

GLOBAL REGULARITY FOR THE 3D COMPRESSIBLE MAGNETOHYDRODYNAMICS WITH GENERAL PRESSURE

ANTHONY SUEN

ABSTRACT. We address the compressible magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) equations in \mathbb{R}^3 and establish a blow-up criterion for the local strong solutions in terms of the density only. Namely, if the density is away from vacuum ($\rho = 0$) and the concentration of mass ($\rho = \infty$), then a local strong solution can be continued globally in time. The results generalise and strengthen the previous ones in the sense that there is no magnetic field present in the criterion and the assumption on the pressure is significantly relaxed. The proof is based on some new a priori estimates for three-dimensional compressible MHD equations.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we are concerned with the compressible magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) in three space dimensions. The fluid motion is described in the following system of partial differential equations (see Cabannes [Cab70] for a more comprehensive discussion on the system):

$$(1.1) \quad \rho_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0,$$

$$(1.2) \quad (\rho u^j)_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho u^j u) + P(\rho)_{x_j} + \left(\frac{1}{2}|B|^2\right)_{x_j} - \operatorname{div}(B^j B) = \mu \Delta u^j + \lambda \operatorname{div} u_{x_j},$$

$$(1.3) \quad B_t^j + \operatorname{div}(B^j u - u^j B) = \nu \Delta B^j,$$

$$(1.4) \quad \operatorname{div} B = 0.$$

Here ρ , $u = (u^1, u^2, u^3)$ and $B = (B^1, B^2, B^3)$ are functions of $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $t \geq 0$ representing density, velocity and magnetic field; $P = P(\rho)$ is the pressure; μ , λ , ν are viscous constants. The system (1.1)-(1.4) is solved subjected to some given initial data:

$$(1.5) \quad (\rho, u, B)(x, 0) = (\rho_0, u_0, B_0)(x).$$

The well-posedness of the MHD system (1.1)-(1.4) have been studied by many mathematicians in decades (see for example [CT10, HW10, HW08, Kaw84, LSW11, LSX16, LY11, Sar09, SH12, Sue20b] and the references therein), and we now give a brief review on the related results. When the initial data is taken to be close to a constant state in H^3 , Kawashima [Kaw84] proved the existence of global-in-time H^3 solutions to the MHD system. Later, Hoff and Suen [SH12] generalised Kawashima's results to obtain global smooth solutions when the initial data is taken to be H^3 but only close to a constant state in L^2 . The existence of global weak solutions with large initial data was proved by Hu and Wang [HW10, HW08] and Sart [Sar09], which are extensions of Lions-type weak solutions [Lio98] for

Date: December 8, 2020.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35Q35, 35Q80.

Key words and phrases. Regularity, blow-up criteria, compressible magnetohydrodynamics.

the Navier-Stokes system. With initial L^2 data close to a constant state, Hoff and Suen [SH12, Sue12, Sue20b] generalised Hoff-type intermediate weak solutions [Hof95, Hof05, Hof06, LS16, Sue13b, Sue14, CS16, Sue20a] to obtain global solutions to the MHD system.

On the other hand, the global existence of smooth solution to (1.1)-(1.4) with arbitrary smooth data is still unknown, hence it is reasonable to consider the possibilities of blowing up of smooth solution. For the corresponding Navier-Stokes system, Xin [Xin98] proved that smooth solution will blow up in finite time in the whole space when the initial density has compact support, while Rozanova [Roz08] showed similar results for rapidly decreasing initial density. Fan-Jiang-Ou [FJO10], Sun-Wang-Zhang [SWZ11] and Suen [Sue20c] established some blow-up criteria for the classical solutions to 3D compressible flows, which were further extended by Lu-Du-Yao [LDY12] for MHD system. For the isothermal case when $P(\rho) = K\rho$ for some $K > 0$, it was proved in [Sue13a, Sue15] that without vacuum in the initial density, when the density and magnetic field are essential bounded, the smooth solutions to (1.1)-(1.4) can be extended globally in time.

The main goal of the present paper is to generalise and strengthen the corresponding results of [Sue13a, Sue15]. The main novelties of this current work can be summarised as follows:

- We introduce some new type of estimates on functionals which are used for decoupling the velocity and magnetic field;
- We obtain a blow-up criterion for (1.1)-(1.4) for general pressure term $P(\rho)$ which is *not* restricted to the isothermal case;
- We assure that the blow-up criterion depends *only* on density, which is an improvement for the results obtained from [Sue13a, Sue15] in which the magnetic field was present in the criterion;
- We do not impose any extra compatibility condition on the initial data, which is required in the work [SWZ11, HLX11, Sue20c].

We give a brief description on the analysis applied in this work. To extract the “hidden regularity” from the velocity u and magnetic field B , we introduce an important canonical variable associated with the system (1.1)-(1.4), which is known as the *effective viscous flux*. To see how it works, by the Helmholtz decomposition of the mechanical forces, we can rewrite the momentum equation (1.2) as follows (summation over k is understood):

$$(1.6) \quad \rho \dot{u}^j + \left(\frac{1}{2}|B|^2\right)_{x_j} - \operatorname{div}(B^j B) = F_{x_j} + \mu \omega_{x_k}^{j,k},$$

where $\dot{u}^j = u_t^j + u \cdot \nabla u^j$ is the material derivative on u^j and the effective viscous flux F is defined by

$$(1.7) \quad F = (\mu + \lambda)\operatorname{div}(u) - P(\rho) + P(\bar{\rho}).$$

Differentiating (1.6), we obtain the following Poisson equation

$$(1.8) \quad \Delta F = \operatorname{div}(g),$$

where $g^j = \rho \dot{u}^j + \left(\frac{1}{2}|B|^2\right)_{x_j} - \operatorname{div}(B^j B)$. The Poisson equation (1.8) can be viewed as the analog for compressible MHD of the well-known elliptic equation for pressure in incompressible flow. By exploring the Rankine-Hugoniot condition (see [SH12]), one can deduce that the effective viscous flux F is relatively more regular than $\operatorname{div}(u)$ or $P(\rho)$, and it turns out to be crucial for the overall analysis in the following ways:

- (i) The equation (1.6) allows us to decompose the acceleration density $\rho \dot{u}$ as the sum of the gradient of the scalar F and the divergence-free vector field $\omega_{x_k}^{j,k}$ (we ignore those lower-order terms involving B). The skew-symmetry of ω insures that these two vector fields are orthogonal in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, so that L^2 -bounds for the terms on the left side of (1.6) immediately give L^2 bounds for the gradients of both F and ω . These in turn will be used for controlling ∇u in L^4 when $u(\cdot, t) \notin H^2$, which are crucial for estimating different functionals in u and B ; see section 3 and the proof of Theorem 3.2.
- (ii) One of the key step in obtaining higher order estimates on the solutions is to bound the time integral of $\|\nabla u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty}$. The key observation is to decompose u as $u = u_F + u_P$, where u_F, u_P satisfy

$$\begin{cases} (\mu + \lambda)\Delta u_F^j = F_{x_j} + (\mu + \lambda)\omega_{x_k}^{j,k} \\ (\mu + \lambda)\Delta u_P^j = (P - P(\tilde{\rho}))_{x_j}. \end{cases}$$

Using the *a priori* bounds on the effective viscous flux F , the time integral of $\|\nabla u_F(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty}$ can be estimated in terms of F , which can be further estimated in terms of some *a priori* bounds on \dot{u} and B . On the other hand, to control $\int_0^t \|\nabla u_P(\cdot, s)\|_{L^\infty} ds$, by applying the analysis on Newtonian potentials given in [BC94], one can show that if Γ is the fundamental solution for the Laplace operator on \mathbb{R}^3 , then $u_P^j(\cdot, t) = (\mu + \lambda)^{-1}\Gamma_{x_j} * (P(\rho(\cdot, t)) - \tilde{P})$ is *log-Lipschitz* provided that $P(\rho(\cdot, t)) \in L^\infty$ holds. This is sufficient to guarantee that the integral curve $x(\cdot, t)$ of $u = u_F + u_P$ is Hölder continuous under the assumption that u_F has enough regularity as claimed. If we assume that the initial density is Hölder continuous, then using the mass equation (1.1), it implies that the density is also Hölder continuous for positive time. Hence with such improved regularity on the density, it allows us to obtain the desired bound on u_P ; see section 4 and the proof of Theorem 4.1. Such method was also exploited in [Sue20b] for proving global-in-time existence and uniqueness of weak solutions to (1.1)-(1.4).

We now give a precise formulation of our results. First concerning the assumptions on the parameters, we have:

$$(1.9) \quad P(\cdot) \text{ is a } C^2\text{-function in } \rho \text{ with } P'(\rho) > 0 \text{ for all } \rho > 0;$$

$$(1.10) \quad \mu, \lambda, \nu > 0 \text{ with } \mu > 4\lambda.$$

Given $\tilde{\rho} > 0$, for the initial data, we assume that

$$(1.11) \quad \rho_0 - \tilde{\rho}, u_0, B_0 \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^3) \text{ with } \inf(\rho_0) > 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{div}(B_0) = 0.$$

The following is the main result of this paper:

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that the system parameters satisfy (1.9)-(1.10). Given $\tilde{\rho} > 0$, suppose $(\rho_0 - \tilde{\rho}, u_0, B_0)$ satisfies (1.11). Assume that $(\rho - \tilde{\rho}, u, B)$ is the smooth solution local-in-time solution to (1.1)-(1.4) as defined on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T]$, and let $T^* \geq T$ be the maximal existence time of the solution. If $T^* < \infty$, then we have*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T^*} (\|\rho\|_{L^\infty((0,t) \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\rho^{-1}\|_{L^\infty((0,t) \times \mathbb{R}^3)}) = +\infty.$$

The rest of the paper is organised as follows. In section 2, we recall some known facts and inequalities which will be useful for later analysis. In section 3, we begin the proof of Theorem 1.1 with a number of *a priori* bounds for smooth solutions, which are summarised in Theorem 3.2. Finally in section 4, we complete the proof

of Theorem 1.1 via a contradiction argument by deriving higher order H^3 -bounds for smooth solutions.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall some known facts and useful inequalities for our analysis. We first state a local existence theorem for (1.1)-(1.4) proved by Kawashima [Kaw84, pg. 34–35 and pg. 52–53]:

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that the system parameters satisfy (1.9)-(1.10). Then given $\tilde{\rho} > 0$ and $\tilde{C} > 0$, there is a positive time T depending on $\tilde{\rho}$, \tilde{C} and the parameters $\varepsilon, \lambda, \nu, P$ such that if the initial data (ρ_0, u_0, B_0) is given satisfying (1.11) and*

$$C_0 < \tilde{C},$$

then there is a solution $(\rho - \tilde{\rho}, u, B)$ to (1.1)-(1.4) defined on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T]$ satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad \rho - \tilde{\rho} \in C([0, T]; H^3(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap C^1([0, T]; H^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad u, B \in C([0, T]; H^3(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap C^1([0, T]; H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2([0, T]; H^4(\mathbb{R}^3)).$$

We make use of the following standard facts (see Ziemer [Zie89, Theorem 2.1.4, Remark 2.4.3, and Theorem 2.4.4] for example). First, given $r \in [2, 6]$ there is a constant $C(r)$ such that for $w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$,

$$(2.3) \quad \|w\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C(r) \left(\|w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{(6-r)/2r} \|\nabla w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{(3r-6)/2r} \right).$$

For any $r \in (1, \infty)$ there is a constant $C(r)$ such that for $w \in W^{1,r}(\mathbb{R}^3)$,

$$(2.4) \quad \|w\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C(r) \|w\|_{W^{1,r}(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

3. A PRIORI ESTIMATES

In this section we derive *a priori* estimates for the local solution $(\rho - \tilde{\rho}, u, B)$ on $[0, t]$ with $t \in [0, T^*)$ as described by Theorem 1.1. Here T^* is the maximal time of existence which is defined in the following sense:

Definition 3.1. We call $T^* \in (0, \infty)$ to be the maximal time of existence of a smooth solution $(\rho - \tilde{\rho}, u, B)$ to (1.1)-(1.4) if for any $t \in [0, T^*)$, $(\rho - \tilde{\rho}, u, B)$ solves (1.1)-(1.4) in $[0, t] \times \mathbb{R}^3$ and satisfies (2.1)-(2.2); moreover, the conditions (2.1)-(2.2) fail to hold when $t = T^*$.

We will prove Theorem 1.1 using a contradiction argument. Therefore, for the sake of contradiction, we assume that

$$(3.1) \quad \|\rho\|_{L^\infty((0, T^*) \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\rho^{-1}\|_{L^\infty((0, T^*) \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq M_0.$$

To facilitate our exposition, we first define some auxiliary functionals for $t \in [0, T^*)$:

$$\Phi_1(t) = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla B|^2) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\dot{u}|^2 + |B_t|^2),$$

$$\Phi_2(t) = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\dot{u}|^2 + |B_t|^2) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla \dot{u}|^2 + |\nabla B_t|^2),$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_3(t) &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u|^4 + |\nabla B|^4), & \Phi_4(t) &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u|^3 + |\nabla B|^3). \\ \Phi_5(t) &= \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla B|^2 |B|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 |B|^2 + |\nabla B|^2 |u|^2).\end{aligned}$$

The following is the main theorem of this section:

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that the hypotheses and notations in Theorem 1.1 are in force. Given $M_0 > 0$ and $\tilde{\rho} > 0$, assume further that $(\rho - \tilde{\rho}, u, B)$ satisfies (3.1). Then for each $t \in [0, T^*)$, there exists a positive constant C which depends on M_0 , t , $\tilde{\rho}$ and the system parameters P , μ , λ , ν such that*

$$(3.2) \quad \Phi_1(t) + \Phi_2(t) \leq C.$$

We prove Theorem 3.2 in a sequence of lemmas. Throughout this section, for $t \in [0, T^*)$, C always denotes a generic constant which depends only on μ , λ , a , ν , $\tilde{\rho}$, M_0 , t and the initial data. For simplicity, we drop the symbols dx , ds or $dxds$ from the integrals.

We first give the following estimates on the effective viscous flux F which is defined in (1.7).

Lemma 3.3. *Assume that ρ satisfies (3.1). For each $p > 1$, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $t > 0$, we have*

$$(3.3) \quad \|F(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \leq \left[\|\nabla u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} + \|(\rho - \tilde{\rho})(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \right],$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad \|\nabla F(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \leq \left[\|\dot{u}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} + \|B \nabla B(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \right].$$

Proof. The assertion (3.3) follows immediately from the definition of F , and the proof of (3.4) relies on the Poisson equation (1.8) and the Marcinkiewicz multiplier theorem (refer to Stein [Ste70], pg. 96). \square

Using the estimates (3.3)-(3.4) on F , we have the following estimates on ∇u and $\nabla \omega$:

Lemma 3.4. *Assume that ρ satisfies (3.1). For each $p > 1$, there is a constant $C > 0$ depends on p such that for all $t > 0$, we have*

$$(3.5) \quad \|\nabla u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \leq C \left[\|F(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} + \|\omega(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} + \|(P - \tilde{P})(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \right],$$

$$(3.6) \quad \|\nabla \omega(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \leq C \left[\|\dot{u}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} + \|B \nabla B(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p} \right].$$

Proof. By the definition (1.7) of F ,

$$(\mu + \lambda) \Delta u^j = F_{x_j} + (\mu + \lambda) \omega_{x_k}^{j,k} + (P - \tilde{P})_{x_j}.$$

Hence by differentiating and taking the Fourier transform on the above equation, we can apply Marcinkiewicz multiplier theorem and (3.5) follows.

For the case of $\nabla \omega$, by direct computation, we have

$$\mu \Delta \omega = (\rho \dot{u}^j)_{x_k} - (\rho \dot{u}^k)_{x_j} - (\nabla B^j \cdot B)_{x_k} + (\nabla B^k \cdot B)_{x_j},$$

and using the same argument as for ∇u , (3.6) follows immediately. \square

We are now ready for giving the estimates for proving Theorem 3.2. We begin with the following L^2 estimates on (ρ, u, B) for all $t \in [0, T^*)$:

Lemma 3.5. *Assume that ρ satisfies (3.1). For $t \in [0, T^*)$, we have*

$$(3.7) \quad \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\rho - \tilde{\rho}|^2 + \rho|u|^2 + |B|^2) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla B|^2) \leq C.$$

Proof. The bound (3.7) follows from the standard energy balance equation and we refer to [Hof95] or [Sue20a] for related discussions. \square

Next we derive the following L^6 bounds for u and B . Such bounds are crucial for obtaining higher order estimates on u and B .

Lemma 3.6. *Assume that the hypotheses and notations of Theorem 3.2 are in force. Then for any $0 \leq t < T^*$,*

$$(3.8) \quad \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|u|^6 + |B|^6) \leq C.$$

Proof. We follow the computations given in [SH12, Sue20b] and obtain, for $t \in [0, T^*)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|u|^6 + |B|^6) \Big|_{s=0}^t + 6 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mu|u|^2|\nabla u|^2 + \nu|B|^2|\nabla B|^2) \\ & \quad + (-24\lambda + 6\mu) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^2|\nabla(|u|^2)|^2 + 6\nu \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |B|^2|\nabla|B|^2|^2 \\ & \leq C \left[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\rho - \tilde{\rho}| |\operatorname{div}(|u|^4 u)| + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^4 |u| |\operatorname{div}(BB^T)| \right] \\ & \quad + C \left[\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^4 |u| |\nabla(\frac{1}{2}|B|^2)| + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |B|^4 |B \cdot \operatorname{div}(Bu^T - uB^T)| \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption (1.10), the term involving $(-24\lambda + 6\mu)$ is positive. The rest of the analysis follows by a Grönwall-type argument and we omit the details here. \square

With the help of (3.7) and (3.8), we can start estimating the functionals Φ_1 and Φ_2 appeared in (3.2). We first consider Φ_1 and Φ_4 :

Lemma 3.7. *Assume that the hypotheses and notations of Theorem 3.2 are in force. Then for any $0 \leq t < T^*$,*

$$(3.9) \quad \Phi_1(t) + \Phi_4(t) \leq C.$$

Proof. We multiply (1.2) by \dot{u}^j , sum over j and integrate to get

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho |\dot{u}|^2 \\ & \leq C + \left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [\dot{u} \cdot \nabla(\frac{1}{2}|B|^2) - \dot{u} \cdot \operatorname{div}(BB^T)] \right| + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^3. \end{aligned}$$

Next we multiply (1.3) by B_t and integrate,

$$(3.11) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla B|^2 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |B_t|^2 \leq C + \left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} B_t \cdot \operatorname{div}(uB^T - u^T B) \right|.$$

Adding (3.10) and (3.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla B|^2) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\rho |\dot{u}|^2 + |B_t|^2) \\
(3.12) \quad & \leq C + C\Phi_4 + C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla B|^2 |B|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 |B|^2 + |\nabla B|^2 |u|^2).
\end{aligned}$$

To bound the last inequality on the right side of (3.12), we bound the term $\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla B|^2 |B|^2 d$ as an example. Using (2.3) and the bound (3.8), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla B|^2 |B|^2 & \leq \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla B|^3 \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |B|^6 \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \\
& \leq C \left(\int_0^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Delta B|^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla B|^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \\
& \leq C \left(\int_0^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|B_t|^2 + |\nabla B|^2 |u|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 |B|^2) \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla B|^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \\
& \leq C \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla B|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |B_t|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla B|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \\
& \quad + C \left(\int_0^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla B|^3 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^6 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla B|^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \\
& \quad + C \left(\int_0^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^3 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |B|^6 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla B|^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \\
& \leq C\Phi_1^{\frac{5}{6}} + C\Phi_1^{\frac{1}{6}}\Phi_4^{\frac{1}{3}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The estimates on $\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 |B|^2$ and $\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla B|^2 |u|^2$ are just similar, and we deduce that

$$(3.13) \quad \Phi_1 \leq C\Phi_1^{\frac{5}{6}} + C\Phi_1^{\frac{1}{6}}\Phi_4^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

It remains to estimate the functional Φ_4 . Using (2.3), we can estimate the integral of $|\nabla B|^3$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla B|^3 & \leq C \int_0^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Delta B|^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla B|^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \\
& \leq C \int_0^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|B_t|^2 + |\nabla B|^2 |u|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 |B|^2) \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla B|^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \\
& \leq C \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla B|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |B_t|^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla B|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
& \quad + C \int_0^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla B|^3 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^6 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla B|^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \\
& \quad + C \int_0^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^3 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |B|^6 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla B|^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \\
& \leq C\Phi_1^{\frac{5}{4}} + C\Phi_1^{\frac{1}{4}}\Phi_4^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using (2.3), (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7), the integral of $|\nabla u|^3$ can be estimated as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^3 \\
& \leq C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|F|^3 + |\omega|^3 + |P - \tilde{P}|^3) \\
& \leq C \int_0^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |F|^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla F|^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} + C \int_0^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\omega|^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \omega|^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} + C \\
& \leq C \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\dot{u}|^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} + C \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\dot{u}|^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \\
& \quad + C \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla B|^3 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad + C \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla B|^3 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C \\
& \leq C \Phi_1^{\frac{5}{4}} + C \Phi_1^{\frac{3}{4}} + C \Phi_1^{\frac{1}{4}} \Phi_4^{\frac{1}{2}} + C \Phi_4^{\frac{1}{2}} + C.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain the following bound on Φ_4 in terms of Φ_1 :

$$(3.14) \quad \Phi_4 \leq C \Phi_1^{\frac{5}{4}} + C \Phi_1^{\frac{3}{4}} + C \Phi_1^{\frac{1}{4}} + C$$

Combining (3.13) with (3.14), the result (3.9) follows. \square

Next, we derive the following estimates for Φ_2 in terms of Φ_3 and Φ_5 :

Lemma 3.8. *Assume that the hypotheses and notations of Theorem 3.2 are in force. Then for any $0 \leq t < T^*$,*

$$(3.15) \quad \Phi_2(t) + \Phi_3(t) + \Phi_5(t) \leq C.$$

Proof. Taking the convective derivative in the momentum equation (1.2), multiplying it by \dot{u}^j , summing over j and integrating, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\dot{u}|^2 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \dot{u}|^2 \\
(3.16) \quad & \leq C + C \Phi_3 + C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |B|^2 (|B_t|^2 + |u|^2 |\nabla B|^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Next we differentiate the magnetic field equation (1.3) with respect to t , multiply by B_t and integrate,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |B_t|^2 \Big|_0^t + \nu \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla B_t|^2 \\
& = - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} B_t \cdot [\operatorname{div}(B u^T - u B^T)]_t.
\end{aligned}$$

Adding the above to (3.16) and absorbing terms,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.17) \quad \Phi_2 & \leq C \left[\Phi_3 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |B|^2 |u|^2 (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla B|^2) + 1 \right] \\
& \quad + C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|B|^2 |B_t|^2 + |B|^2 |\dot{u}|^2 + |B_t|^2 |u|^2).
\end{aligned}$$

We first consider the last integral on the right side of (3.17). To bound the term $\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |B|^2 |B_t|^2$, using the bound (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |B|^2 |B_t|^2 \\ & \leq \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |B|^6 \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |B_t|^3 \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \\ & \leq C \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |B_t|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |B_t|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla B_t|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C \Phi_1^{\frac{1}{6}} \Phi_2^{\frac{5}{6}} \leq C \Phi_2^{\frac{5}{6}}, \end{aligned}$$

and the terms $\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^2 |B_t|^2$ and $\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |B|^2 |u_t|^2$ can be treated in a similar way. To bound the third integral on the right side of (3.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |B|^2 |u|^2 (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla B|^2) \\ & \leq \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u|^4 + |\nabla B|^4) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|B|^8 + |u|^8) \\ & \leq \Phi_3 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|B|^8 + |u|^8). \end{aligned}$$

Using the bounds (2.3) and (2.4), the term $\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^8$ can be estimated as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^8 \\ & \leq \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^4 \right) \left(\int_0^t \|u\|_{L^\infty}^4 \right) \\ & \leq C \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^6 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|u|^4 + |\nabla u|^4) \right) \\ & \leq C \left[\int_0^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} + \Phi_3 \right] \\ & \leq C (\Phi_3 + 1). \end{aligned}$$

The term $\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |B|^8$ can be estimated in a similar way to get

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |B|^2 |u|^2 (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla B|^2) \leq C (\Phi_3 + 1),$$

and we obtain from (3.17) that

$$(3.18) \quad \Phi_2 \leq C (\Phi_3 + 1) + C \Phi_2^{\frac{5}{6}}.$$

It remains to estimate the functionals Φ_3 and Φ_5 . Using (3.5) and (3.7), we have

$$(3.19) \quad \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^4 \leq C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|F|^4 + |\omega|^4) + C.$$

Using (3.9), the integral on $|F|^4$ can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |F|^4 &\leq C \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |F|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla F|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla F|^2 \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\dot{u}|^2 + |\nabla B|^2 |B|^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\dot{u}|^2 + |\nabla B|^2 |B|^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C(\Phi_2 + \Phi_5)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and the estimates on ω is just similar. For $\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla B|^4$, we estimate it as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla B|^4 &\leq C \int_0^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla B|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Delta B|^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\leq C \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Delta B|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla B|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Delta B|^2 \right) \\ &\leq C(\Phi_2 + \Phi_5)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$(3.20) \quad \Phi_3 \leq C(\Phi_2 + \Phi_5)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C.$$

To bound Φ_5 , for $r \in (3, 6)$, we apply (2.4) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 |B|^2 \\ &\leq C \|B(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |B|^r + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla B|^r \right)^{\frac{2}{r}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

The term $\|B\|_{L^r}$ can be bounded by the $L^2 - L^6$ interpolation on B . Hence using (2.3) and the bound (3.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 |B|^2 &\leq C + C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla B|^2 \right)^{\frac{6-r}{2r}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Delta B|^2 \right)^{\frac{3r-6}{2r}} \\ &\leq C + C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla B|^2 \right)^{1-s'} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Delta B|^2 \right)^{s'} \\ &\leq C + C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |B_t|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla B|^2 |u|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 |B|^2) \right)^{s'} \\ &\leq C + C(\Phi_2 + \Phi_5)^{s'}, \end{aligned}$$

where $s' := \frac{3r-6}{2r}$ and $s' \in (0, 1)$. By similar method, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla B|^2 |B|^2 &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla B|^2 \right) \|B(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \\ &\leq C + C(\Phi_2 + \Phi_5)^{s'}. \end{aligned}$$

For the term $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla B|^2 |u|^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla B|^2 |u|^2 &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla B|^2 \right) \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla B|^2 \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^r + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^r \right)^{\frac{2}{r}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the case for B , the term $\|u\|_{L^r}$ can be bounded by the $L^2 - L^6$ interpolation on u . For the term $\|\nabla u\|_{L^r}$, we can apply (3.5) with the bounds (3.3)-(3.4) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^r \right)^{\frac{2}{r}} &\leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|F|^r + |\omega|^r + |\rho - \tilde{\rho}|^r) \right)^{\frac{2}{r}} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |F|^2 \right)^{1-s'} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla F|^2 \right)^{s'} \\ &\quad + C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\omega|^2 \right)^{1-s'} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \omega|^2 \right)^{s'} + C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\rho - \tilde{\rho}|^r \right)^{\frac{2}{r}} \\ &\leq C + C \left(\Phi_2 + \Phi_5 \right)^{s'}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain the following bound on Φ_5 :

$$(3.21) \quad \Phi_5 \leq C + C \left(\Phi_2 + \Phi_5 \right)^{s'}.$$

We combine (3.18), (3.20) and (3.21) to conclude that

$$\Phi_2 \leq C,$$

which can be further applied on (3.20) and (3.21) to give

$$\Phi_3 + \Phi_5 \leq C.$$

Hence the result (3.15) follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Using the results obtained from Lemma 3.7 and Lemma 3.8, the bound (3.2) follows immediately from the estimates (3.9) and (3.15). \square

4. HIGHER ORDER ESTIMATES AND PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section we continue to obtain higher order estimates on the smooth local solution $(\rho - \tilde{\rho}, u, B)$ as described in section 3. Together with Theorem 3.2, we show that, under the assumption (3.1), the smooth local solution to (1.1)-(1.4) can be extended beyond the maximal time of existence T^* as defined in the previous section, thereby contradicting the maximality of T^* . The following is the main theorem of this section:

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that the hypotheses and notations in Theorem 3.2 are in force. Given $M_0 > 0$ and $\tilde{\rho} > 0$, assume further that $(\rho - \tilde{\rho}, u, B)$ satisfies (3.1). If $C > 0$ is the constant as obtained in Theorem 3.2, then for each $t \in [0, T^*)$, there exists a positive number M which depends on $C, M_0, t, \tilde{\rho}$ and the system parameters P, μ, λ, ν such that*

$$(4.1) \quad \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|(\rho - \tilde{\rho}, u, B)\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \int_0^t \|(u, B)(\cdot, s)\|_{H^4(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \leq M.$$

We give the proof of Theorem 4.1 in a sequence of steps. Most of the details are reminiscent of [SH12] and [Sue20a], hence we omit some of those which are identical to arguments given in [SH12] or [Sue20a]. Throughout this section, M denotes a generic constant which depends on $M_0, t, \tilde{\rho}, P, \mu, \lambda, \nu$, and it may be changed from line to line.

We first begin with the following estimates on the time integral of the velocity gradient ∇u :

Step 1: *The velocity gradient satisfies the following bound*

$$(4.2) \quad \int_0^t \|\nabla u(\cdot, s)\|_{L^\infty} \leq M.$$

Proof of Step 1. The proof is similar to the one given in [Sue20a], and we only sketch here. The key is to decompose u as $u = u_F + u_P$, where u_F, u_P satisfy

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{cases} (\mu + \lambda)\Delta(u_F)^j = F_{x_j} + (\mu + \lambda)(\omega)_{x_k}^{j,k} \\ (\mu + \lambda)\Delta(u_P)^j = (P - P(\tilde{\rho}))_{x_j}. \end{cases}$$

In view of the decomposition (4.3), it suffices to bound the time integral of $\|\nabla u_F\|_{L^\infty}$ and $\|\nabla u_P\|_{L^\infty}$. Using (2.4), for $r > 3$, we have

$$\int_0^t \|\nabla u_F(\cdot, s)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(r) \int_0^t [\|\nabla u_F(\cdot, s)\|_{L^r} + \|D_x^2 u_F(\cdot, s)\|_{L^r}].$$

and with the help of the bound (3.2), the right side of the above can be bounded by M . On the other hand, to bound the time integral of ∇u_P , by the pointwise bound (3.1) on ρ , one can show that $u_P(\cdot, t)$ is, in fact, *log-Lipschitz* with bounded log-Lipschitz seminorm. This is crucial for proving that, the integral curve $x(y, t)$ as defined by

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = u(x(t), t) \\ x(0) = y, \end{cases}$$

is Hölder-continuous in y . Upon integrating the mass equation along integral curves $x(t, y)$ and $x(t, z)$, subtracting and recalling the definition (1.7) of F , we obtain that

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\log \rho(x(t, y), t) - \log \rho(x(t, z), t)| \\ & \leq |\log \rho_0(y) - \log \rho_0(z)| + \int_0^t |P(\rho_0(x(s, y), s)) - P(\rho_0(x(s, z), s))| \\ & \quad + \int_0^t |F(x(s, y), s) - F(x(s, z), s)|. \end{aligned}$$

Since P is increasing, the second term of the above is dissipative and can be dropped out. Moreover, with the help of the estimate (3.4) on F and the Hölder-continuity of $x(y, t)$, the third term can be bounded by M . Hence we can conclude from (4.4) that $\rho(\cdot, t)$ is $C^{\beta(t)}$ for some $\beta(t) > 0$ with bounded modulus. Finally, with the improved regularity on $\rho(\cdot, t)$, we can now make use of (4.3)₂ again and apply properties of Newtonian potentials to conclude that the $C^{1+\beta(t)}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ norm of u_P remains finite in finite time, thereby giving the required bound on $\int_0^t \|\nabla u_P\|_{L^\infty}$. \square

Step 2: *We further obtain*

$$(4.5) \quad \|D_x^2 u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \leq M [\|\rho \dot{u}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla B \cdot B(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla P(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}],$$

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \|D_x^3 u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} &\leq M [\|\nabla \rho \cdot \dot{u}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} + \|\rho \nabla \dot{u}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} + \|B \cdot D_x^2 B(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}] \\ &+ M [\|\nabla B\|^2(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} + \|D_x^2 P(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Step 2. These follow immediately from the momentum equation (1.2) and the ellipticity of the Lamé operator $\varepsilon \Delta + (\varepsilon + \lambda) \nabla \operatorname{div}$; see [SWZ11] for related discussion. \square

Step 3: *The following H^2 bound for density holds*

$$(4.7) \quad \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|(\rho - \bar{\rho})(\cdot, s)\|_{H^2} \leq M.$$

Proof of Step 3. We take the spatial gradient of the mass equation (1.1), multiply by $\nabla \rho$ and integrate by parts to obtain

$$(4.8) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \rho|^2 \leq M \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \rho|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |D_x^2 u|^2 \right]$$

Thanks to (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |D_x^2 u|^2 &\leq \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\dot{u}|^2 + |\nabla B \cdot B|^2 + |\nabla \rho|^2) \\ &\leq M + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \rho|^2, \end{aligned}$$

hence by applying the above to (4.8) and using the bound (4.2) on the time integral of $\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}$, we conclude that

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\nabla \rho(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2} \leq M.$$

By repeating the argument, one can prove that $\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|D_x^2 \rho(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2} \leq M$ and (4.7) follows. \square

Step 4: *The velocity and magnetic field satisfy*

$$(4.9) \quad \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} (\|u(\cdot, s)\|_{H^3} + \|B(\cdot, s)\|_{H^3}) \leq M.$$

Proof of Step 4. Define the forward difference of quotient D_t^h by

$$D_t^h(f)(t) = (f(t+h) - f(t))h^{-1}$$

and let $E^j = D_t^h(u^j) + u \cdot \nabla u^j$. By applying E^j on the momentum equation (1.2) and differentiating, it gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho |E_{x_j}|^2 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla E_{x_j}|^2 + |D_t^h(\operatorname{div}(u_{x_j}) + u \cdot \nabla(\operatorname{div}(u_{x_j})))|^2) \\ \leq M + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla E|^2 + \mathcal{O}(h), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{O}(h) \rightarrow 0$ as $h \rightarrow 0$. Therefore by choosing $h \rightarrow 0$, we conclude

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\nabla \dot{u}(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2} + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |D_x^2 \dot{u}|^2 \leq M,$$

and the bound for ∇B_t can be derived in a similar way. \square

Step 5: *Finally we have the following bound*

$$(4.10) \quad \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|D_x^4 u|^2 + |D_x^4 B|^2) \leq M \left[1 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |D_x^3 \rho|^2 \right],$$

$$(4.11) \quad \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} (\|D_x^3 \rho(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2} + \|D_x^3 B(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |D_x^4 u|^2 \leq M.$$

Proof of Step 5. To prove (4.10), we differentiate (1.2) and (1.3) twice with respect to space, express the fourth derivatives of u and B in the terms second derivatives of \dot{u} , B_t , $\nabla \rho$ and lower order terms, and apply the bounds in (3.1) and (4.9).

On the other hand, to prove (4.11), we apply two space derivatives and one spatial difference operator $D_{x_j}^h$ defined by

$$D_{x_j}^h(f)(t) = (f(x + he_j) - f(x))h^{-1}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |D_{x_j}^h D_{x_i} D_{x_k} \rho|^2 &\leq M + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|D_x^4 u|^2 + |D_{x_j}^h D_{x_i} D_{x_k} \rho|^2) \\ &\leq M + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |D_x^3 \rho|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $h \rightarrow 0$ and applying Gronwall's inequality, we obtain the required bound for the term $\|D_x^3 \rho(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Using Theorem 4.1, we can apply an open-closed argument on the time interval which is identical to the one given in Hoff and Suen [SH12] pp. 31 to extend the local solution $(\rho - \tilde{\rho}, u, B)$ beyond T^* , which contradicts the maximality of T^* . Therefore the assumption (3.1) does not hold and this completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

REFERENCES

- [BC94] H. Bahouri and J.-Y. Chemin, *Équations de transport relatives à des champs de vecteurs non-lipschitziens et mécanique des fluides*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **127** (1994), no. 2, 159–181. MR 1288809
- [Cab70] Henri Cabannes, *Theoretical magnetofluidynamics*, Applied mathematics and mechanics ; vol.13, Academic Press, New York ; London, 1970.
- [CS16] Ka Luen Cheung and Anthony Suen, *Existence and uniqueness of small energy weak solution to multi-dimensional compressible Navier-Stokes equations with large external potential force*, J. Math. Phys. **57** (2016), no. 8, 081513, 19. MR 3536916
- [CT10] Qing Chen and Zhong Tan, *Global existence and convergence rates of smooth solutions for the compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations*, Nonlinear Anal. **72** (2010), no. 12, 4438–4451. MR 2639192
- [FJO10] Jishan Fan, Song Jiang, and Yaobin Ou, *A blow-up criterion for compressible viscous heat-conductive flows*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **27** (2010), no. 1, 337–350. MR 2580513
- [HLX11] Xiangdi Huang, Jing Li, and Zhouping Xin, *Serrin-type criterion for the three-dimensional viscous compressible flows*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **43** (2011), no. 4, 1872–1886. MR 2831252
- [Hof95] David Hoff, *Global solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations for multidimensional compressible flow with discontinuous initial data*, J. Differential Equations **120** (1995), no. 1, 215–254. MR 1339675
- [Hof05] David Hoff, *Compressible flow in a half-space with Navier boundary conditions*, J. Math. Fluid Mech. **7** (2005), no. 3, 315–338. MR 2166979

- [Hof06] David Hoff, *Uniqueness of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations of multi-dimensional, compressible flow*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **37** (2006), no. 6, 1742–1760. MR 2213392
- [HW08] Xianpeng Hu and Dehua Wang, *Global solutions to the three-dimensional full compressible magnetohydrodynamic flows*, Comm. Math. Phys. **283** (2008), no. 1, 255–284. MR 2430634
- [HW10] Xianpeng Hu and Dehua Wang, *Global existence and large-time behavior of solutions to the three-dimensional equations of compressible magnetohydrodynamic flows*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **197** (2010), no. 1, 203–238. MR 2646819
- [Kaw84] Shuichi Kawashima, *Systems of a hyperbolic-parabolic composite type, with applications to the equations of magnetohydrodynamics*, Ph.D. thesis, Kyoto University, 9 1984.
- [LDY12] Ming Lu, Yi Du, and Zheng-An Yao, *Blow-up phenomena for the 3D compressible MHD equations*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **32** (2012), no. 5, 1835–1855. MR 2871338
- [Lio98] Pierre-Louis Lions, *Mathematical topics in fluid mechanics. Vol. 2*, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 10, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1998, Compressible models, Oxford Science Publications. MR 1637634
- [LS16] Tong Li and Anthony Suen, *Existence of intermediate weak solution to the equations of multi-dimensional chemotaxis systems*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **36** (2016), no. 2, 861–875. MR 3392908
- [LSW11] Xiaoli Li, Ning Su, and Dehua Wang, *Local strong solution to the compressible magnetohydrodynamic flow with large data*, J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ. **8** (2011), no. 3, 415–436. MR 2831269
- [LSX16] Boqiang Lv, Xiaoding Shi, and Xinying Xu, *Global existence and large-time asymptotic behavior of strong solutions to the compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations with vacuum*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **65** (2016), no. 3, 925–975. MR 3528824
- [LY11] Fucai Li and Hongjun Yu, *Optimal decay rate of classical solutions to the compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **141** (2011), no. 1, 109–126. MR 2773442
- [Roz08] Olga Rozanova, *Blow-up of smooth highly decreasing at infinity solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations*, J. Differential Equations **245** (2008), no. 7, 1762–1774. MR 2433485
- [Sar09] Rémy Sart, *Existence of finite energy weak solutions for the equations MHD of compressible fluids*, Appl. Anal. **88** (2009), no. 3, 357–379. MR 2537426
- [SH12] Anthony Suen and David Hoff, *Global low-energy weak solutions of the equations of three-dimensional compressible magnetohydrodynamics*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **205** (2012), no. 1, 27–58. MR 2927617
- [Ste70] Elias M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 30, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970. MR 0290095
- [Sue12] Anthony Suen, *Global low-energy weak solutions of the equations of 3D magnetohydrodynamics*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2012, Thesis (Ph.D.)–Indiana University. MR 3047180
- [Sue13a] Anthony Suen, *A blow-up criterion for the 3D compressible magnetohydrodynamics in terms of density*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **33** (2013), no. 8, 3791–3805. MR 3021381
- [Sue13b] Anthony Suen, *Global solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations for isentropic flow with large external potential force*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. **64** (2013), no. 3, 767–784. MR 3068849
- [Sue14] Anthony Suen, *Global existence of weak solution to Navier-Stokes equations with large external force and general pressure*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. **37** (2014), no. 17, 2716–2727. MR 3271118
- [Sue15] Anthony Suen, *Corrigendum: A blow-up criterion for the 3D compressible magnetohydrodynamics in terms of density [mr3021381]*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **35** (2015), no. 3, 1387–1390. MR 3277198
- [Sue20a] Anthony Suen, *Existence and a blow-up criterion of solution to the 3D compressible Navier-Stokes-Poisson equations with finite energy*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **40** (2020), no. 3, 1775–1798. MR 4063944

- [Sue20b] Anthony Suen, *Existence and uniqueness of low-energy weak solutions to the compressible 3D magnetohydrodynamics equations*, J. Differential Equations **268** (2020), no. 6, 2622–2671. MR 4047965
- [Sue20c] Anthony Suen, *Some serrin type blow-up criteria for the three-dimensional viscous compressible flows with large external potential force*, 2020.
- [SWZ11] Yongzhong Sun, Chao Wang, and Zhifei Zhang, *A Beale-Kato-Majda blow-up criterion for the 3-D compressible Navier-Stokes equations*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **95** (2011), no. 1, 36–47. MR 2746435
- [Xin98] Zhouping Xin, *Blowup of smooth solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equation with compact density*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **51** (1998), no. 3, 229–240. MR 1488513
- [Zie89] William P. Ziemer, *Weakly differentiable functions*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 120, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989, Sobolev spaces and functions of bounded variation. MR 1014685

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, THE EDUCATION UNIVERSITY
OF HONG KONG, HONG KONG

Email address: `acksuen@eduhk.hk`