

**HEAT KERNEL ESTIMATES FOR FOURTH ORDER
NON-UNIFORMLY ELLIPTIC OPERATORS WITH NON
STRONGLY CONVEX SYMBOLS**

GERASSIMOS BARBATHIS, PANAGIOTIS BRANIKAS

ABSTRACT. We obtain heat kernel estimates for a class of fourth order non-uniformly elliptic operators in two dimensions. Contrary to existing results, the operators considered have symbols that are not strongly convex. This entails certain difficulties as it is known that, as opposed to the strongly convex case, there is no absolute exponential constant. Our estimates involve sharp constants and Finsler-type distances that are induced by the operator symbol. The main result is based on two general hypotheses, a weighted Sobolev inequality and an interpolation inequality, which are related to the singularity or degeneracy of the coefficients.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Ω be a planar domain and let

$$Hu = \partial_{x_1}^2 (\alpha(x) \partial_{x_1}^2 u) + 2\partial_{x_1 x_2}^2 (\beta(x) \partial_{x_1 x_2}^2 u) + \partial_{x_2}^2 (\gamma(x) \partial_{x_2}^2 u) \quad (1.1)$$

be a fourth-order, self-adjoint, uniformly elliptic operator in divergence form on Ω with measurable coefficients satisfying Dirichlet boundary conditions on $\partial\Omega$. It has been shown by Davies [8] that H has a continuous heat kernel $G(x, x', t)$ which satisfies the Gaussian-type estimate

$$|G(x, x', t)| \leq c_1 t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-c_2 \frac{|x - x'|^{4/3}}{t^{1/3}} + c_3 t\right), \quad (1.2)$$

for some positive constants c_1, c_2, c_3 and all $t > 0$ and $x, x' \in \Omega$. Indeed [8] deals with the more general case of an operator of order $2m$ acting on a domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $n < 2m$. The study of fundamental solutions is central in the theory of linear parabolic PDEs. For more results on heat kernel estimates for higher-order operators we refer to [6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16]. See also [14, 17] for related results specific to fourth order operators.

A sharp version of the Gaussian estimate (1.2) is obtained in [3] where it was proved that

$$|G(x, x', t)| \leq c_\epsilon t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{3\sqrt[3]{2}}{16} - c\theta - \epsilon\right) \frac{d_M(x, x')^{4/3}}{t^{1/3}} + c_{\epsilon, M} t\right\}, \quad (1.3)$$

for arbitrary ϵ and M positive. Here $\theta \geq 0$ is a constant that is related to the regularity of the coefficients and $d_M(x, x')$, $M > 0$, is a family of Finsler-type distances on Ω which is monotone increasing and converges as $M \rightarrow +\infty$ to a limit Finsler distance $d(x, x')$. The sharpness follows by comparing against the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35K40, 47D06, 35K65, 35K67.

Key words and phrases. heat kernel estimates; higher order operators; singular-degenerate coefficients.

short time asymptotics obtained in [12] for equations with constant coefficients and which involve precisely the constant $3\sqrt[3]{2}/16$; we refer to [5] for a more detailed discussion of the distance function $d(x, x')$.

An important assumption for both the Gaussian estimate (1.3) and for the corresponding asymptotic estimate of [12] is the *strong convexity* of the symbol

$$A(x, \xi) = \alpha(x)\xi_1^4 + 2\beta(x)\xi_1^2\xi_2^2 + \gamma(x)\xi_2^4, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (1.4)$$

of the operator H . The notion of strong convexity was introduced in [12] and it applies to operators of order $2m$ acting on \mathbb{R}^d which have constant coefficients. In our context the strong convexity of the symbol (1.4) amounts to

$$0 \leq \beta(x) \leq 3\sqrt{\alpha(x)\gamma(x)}, \quad x \in \Omega. \quad (1.5)$$

In the recent article [5] sharp Gaussian estimates were obtained for the heat kernel of the operator (1.1) without the strong convexity assumption. Short time asymptotics were also obtained from which follows in particular that there is no absolute sharp exponential constant but instead the best constant depends on the range of the function

$$Q(x) = \frac{\beta(x)}{\sqrt{\alpha(x)\gamma(x)}}, \quad x \in \Omega. \quad (1.6)$$

Our aim in the present article is to extend the estimates of [5] to the case where the operator H is not uniformly elliptic and/or is not self-adjoint; in particular a sharp exponential constant is obtained. Concerning the singularity or degeneracy, we assume that H is locally uniformly elliptic and that there is a positive weight function $w(x)$ that controls in a suitable sense the behaviour of the coefficients of the operator. Our main assumption consists of two general conditions (H1) and (H2) on $w(x)$, a weighted Sobolev inequality and a weighted interpolation inequality. These conditions were introduced in [2] in order to obtain (non-sharp) Gaussian estimates for non-uniformly elliptic self-adjoint operators. Besides conditions (H1) and (H2) we shall assume that the symbol $A(x, \xi)$ is close in an appropriate sense to a certain class of a “good” symbols induced by $w(x)$. These symbols correspond to operators which additionally are self-adjoint and their coefficients are locally Lipschitz, with the behaviour near $\partial\Omega$ (or at infinity) being controlled by the weight $w(x)$. The estimates obtained herein complement analogous estimates in [4] where non-uniformly elliptic operators with strongly convex symbol were considered. The sharpness of the exponential constant σ_* in our Gaussian estimate follows from the asymptotic estimates of [5].

The proof is based on Davies’ exponential perturbation method. One has to consider three different regimes depending on the values taken by the function $Q(x)$, namely $0 \leq Q(x) \leq 3$ (the strongly convex regime), $Q(x) \leq 0$ and $Q(x) \geq 0$. While the operator H may be singular or degenerate, our assumptions guarantee that the function $Q(x)$ is bounded away from zero and infinity, which is crucial for the implementation of the method.

2. HEAT KERNEL ESTIMATES

2.1. Setting and statement of main theorem. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be open and connected. We consider a differential operator H on $L^2(\Omega)$ (complex-valued functions)

¹We note that (1.5) is the assumption made for the heat kernel estimates of [3]; the requirement for the short time asymptotics of the constant coefficient equation in [12] is $0 < \beta < 3\sqrt{\alpha\gamma}$.

given formally by

$$Hu(x) = \partial_{x_1}^2 (\alpha(x) \partial_{x_1}^2 u) + 2\partial_{x_1 x_2}^2 (\beta(x) \partial_{x_1 x_2}^2 u) + \partial_{x_2}^2 (\gamma(x) \partial_{x_2}^2 u), \quad (2.1)$$

where α , β and γ are complex-valued, locally bounded functions on Ω . In case $\Omega \neq \mathbb{R}^2$ we impose Dirichlet boundary conditions on $\partial\Omega$. The operator H is defined by means of the quadratic form

$$Q(u) = \int_{\Omega} \{ \alpha(x) |u_{x_1 x_1}|^2 + 2\beta(x) |u_{x_1 x_2}|^2 + \gamma(x) |u_{x_2 x_2}|^2 \} dx,$$

defined initially on $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. We assume that there exists a positive weight $w(x)$ with $w^{\pm 1} \in L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)$ that controls the functions $\alpha(x), \beta(x), \gamma(x)$ in the following sense: First, there holds

$$|\alpha(x)| \leq cw(x), \quad |\beta(x)| \leq cw(x), \quad |\gamma(x)| \leq cw(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (2.2)$$

for some $c > 0$ and second, the weighted Gårding inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} Q(u) \geq c \int_{\Omega} w(x) |\nabla^2 u|^2 dx, \quad u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega),$$

is valid for some $c > 0$ (here $\nabla^2 u$ denotes the vector whose components are the second-order partial derivatives of u). This implies [1, Theorem 7.12] an analogous inequality for the symbol $A(x, \xi)$ of H , namely

$$\operatorname{Re} A(x, \xi) \geq cw(x) |\xi|^4, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

The quadratic form Q is closable and the domain of the closure is a weighted Sobolev space which we denote by $H_{w,0}^2(\Omega)$. We retain the same symbol, Q , for the closure of the above form and define H as the associated accretive operator on $L^2(\Omega)$, so that $\langle Hf, f \rangle = Q(u)$, $f \in \operatorname{Dom}(H)$, and Hu is given by (2.1) in the weak sense.

We make two assumptions on the weight $w(x)$, a weighted Sobolev inequality and a weighted interpolation inequality:

(H1) There exist $s \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ and $c > 0$ such that

$$\|u\|_\infty \leq c [\operatorname{Re} Q(u)]^{\frac{s}{2}} \|u\|_2^{1-s}, \quad u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega).$$

(H2) There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} w^{\frac{1}{2}} |\nabla u|^2 dx \leq \epsilon \int_{\Omega} w |\nabla^2 u|^2 dx + c\epsilon^{-1} \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx,$$

for all $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and all $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$.

Both (H1) and (H2) are satisfied when H is uniformly elliptic, in which case the best value for the exponent s is $s = 1/2$, showing that in the general case we cannot expect any value that is smaller than $1/2$; in particular, (H1) is valid with $s = 1/2$ if $w(x)$ is bounded away from zero. We refer to [2] for a more detailed discussion of these conditions, including examples where they are both valid.

We note that condition (H2) implies that for any k, l with $0 \leq k, l \leq 2$, $k+l < 4$, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$(1 + \lambda^{4-k-l}) \int_{\Omega} w^{\frac{k+l}{4}} |\nabla^k u| |\nabla^l u| dx \leq \epsilon \operatorname{Re} Q(u) + c\epsilon^{-\frac{k+l}{4-k-l}} (1 + \lambda^4) \|u\|_2^2, \quad (2.3)$$

for all $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, $\lambda > 0$ and all $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. Indeed, for $\lambda = 1$, (2.3) is a consequence of (H2) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality; the case $\lambda < 1$ follows trivially from

the case $\lambda = 1$; finally, writing (2.3) for $\lambda = 1$ and replacing ϵ by $\epsilon\lambda^{k+l-4}$ we obtain the result for $\lambda > 1$.

We define the weighted Sobolev space

$$W_w^{1,\infty}(\Omega) = \{u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,\infty}(\Omega) : \exists c \geq 0 : |u(x)| \leq cw(x), |\nabla u(x)| \leq cw(x)^{\frac{3}{4}}, x \in \Omega\}.$$

Definition 1. We say that the symbol $A(x, \xi)$ lies in \mathcal{G}_w if the functions $\alpha(x)$, $\beta(x)$, $\gamma(x)$ are real-valued and belong in $W_w^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$.

We think of \mathcal{G}_w as a class of ‘‘good’’ symbols. By assumption (2.2) the last condition holds true if and only if

$$|\nabla\alpha(x)| + |\nabla\beta(x)| + |\nabla\gamma(x)| \leq cw(x)^{\frac{3}{4}}, \quad x \in \Omega.$$

To state our main result we need some more definitions. We first set

$$\mathcal{E}_w = \{\phi \in C^2(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega) : \phi \text{ real valued, } \exists c > 0 : |\nabla\phi| \leq cw^{-\frac{1}{4}}, |\nabla^2\phi| \leq cw^{-\frac{1}{2}}\}.$$

In case where the symbol $A(x, \xi)$ belongs in \mathcal{G}_w (so in particular it is real-valued) we additionally define for any $M > 0$ the subclass

$$\mathcal{E}_{A,M} = \{\phi \in \mathcal{E}_w : A(x, \nabla\phi(x)) \leq 1, |\nabla^2\phi(x)| \leq Mw(x)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, x \in \Omega\};$$

our Gaussian estimates will be expressed in terms of the distance

$$d_M(x, x') = \sup \{\phi(x') - \phi(x) : \phi \in \mathcal{E}_{A,M}\}$$

for arbitrarily large (but finite) M ; we note that as $M \rightarrow +\infty$ this converges to

$$d(x, x') = \sup \{\phi(x') - \phi(x) : \phi \in \text{Lip}(\Omega), A(y, \nabla\phi(y)) \leq 1, \text{ a.e. } y \in \Omega\}.$$

The domain Ω is essentially partitioned in three components depending on the values of the bounded function $Q(x)$ (cf. (1.6)). In particular, assuming always that the symbol $A(x, \xi)$ belongs in \mathcal{G}_w , we define the locally Lipschitz functions

$$k(x) = \begin{cases} 8 \frac{1-Q(x)}{(1+Q(x))^2}, & \text{if } Q(x) \leq 0, \\ 8, & \text{if } 0 \leq Q(x) \leq 3, \\ Q(x)^2 - 1, & \text{if } Q(x) \geq 3, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\sigma(x) = \frac{3}{4} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{4k(x)} \right)^{1/3} = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{8 \cdot 4^{1/3}} \frac{(1+Q(x))^{2/3}}{(1-Q(x))^{1/3}}, & \text{if } Q(x) \leq 0, \\ \frac{3}{8 \cdot 4^{1/3}}, & \text{if } 0 \leq Q(x) \leq 3, \\ \frac{3}{4^{4/3}} (Q(x)^2 - 1)^{-1/3}, & \text{if } Q(x) \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

We also set

$$k^* = \sup_{x \in \Omega} k(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_* = \inf_{x \in \Omega} \sigma(x) = \frac{3}{4} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{4k^*} \right)^{1/3}.$$

In the general case where the symbol does not belong in \mathcal{G}_w we denote by θ the following weighted distance of the symbol $A(x, \xi)$ from \mathcal{G}_w ,

$$\theta = \inf_{\tilde{A} \in \mathcal{G}_w} \sup_{\Omega} \max_{|\xi|=1} \frac{|A(x, \xi) - \tilde{A}(x, \xi)|}{w(x)}.$$

We shall think of θ as a small number.

We now state our main result; the constants c_ϵ , $c_{\epsilon,M}$ may also depend on the operator H .

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that (H1) and (H2) are satisfied.*

(a) *Assume that the symbol $A(x, \xi)$ belongs in \mathcal{G}_w . Then for all $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and all M large there exist $c_\epsilon, c_{\epsilon, M} < \infty$ such that*

$$|G(x, x', t)| \leq c_\epsilon t^{-s} \exp \left\{ -(\sigma_* - \epsilon) \frac{d_M(x, x')^{4/3}}{t^{1/3}} + c_{\epsilon, M} t \right\}, \quad (2.4)$$

for all $x, x' \in \Omega$ and $t > 0$.

(b) *If $A(x, \xi)$ does not belong \mathcal{G}_w then there exists $c > 0$ such that for all $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and all M large there exist $c_\epsilon, c_{\epsilon, M} < \infty$ such that*

$$|G(x, x', t)| \leq c_\epsilon t^{-s} \exp \left\{ -(\sigma_* - c\theta - \epsilon) \frac{d_M(x, x')^{4/3}}{t^{1/3}} + c_{\epsilon, M} t \right\},$$

for all $x, x' \in \Omega$ and $t > 0$; here σ_* and $d_M(x, x')$ are defined as above corresponding to a symbol $\tilde{A}(x, \xi)$ in \mathcal{G}_w for which $|A(x, \xi) - \tilde{A}(x, \xi)| \leq 2\theta w(x)|\xi|^4$, $x \in \Omega$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Remarks. (1) It follows from the asymptotic estimates obtained in [5] that the constant σ_* is the best possible.

(2) In case (b) one could define the exponential constant σ_* and the distance $d_M(x, x')$ using the symbol $A(x, \xi)$ rather than $\tilde{A}(x, \xi)$. The resulting estimate would be comparable to the one in the theorem; such differences are anyway absorbed in the term $c\theta$ in the exponential and we prefer to use $\tilde{A}(x, \xi)$ for the definition of these quantities since otherwise the proofs would be longer.

2.2. Proof of Theorem 2.1. As already mentioned, the proof makes use of Davies' perturbative argument [8]. It follows from hypothesis (H2) that for any $\psi \in \mathcal{E}_w$ the (multiplication) operator e^ψ leaves the Sobolev space $H_{w,0}^2(\Omega)$ invariant so we may define a sesquilinear form Q_ψ on $H_{w,0}^2(\Omega)$ by $Q_\psi(u) = Q(e^\psi u, e^{-\psi} u)$; here

$$Q(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} \{ \alpha(x) u_{x_1 x_1} \bar{v}_{x_1 x_1} + 2\beta(x) u_{x_1 x_2} \bar{v}_{x_1 x_2} + \gamma(x) u_{x_2 x_2} \bar{v}_{x_2 x_2} \} dx$$

is the sesquilinear form associated to $Q(\cdot)$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} Q_\psi(u) &= \int_{\Omega} \left[\alpha(x) (e^\psi u)_{x_1 x_1} (e^{-\psi} \bar{u})_{x_1 x_1} + 2\beta(x) (e^\psi u)_{x_1 x_2} (e^{-\psi} \bar{u})_{x_1 x_2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \gamma(x) (e^\psi u)_{x_2 x_2} (e^{-\psi} \bar{u})_{x_2 x_2} \right] dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

We shall need the following result, see [4, Proposition 3.2]:

Lemma 2.2. *Assume that (H1) and (H2) are satisfied. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{E}_w$ be given and let $k \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that*

$$\operatorname{Re} Q_\psi(u) \geq -k \|u\|_2^2$$

for all $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. Then for any $\delta \in (0, 1)$ there exists a constant c_δ such that

$$|G(x, x', t)| \leq c_\delta t^{-s} \exp \{ \psi(x) - \psi(x') + (1 + \delta)kt \},$$

for all $x, x' \in \Omega$ and all $t > 0$.

We now take in (2.5) $\psi = \lambda\phi$ where $\lambda > 0$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_{A,M}$. After expanding, the exponentials $e^{\lambda\phi}$ and $e^{-\lambda\phi}$ cancel and we obtain that $Q_{\lambda\phi}(u)$ is a linear combination of terms of the form

$$\lambda^s \int_{\Omega} b_{s,\gamma,\delta}(x) D^\gamma u D^\delta \bar{u} dx, \quad (2.6)$$

(multi-index notation) where $s + |\gamma + \delta| \leq 4$ and each function $b_{s,\gamma,\delta}(x)$ is a product of one of the functions $\alpha(x)$, $\beta(x)$, $\gamma(x)$ and first or second order derivatives of $\phi(x)$ (see also (2.9) below). Recalling (2.2) we see that for each such term we have

$$|b_{s,\gamma,\delta}(x)| \leq cw(x)^{\frac{|\gamma+\delta|}{4}}, \quad x \in \Omega. \quad (2.7)$$

Definition 2. We denote by \mathcal{L} the space of (finite) linear combinations of terms of the form (2.6) with $s + |\gamma + \delta| < 4$ and $|b_{s,\gamma,\delta}(x)| \leq cw(x)^{\frac{|\gamma+\delta|}{4}}$.

We note that if the form (2.6) belongs in \mathcal{L} , then by (2.3) we have for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |T(u)| &\leq c\lambda^s \int_{\Omega} cw(x)^{\frac{|\gamma+\delta|}{4}} |D^\gamma u| |D^\delta u| dx \\ &\leq \epsilon \operatorname{Re} Q(u) + c\epsilon^{-\frac{|\gamma+\delta|}{4-|\gamma+\delta|}} (1 + \lambda^{\frac{4s}{4-|\gamma+\delta|}}) \|u\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \epsilon \operatorname{Re} Q(u) + c\epsilon^{-3} (1 + \lambda^3) \|u\|_2^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

We next define the quadratic form

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{1,\lambda\phi}(u) &= \\ &\int_{\Omega} \left\{ \lambda^4 [\alpha(x)\phi_{x_1}^4 + 2\beta(x)\phi_{x_1}^2\phi_{x_2}^2 + \gamma(x)\phi_{x_2}^4] |u|^2 \right. \\ &\quad + \lambda^2 \left\{ \alpha(x)\phi_{x_1}^2 (u\bar{u}_{x_1x_1} + u_{x_1x_1}\bar{u} - 4|u_{x_1}|^2) \right. \\ &\quad + 2\beta(x) [\phi_{x_1}\phi_{x_2} (u\bar{u}_{x_1x_2} + u_{x_1x_2}\bar{u} - u_{x_1}\bar{u}_{x_2} - u_{x_2}\bar{u}_{x_1}) - (\phi_{x_2}^2|u_{x_1}|^2 + \phi_{x_1}^2|u_{x_2}|^2)] \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \gamma(x)\phi_{x_2}^2 (u\bar{u}_{x_2x_2} + u_{x_2x_2}\bar{u} - 4|u_{x_2}|^2) \right\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \alpha(x)|u_{x_1x_1}|^2 + 2\beta(x)|u_{x_1x_2}|^2 + \gamma(x)|u_{x_2x_2}|^2 \right\} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

It may be seen that $Q_{1,\lambda\phi}(u)$ contains precisely those terms of the form (2.6) from the expansion of $Q_{\lambda\phi}(u)$ for which we have $s + |\gamma + \delta| = 4$. Hence, recalling also (2.7), the difference $Q_{\lambda\phi}(\cdot) - Q_{1,\lambda\phi}(\cdot)$ belongs in \mathcal{L} .

We now define the polar symbol

$$A(x, z, z') = \alpha(x)z_1^2z_1'^2 + 2\beta(x)z_1z_2z_1'z_2' + \gamma(x)z_2^2z_2'^2, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad z, z' \in \mathbb{C}^2.$$

We note that for $z = z' = \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$ this reduces to the symbol $A(x, \xi)$ of H . For $x \in \Omega$ and $\xi, \xi', \eta \in \mathbb{R}^2$ we also set

$$S(x, \xi, \xi', \eta) = \operatorname{Re} A(x, \xi + i\eta, \xi' + i\eta) + k(x)A(x, \eta). \quad (2.10)$$

Given $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_w$ and $\lambda > 0$ we define the quadratic form $S_{\lambda\phi}$ on $H_{w,0}^2(\Omega)$ by

$$S_{\lambda\phi}(u) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \iiint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} S(x, \xi, \xi', \lambda\nabla\phi) e^{i(\xi-\xi') \cdot x} \hat{u}(\xi) \overline{\hat{u}(\xi')} d\xi d\xi' dx.$$

Lemma 2.3. *Assume that the symbol $A(x, \xi)$ lies in \mathcal{G}_w . Let $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_w$ and $\lambda > 0$. There holds*

$$\operatorname{Re} Q_{1,\lambda\phi}(u) + \int_{\Omega} k(x)A(x, \lambda\nabla\phi) |u|^2 dx = S_{\lambda\phi}(u),$$

for all $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$.

Proof. This follows from (2.10) by using the relation

$$D^\alpha u(x) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (i\xi)^\alpha e^{ix \cdot \xi} \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi$$

for the various terms that appear in $Q_{1,\lambda\phi}$; the fact that $\alpha(x)$, $\beta(x)$ and $\gamma(x)$ are real-valued is also used here. \square

We now define for each $x \in \Omega$ a quadratic form $\Gamma(x, \cdot)$ in \mathbb{C}^6 by

$$\Gamma(x, p) = \begin{cases} (Q+1)|p_1|^2 + (Q+1)|p_2|^2 - Q|p_3|^2 - 2Q|p_4|^2 - \\ \quad - 2Q|p_5|^2 - \frac{Q(3-Q)^2}{(1+Q)^2}|p_6|^2, & \text{if } -1 < Q(x) < 0, \\ \frac{3-Q}{3}|p_1|^2 + \frac{3-Q}{3}|p_2|^2 + \frac{Q}{3}|p_1 + p_2|^2 + \frac{4Q}{3}|p_3|^2, & \text{if } 0 \leq Q(x) \leq 3, \\ 2(Q-3)|p_1|^2 + |p_2|^2 + 2(Q-1)|p_3|^2 + 2\frac{Q-3}{Q-1}(Q+1)(Q^2+3)|p_4|^2, & \text{if } Q(x) > 3, \end{cases}$$

for any $p = (p_1, \dots, p_6) \in \mathbb{C}^6$. Clearly $\Gamma(x, \cdot)$ is positive semidefinite for each $x \in \Omega$. We denote by $\Gamma(x, \cdot, \cdot)$ the corresponding sesquilinear form in \mathbb{C}^6 , that is $\Gamma(x, p, q)$ is given by a formula similar to the one above with each $|p_k|^2$ being replaced by $p_k \overline{q_k}$ and with $|p_1 + p_2|^2$ being replaced by $(p_1 + p_2) \overline{(q_1 + q_2)}$.

Next, for any $x \in \Omega$ and $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^2$ we define a vector $p_{x,\xi,\eta} \in \mathbb{R}^6$ by

$$p_{x,\xi,\eta} = \begin{cases} \left(\alpha^{1/2}[\xi_1^2 - \frac{3-Q}{1+Q}\eta_1^2], \gamma^{1/2}[\xi_2^2 - \frac{3-Q}{1+Q}\eta_2^2], \alpha^{1/2}\xi_1^2 - \gamma^{1/2}\xi_2^2, \alpha^{1/2}\xi_1\eta_1 + \gamma^{1/2}\xi_2\eta_2, \right. \\ \quad \left. \alpha^{1/4}\gamma^{1/4}(\xi_1\eta_2 + \xi_2\eta_1), \alpha^{1/2}\eta_1^2 - \gamma^{1/2}\eta_2^2 \right), & \text{if } -1 < Q(x) < 0, \\ \left(\alpha^{1/2}[\xi_1^2 - 3\eta_1^2], \gamma^{1/2}[\xi_2^2 - 3\eta_2^2], \alpha^{1/4}\gamma^{1/4}[\xi_1\xi_2 - 3\eta_1\eta_2], 0, 0, 0 \right), & \text{if } 0 \leq Q(x) \leq 3, \\ \left(\alpha^{1/2}\xi_1\eta_1 - \gamma^{1/2}\xi_2\eta_2, \alpha^{1/2}(\xi_1^2 - Q\eta_1^2) + \gamma^{1/2}(\xi_2^2 - Q\eta_2^2), \right. \\ \quad \left. \alpha^{1/4}\gamma^{1/4}[\xi_1\xi_2 - \frac{Q+3}{Q-1}\eta_1\eta_2], \alpha^{1/4}\gamma^{1/4}\eta_1\eta_2, 0, 0 \right), & \text{if } Q(x) > 3. \end{cases}$$

A crucial property of the form $\Gamma(x, \cdot)$ and the vectors $p_{x,\xi,\eta}$ is that

$$S(x; \xi, \xi, \eta) = \Gamma(x, p_{x,\xi,\eta}, p_{x,\xi,\eta}), \quad (2.11)$$

for all $x \in \Omega$ and $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

We finally define a quadratic form $\Gamma_{\lambda\phi}(\cdot)$ on $H_{w,0}^2(\Omega)$ by

$$\Gamma_{\lambda\phi}(u) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \iint \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} \Gamma(x, p_{x,\xi,\lambda\nabla\phi}, p_{x,\xi',\lambda\nabla\phi}) e^{i(\xi-\xi') \cdot x} \hat{u}(\xi) \overline{\hat{u}(\xi')} d\xi d\xi' dx.$$

We then have

Lemma 2.4. *Assume that the symbol $A(x, \xi)$ lies in \mathcal{G}_w . Then the difference $S_{\lambda\phi}(\cdot) - \Gamma_{\lambda\phi}(\cdot)$ belongs to \mathcal{L} .*

Proof. We consider the difference

$$S(x, \xi, \xi', \eta) - \Gamma(x, p_{x,\xi,\eta}, p_{x,\xi',\eta}),$$

of the two symbols and we group together terms that have the property that if we set $\xi' = \xi$ then they are similar as monomials of the variables ξ and η . Due to (2.11) one can use integration by parts to conclude that the total contribution of each such group belongs to \mathcal{L} . We shall illustrate this for two particular groups, the one consisting of terms which for $\xi = \xi'$ involve the monomial $\xi_1^2 \eta_1^2$ and those

which for $\xi = \xi'$ involve $\xi_1^2 \eta_2^2$. For the sake of brevity we shall consider directly the sum of the terms of both groups.

The terms of these two groups from $S(x, \xi, \xi', \eta)$ add up to

$$-\alpha(x)\eta_1^2(\xi_1^2 + \xi_1'^2 + 4\xi_1\xi_1') - 2\beta(x)\eta_2^2\xi_1\xi_1'.$$

The corresponding terms in $\Gamma(x, p_{x,\xi,\eta}, p_{x,\xi',\eta})$ are

$$\begin{cases} \alpha(x)\eta_1^2[(Q(x) - 3)(\xi_1^2 + \xi_1'^2) - 2Q(x)\xi_1\xi_1'] - 2\beta(x)\eta_2^2\xi_1\xi_1', & \text{if } Q(x) \leq 0, \\ -3\alpha(x)\eta_1^2(\xi_1^2 + \xi_1'^2) - \beta(x)\eta_2^2(\xi_1^2 + \xi_1'^2), & \text{if } 0 \leq Q(x) \leq 3, \\ \alpha(x)\eta_1^2[-Q(x)(\xi_1^2 + \xi_1'^2) + 2(Q(x) - 3)\xi_1\xi_1'] - \beta(x)\eta_2^2(\xi_1^2 + \xi_1'^2), & \text{if } Q(x) \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Hence the difference of these terms in $S(x, \xi, \xi', \eta) - \Gamma(x, p_{x,\xi,\eta}, p_{x,\xi',\eta})$ is

$$\begin{cases} \alpha(x)\eta_1^2[2 - Q(x)](\xi_1 - \xi_1')^2, & \text{if } Q(x) \leq 0, \\ [2\alpha(x)\eta_1^2 + \beta(x)\eta_2^2](\xi_1 - \xi_1')^2, & \text{if } 0 \leq Q(x) \leq 3, \\ [\alpha(x)(Q(x) - 1)\eta_1^2 + \beta(x)\eta_2^2](\xi_1 - \xi_1')^2, & \text{if } Q(x) \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

This can also be written as $[\alpha(x)\eta_1^2 R(x) + \eta_2^2 P(x)](\xi_1 - \xi_1')^2$ where

$$R(x) = \begin{cases} 2 - Q(x), & \text{if } Q(x) \leq 0, \\ 2, & \text{if } 0 \leq Q(x) \leq 3, \\ Q(x) - 1, & \text{if } Q(x) \geq 3, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad P(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \beta(x) \leq 0, \\ \beta(x), & \text{if } \beta(x) \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Inserting this in the triple integral and recalling that $\eta = \lambda \nabla \phi$ we obtain that the contribution of the above terms in the difference $S_{\lambda\phi}(u) - \Gamma_{\lambda\phi}(u)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & (2\pi)^{-2} \iiint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} [\alpha(x)R(x)\phi_{x_1}^2 + P(x)\phi_{x_2}^2](\xi_1 - \xi_1')^2 \lambda^2 e^{i(\xi - \xi') \cdot x} \\ & \quad \hat{u}(\xi) \overline{\hat{u}(\xi')} d\xi d\xi' dx \\ &= \lambda^2 \int_{\Omega} [\alpha(x)R(x)\phi_{x_1}^2 + P(x)\phi_{x_2}^2] (-u_{x_1 x_1} \bar{u} - u \bar{u}_{x_1 x_1} - 2|u_{x_1}|^2) dx \\ &= -\lambda^2 \int_{\Omega} [\alpha(x)R(x)\phi_{x_1}^2 + P(x)\phi_{x_2}^2] (u_{x_1} \bar{u} + u \bar{u}_{x_1})_{x_1} dx \\ &= \lambda^2 \int_{\Omega} [\alpha(x)R(x)\phi_{x_1}^2 + P(x)\phi_{x_2}^2]_{x_1} (u_{x_1} \bar{u} + u \bar{u}_{x_1}) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that the function $\alpha(x)R(x)\phi_{x_1}^2 + P(x)\phi_{x_2}^2$ is locally Lipschitz. To conclude that the last expression belongs in \mathcal{L} we must prove that (2.7) is valid, that is $|[\alpha(x)R(x)\phi_{x_1}^2 + P(x)\phi_{x_2}^2]_{x_1}| \leq cw(x)^{\frac{1}{4}}$. We shall only consider the first of the two terms, the proof being similar for the second. Using the relations $|Q(x)| \leq c$, $|\nabla Q(x)| \leq cw(x)^{-1/4}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |(\alpha(x)R(x)\phi_{x_1}^2)_{x_1}| &\leq |\alpha_{x_1} R| \phi_{x_1}^2 + |\alpha R_{x_1}| \phi_{x_1}^2 + 2|\alpha R \phi_{x_1} \phi_{x_1 x_1}| \\ &\leq cw^{\frac{3}{4}} w^{-\frac{1}{2}} + cw w^{-\frac{1}{4}} w^{-\frac{1}{2}} + cw w^{-\frac{1}{4}} M w^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= c_M w^{\frac{1}{4}}, \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

Lemma 2.5. *Assume that the symbol $A(x, \xi)$ lies in \mathcal{G}_w and let $M > 0$ be given. Then for any $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_{A, M}$ and $\lambda > 0$ we have*

$$\operatorname{Re} Q_{\lambda\phi}(u) \geq -k^* \lambda^4 \|u\|_2^2 + T(u),$$

for some quadratic form $T \in \mathcal{L}$ and all $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$.

Proof. The assumption $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_{A, M}$ implies that $A(x, \nabla\phi(x)) \leq 1$, $x \in \Omega$. Recalling that the difference $Q_{\lambda\phi}(\cdot) - Q_{1, \lambda\phi}(\cdot)$ belongs in \mathcal{L} and using Lemmas 2.3, 2.4 and 2.5 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} Q_{\lambda\phi}(u) &= - \int_{\Omega} k(x) A(x, \lambda \nabla\phi) |u|^2 dx + \Gamma_{\lambda\phi}(u) + T(u) \\ &\geq -k^* \lambda^4 \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx + \Gamma_{\lambda\phi}(u) + T(u), \end{aligned}$$

for some form $T \in \mathcal{L}$ and all $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\lambda\phi}(u) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \iint_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} \Gamma(x, p_{x, \xi, \lambda \nabla\phi}, p_{x, \xi', \lambda \nabla\phi}) e^{i(\xi - \xi') \cdot x} \hat{u}(\xi) \overline{\hat{u}(\xi')} d\xi d\xi' dx \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\Omega} \Gamma\left(x, \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i\xi \cdot x} \hat{u}(\xi) p_{x, \xi, \lambda \nabla\phi} d\xi, \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i\xi' \cdot x} \hat{u}(\xi') p_{x, \xi', \lambda \nabla\phi} d\xi'\right) dx \\ &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

by the positive semi-definiteness of Γ ; the result follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Part (a). We claim that for any ϵ and M positive there exists $c_{\epsilon, M}$ (which may also depend on the operator H) such that

$$\operatorname{Re} Q_{\lambda\phi}(u) \geq -\left\{ (k^* + \epsilon) \lambda^4 + c_{\epsilon, M} (1 + \lambda^3) \right\} \|u\|_2^2. \quad (2.12)$$

for all $\lambda > 0$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_{A, M}$. To prove this we first recall (cf. (2.8)) that any form $T \in \mathcal{L}$ satisfies

$$|T(u)| \leq \epsilon Q(u) + c_{\epsilon, M} (1 + \lambda^3) \|u\|_2^2,$$

for all $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, $\lambda > 0$ and $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. Hence, since $Q(u)$ is real, Lemma 2.5 implies

$$\operatorname{Re} Q_{\lambda\phi}(u) \geq -\left\{ k^* \lambda^4 + c_{\epsilon, M} (1 + \lambda^3) \right\} \|u\|_2^2 - \epsilon Q(u). \quad (2.13)$$

Now, considering the expansion of $Q_{\lambda\phi}$ already discussed and recalling (2.3) we infer that there exists a constant c_M such that for any $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_{A, M}$ and $\lambda > 0$ there holds

$$|Q(u) - Q_{\lambda\phi}(u)| \leq \frac{1}{2} Q(u) + c_M (\lambda + \lambda^4) \|u\|_2^2. \quad (2.14)$$

Furthermore, we note that the dependence on M in this estimate comes from those terms in the expansion of $Q_{\lambda\phi}$ that contain at least one second-order derivative of ϕ . Since the coefficient of λ^4 in the expansion only involves first derivatives of ϕ , (2.14) can be improved to

$$|Q(u) - Q_{\lambda\phi}(u)| \leq \frac{1}{2} Q(u) + \{c_M (\lambda + \lambda^3) + c\lambda^4\} \|u\|_2^2,$$

which in turn implies

$$Q(u) \leq 2\operatorname{Re} Q_{\lambda\phi}(u) + \{c_M (\lambda + \lambda^3) + c\lambda^4\} \|u\|_2^2. \quad (2.15)$$

Let $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ be given. If $\operatorname{Re} Q_{\lambda\phi}(u) \geq 0$ then (2.12) is obviously true. If not we then have from (2.13) and (2.15)

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} Q_{\lambda\phi}(u) &\geq -\left\{k^*\lambda^4 + c_{\epsilon,M}(1 + \lambda^3)\right\}\|u\|_2^2 - 2\epsilon \operatorname{Re} Q_{\lambda\phi}(u) \\ &\quad - \epsilon\{c_M(\lambda + \lambda^3) + c\lambda^4\}\|u\|_2^2 \\ &\geq -\left\{(k^* + c\epsilon)\lambda^4 + c_{\epsilon,M}(1 + \lambda^3) + \epsilon\{c_M(\lambda + \lambda^3) + c\lambda^4\}\right\}\|u\|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

and (2.12) again follows; hence the claim has been proved.

We complete the standard argument; Lemma 2.2 and (2.12) imply

$$|G(x, x', t)| < c_\epsilon t^{-s} \exp\left\{\lambda(\phi(x) - \phi(x')) + (1 + \epsilon)\{(k^* + \epsilon)\lambda^4 + c_{\epsilon,M}(1 + \lambda^3)\}t\right\},$$

for all $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. Optimizing over $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_{A,M}$ yields

$$|G(x, x', t)| < c_\epsilon t^{-s} \exp\left\{-\lambda d_M(x, x') + (1 + \epsilon)\{(k^* + \epsilon)\lambda^4 + c_{\epsilon,M}(1 + \lambda^3)\}t\right\}.$$

Finally choosing $\lambda = [d_M(x, x')/(4k^*t)]^{1/3}$ we have

$$-\lambda d_M(x, x') + k^*\lambda^4 t = -\sigma_* \frac{d_M(x, x')^{4/3}}{t^{1/3}},$$

and (2.4) follows.

Part (b). There exists a symbol $\tilde{A}(x, \xi)$ in \mathcal{G}_w such that

$$\max\{|\alpha(x) - \tilde{\alpha}(x)|, |\beta(x) - \tilde{\beta}(x)|, |\gamma(x) - \tilde{\gamma}(x)|\} \leq 2\theta w(x), \quad x \in \Omega.$$

Given $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_{\tilde{A},M}$ and $\lambda > 0$ it follows from the proof of Part (a) that

$$\operatorname{Re} \tilde{Q}_{\lambda\phi}(u) \geq -\left\{k^*\lambda^4 + c_{\epsilon,M}(1 + \lambda^3)\right\}\|u\|_2^2 - \epsilon \operatorname{Re} Q(u), \quad (2.16)$$

for all $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. Moreover it is easily seen that

$$|Q_{\lambda\phi}(u) - \tilde{Q}_{\lambda\phi}(u)| \leq c\theta\{\operatorname{Re} Q(u) + \lambda^4\|u\|_2^2\}. \quad (2.17)$$

The argument used for (2.15) also applies to H and we thus obtain

$$\operatorname{Re} Q(u) \leq 2\operatorname{Re} Q_{\lambda\phi}(u) + \{c_M(\lambda + \lambda^3) + c\lambda^4\}\|u\|_2^2. \quad (2.18)$$

Combining (2.16), (2.17) and (2.18) we conclude that

$$\operatorname{Re} Q_{\lambda\phi}(u) \geq -\left\{(k^* + c\theta + \epsilon)\lambda^4 + c_{\epsilon,M}(1 + \lambda^3)\right\}\|u\|_2^2, \quad u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega),$$

and the argument is completed as in Part (a); we omit further details. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Agmon, *Lectures on elliptic boundary value problems*, Van Nostrand 1965; revised edition 2010.
- [2] G. Barbatis, *Spectral theory of singular elliptic operators with measurable coefficients*, J. Funct. Analysis **155** (1998), 125-152
- [3] G. Barbatis, *Explicit estimates on the fundamental solution of higher-order parabolic equations with measurable coefficients*, J. Differential Equations **174** (2001), 442-463
- [4] G. Barbatis, *Sharp heat-kernel estimates for higher-order operators with singular coefficients*, Edinburgh Mathematical Society **47** (2004), 53-67
- [5] G. Barbatis, P. Branikas *On the heat kernel of a class of fourth order operators in two dimensions: Sharp Gaussian estimates and short time asymptotics*, J. Differential Equations **265** (2018), 5237-5261

- [6] J. Cao, Y. Liu, D. Yang, C. Zhang, *Gaussian estimates for heat kernels of higher order Schrödinger operators with potentials in generalized Schechter Classes*, J. London Math. Soc. **106** (2022), 2136-2192
- [7] N.S. Claire, *Gaussian upper bounds on heat kernels of uniformly elliptic operators on bounded domains*, J. Operator Theory **68** (2012), 85–100
- [8] E.B. Davies, *Uniformly elliptic operators with measurable coefficients*, J. Funct. Anal. **132** (1995), 141-169
- [9] Q. Deng, Y. Ding, X. Yao, *Gaussian bounds for higher-order elliptic differential operators with Kato type potentials*, J. Funct. Anal. **266** (2014), 5377–5397
- [10] N. Dungey, *Higher order operators and Gaussian bounds on Lie groups of polynomial growth*, J. Operator Theory **46** (2001), 45–61
- [11] J. Dziubański, A. Hejna, *On semigroups generated by sums of even powers of Dunkl operators*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **93** (2021), no. 3, Paper No. 31, 30 pp.
- [12] M.A. Evgrafov, M.M. Postnikov, *Asymptotic behavior of Green's functions for parabolic and elliptic equations with constant coefficients*, Math. USSR Sbornik **11** (1970), 1–24
- [13] S. Huang, M. Wang, Q. Zheng, Z. Duan, *L^p estimates for fractional Schrödinger operators with Kato class potentials*, J. Differential Equations **265** (2018), 4181–4212
- [14] C. Quesada, A. Rodríguez-Bernal, *Smoothing and perturbation for some fourth order linear parabolic equations in R^N* , J. Math. Anal. Appl. **412** (2014), 1105–1134
- [15] E. Randles, L. Saloff-Coste, *Davies' method for heat-kernel estimates: an extension to the semi-elliptic setting*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **373** (2020), 2525–2565
- [16] E. Randles, L. Saloff-Coste, *On-diagonal asymptotics for heat kernels of a class of inhomogeneous partial differential operators*, preprint 2022
- [17] C. Zeng, *Time analyticity of the biharmonic heat equation, the heat equation with potentials and some nonlinear heat equations*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. **21** (2022), 749–783

GERASSIMOS BARBATIS

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL AND KAPODISTRIAN UNIVERSITY OF ATHENS,
PANEPISTIMIOUPOLIS, 15784 ATHENS, GREECE

Email address: gbarbatis@math.uoa.gr

PANAGIOTIS BRANIKAS

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL AND KAPODISTRIAN UNIVERSITY OF ATHENS,
PANEPISTIMIOUPOLIS, 15784 ATHENS, GREECE

Email address: pbranikas@math.uoa.gr