

# A Gravitational non-Radiative Memory Effect

Hebertt Leandro

*Departamento de Física Teórica e Experimental,  
Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, Natal-RN 59078-970, Brazil*

Riccardo Sturani

*International Institute of Physics, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte,  
Campus Universitário, Lagoa Nova, Natal-RN 59078-970, Brazil\**

We revisit the issue of *memory effects*, i.e. effects giving rise to a net cumulative change of the configuration of test particles, using a toy model describing the emission of radiation by a compact source and focusing on the *scalar*, hence non-radiative, part of the Riemann curvature. Motivated by the well known fact that gravitational radiation is accompanied by a *memory* effect, i.e. a permanent displacement of the relative separation of test particles, present after radiation has passed, we investigate the existence of an analog effect in the *non-radiative* part of the gravitational field. While quadrupole and higher multipoles undergo oscillations responsible for gravitational radiation, energy, momentum and angular momentum are conserved charges undergoing non-oscillatory change due to radiation emission. We show how the source re-arrangement due to radiation emission produce time-dependent scalar potentials which induce a time variation in the scalar part of the Riemann curvature tensor. As a result, on general grounds a *velocity memory* effect appears, depending on the inverse of the *square* of the distance of the observer from the source, thus making it almost impossible to observe, as shown by comparison to the planned gravitational detector noise spectral densities.

Keywords: Gravitational potential, memory effect, General Relativity

---

\*Electronic address: hlsilva@fisica.ufrn.br, riccardo@iip.ufrn.br

## I. INTRODUCTION

The recent detections of gravitational waves (GWs) [1, 2], beside marking the beginning of the new science dubbed GW Astronomy, have triggered scientific interest over all aspects of GW production and detection.

The gravitational field sourced by an astrophysical compact object, with mass and velocity multipoles changing with time, includes both a radiative and a non-radiative (or *longitudinal*) part, the former being the gravitational radiation with  $1/r$  behavior (being  $r$  the distance between source and observer), the latter being sourced by conserved charges, mass and angular momentum, which in the static limit enters the Riemann curvature tensor components as  $1/r^3$  (in the standard 3+1 dimensional space). Gravitational radiation carries the fingerprints of source, its frequency evolution being determined by the internal dynamics of the source constituents. Gravitational non-radiative modes undergo secular changes as energy, momentum and angular momentum is dragged out of the system by radiation.

The focus of the present work is to study the *non-radiative* part of the gravitational field and related observational effects which manifest as a different status of test particles between before and after the passage of radiation, thus producing a cumulative change in suitable observables which does not vanish at late times.

The presence of *memory* effects was first noticed in linearised gravity already in [3] and then first analysed in [4] using geodesic deviation equation, where the passage of GWs sourced by moving massing objects was identified to cause a *permanent* displacement between test particles, not fading away after the gravitational perturbation as gone quiet

The standard observable to be considered is the geodesic deviation, entering a dynamical equation which can be expressed in terms of space vector with components  $D^i$  parameterising the separation between nearby geodesics and the electric part of the Riemann tensor

$$\ddot{D}^i = R^i{}_{0j0} D^j, \quad (1)$$

an overdot denoting derivative with respect to time, taken to be the 0-th coordinate. The *tensorial* contribution to eq. (1) contains the effect of gravitational waves, including the memory effect described in [5, 6] consisting in two test particles acquiring a permanent displacement (and no relative velocity) after the radiation has passed through them. As the tensor part of the Riemann tensor in eq.(1) is proportional to  $\ddot{h}_{ij}^{(TT)}$ , where  $h_{ij}^{(TT)}$  is the

transverse-traceless (TT) part of the metric perturbation, when its asymptotic values at  $t \rightarrow -\infty$  and  $t \rightarrow \infty$  differ, one has

$$\Delta D^i \propto \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left( h^{(TT)}(t)_{ij} - h_{ij}^{(TT)}(-t) \right) D^j \neq 0,$$

hence the test particle will be at rest and will carry a “memory” of the passage of radiation long after it is gone, even if local physics experiments will show no deviation from Minkowski space.

The non-TT part, i.e. the longitudinal, non-radiative part of the gravitational field contains a  $1/r$  Coulomb type gravitational field that cannot change because of energy-momentum conservation, however we will show that it contains a  $1/r^2$  part that undergoes an impulsive change at the passage of a burst of radiation and which can leave in principle a signature in a detector, as it imparts a *velocity kick*, i.e. test particles acquire relative velocity after burst passage.

In literature it is usual to distinguish between the linear and a non-linear *Christodoulou* effect, due to the seminal analysis of [7], where the energy momentum tensor of GW radiation is considered as an additional source of GW radiation: being the energy momentum tensor quadratic in GW amplitude this is a non-linear effect. Another peculiarity of the non-linear Christodoulou memory effect is that the source is neither slowly moving (being made of radiation it moves at the speed of light) nor confined to a small region of space (the energy momentum tensor of GWs falling off as  $1/r^2$ ). Actually an energy momentum tensor made of radiation as a source of GWs was already studied in [8, 9] (see also the more recent [10]), in the context of anisotropic neutrino emission by supernovae (at the time neutrinos were compatible with having zero mass) leading as well to memory terms.

Memory effects can also be considered as part of a wider category of *hereditary* effects, so named as their value at any instant of time depends on the history of the source rather than source status at retarded time. The term *hereditary* has been used to indicate the correlation in the dynamics of a gravitating system between arbitrarily large time spans for the first time in [11]. There, within the context of the post-Newtonian approximation to General Relativity, the *tail* effect onto the metric due to scattering of gravitational radiation with the background curved by the same source emitting GWs were studied. Subsequently [12] showed another type of hereditary effect due to the radiation of GWs sourced by the stress-energy tensor of GWs, causing a cumulative change in the waveform, i.e. a *memory*

effect that does not vanish after the passage of the radiation.<sup>1</sup>

More recently the issue of memory effects was revisited in [14, 15] where it has been shown that in the case of a source involving the emission of a massless particle there is a memory effect of the “Christodoulou” type, and in the toy model case of decay of initial source into two massive particles the standard “linear” memory effect is recovered.

However we stress once more that the effect we are presenting here is derived from the *non-radiative* part of the gravitational field, hence it is not of the same type of various memory effects studied in literature, which infer memory effects from the radiative part of the metric, see e.g. the recent derivation of a “velocity” memory in plane gravitational waves [16, 17]. We will find in this work that the *non-radiative* part of the metric contributes to the Riemann tensor in eq. (1) with a  $\delta(t-r)/r^2$  term, being  $\delta(t)$  an approximate Dirac delta function, that does not generate a displacement memory<sup>2</sup>, but rather a *velocity* drift of test particles after the perturbation has gone through them.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In sec. II we present the toy model for the source of gravitational field and how the linearised Einstein equations are going to be solved, reviewing the Scalar-Vector-Tensor (SVT) formalism developed in [18]. In sec. III we show the results of our analysis, and in sec. IV we interpret them and investigate the detectability of the non-radiative gravitational modes. We finally conclude in sec. V.

## II. METHOD

To consider the asymptotic behaviour of metric component in linearised General Relativity, we find convenient to split the metric according to the SVT decomposition introduced in [18]. After modelling the source with an astrophysically realistic dynamics of emission of particles/radiation, the metric components are obtained by solving linearized Einstein equations. Then we concentrate our analysis on the scalar, non-radiative degrees of free-

---

<sup>1</sup> Whether this neutrino radiation is actually of memory or tail type is discussed in ch. 10.5.4 of [13], where it is shown that on a very long time scale  $t \gg r$  the GW amplitude decays, thus not leaving a permanent displacement characteristic of a memory term. However the such test-particle displacement after the passage of the GWs, even it not permanent, is present for  $t \gtrsim r$ , hence it can well be seen as “permanent” on the human observation time scale when  $r$  is an astronomical scale.

<sup>2</sup> A term proportional to a  $\delta$ -function in the Riemann tensor does not generate a displacement memory effect that would instead require a  $\dot{\delta}(t-r)/r$  term in the Riemann, denoting time derivative with an overdot.

dom of the metric, looking for effects present even after the passage of radiation and their observational signature and observability will be studied in sec. IV.

According to the standard SVT decomposition, we parametrize the metric via irreducible fields according to

$$ds^2 = -dt^2(1 + 2\phi) + 2dt dx^i(\beta_i + b_{,i}) + dx^i dx^j \left[ \delta_{ij}(1 - 2\psi) + \frac{1}{2}(F_{i,j} + F_{j,i}) + \left( \partial_i \partial_j - \frac{\delta_{ij}}{3} \nabla^2 \right) e + h_{ij}^{TT} \right], \quad (2)$$

with constraints

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{i,i} &= 0, \\ F_{i,i} &= 0, \\ h_{ii}^{TT} &= 0 = h_{ij,j}^{TT}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

so that the fields  $\phi, \psi, b, e, \beta_i, F_i, h_{ij}^{TT}$  have 10 independent components in total parametrizing the 10 metric components. Note that the constraints imply that the irreducible fields above are non-local combinations of the original metric components  $g_{\mu\nu}$ , but the tidal Riemann fields can be written in terms of irreducible fields derivatives, which are local expression of the derivative of the original metric.

Consistently we apply the SVT decomposition to source components as well to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T_{00} &= \rho, \\ T_{0i} &= S_i + \partial_i S, \\ T_{ij} &= p\delta_{ij} + \sigma_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_{i,j} + \sigma_{j,i}) + \left( \partial_i \partial_j - \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ij} \nabla^2 \right) \sigma, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

parameterising the 10 degrees of freedom with the variables  $\rho, S, S_i, p, \sigma, \sigma_i, \sigma_{ij}$ , which, once the 6 constraints  $S_{i,i} = 0 = \sigma_{i,i} = \sigma_{ii} = \sigma_{ij,j}$  are applied, amount to 10 degrees of freedom. Using now the energy momentum conservation, with  $T_{0\mu}{}^{;\mu} = 0$  one has

$$\dot{\rho} = \nabla^2 S \implies S = \nabla^{-2} \dot{\rho}, \quad (5)$$

where the inverse Laplacian  $\nabla^{-2}$  is defined to act on a test function  $f(t, \vec{x})$  as

$$\nabla^{-2} f(t, \vec{x}) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^3 x' \frac{f(t, \vec{x} - \vec{x}')}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|}, \quad (6)$$

i.e. solutions to the Poisson equation are obtained from the source via the standard Green's

function of the Laplacian operator. From  $T_{i\mu}{}^{,\mu} = 0$  one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{S}_i + \partial_i \dot{S} &= p_{,i} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 \sigma_i + \frac{2}{3} \nabla^2 \sigma_{,i} \\ \implies &\begin{cases} p + \frac{2}{3} \nabla^2 \sigma = \dot{S} = \nabla^{-2} \ddot{\rho}, \\ \sigma_i = 2 \nabla^{-2} \dot{S}_i \end{cases}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Following a standard procedure, see app. A for details, one can define the gauge invariant SVT-decomposed metric components

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &\equiv \phi + \dot{b} - \frac{\ddot{e}}{2}, \\ \psi_e &\equiv \psi + \frac{1}{6} \nabla^2 e, \\ V_i &\equiv \beta_i - \frac{1}{2} \dot{F}_i, \\ h_{ij}^{TT} &, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

and obtain the following expressions for the Einstein equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 \psi_e &= 4\pi G_N \rho, & \text{S : } 00, 0i, \\ \nabla^2 \Phi &= 4\pi G_N \left( \rho + 3p - 3\dot{S} \right), & \text{S : } ij, \\ \nabla^2 V_i &= -16\pi G_N S_i, & \text{V : } 0i, ij, \\ \square h_{ij}^{TT} &= -16\pi G_N \sigma_{ij}, & \text{T : } ij, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Although the gauge invariant variables  $\Phi, \psi_e, V_i, h_{ij}^{TT}$  are non-local quantities of the metric component  $g_{\mu\nu}$ , they appear in a local combinations in the Riemann components, e.g. at linear order

$$R^i{}_{0j0} = \delta_{ij} \ddot{\psi}_e + \Phi_{,ij} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \dot{V}_{i,j} + \dot{V}_{j,i} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \ddot{h}_{ij}^{TT}. \quad (10)$$

As long as observables depend on Riemann tensor components, they can be expressed indifferently in terms of  $g_{\mu\nu}$  or  $\psi_e, \Phi, V_i, h_{ij}^{TT}$ .

The physical situation we are going to consider corresponds to a source whose constituents change suddenly from a static object following a time-like geodesic to an expanding shell of radiation. Such system arises naturally in a variety of astrophysical situation, hence represent a training ground of phenomenological interest to test how memory effects can be recorded in the non-radiative part of the metric perturbations.

### III. RESULTS

To illustrate our result we consider as a source a massive object at rest at the origin of coordinates for  $t < 0$  (term proportional to  $1 - \Theta(t)$ ) which turns into an expanding shell of radiation for  $t > 0$  (term proportional to  $\Delta(t - r)$ ), with  $\Theta(t)$  denoting a generic monotonic smooth function interpolating between 0 for  $t \rightarrow -\infty$  to 1 for  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , whose main variation occurs around  $t \sim 0$ , and  $\Delta(x) \equiv \frac{d\Theta(x)}{dx}$ . The functions  $\Theta(x)$  and  $\Delta(x)$  can be seen respectively as approximate Heaviside step and Dirac delta functions.

This example is simple enough to be treated analytically and at the same time, as it will be shown, it contains the hearth of the physical result we are going to investigate. The energy density of the system can be quantitatively expressed as

$$T_{00}(t, \vec{x}) = (1 - \Theta(t)) M \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x}) + \frac{M}{4\pi r^2} \Delta(t - r), \quad (11)$$

with  $r = |\vec{x}|$ . The presence of isotropic radiation leads to assume an isotropic pressure given by

$$p = \frac{M}{12\pi r^2} \Delta(t - r), \quad (12)$$

so that the scalar components of the energy-momentum tensor are completely specified: the scalar part of eq. (7), which is the continuity equation for the source current ( $S_i$  drops out of the continuity equation being divergence-free), is solved by

$$S = \frac{M}{4\pi} \int_r^\infty dr' \frac{\Delta(t - r')}{r'^2}. \quad (13)$$

Note that See app. B for detailed derivations of  $S, \sigma$  and the scalar metric fields, which can be written as<sup>3</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_e &= -[1 - \Theta(t - r)] \frac{G_N M}{r} - G_N M \int_r^\infty dr' \frac{\Delta(t - r')}{r'}, \\ \Phi &= -[1 - \Theta(t - r)] \frac{G_N M}{r} - G_N M \int_r^\infty dr' \frac{\Delta(t - r')}{r'} \left(2 - \frac{r^2}{r'^2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Note that while the source undergoes a discontinuity at  $t = 0$ , fields  $\Phi, \psi_e$  at the location of the observer are constant until  $t \sim r$ , i.e. when radiation pass through the observer, as required by causality.

---

<sup>3</sup> A similar setup was studied in [19] where a potential analog to our  $\psi_e$  was erroneously found to be time-independent, by first assuming that  $t/r \ll 1$  and then taking the limit  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , see eqs. (22), (24) and (25) there.

As expected,  $\psi_e$  and  $\Phi$  are continuous at  $t = 0$ . For  $\psi_e$  this is trivial since it can be obtained by convolving the Green function with  $\rho$ , which is continuous function of time. The combination  $\phi - \psi_e$  is proportional to the convolution of the Green function with  $p - \dot{S}$ , and while both  $p$  and  $\dot{S}$  individually change discontinuously at  $t = 0$  for  $r = 0$ , their combined contribution to the potential is also constant around  $t = 0$ , see app. B for detailed calculations, thus leading to a continuous  $\Phi$ .

In particular their early/late time behaviour of  $\psi_e$

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_e(t \ll r) &= -\frac{G_N M}{r}, \\ \psi_e(t \gg r) &= -\frac{G_N^r M}{t},\end{aligned}\tag{15}$$

are the same as the Newtonian potential outside ( $t \ll r$ ) or inside ( $t \gg r$ ) a spherical shell of matter with mass  $M$ . The potential  $\Phi$  is equal to  $\psi_e$  at early times, and one has  $\Phi(t) - \psi_e(t) \simeq -G_N M/t$  for  $t \gg r$ .

The scalar part of the Riemann component entering the geodesic deviation equation reads, see again app. B for derivation:

$$\begin{aligned}R^i{}_{0j0}|_S &= \ddot{\psi}_e \delta^i{}_j + \Phi^{,i}{}_{,j} \\ &= \frac{G_N M}{r^2} \left[ \left( \delta^i{}_j - \frac{x^i x_j}{r^2} \right) \Delta(t-r) + \left( \delta^i{}_j - 3 \frac{x^i x_j}{r^2} \right) \frac{(1 - \Theta(t-r))}{r} \right].\end{aligned}\tag{16}$$

We first notice in the scalar part of the Riemann the presence of the standard  $1/r^3$  tidal terms for the static source for early enough time which vanishes after the expanding sphere of radiation has passed through the test particles.

More interesting is the other term, proportional to  $\Delta(t-r)/r^2$ : after integrating once over time the (scalar part of the) geodesic deviation equation on a small time interval around  $t \simeq r$  this term is responsible for a *transverse velocity kick* to test particles, as

$$\Delta_\epsilon \dot{D}^i = \int_{r-\epsilon}^{r+\epsilon} \ddot{D}^i dt \simeq \left( \delta^i{}_j - \frac{x^i x_j}{r^2} \right) \frac{G_N M}{r^2} D^j,\tag{17}$$

where  $\epsilon$  is the shortest time for which  $\Delta(\epsilon) \sim 0$  so that  $\int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \Delta(t) dt = 1$ . Two test particles (with separation perpendicular to  $\vec{r}$ ) at mutual rest before the expanding spherical shell of radiation pass through them, will acquire a velocity drift with respect to each other after the passage of radiation, bearing the “memory” of such passage at arbitrarily late times.

Note that the Riemann tensor is completely causal, depending on the status of the source at retarded time  $t - r$ , the “memory” effects just appear in the status of motion of test particles.



A *displacement* memory type term would have been like  $G_N M \dot{\Delta}(t-r)/r$ , able to give a displacement kick to test particles after double integration over time around  $t \simeq r$  of the geodesic deviation equation (1), giving rise to  $\Delta D^i \simeq G_N M / r D^i$ . A displacement kick  $\propto 1/r$  is indeed originated from the *tensorial*, radiative part of the geodesic deviation equation and it is the linear Christodoulou effect.

Finally we observe that  $R_{00} = \delta_i^j R^i{}_{0j0}$  correctly vanishes outside the sources.

#### IV. DISCUSSION

To evaluate the phenomenological impact of the first term on the right hand side of eq. (16) one can integrate the geodesic deviation equation in a small time interval around  $t = r$  for two test masses at distance  $D$ , as done in eq. (17), to get

$$\Delta \dot{D}^i = \left( \delta_j^i - \frac{x^i x_j}{r^2} \right) \frac{G_N M}{c r^2} D^i, \quad (18)$$

where the speed of light  $c$  has been re-instated. Eq. (18) shows that two test masses with transverse separation  $D$  to with respect to the source-observer direction will experience a velocity drift with magnitude  $\sim G_N M D / (c r^2)$ .

The detectability of a (Fourier-transformed) metric perturbation  $\tilde{h}(f)$  by a detector with (single-sided) noise spectral density  $S_n(f)$  is quantified<sup>4</sup> by its Signal-to-Noise-Ratio (SNR), see e.g. the standard textbook [13] at ch. 7:

$$\text{SNR}^2 = 4 \int_0^\infty d(\log f) \frac{f |\tilde{h}(f)|^2}{S_n(f)}, \quad (19)$$

where a threshold for detection of  $\text{SNR} \geq 8$  is usually assumed. From the second derivative of the strain responsible for the velocity kick  $\propto \Delta(t-r)$ , using that  $|\tilde{h}(f)| = |\ddot{h}/(2\pi f)^2|$ , one gets a velocity kick strain  $\tilde{h}_{vk}(f)$

$$\tilde{h}_{vk}(f) \sim \frac{G_N M}{c (2\pi f r)^2}, \quad (20)$$

from which one can estimate a dimension-less strain  $h_c$  given by

$$h_c = f \tilde{h}_{vk} \simeq 2 \times 10^{-27} \left( \frac{M}{M_\odot} \right) \left( \frac{f}{10^{-4} \text{Hz}} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{r}{r_{GC}} \right)^{-2},$$

---

<sup>4</sup> The single-sided noise spectral density is defined in terms of the average of the Fourier transform of the dimension-less strains  $\tilde{n}(f)$  via  $\langle \tilde{n}(f) \tilde{n}^*(f') \rangle = \frac{1}{2} S_n(f) \delta(f - f')$ .




Figure 1: Square root of the noise spectral density of several planned GW detectors compared to the velocity-kick amplitude  $\sqrt{f}|\tilde{h}_{vk}|$  for  $M = M_{\odot}$  at  $r = r_{GC} = 8$  kpc, which is the approximate distance to the galactic center. Also shown for comparison spectral noise densities for different detectors: SKA [20], LISA [21], DECIGO [22], BBO [23], ET [24].

with  $r_{GC}$  the solar system distance to the galactic center  $r_{GC} \sim 8$  kpc.

Considering the possibility to observe this effect by the future GW detectors, fig. 1 shows the square root of  $S_n(f)$  (i.e. the denominator in the SNR integrand in eq. (19)) for various future GW detectors, and  $\sqrt{f}|\tilde{h}_{vk}(f)|$  (i.e. the square root of the numerator of the SNR integrand), considering an optimistic mass release  $M = M_{\odot}$  for a source located at the galactic center.

The reason for the smallness of the effect is that it arises from a *longitudinal* mode, affecting the Riemann curvature as the inverse *square* of the distance: from the geodesic deviation of test particles at relative separation  $\Delta L$  the velocity memory effect is of the type  $\Delta v \sim \dot{h}\Delta L$ , being  $h$  the metric perturbation. For the longitudinal metric perturbation considered in this work the amplitude of the transient  $\dot{h}$  giving rise to the memory effect is  $\sim GM/r^2$ , whereas a transient radiative mode can give rise to a velocity memory with  $\dot{h} \sim \omega h$ , being  $\omega$  the typical oscillation frequency of the gravitational wave, see e.g. [17].

## V. CONCLUSION

By analysing a toy model of emission of generic radiation by a compact astrophysical object we find simple analytic expressions for the time-dependent scalar metric potentials and the scalar part of the Riemann curvature.

We find that the scalar potentials and curvature at the observer site undergo variations only at the time when the perturbations reach the observers, as expected by causality. Beside the standard  $1/r^3$  almost-static tidal term, the scalar part of the curvature contains a term producing a “step function” in the relative velocity of test masses between immediately before and after the passage of radiation through detector test masses, like mirrors in gravitational observatories. The resulting *velocity kick* amplitude varies with the inverse of the *square* of the source-detector distance.

From the observational point of view the dependence on the inverse square distance, in sharp contrast with the inverse distance dependence of the gravitational waves, suppresses such effect making virtually impossible to be detect with GW detectors.

## Acknowledgements

The authors wishes to thank Jan Harms and Robert Wald for discussions. This study was financed in part by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil (CAPES) - Finance Code 001. The work of RS is partially supported by CNPq.

## Appendix A: Einstein equations in the SVT decomposition

Defining  $\psi_e \equiv \psi + 1/6\nabla^2 e$  and  $V_i \equiv \beta_i - 1/2\dot{F}_i$ , one has for the Christoffel coefficients

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Gamma_{00}^0 &= \dot{\phi}, \\
 \Gamma_{0i}^0 &= \phi_{,i}, \\
 \Gamma_{ij}^0 &= -\delta_{ij}\dot{\psi}_e + \frac{1}{2}\dot{e}_{,ij} - b_{,ij} - \frac{1}{2}(V_{i,j} + V_{j,i}) + \frac{1}{2}\dot{h}_{ji}^{TT}, \\
 \Gamma_{00}^k &= \delta^{km} \left( \phi_{,m} + \dot{b}_{,m} + \dot{\beta}_m \right), \\
 \Gamma_{0i}^k &= -\delta_i^k \dot{\psi}_e + \frac{1}{2}\delta^{km}\dot{e}_{,im} + \frac{1}{2}(V_{k,i} - V_{i,k}) + \frac{1}{2}\delta^{km}\dot{h}_{mi}^{TT}, \\
 \Gamma_{ij}^k &= \delta^{km}\delta_{ij}\psi_{e,m} - \delta_i^k\psi_{e,j} - \delta_j^k\psi_{e,i} + \frac{1}{2}\delta^{kl}e_{,ijk} + \frac{1}{2}\delta^{km}F_{l,im} + \frac{1}{2}\delta^{km} \left( h_{im,j}^{TT} + h_{jm,i}^{TT} - h_{ij,m}^{TT} \right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{A1}$$

and for Riemann tensor components

$$\begin{aligned}
R^0_{i0j} &= -\delta_{ij}\ddot{\psi}_e - \left(\phi + \dot{b} - \frac{1}{2}\ddot{e}\right)_{,ij} - \frac{1}{2}(\dot{V}_{i,j} + \dot{V}_{j,i}) + \frac{1}{2}\ddot{h}_{ij}^{TT}, \\
R^k_{ilj} &= \delta^{km}(\delta_{ij}\psi_{e,ml} - \delta_{il}\psi_{e,mj}) + \delta_l^k\psi_{e,ij} - \delta_j^k\psi_{e,il} + \frac{1}{2}\delta^{km}(h_{jm,il}^{TT} + h_{il,jm}^{TT} - h_{ij,lm}^{TT} - h_{lm,ij}^{TT}), \\
R^0_{ijk} &= \delta_{ij}\dot{\psi}_{e,k} - \delta_{ik}\dot{\psi}_{e,l} + \frac{1}{2}(V_{j,ik} - V_{k,ij}) + \frac{1}{2}(\dot{h}_{ik,j}^{TT} - \dot{h}_{ij,k}^{TT}).
\end{aligned} \tag{A2}$$

Now defining  $\Phi \equiv \phi + \dot{b} - \ddot{e}/2$  one has

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{00} &= 3\ddot{\psi}_e + \nabla^2\Phi, \\
R_{0i} &= 2\dot{\psi}_{e,i} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2V_i, \\
R_{ij} &= \delta_{ij}(-\ddot{\psi}_e + \nabla^2\psi_e) + \psi_{e,ij} - \Phi_{,ij} - \frac{1}{2}(\dot{V}_{i,j} + \dot{V}_{j,i}) + \frac{1}{2}\ddot{h}_{ij}^{TT} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2h_{ij}^{TT},
\end{aligned} \tag{A3}$$

hence

$$R = -6\ddot{\psi}_e - 2\nabla^2\Phi + 4\nabla^2\psi_e. \tag{A4}$$

The Einstein's equations can be split according to their representation under rotation:

- Scalar

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{00} &= 2\nabla^2\psi_e, \\
G_{0i} &= 2\dot{\psi}_{e,i}, \\
G_{ij} &= \delta_{ij}\left[2\ddot{\psi}_e + \nabla^2(\Phi - \psi_e)\right] + (\psi_e - \Phi)_{,ij}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A5}$$

- Vector

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{00} &= 0, \\
G_{0i} &= -\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2V_i, \\
G_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2}[\dot{V}_{i,j} + \dot{V}_{j,i}].
\end{aligned} \tag{A6}$$

- Tensor

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{00} &= G_{0i} = 0, \\
G_{ij} &= \frac{1}{2}\ddot{h}_{ij}^{TT} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2h_{ij}^{TT},
\end{aligned} \tag{A7}$$

The action of a coordinate transformation

$$\begin{aligned}
x^0 &\rightarrow x'^0 = x^0 + \xi^0, \\
x^i &\rightarrow x'^i = x^i + \xi^i + a^i,
\end{aligned} \tag{A8}$$

with  $\xi_i^i = 0$ , has the following effects on SVT fields:

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi &\rightarrow \phi' = \phi - \dot{\xi}^0, \\
b &\rightarrow b' = b + \xi^0 - \dot{a}, \\
\beta_i &\rightarrow \beta'_i = \beta_i - \dot{\xi}^i, \\
\psi &\rightarrow \psi' = \psi + \frac{1}{3}\nabla^2 a. \\
F_i &\rightarrow F'_i = F_i - 2\dot{\xi}_i, \\
e &\rightarrow e' = e - 2a,
\end{aligned} \tag{A9}$$

from which one can verify that fields in eq. (8) are coordinate transformation invariant, at linear order.

### Appendix B: Detailed derivation of scalar potentials

The inverse Laplacian operator appears in several equations applied to spherically symmetric functions, and it involves integrals of the type

$$-\frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^3x' \frac{1}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|} f(r) = -\frac{1}{r} \int_0^r f(r') r'^2 dr' - \int_r^\infty f(r') r' dr', \tag{B1}$$

where it has been used that

$$\frac{1}{|\vec{r}(\theta, \phi) - \vec{r}'(\iota, \alpha)|} = \frac{4\pi}{r_{>}} \sum_{l \geq 0} \sum_{|m| \leq l} \frac{1}{2l+1} \left( \frac{r_{<}}{r_{>}} \right)^l Y_{lm}^*(\theta, \phi) Y_{lm}(\iota, \alpha), \tag{B2}$$

where  $r \equiv |\vec{r}(\theta, \phi)|$ ,  $r' \equiv |\vec{r}'(\iota, \alpha)|$ ,  $r_{<,>} \equiv \max, \min(r, r')$  and spherical symmetry has been used.

We complement the results of sec. III by providing the explicit derivation of  $S$  from the first of eqs.(7):

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^3x \frac{\dot{\rho}}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|} \\
&= \frac{\Delta(t) M}{4\pi r} - \frac{M}{4\pi} \left( \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \dot{\Delta}(t-r') dr' + \int_r^\infty \frac{\dot{\Delta}(t-r')}{r'} dr' \right) \\
&= \frac{M}{4\pi} \int_r^\infty \frac{\Delta(t-r')}{r'^2} dr',
\end{aligned} \tag{B3}$$

where integration by parts and the relation  $\dot{\Delta}(t-r) = -\frac{d\Delta(t-r)}{dr}$  have been used.

Analogously  $\sigma$ , the remaining scalar component of the energy-momentum tensor, can be found from the second of eqs. (7) (we remind that  $\dot{\Theta}(t) = \Delta(t)$ )

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma &= \frac{M}{32\pi^2} \int d^3x' \frac{1}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|} \left( \frac{\Delta(t - r')}{r'^2} - 3 \int_{r'}^{\infty} \frac{\dot{\Delta}(t - r'')}{r''^2} dr'' \right) \\
&= \frac{M}{4\pi} \left[ -\frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \Delta(t - r') dr' - \int_r^{\infty} \frac{\Delta(t - r')}{r'} dr' \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{3}{r} \int_0^r dr' r'^2 \int_{r'}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta(t - r'')}{r''^3} dr'' + 3 \int_r^{\infty} dr' r' \int_{r'}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta(t - r'')}{r''^3} dr'' \right] \\
&= \frac{M}{4\pi} \left[ -\frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \Delta(t - r') dr' - \int_r^{\infty} \frac{\Delta(t - r')}{r'} dr' + \frac{3}{r} \int_0^r dr'' \frac{\Delta(t - r'')}{r''^3} \int_0^{r''} r'^2 dr' \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 3 \int_r^{\infty} dr'' \frac{\Delta(t - r'')}{r''^3} \left( \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r r'^2 dr' + \int_r^{r''} r' dr' \right) \right] \\
&= \frac{M}{8\pi} \int_r^{\infty} \frac{\Delta(t - r')}{r'} \left( 1 - \frac{r^2}{r'^2} \right) dr',
\end{aligned} \tag{B4}$$

where integration by parts has been used in the first passage and the integration order over  $r', r''$  has been exchanged in the following one (and in the final passage  $r''$  has been substituted with  $r'$ ).

The solution for the scalar potential  $\psi_e$  can be found by direct integration of the first of eqs. (9)

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_e &= -G_N \int d^3x \frac{\rho}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|} \\
&= -[1 - \Theta(t)] \frac{G_N M}{r} - G_N M \left( \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \Delta(t - r') dr' + \int_r^{\infty} \frac{\Delta(t - r')}{r'} dr' \right) \\
&= -[1 - \Theta(t - r)] \frac{G_N M}{r} - G_N M \int_r^{\infty} \frac{\Delta(t - r')}{r'} dr' \\
&= -\frac{G_N M}{r} + G_N M \int_r^{\infty} \frac{\Theta(t - r')}{r'^2} dr',
\end{aligned} \tag{B5}$$

where integrations by parts and  $\Delta(t - r) = -\frac{d\Theta(t-r)}{dr}$  have been used repeatedly.

Taking the difference of the first two of eqs. (9) and noting that from the first of eqs.(7) one has  $2\nabla^2\sigma = 3(\dot{S} - p)$ , one finds  $\psi_e - \Phi = 8\pi G_N \sigma$ , which using eq.(B5) allows to derive

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi &= -G_N M \int_r^{\infty} \frac{\Delta(t - r')}{r'} \left( 1 - \frac{r^2}{r'^2} \right) dr' - \frac{G_N M}{r} + G_N M \int_r^{\infty} \frac{\Theta(t - r')}{r'^2} dr' \\
&= -\frac{G_N M}{r} + G_N M \int_r^{\infty} \frac{\Theta(t - r')}{r'^2} \left( 2 - 3\frac{r^2}{r'^2} \right) \\
&= -[1 - \Theta(t - r)] \frac{G_N M}{r} - G_N M \int_r^{\infty} \frac{\Delta(t - r')}{r'} \left( 2 - \frac{r^2}{r'^2} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{B6}$$

To compute the scalar part of the Riemann tensor entering the geodesic deviation equations one still needs

$$\begin{aligned}\ddot{\psi}_e &= G_N M \int_r^\infty \frac{\dot{\Delta}(t-r)}{r'^2} dr' \\ &= \Delta(t-r) \frac{G_N M}{r^2} - 2G_N M \int_r^\infty \frac{\Delta(t-r')}{r'^3} dr',\end{aligned}\tag{B7}$$

and the gradients of  $\Phi$ , which starting from the next to last line in eq. (B6) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{,i} &= x_i \left\{ [1 + \Theta(t-r)] \frac{G_N M}{r^3} - 6G_N M \int_r^\infty \frac{\Theta(t-r')}{r'^4} dr' \right\}, \\ \Phi_{,ij} &= \delta_{ij} \left\{ [1 + \Theta(t-r)] \frac{G_N M}{r^3} - 6G_N M \int_r^\infty \frac{\Theta(t-r')}{r'^4} dr' \right\} \\ &\quad + \frac{x_i x_j}{r^2} \left\{ -\Delta(t-r) \frac{G_N M}{r^2} - 3[1 + \Theta(t-r)] \frac{G_N M}{r^3} + 6\Theta(t-r) \frac{G_N M}{r^3} \right\} \\ &= \delta_{ij} \left\{ [1 - \Theta(t-r)] \frac{G_N M}{r^3} + 2G_N M \int_r^\infty \frac{\Delta(t-r')}{r'^3} dr' \right\} \\ &\quad + \frac{x_i x_j}{r^2} \left\{ -\Delta(t-r) \frac{G_N M}{r^2} - 3[1 - \Theta(t-r)] \frac{G_N M}{r^3} \right\}.\end{aligned}\tag{B8}$$

Finally combining eqs.(B7) and (B8) one gets eq. (16).

- 
- [1] B. Abbott, et al., Phys. Rev. X **9**(3), 031040 (2019). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevX.9.031040
  - [2] R. Abbott, et al., arXiv:2010.14527
  - [3] Y.B. Zel'dovich, A.G. Polnarev, Sov. Ast. **18**, 17 (1974)
  - [4] V.B. Braginsky, L.P. Grishchuk, Sov. Phys. JETP **62**, 427 (1985)
  - [5] K.S. Thorne, Phys. Rev. **D45**(2), 520 (1992). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevD.45.520
  - [6] A.G. Wiseman, C.M. Will, Phys. Rev. **D44**(10), R2945 (1991). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevD.44.R2945
  - [7] D. Christodoulou, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 1486 (1991). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevLett.67.1486
  - [8] R. Epstein, Astrophysical Journal **223**, 1037 (1978). DOI 10.1086/156337
  - [9] M.S. Turner, Nature **274**, 565 (1978). DOI 10.1038/274565a0
  - [10] E. Mueller, H.T. Janka, Astron. Astrophys. **317**, 140 (1997)
  - [11] L. Blanchet, T. Damour, Phys. Rev. **D37**, 1410 (1988). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevD.37.1410
  - [12] L. Blanchet, T. Damour, Phys. Rev. **D46**, 4304 (1992). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevD.46.4304

- [13] M. Maggiore, *Gravitational Waves: Volume 1: Theory and Experiments*. Gravitational Waves (OUP Oxford, 2008). URL <https://books.google.com.br/books?id=AqVpQgAACAAJ>
- [14] A. Tolish, R.M. Wald, Phys. Rev. **D89**(6), 064008 (2014). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevD.89.064008
- [15] A. Tolish, L. Bieri, D. Garfinkle, R.M. Wald, Phys. Rev. **D90**(4), 044060 (2014). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevD.90.044060
- [16] P.M. Zhang, C. Duval, G. Gibbons, P. Horvathy, Phys. Lett. B **772**, 743 (2017). DOI 10.1016/j.physletb.2017.07.050
- [17] P. Zhang, C. Duval, G. Gibbons, P. Horvathy, JCAP **05**, 030 (2018). DOI 10.1088/1475-7516/2018/05/030
- [18] E.E. Flanagan, S.A. Hughes, New J. Phys. **7**, 204 (2005). DOI 10.1088/1367-2630/7/1/204
- [19] D. Garfinkle, I. Ra acz, Gen. Rel. Grav. **47**(7), 79 (2015). DOI 10.1007/s10714-015-1924-2
- [20] C. Moore, R. Cole, C. Berry, Class. Quant. Grav. **32**(1), 015014 (2015). DOI 10.1088/0264-9381/32/1/015014
- [21] L.S. study team, Lisa science requirements document. Tech. rep., ESA (2018). <https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/lisa/lisa-documents>, accessed on Aug 27th, 2020
- [22] S. Kawamura, et al., Class. Quant. Grav. **28**, 094011 (2011). DOI 10.1088/0264-9381/28/9/094011
- [23] C. Cutler, D.E. Holz, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 104009 (2009). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevD.80.104009
- [24] S.H. et al., Classical and Quantum Gravity **28**(9), 094013 (2011). DOI 10.1088/0264-9381/28/9/094013. URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/28/9/094013>