

## AN ANALOGUE TO INFINITARY HALES-JEWETT THEOREM

ANINDA CHAKRABORTY AND SAYAN GOSWAMI

ABSTRACT. In a recent work, N. Hindman, D. Strauss and L. Zamboni have shown that the Hales-Jewett theorem can be combined with a sufficiently well behaved homomorphisms. In this paper we will show that those combined extensions can be made if we replace the alphabet by an increasing sequence of alphabets, infact it holds for some Ramsey theoretic small sets. To obtained this we achieved some interesting cofigurations.

## 1. PRELIMINAARIES

Let  $\omega = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , where  $\mathbb{N}$  is the set of positive integers. Then  $\omega$  is the first infinite ordinal. Let  $\mathcal{P}_f(X)$  be the set of all finite subsets of a set  $X$ .

Given a nonempty set  $\mathbb{A}$  (or alphabet) we let  $w(\mathbb{A})$  be the set of all finite words  $w = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$  with  $n \geq 1$  and  $a_i \in \mathbb{A}$ . The quantity  $n$  is called the length of  $w$  and denoted  $|w|$ . The set  $w(\mathbb{A})$  is naturally semigroup under the operation of concatenation of words. We will denote the empty word by  $\theta$ . For each  $u \in w(\mathbb{A})$  and  $a \in \mathbb{A}$ , we let  $|u|_a$  be the number of occurrences of  $a$  in  $u$ , we will identify the elements of  $\mathbb{A}$  with the length-one words over  $\mathbb{A}$ .

Let  $v$  (a variable) be a letter not belonging to  $\mathbb{A}$ . By a variable word over  $\mathbb{A}$  we mean a word  $w$  over  $\mathbb{A} \cup \{v\}$  with  $|w|_v \geq 1$ . We let  $S_1(\mathbb{A})$  be the set of one-variable words over  $\mathbb{A}$ . If  $w \in S_1(\mathbb{A})$  and  $a \in \mathbb{A}$ , then  $w(a) \in w(\mathbb{A})$  is the result of replacing each occurrence of  $v$  by  $a$ .

A finite coloring of a set  $A$  is a function from  $A$  to a finite set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . A subset  $B$  of  $A$  is monochromatic if the function is constant on  $B$ . If  $\mathbb{A}$  be any finite nonempty set and  $S$  be the free semigroup of all words over the alphabet  $\mathbb{A}$ , then the Hales-Jewett Theorem states that for any finite coloring of the  $S$  there is a variable word over  $\mathbb{A}$  all of whose instances are the same color.

**Theorem 1.1.** [HJ] *Assume that  $\mathbb{A}$  is finite. For each finite coloring of  $S_0$  there exists a variable word  $w$  such that  $\{w(a) : a \in \mathbb{A}\}$  is monochromatic.*

Now, we need to recall some definitions from [HSZ].

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  be distinct variables which are not members of  $\mathbb{A}$ .

(a) An  $n$ -variable word over  $\mathbb{A}$  is a word  $w$  over  $\mathbb{A} \cup \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  such that  $|w|_{v_i} \geq 1$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

(b) If  $w$  is an  $n$ -variable word over  $\mathbb{A}$  and  $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ , then  $w(\vec{x})$  is the result of replacing each occurrence of  $v_i$  in  $w$  by  $x_i$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

(c) If  $w$  is an  $n$ -variable word over  $\mathbb{A}$  and  $u = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$  is a length  $n$  word, then  $w(u)$  is the result of replacing each occurrence of  $v_i$  in  $w$  by  $a_i$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

This particular homomorphism is very useful to us.

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , for a set of alphabet  $\mathbb{A}$ , let  $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{A}^n$ . Then the homomorphism  $h_{\vec{a}} : S_n(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow w(\mathbb{A})$  is defined by  $h_{\vec{a}}(w) = w(\vec{a})$  for all  $w \in S_n(\mathbb{A})$ .

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $S, T$  and  $R$  be semigroups (or partial semigroups) such that  $S \cup T$  is a semigroup (or partial semigroup) and  $T$  is an ideal of  $S \cup T$ . Then a homomorphism  $\tau : T \rightarrow R$  is said to be  $S$ -independent if, for every  $w \in T$  and every  $u \in S$ ,

$$\tau(uw) = \tau(w) = \tau(wu).$$

For an example, let  $T$  be a semigroup with identity  $e$ . Then for any  $n \geq 1$ , a homomorphism  $\tau : S_n(\mathbb{A}) \cup S_0(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow T$  is  $S_0(\mathbb{A})$ -independent if  $\tau[S_0(\mathbb{A})] = \{e\}$ .

The following is a version of a multi-variable extension of the Hales-Jewett Theorem:

**Theorem 1.5.** *Assume that  $\mathbb{A}$  is finite. Let  $w(\mathbb{A})$  be finitely colored and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . There exists  $w \in S_n(\mathbb{A})$  such that  $\{w(\vec{x}) : \vec{x} \in \mathbb{A}^n\}$  is monochromatic.*

Let,

$$\mathbb{A}_1 \subseteq \mathbb{A}_2 \subseteq \mathbb{A}_3 \subseteq \dots$$

is an increasing sequence of alphabets. Then for each  $n, i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $S_n(\mathbb{A}_i)$  is the set of all  $n$ -variable words over  $\mathbb{A}_i$ .

Let,  $S_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_n(\mathbb{A}_i)$  be the set of all  $n$ -variable words over  $\mathbb{A} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{A}_i$  and  $S_0 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} w(\mathbb{A}_i)$  be the set of all words of finite length over  $\mathbb{A} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{A}_i$ .

The following theorem is due to N.Karagiannis, which is a stronger version of weak Carlson-Simpson theorem.

**Theorem 1.6.** [K, Theorem 3] *Let  $(\mathbb{A}_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be an increasing sequence of finite alphabets and let  $\mathbb{A} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{A}_i$ . Then for every finite coloring of  $S_0$  there exists a sequence  $(w_n(x))_{n=0}^{\infty}$  of variable words over  $\mathbb{A}$  such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_n$ , the words of the form  $w_{m_0}(a_0)w_{m_1}(a_1)\dots w_{m_n}(a_n)$  with  $a_i \in \mathbb{A}_{m_i}$ ,  $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  are of the same color.*

In [HSZ], authors have shown various combining extension of Hales-Jewett theorem. After that N. Karagiannis has proved that weak Carlson-Simpson theorem holds if one replaced the set of alphabet  $\mathbb{A}$  by an increasing chain of alphabets  $\mathbb{A}_1 \subseteq \mathbb{A}_2 \subseteq \mathbb{A}_3 \subseteq \dots$ .

In this paper, we used the Stone-Ćech compactification of a semigroup (or partial semigroup)  $S$  to develop some results from [HSZ] in the infinite alphabet settings.

Let  $(S, \cdot)$  be any discrete semigroup and denote its Stone-Ćech compactification as  $\beta S$ .  $\beta S$  is the set of all ultrafilters on  $S$ , where the points of  $S$  are identified with the principal ultrafilters. The basis for the topology is  $\{\bar{A} : A \subseteq S\}$ , where  $\bar{A} = \{p \in \beta S : A \in p\}$ . The operation of  $S$  can be extended to  $\beta S$  making  $(\beta S, \cdot)$  a compact, right topological semigroup with  $S$  contained in its topological center. That is, for all  $p \in \beta S$  the function  $\rho_p : \beta S \rightarrow \beta S$  is continuous, where  $\rho_p(q) = q \cdot p$  and for all  $x \in S$ , the function  $\lambda_x : \beta S \rightarrow \beta S$  is continuous, where  $\lambda_x(q) = x \cdot q$ . For  $p, q \in \beta S$  and  $A \subseteq S$ ,  $A \in p \cdot q$  if and only if  $\{x \in S : x^{-1}A \in q\} \in p$ , where  $x^{-1}A = \{y \in S : x \cdot y \in A\}$ .

Since  $\beta S$  is a compact Hausdorff right topological semigroup, it has a smallest two sided ideal denoted  $K(\beta S)$ , which is the union of all of the minimal right ideals of  $S$ , as well as the union of all of the minimal left ideals of  $S$ . Every left ideal

of  $\beta S$  contains a minimal left ideal and every right ideal of  $\beta S$  contains a minimal right ideal. The intersection of any minimal left ideal and any minimal right ideal is a group and any two such groups are isomorphic. Any idempotent  $p$  in  $\beta S$  is said to be minimal if and only if  $p \in K(\beta S)$ . A subset  $A$  of  $S$  is then central if and only if there is some minimal idempotent  $p$  such that  $A \in p$ . For more details, the reader can see [HS].

We need to use some elementary structure of partial semigroup. Here we recall some definitions of our need from [HP].

**Definition 1.7.** A partial semigroup is defined as a pair  $(G, *)$  where  $*$  is an operation defined on a subset  $X$  of  $G \times G$  and satisfies the statement that for all  $x, y, z$  in  $G$ ,  $(x * y) * z = x * (y * z)$  in the sense that if either side is defined, so is the other and they are equal.

If  $(G, *)$  is a partial semigroup, we will denote it by  $G$ , when the operation  $*$  is clear from the context. Now, we give an example which will be useful in our work.

**Example 1.8.** Let us consider any sequence  $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $\omega$  and let

$$G = \text{FS}(\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty) = \left\{ \sum_{j \in H} x_j : H \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}) \right\}$$

and

$$X = \left\{ \left( \sum_{j \in H_1} x_j, \sum_{j \in H_2} x_j \right) : H_1 \cap H_2 = \emptyset \right\}.$$

Define  $*$  :  $X \rightarrow G$  by

$$\left( \sum_{j \in H_1} x_j, \sum_{j \in H_2} x_j \right) \rightarrow \sum_{j \in H_1} x_j + \sum_{j \in H_2} x_j.$$

It is easy to check that  $G$  is a commutative partial semigroup. One can similarly check the same for

$$G = \text{FP}(\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty) = \left\{ \prod_{j \in H} x_j : H \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}) \right\}.$$

**Definition 1.9.** Let  $G$  be a partial semigroup.

- (a) For  $g \in G$ ,  $\varphi(g) = \{h \in G : g * h \text{ is defined}\}$ .
- (b) For  $H \in \mathcal{P}_f(G)$ ,  $\sigma(H) = \bigcap_{h \in H} \varphi(h)$ .
- (c)  $(G, *)$  is adequate if and only if  $\sigma(H) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $H \in \mathcal{P}_f(G)$ .

Now, for a semigroup  $S$ , let  ${}^{\mathbb{N}}S$  be the set of all sequences in  $S$  and let

$$\mathcal{J}_m = \{t = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m : t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_m\}.$$

**Definition 1.10.** Let  $(S, \cdot)$  be a semigroup and  $A \subseteq S$ .

- (1) Then  $A$  is a  $J$ -set if and only if for each  $F \in \mathcal{P}_f({}^{\mathbb{N}}S)$  there exist  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{m+1}) \in S^{m+1}$  and  $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m) \in \mathcal{J}_m$  such that for each  $f \in F$ ,

$$\left( \prod_{j=1}^m a_j \cdot f(t_j) \right) \cdot a_{m+1} \in A.$$

- (2)  $J(S) = \{p \in \beta S : (\forall A \in p) (A \text{ is a } J\text{-set})\}$ .  
(3) A set  $A \subseteq S$  is called  $C$ -set if it is an element of some idempotent in  $J(S)$ .

Now, we need to recall the definition of  $J$ -set for partial semigroups. First, let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of all adequate sequences in  $S$ .

**Definition 1.11.** Let  $G$  be an adequate partial semigroup. Then a set  $A \subseteq G$  is a  $J$ -set if and only if for all  $F \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathcal{F})$  and all  $L \in \mathcal{P}_f(S)$ , there exist  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{m+1}) \in S^{m+1}$  and  $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m) \in \mathcal{J}_m$  such that for all  $f \in F$ ,

$$\left( \prod_{i=1}^m a_i * f(t_i) \right) * a_{m+1} \in A \cap \sigma(L).$$

Then we have proved one of the main theorem from [HSZ, Theorem 17] for infinite sequence of alphabets.

## 2. OUR RESULTS

Throughout this section, we have developed various results from [HSZ] using infinite sequence of alphabets. Some of the results also hold in various small sets, like  $C$ -sets,  $J$ -sets etc. The following fact is very useful to us:

**Fact 2.1.** *Now, let us take the homomorphism  $\tau : S_0 \cup S_1 \rightarrow \omega$  be defined by  $\tau(w) = |w|_v$ . So,  $\tau(w) = 0$  if and only if  $w \in S_0$ . Let  $D \subseteq S_0$  is a  $J$ -set and let  $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{N}$ . Let  $y_1 = 0, y_{n+1} = x_n$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $A = FS(\langle y_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty)$  is an adequate partial semigroup. As,  $\tau$  is a homomorphism, one can easily check that  $\tau^{-1}[A]$  is also an adequate partial semigroup. Now, let  $T$  is an adequate partial semigroup and  $S$  be any partial subsemigroup of  $T$ . Let  $F$  be a nonempty finite set of partial semigroup homomorphisms from  $T$  to  $S$ , which are  $S$ -preserving. Then, proceeding similar to the proof of [CG, Lemma2.1], it can be easily be verified that for any  $J$ -set  $D \subseteq S$ ,  $\bigcap_{\nu \in F} \nu^{-1}[D]$  is a  $J$ -set in  $T$ . So, let  $T = S_0 \cup S_1$ ,  $\tau^{-1}[A]$  is also a  $J$ -set in  $T$ . Also, it is easy to check that  $S_0$  is not a  $J$ -set in  $S_n \cup S_0$ .*

The following result are a variation of Hales-Jewett theorem for piecewise syndetic set:

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $F = \{h_{\vec{a}} : \vec{a} \in \mathbb{A}_m^n\}$  be a finite nonempty set of homomorphism mappings from  $T = S_n \cup S_0 \rightarrow S_0$  which are equal to the identity mapping on  $S_0$ . Let  $D \subseteq S_0$  is a piecewise syndetic set in  $S_0$ , then  $\bigcap_{\nu \in F} \nu^{-1}[D]$  contains  $w_n(\vec{a})$ , for all  $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{A}_m^n$  and  $w_n \in S_n(\mathbb{A})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then from [HSZ, Corollary 6],  $\bigcap_{h_{\vec{a}} \in F} h_{\vec{a}}^{-1}[D]$  is piecewise syndetic in  $T$ . Now,  $S_0$  is not piecewise syndetic in  $T$ . So,  $S_n \cap \bigcap_{h_{\vec{a}} \in F} h_{\vec{a}}^{-1}[D]$  is piecewise syndetic. Now, choose  $N > m$  such that  $w_n \in S_n(A_N) \cap \bigcap_{h_{\vec{a}} \in F} h_{\vec{a}}^{-1}[D]$ . So,  $w_n \in \bigcap_{h_{\vec{a}} \in F} h_{\vec{a}}^{-1}[D]$  and  $w_n(\vec{a}) \in D$  for all  $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{A}_m^n$ .  $\square$

Proceeding as above for the  $J$ -sets, we get the following corollary:

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $F = \{h_{\vec{a}} : \vec{a} \in \mathbb{A}_m^n\}$  be a finite nonempty set of homomorphism mappings from  $T = S_n \cup S_0 \rightarrow S_0$  which are equal to the identity mapping on  $S_0$ . Let  $D \subseteq S_0$  is a  $J$ -set in  $S_0$ , then  $\bigcap_{h_{\vec{a}} \in F} h_{\vec{a}}^{-1}[D]$  contains  $w_n(\vec{a})$ , for all  $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{A}_m^n$  and  $w_n \in S_n(A)$ .*

*Proof.* From [CG, Theorem 2.3] and Lemma 2.2, the result follows.  $\square$

In the next theorem we have proved [K, Theorem 3] for central sets:

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $D \subseteq S_0$  be central then there exists a sequence  $(w_i(x))_{i=1}^\infty$  of variable words over  $A$  such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and every*

$$m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_l$$

*the words of the form*

$$w_{m_1}(\vec{a}_1) w_{m_2}(\vec{a}_2) \dots w_{m_l}(\vec{a}_l) \in D$$

*with  $a_1 \in A_{m_1}^n, a_2 \in A_{m_2}^n, \dots, a_l \in A_{m_l}^n$ .*

*Proof.* Let us choose a minimal idempotent  $p$  such that  $D \in p$ . Then  $D^* \in p$ , where  $D^* = \{x \in D : x^{-1}D \in p\}$ . Now, let  $F_1 = \{h_{\vec{a}_1} : \vec{a}_1 \in A_1^n\}$ . So, from Lemma 2.2, there exists  $w \in S_n(A_1)$  such that  $w_1 \in S_n \cap \bigcap_{\vec{a}_1 \in A_1^n} h_{\vec{a}_1}^{-1}[D^*] \Rightarrow w_1(\vec{a}_1) \in D^*$  for all  $\vec{a}_1 \in A_1^n$ .

So,  $D^* \cap \bigcap_{\vec{a}_1 \in A_1^n} w_1(\vec{a}_1)^{-1}[D^*]$ .

Let,  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  and suppose we have a sequence  $(w_i(x))_{i=1}^p$  such that

$$w_{m_1}(\vec{a}_1) \dots w_{m_l}(\vec{a}_l) \in D$$

for all  $m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_l \leq p$ .

Now, choose

$$E = D^* \cap \bigcap_{m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_l \leq p} w_{m_1}(\vec{a}_1) \dots w_{m_l}(\vec{a}_l)^{-1}[D^*]$$

. Then, choose  $w_{p+1} \in S_n \cap \bigcap_{\vec{a}_{p+1} \in A_{p+1}^n} h_{\vec{a}_{p+1}}^{-1}[E]$ .

So,

$$w_{m_1}(\vec{a}_1) \dots w_{m_l}(\vec{a}_l) \in D^*$$

, where  $m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_l \leq p+1$ .

Hence by induction we can choose such infinite sequence  $(w_i(x))_{i=1}^\infty$ .  $\square$

In fact, an easy combinatorial approach can be followed to prove the following generalized result of the above theorem, using  $C^* \in p$ , whenever  $C \in p$ .

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $D \subseteq S_0$  be  $C$ -set then there exists a sequence  $(w_n(x))_{n=1}^\infty$  of variable words over  $A$  such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and every*

$$m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_l$$

*the words of the form*

$$w_{m_1}(\vec{a}_1) w_{m_2}(\vec{a}_2) \dots w_{m_l}(\vec{a}_l) \in D$$

*with  $a_1 \in A_{m_1}^n, a_2 \in A_{m_2}^n, \dots, a_l \in A_{m_l}^n$ .*

*Proof.* Same as in Theorem 2.4.  $\square$

Note that, for a  $J$ -set  $D \subseteq S_0$  and a sequence  $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ , let  $y_1 = 0$  and  $y_{n+1} = x_n$  and  $A = \text{FS}(\langle y_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty)$  is an adequate partial semigroup.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $\tau : T = S_0 \cup S_1 \rightarrow \omega$  by  $\tau(w) = |w|_{v_1}$ . Let,  $D \subseteq S_0$  be a  $J$ -set and  $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{N}$ . Then, there exists  $\langle w_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$  such that*

$$\{w_n(a_n) : a_n \in A_n\} \subseteq D \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

and for each  $G \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ ,

$$\sum_{n \in G} \tau(w_n) \in FS(\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty).$$

*Proof.* Let  $F_1 = \{h_{a_1} : a_1 \in A_1\}$  be a finite set of partial semigroup homomorphism from  $\tau^{-1}(A_1)$  to  $S_0$  where  $A_1 = FS(\langle y_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty)$ .

Let  $D \subseteq S_0$  is a  $J$ -set then one can easily verify that  $\bigcap_{a_1 \in A_1} h_{a_1}^{-1}[D]$  is a  $J$ -set in  $\tau^{-1}(A_1)$ . As,  $S_0$  is not a  $J$ -set in  $\tau^{-1}(A_1)$ , there exists  $w_1 \in S_1(A_m) \cap \tau^{-1}(A_1)$  for some  $m \geq 1$  such that  $w_1 \in \bigcap_{a_1 \in A_1} h_{a_1}^{-1}[D]$ .

So,  $\tau(w_1) \in FS(\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty) \subseteq A_1 = FS(\langle y_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty)$  and  $w_1(a_1) \in D \quad \forall a_1 \in A_1$ . Now by induction, suppose we have proved that for some  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\{w_n(a_n) : a_n \in A_n\} \subseteq D$ , for all  $n \in [1, p]$  and for  $G \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ ,  $\sum_{n \in G} \tau(w_n) \in FS(\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty)$ . Now let us take a sequence  $\langle z_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$  such that  $z_1 = 0$  and

$$A_{p+1} = FS(\langle z_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty) \subseteq \bigcap_{x \in \{\sum_{n \in G} \tau(w_n) : G \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, p\}\}} (-x + FS(\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty)).$$

Then,  $\tau^{-1}[A_{p+1}]$  is adequate partial semigroup and as above there exists  $m \geq p+1$  and a  $w_{p+1} \in S_1(A_m) \cap \tau^{-1}[A_{p+1}]$  such that  $w \in \bigcap_{a_{p+1} \in A_{p+1}} h_{a_{p+1}}^{-1}[D]$ .

So,  $\tau(w_{p+1}) \in A_{p+1}$  and  $\{w(a_{p+1}) : a_{p+1} \in A_{p+1}\} \subseteq D$ . This proves the result.  $\square$

The following corollary is one of the interesting result:

**Corollary 2.7.** *Let  $\tau : T = S_0 \cup S_1 \rightarrow \omega$  by  $\tau(w) = |w|_{v_1}$ . Let,  $D \subseteq S_0$  be a  $C$ -set and  $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{N}$ . Then, there exists  $\langle w_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$  and for any  $\{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_i\} = G \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ , such that*

$$\{w_{n_1}(a_{n_1}) w_{n_2}(a_{n_2}) \dots w_{n_i}(a_{n_i}) : a_{n_i} \in A_{n_i}, \text{ where } n_i \in G\} \subseteq D$$

and  $\sum_{n_i \in G} \tau(w_{n_i}) \in FS(\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty)$ .

*Proof.* As  $D \subseteq S_0$  is a  $C$ -set, let us choose an idempotent  $p \in \delta(S_0)$  such that  $D \in p$ . Then,  $D^* \in p$ . Now, proceeding as the above proof, assume,

$$E = D^* \cap \bigcap_{G = \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_i\} \subseteq [1, p]} w_{n_1}(a_{n_1}) w_{n_2}(a_{n_2}) \dots w_{n_i}(a_{n_i})^{-1} [D^*]$$

and  $A_{p+1}$  as similar as above.

Then, as  $\bigcap_{a_{p+1} \in A_{p+1}} h_{a_{p+1}}^{-1}[E]$  is a  $J$ -set, we have the result.  $\square$

In [CG, Theorem 2.5], authors proved [HSZ, Corollary 16] for  $J$ -sets. In the following theorem, we developed [CG, Theorem 2.5] for infinite sequence of alphabets.

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $k < n$  and let  $T$  be the set of words over  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$  in which  $v_i$  occurs for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . Given,  $w \in S_n$ , let  $\tau(w)$  be obtained from  $w$  by deleting all occurrence of elements of  $A$  as well as occurrences of  $v_i$ ,  $k < i \leq n$ . Let  $\langle y_t \rangle_{t=1}^\infty$  be a sequence in  $T$  and let  $D \subseteq S_0$  is*

a  $J$ -set. Then there exists a sequence of variable words  $\langle w_t \rangle_{t=1}^\infty$  over  $A$  such that  $\{w_m(a_m) : a_m \in A_m^n\} \subseteq D$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and for every  $G \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ ,

$$\prod_{i=1}^i \tau(w_{m_i}) \in FP(\langle y_t \rangle_{t=1}^\infty),$$

where  $G = \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_i\}$ .

*Proof.* We will proceed same as in Theorem 2.6.

Let  $T^* = T \cup \{\theta\}$  and  $\tau^* : S_n \cup S_0 \rightarrow T^*$  be defined by

$$\tau^*(w) = \begin{cases} \tau(w), & \text{if } w \in S_n \\ \theta, & \text{if } w \in S_0 \end{cases}.$$

Then  $(\tau^*)^{-1}[FP(\langle y_t \rangle_{t=1}^\infty) \cup \theta]$  is a partial semigroup in  $S_n$ .

Let  $A_1 = (\tau^*)^{-1}[FP(\langle y_t \rangle_{t=1}^\infty) \cup \theta]$  and  $F_1 = \{h_{\vec{a}_1} : \vec{a}_1 \in A_1^n\}$ .

Then,  $\bigcap_{\vec{a}_1 \in A_1^n} h_{\vec{a}_1}^{-1}[D]$  is a  $J$ -set in  $S_n \cup S_0$  and so in  $S_n$  as  $S_0$  is not a  $J$ -set in  $S_n \cup S_0$ .

So, there exists  $w_1 \in S_n \cap \bigcap_{\vec{a}_1 \in A_1^n} h_{\vec{a}_1}^{-1}[D]$  such that  $\tau(w_1) \in FP(\langle y_t \rangle_{t=1}^\infty)$ . i.e;  $w_1(\vec{a}_1) \in D$  for all  $\vec{a}_1 \in A_1^n$  and  $\tau(w_1) \in FP(\langle y_t \rangle_{t=1}^\infty)$ .

from here proceeding as Theorem 2.6, we get the result.  $\square$

Similarly, we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.9.** Let  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $k < n$  and let  $T$  be the set of words over  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$  in which  $v_i$  occurs for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . Given,  $w \in S_n$ , let  $\tau(w)$  be obtained from  $w$  by deleting all occurrence of elements of  $A$  as well as occurrences of  $v_i$ ,  $k < i \leq n$ . Let  $\langle y_t \rangle_{t=1}^\infty$  be a sequence in  $T$  and let  $C \subseteq S_0$  is a  $C$ -set. Then there exists a sequence of variable words  $\langle w_t \rangle_{t=1}^\infty$  over  $A$  such that  $\{w_m(a_m) : a_m \in A_m^n\} \subseteq C$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and for every  $G \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ ,

$$\prod_{i=1}^l \tau(w_{m_i}) \in FP(\langle y_t \rangle_{t=1}^\infty),$$

where  $G = \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_l\}$ .

*Proof.* Proceeding same as in Theorem 2.6, we get the result.  $\square$

Similar to [HSZ, Lemma 17], in Theorem 2.10, the semigroup  $T$  and the matrix  $M$  satisfy all the appropriate hypotheses to matrix multiplication makes sense and distributive.

**Theorem 2.10.** Let  $(T, +)$  be a commutative semigroup with identity element 0. Let  $k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $M$  be a  $k \times m$  matrix. For  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , let  $\tau_i$  be an  $S_0$ -independent homomorphism from  $S_n$  to  $T$ . Define a function  $\psi$  on  $S_n$  by

$$\psi(w) = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1(w) \\ \tau_2(w) \\ \vdots \\ \tau_m(w) \end{pmatrix},$$

with the property that for any collection of IP-sets  $\{C_i : i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}\}$  in  $T$ , there exists  $a \in S_n$  such that  $M\psi(a) \in \times_{i=1}^k C_i$ . Let  $B_i = FS(\langle x_n^{(i)} \rangle_{n=1}^\infty)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$

be  $k$  IP sets in  $T$  and  $D \subseteq S_0$  is a  $C$ -set in  $S_0$ , then, there exists  $\langle w_i \rangle_{i=1}^\infty \subseteq S_n$  such that for each  $\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_l\} = G \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ , we have

$$w_{m_1}(\overrightarrow{a_{m_1}}) w_{m_2}(\overrightarrow{a_{m_2}}) \cdots w_{m_l}(\overrightarrow{a_{m_l}}) \in D$$

and

$$M \circ \psi(w_{m_1} w_{m_2} \dots w_{m_l}) \in \times_{i=1}^k B_i$$

*Proof.* Let  $\phi : S_n \cup S_0 \rightarrow B = \times_{i=1}^k (B_i \cup \{0\})$ , where  $\phi(w) = M\psi(w)$ , so as in [HSZ, Lemma 17],  $\phi$  is a homomorphism. Now, let us choose  $p \in \beta S_0$  such that  $D \in p$  so then  $D^* \in p$ .

Now,  $h_{\vec{a}_1} : \phi^{-1}[B] \rightarrow S_0$ ,  $\vec{a}_1 \in A_1^n$  is a collection of partial semigroup homomorphism fixed on  $S_0$ .

Now,  $\bigcap_{\vec{a}_1 \in A_1^n} h_{\vec{a}_1}^{-1}[D^*] \setminus S_0$  is a  $J$ -set in  $\phi^{-1}[B]$  and so there exists  $w_1 \in \phi^{-1}[B] \setminus S_0$  such that  $w_1(\vec{a}_1) \in D^* \forall \vec{a}_1 \in A_1^n$  and  $\phi(w_1) = M\psi(w_1) \in B$ .

Now, take an IP set  $B_1 \subseteq -M\psi(w_1) + B$  and clearly  $(0, 0, \dots, 0) \in B_1$ . So,  $S_0 \subseteq \phi^{-1}[B_1]$ . Now,  $\phi^{-1}(B_1)$  is again a partial semigroup, and hence

$$h_{\vec{a}_2} : \phi^{-1}[B_1] \rightarrow E = D^* \cap \bigcap_{\vec{a}_1 \in A_1^n} w_1(\vec{a}_1)^{-1} D^*, \quad \vec{a}_2 \in A_2^n$$

is again a partial semigroup homomorphism fixed on  $S_0$ .

So, there exists  $w_2 \in \bigcap_{\vec{a}_2 \in A_2^n} h_{\vec{a}_2}^{-1}[E] \cap (\phi^{-1}[B_1] \setminus S_0)$ . Thus,

$$h_{\vec{a}_2}(w_2) \in E = D^* \cap \bigcap_{\vec{a}_1 \in A_1^n} w_1(\vec{a}_1)^{-1} D^*$$

for all  $\vec{a}_2 \in A_2^n$ . Thus,  $w_2(\vec{a}_2) \in D^*$ ,  $w_1(\vec{a}_1) = w_2(\vec{a}_2) \in D^*$  and  $\phi(w_2) = M\psi(w_2) \in B_1$ .

So, we have,

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} w_1(\vec{a}_1) \in D^* \text{ for all } \vec{a}_1 \in A_1^n \\ M\psi(w_1) \in B \end{array} \right\} \text{--- (1)},$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} w_2(\vec{a}_2) \in D^* \text{ for all } \vec{a}_2 \in A_2^n \\ M\psi(w_2) \in B_1 \subseteq -M\psi(w_1) + B \end{array} \right\} \text{--- (2)},$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} w_1(\vec{a}_1) w_2(\vec{a}_2) \in D^* \text{ for all } \vec{a}_1 \in A_1^n \text{ and } \vec{a}_2 \in A_2^n \\ M\psi(w_2) \in -M\psi(w_1) + B \end{array} \right\} \text{--- (3)}$$

and so  $M \circ \psi(w_1 w_2) = M(\psi(w_1) + \psi(w_2)) \in B$ .

Similarly, by proceeding further with this iteration, we will get the result.  $\square$

**Acknowledgment:** The second author of the paper acknowledges the grant UGC-NET SRF fellowship with id no. 421333 of CSIR-UGC NET December 2016. We would like to thank Prof. Dibyendu De for his helpful comments on this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [C] T. Carlson, Some unifying principles in Ramsey theory, Adv. in Math. 53 (1984) 265-290.
- [CG] A. Chakraborty & S. Goswami, Hales-Jewett type configurations in small sets, arXiv:2009.00772 [math.CO].
- [FK] H. Furstenberg and Y. Katznelson (1989). Idempotents in compact semigroups and Ramsey Theory. Israel J. Math. 68, 257-270.
- [HJ] A.W.Hales and R.I.Jewett, Regularity and positional games, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 106 (1963), 222-229.



- [HP] N. Hindman and K. Pleasant, Central sets theorem for arbitrary adequate partial semi-groups, *Topology Proceedings*, to appear.
- [HS] N. Hindman and D. Strauss, *Algebra in the Stone-Čech Compactification: Theory and Applications*, second edition, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2012.
- [HSZ] N. Hindman, D. Strauss and L. Q. Zamboni, Combining extensions of the Hales-Jewett Theorem with Ramsey Theory in other structures, *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics* 26(4) (2019), #P4.23.
- [K] Nikolas Karagiannis, A combinatorial proof of an infinite version of the Hales-Jewett theorem, *Journal of combinatorics*, Volume 4, Number 2, 273-291, 2013.

*Email address:* [anindachakraborty2@gmail.com](mailto:anindachakraborty2@gmail.com)

GOVERNMENT GENERAL DEGREE COLLEGE AT CHAPRA, CHAPRA.

*Email address:* [sayan92m@gmail.com](mailto:sayan92m@gmail.com)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KALYANI, KALYANI.