

**STABLE COHOMOLOGY OF DISCRIMINANT COMPLEMENTS
FOR AN ALGEBRAIC CURVE**

ISHAN BANERJEE

ABSTRACT. Let \mathcal{L} be a degree $n \geq 1$ line bundle on a smooth projective complex algebraic curve X . Let $U(\mathcal{L})$ (resp. $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$) denote the set of algebraic (resp. C^∞) sections of \mathcal{L} . We show that:

- (1) The inclusion $U(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$ induces an isomorphism between $H^*(\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L}); \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^*(U(\mathcal{L}); \mathbb{Z})$ for $* \ll n$.
- (2) $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$ is aspherical.
- (3) $\pi_1(\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L}))$ is a group closely related to the surface braid group $Br_n(X)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we are concerned with understanding the difference between spaces of algebraic and C^∞ sections of complex line bundles on a smooth projective algebraic curve X over \mathbb{C} . We prove that these spaces have isomorphic cohomology in a range of degrees that grows with the degree of the line bundle.

Let X be a smooth projective algebraic curve over \mathbb{C} of genus g . Let \mathcal{L} be an algebraic line bundle on X of degree n .

Let $C^\infty(X, \mathcal{L})$ be the vector space of *smooth* sections of \mathcal{L} . Given $s \in C^\infty(X, \mathcal{L})$, we say $p \in X$ is a *regular zero* of s if $s(p) = 0$ and $s'(p) \neq 0$. If $s \in C^\infty(X, \mathcal{L})$ is an algebraic section, then being a regular zero is equivalent to having index 1. Let

$$\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L}) = \{s \in C^\infty(X, \mathcal{L}) \mid \text{all zeroes of } s \text{ are isolated and of index } 1\}.$$

Let

$$U(\mathcal{L}) := \{s \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L}) : \text{All zeroes of } s \text{ are regular}\}.$$

There is a natural inclusion map $i : U(\mathcal{L}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$. The aim of the present paper is to understand this inclusion at the level of cohomology. Our main theorem is as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a smooth projective complex algebraic curve of genus g . Let $n \geq 0$. Let \mathcal{L} be an algebraic line bundle of degree n on X . Let $i : U(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$ be the inclusion map. Then for all $0 \leq 2k \leq n - 2g$,*

$$i^* : H^k(\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L}); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^k(U(\mathcal{L}); \mathbb{Z})$$

is an isomorphism.

We also provide some qualitative understanding of the topology of the space $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$ and relate it with more classical objects. Let $n = \deg(\mathcal{L})$. Given a space M , define

$$\text{PConf}_n M := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in M^n \mid x_i \neq x_j\}.$$

The permutation action of S_n on M^n restricts to an action on $\text{PConf}_n M$. Let

$$\text{UConf}_n M = \text{Pconf}_n M / S_n$$

be the *unordered configuration space* of n points on M .

Define the n stranded *surface braid group* on a surface X as

$$Br_n(X) := \pi_1(\text{UConf}_n(X)).$$

For our purposes we will need to define a group $\tilde{B}r_n(X)$ that we call the extended surface braid group. This will be defined later on in Section 3 as $\pi_1(U_n^{alg})$ where U_n^{alg} is a space defined in Section 3 that is a \mathbb{C}^* bundle over $\text{UConf}_n X$. 1

Let $\pi : \tilde{B}r_n(X) \rightarrow Br_n(X)$ be the projection. Now, $\text{UConf}_n(X) \subseteq \text{Sym}^n(X)$ has an Abel Jacobi map to $\text{Pic}^n(X)$. This induces a map $\alpha : Br_n(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$. Let $\mathcal{A} = \alpha \circ \pi$. Let $K_n \subseteq \tilde{B}r_n(X)$ be the kernel of this map.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $n \geq 1$. Let X be a smooth projective curve. Let \mathcal{L} be a line bundle of degree n on X . The space $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$ is a $K(\pi, 1)$. Furthermore,*

$$\pi_1(\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})) \cong K_n.$$

Motivation In [7] Vakil and Wood consider (among other things) the 'stable class' of the discriminant locus in the Grothendieck group of varieties $K_0(\text{Var})$. Let us recall the definition of the *Grothendieck group of varieties*. Let us fix a base field k . Then we can consider the set

$$\text{Var}_k = \{X : X \text{ is a variety over } k\} / \text{isomorphism}.$$

We can form a monoid M out of Var_k as follows: let M be generated by elements of Var_k , with the relation, if $Y \subseteq X$, $[X] = [X - Y] + [Y] \in M$. The *Grothendieck group* $K_0(\text{Var}_k)$ is the group completion of M . It has a ring structure coming from the product of varieties. In the literature, the element \mathbb{A}^1 (often denoted \mathbb{L}) is sometimes inverted. Define $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{L}} = K_0(\text{Var}_k)[\frac{1}{\mathbb{L}}]$.

Consider a smooth variety X along with an ample line bundle \mathcal{L} on it.

Theorem 1.3 (Vakil - Wood [7]). *Let $j \geq 1$. Let $U(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes j})$ be the (open) variety of sections with smooth zero locus. Let ζ_X be the Kapranov motivic zeta function, and let d be the dimension of X . Then,*

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{[U(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes j})]}{[H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes j})]} = \frac{1}{\zeta_X(d+1)}.$$

Here the limit is with respect to the dimension filtration in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{L}}$.

While Theorem 1.3 seems to have nothing to do with the cohomology of the space $U(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes j})$, there is a specialisation map

$$K_0(\text{Var}_k) \rightarrow \{\text{Weighted Euler characteristics}\}.$$

Thus, Theorem 1.3 implies that there is a stabilisation of Euler characteristics and one can hope for a stabilisation in cohomology as well.

In [6], Tommasi proves a cohomological result in the same vein as that of this paper, where she studies discriminant complements on \mathbb{P}^n . Her set up is as follows. Let $d, n \geq 1$. Let $X = \mathbb{P}^n$. Let $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(d)$. Let

$$U(\mathcal{L}) = \{f \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L}) \mid f \text{ has only regular zeroes}\}.$$

Then the main theorem of [6] stated in our notation is as follows:

Theorem 1.4 (Tommasi [6]). *Let $d, n \geq 1$. Let $X = \mathbb{P}^n$, $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(d)$. Let $0 \leq k \leq \frac{d+1}{2}$. Then*

$$H^k(U(\mathcal{L}); \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^k(GL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}).$$

Our motivation for the present paper was to understand if there are stability phenomena for discriminant complements over general varieties and whether cohomology in the stable range is dependent only on the topology of the variety. Theorem 1.1 shows that at least in the case of an algebraic curve there is some kind of stability phenomenon with cohomology in the stable range being purely topological in nature. We are currently working on extending these results to more general varieties.

Relation to other work: Orsola Tommasi has announced some results on homological stability for discriminant complements over arbitrary smooth projective varieties. We believe that the results in this paper are substantially different from hers. We focus on relating discriminant complements to spaces of C^∞ sections, which is not the focus of her results.

Acknowledgements: I would like to thank my advisor Benson Farb for his patience and help with editing countless versions of this paper. I'd like to thank Ravi Vakil and Madhav Nori for answering several of my questions, without which I would not have been able to prove these theorems.

2. SMOOTH SECTIONS

The space $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$ is actually easy to understand topologically. There is a fibration $\pi : \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \text{UConf}_n X$ defined by: $\pi(f) = \{a \mid f(a) = 0\}$. We shall need to understand the fibres $\pi^{-1}(\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}) \subseteq \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$, but first we shall introduce some basic objects and prove some more technical lemmas. Let Y, Z be based spaces. Let $C(Y, Z), C_*(Y, Z)$ denote the space of continuous maps from Y to Z and the space of based continuous maps from Y to Z . Let X be a C^∞ manifold. Let $\mathfrak{G} = C^\infty(X, \mathbb{C}^*)$. For $p \in X$, let

$$\mathfrak{G}_p = \{f \in \mathfrak{G} \mid f(p) = 1\}.$$

Before we begin with stating and proving the propositions in this section, we note that they are mostly applications of the fact that \mathbb{C}^* is a $K(\pi, 1)$ space and is covered by \mathbb{C} a contractible space. The space of continuous based maps into a $K(\pi, 1)$ has been classically studied first by Thom and then by many others. Lemma 2.4 is a bit more specific to our situation and is not an immediate application of the theory of $K(\pi, 1)$ spaces.

Proposition 2.1. *with the above notation,*

- (1) \mathfrak{G}_p is weak homotopy equivalent to $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$.
- (2) \mathfrak{G} is weak homotopy equivalent to $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{C}^*$.

Proof. The space \mathbb{C}^* is a $K(\mathbb{Z}, 1)$ and by the Proposition labelled Thom [4] in [3] $C_*(X, \mathbb{C}^*)$ is homotopy equivalent to $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ (i.e. each of its components is contractible and the set of components is in natural bijection with $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$). By Theorem 1.5 of [4], $C_*(X, \mathbb{C}^*)$ is weak homotopy equivalent to $C^\infty(X, \mathbb{C}^*)$. This establishes (1). To establish (2) we note that \mathfrak{G} is homeomorphic to $\mathfrak{G}_p \times \mathbb{C}^*$, indeed an explicit homeomorphism is given $(f, \alpha) \in \mathfrak{G}_p \times \mathbb{C}^* \mapsto \alpha f \in \mathfrak{G}$. □

Proposition 2.2. *Let $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| \leq 1\}$. Let*

$$S = \{f \in C^\infty(D - \{0\}, \mathbb{C}^*) \mid f(z) = 1 \text{ for } z \in S^1\}.$$

Then S is contractible.

Proof. Let

$$S' = \{f \in C^\infty(D - \{0\}, \mathbb{C}) \mid f(z) = 0 \text{ for } z \in S^1\}.$$

Then S' deformation retracts to the constant function $f(z) = 0$ by a straight line homotopy.

Now note that there is a covering map $\exp : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $\exp(0) = 1$. We note that if $f \in S$, f is nullhomotopic. Hence by the lifting criterion, there is a unique lift $\tilde{f} : D - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\tilde{f}(1) = 0$ and $\exp \circ \tilde{f} = f$. We know have a homeomorphism between S and S' $\phi : S \rightarrow S'$ be defined by $\phi(f) = \tilde{f}$.

This implies that S is contractible. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let D be the closed unit disk in \mathbb{C} . Let*

$$F = \{f \in C^\infty(D - \{0\}, \mathbb{C}^*) \mid f|_{\partial D} = 1\}.$$

Let

$$\tilde{F} = \{f \in C^\infty(D - \{0\}, \mathbb{C}^*) \mid f \text{ is nullhomotopic and } f(1) = 1\}.$$

Then \tilde{F} deformation retracts to the point f_0 , where $f_0(x) = 1$ for all x . Furthermore, the deformation retraction preserves the subset F .

Proof. Given $f \in \tilde{F}$ we can lift it to a unique map $\tilde{f} : D - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, such that $\exp \circ \tilde{f} = f$ and $\tilde{f}(1) = 0$. The straight line homotopy in \mathbb{C} defines a homotopy between \tilde{f} and the constant function. This in turn defines a homotopy h between f and f_0 . This gives us our deformation retraction. It is easy to see that this preserves F . \square

Lemma 2.4. *Recall that $\mathfrak{G} = C^\infty(X, \mathbb{C}^*)$. Let $n \geq 1$. Let $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \in \text{UConf}_n X$. Then,*

- (1) *There is a free action of \mathfrak{G} (as a group under multiplication) on $\pi^{-1}(\{a_1, \dots, a_n\})$.*
- (2) *The quotient $\pi^{-1}(\{a_1, \dots, a_n\})/\mathfrak{G}$ is contractible.*

Proof. We define our action as follows: if $s \in \pi^{-1}(\{a_1, \dots, a_n\})$ and $g \in \mathfrak{G}$, define $g.s(x) = g(x)s(x)$. If $g.s(x) = 0$ then $s(x) = 0$ as $g(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in X$. Furthermore, if $g.s = s$ then $g(x) = 1$ for $x \in X - \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ and since $X - \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ is dense, $g(x) = 1$ for all $x \in X$. This concludes the proof of (1).

Let D_i be small disks surrounding the points a_i . Let $G_i = \{f \in C^\infty(D_i, \mathbb{C}^*) \mid f|_{\partial D_i} = 1\}$. We can identify each G_i with the set of based maps from S^2 to \mathbb{C}^* . Since $\pi_1(S^2) = 0$, any based map $f : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ lifts to a unique map $\tilde{f} : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Hence G_i is homeomorphic to the space of based maps from S^2 to \mathbb{C} and since \mathbb{C} is contractible, G_i is contractible.

Let $F_i = \{f \in C^\infty(D_i - a_i, \mathbb{C}^*) : f|_{\partial D_i} = 1\}$. By Proposition 2.2 F_i is contractible. Let

$$\tilde{F}_i = \{f \in C(D_i - a_i, \mathbb{C}^*) \mid f|_{\partial D_i} \text{ is nullhomotopic}\}.$$

Let

$$\tilde{G}_i = \{f \in C(D_i, \mathbb{C}^*)\}.$$

Since D_i is contractible, the space $\tilde{G}_i \simeq \mathbb{C}^*$ and the quotient \tilde{F}_i/\tilde{G}_i is contractible (this is analogous to the proof of Proposition 2.2). There is an inclusion map $i : F_i/G_i \hookrightarrow \tilde{F}_i/\tilde{G}_i$ which is a homotopy equivalence as both spaces are contractible. By Lemma 2.3, there is a map $j : \tilde{F}_i/\tilde{G}_i \rightarrow F_i/G_i$ satisfying the following properties.

- (1) *There exists a homotopy $h : \tilde{F}_i \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \tilde{F}_i$ such that $h(f, 1) = f$, $h(f, 0) = j(f)$ and $h(f, t)|_{U_i} = f|_{U_i}$.*

(2) For all $f \in F_i$, $h(f, t) \in F_i$.

Let $A = \pi^{-1}(\{a_1, \dots, a_n\})/\mathfrak{G}$. Fix an $s_0 \in \pi^{-1}(\{a_1, \dots, a_n\})$. Let $\phi : A \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n \tilde{F}_i/\tilde{G}_i$ be defined as follows:

$$\phi(s) = (s/s_0|_{D_1-a_1}, \dots, s/s_0|_{D_n-a_n}).$$

We claim that ϕ is a homotopy equivalence. To prove this we first define $\psi : \prod_{i=1}^n F_i/G_i \rightarrow A$ as follows:

$$\psi(f_1, \dots, f_n)(x) = \begin{cases} f_i(x)s_0(x) & \text{if } x \in D_i \\ s_0(x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that $\psi \circ j \circ \phi \simeq \text{Id}$ (the homotopy h mentioned above can be seen to define such a homotopy). Since $\prod_{i=1}^n F_i/G_i$ is contractible this implies (2). \square

Remark: The action of \mathfrak{G} on $\pi^{-1}(\{a_1, \dots, a_n\})$ is in fact *not* transitive for any value of $n \geq 1$. The following example will illustrate this fact. Let D be the closed unit disk in \mathbb{C} which we identify with \mathbb{R}^2 . Let $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined as $f(x, y) = (x, y)$. Let $g : g(x, y) = (2x, y)$. Let $E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the unique degree 1 line bundle on \mathbb{P}^1 . Let $\phi : E|_D \rightarrow D \times \mathbb{C}$ be a trivialisation. Let \bar{f}, \bar{g} be C^∞ sections on \mathbb{P}^1 of E such that for $(x, y) \in D$, $\phi(\bar{f}(x, y)) = ((x, y), f(x, y))$ and $\phi(\bar{g}(x, y)) = ((x, y), g(x, y))$ (it follows from a standard obstruction theoretic argument that there indeed exist such \bar{f} and \bar{g}). If there exists $\bar{h} \in C^\infty(X, \mathbb{C}^*)$ such that $\bar{h}\bar{f} = \bar{g}$, then $\bar{h}(0, 0) = \lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} \frac{g(x,y)}{f(x,y)}$. But this limit does not exist and hence \bar{f} and \bar{g} are not in the same \mathfrak{G} orbit.

Corollary 2.5. *Let $n \geq 0$. Let X be a smooth projective curve and \mathcal{L} a line bundle on it of degree n . Then $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$ is a $K(\pi, 1)$.*

Proof. There is a fibration

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi^{-1}(\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L}) \\ & & \downarrow \pi \\ & & \text{UConf}_n X \end{array}$$

Lemma 2.4 implies that $\pi^{-1}(\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})) \simeq \mathfrak{G}$. The space \mathfrak{G} is a $K(\pi, 1)$ by Proposition 2.1. Since $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$ is the total space in a fibration with both base and fibre $K(\pi, 1)$ spaces is itself a $K(\pi, 1)$. \square

3. ABEL-JACOBI

In this section we will try to understand the space $U(\mathcal{L})$. Our method to understand the topology of $U(\mathcal{L})$ is by making it a subspace of a space U_n^{alg} which we shall construct.

We would like to remind the reader that to give a complex line bundle \mathcal{L} , a holomorphic structure h is equivalent to giving a Dolbeault operator $\partial_h : \Gamma(L) \rightarrow \Omega^{0,1} \otimes \Gamma(L)$. More details on Dolbeault operators and holomorphic structures may be found in Ch.3 of [1]. Let \mathcal{H}_n be the space of holomorphic structures on \mathcal{L} . The group \mathfrak{G}_p acts on \mathcal{H}_n with trivial stabilizers. The quotient $\mathcal{H}_n/\mathfrak{G}_p$ is naturally isomorphic to $\text{Pic}_n X$.

Let

$$\mathcal{U}_n = \{(s, h) \in \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L}) \times \mathcal{H}_n \mid s \text{ is a algebraic section of } \mathcal{L} \text{ with respect to } h\}.$$

Note that the groups \mathfrak{G} and \mathfrak{G}_p act on this space \mathcal{U}_n .

Let $U_n^{alg} := \mathcal{U}_n / \mathfrak{G}_p$. There is a surjective map $\pi : \mathcal{U}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_n$ defined by $\pi(s, h) = h$. Since π is equivariant with respect to the action of \mathfrak{G}_p , it descends to a surjection

$$\mathcal{A} : U_n^{alg} = \mathcal{U}_n / \mathfrak{G}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_n / \mathfrak{G}_p = \text{Pic}_n X.$$

We observe that for $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}_n X$, we have the equality $\mathcal{A}^{-1}(\mathcal{L}) = U(\mathcal{L})$. This map \mathcal{A} can be seen as a section level version of the Abel-Jacobi map. We now wish to understand the topology of U_n^{alg} .

Proposition 3.1. *Let $n \geq 1$.*

- (1) U_n^{alg} is a $K(\pi, 1)$.
- (2) There is a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi_1(U_n^{alg}) \rightarrow Br_n(X) \rightarrow 1.$$

Proof. There is a fibration $\pi : U_n^{alg} \rightarrow \text{UConf}_n X$ defined by

$$\pi(s, h) = \{a \in X \mid s(a) = 0\}.$$

If $\mathbf{a} = \{a_1 \dots a_n\} \in \text{UConf}_n X$, then $\pi^{-1}(\mathbf{a}) \cong \mathbb{C}^*$, as algebraic sections of a line bundle are uniquely identified with their zeroes up to a scalar. Since \mathbb{C}^* and $\text{UConf}_n X$ are $K(\pi, 1)$ spaces, so is U_n^{alg} . \square

3.1. An alternative definition of U_n^{alg} . In this subsection we will give an alternative definition of U_n^{alg} . This will not be used in the rest of the paper.

Let X be a smooth projective curve of genus g . Let $n > g$. Let $Sym^n X$ be the n th symmetric power of X . Let \mathcal{P} denote the Poincare line bundle on $X \times \text{Pic}_n X$, this is the unique line bundle on $X \times \text{Pic}_n(X)$ such that $\mathcal{P}|_{X \times \{\mathcal{L}\}} = \mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{P}|_{\{p\} \times \text{Pic}_n X}$. Let $\pi : X \times \text{Pic}_n X \rightarrow \text{Pic}_n X$ denote the projection. The pushforward $\pi_*(\mathcal{P})$ defines a vector bundle E on $\text{Pic}_n X$, sometimes called the Picard bundle. Let $E_0 \subseteq E$ denote the zero section. We may identify $Sym^n X$ with $E - E_0 / \mathbb{C}^*$, i.e. $Sym^n X$ is the projective space bundle associated to the vector bundle E . Let $\rho : E - E_0 \rightarrow Sym^n X$ denote the projection map. We then define U_n^{alg} to be $\rho^{-1}(\text{UConf}_n X)$.

Let us emphasize that $E - E_0 \rightarrow Sym^n X$ is not a trivial \mathbb{C}^* bundle. Indeed after restricting to a fibre of the projection $Sym^n X \rightarrow \text{Pic}_n X$ the bundle ρ restricts to the bundle $\mathbb{C}^{n-g+1} - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-g}$ which is classically known to be non trivial. While it is possible that the bundle $U_n^{alg} \rightarrow \text{UConf}_n X$ is a trivial \mathbb{C}^* bundle, we are unable to determine whether this is the case.

4. COMPARING DIFFERENT FIBRES

To understand $U(\mathcal{L})$ we will analyze the map $\mathcal{A} : U_n^{alg} \rightarrow \text{Pic}_n X$. We will prove that the map \mathcal{A} is similar to a homology fibration. More precisely, we have the following.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $n \geq 0$. Let X be a smooth projective complex algebraic curve of genus g , \mathcal{L} a line bundle of degree n on X . Let $2k \leq n - g$. Let \mathcal{A} be the map*

defined in Section 3. Let $W \subseteq \text{Pic}_n(X)$ be a small contractible neighbourhood of \mathcal{L} homeomorphic to a ball. Let

$$i : \mathcal{A}^{-1}(\mathcal{L}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{-1}(W)$$

be the inclusion map. Then

$$i^* : H^k(\mathcal{A}^{-1}(W); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^k(\mathcal{A}^{-1}(\mathcal{L}); \mathbb{Z})$$

is an isomorphism.

Before embarking on the proof of Theorem 4.1 we will need to set up some machinery.

There is a vector bundle $\pi : H^0(X, W) \rightarrow W$ defined as follows. Let

$$H^0(X, W) = \{(s, \mathcal{L}) \mid \mathcal{L} \in W, s \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L})\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{A}^{-1}(W)$ is an open subset of $H^0(X, W)$. The topology of the complement $\Sigma_W = H^0(X, W) - \mathcal{A}^{-1}(W)$ will be important for us to understand. It is immediate that $\Sigma_W = \{(f, \mathcal{L}) \mid \mathcal{L} \in W, f \in \Sigma(\mathcal{L})\}$, since for any $\mathcal{L} \in W$ $\mathcal{A}^{-1}(\mathcal{L})$ consists of all sections of \mathcal{L} with regular zeroes.

We will create a relative stratification of $\Sigma^{-1}(W)$. This is similar to the stratification in [6]. Let

$$\Sigma_{\mathcal{L}}^{\geq k} = \{f \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{L}} \mid |\text{Sing}(f)| \geq k\}.$$

Let $N = \frac{d-g}{2}$. We stratify $\Sigma_{\mathcal{L}}$, the complement of $\mathcal{A}^{-1}(\mathcal{L})$ in $H^0(X, \mathcal{L})$ by

$$\Sigma_{\mathcal{L}}^{\geq k} = \mathbb{P}\{f \in V \mid f \text{ has at least } k \text{ distinct singular zeroes}\},$$

for $k \leq N$. So $\Sigma_{\mathcal{L}}^{\geq 1} \supset \Sigma_{\mathcal{L}}^{\geq 2} \supset \dots$

Now we construct a cubical space C that will be involved in understanding $\Sigma(\mathcal{L})$.

Let $N = \frac{d-1}{2}$. Let I be a subset of $\{1, \dots, N-1\}$. Let $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ let

$$C_I := \{(f, x_1, \dots, x_k) \mid f \in \Sigma(\mathcal{L}), x_j \in \text{UConf}_{i_j}(X) \ x_1 \subseteq x_2, \dots, \subseteq x_k \subseteq \text{Singular zeroes of } f\}.$$

We define

$$C_{I \cup \{N\}} := \{(f, x_1, \dots, x_k) \in C_I \mid f \in \bar{\Sigma}^{\geq N}\}.$$

If $I \subseteq J$ then we have a natural map from $C_J \rightarrow C_I$ defined by restricting p . This gives C the structure of a cubical space over the set $\{1, \dots, N\}$. We can take the geometric realization of C denoted by $|C|$. Then there is a map $\rho : |C| \rightarrow \Sigma(\mathcal{L})$, induced by the forgetful maps $C_I \rightarrow \Sigma(\mathcal{L})$.

$|C|$ is topologized in a non-standard way. The topology we give is analogous to the topology on \mathcal{X} in [6]. The primary reason we give $|C|$ this topology is to make ρ proper. For $k < N$, there is an inclusion

$$i : \text{UConf}_k(X) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(h^0(X, \mathcal{L}) - 2k, H^0(X, \mathcal{L})).$$

We define $L_k(\mathcal{L})$ to be the Zariski closure of the image. We will omit the \mathcal{L} in our notation if there is only one line bundle that we are discussing. There is a relation, $<$ on the collection of all L_k , defined by $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2$ if as subspaces of $H^0(X, \mathcal{L})$, $\lambda_2 \subseteq \lambda_1$. Note that this extends the relation \supseteq on the collection of all $\text{UConf}_k(X)$. Let $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, N-1\}$. Let $\bar{C}_I = \{(f, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \mid \lambda_j \in L_{i_j}, \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \cdots < \lambda_k < \text{Sing}(f)\}$. Let $\bar{C}_{I \cup N} = \{f, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \in C_I \mid f \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{L}}^{\geq N}\}$. Then \bar{C} forms a cubical space in the same way that C does.

Take the geometric resolution $|\bar{C}|$. Now we will construct a map $|\bar{C}| \rightarrow |C|$ that is the identity on $|C| \subseteq |\bar{C}|$. This will exhibit $|C|$ as a quotient of $|\bar{C}|$ and we will

give it the quotient topology. Given $\lambda \in L_k$, we can define $\text{supp}(\lambda) \in \text{UConf}_n(X)$ by $\text{supp}(\lambda) = \cap_{f \in \lambda} \text{Sing}(f)$.

This defines a map $\text{supp} : |\bar{C}| \rightarrow |C|$ given by

$$(f, \lambda_i, s_i) \in \bar{C}_I \times \Delta_I \mapsto (f, \text{supp}(\lambda_i), s'_i) \in C_I \times \Delta_J.$$

Here $J = \{\text{supp}(\lambda_i)\}$ and $s'_j = \sum_{n(\lambda_i)=j} s_i$.

The maps $\bar{C}_I \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathcal{L}}$ are proper and hence so is the induced map $|\bar{C}| \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathcal{L}}$.

Proposition 4.2. *The map $\rho : |C| \rightarrow \Sigma$ is a proper homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. In our setting having proper contractible fibres implies that the map ρ is a proper homotopy equivalence, this follows by combining Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 of [5]. We note that if $f \notin \bar{\Sigma}^{\geq N}$ the fibre $\rho^{-1}(f)$ is the simplex with vertices labelled by the singular points. If $f \in \bar{\Sigma}^{\geq N}$ then the fibre is a cone. We have given $|C|$ the quotient topology. The map ρ is a factor in the composite $|\bar{C}| \rightarrow |C| \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathcal{L}}$ which is proper, hence ρ itself is proper. \square

Now as in any geometric realization, $|C|$ is filtered by

$$F_n = \text{im}(\coprod_{|I| \leq n} C_I \times \Delta_k).$$

The F_n form an increasing filtration of $|C|$, i.e. $F_1 \subseteq F_2 \dots F_n \subseteq F_{n+1} \subseteq \dots$ and $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = |C|$.

We define

$$B_n = \{f \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{L}} \mid f \text{ has at least } n \text{ singular zeroes}\}.$$

Proposition 4.3. *Let $n < N$. Let Δ_n° be the interior of an n simplex. The space $F_n - F_{n-1}$ is a Δ_n° -bundle over the space B_n . This is in turn a vector bundle over $\text{UConf}_n(X)$.*

Proof. The fact that B_n is the total space of a vector bundle over $\text{UConf}_n(X)$ follows from Riemann-Roch, the fibres are all vector subspaces of $H^0(X, \mathcal{L})$ of codimension exactly $2(n+1)$. A point in $F_n - F_{n-1}$ is a pair $((f, x_0, \dots, x_n), s_0, \dots, s_n)$ where the s_i are the simplicial coordinates. We have a map $\pi : F_n - F_{n-1} \rightarrow B_n$ defined by

$$(f, (x_1, \dots, x_n), (s_0, \dots, s_n)) \mapsto (f, (x_1, \dots, x_n)).$$

The map π expresses $F_n - F_{n-1}$ as a Δ_n° bundle over B_n . \square

Let $e_d = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(H^0(X, \mathcal{L}))$. We define a local coefficient system on $\text{UConf}_n X$, denoted by $\pm \mathbb{Z}$, in the following way. There is a homomorphism $\pi_1(\text{UConf}_n X) \rightarrow S_n$ associated to the covering $\text{PConf}_n X \rightarrow \text{UConf}_n X$. We compose this with the sign homomorphism $S_n \rightarrow \pm 1 \cong GL_1(\mathbb{Z})$ to obtain our local system on $\text{UConf}_n X$.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $d \geq 1$. Let $n < N$.*

$$\bar{H}_*(F_{n+1} - F_n; \mathbb{Z}) = \bar{H}_{*-(e_d-2(n+1))}(\text{UConf}_{n+1}(X); \pm \mathbb{Z}).$$

Proof: By Proposition 4.3 the space $F_k - F_{k-1}$ is a bundle over $\text{UConf}_k(X)$. This fact implies that

$$\bar{H}_*(F_k - F_{k-1}) \cong H_{*-(k+2e_d-2(n+1)(k+1))}(\text{UConf}_k(X), \mathbb{Z}(\sigma)).$$

Here $\mathbb{Z}(\sigma)$ is the local system obtained by the action of $\pi_1(\text{UConf}_k(X))$ on the fibres $\bar{H}_k(\Delta_k^{\circ}, \mathbb{Z})$ where in this case Δ_k^{circ} is the open k simplex corresponding to the fibres

of the map $F_k - F_{k-1} \rightarrow B_k$. But one observes that the action of $\pi_1(\text{UConf}_k(X))$ on this open simplex is by permutation of the vertices which implies that $\mathbb{Z}(\sigma) = \pm\mathbb{Z}$.

As with any filtered space, there is a spectral sequence with $E_1^{p,q} = \bar{H}_{p+q}(F_p - F_{p-1}; \mathbb{Z})$ converging to $\bar{H}_*(|C|; \mathbb{Z})$. Now by Proposition 4.4 we know what $E_1^{p,q}$ is for $p < N$.

Proposition 4.5. $\bar{H}_*(|C| - F_N; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \bar{H}_*(|C|; \mathbb{Z})$ for $* \geq 2e_d - N$.

Proof. We first will try to bound $\bar{H}_*(F_N; \mathbb{Z})$ and then use the long exact sequence of the pair $(F_N, |C|)$. The space F_N is built out of locally closed subspaces

$$\phi_k = \{(f, x_1, \dots, x_k), p \mid f \in \Sigma^{\geq N}, p \in \Delta^k, x_i \text{ are singular zeroes of } f\}.$$

There exists a surjection $\pi : \phi_k \rightarrow \text{UConf}_k X$. The map π is a fibre bundle with fibres $\mathbb{C}^{e_d - 2k} \times \Delta_{\circ}^k$. The space $\text{UConf}_k X$ has complex dimension k . Therefore $\bar{H}_j(\phi_k; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ if $j \geq 2e_d - N \geq 2(e_d - 2k) + 3k$. This implies $\bar{H}_j(F_N) = 0$ if $j \geq 2e_d - N$. The long exact sequence of the pair $(F_N, |C|)$ implies that $\bar{H}_*(Y - F_N; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \bar{H}_*(|C|; \mathbb{Z})$ for $* \geq 2e_d - N$. \square

Now this simplicial resolution of Σ gives an associated spectral sequence for its Borel Moore homology with

$$E_1^{p,q} = \bar{H}_{p+q}(F_p - F_{p-1}) = H_{p-(e_d-(2)(q+1))}(\text{UConf}_{p+1}(X), \pm\mathbb{Z})$$

, for $p < N$. Also, $E_1^{N,q} = 0$ if $q \geq 2e_d - N$.

We will now construct a cubical space \mathcal{C} which will be involved in understanding $\Sigma(W)$. Our construction of \mathcal{C} will be similar to that of C . Let $N = \frac{d-g}{2}$. Let I be a subset of $\{1, \dots, N-1\}$. Say $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ let

$$\mathcal{C}_I := \{(f, x_1, \dots, x_k) \mid f \in \Sigma(W), x_j \in \text{UConf}_{i_j}(X), x_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq x_k \subseteq \text{Singular zeroes of } f\}.$$

We define

$$\mathcal{C}_{I \cup \{N\}} := \{(f, x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathcal{C}_I, f \in \bar{\Sigma}^{\geq N}(W)\}.$$

If $I \subseteq J$ then we have a natural forgetful map from $\mathcal{C}_J \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_I$. This gives \mathcal{C} the structure of a cubical space over the set $\{1, \dots, N\}$. We can take the geometric realization of \mathcal{C} , denoted by $|\mathcal{C}|$. Then there is a map $\rho : |\mathcal{C}| \rightarrow \Sigma(W)$, induced by the forgetful maps $\mathcal{C}_I \rightarrow \Sigma(W)$.

We again topologise $|\mathcal{C}|$ in a nonstandard way, this is entirely analogous to the way we topologise $|C|$, so we will be brief in our description of it. We construct a bigger cubical space $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ such that for $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, N-1\}$, $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_I = \{(f, x_1, \dots, x_k) \mid f \in \Sigma(\mathcal{L}), \mathcal{L} \in W, x_j \in L_{i_j}(\mathcal{L}), x_1 < x_2 \dots x_k < \text{Sing}(f)\}$. We define $\mathcal{C}_I \cup \{N\}$ analogously. We then form the geometric realisation, $|\bar{\mathcal{C}}|$ and note that there is a surjective map $|\bar{\mathcal{C}}| \rightarrow |\mathcal{C}|$ and we give $|\mathcal{C}|$ the quotient topology with respect to this map.

Proposition 4.6. $|\mathcal{C}|$ is proper homotopy equivalent to Σ_W .

Proof. This is analogous to the proof of Proposition 4.2. \square

\mathcal{Y} also has an ascending filtration, \mathbb{F}_n and this filtration gives us a spectral sequence for $\bar{H}_*(\mathcal{Y}; \mathbb{Z})$.

Proposition 4.7. $\bar{H}_*(|\mathcal{C}| - \mathbb{F}_N; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \bar{H}_*(\mathcal{C}; \mathbb{Z})$ for $* \geq 2e_d - N$.

Proof. This is analogous to the proof of Proposition 4.5. \square

So there is a spectral sequence with

$$\mathbb{E}_1^{p,q} = \bar{H}_{p+q}(\mathcal{C}_{0,\dots,q}) = H_{p-(e_d-(2)(q+1))+2g}(\text{UConf}_{p+1}(X), \pm\mathbb{Z})$$

for $p < N$. Finally we have the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 4.8. *The map $\mathcal{A}^{-1}(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{-1}(W)$ induces an isomorphism $H_*(\mathcal{A}^{-1}(\mathcal{L}); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_*(\mathcal{A}^{-1}(W); \mathbb{Z})$ for $* < N = \frac{d-g}{2}$*

Proof. This proof involves studying Alexander duality of $A^{-1}(\mathcal{L})$ inside $H^0(X, \mathcal{L})$ and $A^{-1}(W)$ inside $H^0(X, W)$ (the space $H^0(X, W)$ is a topological vector bundle over W and so is at least homeomorphic to an affine space).

Now we use the fact that under Alexander duality, intersection of Borel-Moore cycles turns into pullback in cohomology, namely the map

$$H^*(A^{-1}(W)) \rightarrow H^*(A^{-1}(\mathcal{L})),$$

is Alexander dual to the map

$$f : \bar{H}_{*+2g}(\Sigma_W) \rightarrow \bar{H}_*(\Sigma_{\mathcal{L}})$$

given by intersecting cycles with $\Sigma_{\mathcal{L}}$, i.e. $f(\sigma) = \sigma \cap \Sigma_{\mathcal{L}}$.

To understand this map in Borel-Moore homology, we turn to our spectral sequences for $\bar{H}_*(\Sigma_{\mathcal{L}}; \mathbb{Z})$ and $\bar{H}_*(\Sigma_W; \mathbb{Z})$. Since our stratification of Σ_W is fiberwise we get a map of spectral sequences between the two spectral sequences. We have a map $\mathbb{E}_{p,q+2g}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{p,q}^1$. It will suffice to show that this map is an isomorphism for $p < N$. For $p < N$, this map is given by the map

$$\phi : \bar{H}_{p+q+2g}(\mathbb{F}_p - \mathbb{F}_{p-1}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \bar{H}_{p+q}(\mathbb{F}_p - \mathbb{F}_{p-1}, \mathbb{Z})$$

induced by intersecting cycles. However, we have a diagram of fiber bundles as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \longrightarrow & K \times W \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & \mathbb{F}_p - \mathbb{F}_{p-1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p - \mathbb{F}_{p-1} \\ & & \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \\ & & \text{UConf}_p(X) \quad \longleftarrow \quad \text{UConf}_p X \end{array}$$

Using this diagram and the fact that the intersection map $\bar{H}_{*+2g}(K \times W) \rightarrow \bar{H}_*(K)$ is an isomorphism (as W is homeomorphic to \mathbb{C}^g), ϕ is an isomorphism. This implies the theorem. \square

5. HOMOLOGY FIBRATION THEOREM

Let us recall the usual homology fibration theorem:

Theorem 5.1 ([2]). *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map. Let $Hf^{-1}(y)$ be the homotopy fibre of f . Suppose $f^{-1}(y) \hookrightarrow f^{-1}(U)$ is a homology equivalence for sufficiently small U open then $f^{-1}(y) \rightarrow Hf^{-1}(y)$ is a homology equivalence.*

For this paper we need the following analogous theorem:

Theorem 5.2. *Let $n \geq 0$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map such that for all $y \in Y$ there exists an open neighbourhood U such that the inclusion $j : f^{-1}(y) \hookrightarrow f^{-1}(U)$ induces an isomorphism $j_* : H_k(f^{-1}(y); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_k(f^{-1}(U); \mathbb{Z})$ for all $k \leq n$.*

Then the natural map $i : f^{-1}(y) \rightarrow Hf^{-1}(y)$ induces an isomorphism $i_* : H_k(f^{-1}(y); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_k(Hf^{-1}(y); \mathbb{Z})$ for $k \leq n$.

Proof. This follows from the proof of Proposition 5 (which is the same as Theorem 5.1 of this paper) in [2]. \square

This implies the following theorem.

Theorem 5.3. For $* \leq N$,

$$H^*(A^{-1}(\mathcal{L}); \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^*(HA^{-1}(\mathcal{L}); \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^*(\pi; \mathbb{Z})$$

where π is the previously described subgroup of the extended surface braid group.

Proof. First we note that $HA^{-1}(\mathcal{L})$ is a $K(\pi, 1)$, where π is our previously described subgroup of the extended surface braid group. The map $\mathcal{A} : U_n^{alg} \rightarrow Pic_n X$ has $K(G, 1)$ s for both source and target and the induced map at the level of π_1 is given by $\tilde{Br}_n X \rightarrow Br_n X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$. Since $H\mathcal{A}^{-1}\mathcal{L}$ is the homotopy fibre it is also a $K(G, 1)$ with fundamental group equal to

$$K_n := \ker(\tilde{Br}_n X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2g}).$$

By Theorem 5.2 and Theorem 4.8 $H^*(A^{-1}(\mathcal{L}); \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^*(H\mathcal{A}^{-1}(\mathcal{L}); \mathbb{Z})$ for $* \leq N$. \square

6. RELATING $U(\mathcal{L})$ AND $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$

In this section we will relate the two spaces $U(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$. We begin first with the following result.

Proposition 6.1. Let X be an algebraic curve. Let \mathcal{L} be a line bundle on X of degree n . Let $p \in X$ be a point. Then, $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})/\mathfrak{G}_p \simeq U_n^{alg}$.

Proof. Let

$$S_{\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}} = \{f \in \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L}) : x_i \text{ are regular zeroes of } f\}/\mathfrak{G}_p.$$

We have a diagram of fiber bundles as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}^* & \xrightarrow{f} & S_{\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}} \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & U_n^{alg} \longrightarrow \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})/\mathfrak{G}_p \\ & & \downarrow \qquad \downarrow \\ & & \text{UConf}_n X \quad \equiv \quad \text{UConf}_n X \end{array}$$

By considering the long exact sequences of homotopy groups associated to these fiber bundles, it suffices to prove that the map $f : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow S$ is a homotopy equivalence.

To prove this it suffices to prove that S/\mathbb{C}^* is contractible where \mathbb{C}^* is acting on S by $z \cdot f(x) = z(f(x))$. But

$$S/\mathbb{C}^* = \{f \in \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L}) \mid x_i \text{ are regular zeroes of } f\}/\mathfrak{G}.$$

This is contractible by (2) of Proposition 2.4. \square

We will need the following lemma to obtain our results.

Lemma 6.2. *Let X be an algebraic curve. Let $n \geq 1$. Let $\mathbf{a} = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \in \text{UConf}_n X$. Let $\alpha \in \pi_1(\text{UConf}_n X, \mathbf{a})$. Suppose $\mathcal{A}_*(\alpha) \neq 0 \in H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})$. Let P_α denote the point-pushing map associated to α . Let $c_i \in H_1(X - \{a_1 \dots a_n\}; \mathbb{Z})$ be the puncture classes. Then there exists a class*

$$\gamma \in H_1(X - \{a_1 \dots a_n\}; \mathbb{Z})$$

such that $\gamma \cap \mathcal{A}_*(\alpha) = 1$ and

$$P_\alpha(\gamma) - \gamma = \sum m_i c_i,$$

where $\sum m_i \neq 0$.

This can be deduced from a computation by Bena Tshishiku. For a reference see [8].

Theorem 6.3. *The natural map $\rho : \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}_n X$ is nullhomotopic.*

Proof. As $\text{Pic}_n X$ is a $K(\pi, 1)$ it suffices to prove that

$$\rho_* : \pi_1(\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})) \rightarrow \pi_1(\text{Pic}_n X) \cong H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})$$

is trivial. Let $\pi : \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \text{UConf}_n X$ be defined by $\pi(s) = \{a \in X \mid s(a) = 0\}$. Let $\mathcal{A} : \text{UConf}_n X \rightarrow \text{Pic}_n X$ be the Abel-Jacobi map. Let $\mathbf{a} = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \in \text{UConf}_n X$. Let $\alpha \in \pi_1(\text{UConf}_n X, \mathbf{a})$. Suppose $\mathcal{A}_*(\alpha) \neq 0 \in H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})$. It suffices to show that $\alpha \notin \rho_*(\pi_1(\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})))$. This is because the map ρ factors through \mathcal{A} .

Let $\text{Mod}(X - \{a_1, \dots, a_n\})$ be the mapping class group of the punctured surface $X - \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$. Associated to α there exists a point pushing map $P_\alpha \in \text{Mod}(X - \{a_1, \dots, a_n\})$. Let $c_i \in H_1(X - \{a_1 \dots a_n\}; \mathbb{Z})$ be the puncture classes.

Then by Lemma 6.2 there exists a class $[\gamma] \in H_1(X - \{a_1 \dots a_n\})$ such that

$$P_{\alpha_*}([\gamma]) - [\gamma] = \sum m_i c_i,$$

where $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $\sum m_i \neq 0$.

Let $f \in \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$ be such that $\pi(f) = \mathbf{a}$. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that $\alpha \in \text{im}(\pi_1(\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})), f)$ with $\alpha \neq 0$. Then there exists a loop in $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$, which we will call F_α such that $\pi(F_\alpha) = \alpha$, i.e. F_α is a lift of α .

Now for $s \in (0, 1)$, let P_α^s be the point-pushing homeomorphism along the path $\alpha|_{[0, s]}$. It is a well-defined element of

$$\pi_0(\text{Homeo}((X, \alpha(0)), (X, \alpha(s)))).$$

Now $P_\alpha^t(f)$ is a lift of α as a path (not a loop) to $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$. Since the map π is a fibration, any two paths that are lifts of α must have endpoints in the same component of $\pi^{-1}(\bar{a})$. This would imply that f (the endpoint of F_α) and $P_{\alpha_*}(f)$ (the endpoint of $P_\alpha^t(f)$) would be in the same path component of $\pi^{-1}(\mathbf{a})$. If that were so, then we would have

$$\int_\gamma \frac{P_\alpha(f)}{|P_\alpha(f)|} - \int_\gamma \frac{f}{|f|} = 0.$$

However we will now show that this is not the case.

We'd like to remind the reader that $\int_{c_i} f/|f| = 1$. This is because the section f has a zero of index 1 at each of the a_i s. Then we know that

$$\int_\gamma \frac{P_\alpha(f)}{|P_\alpha(f)|} - \int_\gamma \frac{f}{|f|} = \int_{P_{\alpha_*} \gamma} \frac{f}{|f|} - \int_\gamma \frac{f}{|f|}$$

$$= \sum_i m_i \int_{c_i} f/|f| = \sum m_i \neq 0.$$

This proves that any α that lifts is forced to be trivial, which completes the proof. \square

Proposition 6.4. *let $n \geq 1$, $p \in X$. There exists a homotopy equivalence $f : \text{Pic}_n X \rightarrow B\mathfrak{G}_p$ that makes the following diagram commute upto homotopy:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L}) \\ & & \downarrow \pi \\ U_n^{alg} & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})/\mathfrak{G}_p \\ \downarrow \mathcal{A} & & \downarrow g \\ \text{Pic}_n X & \xrightarrow{f} & B\mathfrak{G}_p \end{array}$$

Here the map g is the classifying map for the fibration $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})/\mathfrak{G}_p$.

Proof. The situation is as follows: $\pi : \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})/\mathfrak{G}_p$ is a principal \mathfrak{G}_p bundle. Since $\mathfrak{G}_p \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$, we have an associated principal \mathbb{Z}^{2g} bundle $E \rightarrow \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})/\mathfrak{G}_p$, where

$E := \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})/(f_1 \sim f_2 \text{ if } \pi(f_1) = \pi(f_2) \text{ and } f_1, f_2 \text{ are in the same path component of } \pi^{-1}(\pi(f_1)))$.

Equivalently, if $(\mathfrak{G}_p)_0$ is the identity component of \mathfrak{G}_p , $E = \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})/(\mathfrak{G}_p)_0$.

The quotient map $p : \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow E$ is naturally a homotopy equivalence as the group $(\mathfrak{G}_p)_0$ is contractible. We then have a diagram as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L}) & \xrightarrow{p} & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})/\mathfrak{G}_p & \xrightarrow{=} & \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})/\mathfrak{G}_p \end{array}$$

It suffices to prove that the natural map

$$\alpha : \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})/\mathfrak{G}_p \rightarrow \text{Pic}_n X$$

satisfies the classifying space property for the fibration $E \rightarrow \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})/\mathfrak{G}_p$. However by Proposition 6.3 the composite map $E \rightarrow \text{Pic}_n X$ is nullhomotopic and we can lift it to $\text{Pic}_n^{\sim} X$, the universal cover of $\text{Pic}_n X$. So we have a commutative diagram as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}_n^{\sim} X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})/\mathfrak{G}_p & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \text{Pic}_n X \end{array}$$

Hence α is a classifying map and we are done. \square

Theorem 6.5. $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$ is homotopy equivalent to $H\mathcal{A}^{-1}(\mathcal{L})$, the homotopy fibre of \mathcal{A} .

Proof. By Propositions 6.3 and 6.4 there is a diagram as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
H\mathcal{A}^{-1}(\mathcal{L}) & & \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
U_n^{alg} & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})/\mathfrak{G}_p \\
\downarrow \mathcal{A} & & \downarrow \\
\text{Pic}_n X & \xrightarrow{f} & B\mathfrak{G}_p
\end{array}$$

Since the composite map $H\mathcal{A}^{-1}(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow B\mathfrak{G}_p$ is null homotopic, by the properties of a fibre sequence we have a map $g : H\mathcal{A}^{-1}(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$ that commutes with the maps of the diagram. Since the maps i and f are homotopy equivalences, so is g . \square

Now we can finally prove the theorems in the introduction of this paper.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Theorem 6.5 $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L}) \simeq H\mathcal{A}^{-1}(\mathcal{L})$. So it suffices to prove that $H\mathcal{A}^{-1}(\mathcal{L})$ is a $K(\pi, 1)$ for K_n . However this follows from Theorem 5.3. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Theorem 5.2 and Theorem 4.8 the map $f : U(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H\mathcal{A}^{-1}(\mathcal{L})$ induces an isomorphism $H^*(U(\mathcal{L})) \cong H^*(H\mathcal{A}^{-1}(\mathcal{L}))$ for $* < n - (2g)$. But by Theorem 6.5 $H\mathcal{A}^{-1}(\mathcal{L}) \simeq \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L})$ and it is easy to see that

$$i^* : H^*(\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L}); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(U(\mathcal{L}); \mathbb{Z})$$

is the composition

$$H^*(\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{L}); \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^*(H\mathcal{A}^{-1}(\mathcal{L}); \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{f^*} H^*(U(\mathcal{L}); \mathbb{Z}).$$

\square

REFERENCES

- [1] Andrei Moroianu Lectures on Kahler Geometry <https://arxiv.org/pdf/math/0402223v1.pdf>
- [2] Dusa McDuff, Graeme Segal *Homology Fibrations and the "Group-Completion" Theorem*. *Inventiones mathematicae* 1976
- [3] Andre Haefliger *Rational Homotopy of the Space of Sections of a Nilpotent Bundle* *Transactions of the AMS*, Volume 273, Number 2, October 1982
- [4] Oleksandra Khokhliuk, Sergiy Maksymenko *Smooth approximations and their applications to homotopy types* *Proceedings of the International Geometry Center*, vol. 12, no. 2 (2020) 68-108
- [5] , R. C. Lacher *Cell-like mappings and their generalizations* *Bulletin of the AMS* Volume 83, Number 4, July 1977
- [6] Orsola Tommasi, *Stable cohomology of spaces of non-singular hypersurfaces* *Adv. Math.* 265 (2014), 428–440
- [7] Ravi Vakil, Melanie Matchett Wood *Discriminants in the Grothendieck Ring* *Duke Math. J.* 164 (2015)
- [8] Benson Farb, Mark Kisin, Jesse Wolfson *Braid Monodromy (in preparation)*