operator estimates and Trace. For $0 < \sigma < 1$ and $i\kappa^2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $|\kappa| \geq 1$ we define the operator $(i\kappa^2 \mp \partial)^{-\sigma}$ using the Fourier multiplier $(i\kappa^2 \mp i\xi)^{-\sigma}$ where, for $\arg z \in (-\pi, \pi]$, we define

$$z^{-\sigma} = |z|^{-\sigma} e^{-i\sigma \arg z}. \quad (2.6)$$

Therefore for all $i\kappa^2 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|\kappa| \geq 1$ we have

$$((i\kappa^2 \mp \partial)^{-\sigma})^* = (i\kappa^2 \pm \partial)^{-\sigma},$$

and

$$\|(i\kappa^2 \mp \partial)^{-\sigma}\|_{\text{op}} \leq |\kappa|^{-2\sigma}.$$

We denote \mathfrak{J}_p the Schatten class of compact operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ whose singular numbers are l^p summable. \mathfrak{J}_p is complete and is an embedded subalgebra of bounded operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, we have

$$\mathfrak{J}_p \subset \mathfrak{J}_q, \text{ for } p \leq q. \quad (2.7)$$

Let us recall some facts about the class \mathfrak{J}_p that we will use repeatedly in Section 3: An operator A on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is Hilbert–Schmidt class (\mathfrak{J}_2) if and only if it admits an integral kernel $a(x, y) \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$, and

$$\|A\|_{\text{op}}^2 \leq \|A\|_{\mathfrak{J}_2}^2 = \iint_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} |a(x, y)|^2 dx dy.$$

The product of two Hilbert–Schmidt operators is trace class,; Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(AB) &:= \iint_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} a(x, y)b(y, x) dy dx = \text{tr}(BA), \\ |\text{tr}(AB)| &\leq \|AB\|_{\mathfrak{J}_1} \leq \|A\|_{\mathfrak{J}_2} \|B\|_{\mathfrak{J}_2} \end{aligned}$$

The class \mathfrak{J}_p forms a two-sided ideal in the algebra of bounded operators on L^2 ; indeed, for any bounded operators B, C on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\|BAC\|_{\mathfrak{J}_p} \leq \|B\|_{\text{op}} \|A\|_{\mathfrak{J}_p} \|C\|_{\text{op}}.$$

We can refer to [8, 35] for more details.

The following estimates are the elementary estimates in this paper.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $s \in (0, 1/2)$, $i\kappa^2 \in \mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$\|(\partial + i\kappa^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \kappa q (\partial - i\kappa^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathfrak{J}_2} \lesssim \|q\|_{L^2}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\|(\partial + i\kappa^2)^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \kappa q (\partial - i\kappa^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathfrak{J}_2} \lesssim |\kappa| \|q\|_{H_\kappa^{s-\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$\|(\partial + i\kappa^2)^{-s-\frac{1}{2}}f(\partial - i\kappa^2)^{-s-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{op}} \lesssim |\kappa|^{-2s}\|f\|_{H_\kappa^{-s-\frac{1}{2}}}. \quad (2.10)$$

Proof. The estimate (2.8) can be proved by [20, Lemma 4.1]. For (2.9), it suffices to consider the case $i\kappa^2 = 1$ by scaling argument. By Plancherel's Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(1 - \partial)^{s-\frac{1}{2}}q(1 + \partial)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathfrak{S}_2}^2 &= \text{tr} \left\{ (1 - \partial^2)^{-\alpha}q(1 - \partial^2)^{-\beta}\bar{q} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\hat{q}(\xi - \eta)|^2}{(1 + \xi^2)^{\frac{1}{2}-s}(1 + \eta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} d\eta d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(1 + (\xi + \eta)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}-s}(1 + \eta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} d\eta \lesssim (4 + \xi^2)^{s-\frac{1}{2}},$$

we can obtain the estimate (2.9).

Lastly, we can obtain (2.10) by duality and (2.4) as follows

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} fgh dx \right| \lesssim \|f\|_{H_\kappa^{-s-\frac{1}{2}}}\|gh\|_{H_\kappa^{s+\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim |\kappa|^{-2s}\|f\|_{H_\kappa^{-s-\frac{1}{2}}}\|g\|_{H_\kappa^{s+\frac{1}{2}}}\|h\|_{H_\kappa^{s+\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

3. THE DIAGONAL GREEN'S FUNCTIONS

In this Section, motivated by the ideas in [11, 19], we introduce three key quantities g_{12} , g_{21} , and γ from the diagonal Green's function related to the Lax operator $L(\kappa)$ for (1.1), and establish some elementary estimates about them. Recall that

$$L(\kappa) = L_0(\kappa) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\kappa q \\ -\kappa r & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ where } L_0(\kappa) := \begin{bmatrix} \partial + i\kappa^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \partial - i\kappa^2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3.1)$$

Since we only consider the case $i\kappa^2 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|\kappa| \geq 1$, we have

$$L(\kappa)^* = \begin{bmatrix} -\partial - i\bar{\kappa}^2 & -\bar{\kappa}\bar{r} \\ -\bar{\kappa}\bar{q} & -\partial + i\bar{\kappa}^2 \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} L(-\bar{\kappa}) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3.2)$$

We now construct the Green's function associated to $L_0(\kappa)$ and $L(\kappa)$, respectively. By the Fourier transformation, the resolvent operator

$$R_0(\kappa) := L_0(\kappa)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (\partial + i\kappa^2)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & (\partial - i\kappa^2)^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

admits the integral kernel

$$G_0(x, y; \kappa) = e^{-i\kappa^2|x-y|} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{\{y < x\}} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{1}_{\{x < y\}} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for } i\kappa^2 > 0. \quad (3.3)$$

For $i\kappa^2 < 0$, we may use $G_0(x, y; \kappa) = -G_0(y, x; -\bar{\kappa})$ by (3.2).

By the perturbation theory and the resolvent identity, the resolvent operator $R(\kappa) := L(\kappa)^{-1}$ can be formally expressed as

$$R = R_0 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (-1)^\ell \sqrt{R_0} \left(\sqrt{R_0} (L - L_0) \sqrt{R_0} \right)^\ell \sqrt{R_0}, \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\sqrt{R_0} (L - L_0) \sqrt{R_0} = - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Lambda \\ \Gamma & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\Lambda := (\partial + i\kappa^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \kappa q (\partial - i\kappa^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma := (\partial - i\kappa^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \kappa r (\partial + i\kappa^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (3.6)$$

and fractional powers of R_0 are defined via (2.6). By (2.8), we have

$$\|\Lambda\|_{\mathfrak{J}_2} = \|\Gamma\|_{\mathfrak{J}_2} \lesssim \|q\|_{L^2} \lesssim \delta. \quad (3.7)$$

Now we have the convergence of series (3.4) as part of the following result.

Proposition 3.1 (Existence of the Green's function). *There exists $\delta > 0$ such that $L(\kappa)$ is invertible as an operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, for all $q \in B_\delta$ and all $i\kappa^2 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|\kappa| \geq 1$. The resolvent operator $R(\kappa) := L(\kappa)^{-1}$ admits an integral kernel $G(x, y; \kappa)$ satisfying*

$$\begin{bmatrix} G_{11}(x, y, \kappa) & G_{12}(x, y, \kappa) \\ G_{21}(x, y, \kappa) & G_{22}(x, y, \kappa) \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \bar{G}_{11}(y, x, -\bar{\kappa}) & \bar{G}_{21}(y, x, -\bar{\kappa}) \\ \bar{G}_{12}(y, x, -\bar{\kappa}) & \bar{G}_{22}(y, x, -\bar{\kappa}) \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.8)$$

such that the mapping

$$H_\kappa^s(\mathbb{R}) \ni q \mapsto G - G_0 \in H_\kappa^{s+\frac{1}{2}} \otimes H_\kappa^{s+\frac{1}{2}} \quad (3.9)$$

is continuous. Moreover, $G - G_0$ is continuous as a function of $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.

Lastly, we have

$$\partial_x G(x, y; \kappa) = \begin{bmatrix} -i\kappa^2 & \kappa q(x) \\ \kappa r(x) & i\kappa^2 \end{bmatrix} G(x, y; \kappa) + \begin{bmatrix} \delta(x-y) & 0 \\ 0 & \delta(x-y) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.10)$$

$$\partial_y G(x, y; \kappa) = G(x, y; \kappa) \begin{bmatrix} i\kappa^2 & -\kappa q(y) \\ -\kappa r(y) & -i\kappa^2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \delta(x-y) & 0 \\ 0 & \delta(x-y) \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.11)$$

in the sense of distributions.

Proof. The proof is similar as those in [11, Proposition 3.1]. We sketch the proof for completeness. From (3.7), we have¹

$$\|\sqrt{R_0}(L - L_0)\sqrt{R_0}\|_{\mathfrak{I}_2} \leq \sqrt{2}\|\Lambda\|_{\mathfrak{I}_2} \lesssim \|q\|_{L^2} \lesssim \delta$$

uniformly for $|\kappa| \geq 1$. Thus, for $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small, the series (3.4) converges in operator norm uniformly for $|\kappa| \geq 1$. It is easy to verify that the sum acts as an inverse to $L(\kappa)$.

From (3.7), we also have $R - R_0 \in \mathfrak{I}_2$. In particular, the operator $R - R_0$ admits an integral kernel $G - G_0$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, by (2.9), we know that $R - R_0$ converges in the sense of Hilbert–Schmidt operators from $H_\kappa^{-s-\frac{1}{2}}$ to $H_\kappa^{s+\frac{1}{2}}$, which implies (3.9).

By (3.9), we obtain that the kernel function $G - G_0$ is continuous in (x, y) since $s + \frac{1}{2} > \frac{1}{2}$.

For regular q , the identities (3.10) and (3.11) precisely express the fact that G is an integral kernel for $R(\kappa)$. They also hold for irregular q by (3.9). \square

Let us define γ , g_{12} and g_{21} as follows:

$$\gamma(x; \kappa) := \operatorname{sgn}(i\kappa^2)[G_{11}(x, x; \kappa) - G_{22}(x, x; \kappa)] - 1, \quad (3.12)$$

$$g_{12}(x; \kappa) := \operatorname{sgn}(i\kappa^2)G_{12}(x, x; \kappa), \quad (3.13)$$

$$g_{21}(x; \kappa) := \operatorname{sgn}(i\kappa^2)G_{21}(x, x; \kappa), \quad (3.14)$$

where $G_{ij}(x, y, \kappa)$, $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$, are the entries of the integral kernel $G(x, y, \kappa)$. If $q \in B_\delta \cap \mathcal{S}$, we may use (3.10) and (3.11) to obtain

$$\gamma' = 2\kappa(qg_{21} - rg_{12}), \quad (3.15)$$

$$g'_{12} = -2i\kappa^2g_{12} - \kappa q[\gamma + 1], \quad (3.16)$$

$$g'_{21} = 2i\kappa^2g_{21} + \kappa r[\gamma + 1]. \quad (3.17)$$

By (3.8), we have

$$\gamma(\kappa) = \bar{\gamma}(-\bar{\kappa}) \quad \text{and} \quad g_{12}(\kappa) = -\bar{g}_{21}(-\bar{\kappa}). \quad (3.18)$$

¹Since the convergence of the tails of the series (3.4) is key to the existence of the Green's function, we can pay some regularity $s > s_0 > 0$ with $s_0 \in (0, 1/2)$, use the \mathfrak{I}_p estimate instead of the \mathfrak{I}_2 estimate to remove small mass assumption. Here we pay attention to the regularity problem of the solution for (1.1) in this paper.

Moreover, by (3.15), (3.16), and (3.17) , we have the following identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\kappa^2 - \varkappa^2}{\kappa^2} [g'_{12}(\kappa)g_{21}(\varkappa) + g'_{21}(\kappa)g_{12}(\varkappa)] \\ &= [g_{12}(\kappa)g_{21}(\varkappa) + g_{21}(\kappa)g_{12}(\varkappa)]' + \frac{\varkappa}{2\kappa} [(\gamma(\kappa) + 1)(\gamma(\varkappa) + 1)]', \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

which is closely connected to the commutativity of the $A(\kappa)$'s flows under the Poisson bracket (1.5) .

From the series representation (3.4) of $R(\kappa)$ in q and r , we can deduce the corresponding series representations of g_{12} , g_{21} , and γ in q and r . We use the square brackets notation as follows

$$g_{12}^{[2m+1]}(\kappa) := \text{sgn}(i\kappa^2) \left\langle \delta_x, (\partial + i\kappa^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Lambda (\Gamma \Lambda)^m (\partial - i\kappa^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_x \right\rangle, \quad (3.20)$$

$$g_{21}^{[2m+1]}(\kappa) := \text{sgn}(i\kappa^2) \left\langle \delta_x, (\partial - i\kappa^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma (\Lambda \Gamma)^m (\partial + i\kappa^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_x \right\rangle, \quad (3.21)$$

with $g_{12}^{[2m]}(\kappa) = g_{21}^{[2m]}(\kappa) := 0$, and similarly, $\gamma^{[2m+1]}(\kappa) := 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{[2m]}(\kappa) &:= \text{sgn}(i\kappa^2) \left\langle \delta_x, (\partial + i\kappa^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\Lambda \Gamma)^m (\partial + i\kappa^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_x \right\rangle \\ &\quad - \text{sgn}(i\kappa^2) \left\langle \delta_x, (\partial - i\kappa^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\Gamma \Lambda)^m (\partial - i\kappa^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_x \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

then by (3.4), we have

$$g_{12}(\kappa) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} g_{12}^{[\ell]}(\kappa), \quad g_{21}(\kappa) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} g_{21}^{[\ell]}(\kappa), \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma(\kappa) = \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} \gamma^{[\ell]}(\kappa). \quad (3.23)$$

We also write the tails of these series as

$$\begin{aligned} g_{12}^{[\geq m]}(\kappa) &:= \sum_{\ell=m}^{\infty} g_{12}^{[\ell]}(\kappa), \quad g_{21}^{[\geq m]}(\kappa) := \sum_{\ell=m}^{\infty} g_{21}^{[\ell]}(\kappa), \\ \gamma^{[\geq m]}(\kappa) &:= \sum_{\ell=m}^{\infty} \gamma^{[\ell]}(\kappa). \end{aligned}$$

By (3.16) (3.17) and (3.36), we obtain the identities

$$g_{12} = -(2i\kappa^2 + \partial)^{-1} [\kappa q + \kappa \gamma q], \quad g_{21} = -(2i\kappa^2 - \partial)^{-1} [\kappa r + \kappa \gamma r],$$

and

$$\gamma = -2g_{12}g_{21} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2,$$

from which we have the explicit expressions for the leading order terms

$$g_{12}^{[1]}(\kappa) = -\frac{\kappa q}{2i\kappa^2 + \partial}, \quad g_{12}^{[3]}(\kappa) = \frac{2}{2i\kappa^2 + \partial} \left(\kappa q \cdot \frac{\kappa r}{2i\kappa^2 - \partial} \cdot \frac{\kappa q}{2i\kappa^2 + \partial} \right), \quad (3.24)$$

$$g_{21}^{[1]}(\kappa) = -\frac{\kappa r}{2i\kappa^2 - \partial}, \quad g_{21}^{[3]}(\kappa) = \frac{2}{2i\kappa^2 - \partial} \left(\kappa r \cdot \frac{\kappa q}{2i\kappa^2 + \partial} \cdot \frac{\kappa r}{2i\kappa^2 - \partial} \right), \quad (3.25)$$

and

$$\gamma^{[2]}(\kappa) = -2 \frac{\kappa q}{2i\kappa^2 + \partial} \cdot \frac{\kappa r}{2i\kappa^2 - \partial}, \quad (3.26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{[4]}(\kappa) &= \frac{\kappa q}{2i\kappa^2 + \partial} \cdot \frac{4}{2i\kappa^2 - \partial} \left(\kappa r \cdot \frac{\kappa q}{2i\kappa^2 + \partial} \cdot \frac{\kappa r}{2i\kappa^2 - \partial} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{4}{2i\kappa^2 + \partial} \left(\kappa q \cdot \frac{\kappa r}{2i\kappa^2 - \partial} \cdot \frac{\kappa q}{2i\kappa^2 + \partial} \right) \cdot \frac{\kappa r}{2i\kappa^2 - \partial} \\ &\quad - 2 \frac{\kappa q}{2i\kappa^2 + \partial} \cdot \frac{\kappa r}{2i\kappa^2 - \partial} \cdot \frac{\kappa q}{2i\kappa^2 + \partial} \cdot \frac{\kappa r}{2i\kappa^2 - \partial}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

We are now ready to obtain some basic estimates on g_{12} , g_{21} .

Proposition 3.2 (Properties of g_{12} and g_{21}). *There exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $i\kappa^2 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|\kappa| \geq 1$ the maps $q \mapsto g_{12}(\kappa)$ and $q \mapsto g_{21}(\kappa)$ are (real analytic) diffeomorphisms of B_δ into $H^{s+\frac{1}{2}}$ satisfying the estimates*

$$\|g_{12}(\kappa)\|_{H_\kappa^{s+\frac{1}{2}}} + \|g_{21}(\kappa)\|_{H_\kappa^{s+\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim |\kappa| \|q\|_{H_\kappa^{s-\frac{1}{2}}}. \quad (3.28)$$

Further, the remainders satisfy the estimate

$$\|g_{12}^{[\geq 3]}(\kappa)\|_{H_\kappa^{s+\frac{1}{2}}} + \|g_{21}^{[\geq 3]}(\kappa)\|_{H_\kappa^{s+\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim |\kappa| \|q\|_{H_\kappa^{s-\frac{1}{2}}} \|q\|_{L^2}^2, \quad (3.29)$$

uniformly in κ . Finally, if q is Schwartz then so are $g_{12}(\kappa)$ and $g_{21}(\kappa)$.

Proof. It suffices to consider the case $i\kappa^2 \geq 1$ as the case $i\kappa^2 \leq -1$ is similar, and by (3.18), it suffices to consider $g_{12}(\kappa)$. Recalling (3.24), we obtain

$$\|g_{12}^{[1]}(\kappa)\|_{H_\kappa^{s+\frac{1}{2}}} = |\kappa| \|q\|_{H_\kappa^{s-\frac{1}{2}}}. \quad (3.30)$$

To bound the remainder terms in the series², we employ duality and Lemma 2.1:

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle f, g_{12}^{[\geq 3]}(\kappa) \rangle| &\leq \|(\partial - i\kappa^2)^{-s-\frac{1}{2}} \bar{f} (\partial + i\kappa^2)^{-s-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\text{op}} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \|(\partial + i\kappa^2)^{s-\frac{1}{2}} k q (\partial - i\kappa^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{J}_2}^{2\ell+1} |\kappa|^{-2s(2\ell-1)} \\ &\lesssim |\kappa|^{-2s} \|f\|_{H_\kappa^{-s-\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \left(|\kappa| \|q\|_{H_\kappa^{s-\frac{1}{2}}} \right)^{2\ell+1} |\kappa|^{-2s(2\ell-1)} \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{H_\kappa^{-s-\frac{1}{2}}} |\kappa| \|q\|_{H_\kappa^{s-\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \left(|\kappa|^{1-2s} \|q\|_{H_\kappa^{s-\frac{1}{2}}} \right)^{2\ell} \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{H_\kappa^{-s-\frac{1}{2}}} |\kappa| \|q\|_{H_\kappa^{s-\frac{1}{2}}} \|q\|_{L^2}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

provided $\delta > 0$ is sufficiently small. This proves (3.29) and completes the proof of (3.28).

²If we use \mathcal{J}_p with $p > 2$ instead of \mathcal{J}_2 once again, we may pay some regularity on $s > s_0 > 0$ to remove the small mass assumption.

In addition, we have

$$\left. \frac{\delta q_{12}}{\delta q}(\kappa) \right|_{q=0} = -\frac{\kappa}{2i\kappa^2 + \partial} \quad \text{and} \quad \left. \frac{\delta q_{12}}{\delta r}(\kappa) \right|_{q=0} = 0$$

which is an isomorphism, as noted already in (3.30). Furthermore, for any $f \in \mathcal{S}$, we have

$$\left. \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \right|_{\varepsilon=0} G(x, z; q + \varepsilon f) = - \int G(x, y; q) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & f(y) \\ -\bar{f}(y) & 0 \end{bmatrix} G(y, z; q) dy.$$

By similar analysis as those to prove (3.29), we have

$$\left\| \frac{\delta q_{12}}{\delta r}(\kappa) \right\|_{H_\kappa^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow H_\kappa^{s+\frac{1}{2}}} + \left\| \frac{\delta q_{12}}{\delta q}(\kappa) + \frac{\kappa}{2i\kappa^2 + \partial} \right\|_{H_\kappa^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow H_\kappa^{s+\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \|q\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \delta^2,$$

and so the inverse function theorem implies the diffeomorphism property for sufficiently small δ .

The regularity result can be easily obtained in a similar argument as those in [19, Proposition 2.2] and [11, Proposition 3.2]. \square

We also have some estimates on γ as follows.

Proposition 3.3 (Properties of γ). *There exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $i\kappa^2 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|\kappa| \geq 1$ the map $q \mapsto \gamma(\kappa)$ is bounded from B_δ to $L^1 \cap H^{s+\frac{1}{2}}$ and we have the estimates*

$$\|\gamma(\kappa)\|_{H_\kappa^{s+\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim |\kappa|^{2-2s} \|q\|_{H_\kappa^{s-\frac{1}{2}}}^2, \quad (3.32)$$

$$\|\gamma(\kappa)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim |\kappa|^{2-4s} \|q\|_{H_\kappa^{s-\frac{1}{2}}}^2, \quad (3.33)$$

$$\|\gamma(\kappa)\|_{L^1} \lesssim |\kappa|^2 \|q\|_{H_\kappa^{-1}}^2 + |\kappa|^{-2(4s-1)} \|q\|_{H_\kappa^{s-\frac{1}{2}}}^4, \quad (3.34)$$

$$\|\gamma^{[\geq 4]}(\kappa)\|_{L^1} \lesssim |\kappa|^{-2(4s-1)} \|q\|_{H_\kappa^{s-\frac{1}{2}}}^4, \quad (3.35)$$

uniformly in κ . Further, we have the quadratic identity

$$\gamma + \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2 = -2g_{12}g_{21}, \quad (3.36)$$

and if q is Schwartz, then so is $\gamma(\kappa)$.

Proof. Once again it suffices to consider the case $i\kappa^2 \geq 1$. Using (3.26) and (2.4), we obtain

$$\|\gamma^{[2]}\|_{H_\kappa^{s+\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \left\| \frac{\kappa q}{2i\kappa^2 + \partial} \cdot \frac{\kappa r}{2i\kappa^2 - \partial} \right\|_{H_\kappa^{s+\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim |\kappa|^{2-2s} \|q\|_{H_\kappa^{s-\frac{1}{2}}}^2.$$

To handle $\gamma^{[\geq 4]}$ we use the series representation (3.23) and the same dual argument used to prove (3.29). The estimate (3.33) then follows from (3.32) via (2.3).

Choosing $\varkappa = \kappa$ in (3.19), we obtain

$$\partial_x \left\{ 2g_{12}(x; \kappa)g_{21}(x; \kappa) + \frac{1}{2}\gamma(x; \kappa)^2 + \gamma(x; \kappa) \right\} = 0.$$

By (3.28) and (3.32), we know that the term in the braces vanishes as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Thus the identity (3.36) follows by integration.

By using this quadratic identity, we may write

$$\gamma^{[\geq 4]} = -\frac{1}{2}\gamma^2 - 2g_{12}^{[\geq 3]} \cdot g_{21} - 2g_{12}^{[1]} \cdot g_{21}^{[\geq 3]}. \quad (3.37)$$

By Proposition 3.2 and (3.32), we have

$$\|g_{12}^{[\geq 3]}\|_{L^2} + \|g_{21}^{[\geq 3]}\|_{L^2} \lesssim |\kappa|^{-2(s+\frac{1}{2})} [\|g_{12}^{[\geq 3]}\|_{H_\kappa^{s+\frac{1}{2}}} + \|g_{21}^{[\geq 3]}\|_{H_\kappa^{s+\frac{1}{2}}}] \lesssim |\kappa|^{-6s+2} \|q\|_{H_\kappa^{s-\frac{1}{2}}}^3,$$

$$\|g_{12}\|_{L^2} + \|g_{21}\|_{L^2} \lesssim |\kappa|^{-2(s+\frac{1}{2})} (\|g_{12}\|_{H_\kappa^{s+\frac{1}{2}}} + \|g_{21}\|_{H_\kappa^{s+\frac{1}{2}}}) \lesssim |\kappa|^{-2s} \|q\|_{H_\kappa^{s-\frac{1}{2}}},$$

and

$$\|\gamma\|_{L^2} \lesssim |\kappa|^{-2(s+\frac{1}{2})} \|\gamma\|_{H_\kappa^{s+\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim |\kappa|^{-4s+1} \|q\|_{H_\kappa^{s-\frac{1}{2}}}^2,$$

which together with Hölder's inequality imply that

$$\|\gamma^{[\geq 4]}\|_{L^1} \lesssim \|\gamma\|_{L^2}^2 + \|g_{12}^{[\geq 3]}\|_{L^2} \|g_{21}\|_{L^2} + \|g_{12}^{[1]}\|_{L^2} \|g_{21}^{[\geq 3]}\|_{L^2} \lesssim |\kappa|^{-2(4s-1)} \|q\|_{H_\kappa^{s-\frac{1}{2}}}^4,$$

thus we obtain (3.35). The estimate (3.34) then follows from applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to (3.26).

The regularity result can also be deduced by a similar argument as those in [19, Proposition 2.2] and [11, Proposition 3.3]. \square

Due to the structure of microscopic conservation law in (4.13), the combination function $\frac{g_{12}(\kappa)}{2+\gamma(\kappa)}$ will be also used later. We now give the analogue estimates. Firstly, we denote:

$$\frac{g_{12}}{2+\gamma} = \left(\frac{g_{12}}{2+\gamma}\right)^{[1]} + \left(\frac{g_{12}}{2+\gamma}\right)^{[3]} + \left(\frac{g_{12}}{2+\gamma}\right)^{[\geq 5]},$$

where the leading order terms are given by

$$\left(\frac{g_{12}}{2+\gamma}\right)^{[1]} = \frac{1}{2}g_{12}^{[1]} \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{g_{12}}{2+\gamma}\right)^{[3]} = \frac{1}{2}g_{12}^{[3]} - \frac{1}{4}g_{12}^{[1]}\gamma^{[2]}, \quad (3.38)$$

and the remainder term is given by

$$\left(\frac{g_{12}}{2+\gamma}\right)^{[\geq 3]} = \frac{1}{2}g_{12}^{[\geq 3]} - \frac{g_{12}\gamma}{2(2+\gamma)}. \quad (3.39)$$

We can now show the following estimates about $\frac{g_{12}(\kappa)}{2+\gamma(\kappa)}$.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $s \in (0, 1/2)$ and $q \in B_\delta$. there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $i\kappa^2 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|\kappa| \geq 1$, we have the estimates*

$$|\kappa|^2 \left\| \frac{g_{12}(\kappa)}{2+\gamma(\kappa)} \right\|_{H^{s-1/2}} + \left\| \frac{g_{12}(\kappa)}{2+\gamma(\kappa)} \right\|_{H^{s+1/2}} \lesssim |\kappa| \|q\|_{H^{s-1/2}}, \quad (3.40)$$

$$|\kappa|^2 \left\| \left(\frac{g_{12}(\kappa)}{2+\gamma(\kappa)} \right)^{[\geq 3]} \right\|_{H^{s-1/2}} + \left\| \left(\frac{g_{12}(\kappa)}{2+\gamma(\kappa)} \right)^{[\geq 3]} \right\|_{H^{s+1/2}} \lesssim |\kappa| \|q\|_{H^{s-1/2}} \|q\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (3.41)$$

Proof. From (3.38) and (3.24), we see that

$$|\kappa|^2 \left\| \left(\frac{g_{12}}{2+\gamma} \right)^{[1]} \right\|_{H^{s-1/2}} + \left\| \left(\frac{g_{12}}{2+\gamma} \right)^{[1]} \right\|_{H^{s+1/2}} \approx \left\| (2i\kappa^2 + \partial) \left(\frac{g_{12}(z)}{2+\gamma(z)} \right)^{[1]} \right\|_{H^s} \approx |\kappa| \|q\|_{H^{s-1/2}}.$$

Thus it suffice for (3.40) to show (3.41). Moreover, by (3.16), we have

$$(2i\kappa^2 + \partial) \left(\frac{g_{12}}{2+\gamma} \right)^{[\geq 3]} = -\kappa \frac{\gamma}{2(2+\gamma)} q + \frac{g_{12}}{(2+\gamma)^2} \gamma',$$

and therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS of (3.41)} &\lesssim |\kappa| \left\| \frac{\gamma}{2+\gamma} q \right\|_{H^{s-1/2}} + \left\| \frac{g_{12}}{(2+\gamma)^2} \gamma' \right\|_{H^{s-1/2}} \\ &\lesssim |\kappa|^{1-2s} \|q\|_{H^{s-1/2}} \left\| \frac{\gamma}{2+\gamma} \right\|_{H_\kappa^{s+1/2}} + |\kappa|^{-2s} \|\gamma'\|_{H^{s-1/2}} \left\| \frac{g_{12}}{(2+\gamma)^2} \right\|_{H_\kappa^{s+1/2}} \\ &\lesssim |\kappa|^{1-2s} \|q\|_{H^{s-1/2}} \|\gamma\|_{H_\kappa^{s+1/2}} \\ &\lesssim |\kappa| \|q\|_{H^{s-1/2}} \|q\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the second step we use (2.5) and (3.32), and the third step we expand as series and employ the algebra property (2.4), together with (3.28) and (3.32). This yields (3.40) for sufficiently small δ . \square

4. CONSERVATION LAWS AND DYNAMICS

In this section, we will firstly introduce the invariant quantity $A(\kappa)$ from the logarithmic perturbation determinant, which is related to the integrability and spectral invariance of (1.1), then we show the dynamics and microscopic conservation laws of the $A(\kappa)$'s flow and the DNLS (i.e. H_{DNLS}) flow, respectively.

4.1. Conservation Laws. Inspired by [11, 19, 20, 34], we formally define the logarithmic perturbation determinant $\text{sgn}(i\kappa^2) \log \det(L_0^{-1}L)$ as follows:

$$A(\kappa; q, r) := \text{sgn}(i\kappa^2) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{j} \text{tr} \left\{ \left(\sqrt{R_0} (L - L_0) \sqrt{R_0} \right)^j \right\}. \quad (4.1)$$

By (3.5), simple calculations deduce that for $q, r \in \mathcal{S}$, we have

$$A(\kappa; q, r) = -\operatorname{sgn}(i\kappa^2) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \operatorname{tr} \{(\Lambda\Gamma)^m\}. \quad (4.2)$$

In the following, we will use (4.2) as the definition of $A(\kappa; q, r)$. For the sake of simplicity, we write

$$A(\kappa; q, r) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m(\kappa; q, r), \quad A_m(\kappa; q, r) := -\operatorname{sgn}(i\kappa^2) \frac{1}{m} \operatorname{tr} \{(\Lambda\Gamma)^m\}. \quad (4.3)$$

Firstly, we have

Lemma 4.1 (Properties of A). *There exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $q \in B_\delta \cap \mathcal{S}$ and $i\kappa^2 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|\kappa| \geq 1$, the series (4.2) converges absolutely. Moreover, we have*

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta q} A(\kappa) = -\kappa g_{21}(\kappa), \quad \frac{\delta}{\delta r} A(\kappa) = -\kappa g_{12}(\kappa), \quad (4.4)$$

$$\gamma'(\kappa) = 2 \left(-q \frac{\delta}{\delta q} A(\kappa) + r \frac{\delta}{\delta r} A(\kappa) \right). \quad (4.5)$$

Proof. By (3.7), we know that $A(\kappa; q, r)$ converges absolutely for all $q \in B_\delta \cap \mathcal{S}$. By simple calculations, we have

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta q} A_m = -\kappa g_{21}^{[2m-1]} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\delta}{\delta r} A_m = -\kappa g_{12}^{[2m-1]}, \quad (4.6)$$

which together with (3.15), (3.23) implies (4.5). \square

Next, we show that the mass, the Hamiltonian and the energy defined by (1.7) and (1.8) respectively, arise as the coefficients in the asymptotic expansion of $A(\kappa)$ as $|\kappa| \rightarrow \infty$. More precisely, we have.

Lemma 4.2 (Asymptotic expansion of $A(\kappa)$). *For $q \in B_\delta \cap \mathcal{S}$, we have, as $|\kappa| \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$A(\kappa) = (-i) \frac{M}{2} - \frac{(-i)^2 H_{\text{DNLS}}}{2i\kappa^2} + \frac{(-i)^3 E_{\text{DNLS}}}{(2i\kappa^2)^2} + O(|\kappa|^{-6}). \quad (4.7)$$

Proof. By (3.16) and (3.17), and (4.5), we have

$$2i\kappa^2 \frac{\delta A}{\delta q} = \partial \frac{\delta A}{\delta q} + 2\kappa^2 r \cdot \partial^{-1} \left(-q \frac{\delta A}{\delta q} + r \frac{\delta A}{\delta r} \right) + \kappa^2 r,$$

$$2i\kappa^2 \frac{\delta A}{\delta r} = -\partial \frac{\delta A}{\delta r} + 2\kappa^2 q \cdot \partial^{-1} \left(-q \frac{\delta A}{\delta q} + r \frac{\delta A}{\delta r} \right) + \kappa^2 q.$$

By the asymptotic analysis, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\delta A}{\delta q} &= -\kappa g_{21} \\
&= -i\frac{1}{2}r + \frac{1}{2i\kappa^2}\left(-\frac{i}{2}r' + \frac{1}{2}qr^2\right) + \frac{1}{(2i\kappa^2)^2}\left(-\frac{i}{2}r'' + \frac{3}{2}qrr' + \frac{3}{4}iq^2r^3\right) + O(|\kappa|^{-6}), \\
\frac{\delta A}{\delta r} &= -\kappa g_{12} \\
&= -i\frac{1}{2}q + \frac{1}{2i\kappa^2}\left(\frac{i}{2}q' + \frac{1}{2}q^2r\right) + \frac{1}{(2i\kappa^2)^2}\left(-\frac{i}{2}q'' - \frac{3}{2}qq'r + \frac{3}{4}iq^3r^2\right) + O(|\kappa|^{-6}),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

which together with the fact that

$$A(q, r) = \int_0^1 \partial_\theta A(\theta q, \theta r) d\theta \tag{4.9}$$

imply (4.7). \square

Remark. By computing $\gamma = 2\partial^{-1}\left(-q\frac{\delta A}{\delta q} + r\frac{\delta A}{\delta r}\right)$, we can obtain asymptotic expansion for γ ,

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}qr - \frac{1}{4\kappa^4}(iq'r - iqr' + \frac{3}{2}q^2r^2) + O(|\kappa|^{-6}). \tag{4.10}$$

Lemma 4.3 (Density function of $A(\kappa)$). *For all $q \in B_\delta \cap \mathcal{S}$ and $i\kappa^2 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|\kappa| \geq 1$, we have*

$$A(\kappa) = -\bar{A}(-\bar{\kappa}), \tag{4.11}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \kappa} A(\kappa) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[2i\kappa \cdot \gamma(\kappa) - (qg_{21}(\kappa) + rg_{12}(\kappa)) \right] dx, \tag{4.12}$$

$$A(\kappa) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho(\kappa) dx, \quad \text{where } \rho(\kappa) = -\kappa \frac{qg_{21}(\kappa) + rg_{12}(\kappa)}{2 + \gamma(\kappa)}. \tag{4.13}$$

Proof. Firstly, we show that (4.12). In fact, simple calculations imply that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \kappa} A_m = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[2i\kappa \gamma^{[2m]} - (qg_{21}^{[2m-1]} + rg_{12}^{[2m-1]}) \right] dx. \tag{4.14}$$

By summation with respect to m , we can obtain (4.12).

We now estimate (4.13). On one hand, by differentiating (3.16), (3.17), and (3.36) with respect to κ , we obtain that,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_x \left(g_{12} \frac{\partial g_{21}}{\partial \kappa} - \frac{\partial g_{12}}{\partial \kappa} g_{21} \right) &= \kappa (qg_{21} + rg_{12}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \kappa} (\gamma + 1) - \kappa (\gamma + 1) \frac{\partial}{\partial \kappa} (qg_{21} + rg_{12}) \\
&\quad - 2i\kappa \gamma (\gamma + 2) + (\gamma + 1)(qg_{21} + rg_{12}).
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.15), we have

$$-(g_{12} \frac{\partial g_{21}}{\partial \kappa} - \frac{\partial g_{12}}{\partial \kappa} g_{21}) \gamma' = -\gamma(2 + \gamma) \frac{\partial}{\partial \kappa} (qg_{21} - rg_{12}) + (qg_{21} - rg_{12})(1 + \gamma) \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \kappa}.$$

Combining the above two identities, we get

$$\partial_x \frac{g_{12} \frac{\partial g_{21}}{\partial \kappa} - \frac{\partial g_{12}}{\partial \kappa} g_{21}}{2 + \gamma} = (qg_{21} + rg_{12} - 2i\kappa\gamma) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \kappa} \left(\kappa \frac{qg_{21} + rg_{12}}{2 + \gamma} \right),$$

which can be integrated in x to yield

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \kappa} \int \kappa \frac{qg_{21} + rg_{12}}{2 + \gamma} dx = \int qg_{21} + rg_{12} - 2i\kappa\gamma dx = -\frac{\partial A}{\partial \kappa}. \quad (4.15)$$

On the other hand, by (4.7), we have

$$A(\kappa) = -i\frac{1}{2}M + O(|\kappa|^{-2}), \quad \text{as } \kappa \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.16)$$

and by (4.8) and (4.10), we obtain

$$\rho(\kappa) = -i\frac{1}{2}qr + O(|\kappa|^{-2}), \quad \text{as } \kappa \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.17)$$

Combining (4.15), (4.16) and (4.17), we can obtain (4.13).

Lastly, (4.11) is obvious from (3.18) and (4.13). \square

Next, we show the commutation of $A(\kappa)$'s under the Poisson bracket (1.5), which implies that $A(\varkappa)$ is an invariant quantity under the $A(\kappa)$'s flows.

Lemma 4.4 (Poisson brackets). *There exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any κ and \varkappa with $i\kappa^2, i\varkappa^2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-1, 1)$ with $\kappa^2 \neq \varkappa^2$ and any $q \in B_\delta \cap \mathcal{S}$ we have*

$$\{A(\kappa), A(\varkappa)\} = 0. \quad (4.18)$$

Proof. By (4.5) and (1.5), we have

$$\{A(\kappa), A(\varkappa)\} = \kappa\varkappa \int g_{12}(\kappa)g'_{21}(\varkappa) + g_{21}(\kappa)g'_{12}(\varkappa) dx,$$

which together with (3.19) implies that (4.18) holds. \square

Next we will exhibit the dynamics of g_{12} , g_{21} and γ defined by (3.12), (3.13) and (3.14) along the $A(\kappa)$ flow and DNLS flow respectively.

4.2. Dynamics I: the $A(\kappa)$ flow. Firstly, we have

Lemma 4.5 (Dynamics of $A(\kappa)$ flow). *Let $i\kappa^2 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|\kappa| > 1$. Under the $A(\kappa)$ flow, we have*

$$\frac{d}{dt}q = -\kappa g'_{12}(\kappa) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d}{dt}r = -\kappa g'_{21}(\kappa). \quad (4.19)$$

Proof. It is obvious from (4.5) and (1.6). \square

Lemma 4.6 (Lax pair for the $A(\kappa)$ flow). *Let $i\kappa^2, i\mathcal{K}^2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-1, 1)$ with $\kappa^2 \neq \mathcal{K}^2$, and $L(\mathcal{K})$ be defined by (1.9). Under the $A(\kappa)$ flow, we have*

$$\frac{d}{dt}L(\mathcal{K}) = [P_{A(\kappa)}, L(\mathcal{K})], \quad (4.20)$$

where

$$P_{A(\kappa)} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}\Xi(\gamma(\kappa) + 1) & -\Theta g_{12}(\kappa) \\ -\Theta g_{21}(\kappa) & \frac{1}{2}\Xi(\gamma(\kappa) + 1) \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{with } \Theta = \frac{\mathcal{K}\kappa^3}{\kappa^2 - \mathcal{K}^2}, \quad \Xi = \frac{\mathcal{K}^2\kappa^2}{\kappa^2 - \mathcal{K}^2}. \quad (4.21)$$

Proof. Firstly, by (3.15) and the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma'(\kappa) &= (\partial + i\mathcal{K}^2)(\gamma(\kappa) + 1) - (\gamma(\kappa) + 1)(\partial + i\mathcal{K}^2) \\ &= (\partial - i\mathcal{K}^2)(\gamma(\kappa) + 1) - (\gamma(\kappa) + 1)(\partial - i\mathcal{K}^2), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(\partial + i\mathcal{K}^2)(\gamma(\kappa) + 1) - (\gamma(\kappa) + 1)(\partial + i\mathcal{K}^2) - 2\kappa(qg_{21}(\kappa) - rg_{12}(\kappa)) = 0. \quad (4.22)$$

$$(\partial - i\mathcal{K}^2)(\gamma(\kappa) + 1) - (\gamma(\kappa) + 1)(\partial - i\mathcal{K}^2) - 2\kappa(qg_{21}(\kappa) - rg_{12}(\kappa)) = 0. \quad (4.23)$$

Next, by (3.16) and the fact that

$$g'_{12}(\kappa) + 2i\mathcal{K}^2 g_{12}(\kappa) = (\partial + i\mathcal{K}^2)g_{12}(\kappa) - g_{12}(\kappa)(\partial - i\mathcal{K}^2),$$

we get

$$(\kappa^2 - \mathcal{K}^2)g'_{12}(\kappa) = \kappa^2 [(\partial + i\mathcal{K}^2)g_{12}(\kappa) - g_{12}(\kappa)(\partial - i\mathcal{K}^2)] + \kappa\mathcal{K}^2 q(\gamma(\kappa) + 1). \quad (4.24)$$

Finally, by (3.17) and the fact that

$$g'_{21}(\kappa) - 2i\mathcal{K}^2 g_{21}(\kappa) = (\partial - i\mathcal{K}^2)g_{21}(\kappa) - g_{21}(\kappa)(\partial + i\mathcal{K}^2),$$

we obtain

$$(\kappa^2 - \mathcal{K}^2)g'_{21}(\kappa) = \kappa^2 [(\partial - i\mathcal{K}^2)g_{21}(\kappa) - g_{21}(\kappa)(\partial + i\mathcal{K}^2)] - \kappa\mathcal{K}^2 r(\gamma(\kappa) + 1). \quad (4.25)$$

Combining (1.9), (4.19) with (4.22), (4.23), (4.24), (4.25), we can obtain (4.20). \square

Proposition 4.7 (Microscopic conservation law for the $A(\kappa)$ flow). *Let $i\kappa^2, i\kappa^2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-1, 1)$ with $\kappa^2 \neq \varkappa^2$. Under the $A(\kappa)$ flow, we have*

$$\frac{d}{dt}g_{12}(\varkappa) = -\Xi g_{12}(\varkappa) [\gamma(\kappa) + 1] + \Theta g_{12}(\kappa) [\gamma(\varkappa) + 1], \quad (4.26)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}g_{21}(\varkappa) = \Xi g_{21}(\varkappa) [\gamma(\kappa) + 1] - \Theta g_{21}(\kappa) [\gamma(\varkappa) + 1], \quad (4.27)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\gamma(\varkappa) = -2\Theta [g_{12}(\kappa)g_{21}(\varkappa) - g_{21}(\kappa)g_{12}(\varkappa)], \quad (4.28)$$

and the following microscopic conservation laws

$$\partial_t \{2i\varkappa \cdot \gamma(\varkappa) - (qg_{21}(\varkappa) + rg_{12}(\varkappa))\} + \partial_x j_\gamma(\varkappa, \kappa) = 0, \quad (4.29)$$

$$\partial_t \rho(\varkappa) + \partial_x j_{A(\kappa)}(\varkappa, \kappa) = 0, \quad (4.30)$$

where the flux functions j_γ and $j_{A(\kappa)}$ are determined by

$$\begin{aligned} j_\gamma(\varkappa, \kappa) &:= -\frac{\kappa^3(\kappa^2 + \varkappa^2)}{(\kappa^2 - \varkappa^2)^2} [g_{12}(\kappa)g_{21}(\varkappa) + g_{21}(\kappa)g_{12}(\varkappa)] \\ &\quad - \frac{\kappa^4 \varkappa}{(\kappa^2 - \varkappa^2)^2} [(\gamma(\kappa) + 1)(\gamma(\varkappa) + 1)], \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

$$j_{A(\kappa)}(\varkappa, \kappa) := -\Theta \frac{g_{12}(\kappa)g_{21}(\varkappa) + g_{12}(\varkappa)g_{21}(\kappa)}{2 + \gamma(\varkappa)} - \Theta \frac{\varkappa}{2\kappa} \gamma(\kappa). \quad (4.32)$$

Remark. Due to the coercivity of the quadratic term of the flux, the conservation law (4.30) is more useful than (4.29).

Proof. Firstly, we show the dynamics (4.26), (4.27) and (4.28). By (1.6), Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 4.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}G(x, z; \varkappa) &= -\int G(x, y; \varkappa) \frac{d}{dt}L(\varkappa)G(y, z; \varkappa) dy \\ &= \int G(x, y; \varkappa) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\kappa^2 g'_{12}(\kappa) \\ -\kappa^2 g'_{21}(\kappa) & 0 \end{bmatrix} G(y, z; \varkappa) dy \\ &= P_{A(\kappa)}(x; \kappa, \varkappa)G(x, z; \varkappa) - G(x, z; \varkappa)P_{A(\kappa)}(z; \kappa, \varkappa). \end{aligned}$$

By choosing $x = z$ and (4.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}G(x, x; \varkappa) &= -\Xi \begin{bmatrix} 0 & g_{12}(\varkappa)(\gamma(\kappa) + 1) \\ -g_{21}(\varkappa)(\gamma(\kappa) + 1) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad - \Theta \begin{bmatrix} g_{12}(\kappa)g_{21}(\varkappa) - g_{21}(\kappa)g_{12}(\varkappa) & -g_{12}(\kappa)(\gamma(\varkappa) + 1) \\ g_{21}(\kappa)(\gamma(\varkappa) + 1) & -g_{12}(\kappa)g_{21}(\varkappa) + g_{21}(\kappa)g_{12}(\varkappa) \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (4.26), (4.27) and (4.28).

Next, we prove (4.29). A direct computation implies that

$$\partial_t \{2i\varkappa \gamma(\varkappa) - qg_{21}(\varkappa) - rg_{12}(\varkappa)\}$$

$$= -g_{21}(\varkappa) \frac{d}{dt} q - g_{12}(\varkappa) \frac{d}{dt} r + 2i\varkappa \frac{d}{dt} \gamma(\varkappa) - q \frac{d}{dt} g_{21}(\varkappa) - r \frac{d}{dt} g_{12}(\varkappa). \quad (4.33)$$

On the one hand, by Lemma 4.5, we have

$$-g_{21}(\varkappa) \frac{d}{dt} q - g_{12}(\varkappa) \frac{d}{dt} r = \kappa [g_{21}(\varkappa) g'_{12}(\kappa) + g_{12}(\varkappa) g'_{21}(\kappa)]. \quad (4.34)$$

On the other hand, by (4.26), (4.27), and (4.28), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & 2i\varkappa \frac{d}{dt} \gamma(\varkappa) - q \frac{d}{dt} g_{21}(\varkappa) - r \frac{d}{dt} g_{12}(\varkappa) \\ &= -4i\varkappa \Theta g_{12}(\kappa) g_{21}(\varkappa) - \Xi g_{21}(\varkappa) q [\gamma(\kappa) + 1] + \Theta g_{21}(\kappa) q [\gamma(\varkappa) + 1] \\ & \quad + 4i\varkappa \Theta g_{12}(\kappa) g_{21}(\varkappa) + \Xi g_{12}(\varkappa) r [\gamma(\kappa) + 1] - \Theta g_{12}(\kappa) r [\gamma(\varkappa) + 1] \\ &= \frac{\varkappa \Theta}{\kappa^2} [g_{21}(\varkappa) g'_{12}(\kappa) + g_{12}(\varkappa) g'_{21}(\kappa)] - \frac{\Theta}{\varkappa} [g_{21}(\kappa) g'_{12}(\varkappa) + g_{12}(\kappa) g'_{21}(\varkappa)]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

Combining (4.33), (4.34), (4.35) with (3.19), we can obtain (4.31).

Finally, let us show that (4.32) holds. A direct calculation implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\gamma(\varkappa) + 2)^2 \partial_t \rho(\varkappa) \\ &= -\varkappa [g_{21}(\varkappa) \frac{d}{dt} q + g_{12}(\varkappa) \frac{d}{dt} r] (\gamma(\varkappa) + 2) \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

$$- \varkappa [q \frac{d}{dt} g_{21}(\varkappa) + r \frac{d}{dt} g_{12}(\varkappa)] (\gamma(\varkappa) + 2) \quad (4.37)$$

$$+ \varkappa [q g_{21}(\varkappa) + r g_{12}(\varkappa)] \partial_t \gamma(\varkappa). \quad (4.38)$$

By Lemma 4.5 and (4.26), (4.27), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (4.36) + (4.37) \\ &= \varkappa \kappa [g_{21}(\varkappa) g'_{12}(\kappa) + g_{12}(\varkappa) g'_{21}(\kappa)] (\gamma(\varkappa) + 2) \\ & \quad + \frac{\varkappa \Theta}{2\kappa} \gamma'(\kappa) (\gamma(\varkappa) + 1) (\gamma(\varkappa) + 2) - \frac{\Xi}{2} \gamma'(\varkappa) (\gamma(\kappa) + 1) (\gamma(\varkappa) + 2) \\ &= \varkappa \kappa [g_{21}(\varkappa) g'_{12}(\kappa) + g_{12}(\varkappa) g'_{21}(\kappa)] (\gamma(\varkappa) + 2) \\ & \quad - \frac{\Xi}{2} [(\gamma(\kappa) + 1) (\gamma(\varkappa) + 1)]' (\gamma(\varkappa) + 2) + \Xi \gamma'(\kappa) (\gamma(\varkappa) + 1) (\gamma(\varkappa) + 2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.39)$$

By (4.28), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.38) &= -2\varkappa \Theta [q g_{21}(\varkappa) + r g_{12}(\varkappa)] [g_{12}(\kappa) g_{21}(\varkappa) - g_{21}(\kappa) g_{12}(\varkappa)] \\ &= -2\varkappa \Theta [q g_{21}(\varkappa) - r g_{12}(\varkappa)] [g_{12}(\kappa) g_{21}(\varkappa) + g_{21}(\kappa) g_{12}(\varkappa)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 4\Theta \varkappa g_{12}(\varkappa) g_{21}(\varkappa) [q g_{21}(\varkappa) - r g_{12}(\varkappa)] \\
& = -\Theta \gamma'(\varkappa) [g_{12}(\varkappa) g_{21}(\varkappa) + g_{21}(\varkappa) g_{12}(\varkappa)] - \frac{\varkappa \Theta}{2\kappa} \gamma'(\varkappa) \gamma(\varkappa) [\gamma(\varkappa) + 2].
\end{aligned} \tag{4.40}$$

Combining (4.39), (4.40) and (3.19), we can deduce (4.32). \square

4.3. Dynamics II: the DNLS flow. Now we turn to the H_{DNLS} flow. We firstly recall the Lax representation for (1.1) as follows.

Lemma 4.8 (Lax Pair for the DNLS flow, [1, 18]). *Let $i\varkappa^2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-1, 1)$, $L(\varkappa)$ be defined by (1.9). Under the DNLS flow, we have*

$$\frac{d}{dt} L(\varkappa) = [P_{H_{\text{DNLS}}}, L(\varkappa)], \tag{4.41}$$

$$\text{where } P_{H_{\text{DNLS}}} = \begin{bmatrix} -2i\varkappa^4 - i\varkappa^2 qr & 2\varkappa^3 q + i\varkappa q' + \varkappa q^2 r \\ 2\varkappa^3 r - i\varkappa r' + \varkappa q r^2 & 2i\varkappa^4 + i\varkappa^2 qr \end{bmatrix}.$$

Following the analogue argument as those in Proposition 4.7, we can obtain main result in this paper.

Theorem 4.9 (Microscopic conservation law for the DNLS flow). *Let $i\varkappa^2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-1, 1)$. Under the DNLS flow, we have*

$$\frac{d}{dt} q = iq'' + (q^2 r)' \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d}{dt} r = -ir'' + (qr^2)'. \tag{4.42}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} g_{12}(\varkappa) &= -2(2i\varkappa^4 + i\varkappa^2 qr) g_{12}(\varkappa) - (2\varkappa^3 q + i\varkappa q' + \varkappa q^2 r) (\gamma(\varkappa) + 1), \\
\frac{d}{dt} g_{21}(\varkappa) &= 2(2i\varkappa^4 + i\varkappa^2 qr) g_{21}(\varkappa) + (2\varkappa^3 r - i\varkappa r' + \varkappa q r^2) (\gamma(\varkappa) + 1), \\
\frac{d}{dt} \gamma(\varkappa) &= 2\varkappa^2 \gamma'(\varkappa) + 2i\varkappa(q' g_{21}(\varkappa) + r' g_{12}(\varkappa)) + qr \gamma'(\varkappa).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.43}$$

Moreover, we have the following microscopic conservation law

$$\partial_t \rho(\varkappa) + \partial_x j_{\text{DNLS}}(\varkappa) = 0, \tag{4.44}$$

where the density ρ and the flux j_{DNLS} are defined by (4.13) and

$$j_{\text{DNLS}}(\varkappa) := i\varkappa \frac{q' g_{21}(\varkappa) - r' g_{12}(\varkappa)}{2 + \gamma(\varkappa)} - i\varkappa^2 qr - 2\varkappa^2 \rho(\varkappa) - qr \rho(\varkappa). \tag{4.45}$$

Proof. By (1.6), Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 4.8, it is easy to obtain the dynamics of q , r and g_{12} , g_{21} and γ under the H_{DNLS} flow. Combining the

dynamics of q , r , g_{12} , g_{21} , γ and (3.19), we can complete the proof of the microscopic conservation law (4.44). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. J. Ablowitz, P. A. Clarkson, Solitons, nonlinear evolution equations and inverse scattering. *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*, **149**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991. xii+516 pp.
- [2] H. Bahouri, G. Perelman, Global well-posedness for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *arXiv:202012.01923*.
- [3] H. Biagioni, F. Linares, Ill-posedness for the derivative Schrödinger and generalized Benjamin-Ono equations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **353**(2001), no. 9, 3649–3659.
- [4] F. M. Christ, M. I. Weinstein Dispersive of small amplitude solutions of the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation. *J. Funct. Anal.*, **100**(1991), No. 1, 87–109.
- [5] M. Colin, M. Ohta, Stability of solitary waves for derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Ann. I. H. Poincaré-AN.*, **23** (2006), 753–764.
- [6] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, T. Tao, Global well-posedness result for Schrödinger equations with derivative, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **33**(2001), no. 2, 649–669.
- [7] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, T. Tao, A refined global well-posedness result for Schrödinger equations with derivatives, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **34**(2002), 64–86.
- [8] I. Gohberg, S. Goldberg, N. Krupnik, Traces and determinants of linear operators. Operator Theory, Advances and Applications, Vol. **116**, 2000.
- [9] Z. Guo, C. Ning, Y. Wu, Instability of the solitary wave solutions for the generalized derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation in the critical frequency case. *arXiv:1803.07700*.
- [10] Z. Guo, Y. Wu, Global well-posedness for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation in $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **37**(2017), no. 1, 257–264.
- [11] B. Harrop-Griffiths, R. Killip, M. Visan, Sharp well-posedness for the cubic NLS and MKdV in H^s . *Preprint, arXiv: 2003.05011*.
- [12] N. Hayashi, The initial value problem for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation in the energy space, *Nonl. Anal.* **20**(1993), 823–833.
- [13] N. Hayashi, T. Ozawa, On the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Physica D.* **55**(1992), 14–36.
- [14] N. Hayashi, T. Ozawa, Finite energy solution of nonlinear Schrödinger equations of derivative type, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **25**(1994), 1488–1503.
- [15] R. Jenkins, J. Liu, P. Perry and C. Sulem, Global existence for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation with arbitrary spectral singularities, to appear in *Anal. PDEs*.
- [16] R. Jenkins, J. Liu, P. Perry and C. Sulem, The derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation: global well-posedness and soliton resolution, *Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **78**(2020), 33–73.
- [17] R. Jenkins, J. Liu, P. Perry and C. Sulem, Global well-posedness for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Comm. PDEs*, **43**(2018) 1151–1195.

- [18] D. J. Kaup, A. C. Newell, An exact solution for a derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *J. Math. Phys.*, **19**(1978), No. 4, 798–801.
- [19] R. Killip, M. Visan, KdV is wellposedness in H^{-1} . *Ann. Math.*, **190**(2019), no. 1, 249–305.
- [20] R. Killip, M. Visan, X. Zhang, Low regularity conservation laws for integrable PDE. *Geom. Funct. and Anal.*, **28**(2018), no. 4, 1062–1090.
- [21] F. Klaus, R. Schippa, A priori estimates for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Preprint*, arXiv:2007.13161
- [22] H. Koch, D. Tataru, Conserved energies for the cubic NLS in 1-D. *Duke Math. J.*, **167**(2018), no. 17, 3207–3313.
- [23] S. Le Coz, Y. Wu, Stability of multisolitons for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, **2018**, no. 13, 4120–4170.
- [24] C. Miao, Y. Wu, G. Xu, Global well-posedness for Schrödinger equation with derivative in $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R})$, *J. Differ. Equat.* **251**(2011), 2164–2195.
- [25] C. Miao, X. Tang, G. Xu, Stability of the traveling waves for the derivative Schrödinger equation in the energy space. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **56**(2017), no. 2, Paper No. 45, 48 pp.
- [26] C. Miao, X. Tang, G. Xu, Solitary waves for nonlinear Schrödinger equation with derivative. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, **20**(2018), no. 4, 1750049, 27 pp.
- [27] C. Miao, X. Tang, G. Xu, Instability of the solitary waves for the generalized derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation in the degenerate case. *arXiv:1803.06451*.
- [28] W. Mio, T. Ogino, K. Minami, S. Takeda, Modified nonlinear Schrödinger for Alfvén waves propagating along the magnetic field in cold plasma, *J. Phys. Soc. Japan*, **41**(1976), 265–271.
- [29] E. Mjølhus, On the modulational instability of hydromagnetic waves parallel to the magnetic field, *J. Plasma Phys.* **16**(1976), 321–334.
- [30] A. Nachman, I. Regev, D. Tataru, A nonlinear Plancherel theorem with applications to global well-posedness for the defocusing Davey-Stewartson equation and to the inverse boundary value problem of Calderón. *Invent. Math.*, **220** (2020), no. 2, 395–451.
- [31] T. Ozawa, On the nonlinear Schrödinger equations of derivative type, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **45**(1996), 137–163.
- [32] D.-E. Pelinovsky, A. Saalman, Y. Shimabukuro The derivative NLS equation: global existence with solitons, *Dyn. PDE*, **14**(2017), 271–294.
- [33] D.-E. Pelinovsky, Y. Shimabukuro Existence of global solutions to the derivative NLS equation with the inverse scattering method, *Int. Math. Res. Notices*, (2017), 5663–5728.
- [34] A. Rybkin, Regularized perturbation determinants and KdV conservation laws for irregular initial profiles. *Topics in Operator Theory*. Vol. 2. Systems and mathematical physics, 427–444, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 203, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2010.
- [35] B. Simon, Trace ideals and their applications, Second edition. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 120, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.
- [36] C. Sulem, P. L., Sulem, The nonlinear Schrödinger equation: Self-focusing and wave collapse *Appl. Math. Sci.*, **139**, Springer-Verlag, 1999.

- [37] H. Takaoka, Well-posedness for the one dimensional Schrödinger equation with the derivative nonlinearity, *Adv. Differ. Equat.* **4** (1999), 561–680.
- [38] H. Takaoka, Global well-posedness for Schrödinger equations with derivative in a nonlinear term and data in low-order Sobolev spaces, *Electron. J. Differ. Equat.* **42**(2001), 1–23.
- [39] B. Talbut, Low regularity conservation laws for the Benjamin-Ono equation. *Preprint*, arXiv: 1812.00505.
- [40] X. Tang, G. Xu, Stability of the sum of two solitary waves for (gDNLS) in the energy space. *J. Differential Equations*, **264**(2018) no. 6, 4094–4135.
- [41] T. Tao, Nonlinear dispersive equations: local and global analysis, CBMS, No. 106, American Math. Society, 2006.
- [42] Y. Wu, Global well-posedness of the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations in energy space, *Analysis & PDE*. **6**(2013), no. 8, 1989–2002.

XINGDONG TANG

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS,
NANJING UNIVERISTY OF INFORMATION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY,
NANJING, 210044, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA.

Email address: `txd@nuist.edu.cn`

GUIXIANG XU

LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPLEX SYSTEMS,
MINISTRY OF EDUCATION,
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES,
BEIJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY,
BEIJING, 100875, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA.

Email address: `guixiang@bnu.edu.cn`