Two-photon superbunching effect of broadband chaotic stationary light at femtosecond timescale based on cascaded Michelson interferometer

Sheng Luo,^{1, 2, *} Yu Zhou,^{1, *} Huaibin Zheng,^{2, †} Jianbin Liu,² Hui Chen,²

Yuchen He,² Wanting Xu,³ Shuanghao Zhang,² Fuli Li,¹ and Zhuo Xu²

¹MOE Key Laboratory for Nonequilibrium Synthesis and Modulation of Condensed Matter,

Department of Applied Physics, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, Shaanxi 710049, China

Ministry of Education & International Center for Dielectric Research,

School of Electronic Science and Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, Shaanxi 710049, China

³School of Science, Xi'an Polytechnic University, Xi'an, Shaanxi 710048, China

(Dated: December 1, 2020)

It is challenging for observing superbunching effect with true chaotic light, here we propose and demonstrate a method to achieve superbunching effect of $g^{(2)}(0) = 2.42 \pm 0.02$ with broadband stationary chaotic light based on a cascaded Michelson interferometer (CMI), exceeding the theoretical upper limit of 2 for the two-photon bunching effect of chaotic light. The superbunching correlation peak is measured with an ultrafast two-photon absorption detector which the full width at half maximum reaches about 95 fs. Two-photon superbunching theory in a CMI is developed to interpret the effect and is in agreement with experimental results. The theory also predicts that the degree of second-order coherence can be much greater than 2 if chaotic light propagates N times in a CMI. Finally, a new type of weak signals detection setup which employs broadband chaotic light circulating in a CMI is proposed. Theoretically, it can increase the detection sensitivity of weak signals 79 times after the chaotic light circulating 100 times in the CMI.

I. INTRODUCTION

Since Hanbury Brown and Twiss (HBT) first observed the two-photon bunching effect in 1956 [1–3], it is well known that the degree of second-order coherence (DSOC) of thermal light equals 2 for all kinds of thermal light [4–6], including blackbody radiation [7–9], broadband amplified spontaneous emission [10–13], pseudothermal light [14–16] and so on. Although superbunching effect of pseudothermal light has been observed [17–20], to the best of our knowledge, the superbunching effect of broadband chaotic light has never been observed. The reason is that one can not artificially generate extra intensity fluctuations in true chaotic light field like in pseudothermal light case, and also the superbunching effect of true chaotic light itself is difficult to detect due to its femtosecond timescale coherence time.

The superbunching effect of thermal light is not only academically interesting, but also has many important applications. In recent year, a serial of researches on multi-photon effect enhancement and extreme events enhancement with bright squeezed vacuum has been reported [21, 22]. The authors pointed out that these effects could be used in photon subtraction experiments [23], ghost imaging [15, 24, 25] and experiments which need high multi-photon effect but low average intensity [26].

In this paper, we proposed and demonstrated a method to achieve superbunching effect of broadband stationary chaotic light based on a cascaded Michelson interferometer (CMI), $q^{(2)}(0) = 2.42 \pm 0.02$ of stationary chaotic light is observed by using ultrafast two-photon absorption (TPA) detection technology [27, 28]. This TPA detector allows us to measure the superbuching effect of chaotic stationary light at the timescale of a few femtoseconds. The superbunching effect reported in this paper is achieved without any nonlinear process involved. A theory based on two-photon interference is developed and explains the experimental results very well. The theory shows that comparing with ordinary bunching effect in the HBT interferometer, the superbunching effect in a CMI comes from the increasing of number of two-photon probability amplitudes involved. Also, the theoretical study shows that this superbunching effect can reach much higher value as the number of cascaded process increases, which means that the number of two-photon probability amplitudes involved increase exponentially. More importantly, the sensitivity of the CMI for small phase changes increases drastically according to our numerical simulation. Finally, we propose to use a CMI as a two-photon interferometer for weak signals detection to enhance the detection sensitivity.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we will develop the quantum theory on superbunching effect of chaotic light based on two-photon interference and calculate its second-order coherence function. The method to realize superbunching effect of chaotic light is also proposed. In Sec. III, with a continuous amplified spontaneous emission (ASE) incoherent light source, a CMI and TPA detector, the superbunching effect of chaotic stationary light is observed. The discussions about the physics of superbunching of chaotic light and its possible application are in Sec. IV.

²Electronic Materials Research Laboratory, Key Laboratory of the

^{*} Equal contribution

[†] huaibinzheng@mail.xjtu.edu.cn

II. THEORY

It is well known that two-photon bunching effect can be explained by two-photon interference theory at single photon level [29]. The quantum theory of bunching effect of chaotic stationary light in the Michelson interferometer is studied in Ref. [13]. The method to realize superbunching effect of stationary chaotic light can be found by following above studies. In the following, the quantum theory on bunching effect in the Michelson interferometer is briefly reviewed and the quantum explaination on superbunching effect of stationary chaotic light in CMI is developed.

The Figure 1(a) shows the schematic diagram of bunching effect of chaotic light in a Michelson interferometer. We define a and b as two photons from the chaotic light. The channel 1 and channel 2 can be defined as paths that the photons are reflected from the two arms of the Michelson interferometer, respectively. There are four different but indistinguishable ways for photons a and b to trigger the TPA detector, corresponding to four two-photon probability amplitedes which are $A_{a1b2}, A_{a2b1}, A_{a1b1}$ and A_{a2b2} . The two-photon probability amplitede A_{a2b1} indicates that photon *a* is reflected by mirror 2 (in arm 2) and photon b is reflected by mirror 1 (in arm 1) before triggering the TPA detector together. The other probability amplitudes are defined similarly. In the language of quantum interference, the observed two-photon bunching effect of chaotic light in Michelson interferometer [10–13] comes from the coherent interference of these four probability amplitudes. The probability of TPA detection C_{TPA} is

$$C_{TPA} = \left\langle |A_{a1b1} + A_{a1b2} + A_{a2b1} + A_{a2b2}|^2 \right\rangle.$$
(1)

There are four different and indistinguishable paths triggering the TPA detector. In this way, the two-photon bunching effect based on the superposition of different probability amplitudes is achieved [10, 12, 13].

The superbunching effect of the broadband chaotic light can be achieved by adding more different and indistinguishable interference paths to trigger the TPA detector. In our experiment, it is realized by making chaotic light passes through a CMI. As shown in schematic diagram Fig. 3(b), two photons from chaotic light pass through the same Michelson interferometer twice to trigger a TPA detector. There are 16 different and indistinguishable paths to trigger the TPA detector: $A_{a11b11}, A_{a11b12}, A_{a11b21}, A_{a11b22}, A_{a12b11}, A_{a12b12}, A_{a12b21}$ $A_{a12b22}, A_{a21b11}, A_{a21b12}, A_{a21b21}, A_{a21b22}, A_{a22b11}, A_{a22b12}$ A_{a22b21}, A_{a22b22} . The probability amplitude A_{a12b21} indicates that photon a propagates in arm 1 (with mirror 1) when it passes the Michelson interferometer for the first time, then enters the same Michelson interferometer again and propagates in arm 2 (with mirror 2)). Simultaneously photon b propagates in arm 2 and 1 successively when it passed Michelson interferometer for the first and second time, respectively. Finally, photon a and photon b trigger the TPA detection event together.

The meanings of the remaining probability amplitudes are similar.

The probability of TPA detection C_{TPA} is the result of the coherently superposition of the 16 different and indistinguishable probability amplitudes, which can be expressed as [30, 31]

$$C_{TPA} = \left\langle \begin{vmatrix} A_{a11b11} + A_{a11b12} + A_{a11b21} + A_{a11b22} + A_{a11b22} + A_{a12b22} + A_{a12b12} + A_{a12b12} + A_{a12b22} + A_{a21b11} + A_{a21b12} + A_{a21b21} + A_{a21b22} + A_{a22b11} + A_{a22b12} + A_{a22b21} + A_{a22b22} + A_{a22b21} + A_{a22b22} \end{vmatrix} \right\rangle,$$
where $\langle \cdot \cdot \rangle$ represents the ensemble evenes of all events.

where $\langle \cdots \rangle$ represents the ensemble average of all events. The probability amplitude can be written as

$$A_{amibnj} = e^{i\varphi_a} K_{ami} e^{i\varphi_b} K_{bnj}, \qquad (3)$$

where φ_a and φ_b are the initial phase of photon a and photon b. K_{ami} and K_{bnj} represent the Feynman propagators of different photons, where i, j, m, n = 1, 2. Since the true thermal light source has a certain spectral distribution, which is different from the single frequency of the laser. In this case, the Feynman propagator from the thermal light can be expressed as [32]

$$K(r_2, t_2; r_1, t_1) = \int_{\omega_0 - \frac{1}{2}\Delta\omega}^{\omega_0 + \frac{1}{2}\Delta\omega} f(\omega) e^{-i\left[\sigma(t_1 - t_2) - \bar{k}_{12} \cdot \bar{r}_{12}\right]} d\omega,$$
(4)

where $f(\omega)$ is the spectral distribution function of light source that assumed the rectangular spectrum distribution. ω_0 is the center frequency and $\Delta \omega$ is the spectral bandwidth. All these parameters depend on the spectral characteristics of the light source we used. t_1 , t_2 represent the time at which the photon arrives at r_1 and r_2 respectively, so the $t_1 - t_2$ is the time difference between the photon from r_1 and r_2 . \vec{k}_{12} represents the vector of light waves where the photons are from r_1 to r_2 , and \vec{r}_{12} represents the difference between the position vectors of the photons from r_1 to r_2 .

Here we only concentrate on the temporal correlation of thermal light for simplicity. So substituting Eq. (3) and Eq. (4) into Eq. (2) can get

$$C_{TPA} = 18(\Delta\omega)^2 + 18(\Delta\omega)^2 + 2(\Delta\omega)^2 \operatorname{sinc}^2(\Delta\omega\tau) + 32(\Delta\omega)^2 \operatorname{sinc}^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\Delta\omega\tau\right) + F(\tau).$$
⁽⁵⁾

The normalized simulation results are shown in Fig. 1 which the background of the constant term is 1. $18(\Delta\omega)^2$ is the constant term corresponds to the Fig. 1(c), $18(\Delta\omega)^2 + 2(\Delta\omega)^2 \operatorname{sinc}^2(\Delta\omega\tau) + 32(\Delta\omega)^2 \operatorname{sinc}^2(\frac{1}{2}\Delta\omega\tau)$ is the superbunching interference term as shown in the Fig. 1(d). $F(\tau)$ is the high-frequency oscillation term which is present in Fig. 1(e), where $F(\tau) =$ $32(\Delta\omega)^2 \cos(2\omega_0\tau) \operatorname{sinc}^2(\frac{1}{2}\Delta\omega\tau) + 2(\Delta\omega)^2 \cos(4\omega_0\tau) \cdot$ $\operatorname{sinc}^2(\Delta\omega\tau) + 96(\Delta\omega)^2 \cos(\omega_0\tau) \operatorname{sinc}(\frac{1}{2}\Delta\omega\tau) + 24(\Delta\omega)^2 \cdot$ $\cos(2\omega_0\tau) \operatorname{sinc}(\Delta\omega\tau) + 32(\Delta\omega)^2 \cos(\omega_0\tau) \operatorname{sinc}(\frac{1}{2}\Delta\omega\tau) \cdot$ $\cos(2\omega_0\tau) \operatorname{sinc}(\Delta\omega\tau)$. The result of Fig. 1(f) signifies



FIG. 1. Two-photon interference path diagram and the counts of simulated results of the chaotic light in MI. (a) A schematic diagram of the principle of two photons from chaotic light passing through MI once to trigger TPA detector. (b) A schematic diagram of the principle of two photons from chaotic light passing through the MI twice to trigger a TPA detector. The solid and dashed lines represent the photon's propagation path. PMT is a TPA detector that simultaneously receives photons from different propagation paths. (c) shows the result of the normalization of the constant terms, corresponding to the first term in Eq. (5). (d)shows the superbunching effect of chaotic light, corresponding to the sum of the second, the third and the fourth terms in Eq. (5). (e) shows the sum of the high frequency oscillation terms, corresponding to the term $F(\tau)$ in Eq. (5). (f) shows the sum of all the terms in Eq. (5).

the sum of all the terms corresponds to the whole detection event C_{TPA} . The normalizing the second-order coherence function is given by

$$g^{(2)}(\tau) = 1 + \frac{16}{9}\operatorname{sinc}^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\Delta\omega\tau\right) + \frac{1}{9}\operatorname{sinc}^2\left(\Delta\omega\tau\right), \quad (6)$$

where $\operatorname{sin}(x) = \frac{\sin(x)}{x}$. When τ equals zero, $g^{(2)}(\tau) = 2.89$, which means the superbunching effect of the broadband chaotic light can be observed in the CMI scheme.

III. EXPERIMENTAL IMPLEMENTATION AND RESULTS

The method of observing superbunching effect of chaotic stationary light is to make the chaotic light pass through a CMI. In the CMI the two-photon interference effect is enhanced and the superbunching effect can be observed. The detailed theoretical analysis is in Sec. II and in this section we will focus on how to realize the CMI and report the experimental results.

The experimental setup for observing superbunching effect of broadband chaotic light is shown in Fig. 2. The light source is a continuous amplified spontaneous emission (ASE) incoherent light. Its center wavelength is 1550 nm with bandwidth of 30 nm. L_1 and L_2 are two convergent lenses with focal lengths of 10 mm and 25.4 mm respectively. P_0 is a linear polarizer with horizontal direction. QWP₁ and QWP₂ are two quarter-wave plates with an angle of 45° between the optical axes and the polarization direction of the incident light. The broadband light is collimated by L_1 and passes through P_0 , which causes the unpolarized chaotic light to be horizontally polarized and enters the Michelson interferometer for the first time. After through the non-polarizing beamsplitter cube (BS), two split light beams pass through QWP_1 and QWP_2 , then are reflected back from M_1 and M_2 , then pass through QWP_1 and QWP_2 again respectively. At this moment the polarizations of two beams changed from horizontal to vertical. Then all vertically polarized light will be reflected to mirror M₃ by a polarizing beam-splitter cube (PBS). The light reflected by mirror M_3 will go into the Michelson interferometer for the second time. By manipulating the polarization of light beams, we realize the function of a CMI. Finally, after the light interferes in the Michelson interferometer the second time the vertically polarized light becomes horizontally polarized because of QWP_1 and QWP_2 . Then two horizontally polarized light beams reflected from mirrors M_1 and M_2 pass through the PBS, a spherical lens (L_2) and a high pass filter (HF) to trigger a H7421-50 Hamamatsu GaAs-photomultiplier tube (PMT) operated in two-photon absorption mode [10]. During the experiment the dark counts is 80 per second. The spherical lens and the high-pass filter with cutoff frequency of 1300nm is used to eliminate the single-photon counting of the PMT and make sure the PMT working in TPA mode.

To make a comparison between ordinary bunching effect [10–12] and superbunching effect of broadband stationary chaotic light at femtosecond timescale, we first measured its photon bunching effect. This is realized by removing the PBS and mirror M_3 from the setup shown in Fig. 2. Without the PBS and mirror M_3 the setup is a standard Michelson interferometer and chaotic light in it circulate only once before been detected by



FIG. 2. Experimental setup of superbunching thermal light based on CMI. M_0 , M_1 , M_2 and M_3 are 1550nm dielectric mirrors, where M_1 is fixed on a precise motorized linear translation stage (MS). PMT is a GaAs photomultiplier tube.

the TPA detector. The second-order correlation function of bunching effect is measured by scanning one arm of the interferometer-scanning mirror M_1 on a mortor stage (MS). The TPA counts is recorded versus the time delay difference between two arms. The experimental results are shown in Fig. 3(a). The red line is the measured second-order correlation function which full width at half maximum (FWHM) is about 123 fs, and the visibility of the second-order interference pattern can reach to 99.2%. The black solid line is the result of filtering out the highfrequency oscillation terms, and its peak-to-background ratio is about 1.44, which corresponds to the second-order coherence function $g^{(2)}(0) = 1.87 \pm 0.02$ is obtained after normalization. The oscillating of TPA counts near the maximum of correlation peak are shown in greater detail in the inset of Fig. 3. The measurement can be understood using Refs. [10, 13], these researches greatly facilitated the development of measuring the bunching effect based on chaotic stationary light.

In the next step, the PBS and mirror M_3 are put back into the setup to constitute the CMI as shown in Fig. 2. In this setup, chaotic light will circulate twice in the CMI before detected by the TPA detector. By doing so, the two-photon interference paths increase and the twophoton interference effect is enhanced. The experiment results are shown in Fig. 3(b). The red line is the measured second-order correlation function which FWHM is about 95 fs and the visibility of the second-order interference pattern can reach to 99.8%. The black solid line is the result of removing the high-frequency oscillation term by numerical filtering and its peak-to-background ratio is about 1.71, which corresponds to the second-order coherence function $g^{(2)}(0) = 2.42 \pm 0.03$ is obtained after normalization. The oscillating of TPA counts near the maximum of correlation peak are shown in greater detail in the inset of Fig. 2(b).

Comparing the results of Fig. 3(a) and Fig. 3(b) we can see that the the superbunching effect of broadband stationary chaotic light was observed in a CMI at femtosecond timescale.



FIG. 3. (a) The measurement results of the broadband chaotic light bunching effect when light passes through the Michelson interferometer. (b) The measurement results based on the broadband chaotic light superbunching effect when light passes through the Michelson interferometer twice in succession. The red line is a graph of all counting results of the TPA detector. The black solid line is the result of filtering out high-frequency terms after numerical signal processing. The insets in Fig. 3 (a) and (b) illustrate enlarged detail of the interferogram near 0 fs, respectively.

IV. DISCUSSIONS

A. N-order superbunching effect of chaotic light

Based on the above work, it is easy to think that the increasing number of propagating through Michelson interferometer is actually adding different and indistinguishable two-photon interference paths, it is the reason that we observed superbunching effect of the broadband chaotic light in the CMI. When the beam passes N times through Michelson interferometer, the all TPA detection event can be expressed as

$$C_{TPA} = \left\langle \left| \sum_{i,j=1,2}^{4^N} A_{ai_1 i_2 \dots i_n, bj_1 j_2 \dots j_n} \right|^2 \right\rangle, \qquad (7)$$

where $A_{ai_1i_2...i_n,bj_1j_2...j_n}$ is the probability amplitude of photons a and b passing through N times the arms of the Michelson interferometer respectively, $i_1, i_2 \cdots, i_n, j_1, j_2 \cdots, j_n = 1, 2$.

However, it is too difficult to calculate each term of all events, mainly because the probability amplitude has increased to $4^{\rm N}$ as many terms as possible. Therefore, for the calculation of DSOC after transferring N times through Michelson interferometer, a new statistical induction method was proposed based on the calculation of the coefficient of the constant C_c and second-order coherence terms C_i . As the number of times passing through Michelson interferometer is increased, the highfrequency oscillation terms that required to filter out will be more and more, and it will not make any contributions to the calculation of the second-order coherence function. Therefore, we only calculate the coefficient of C_c and C_i which are straightforwardly related to the second-order coherence function.

After derivation, when the chaotic light transfers N times through Michelson interferometer, the coefficient of

constant terms C_c can be expressed in the TPA detection event as

$$C_c = \left(\sum_{n=0}^N \left(C_N^n\right)^2\right)^2,\tag{8}$$

similarly, the coefficient of second-order coherence terms C_i is statistically calculated as

$$C_{i} = \sum_{m=1}^{N} 2 \cdot \left(\sum_{n=0}^{N-m} C_{N}^{n} C_{N}^{m+n}\right)^{2}.$$
 (9)

The specific details of C_c and C_i can be found in Appendix A and B. Where C_N^n indicates that combinations of n are taken from N different elements, $N \ge n$. The meaning of C_N^{m+n} is the same.



FIG. 4. Ratio of two-photon interference pattern of thermal light after transferring N times through the Michelson interferometer under different conditions. The black rectangle represents $R_{P/B}$. The red circle represents $F_{P/B}$. The blue triangle represents $g^{(2)}(0)$, that is the degree of the second-order coherence of the two-photon superbunching.

In order to further study the N-order chaotic light superbunching effect, C_c and C_i are derived in the Eq. (8) and Eq. (9). When the beam passes through Michelson interferometer N times, first we can get that the peak-tobackground ratio of the interference pattern of the whole TPA detection is

$$R_{P/B} = \frac{16^N}{C_c},\tag{10}$$

then the peak-to-background ratio of the two-photon interference pattern after filtering out the high-frequency oscillation terms shown as

$$F_{P/B} = 1 + \frac{C_i}{C_c}.\tag{11}$$

Naturally, expression of the degree of the second-order coherence which we concerned about is

$$g^{(2)}(0) = 1 + 2\frac{C_i}{C_c}.$$
(12)

The simulations of Eqs. (10), (11) and (12) are shown in Fig. 4, where $R_{P/B}$ increases linearly, $F_{P/B}$ and $g^{(2)}(0)$ increase logarithmically with the increase of N. When N = 50, $F_{P/B} = 8.90 \pm 0.01$, $R_{P/B} = 157.87 \pm 0.02$ and $g^{(2)}(0) = 16.79 \pm 0.01$ can be obtained. Moreover, it is obviously that the increasing trend of $g^{(2)}(0)$ will gradually slow down with the increase of propagation times N. Principally as the number of times passing through the Michelson interferometer increases, the first-order interference terms inside will increase exponentially. Therefore, the increasing oscillation terms will lower the visibility and slow down DSOC growth. Nonetheless, it does not prevent us from achieving the superbunching effect of chaotic light.

B. A new type of weak signals detection setup based on multi-photon interference

From above studying, we notice that the superbunching effect in the CMI could enhance the sensitivity of phase detection. This is because multiple circulation of chaotic light in the CMI leads to multi-photon interference. Hence we proposed to employ chaotic light circulating in the CMI to increasing the detection sensitivity for weak signals detection. The insets in Fig. 3 (a) and (b) illustrate enlarged detail of the interferogram near 0 fs, respectively. It is obvious that the peak counts of chaotic light circulating in the CMI twice are higher than those in the cascade MI once, which are 11.05 and 7.34, respectively. The numerical simulation is shown in Fig. 5 corresponding to the measurement results of insets of Fig. 3. The black, red and blue circle represents details of TPA detection event when chaotic light transferring through the CMI once, twice and thrice, respectively. When τ equals zero, the theoretical TPA peak counts are 8, 14.22 and 20.48 separately and the period of the interference pattern is 2.55 fs. The specific theoretical derivation of chaotic light transferring through the CMI thrice is similar to Sec. II above. It is assumed that the relative TPA counts will change from 0 to 8, 14.22 and 20.48 separately when the phase changes from 0 to π . The TPA peak count increases with the increase of propagation time, which means the weak signal is amplified under the same phase variation.

Based on the derivation of C_c and C_i , we found that the meaning of TPA peak counts is the same as Eq. (9) besides the different background. Therefore, the TPA peak counts can be expressed as $2R_{P/B}$. The inset in Fig. 5 illustrates TPA peak counts linearly increases with the increase of propagation time. When N = 100, TPA peak counts equals 629.89, which means the signal amplifies 79 times compared with chaotic light circulating in the CMI once. The enhancement effect is expected to increase with the light circulating more times in the CMI. It should be emphasised that enhancement effect is the result of multi-photon interference, as the number of times passing through the Michelson interferometer increases, the interference terms will increase exponentially. In our design, the circulation of chaotic light in the CMI provides more and more two-photon probability amplitudes interfering with each other, it not only realize the superbunching effect of the broadband chaotic light, but also significantly increases detection sensitivity, which provides a new solution for the detection of weak signals.



FIG. 5. The oscillatory behaviors of TPA interferogram under different propagation times. The black, red and blue circle represents details of TPA detection event when chaotic light transfers through the CMI once, twice and thrice, respectively. The inset in Fig. 5 represents the trend of the TPA peak counts as propagation time increases.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, two-photon superbunching effect of broadband chaotic light is proposed and demonstrated at femtosecond timescale based on the CMI. DSOC of chaotic light was measured as 2.42 ± 0.03 . Two-photon interference theory, which describes superbunching effect of the broadband chaotic light in a cascade MI, has also been developed. Furthermore, we demonstrated the expression of superbunching chaotic light by deriving the coefficients of the constant and second-order coherence terms creatively when beams passing through Michelson interferometer N times, whose variational trends will raise with increasing N. Also our numerical simulation shows that the N-order cascaded Michelson interferometer dramatically improve sensitivity of detecting small phase changes. A new type of weak signals detection setup could be designed based on the superbunching effect reported in the paper. As this kind of superbunching chaotic light is simple to realize and control, it will lay a good foundation for future research on two-photon interferometer for weak signals detection.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by Shaanxi Key Research and Development Project (Grant No. 2019ZDLGY09-09); National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 61901353); Key Innovation Team of Shaanxi Province (Grant No. 2018TD-024) and 111 Project of China (Grant No.B14040).

Appendix A: The coefficient of the constant terms C_c of chaotic light transferring through the Michelson interferometer N times

When the chaotic light transfers through the Michelson interferometer (MI) N times, there are 4^N kinds of different and indistinguishable two-photon interference paths, the whole two-photon absorption detection event can be expressed as Eqs. (6).

There will be 16^N terms after modulus square is calculated. Any one of them can be expressed as $A_{ai_1i_2\cdots i_n,bj_1j_2\cdots j_n}A^*_{ap_1p_2\cdots p_n,bq_1q_2\cdots q_n}$, where $i_1, i_2, \cdots i_n, j_1, j_2 \cdots j_n = 1, 2, p_1, p_2 \cdots p_n, q_1, q_2 \cdots q_n =$ $1, 2, A^*_{ap_1p_2\cdots p_n,bq_1q_2\cdots q_n}$ is the complex conjugate of $A_{ai_1i_2\cdots i_n,bj_1j_2\cdots j_n}$, a and b represent two photons from the chaotic light, respectively. These symbols indicate whether each photon travels through channel 1 or channel 2 through the MI. For example, i_n indicates that the photon passes through the channel i when it passes through the N-time MI.

Here we take $A_{ai_1i_2\cdots i_n,b_1j_2\cdots j_n}A^*_{ap_1p_2\cdots p_n,bq_1q_2}$ as an example, the Feynman propagator only considers the temporal correlation, and bringing the expression of the Feynman propagator into this formula, we can get

$$A_{a_{1}i_{2}-i_{2},b_{1}j_{2}-j_{n}}A_{a_{2}p_{2}-p_{n},b_{4},q_{2}-q_{n}}$$

$$= \iint_{\omega_{0}-\frac{1}{2}\Delta\omega}^{\omega_{0}+\frac{1}{2}\Delta\omega}f(\omega_{a})e^{-i[\omega_{a}(i_{1}+i_{2}\cdots+i_{n}-p_{1}-p_{2}\cdots-p_{n})]} (A1)$$

$$\cdot f(\omega_{b})e^{-i[\omega_{b}(j_{1}+j_{2}\cdots+j_{n}-q_{1}-q_{2}\cdots-q_{n})]}d\omega_{a}d\omega_{b},$$

where we define that $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n, j_1, j_2 \dots, j_n = t_1, t_2$, $p_1, p_2 \dots p_n, q_1, q_2 \dots q_n = t_1, t_2$, and they represent the time that each photon reaches channel 1 and channel 2 when it passes through a MI. In order to make Eq. (A1) be a constant term, it must also satisfy

$$\begin{cases} i_1 + i_2 \dots + i_n - p_1 - p_2 \dots - p_n = 0\\ j_1 + j_2 \dots + j_n - q_1 - q_2 \dots - q_n = 0. \end{cases}$$
(A2)

Here we can use the idea of permutation and combination to solve this equation. Taking photon a as an example:

1. When there is no t_1 in $i_1, i_2, \dots i_n$, the number of combinations contained is C_N^0 , and at the same time all of the t_1 in $p_1, p_2, \dots p_n$ must be 0 to make $i_1 + i_2 \dots + i_n - p_1 - p_2 \dots - p_n = 0$ possible, then the total number of combinations is $(C_N^0)^2$.

2. When there is one t_1 in $i_1, i_2, \dots i_n$, the number of combinations contained is C_N^1 . And we also have to have one t_1 in $p_1, p_2, \dots p_n$ that are equal to C_N^1 , then the total number of combinations is $(C_N^1)^2$.

3. When there are two t_1 in $i_1, i_2, \dots i_n$, the number of combinations contained is C_N^1 . and at the same time there are also have two t_1 in $p_1, p_2, \dots p_n$, then the total number of combinations is $(C_N^2)^2$.

4. By analogy, when there are N t_1 in i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n , the number of combinations included is C_N^N . In the meantime, and it must also satisfy all N t_1 in p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n , finally the total number of combinations at this time is $(C_N^N)^2$.

At this time, we add up all the above combination numbers, it can be expressed as

$$(C_N^0)^2 + (C_N^1)^2 + (C_N^2)^2 + \dots + (C_N^N)^2 = \sum_{n=0}^N (C_N^n)^2.$$
(A3)

We just discussed the case of photon a above, meanwhile the case of photon b is the same and needs to be satisfied $i_1 + i_2 \cdots + i_n - p_1 - p_2 \cdots - p_n = 0$. Therefore, we end up with the coefficient of the constant term as

$$C_c = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{N} \left(C_N^n\right)^2\right)^2.$$
 (A4)

Appendix B: The coefficient of the second-order coherence terms C_i of chaotic light transferring through the Michelson interferometer N times

What following is the derivation of the coefficients of the second-order coherence terms. Taking the photon aas an example, through the study of the second-order coherence terms, we find that when Eq. (A1) satisfies

$$i_1 + i_2 \dots + i_n - p_1 - p_2 \dots - p_n = k (t_1 - t_2),$$
 (B1)

where $k = -N, -(N-1), \dots -1, 1, \dots (N-1), N$. In order to simplify our derivation process, we first consider when $k \ge 1$, that is $k = 1, \dots (N-1), N$, this kind of calculation result is half of all the results, the discussions are as below:

1. When $i_1 + i_2 \cdots + i_n - p_1 - p_2 \cdots - p_n = (t_1 - t_2)$, there are N cases in total:

1.1. When there is one t_1 in $i_1, i_2, \dots i_n$, the number of combinations contained is C_N^1 , and at the same time all of the t_1 in $p_1, p_2, \dots p_n$ must be 0 to make $i_1 + i_2 \dots + i_n - p_1 - p_2 \dots - p_n = (t_1 - t_2)$ possible, then the total number of combinations is $C_N^1 C_N^0$.

1.2. When there is two t_1 in $i_1, i_2, \dots i_n$, the number of combinations contained is C_N^2 . And we also have to have one t_1 in $p_1, p_2, \dots p_n$ that are equal to C_N^1 , then the total number of combinations is $C_N^2 C_N^1$.

1.3. When there are three t_1 in i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n , the number of combinations contained is C_N^3 . And at the same

time there are also two t_1 in $p_1, p_2, \dots p_n$, finally the total number of combinations is $C_N^3 C_N^2$.

1.4. By analogy, when there are N t_1 in i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n , the number of combinations included is C_N^N , and all of them must satisfy $(N-1) \cdot t_1$, then the whole number of combinations at this time is $C_N^N C_N^{N-1}$.

In summary, the result of the addition of all the above cases just considers the case of photon a, and also the photon b situation is exactly the same. Meanwhile when we take the value of k, all the combinations can be expressed at this time for:

$$C_{1} = 2 \cdot \left(C_{N}^{1}C_{N}^{0} + C_{N}^{2}C_{N}^{1} + C_{N}^{3}C_{N}^{2} + \dots + C_{N}^{N}C_{N}^{N-1}\right)^{2}$$
$$= 2\left(\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} C_{N}^{n}C_{N}^{n+1}\right)^{2}.$$
(B2)

2. When $i_1 + i_2 \cdots + i_n - p_1 - p_2 \cdots - p_n = 2 \cdot (t_1 - t_2)$, there are (N - 1) cases in total:

2.1. When there is two t_1 in i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n , the number of combinations contained is C_N^2 . And at the same time all of the t_1 in p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n must be 0 to make $i_1 + i_2 \dots + i_n - p_1 - p_2 \dots - p_n = 2 \cdot (t_1 - t_2)$ possible, then the total number of combinations is $C_N^2 C_N^0$.

2.2. When there is three t_1 in i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n , the number of combinations contained is C_N^3 . And we also have one t_1 in p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n that are equal to t_1 , then the total number of combinations is $C_N^3 C_N^1$.

2.3. When there are four t_1 in $i_1, i_2, \dots i_n$, the number of combinations contained is C_N^4 . And at the same time there are also two t_1 in $p_1, p_2, \dots p_n$, finally the total number of combinations is $C_N^4 C_N^2$.

2.4. By analogy, when there are N t_1 in i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n , the number of combinations included is C_N^N , and at the same time all must satisfy $(N-2) \cdot t_1$, then the number of combinations is $C_N^N C_N^{N-2}$.

In this way, the result of the addition of all the above cases only considers the case of photon a, and the case of photon b is the same. Meanwhile, when we take the value of k as $k = -N, -(N-1), \dots -1, 1, \dots (N-1), N$, the number of combinations can be expressed as

$$C_{2} = 2 \cdot \left(C_{N}^{2}C_{N}^{0} + C_{N}^{3}C_{N}^{1} + C_{N}^{4}C_{N}^{2} + \dots + C_{N}^{N}C_{N}^{N-2}\right)^{2}$$
$$= 2\left(\sum_{n=0}^{N-2} C_{N}^{n}C_{N}^{n+2}\right)^{2}.$$
(B3)

3. Similarly to the situation discussed above, we can successively express $C_1, C_2, C_3 \cdots C_N$. When $i_1 + i_2 \cdots + i_n - p_1 - p_2 \cdots - p_n = N \cdot (t_1 - t_2)$, there is only one case that have N t_1 in $i_1, i_2, \cdots i_n$, the number of combinations included was C_N^N . And at the same time, $p_1, p_2, \cdots p_n$ must meet that have no t_1 , then the number of combinations is $C_N^N C_N^0$. When we consider the case of photons band the value range of K, the total number of combinations at this time is expressed as

$$C_N = 2 \cdot \left(C_N^N C_N^0\right)^2. \tag{B4}$$

To sum up, all the discussions are added up as follows

$$C_{i} = C_{1} + C_{2} + \dots + C_{N}$$
$$= \sum_{m=1}^{N} 2 \left(\sum_{n=0}^{N-m} C_{N}^{n} C_{N}^{m+n} \right)^{2}.$$
 (B5)

- [1] R. H. Brown, R. Q. Twiss, et al., Nature 177, 27 (1956).
- [2] R. H. Brown and R. Q. Twiss, Nature **178**, 1046 (1956).
- [3] R. H. Brown and R. Q. Twiss, Proc. R. Soc. London Ser. A. 243, 291 (1958).
- [4] R. J. Glauber, Phys. Rev. **131**, 2766 (1963).
- [5] R. J. Glauber, Phys. Rev. Lett. 10, 84 (1963).
- [6] R. J. Glauber, Rev. Mod. Phys. **78**, 1267 (2006).
- [7] R. Loudon, *The quantum theory of light* (Oxford University Press, 1973).
- [8] P. K. Tan, G. H. Yeo, H. S. Poh, A. H. Chan, and C. Kurtsiefer, Astrophys. J. Lett. 789 (2014).
- [9] Y.-H. Zhai, X.-H. Chen, D. Zhang, and L.-A. Wu, Phys. Rev. A. 72, 043805 (2005).
- [10] F. Boitier, A. Godard, E. Rosencher, and C. Fabre, Nat. Phys. 5, 267 (2009).
- [11] S. Hartmann, A. Molitor, and W. Elsaser, Opt. Lett. 40, 5770 (2015).
- [12] A. Shevchenko, M. Roussey, A. T. Friberg, and T. Setälä, Optica. 4, 64 (2017).
- [13] Z. Tang, B. Bai, Y. Zhou, H. Zheng, H. Chen, J. Liu, F. Li, and Z. Xu, IEEE. Photon.J 10, 1 (2018).
- [14] G. Scarcelli, A. Valencia, and Y. Shih, Europhys. Lett. 68, 618 (2004).
- [15] A. Valencia, G. Scarcelli, M. DAngelo, and Y. Shih, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 063601 (2005).
- [16] J. Xiong, D.-Z. Cao, F. Huang, H.-G. Li, X.-J. Sun, and K.-G. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 173601 (2005).
- [17] P. Hong, J. Liu, and G. Zhang, Phys. Rev. A. 86, 013807 (2012).
- [18] Y. Zhou, F.-l. Li, B. Bai, H. Chen, J. Liu, Z. Xu, and H. Zheng, Phys. Rev. A. 95, 053809 (2017).
- [19] B. Bai, J. Liu, Y. Zhou, H. Zheng, H. Chen, S. Zhang,

Y. He, F. Li, and Z. Xu, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B. **34**, 2081 (2017).

- [20] Y. Zhou, S. Luo, Z. Tang, H. Zheng, H. Chen, J. Liu, F. li Li, and Z. Xu, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B. 36, 96 (2019).
- [21] T. S. Iskhakov, A. M. Perez, K. Y. Spasibko, M. V. Chekhova, and G. Leuchs, Opt. Lett. **37**, 1919 (2012).
- [22] P. R. Sharapova, G. Frascella, M. Riabinin, A. M. Pérez, O. V. Tikhonova, S. Lemieux, R. W. Boyd, G. Leuchs, and M. V. Chekhova, Phys. Rev. Research 2, 013371 (2020).
- [23] M. Manceau, K. Y. Spasibko, G. Leuchs, R. Filip, and M. V. Chekhova, Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 123606 (2019).
- [24] T. B. Pittman, Y. H. Shih, D. V. Strekalov, and A. V. Sergienko, Phys. Rev. A. 52, R3429 (1995).
- [25] K. W. C. Chan, M. N. O'Sullivan, and R. W. Boyd, Opt. Lett. 34, p.3343 (2009).
- [26] K. Y. Spasibko, D. A. Kopylov, V. L. Krutyanskiy, T. V. Murzina, G. Leuchs, and M. V. Chekhova, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 223603 (2017).
- [27] A. Hayat, A. Nevet, P. Ginzburg, and M. Orenstein, Semicond. Sci. Tech 26, 083001 (2011).
- [28] A. Nevet, A. Hayat, and M. Orenstein, Opt. Lett. 36, 725 (2011).
- [29] U. Fano, Am. J. Phys. **29**, 539 (1961).
- [30] R. Feynman and A. Hibbs, Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals (1965).
- [31] R. P. Feynman, QED: The Strange Theory of Light and Matter (1985).
- [32] M. E. Peskin and D. V. Schroeder, An Introduction To Quantum Field Theory (1995).