

# RAYLEIGH QUOTIENT AND LEFT EIGENVALUES OF QUATERNIONIC MATRICES

E. MACÍAS-VIRGÓS, M.J. PEREIRA-SÁEZ AND ANA D. TARRÍO-TOBAR

ABSTRACT. We study the Rayleigh quotient of a Hermitian matrix with quaternionic coefficients and prove its main properties. As an application, we give some relationships between left and right eigenvalues of Hermitian and symplectic matrices.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Rayleigh quotient of a matrix, introduced by the British physicist Lord Rayleigh in 1904 in his book “The theory of sound”, is a well known tool which is widely used to obtain estimates of the eigenvalues of real and complex matrices ([2,5]).

For quaternionic matrices, however, only a few references about the Rayleigh quotient can be found in the literature, and there is a lack of a general exposition of its properties and main results. Since quaternions have many applications, among them in quantum mechanics, solid body rotations, and signal theory ([1]), it seems useful to fill that gap. Notice that most of the results and proofs are analogous to the complex case, but they refer to *right* eigenvalues.

On the other hand, very little is known about *left* eigenvalues of quaternionic matrices. Wood ([12]) proved that every quaternionic matrix has at least one left eigenvalue. Huang and So ([6]) completely solved the case of  $2 \times 2$  matrices. The authors ([7,8]) applied Huang and So’s results to  $2 \times 2$  symplectic matrices. The case  $n = 3$  was studied by So ([11]) and the authors ([9]). Finally, Zhang ([14]) and Farid, Wang and Zhang ([3]) gave several Geršgorin type theorems for quaternionic matrices.

Consequently, many problems still remain open, in particular those about the relationship between left and right eigenvalues. In this paper we give some partial answers to this question, for Hermitian and symplectic matrices, as an application of the previously proved properties of the Rayleigh quotient.

The contents of the paper are as follows. In Section 2 we present some preliminaries about the right eigenvalues of a quaternionic matrix.

In Section 3, we consider a Hermitian quaternionic  $n \times n$  matrix  $S$ , and we define its Rayleigh quotient  $h_S$  as a real function defined on the sphere  $S^{4n-1}$ . We compute the gradient, the Hessian and the mean value of  $h_S$ , and we prove its main properties, among them the min-max principle for eigenvalues (Section 3.2).

In Section 4, we introduce left eigenvalues and we study the case  $n = 2$  with some detail, as a testing bench for later results. For an arbitrary Hermitian matrix  $S$ , our main result (Theorem 23) is that the real part of any left eigenvalue  $\lambda$  is

---

\* quique.macias@usc.es, maria.jose.pereira@udc.es, ana.dorotea.tarrio.tobar@udc.es

bounded by the right eigenvalues, in a way that depends on the dimension of the  $\lambda$ -eigenspace. As we shall see, this implies that the existence of left eigenvalues with a high-dimensional space of eigenvectors depends on the multiplicity of the right eigenvalues.

Finally, in Section 5 we state similar results for symplectic matrices.

Our results suggest that there are still many more hidden relations between left and right eigenvalues.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

As a general reference for quaternionic linear algebra we take Rodman's book [10]. For a brief survey on quaternions and matrices of quaternions, see Zhang's paper [13].

**2.1. Basic notions.** We denote by  $\mathbb{H}$  the non-commutative algebra of quaternions. For the quaternion  $q \in \mathbb{H}$  we denote its conjugate by  $\bar{q}$ , its norm by  $|q|$  and its real part by  $\Re(q)$ .

Let  $\mathbb{H}^{n \times n}$  be the space of  $n \times n$  matrices with quaternionic coefficients. If  $M \in \mathbb{H}^{n \times n}$ , we denote by  $M^*$  its conjugate transpose  $(\bar{M})^T$ . The quaternionic space of  $n$ -tuples  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_n)^T$ , with  $u_i \in \mathbb{H}$ , will be denoted by  $\mathbb{H}^n$ . We shall always consider it as a *right* vector space over  $\mathbb{H}$ , endowed with the *Hermitian* product  $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \mathbf{u}^* \mathbf{v}$ . Notice that  $|\mathbf{u}|^2 = \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle$  is the Euclidean norm in  $\mathbb{R}^{4n}$ , so the *scalar* product is  $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \Re \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle$ .

The matrix  $S \in \mathbb{H}^{n \times n}$  is *Hermitian* if it is self-adjoint for the Hermitian product, that is,  $\langle S\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u}, S\mathbf{v} \rangle$ , or equivalently,  $S^* = S$ . The matrix  $A \in \mathbb{H}^{n \times n}$  is *symplectic* if the associated linear map preserves the Hermitian product, that is,  $\langle A\mathbf{u}, A\mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle$ , or equivalently,  $A^* A = A A^* = I_n$ .

Two quaternions  $q$  and  $q'$  are *similar* if there exists some  $r \in \mathbb{H}$ ,  $r \neq 0$ , such that  $q' = rqr^{-1}$ . Equivalently, they have the same norm and the same real part, that is,  $|q| = |q'|$  and  $\Re(q) = \Re(q')$  ([13, Theorem 2.2]). As a consequence, any quaternion  $q$  is similar to a complex number, namely  $z = t + s\mathbf{i}$ , where  $t = \Re(q)$  and  $t^2 + s^2 = |q|^2$ . Notice that  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  and its conjugate  $\bar{z}$  are similar quaternions.

Finally, we shall need the following result, which can be proved by a direct computation

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\omega, \omega'$  be two quaternions such that  $|\omega| = |\omega'| = 1$  and  $\Re(\omega) = \Re(\omega') = 0$ . If  $\omega\omega' = \omega'\omega$  then  $\omega = \pm\omega'$ .*

**2.2. Right eigenvalues.** The theory of right eigenvalues is well known, and has many properties in common with the complex case.

**Definition 2.** The quaternion  $q \in \mathbb{H}$  is a *right eigenvalue* of the matrix  $M \in \mathbb{H}^{n \times n}$  if there exists some vector  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{H}^n$ ,  $\mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{0}$ , such that  $M\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}q$ .

Notice that the eigenvectors associated to a right eigenvalue do not form a vector subspace. Instead, right eigenvalues are organized in similarity classes.

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $q$  be a right eigenvalue of  $M \in \mathbb{H}^{n \times n}$ , and let  $\mathbf{u}$  be a  $q$ -eigenvector. If  $r \in \mathbb{H}$  is a non-zero quaternion, then  $rqr^{-1}$  is also a right eigenvalue of  $M$ , and  $\mathbf{u}r^{-1}$  is an  $rqr^{-1}$ -eigenvector.*

*Proof.*  $M(\mathbf{u}r^{-1}) = (\mathbf{u}q)r^{-1} = \mathbf{u}r^{-1}(rqr^{-1})$ . □

So, the computation of the right eigenvalues of the matrix  $M$  is reduced to compute the complex representatives of their similarity classes. This can be done as follows. Each quaternion  $q$  can be written in a unique form as  $q = u + \mathbf{j}v$ , with  $u, v \in \mathbb{C}$  complex numbers. Then the matrix  $M \in \mathbb{H}^{n \times n}$  decomposes as  $M = U + \mathbf{j}V$ , with  $U, V \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  complex matrices. We define the associated complex matrix

$$c(M) = \begin{bmatrix} U & -\overline{V} \\ V & \overline{U} \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is straightforward to verify that the map  $c: \mathbb{H}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n \times 2n}$  is an injective morphism of  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras and satisfies  $c(M^*) = c(M)^*$ .

**Proposition 4.** *The right eigenvalues of  $M$  are grouped in  $n$  similarity classes  $[z_1], \dots, [z_n]$ . The complex representatives  $z_1, \overline{z_1}, \dots, z_n, \overline{z_n}$  are the eigenvalues of the complex matrix  $c(M)$ .*

See [10, Theorem 5.5.3] for a discussion of the Jordan form of  $M$ .

**Example 5.** Let the matrix  $M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{j} \\ \mathbf{i} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . The eigenvalues of  $c(M)$  are  $z_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 + \mathbf{i})$ ,  $z_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-1 + \mathbf{i})$  and their conjugates  $\overline{z_1}, \overline{z_2}$ . Then, the right eigenvalues of  $M$  are all the quaternions  $q$  such that  $\Re(q) = \pm 1/\sqrt{2}$  and  $|q| = 1$ .

Notice that, unlike the usual complex case, the matrices  $M - qI$  in the latter example are invertible, for all right eigenvalues  $q$ . This can be easily seen by computing their kernel. This leads to the notion of *left* eigenvalue, as a quaternion  $\lambda \in \mathbb{H}$  such that  $M - \lambda I$  is not invertible (see Section 4).

### 3. THE RAYLEIGH QUOTIENT

The well known Rayleigh quotient for complex matrices can be generalized to matrices of quaternions. We now focus on Hermitian matrices.

**3.1. Definition and first properties.** Recall that the  $n \times n$  quaternion matrix  $S$  is *Hermitian* if  $S = S^*$ . As it is well known, any *right* eigenvalue  $q$  of  $S$  is real: in fact, if  $S\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}q$  then

$$|\mathbf{u}|^2 q = \mathbf{u}^* \mathbf{u} q = \mathbf{u}^* S \mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}^* S \mathbf{u})^*$$

is real, hence  $\overline{q} = q$ . Moreover,  $S$  is diagonalizable [10, Theorem 5.3.6]. Let  $t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n$  be the eigenvalues of  $S$ , and let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  be an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors. Then  $S$  diagonalizes as  $S = U \text{diag}[t_1, \dots, t_n] U^*$ , where  $U$  is a symplectic matrix whose columns are the  $\mathbf{u}_j$ 's.

**Definition 6.** If  $S$  is a Hermitian  $n \times n$  matrix, and  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{H}^n$  is a vector,  $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$ , the *Rayleigh quotient* is the real number

$$R(S, \mathbf{v}) = \frac{\mathbf{v}^* S \mathbf{v}}{|\mathbf{v}|^2}.$$

**Proposition 7.** *If  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  is an eigenvalue of  $S$ , and  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{H}^n$  is a  $t$ -eigenvector, then  $R(S, \mathbf{u}) = t$ .*

If  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{H}^n$  is a vector,  $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$ , we can write it in coordinates with respect to the orthonormal basis  $\{\mathbf{u}_j\}_{j=1,\dots,n}$  as

$$\mathbf{v} = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{u}_j x_j, \quad x_j \in \mathbb{H}.$$

**Proposition 8.** *The Rayleigh quotient equals the weighted mean*

$$R(S, \mathbf{v}) = \frac{\sum_j t_j |x_j|^2}{\sum_j |x_j|^2},$$

where the real numbers  $t_j$  are the eigenvalues of  $S$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathbf{u}_i^* \mathbf{u}_j = \delta_{ij}$ , we have

$$|\mathbf{v}|^2 = \mathbf{v}^* \mathbf{v} = \left( \sum_i \bar{x}_i \mathbf{u}_i^* \right) \left( \sum_j \mathbf{u}_j x_j \right) = \sum_{i,j} \bar{x}_i \mathbf{u}_i^* \mathbf{u}_j x_j = \sum_j \bar{x}_j x_j = \sum_j |x_j|^2.$$

Analogously, since  $S\mathbf{u}_j = \mathbf{u}_j t_j$ ,

$$\mathbf{v}^* S \mathbf{v} = \left( \sum_i \bar{x}_i \mathbf{u}_i^* \right) \left( \sum_j \mathbf{u}_j t_j x_j \right) = \sum_j \bar{x}_j t_j x_j = \sum_j t_j |x_j|^2. \quad \square$$

By diagonalization, we have reduced the problem of an arbitrary bilinear form to the corresponding quadratic form. As a consequence we have:

**Proposition 9.** *The minimum value of the function  $R(S, \mathbf{v})$ , defined in  $\mathbb{H}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , is the lowest eigenvalue of  $S$ . The maximum value is the highest eigenvalue of  $S$ .*

*Proof.* First, notice that  $R(S, \mathbf{v}/|\mathbf{v}|) = R(S, \mathbf{v})$ , so we can assume that  $|\mathbf{v}| = 1$ . It is known [5, Appendix B] that a convex function

$$\sum_{j=1}^n t_j s_j, \quad \text{with } \sum_{j=1}^n s_j = 1, s_j \geq 0,$$

attains its maximum value at  $t_n = \max t_j$  and its minimum value at  $t_1 = \min t_j$ . By taking into account Proposition 8, the result follows.  $\square$

In fact, we shall compute all the critical values of the function. This is a variational characterization of eigenvalues, which was discovered in connection with problems of physics [2,5].

**Proposition 10.** *The critical values of the function  $R(S, \mathbf{v})$  are the right eigenvalues  $t_j$  of  $S$ . The index of  $t_j$  equals  $\sum_{i < j} l_i$ , where  $l_i$  is the multiplicity of  $t_i$ .*

*Proof.* Even though it is possible to do a direct computation by using coordinates, we shall give a more synthetic proof.

By differentiating the function  $R = R(S, \mathbf{v}): \mathbb{H} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R_{*\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{w}) &= \frac{1}{|\mathbf{v}|^4} ((\mathbf{w}^* S \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}^* S \mathbf{w})|\mathbf{v}|^2 - (\mathbf{v}^* S \mathbf{v})(\mathbf{w}^* \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}^* \mathbf{w})) \\ &= \frac{2}{|\mathbf{v}|^4} (\Re(\mathbf{v}^* S \mathbf{w})|\mathbf{v}|^2 - (\mathbf{v}^* S \mathbf{v})\Re(\mathbf{v}^* \mathbf{w})) \\ &= \frac{2}{|\mathbf{v}|^4} ((S \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w})|\mathbf{v}|^2 - (\mathbf{v}^* S \mathbf{v})(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w})) \\ &= \frac{2}{|\mathbf{v}|^2} ((S \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}) - R(S, \mathbf{v})(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w})) \\ &= \frac{2}{|\mathbf{v}|^2} (S \mathbf{v} - R(S, \mathbf{v})\mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{w}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $(\cdot)$  represents the scalar product in  $\mathbb{R}^{4n}$ .

Hence the gradient of  $R(S, \mathbf{v})$  is

$$G_{\mathbf{v}} = \frac{2}{|\mathbf{v}|^2} (S \mathbf{v} - R(S, \mathbf{v})\mathbf{v}).$$

Since  $R(S, \mathbf{v}/|\mathbf{v}|) = R(S, \mathbf{v})$ , we can assume that  $|\mathbf{v}| = 1$ . Let us denote by  $h$  the restriction of the Rayleigh function to the sphere  $S^{4n-1} \subset \mathbb{H}^n$  of unitary vectors. We check that  $G_{\mathbf{v}} \perp \mathbf{v}$ , because

$$\frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{v}, G_{\mathbf{v}} \rangle = \mathbf{v}^* (S \mathbf{v} - R(S, \mathbf{v})\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v}^* S \mathbf{v} - R(S, \mathbf{v})|\mathbf{v}|^2 = 0.$$

Hence,  $\mathbf{v} \cdot G_{\mathbf{v}} = \Re \langle \mathbf{v}, G_{\mathbf{v}} \rangle = 0$  and  $G_{\mathbf{v}}$  is tangent to the sphere for the scalar product, so it is also the gradient of the restriction  $h$ .

It follows that the point  $\mathbf{v}$  is critical (both for the function and its restriction) if and only if  $S \mathbf{v} = R(S, \mathbf{v})\mathbf{v}$ , that is,  $\mathbf{v}$  is an eigenvector of the eigenvalue  $R(S, \mathbf{v}) = t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

This will allow us to compute the Hessian

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{w}) &= (G_{\mathbf{v}})_*(\mathbf{w}) \\ &= \frac{2}{|\mathbf{v}|^4} (S \mathbf{w} - (R_{*\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{w})\mathbf{v} + R(S, \mathbf{v})\mathbf{w})|\mathbf{v}|^2 \\ &\quad - (S \mathbf{v} - R(S, \mathbf{v})\mathbf{v})(\mathbf{w}^* \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}^* \mathbf{w})) \\ &= \frac{2}{|\mathbf{v}|^2} (S \mathbf{w} - (R_{*\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{w})\mathbf{v} + R(S, \mathbf{v})\mathbf{w})) \\ &= \frac{2}{|\mathbf{v}|^2} (S \mathbf{w} - R(S, \mathbf{v})\mathbf{w}) \\ &= \frac{2}{|\mathbf{v}|^2} (S \mathbf{w} - t \mathbf{w}) = \frac{2}{|\mathbf{v}|^2} (S - tI) \mathbf{w}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if  $|\mathbf{v}| = 1$  and  $\mathbf{w} \in T_{\mathbf{v}} S^{4n-1}$ , that is,  $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} = \Re(\mathbf{v}^* \mathbf{w}) = 0$ , then

$$\mathbf{v} \cdot H_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{w}) = \Re(\mathbf{v}^* H_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{w})) = 2\Re(\mathbf{v}^* S \mathbf{w}) = 2\Re(\mathbf{w}^* S \mathbf{v}) = 2\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{v} t = 0.$$

Hence  $H_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{w}) \in T_{\mathbf{v}} S^{4n-1}$ , and  $H_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{w})$  is also the Hessian of the restriction  $h$ .

Now, we compute the index of  $t = R(S, \mathbf{v})$ , which is the number of negative eigenvalues of the Hessian at the critical point  $\mathbf{v}$ . If  $\mu$  is an eigenvalue of the Hessian, we have  $H_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}\mu$ , for some  $\mathbf{w} \neq \mathbf{0}$ , that is,

$$2(S - tI)\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}\mu,$$

so we are looking for the eigenvalues  $\mu$  of the *shifted* matrix  $S - tI$ . Hence, the eigenvalues of the Hessian are  $\mu_k = 2(t_k - t)$ , twice the differences with the other right eigenvalues  $t_k$  of  $S$ , and the result follows.  $\square$

For instance, the minimum  $t_1$  has index 0. The maximum  $t_n$  has index  $n - l_n$ .

**3.2. The min-max principle for eigenvalues.** As in the complex case, it is possible to refine Proposition 10. Now, we constrain  $\mathbf{v}$  to a  $k$ -dimensional subspace, in order to obtain a quaternionic version of the the so-called min-max Courant-Fischer-Weyl theorem ([5, Theorem 4.2.6]).

Fix some  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and let  $\mathbb{E} \subset \mathbb{H}^n$  be any  $\mathbb{H}$ -subspace of dimension  $k$ . We shall denote  $\mathbb{E}^* = \mathbb{E} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ .

Let  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$  be again an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors. We have that

$$\mathbb{E} \cap \langle \mathbf{u}_k, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n \rangle \neq \mathbf{0},$$

due to dimension reasons. Then there exists  $\mathbf{v} = \sum_{j=k}^n \mathbf{u}_j x_j \in \mathbb{E}^*$ , and its Rayleigh quotient is

$$R(S, \mathbf{v}) = \frac{\sum_{j=k}^n t_j |x_j|^2}{\sum_{j=k}^n |x_j|^2} \geq t_k,$$

because  $t_j \geq t_k$  for all  $j \geq k$ .

This implies that

$$M_{\mathbb{E}} := \max\{R(S, \mathbf{v}) : \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{E}^*\} \geq t_k.$$

Since this is true for all  $\mathbb{E}$  we conclude that  $\min\{M_{\mathbb{E}} : \dim \mathbb{E} = k\} \geq t_k$ . This is in fact an equality:

**Theorem 11.**  $t_k = \min\{M_{\mathbb{E}} : \dim \mathbb{E} = k\}$ .

*Proof.* It only remains to prove that  $M_{\mathbb{E}} \leq t_k$  for some  $\mathbb{E}$  with  $\dim \mathbb{E} = k$ . Take  $\mathbb{E} = \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k \rangle$ . Then for all  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{E}^*$  we have

$$R(S, \mathbf{v}) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k t_j |x_j|^2}{\sum_{j=1}^k |x_j|^2} \leq t_k,$$

because  $t_j \leq t_k$  if  $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ .  $\square$

Analogously, if we denote

$$m_{\mathbb{E}} := \min\{R(S, \mathbf{v}) : \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{E}^*\},$$

we have

**Corollary 12.**  $t_{n-k+1} = \max\{m_{\mathbb{E}} : \dim \mathbb{E} = k\}$ .

The proof is immediate if we take into account that  $t_{n-k+1}(S) = -t_k(-S)$ . As particular cases, for  $k = 1, n$  we have Proposition 9.

**3.3. Mean value.** We want to compute the mean value of the Rayleigh function over the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{N-1} \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ , where  $N = 4n$ .

Let  $h: \mathbb{S}^{N-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the restriction given by  $h(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v}^* S \mathbf{v}$ ,  $|\mathbf{v}| = 1$ . In order to compute the mean value of  $h$ ,

$$M(h) = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \mathbf{v}^* S \mathbf{v} \, d\mathbf{v},$$

one can consider hyper-spherical coordinates and to undertake a long direct computation. Another proof follows by using Pizzetti's formula ([4, Formula (11.3)]). However, in order to have a similar result for the variance, we shall use *moments*, as explained in Gray's book [4, Appendix A.2].

For any integrable function  $F = F(u_1, \dots, u_N): \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  we denote by  $M(F)$  the average of  $F$  over the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{N-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ .

**Lemma 13.** [4, Theorem 1.5].

$$M(u_i^2) = \frac{1}{N}, \quad M(u_i^4) = \frac{3}{N(N+2)}, \quad M(u_i^2 u_j^2) = \frac{1}{N(N+2)} \text{ if } i \neq j.$$

**Theorem 14.** *The expected value of the Rayleigh quotient  $R(S, \mathbf{v})$  over the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{4n-1} \subset \mathbb{H}^n$  equals*

$$M(h) = \frac{1}{n} \text{Trace } S.$$

*Proof.* According to Proposition 8,  $h(\mathbf{v}) = \sum_{j=1}^n t_j |x_j|^2$ , where  $x_j \in \mathbb{H}$ . Since each  $x_j$  has four real coordinates, our function can be written as

$$h(u_1, \dots, u_N) = t_1(u_1^2 + \dots + u_4^2) + \dots + t_n(u_{N-3}^2 + \dots + u_N^2), \quad N = 4n,$$

where  $u_1^2 + \dots + u_N^2 = 1$ .

Then, by Lemma 13,

$$M(h) = 4 \frac{1}{N} (t_1 \dots + t_n) = \frac{1}{n} (t_1 + \dots + t_n),$$

is the arithmetic mean of the eigenvalues and the result follows.  $\square$

A similar computation gives us the relationship between the second central moment of  $h$  and the variance of the eigenvalues. We denote by

$$\mu = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n t_i$$

the mean of the eigenvalues and by

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (t_i - \mu)^2$$

its variance.

**Theorem 15.** *The second central moment of the Rayleigh quotient over the sphere is proportional to the variance of the eigenvalues,*

$$M((h - \mu)^2) = \frac{1}{2n+1} \sigma^2.$$

*Proof.* The proof follows from the well-known identity  $M((h - \mu)^2) = M(h^2) - \mu^2$ , and Lemma 13.  $\square$

## 4. LEFT EIGENVALUES

As mentioned in Example 5, the matrix  $M - qI$  can be invertible for a right eigenvalue  $q$  of  $M$ . This motivates the following definition.

**Definition 16.** The quaternion  $\lambda \in \mathbb{H}$  is a *left eigenvalue* of the matrix  $M \in \mathbb{H}^{n \times n}$  if the matrix  $M - \lambda I_n$  is not invertible.

The existence of left eigenvalues for any quaternionic matrix was proved by Wood in [12]. Notice that the left eigenvalues of a matrix are not invariant by a change of basis [13, Example 7.1].

If  $\lambda$  is a left eigenvalue of  $M$ , the set of vectors  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{H}^n$  such that  $M\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}$  is a right  $\mathbb{H}$ -vector subspace  $V(\lambda) \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}$  of  $\mathbb{H}^n$ . A non-null element  $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$  of  $V(\lambda)$  is called a  $\lambda$ -*eigenvector*. By dividing it by its norm we can always assume that  $|\mathbf{v}| = 1$ .

Clearly, if a right eigenvalue is a real number, then it is also a left eigenvalue. So the problem is to determine the non-real left eigenvalues of  $M$ , if any.

4.1.  $n = 2$ . The case  $n = 2$  was completely solved by Huang and So in [6]. Let  $M = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ . If  $b = 0$  or  $c = 0$  then the left eigenvalues are  $a, d \in \mathbb{H}$ , as it is straightforward to check by hand. When  $bc \neq 0$ , Huang and So gave explicit formulas for the left eigenvalues. In particular they proved ([6, Theorems 2.3, 3.1 and 3.2]) the following result:

**Theorem 17.** *If  $bc \neq 0$ ,*

- (1) *the left eigenvalues of  $M$  are given by  $\lambda = a + bx$ , where  $x$  is any solution of the quadratic equation  $x^2 + a_1x + a_0 = 0$ , with  $a_1 = b^{-1}(a - d)$  and  $a_0 = -b^{-1}c$ ;*
- (2) *the matrix  $M$  has either one, two or infinite left eigenvalues;*
- (3) *the infinite case happens if and only if  $a_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\Delta = a_1^2 - 4a_0 < 0$ ;*
- (4) *in the latter case, the left eigenvalues can be written as*

$$(1) \quad \lambda = \frac{1}{2}(a + d + b\xi), \quad \Re(\xi) = 0, |\xi|^2 = |\Delta|.$$

4.2. **Left eigenvalues of  $2 \times 2$  Hermitian matrices.** We apply the previous results to the Hermitian case. If  $S$  is a  $2 \times 2$  Hermitian matrix, the condition  $S = S^*$  means that  $S = \begin{bmatrix} s & b \\ b^* & s' \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $s, s' \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $b = 0$ , the matrix  $S$  has only two left eigenvalues, the real numbers  $s, s'$ , which are also right eigenvalues.

**Proposition 18.** *When  $b \neq 0$ ,*

- (1) *the matrix  $S$  has two real eigenvalues (which may be different or not). They can be computed as the roots of the real equation*

$$(2) \quad (s - t)(s' - t) - |b|^2 = 0.$$

- (2) *it has also non-real left eigenvalues if and only if  $\Re(b) = 0$  and  $s = s'$ . In this case, the left eigenvalues are given by the formula*

$$(3) \quad \lambda = s + b\omega, \quad \Re(\omega) = 0, |\omega| = 1.$$



*Proof.* 1. Notice that the discriminant of Equation (2) is

$$\text{disc} = (s + s')^2 - 4(ss' - |b|^2) = (s - s')^2 + |b|^2 \geq 0.$$

It is easy to check that the two real roots are eigenvalues.

2. Since there are already two real eigenvalues, we only have to consider the infinite case of Theorem 17. If  $b \neq 0$ , Huang-So's conditions are

$$(4) \quad a_1 = b^{-1}(s - s') = \frac{b^*}{|b|^2}(s - s') \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$(5) \quad a_0 = -b^{-1}b^* = -\frac{(b^*)^2}{|b|^2} \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$(6) \quad a_1^2 - 4a_0 = \frac{(b^*)^2}{|b|^2} \left[ \frac{(s - s')^2}{|b|^2} + 4 \right] < 0,$$

which imply  $(b^*)^2 \in \mathbb{R}$ , by (5), and  $(b^*)^2 < 0$ , by (6).

Hence  $b^2 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $b^2 < 0$ . This implies  $\Re(b) = 0$ ,  $b^* = -b$  and  $b^2 = -|b|^2$ . But then

$$\Re(a_1) = \frac{s - s'}{|b|^2} \Re(b^*) = 0,$$

so  $s - s' = 0$  by (4).

Since  $a_1 = 0$ ,  $a_0 = 1$  and  $\Delta = a_1^2 - 4a_0 = -4$ , Formula (1) implies (3).  $\square$

*Remark 1.* Notice that in the latter case, among the infinite left eigenvalues there are two real ones. In fact, the two solutions of Equation (2) are  $t = s \pm |b|$ . They correspond to Formula (3) with  $\omega = \pm \frac{b}{|b|}$ .

**Example 19.** [6, Example 2.5] The matrix  $S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 + \mathbf{i} \\ 1 - \mathbf{i} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  has only two left eigenvalues,  $\lambda = \pm\sqrt{2}$ , which are also its right eigenvalues.

**Example 20.** [13, Example 5.3] Let  $S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{i} \\ -\mathbf{i} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . The real eigenvalues are  $\pm 1$ . The left eigenvalues are  $\lambda = \mathbf{i}\omega$ , where  $\Re(\omega) = 0$  and  $|\omega| = 1$ , that is,  $\lambda = t + y\mathbf{j} + z\mathbf{k}$ , with  $t, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $t^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$ .

**4.3. Relationship with the Rayleigh quotient.** The previous section gives an idea of the difficulty of computing the left eigenvalues of a given (Hermitian) matrix. In fact, no general method is known. In this section we shall give a new relationship between left and right eigenvalues.

Let  $S$  be a Hermitian matrix. Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{H}$  be a left eigenvalue of  $S$  and let  $\mathbf{v}$  be a  $\lambda$ -eigenvector, with  $|\mathbf{v}| = 1$ . Then  $R(S, \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v}^* \lambda \mathbf{v}$  is a real number.

Notice that  $\mathbf{v}^* \bar{\lambda} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^* \lambda \mathbf{v}$  even if  $\bar{\lambda} \neq \lambda$ .

**Lemma 21.**  $R(S, \mathbf{v}) = \Re(\lambda)$ , the real part of  $\lambda$ .

*Proof.* If the coordinates of  $\mathbf{v}$  with respect to an orthonormal basis are  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , then since  $\mathbf{v}^* S \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^* \lambda \mathbf{v}$  is real, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}^* \lambda \mathbf{v} &= \sum \bar{x}_i \lambda x_i = \Re\left(\sum \bar{x}_i \lambda x_i\right) = \sum \Re(\bar{x}_i \lambda x_i) \\ &= \sum \Re(\lambda x_i \bar{x}_i) = \sum \Re(\lambda |x_i|^2) = \Re(\lambda) \left(\sum |x_i|^2\right) = \Re(\lambda). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Then, from Proposition 9 it follows that

**Proposition 22.** *If  $\lambda$  is a left eigenvalue of  $S$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  is a  $\lambda$ -eigenvector, then*

$$(7) \quad t_1 \leq R(S, \mathbf{v}) = \Re(\lambda) \leq t_n.$$

Next Theorem refines the latter formula, as an application of the min-max theorems of Section 3.2. It gives a new relationship between left and right eigenvalues.

**Theorem 23.** *Let  $\lambda$  be a left eigenvalue of the Hermitian matrix  $S$ , with real eigenvalues  $t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n$ . If the  $\lambda$ -eigenspace  $V(\lambda)$  verifies  $\dim V(\lambda) \geq k$  then*

$$t_k \leq \Re(\lambda) \leq t_{n-k+1}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbb{E} = V(\lambda)$ . By Lemma 21, the Rayleigh function is constant on  $\mathbb{E}$ , so  $m_{\mathbb{E}} = \Re(\lambda) = M_{\mathbb{E}}$ . Let  $\dim V(\lambda) = j \geq k$ , then, by Theorem 11 and Corollary 12, we have

$$t_k \leq t_j \leq \Re(\lambda) \leq t_{n-j+1} \leq t_{n-k+1}. \quad \square$$

Notice that the inequality (7) is a particular case, since  $\dim V(\lambda) \geq 1$ .

*Remark 2.* Using orthonormal coordinates one can prove that  $|\lambda| \leq \max |t_i|$ , where the right term is the (right) spectral radius of the Hermitian matrix [14].

**Example 24.** For the Hermitian matrices  $S = \begin{bmatrix} s & b \\ b^* & s \end{bmatrix}$  with non-real left eigenvalues (that is, with  $\Re(b) = 0$ , see Section 4.2), we have that  $\Re(\lambda) = s$  by (3), and  $|\lambda|^2 = s^2 + |b|^2$ , while  $t_1 = s - |b|$  and  $t_2 = s + |b|$ .

The next Corollary shows the influence of the left eigenvalues on the right ones.

**Corollary 25.** *Assume that the  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrix  $S$  has a left eigenvalue  $\lambda$  such that  $k = \dim V(\lambda) > \lceil n/2 \rceil$ . Then, the right eigenvalues  $t_{n-k+1} = \dots = t_k$  have multiplicity  $l_k \geq 2k - n$  and they are equal to  $\Re(\lambda)$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 23, we have  $t_k \leq \Re(\lambda) \leq t_{n-k+1}$ . Moreover,  $k > \lceil n/2 \rceil$  implies  $n - k + 1 \leq k$ , hence  $t_{n-k+1} \leq t_k$ . That means that  $t_{n-k+1} = t_k$ , hence  $\Re(\lambda) = t_j = t_k$ , for all  $n - k + 1 \leq j \leq k$ . This implies that the multiplicity  $l_k$  of  $t_k$  is at least  $2k - n$ .  $\square$

**Example 26.** Let  $S$  be a Hermitian matrix of order 5, diagonalizable to  $\text{diag}[t_1, \dots, t_5]$ . Assume that  $S$  has some left eigenvalue  $\lambda$  with  $\dim V(\lambda) = 4$ . Then  $\Re(\lambda) = t_4 = t_3 = t_2$  has at least multiplicity 3.

**Example 27.** Let  $S$  be a Hermitian matrix of order 6, diagonalizable to  $\text{diag}[t_1, \dots, t_6]$ . Assume that  $S$  has some left eigenvalue  $\lambda$  with  $\dim V(\lambda) = 4$ . Then  $\Re(\lambda) = t_4 = t_3$  has at least multiplicity 2.

## 5. SYMPLECTIC MATRICES

In this section we extend our results to symplectic matrices with quaternionic coefficients.

Recall that the  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  is *symplectic* if  $A^*A = I_n$ . Its right eigenvalues have norm 1, because if  $q$  is a right eigenvalue,  $A\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}q$ , with  $\mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{0}$ , then

$$|\mathbf{u}|^2 = \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle = \langle A\mathbf{u}, A\mathbf{u} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u}q, \mathbf{u}q \rangle = \bar{q}\mathbf{u}^*\mathbf{u}q = |q|^2|\mathbf{u}|^2,$$

so  $|q| = 1$ . Moreover, the matrix is diagonalizable [10, Theorem 5.3.6].

Analogously, the left eigenvalues also have norm 1, because if  $\lambda$  is a left eigenvalue,  $A\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}$ , with  $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$ , then

$$|\mathbf{v}|^2 = \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle A\mathbf{v}, A\mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \lambda\mathbf{v}, \lambda\mathbf{v} \rangle = \mathbf{v}^* \bar{\lambda} \lambda \mathbf{v} = |\lambda|^2 |\mathbf{v}|^2,$$

hence  $|\lambda| = 1$ .

5.1.  $n = 2$ . For  $n = 2$ , the authors completely characterized in [7] the symplectic matrices which have an infinite number of left eigenvalues.

**Theorem 28.** *The only  $2 \times 2$  symplectic matrices with an infinite number of left eigenvalues are those of the form*

$$(8) \quad \begin{bmatrix} r \cos \theta & -r \sin \theta \\ r \sin \theta & r \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}, \quad r \in \mathbb{H}, |r| = 1, \quad \sin \theta \neq 0.$$

**Proposition 29.** *For the matrix in (8):*

- (1) *The right eigenvalues are the similarity classes of  $q = r(\cos \theta \pm \sin \theta \rho)$ , where  $\rho$  is any of the quaternions such that  $\Re(\rho) = 0$ ,  $|\rho| = 1$ , and  $r = s + t\rho$ , with  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ .*
- (2) *The left eigenvalues are  $\lambda = r(\cos \theta + \sin \theta \omega)$ , where  $\omega$  is an arbitrary quaternion such that  $\Re(\omega) = 0$  and  $|\omega| = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Part (1) follows from definition, by checking the eigenvectors  $(\pm\rho, 1)^T$ , and taking into account that  $r$  and  $\rho$  commute, and that  $\rho^2 = -1$ .

Part (2) follows from Proposition 18. Notice that there are two left eigenvalues which are right eigenvalues.  $\square$

5.2. **Rayleigh quotient of a symplectic matrix.** The Rayleigh quotient can be defined for any non-Hermitian matrix. Let us assume that  $A$  is symplectic.

**Definition 30.** The Rayleigh quotient of  $A$  is the real function

$$R(A, \mathbf{v}) = \frac{\Re(\mathbf{v}^* A \mathbf{v})}{|\mathbf{v}|^2}.$$

As before, we shall consider its restriction  $h_A$  to the sphere  $S^{4n-1} \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ .

**Lemma 31.** *Let  $q$  be a right eigenvalue of the symplectic matrix  $A$ . If  $\mathbf{u}$  is a  $q$ -eigenvector then  $\bar{q}$  is a right eigenvalue of  $A^*$ , and  $\mathbf{u}$  is a  $\bar{q}$ -eigenvector.*

*Proof.* We have

$$A\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}q \Rightarrow \mathbf{u} = A^{-1}(\mathbf{u}q) = (A^*\mathbf{u})q \Rightarrow \mathbf{u}q^{-1} = A^*\mathbf{u}.$$

Moreover  $|q| = 1$  implies  $q^{-1} = \bar{q}$ .  $\square$

Let  $S = \frac{1}{2}(A + A^*)$  be the Hermitian part of  $A$ .

**Corollary 32.** *If  $q$  is a right eigenvalue of  $A$  and  $\mathbf{u}$  is a  $q$ -eigenvector, then  $\Re(q)$  is an eigenvalue of  $S$ , and  $\mathbf{u}$  is an  $\Re(q)$ -eigenvector.*

**Corollary 33.** *The Rayleigh functions of  $A$  and  $S$  are equal,  $h_A = h_S$ .*

*Proof.* We have

$$h_S(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v}^* S \mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{v}^* A \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}^* A^* \mathbf{v}) = \Re(\mathbf{v}^* A \mathbf{v}) = h_A(\mathbf{v}). \quad \square$$

- Corollary 34.**
- (1) *The  $n$  right eigenvalues of  $S$  are the real parts  $t_j = \Re(q_j)$  of the  $n$  similarity classes  $[q_1], \dots, [q_n]$  of the right eigenvalues of  $A$ .*
  - (2) *The critical values of  $h_A$  are  $\Re(q_1), \dots, \Re(q_n)$ .*

**5.3. Left eigenvalues.** Now, assume that  $\lambda$  is a left eigenvalue of the symplectic matrix  $A$ . We know that it may exist an infinite number of them.

**Proposition 35.** *If  $\mathbf{v}$  is a  $\lambda$ -eigenvector, that is,  $A\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}$ , then  $h_A(\mathbf{v}) = \Re(\lambda)$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is identical to that of Lemma 21. Since  $A$  is normal, it is diagonalizable and we can take an orthonormal basis  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  of eigenvectors [10, Theorem 5.3.6]. By taking coordinates  $\mathbf{v} = \sum_j \mathbf{u}_j x_j$ , we can assume that  $|\mathbf{v}|^2 = \sum_j |x_j|^2 = 1$ , then

$$h_A(\mathbf{v}) = \Re(\mathbf{v}^* \lambda \mathbf{v}) = \Re\left(\sum_j \bar{x}_j \lambda x_j\right) = \sum_j \Re(\lambda |x_j|^2) = \Re(\lambda). \quad \square$$

*Remark 3.* Since  $|\lambda| = 1$ , notice that  $A\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}$  implies  $A^*(\lambda\mathbf{v}) = \bar{\lambda}(\lambda\mathbf{v})$ , hence  $\lambda\mathbf{v}$  is a  $\bar{\lambda}$ -eigenvector of  $A^*$ , but we cannot conclude nothing about the eigenvalues of  $S$ , as Example 38 shows.

**Corollary 36.** *Let  $A$  be a symplectic matrix whose right eigenvalues are organized in  $n$  similarity classes  $[q_1], \dots, [q_n]$ , ordered in such a way that  $\Re(q_1) \leq \dots \leq \Re(q_n)$ . Let  $\lambda$  be a left eigenvalue of  $A$ , such that its eigenspace verifies  $\dim V(\lambda) \geq k$ . Then*

$$\Re(q_k) \leq \Re(\lambda) \leq \Re(q_{n-k+1}).$$

*Proof.* Take  $\mathbf{v} \in V_A(\lambda)$ , so  $\Re(\lambda) = h_A(\mathbf{v}) = h_S(\mathbf{v})$ . This does not mean that  $\lambda$  is a left eigenvalue of  $S$  (see Example 38). But  $\Re(\lambda) = h_S(\mathbf{v})$  is the constant value of  $h_S$  in the subspace  $\mathbb{E} = V_A(\lambda)$ , hence  $m_{\mathbb{E}} = \Re(\lambda) = M_{\mathbb{E}}$ . If  $\dim \mathbb{E} = j \geq k$ , then

$$\Re(q_k) \leq \Re(q_j) \leq \Re(\lambda) \leq \Re(q_{n-j+1}) \leq \Re(q_{n-k+1}),$$

from Theorem 11, Corollary 12 and Corollary 34.  $\square$

Remember that  $|\lambda| = 1$ .

**Example 37.** Let  $A = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \mathbf{j} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , as in Proposition 29, with  $r = \mathbf{j}$  and  $\theta = \pi/4$ . We have  $\rho = \mathbf{j}$ , and the right eigenvalues are the similarity classes of

$$q = \cos \theta \mathbf{j} \pm \sin \theta \mathbf{j}^2 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} (\pm 1 + \mathbf{j}).$$

whose real part is  $\Re(q) = \pm \sqrt{2}/2$ .

On the other hand, the left eigenvalues are

$$\lambda = \cos \theta \mathbf{j} + \sin \theta \omega \mathbf{j} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} (1 + \omega) \mathbf{j},$$

where  $\Re(\omega) = 0$  and  $|\omega| = 1$ , and their real part is  $\Re(\lambda) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \Re(\omega \mathbf{j})$ .

Then, since  $|\omega \mathbf{j}| = 1$ , it is true that  $-1 \leq \Re(\omega \mathbf{j}) \leq 1$ , hence  $\Re(q_1) \leq \Re(\lambda) \leq \Re(q_2)$ .

**Example 38.** For the symplectic matrix  $A$  in Example 37, the Hermitian part is  $S = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \mathbf{j} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Its right eigenvalues are  $q = \pm \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ , which is the real part of those of  $A$ , as stated in Corollary 32.

Its left eigenvalues are, by (3),

$$\lambda = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \mathbf{j} \omega, \quad \Re(\omega) = 1, |\omega| = 1,$$

which are different from those of  $A$ .

Now, we shall prove a result, analogous to Corollary 25, showing that the existence of left eigenvalues with a high-dimensional space of eigenvectors depends on the multiplicity of the right eigenvalues.

**Corollary 39.** *Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  symplectic matrix. Assume that there is a left eigenvalue  $\lambda$  such that  $k = \dim V(\lambda) > \lceil n/2 \rceil$ . Then, the similarity classes of right eigenvalues  $[q_{n-k+1}] = \cdots = [q_k]$  have multiplicity  $l_k \geq 2k - n$ , and they are equal to the similarity class  $[\lambda]$  of  $\lambda$ .*

*Proof.* Remember that the eigenvalue classes  $[q_i]$  are ordered according to their real parts, as in Corollary 36. From that Corollary, we know that

$$\Re(q_k) \leq \Re(\lambda) \leq \Re(q_{n-k+1}).$$

But  $k > \lceil n/2 \rceil$  implies  $n - k + 1 \leq k$ , hence  $\Re(q_{n-k+1}) \leq \Re(q_k)$ . Then  $\Re(q_{n-k+1}) = \cdots = \Re(q_k) = \Re(\lambda)$ . But since  $|q_j| = 1$ , for all  $j$ , and  $|\lambda| = 1$ , it follows that the similarity classes are equal, so we have  $[q_{n-k+1}] = \cdots = [q_k] = [\lambda]$ . This proves the result.  $\square$

#### FUNDING

The first two authors are partially supported by the MINECO and FEDER research project MTM2016-78647-P. The first author was partially supported by Xunta de Galicia ED431C 2019/10 with FEDER funds.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Agnieszka Badeńska and Łukasz Błaszczczyk. Compressed sensing for real measurements of quaternion signals. *J. Franklin Inst.*, 354(13):5753–5769, 2017.
- [2] Rajendra Bhatia. *Matrix analysis*, volume 169 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [3] F. O. Farid, Qing-Wen Wang, and Fuzhen Zhang. On the eigenvalues of quaternion matrices. *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 59(4):451–473, 2011.
- [4] Alfred Gray. *Tubes*, volume 221 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, second edition, 2004. With a preface by Vicente Miquel.
- [5] Roger A. Horn and Charles R. Johnson. *Matrix analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2013.
- [6] Liping Huang and Wasin So. On left eigenvalues of a quaternionic matrix. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 323(1-3):105–116, 2001.
- [7] E. Macías-Virgós and M. J. Pereira-Sáez. Left eigenvalues of  $2 \times 2$  symplectic matrices. *Electron. J. Linear Algebra*, 18:274–280, 2009.
- [8] E. Macías-Virgós and M. J. Pereira-Sáez. Symplectic matrices with predetermined left eigenvalues. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 432(1):347–350, 2010.
- [9] E. Macías-Virgós and M. J. Pereira-Sáez. A topological approach to left eigenvalues of quaternionic matrices. *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 62(2):139–158, 2014.
- [10] Leiba Rodman. *Topics in quaternion linear algebra*. Princeton Series in Applied Mathematics. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2014.
- [11] Wasin So. Quaternionic left eigenvalue problem. *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.*, 29(3):555–565, 2005.

- [12] R. M. W. Wood. Quaternionic eigenvalues. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 17(2):137–138, 1985.
- [13] Fuzhen Zhang. Quaternions and matrices of quaternions. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 251:21–57, 1997.
- [14] Fuzhen Zhang. Geršgorin type theorems for quaternionic matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 424(1):139–153, 2007.

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDADE DE SANTIAGO DE COMPOSTELA,  
15782-SPAIN

FACULTADE DE ECONOMÍA E EMPRESA, UNIVERSIDADE DA CORUÑA, 15071-  
SPAIN

E.U. ARQUITECTURA TÉCNICA, UNIVERSIDADE DE A CORUÑA, 15008- SPAIN