σ -Stable Matrices

Michael A.S. Thorne

ABSTRACT. σ -Stable matrices are introduced and it is shown that the real roots of the polynomials comprising the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial indicate the coefficient sign changes. A proof of Obrechkoff is then used to show that the largest real root from the coefficients is the point of stability when the maximal eigenvalue of the σ -stable matrix is in \mathbb{R} . Some implications of the coefficient behaviour for a scaling relation are then discussed.

Let **M** be an $n \times n$ square matrix with real-valued entries, $m_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}$ $\forall i, j$. Then, multiply the diagonal entries **D** of **M** by a variable $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\mathbf{M}_{\sigma} = \mathbf{M} - (1 - \sigma) \cdot \mathbf{D} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma m_{1,1} & m_{1,2} & \cdots & m_{1,n} \\ m_{2,1} & \sigma m_{2,2} & \cdots & m_{2,n} \\ m_{3,1} & m_{3,2} & \cdots & m_{3,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ m_{n,1} & m_{n,2} & \cdots & \sigma m_{n,n} \end{pmatrix}$$

If a $\sigma > 0$ can be found for \mathbf{M}_{σ} such that the maximal (largest realpart) eigenvalue is 0, $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{max}(\mathbf{M}_{\sigma})) = 0$, and any larger real-part of σ results in $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{max}(\mathbf{M}_{\sigma})) < 0$, then \mathbf{M}_{σ} is σ -stable.

The characteristic polynomial, P, of \mathbf{M}_{σ} is a monic polynomial in x, each of whose coefficients is a polynomial in σ :

$$P_{\mathbf{M}_{\sigma}} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} p_{i} x^{i} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (\sum_{j=0}^{n-i} q_{j} \sigma^{j}) x^{i}$$

with $p_n = 1$ and

$$p_i = \sum_{j=0}^{n-i} q_j \sigma^j$$

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 93D15.

where the q_j consist of terms of length n-i from elements of **M**. In each p_i , the $(n-i-1)^{st}$ term in the polynomial is 0, with other terms also potentially absent (but never the $(n-i)^{th}$ term). If each p_i has a leading coefficient that is positive, then \mathbf{M}_{σ} can be made σ -stable through diagonal dominance [1]. This can occur for the highest order of σ in each p_i if each of the sums of the $\binom{n}{i}$ sets of the negative of the diagonal elements $\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-m_{i,i}), \sum_{i\neq l}(-m_{i,i})(-m_{l,l}), ...\}$ are positive.

The polynomials p_i provide an indication of the behaviour of each coefficient in the characteristic polynomial of \mathbf{M}_{σ} depending on the value of σ in relation to the individual real-valued roots of each p_i ($\sigma_{i,1}...\sigma_{i,k}$ for some k specific to each p_i).

Theorem 1. The real-valued roots $(\sigma_{i,1}, ..., \sigma_{i,k} \in \mathbb{R})$ of each p_i indicate the point of respective coefficient sign change in the characteristic polynomial given the value of σ of \mathbf{M}_{σ} . Furthermore, the largest real-valued root for each p_i indicates when the coefficient becomes positive and it remains positive for any larger value of σ (restricted to \mathbb{R}) applied to \mathbf{M}_{σ} .

Proof. A necessary condition for stability is that all the coefficients of a characteristic polynomial are positive (a point that can be deduced for σ -stable matrices through the sign of the trace and Descartes' rule of signs [2]). Further, any σ -stable matrix can be made stable through diagonal dominance. This implies that eventually, given the appropriate σ , all the coefficients will become positive. Each coefficient of the characteristic polynomial in x, which is in the field of \mathbb{R} , is itself a polynomial, p_i , in σ and, again by Descartes' rule of signs, the real roots reflect the changing sign of the p_i and therefore of the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial in x. Diagonal dominance ensures that any σ larger than the largest real root of each p_i will produce a positive coefficient. Any and all smaller real roots of p_i indicate the points at which, for the appropriate σ , the sign of the coefficient alternates. \Box

While a necessary condition for stability is that all the coefficients of a characteristic polynomial are positive, it is not sufficient. This point, along with Theorem 1, leads to the following theorem and corollary. For these, we define the set $\Omega = \{\{\sigma_{0,1}, ..., \sigma_{0,k_0}\}, ..., \{\sigma_{i,1}, ..., \sigma_{i,k_i}\}, ..., \{\sigma_{n-1,1}, ..., \sigma_{n-1,k_{n-1}}\}\}$ consisting of all the real-valued roots ($\Omega \in \mathbb{R}$) of all the p_i polynomials from the characteristic polynomial of a given \mathbf{M}_{σ} .

Theorem 2. If, for a given σ , the leading eigenvalue, $\lambda_{max(\mathbf{M}_{\sigma})}$, of \mathbf{M}_{σ} is 0, and $\lambda_{max(\mathbf{M}_{\sigma})} \in \mathbb{R}$ ($\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{max(\mathbf{M}_{\sigma})}) = 0$, $\operatorname{Im}(\lambda_{max(\mathbf{M}_{\sigma})}) = 0$), then $max(\Omega) = \sigma$.

Proof. By the necessary condition of stability, all coefficients must be positive, which means that $\sigma \geq max(\Omega)$. By the proof of Obrechkoff [3], if a polynomial has positive coefficients and has a root in the right-half plane, then the root cannot lie on the real axis. This implies that if $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ then $\sigma \leq max(\Omega)$. Therefore, $\sigma = max(\Omega)$.

Corollary 1. If, for a given σ , the real-part of the leading eigenvalue, $\lambda_{max(\mathbf{M}_{\sigma})}$, of \mathbf{M}_{σ} is 0 but with a non-zero imaginary part ($\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{max(\mathbf{M}_{\sigma})}) = 0$, $\operatorname{Im}(\lambda_{max(\mathbf{M}_{\sigma})}) \neq 0$), then $max(\Omega) \leq \sigma$.

Proof. By the necessary condition of stability, all coefficients of the characteristic polynomial must be positive. Therefore $max(\Omega) \leq \sigma$.

A scaling operation, first introduced in [4], related the σ of \mathbf{M}_{σ} with the maximal eigenvalue of a matrix, \mathbf{M}_0 , defined by

$$\bar{\mathbf{M}}_0 = -\mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{M} + \mathbf{I}.$$

The characteristic polynomial of \mathbf{M}_0 in x is the same polynomial as that for the last coefficient p_0 in σ of the characteristic polynomial of \mathbf{M}_{σ} $(P_{\mathbf{M}_0} = p_0, p_0 = (-1)^n \det(\mathbf{M}_{\sigma}))$. Therefore when $\sigma = \lambda_{max(\mathbf{M}_0)}, p_0$ vanishes, forcing \mathbf{M}_{σ} to have an eigenvalue of 0.

References

[1] S. Gerschgorin, Uber die Abgrenzung der Eigenwerte einer Matrix. Izv. Akad. Nauk. USSR Otd. Fiz.-Mat, 6: 749-754, 1931.

[2] R. Descartes, La Géométrie. Paris, 1637.

[3] N. Obrechkoff, Sur un problème de Laguerre. C.R. Acd. Sci. Paris, 177: 223-235, 1923.

[4] A-M. Neutel, M. Thorne, Interaction strengths in balanced carbon cycles and the absence of a relation between ecosystem complexity and stability. Ecology Letters, 17 (6): 651-661, 2014.

BRITISH ANTARCTIC SURVEY, CAMBRIDGE *Email address:* mior@bas.ac.uk