

# TWISTED $\mathcal{D}$ -MODULE EXTENSIONS OF LOCAL SYSTEMS ON A CERTAIN SUBVARIETY ISOMORPHIC TO $\mathbb{G}_m^2$ OF THE AFFINE FLAG VARIETY OF $SL_2$

CLAUDE EICHER

ABSTRACT. We introduce a family of rank-one local systems in the category of twisted  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules on a certain subvariety isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G}_m^2$  of the affine flag variety of  $SL_2$ . We then give a criterion for these local systems, in terms of their parameters, to extend cleanly in the sense of  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules.

## CONTENTS

|      |   |   |
|------|---|---|
| 1.   | Introduction  | 1 |
| 1.1. | Overview  | 1 |
| 1.2. | Context   | 2 |
|      | Acknowledgements  | 3 |
| 2.   | Notation  | 3 |
| 3.   | Setup   | 3 |
| 4.   | Local coordinates and trivializations                                     | 4 |
| 4.1. | Local coordinates on $\overline{O}$                                       | 4 |
| 4.2. | Local trivializations of $\tilde{\pi} _{\overline{O}}$                    | 5 |
| 4.3. | Local trivializations of $\mathcal{C} _{\overline{O}}$                    | 5 |
| 4.4. | Local coordinates on $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^\times _{\tilde{\overline{O}}}$ | 6 |
| 5.   | Local system $\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda, \kappa, \mu_{-1}, \mu_0}$             | 6 |
| 6.   | Cleanness of the $\mathcal{D}$ -module extension                          | 7 |
|      | References  | 9 |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. Overview.** In the present work we prove a basic result concerning a  $\mathcal{D}$ -module construction based on a subvariety  $O$  of the affine flag variety of  $SL_2$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ , isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G}_m^2$  and contained in a two dimensional Schubert cell. Namely, we construct a family of rank-one local systems in the category of arbitrarily complex twisted right  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules on  $O$ . The twist is w.r.t. the usual torsor over the affine flag variety. The family is naturally parametrized by two complex numbers describing the monodromies of the local system,

---

*Date:* November 10, 2020.

henceforth called monodromy parameters, and its construction depends on a choice of coordinates in  $O$ .

Our result, Theorem 6.1, states a criterion for the  $\mathcal{D}$ -module extension of such a local system to the affine flag variety to be clean: certain linear combinations of the twist and monodromy parameters should be non-integral. Its proof consists of a straightforward computation in coordinates on an open cover, by four affine planes, of the Zariski closure of  $O$ .

The result only depends on the embedding of  $O$  into its Zariski closure, or more precisely on the embedding of the corresponding total spaces of the above mentioned torsor, and not on the embedding of  $O$  into the flag variety itself. A reason we nevertheless use the affine flag variety to formulate our result is that we would like to indicate an implication for the representation of the affine Kac-Moody algebra  $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$  in the space of global sections of the twisted  $\mathcal{D}$ -module extensions under consideration. And in fact we expect that the structure of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ -representations of the kind of these global sections, which is at present poorly understood, can be elucidated further using  $\mathcal{D}$ -module techniques.

**1.2. Context.** The subvariety  $O$  can be compared with the subvariety  $X_{s_i} \cap s_i X_{s_i}$ , isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G}_m$ , of the affine flag variety of any almost simple and simply connected linear algebraic group over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Here  $X_{s_i}$  denotes the (one dimensional) Schubert cell associated with the simple reflection  $s_i$  of the affine Weyl group. In [Eic16], [Eic20] we consider  $\mathcal{D}$ -module constructions based on a Kummer local system on  $X_{s_i} \cap s_i X_{s_i}$ , in the case of integral and arbitrary complex twist, respectively. The twisted  $\mathcal{D}$ -module extensions of [Eic20] analogous to the ones considered presently are called  $\mathcal{R}_{?s_i, i, \lambda, \mu}$  there. We will not recall the definition of these twisted  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules on the affine flag variety, but content ourselves with stating that they are constructed in a natural way from the choice  $? \in \{!, *\}$  of  $!$ - or  $*$ -extension, a simple affine root  $i$ , an arbitrary complex affine weight  $\lambda$  describing the twist, and the complex number  $\mu$  describing the monodromy of the Kummer local system. The analogue of Theorem 6.1 states that the canonical morphism in the sense of [Ber]  $\mathcal{R}_{!s_i, i, \lambda, \mu} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{*s_i, i, \lambda, \mu}$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $\mu \notin \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda(h_i) - \mu \notin \mathbb{Z}$ . Here  $h_i$  denotes the coroot.

At the level of orbit stratifications, the situation is as follows. The group scheme whose  $R$ -valued points are

$$\left\{ g = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 + t^2 a & t^2 b \\ t^2 c & D_0 + t^2 d \end{pmatrix} \middle| A_0, D_0 \in R, a, b, c, d \in R[[t]], \det g = 1 \right\} \rtimes R^\times \quad (1.1)$$

acts in a natural way on the affine flag variety of  $\mathrm{SL}_2$  and plays the role the group scheme  $I \cap {}^{s_i}I$  does in [Eic16], [Eic20]. Here  $R^\times$  acts by loop rotations, i.e. by the automorphism induced by  $t \mapsto rt$ ,  $I$  denotes an Iwahori group of the loop group, and  ${}^{s_i}I$  its  $s_i$ -conjugate. The subvariety  $O$  is an orbit for this action and any other orbit of dimension less or equal than two arises as an orbit for the action of a group scheme strictly containing the one

defined by (1.1). In this sense,  $O$  can be considered as the basic orbit for this group action. In the same way, the subvariety  $X_{s_i} \cap s_i X_{s_i}$  can be considered as the basic orbit for the action of the group  $I \cap s_i I$ .

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank B.L. Feigin and G. Felder for discussions related to this article.

## 2. NOTATION

When it is clear from the indication of its domain and codomain, we sometimes, for simplicity, omit from notation that we understand a restriction of the morphism under consideration. Any inclusion of a subvariety is denoted by  $\text{inc}$ . The sheaf-theoretic direct image w.r.t. a morphism  $f$  is denoted by  $f_*$ . When  $R$  is a commutative  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra, we denote by  $R^\times$  its group of invertible elements. The restriction of a line bundle or torsor  $\mathcal{F}$  to a subvariety  $S$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{F}|_S$ .

## 3. SETUP

Let  $\text{SL}_2((t))$  be the algebraic loop group of  $\text{SL}_2$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $X = \text{SL}_2((t))/I$  be the affine flag variety of  $\text{SL}_2$ , an ind-projective ind-variety over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{X} = \text{SL}_2((t))/I^u \rightarrow \text{SL}_2((t))/I$  be the canonical projection, a  $T^\circ$ -torsor. Here  $I$  is the standard Iwahori group scheme of  $\text{SL}_2((t))$  with  $R$ -valued points

$$I(R) = \left\{ g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ tc & d \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c, d \in R[[t]], \det g = 1 \right\}, \quad (3.1)$$

$I^u$  is the pro-unipotent radical of  $I$ , and  $T^\circ \subseteq \text{SL}_2$  is the torus of diagonal matrices. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the level line bundle on  $\text{SL}_2((t))/I$ , see e.g. [Zhu10]. It is normalized such that  $\mathcal{C}|_{(P_i/I)} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$  for each  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ , where  $\text{SL}_2((t)) \supseteq P_i \supseteq I$  is the parabolic subgroup associated to  $i$ . We denote  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = \tilde{\pi}^* \mathcal{C}$ , a line bundle on  $\tilde{X}$ . For any subvariety  $S \subseteq X$  we denote  $\tilde{S} = \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(S) \subseteq \tilde{X}$ . Let  $(\cdot)^\times$  denote the  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -torsor of invertible sections of a line bundle. We may, and will, in order to distinguish it from other  $\mathbb{G}_m$  appearing in the text, denote the structure group  $\mathbb{G}_m$  of  $\mathcal{C}^\times$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^\times$  by  $\mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}}$ . Here  $\text{cent}$  stands for ‘‘central’’ because of the possible interpretation of  $\mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}}$  in terms of the central extension of  $\text{SL}_2((t))$ , which, however, will not play an important role in this article. Let  $X_w$  be the  $I$ -orbit in  $X$ , i.e. the finite dimensional Schubert cell, associated to the element  $w$  of the affine Weyl group  $W$  of  $\text{SL}_2$  and  $\overline{X_w}$  its Zariski closure in  $X$ , the corresponding Schubert variety. Let  $s_i$ ,  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ , denote the two simple reflections in  $W$ . We recall that the Demazure resolution  $(P_1 \times_I P_0)/I \rightarrow \overline{X_{s_1 s_0}}$  is an isomorphism, in particular  $\overline{X_{s_1 s_0}}$  is a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over  $\mathbb{P}^1$ .

## 4. LOCAL COORDINATES AND TRIVIALIZATIONS

4.1. **Local coordinates on  $\overline{O}$ .** We set  $O(R) = \begin{pmatrix} t & R^\times t^{-1} + R^\times \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} I(R)$ , then  $O(R)$  are the  $R$ -valued points of a locally closed subvariety  $O$  of  $X$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** There are open subsets  $\{U_i\}_{i=1,2,3,4}$  of  $\overline{X_{s_1 s_0}}$  such that the isomorphism  $O \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{G}_m^2$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} t & a_{-1}t^{-1} + a_0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} I &\mapsto (a_{-1}, a_0) \\ &\mapsto \left( \frac{1}{a_{-1}}, \frac{a_0}{a_{-1}^2} \right) \\ &\mapsto \left( a_{-1}, \frac{1}{a_0} \right) \\ &\mapsto \left( \frac{1}{a_{-1}}, \frac{a_{-1}^2}{a_0} \right) \end{aligned}$$

extends to an isomorphism  $U_i \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{A}^2$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , respectively. We denote the inverse of this isomorphism by  $\Phi_i : \mathbb{A}^2 \xrightarrow{\cong} U_i$ .

*Proof.* That the isomorphisms extend follows from the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} t & a_{-1}t^{-1} + a_0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} I &\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{a_{-1}^2}{a_0}t^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} I & a_{-1} \rightarrow \infty, \frac{a_0}{a_{-1}^2} \text{ fixed} \\ &\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -a_{-1} & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} I & a_{-1} \text{ fixed}, a_0 \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_{-1}t^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} I &\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t^{-1} \\ -t & 0 \end{pmatrix} I & a_{-1} \rightarrow \infty \\ \begin{pmatrix} t & a_{-1}t^{-1} \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} I &\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t^{-1} \\ -t & 0 \end{pmatrix} I & a_{-1} \rightarrow \infty \\ \begin{pmatrix} t & a_0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} I &\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} I & a_0 \rightarrow \infty \\ \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} I &\rightarrow I & a_0 \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which is easily shown by multiplying from the right by a suitable element of  $I$ .  $\square$

For any  $i$ , we denote by  $(x, y)$  the coordinates of the source of  $\Phi_i$ . We have  $U_1 = X_{s_1 s_0}$ , hence  $\overline{O} = \overline{X_{s_1 s_0}}$ , and  $\overline{O} = \bigcup_{i=1}^4 U_i$ , i.e.  $\{U_i\}_{i=1,2,3,4}$  is an open cover of  $\overline{O}$ .

**4.2. Local trivializations of  $\tilde{\pi}|\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ .** In this section we write down a trivialization of the  $T^\circ$ -torsor  $\tilde{\pi}|U_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** Set  $g = \begin{pmatrix} t & a_{-1}t^{-1} + a_0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ . The section  $O \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ ,  $gI \mapsto g f_i I^u$ , of  $\tilde{\pi}$  extends to a section  $\sigma_i : U_i \rightarrow \tilde{U}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , of  $\tilde{\pi}$ , where

$$f_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad f_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a_{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{a_{-1}} \end{pmatrix} \quad f_3 = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{a_0} \end{pmatrix} \quad f_4 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a_0}{a_{-1}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{a_{-1}}{a_0} \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* For  $i = 1$  the statement is clear. In the remaining cases the proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 4.1, but now we instead multiply by suitable elements of  $I^u$  from the right.  $\square$

The section  $\sigma_i$  defines a trivialization  $\tau_{i,T^\circ} : U_i \times T^\circ \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{U}_i$  of the  $T^\circ$ -torsor  $\tilde{\pi}|U_i$ .

**4.3. Local trivializations of  $\mathcal{C}|\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ .** We first compute the transition functions w.r.t. local trivializations of  $\mathcal{C}^{-1}|\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ , where  $\mathcal{C}^{-1}$  denotes the line bundle inverse (dual) to  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $\tau_{i,\mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}}}^{(-1)} : U_i \times \mathbb{A}^1 \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{C}^{-1}|U_i$  be a trivialization of  $\mathcal{C}^{-1}|U_i$ . The corresponding transition functions  $t_{ij}^{(-1)} : U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}}$  are expressed through the  $\tau_{i,\mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}}}^{(-1)}$  by

$$\tau_{i,\mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}}}^{(-1)-1} \circ \tau_{j,\mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}}}^{(-1)} : U_i \cap U_j \times \mathbb{A}^1 \xrightarrow{\cong} U_i \cap U_j \times \mathbb{A}^1, (x, v) \mapsto (x, t_{ij}^{(-1)}(x)v).$$

Of course, the  $t_{ij}^{(-1)}$  can be changed by a multiplicative constant.

**Lemma 4.3.** We have

$$\begin{aligned} t_{12}^{(-1)} &= \frac{1}{x^3} \circ \Phi_1^{-1}|U_1 \cap U_2 \\ t_{13}^{(-1)} &= \frac{1}{y} \circ \Phi_1^{-1}|U_1 \cap U_3 \\ t_{14}^{(-1)} &= \frac{1}{xy} \circ \Phi_1^{-1}|U_1 \cap U_4. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We have an isomorphism of line bundles  $\mathcal{C}^{-1}|\overline{X_{s_1 s_0}} \cong \Omega_{\overline{X_{s_1 s_0}}}(\overline{X_{s_1}} + \overline{X_{s_0}})$ , see e.g. [Zhu10], where the right-hand side are the top-degree forms on  $\overline{X_{s_1 s_0}}$  with possible simple poles along the Schubert divisors. This description is the reason why we consider  $\mathcal{C}^{-1}$  at all. Let  $\omega_i \in \Gamma(U_i, \Omega_{\overline{X_{s_1 s_0}}}(\overline{X_{s_1}} + \overline{X_{s_0}}))$  be a nowhere vanishing section (unique up to nonzero constant). We have  $t_{ij}^{(-1)} = \frac{\omega_j}{\omega_i} \in \Gamma(U_i \cap U_j, \mathcal{O})^\times$ . We have a canonical isomorphism

$$\Gamma(U_i, \Omega_{\overline{X_{s_1 s_0}}}(\overline{X_{s_1}} + \overline{X_{s_0}})) = \begin{cases} \Gamma(U_1, \Omega_{U_1}) & i = 1 \\ \Gamma(U_2, \Omega_{U_2}(\Phi_2(\{0\} \times \mathbb{A}^1))) & i = 2 \\ \Gamma(U_3, \Omega_{U_3}(\Phi_3(\mathbb{A}^1 \times \{0\}))) & i = 3 \\ \Gamma(U_4, \Omega_{U_4}(\Phi_4(\mathbb{A}^1 \times \{0\} \cup \{0\} \times \mathbb{A}^1))) & i = 4. \end{cases}$$

It is now easy to write down explicit expressions for the  $\omega_i$ , from which we then find the expressions for the  $t_{ij}^{(-1)}$  given in the lemma.  $\square$

The trivialization  $\tau_{i, \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}}}^{(-1)}$  induces a trivialization  $\tau_{i, \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}}} : U_i \times \mathbb{A}^1 \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{C} | U_i$  of  $\mathcal{C} | U_i$  and the corresponding transition functions are  $t_{ij} = \frac{1}{t_{ij}^{(-1)}}$ .

**4.4. Local coordinates on  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^\times | \tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ .** Combining the local coordinates and trivializations of the previous sections we now introduce local coordinates on the total space of  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^\times | \tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ . We define

$$\Phi_{i, T^\circ, \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}}} = \tilde{\pi}^* \tau_{i, \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}}} \circ (\tau_{i, T^\circ} \times \text{id}) \circ (\Phi_i \times \text{id}) : \mathbb{A}^2 \times T^\circ \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^\times | \tilde{U}_i$$

for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . This composition is  $T^\circ \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}}$ -equivariant for the obvious  $T^\circ \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}}$ -action on  $\mathbb{A}^2 \times T^\circ \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}}$  as all three composition factors are so. Using this we define

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{ij, T^\circ, \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}}} &= \Phi_{i, T^\circ, \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}}}^{-1} \circ \Phi_{j, T^\circ, \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}}} : \\ \Phi_j^{-1}(U_i \cap U_j) \times T^\circ \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}} &\xrightarrow{\cong} \Phi_i^{-1}(U_i \cap U_j) \times T^\circ \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}} . \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 4.1.** The isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{12, T^\circ, \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}}} &: \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{A}^1 \times T^\circ \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{A}^1 \times T^\circ \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}} \\ \Psi_{13, T^\circ, \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}}} &: \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{G}_m \times T^\circ \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{G}_m \times T^\circ \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}} \\ \Psi_{14, T^\circ, \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}}} &: \mathbb{G}_m^2 \times T^\circ \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{G}_m^2 \times T^\circ \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}} \end{aligned}$$

is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \left( x, y, \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, v \right) &\mapsto \left( \frac{1}{x}, \frac{y}{x^2}, \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a}{x} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{x}{a} \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{x^3} v \right) \\ &\mapsto \left( x, \frac{1}{y}, \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a}{y} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{y}{a} \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{y} v \right) \\ &\mapsto \left( \frac{1}{x}, \frac{1}{x^2 y}, \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a}{xy} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{xy}{a} \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{x^3 y} v \right) \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

*Proof.* This is a direct computation using only Lemma 4.1, Lemma 4.2, and Lemma 4.3.  $\square$

## 5. LOCAL SYSTEM $\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda, \kappa, \mu_{-1}, \mu_0}$

The isomorphism  $\Phi_{1, T^\circ, \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}}}$  restricts to an isomorphism  $\mathbb{G}_m^2 \times T^\circ \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^\times | \tilde{\mathcal{O}}$  denoted by the same symbol. For  $\Lambda, \kappa, \mu_{-1}, \mu_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  we define the local system  $\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda, \kappa, \mu_{-1}, \mu_0}$  of rank one in the category of right  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules on  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^\times | \tilde{\mathcal{O}}$  by

$$\Phi_{1, T^\circ, \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}}}^* \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda, \kappa, \mu_{-1}, \mu_0} = \Omega_{\Lambda, \kappa, \mu_{-1}, \mu_0} = \Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{(\mu_{-1})} \boxtimes \Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{(\mu_0)} \boxtimes \Omega_{T^\circ}^{(\Lambda)} \boxtimes \Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}}}^{(\kappa)} .$$

Here we employed the rank-one local system  $\Omega_A^{(\lambda)}$  in the category of right  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules on  $A$  defined by  $\Omega_A^{(\lambda)} = \mathcal{D}_A / (\xi_v + \lambda(v), v \in \mathfrak{a})\mathcal{D}_A$ , where  $A$  is any algebraic torus,  $\mathfrak{a}$  is its Lie algebra,  $\xi_v$  is the translation vector field on  $A$  given by  $v \in \mathfrak{a}$ , and  $\lambda : \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a linear map.  $\mathcal{D}_A$  is the sheaf of differential operators on  $A$  and  $(\xi_v + \lambda(v), v \in \mathfrak{a})\mathcal{D}_A$  is the right ideal of it generated by the indicated relations. In the case  $A = \mathbb{G}_m$  we identify  $\lambda$  canonically with a complex number. In the case of  $\Omega_{T^\circ}^{(\Lambda)}$  we moreover use the isomorphism  $\mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{\cong} T^\circ$  given by  $a \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$  in order to be able to consider  $\Lambda$  as a complex number. Our choice of the parameter name  $\mu_{-1}$  and  $\mu_0$  is due to the fact that the corresponding coordinates in  $O$ , given by  $\Phi_1$ , are the coefficients of  $t^{-1}$  and  $t^0$ . Of course, the local system  $\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda, \kappa, \mu_{-1}, \mu_0}$  can equivalently be viewed as a sheaf of modules on  $O$  for the  $(\Lambda, \kappa)$ -twisted differential operators on  $O$ , but in this article we only use this for terminological convenience in the title and some other places and not in computations. It is thus natural to refer to  $\Lambda$  and  $\kappa$  as the twist parameters.

## 6. CLEANNES OF THE $\mathcal{D}$ -MODULE EXTENSION

We recall the notion of cleanness of a  $\mathcal{D}$ -module extension [Ber]. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a holonomic right  $\mathcal{D}$ -module on a smooth variety  $X$  and  $\iota : X \hookrightarrow Y$  a locally closed affine embedding into a smooth variety  $Y$ . There is a canonical morphism  $\text{can}_\iota : \iota_*\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \iota_*\mathcal{M}$  of holonomic right  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules on  $Y$  that is the identity when restricted to  $X$ . The  $\mathcal{D}$ -module extension of  $\mathcal{M}$  w.r.t.  $\iota$  is called clean if  $\text{can}_\iota$  is an isomorphism. The following remark can be deduced from the construction of  $\text{can}_\iota$ .

**Remark 6.1.** In case  $\iota$  is an open affine embedding and  $\{U_i\}_i$  is an open cover of  $Y$  we have  $\text{can}_\iota|_{U_i} = \text{can}_{\iota_i}$  for each  $i$ , where  $\iota_i : \iota^{-1}(U_i) \hookrightarrow U_i$  is the restriction of  $\iota$ . Thus  $\text{can}_\iota$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $\text{can}_{\iota_i}$  is an isomorphism for each  $i$ . This means that the  $\mathcal{D}$ -module extension of  $\mathcal{M}$  w.r.t.  $\iota$  is clean if and only if the  $\mathcal{D}$ -module extension of the restriction  $\mathcal{M}|_{\iota_i^{-1}(U_i)}$  w.r.t.  $\iota_i$  is clean for each  $i$ .

**Lemma 6.1.** Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ . The  $\mathcal{D}$ -module extension of  $\Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{(\mu_1)} \boxtimes \Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{(\mu_2)}$  w.r.t.  $\text{inc} : \mathbb{G}_m^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$  is clean if and only if  $\mu_1 \notin \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mu_2 \notin \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* We first argue that the extension of  $\Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{(\epsilon\mu_1)} \boxtimes \Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{(\epsilon\mu_2)}$  w.r.t.  $\text{inc}$  is clean for  $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$  if and only if  $\text{inc}_*(\Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{(\epsilon\mu_1)} \boxtimes \Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{(\epsilon\mu_2)})$  is a simple  $\mathcal{D}$ -module on  $\mathbb{A}^2$  for  $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ . We know [Ber] that the image of  $\text{can}_{\text{inc}} : \text{inc}_!(\Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{(\mu_1)} \boxtimes \Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{(\mu_2)}) \rightarrow \text{inc}_*(\Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{(\mu_1)} \boxtimes \Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{(\mu_2)})$  is a simple  $\mathcal{D}$ -module on  $\mathbb{A}^2$  and thus obtain one implication. For the other implication we use that if  $\text{inc}_*(\Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{(\mu_1)} \boxtimes \Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{(\mu_2)})$  is simple, then  $\text{can}_{\text{inc}}$  surjects and hence  $\mathbb{D}\text{can}_{\text{inc}}$ , which can be identified with  $\text{can}_{\text{inc}} : \text{inc}_!(\Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{(-\mu_1)} \boxtimes \Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{(-\mu_2)}) \rightarrow \text{inc}_*(\Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{(-\mu_1)} \boxtimes \Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{(-\mu_2)})$ , injects. Here  $\mathbb{D}$  denotes the holonomic duality functor on  $\mathbb{A}^2$ . Finally, it is easy to see that  $\text{inc}_*(\Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{(\mu_1)} \boxtimes \Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{(\mu_2)})$  is a simple  $\mathcal{D}$ -module on  $\mathbb{A}^2$  if and only if  $\mu_1 \notin \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mu_2 \notin \mathbb{Z}$ . Indeed, this follows from elementary

arguments using the explicit action of  $x, y, \partial_x, \partial_y$  on the elements of the natural  $\mathbb{C}$ -basis of  $\Gamma(\mathbb{G}_m, \Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{(\mu_1)}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Gamma(\mathbb{G}_m, \Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{(\mu_2)})$ .  $\square$

The following theorem is the main result of this work.

**Theorem 6.1.** Let  $\Lambda, \kappa, \mu_{-1}, \mu_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ . The  $\mathcal{D}$ -module extension of  $\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda, \kappa, \mu_{-1}, \mu_0}$  w.r.t. the inclusion  $\text{inc} : \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^\times | \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^\times$  is clean if and only if  $\mu_{-1} \notin \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mu_0 \notin \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mu_{-1} + 2\mu_0 + \Lambda + 3\kappa \notin \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mu_0 + \Lambda + \kappa \notin \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* By Remark 6.1, as  $\{\tilde{U}_i\}_{i=1,2,3,4}$  is an open cover of  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ , the extension of  $\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda, \kappa, \mu_{-1}, \mu_0}$  w.r.t. the locally closed affine inclusion  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^\times | \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^\times$  is clean if and only if it is clean w.r.t. the open affine inclusion  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^\times | \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^\times | \tilde{U}_i$  for each  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^\times | \tilde{\mathcal{O}} & \xrightarrow{\text{inc}} & \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^\times | \tilde{U}_i \\ \uparrow \cong \Phi_{i, T^\circ, \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}}} & & \cong \uparrow \Phi_{i, T^\circ, \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}}} \\ \mathbb{G}_m^2 \times T^\circ \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}} & \xrightarrow{\text{inc} \times \text{id}_{T^\circ} \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}}} & \mathbb{A}^2 \times T^\circ \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}} \end{array}$$

for each  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . Hence, from the definition of  $\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda, \kappa, \mu_{-1}, \mu_0}$  and Lemma 6.1 it follows that for  $i = 1$  this is the case if and only if  $\mu_{-1} \notin \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mu_0 \notin \mathbb{Z}$ . From the definition of  $\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda, \kappa, \mu_{-1}, \mu_0}$  and Corollary 4.1 we conclude

$$\Phi_{i, T^\circ, \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}}}^* \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda, \kappa, \mu_{-1}, \mu_0} = \Psi_{1i, T^\circ, \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{cent}}}^* \Omega_{\Lambda, \kappa, \mu_{-1}, \mu_0} = \begin{cases} \Omega_{\Lambda, \kappa, -\mu_{-1} - 2\mu_0 - \Lambda - 3\kappa, \mu_0} & i = 2 \\ \Omega_{\Lambda, \kappa, \mu_{-1}, -\mu_0 - \Lambda - \kappa} & i = 3 \\ \Omega_{\Lambda, \kappa, -\mu_{-1} - 2\mu_0 - \Lambda - 3\kappa, -\mu_0 - \Lambda - \kappa} & i = 4. \end{cases}$$

We used the canonical isomorphism  $m^* \Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{(\mu)} = \Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{(\mu)} \boxtimes \Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{(\mu)}$  for the multiplication morphism  $m : \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ . According to Lemma 6.1, for  $i = 2$  the extension is thus clean if and only if  $\mu_{-1} + 2\mu_0 + \Lambda + 3\kappa \notin \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mu_0 \notin \mathbb{Z}$ . For  $i = 3$  it is clean if and only if  $\mu_{-1} \notin \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mu_0 + \Lambda + \kappa \notin \mathbb{Z}$ . For  $i = 4$  it is clean if and only if  $\mu_{-1} + 2\mu_0 + \Lambda + 3\kappa \notin \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mu_0 + \Lambda + \kappa \notin \mathbb{Z}$ .  $\square$

Each of the four non-integrality conditions of the theorem corresponds to an irreducible component of  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \setminus \mathcal{O}$ . Note that in the case where the twist parameters are integral, i.e.  $\Lambda, \kappa \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we can view  $\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda, \kappa, \mu_{-1}, \mu_0}$  as a local system in the category of right  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules on  $\mathcal{O}$ , and the non-integrality conditions of the theorem reduce to  $\mu_{-1}, \mu_0, \mu_{-1} + 2\mu_0 \notin \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Remark 6.2.** In the theorem, cleanness can equivalently be replaced by simplicity of  $\text{inc}_* \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda, \kappa, \mu_{-1}, \mu_0}$  as a right  $\mathcal{D}$ -module on  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^\times | \tilde{\mathcal{O}}$  and also of  $\text{inc}_* \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda, \kappa, \mu_{-1}, \mu_0}$  as a right  $\mathcal{D}$ -module on  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^\times$ .

It would be interesting to describe the subquotients of the  $!$ - and  $*$ -extension of  $\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda, \kappa, \mu_{-1}, \mu_0}$  in case at least one of the non-integrality conditions of the theorem is violated.

Let us finally comment on the implication of this result for the global sections of the right  $\mathcal{D}$ -module  $\text{inc}_* \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda, \kappa, \mu_{-1}, \mu_0}$  on  $\tilde{C}^\times$ . Because we can identify  $\tilde{C}^\times$  with a version of the enhanced affine flag variety of  $\text{SL}_2$ , the correct global sections functor  $\Gamma$  is defined in [BD].  $\Gamma(\text{inc}_* \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda, \kappa, \mu_{-1}, \mu_0})$  thus becomes a representation of the affine Kac-Moody algebra  $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$  of a certain level. It would be interesting to describe  $\Gamma(\text{inc}_* \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda, \kappa, \mu_{-1}, \mu_0})$  by an explicit algebraic construction. Let us assume that  $(\Lambda, \kappa)$  is regular and antidominant in the sense of [BD][7.15.5]. If the hope of [BD][7.15.7 (ii)] is true, then, in view of [BD][7.15.6 Theorem] and Remark 6.2,  $\Gamma(\text{inc}_* \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda, \kappa, \mu_{-1}, \mu_0})$  is an irreducible  $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ -representation if and only if the condition on  $\Lambda, \kappa, \mu_{-1}, \mu_0$  of Theorem 6.1 holds. Even if the hope is false, this condition is still necessary for the  $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ -representation to be irreducible.

#### REFERENCES

- [BD] A. Beilinson and V. Drinfeld. *Quantization of Hitchin's integrable system and Hecke eigensheaves*.
- [Ber] J. Bernstein. Algebraic theory of D-modules. available at <http://www.math.uchicago.edu/~mitya/langlands.html>. unpublished.
- [Eic16] C. Eicher. Relaxed highest weight modules from  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules on the Kashiwara flag scheme. arXiv:1607.06342 [math.RT], 2016. submitted to Advances in Mathematics.
- [Eic20] C. Eicher. Localization of relaxed Verma modules over affine Kac-Moody algebras: the case of not necessarily integral parameters, 2020. in preparation.
- [Zhu10] X. Zhu. Loop groups and their flag varieties, 2010. Lecture notes.

SKOLKOVO INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, MOSCOW, RUSSIA  
*Email address:* C.Eicher@skoltech.ru