

Multiplier systems for Siegel modular groups

Eberhard Freitag, mathematisches Institut, Universität Heidelberg
Adrian Hauffe-Waschbüsch, Institut für Mathematik, RWTH Aachen
2020

Abstract

Deligne proved in [De] (s. also [Hi], 7.1) that the weights of Siegel modular forms on any congruence subgroup of the Siegel modular group of genus $g > 1$ must be integral or half integral. Actually he proved that for a system $v(M)$ of complex numbers of absolute value 1

$$v(M) \det(CZ + D)^r \quad (r \in \mathbb{R})$$

can be an automorphy factor only if $2r$ is integral. We give a different proof for this. It uses Mennicke's result that subgroups of finite index of the Siegel modular group are congruence subgroups and some techniques from the paper [BMS] of Bass-Milnor-Serre.

Introduction

We fix a natural number g (which later will be 2). We denote by $E = E^{(g)}$ the $g \times g$ -unit matrix and by

$$I = I^{(g)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -E \\ E & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

the standard alternating matrix. The symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}(g, \mathbb{R})$ consists of all $M \in \mathrm{GL}(2g, \mathbb{R})$ with the property $M'IM = I$. Here M' denotes the transposed matrix of M . We consider the usual action $MZ = (AZ + B)(CZ + D)^{-1}$ of the real symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}(g, \mathbb{R})$ on the Siegel upper half plane. The function

$$J(M, Z) = \det(CZ + D)$$

has no zeros on the half plane. Since the half plane is convex, there exists a continuous choice $L(M, Z) = \arg J(M, Z)$ of the argument. We normalize it such that it is the principal value for $Z = iE$ where E denotes the unit matrix. Recall that the principal value $\mathrm{Arg}(a)$ is defined such that it is in the interval $(-\pi, \pi]$. So we have

$$L(M, iE) = \mathrm{Arg}(J(M, iE)) \in (-\pi, \pi].$$

We consider

$$w(M, N) := \frac{1}{2\pi} ((L(MN, Z) - L(M, NZ) - L(N, Z))).$$

Obviously,

$$e^{2\pi i w(M, N)} = 1.$$

Hence $w(M, N)$ is a constant (independent of Z),

$$w(M, N) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Remark. *The function $w : \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is a cocycle in the following sense:*

$$\begin{aligned} w(M_1 M_2, M_3) + w(M_1, M_2) &= w(M_1, M_2 M_3) + w(M_2, M_3), \\ w(E, M) &= w(M, E) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The computation of $w(M, N)$ in genus 1 is easy for the following reason. From the definition we have

$$2\pi w(M, N) = \mathrm{Arg}((c\alpha + d\gamma)i + c\beta + d\gamma) - \arg(cN(i) + d) - \mathrm{Arg}(\gamma i + \delta)$$

for

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \quad N = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\arg(cN(i) + d)$ is obtained from the principal value of $\arg(ci + d)$ through continuous continuation. But $cz + d$ for z in the upper half plane never crosses the real axis. Hence the result of the continuation is the principal value too. So all three arguments in the definition of $w(M, N)$ are the principal values (in genus 1). This makes it easy to compute w . We rely on tables for the values of w which have been derived by Petersson and reproduced by Maass [Ma1], Theorem 16.

0.1 Lemma. *Let $M = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ m_1 & m_2 \end{pmatrix}$, $S = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ be two real matrices with determinant 1 and (m'_1, m'_2) the second row of the matrix MS . Then*

$$4w(M, S) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{sgn} c + \mathrm{sgn} m_1 - \mathrm{sgn} m'_1 - \mathrm{sgn}(m_1 c m'_1) & \text{if } m_1 c m'_1 \neq 0, \\ -(1 - \mathrm{sgn} c)(1 - \mathrm{sgn} m_1) & \text{if } c m_1 \neq 0, m'_1 = 0, \\ (1 + \mathrm{sgn} c)(1 - \mathrm{sgn} m_2) & \text{if } c m'_1 \neq 0, m_1 = 0, \\ (1 - \mathrm{sgn} a)(1 + \mathrm{sgn} m_1) & \text{if } m_1 m'_1 \neq 0, c = 0, \\ (1 - \mathrm{sgn} a)(1 - \mathrm{sgn} m_2) & \text{if } c = m_1 = m'_1 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Corollary. *Assume that $m_1 c m'_1 \neq 0$ and that $m_1 m'_1 > 0$ or $m_1 c < 0$. Then $w(M, S) = 0$.*

We give an example.

0.2 Lemma. *We have*

$$w\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = w\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}\right) = 0.$$

We denote by $\Gamma_g[q]$ the principal congruence subgroup level q . This is the kernel of the natural homomorphism $\mathrm{Sp}(g, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(g, \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$.

1. Some special values of the cocycle

We give some examples for values of w in genus $g > 1$.

1.1 Lemma. *One has*

$$w\left(\begin{pmatrix} E & S \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix}, M\right) = 0.$$

The proof is trivial and can be omitted. □

1.2 Lemma. *Let $g = 2$ and*

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have

$$w(P, M) = w(M, P) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mathrm{Im} \det(iC + D) < 0, \\ -1 & \text{if } \mathrm{Im} \det(iC + D) > 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $z := \det(Ci + D)$. One computes

$$2\pi w(P, M) = 2\pi w(M, P) = \mathrm{Arg}(-z) - \mathrm{Arg}(z) - \mathrm{Arg}(-1). \quad \square$$

1.3 Definition. *The **Siegel parabolic group** consists of all symplectic matrices of the form*

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix}.$$

*The two **Klingen parabolic groups** in the case $g = 2$ consist of all symplectic matrices of the form*

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & b_1 & b_2 \\ a_3 & a_4 & b_3 & b_4 \\ c_1 & 0 & d_1 & d_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & d_4 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{resp.} \quad \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & b_1 & b_2 \\ 0 & a_4 & b_3 & b_4 \\ 0 & 0 & d_1 & 0 \\ 0 & c_4 & d_3 & d_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

There is a character on the Siegel parabolic group

$$\varepsilon \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} = \det(D).$$

For an element M of the Siegel parabolic group, the expression $\det(CZ + D) = \det(D)$ is independent of Z . Hence

$$L(M, Z) = 0 \quad \text{if } \varepsilon(M) > 0.$$

An immediate consequence is the following lemma.

1.4 Lemma. *For two elements M, N of the Siegel parabolic group we have $w(M, N) = 0$ if $\varepsilon(M) > 0$.*

1.5 Lemma. *Let $g = 2$ and let M be a Klingen parabolic matrix and N a Siegel parabolic matrix with $\varepsilon(N) > 0$. Then $w(M, N) = 0$.*

Proof. Since $L(N, iE) = 1$, we have to show that the arguments of $J(MN, iE)$ and of $L(M, N(iE))$ are the same. Both determinants are equal. But the argument of the first is the principal part and that of the second is defined by continuation from the argument of $J(M, iE)$. Hence it is sufficient to show that the principal part of the argument of $L(M, Z)$ is continuous. This is the case if $\text{Im } J(M, Z)$ is always ≥ 0 or always < 0 . Actually, for the first Klingen parabolic group

$$\text{Im } J(M, Z) = c_1 d_4 \text{Im } z_0 \quad \text{where } Z = \begin{pmatrix} z_0 & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

The argument for the second Klingen parabolic group is the same. This proves the lemma. \square

1.6 Lemma. *Let $g = 2$ and let*

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} E & S \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$w(I, M) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \text{tr}(S) \geq 0, \\ -1 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. From the definition we have

$$2\pi w(I, M) = \text{Arg } \det(iE + S) - \text{Arg } \det(E) - \text{arg } \det(iE + S).$$

The third argument is defined through continuation along $\det(iE + tS)$, beginning from $t = 0$ to $t = 1$. For $t = 0$ we have to take the principal value which is π . The imaginary part of $\det(iE + tS)$ equals $t \text{tr}(S)$. In the case $\text{tr}(S) \geq 0$ we keep the principal value. But if it is negative we make a jump by -2π . \square

1.7 Lemma. *Let $g = 2$ and let*

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} E & 0 \\ S & E \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$w(M, I) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } \operatorname{tr}(S) \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $z = \det(iS + E)$. One computes $2\pi w(M, I) = \operatorname{Arg}(-z) - \pi - \operatorname{Arg}(z)$. This depends on the imaginary part of z which is $\operatorname{tr}(S)$. \square

2. Multipliers

2.1 Definition. *Let $\Gamma \subset \operatorname{Sp}(g, \mathbb{R})$ be an arbitrary subgroup and let r be a real number. A system $v(M)$, $M \in \Gamma$, of complex numbers of absolute value 1 is called a multiplier system of weight r if*

$$v(MN) \equiv v(M)v(N)\sigma(M, N)$$

where

$$\sigma(M, N) = \sigma_r(M, N) := e^{2\pi i r w(M, N)}.$$

The elliptic modular group $\operatorname{Sp}(1, \mathbb{Z}) = \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ admits multipliers for every real r . One can construct them by means of the discriminant function Δ . This is a modular form without zeros. Hence we can choose a holomorphic power $f(z) = \Delta(z)^{r/12}$. This can be used to construct a multiplier.

Maass [Ma2] proved that the full Siegel modular group of genus $g > 1$ admits only multipliers for integral r and their values can be only ± 1 . As a consequence (s. [Ch]), for every multiplier system on a subgroup Γ of finite index of the modular group the weight r is rational and the values of v are contained in a finite subgroup of S^1 .

Let $\Gamma_{g, \vartheta}$ be the theta group of degree g . It consists of all integral symplectic matrices such that AB' and CD' have even diagonal. The function

$$\vartheta(Z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^g} e^{2\pi i n' Z n}$$

is a modular form of weight $1/2$ on the theta group. It can be used to construct a multiplier system of weight $1/2$.

The result of Deligne states:

2.2 Theorem. *Let $g > 1$ and let $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Sp}(g, \mathbb{Z})$ be any subgroup of finite index of the Siegel modular group. Multiplier systems of weight r can only exist if $2r$ is integral.*

It is sufficient to prove this in the case $g = 2$. So we assume from now on $g = 2$.

We assume that a natural number q' is given and that v is a multiplier system of weight r on $\Gamma_2[q']$.

For any $L \in \mathrm{Sp}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ we can consider a conjugate multiplier system [FB] that is defined by

$$\tilde{v}(M) = v(LML^{-1}) \frac{\sigma(LML^{-1}, L)}{\sigma(L, M)}.$$

It is easy to check that this is a multiplier system. The quotient of two multiplier systems of the same weight is a homomorphism, as we know into a finite group. Since every subgroup of finite index of the Siegel modular group is a congruence subgroup, we obtain $\tilde{v}(M) = v(M)$ on some subgroup $\Gamma_2[q] \subset \Gamma_2[q']$ (where q may depend on L).

2.3 Lemma. *For given L in the full modular group there exists a multiple q of q' such that*

$$v(M) = v(LML^{-1}) \frac{\sigma(LML^{-1}, L)}{\sigma(L, M)}$$

for each $M \in \Gamma_2[q]$.

This will be used for several matrices, in particular for $M = I$.

2.4 Proposition. *There exists a multiple q of q' such that the following holds. Let U be an element from the subgroup that is generated by the matrices $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & q \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ q & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Let M be a matrix from $\Gamma_2[q]$ of the form*

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} U' & * \\ 0 & U^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} E & 0 \\ * & E \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $v(M) = 1$.

Proof. The matrices of the first type build a finitely generated group. The number of generators is independent on q . It is enough to prove $v(M) = 1$ for the generators, since $w(M, N) = 0$ for all M, N in this group. We also have $v(M)^n = v(M^n)$. Since the values of v are contained in a finite group, we find an n such that $v(M^n) = 1$ for all of the generators.

The second case is more difficult. Due to Lemma 2.3 it is sufficient to prove $\sigma(IMI^{-1}, I) = \sigma(I, M)$ for translation matrices M . This follows from the Lemmas 1.6 and 1.7. \square

3. Embedded subgroups

We have to consider three embeddings of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ into $\mathrm{Sp}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_1, \iota_2, \iota_3 : \mathrm{SL}(2) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(2), \\ \iota_1 \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 & d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \iota_2 \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & c & 0 & d \end{pmatrix}, \\ \iota_3(M) &= \begin{pmatrix} M & 0 \\ 0 & M'^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 & 0 \\ c & d & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d & -c \\ 0 & 0 & -b & a \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

We have $w(\iota_3(M), \iota_3(N)) = 0$. Hence $M \mapsto v(\iota_3(M))$ is a homomorphism into a finite group. Its kernel is a subgroup of finite index in $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. We will show that it is in fact a congruence subgroup.

Let P as in Lemma 1.2. We have

$$P\iota_1(M)P^{-1} = \iota_2(M).$$

From Lemma 1.2 follows $w(\iota_2(M), P) = w(P, \iota_1(M))$. Hence we obtain from Lemma 2.3 the following result.

3.1 Lemma. *We have*

$$v(\iota_1(M)) = v(\iota_2(M))$$

for $M \in \Gamma_1[q]$.

For sake of simplicity we write

$$v(M) = v(\iota_1(M)) = v(\iota_2(M)).$$

This is a multiplier system in genus 1. We have

$$w(M, N) = w(\iota_\nu(M), \iota_\nu(N)), \quad \text{for } \nu = 1, 2.$$

3.2 Lemma. *The value $v(M)$, $M \in \Gamma_1[q]$, depends only on the second row of M .*

Proof. When M, N have the same second row, then $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}M = N$. We know $w(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, M) = 0$ and $v(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}) = 1$ (Proposition 2.4). \square

3.3 Lemma. *Assume that v is a multiplier system of weight r on $\Gamma_2[q']$. There exists a multiple q of q' such that for $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_1[q]$ we have*

$$v \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & -c_1 & 0 & 0 \\ -b_1 & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a & b_1 \\ 0 & 0 & c_1 & d_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot v \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & b_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ c_2 & 0 & d_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = v \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 & b_1^2 b_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & c_1^2 c_2 & 0 & y \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$y = d_1 - b_1 c_1 d_2 + c_1 c_2 b_1 b_2 d_1.$$

Proof. The proof depends on a certain relation which occurs in [BMS] during the proof of Lemma 13.3. We reproduce it here. We set

$$H_1 = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & -c_1 & 0 & 0 \\ -b_1 & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a & b_1 \\ 0 & 0 & c_1 & d_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad H_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & b_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ c_2 & 0 & d_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$H_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 & b_1^2 b_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & c_1^2 c_2 & 0 & y \end{pmatrix}.$$

We consider the matrices

$$R_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -b_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ ac_2 & c_1 c_2 & 1 & 0 \\ c_1 c_2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$R_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -c_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -ad_1^2 b_2 & b_1 b_2 d_1 \\ 0 & 1 & b_1 b_2 d_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now a direct computation shows

$$R_2 H_3 = H_1 H_2 R_1 R_3 R_4.$$

We have to compute w -values. We assume that $c_1 c_2 \neq 0$. First we treat $w(R_2, H_3)$. We have

$$R_2 H_3 = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ ac_2 & ac_1 c_2 & 1 & b_1^2 b_2 c_1 c_2 \\ c_1 c_2 & c_1^2 c_2 & 0 & y \end{pmatrix}.$$

We are going to compute $w(R_2, H_3)$. A direct computation gives

$$\operatorname{Im} J(R_2 H_3, iE) = c_2(1 + c_1^2).$$

Next we treat $J(R_2, H_3(iE))$. Here the argument has to be defined by continuation from the principal value of the argument of $J(R_2, iE)$. We can do this along the straight line from iE to $H_3(iE)$. The points on this line are of the form $\begin{pmatrix} i0 \\ 0\tau \end{pmatrix}$ where τ is in the upper half plane. One computes

$$J\left(R_2, \begin{pmatrix} i0 \\ 0\tau \end{pmatrix}\right) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 + ac_2i & c_1c_2\tau \\ c_1c_2i & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The real part is $1 + c_1^2c_2^2 \operatorname{Im} \tau$ which is positive. Hence the principal value of the argument is continuous along the line. So we see

$$L(R_2, H_3(iE)) \in (-\pi, \pi].$$

Finally we compute

$$\operatorname{Im} J(H_3, iE) = c_1^2c_2.$$

Now we see that the imaginary part of $J(R_2 H_3, iE)$ and $J(H_3, iE)$ have the same sign (namely the sign of c_2). Hence their arguments are both contained in $(0, \pi)$ or in $(-\pi, 0)$. This means that $2\pi w(R_2, H_3)$ is contained in $(0, \pi) - (-\pi, \pi] - (0, \pi)$ or in $(-\pi, 0) - (-\pi, \pi] - (-\pi, 0)$. This is $(-2\pi, 2\pi)$ in both cases. We obtain

$$w(R_2, H_3) = 0.$$

The case $c_1c_2 = 0$ is easy and can be omitted.

From Lemma 1.5 we can take $w(H_2, R_1R_3R_4) = 0$. For trivial reason one has $w(H_1, H_2R_1R_3R_4) = 0$. Now we evaluate

$$v(R_2H_3) = v(H_1H_2R_1R_3R_4).$$

The left hand side is

$$v(R_2)v(H_3)\sigma(R_2, H_3) = v(R_2)v(H_3).$$

But $v(R_2) = 1$ (Proposition 2.4). Hence the left hand side is just $v(H_3)$. The right hand side is

$$v(H_1)v(H_2R_1R_3R_4)\sigma(H_1, H_2R_1R_3R_4) = v(H_1)v(H_2R_1R_3R_4).$$

Similarly we see

$$v(H_2R_1R_2R_3) = v(H_2)v(R_1R_2R_3)\sigma(H_2, R_1R_3R_4) = v(H_2)v(R_1R_3R_4).$$

From Proposition 2.4 we know $v(R_1R_3R_4) = 1$. Hence we get $v(H_3) = v(H_1)v(H_2)$. \square

4. Mennicke symbol

We have seen that $v(\iota_1(M)) = v(\iota_2(M))$ depends only on the second row of $M \in \Gamma_1[q]$. Hence we can define

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} c \\ d \end{matrix} \right\} = v \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 & d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = v \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & c & 0 & d \end{pmatrix}^{-1}.$$

We also can define

$$\begin{bmatrix} b \\ a \end{bmatrix} = v \begin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 & 0 \\ c & d & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d & -c \\ 0 & 0 & -b & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is clear that this does not depend on the choice of c, d .

4.1 Proposition. *For a suitable multiple $q > 2$ of q' the bracket $\begin{bmatrix} b \\ a \end{bmatrix}$ is a Mennicke symbol. This means that it is a function on the set of all coprime (a, b) with the property $a \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ and $b \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ such that the following properties hold.*

MS1 *It is invariant under the transformations $(a, b) \mapsto (a + xb, b)$ and $(a, b) \mapsto (a, b + qay)$ for integral x, y .*

MS2 *It satisfies the rule*

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_1 b_2 \\ a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_2 \\ a \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof of MS1. We notice that w is trivial on the image of ι_3 . Hence v is a character on this group. The invariance under $(a, b) \mapsto (a, b + qay)$ follows from the equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & qy \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b + qay \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

To prove the invariance under $(a, b) \mapsto (a + xb, b)$, we consider

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -x & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a + xb & b \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

Due to Lemma 2.3 we can assume that $v(\iota_3(M))$ is invariant under conjugation with $\iota_3 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. This proves MS1.

Proof of MS2. The proof of MS2 needs two Lemmas which we now have to formulate and prove now. We make use of

$$v(\iota_\nu(M^{-1})) = v(\iota_\nu(M))^{-1}.$$

This is true since in genus 1 one has $w(M, M^{-1}) = 0$. (This is a general rule for $c \neq 0$ but also for $c = 0$ and $a > 0$. But in our case $c = 0$ implies $a = 1$ since we assume $q > 2$.) This relation implies

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} c \\ d \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} -c \\ a \end{array} \right\}^{-1}.$$

From Lemma 3.3 we get after the replacement, $c_2 \mapsto -c_2$ the following general rule (compare Lemma 13.3 in [BMS]).

4.2 Lemma. *Let $a - 1 \equiv c_1 \equiv c_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ and let a, c_1 and a, c_2 be coprime. Then*

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} c_1 \\ a \end{array} \right] \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c_2 \\ a \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c_1^2 c_2 \\ a \end{array} \right\}.$$

We need also the following simple lemma.

4.3 Lemma. *We have*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 - a \\ a \end{array} \right\} = 1$$

for $a \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$.

Proof. We use

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a-1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2-a & a-1 \\ 1-a & a \end{pmatrix}. \quad \square$$

We insert un Lemma 4.2 now $c_2 = 1 - a$ to obtain the following formula.

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} c \\ a \end{array} \right] = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c^2(1-a) \\ a \end{array} \right\}.$$

Before we continue, we mention that $\{\}$ is not a Mennicke symbol. It does not satisfy MS1.

4.4 Lemma. *We have*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} c \\ d \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c \\ d + yc \end{array} \right\}$$

and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} c + xqd \\ d \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c \\ d \end{array} \right\} e^{2\pi i r s}.$$

where

$$s = w\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc} * & * \\ c & d \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ qx & 1 \end{array}\right)\right).$$

Proof. The first relation can be derived from

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -y \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ c & d + cy \end{pmatrix}.$$

To derive the second one we consider the relation

$$\begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ qx & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ c + dxq & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

It shows

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} c + dxq \\ d \end{matrix} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{matrix} c \\ d \end{matrix} \right\} e^{2\pi i r s}.$$

The w -value s is usually not zero. □

Proof of Proposition 4.1 (MS2) continued. Now we use

$$\begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ c^2 & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -c^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ c^2 - ac^2 & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

From the corollary of the table of Maass in the introduction we get

$$w\left(\begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ c^2 & a \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -c^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = 0$$

and hence

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} c^2(1-a) \\ a \end{matrix} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{matrix} c^2 \\ a \end{matrix} \right\}.$$

So we obtain

$$\left[\begin{matrix} c \\ a \end{matrix} \right] = \left\{ \begin{matrix} c^2 \\ a \end{matrix} \right\}$$

and moreover

$$\left[\begin{matrix} c_1 c_2 \\ a \end{matrix} \right] = \left\{ \begin{matrix} c_1^2 c_2^2 \\ a \end{matrix} \right\} = \left[\begin{matrix} c_1 \\ a \end{matrix} \right] \left\{ \begin{matrix} c_2^2 \\ a \end{matrix} \right\} = \left[\begin{matrix} c_1 \\ a \end{matrix} \right] \left[\begin{matrix} c_2 \\ a \end{matrix} \right].$$

This finishes the proof of Proposition 4.1. □

The main result about Mennicke symbols is that they are trivial [BMS], Theorem 3.6. Hence we obtain now the important result.

4.5 Proposition. *The multiplier system v is identically one on all*

$$\begin{pmatrix} M & 0 \\ 0 & M'^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } M \in \Gamma_1[q].$$

From Lemma 4.2 follows now

$$\left\{ \frac{c^2}{d} \right\} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \left\{ \frac{c_1}{d} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{c_1 c_2^2}{d} \right\}$$

for $c \equiv c_1 \equiv c_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ and $d \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$. This can be generalized. We have to consider the Kronecker symbol $\left(\frac{c}{d}\right)$. For its definition and properties we refer to [Di]. We will need it only for $c \neq 0$ and for odd d . We collect some properties (always assuming this condition)

$$\left(\frac{c_1 c_2}{d}\right) = \left(\frac{c_1}{d}\right) \left(\frac{c_2}{d}\right), \quad \left(\frac{c}{d_1 d_2}\right) = \left(\frac{c}{d_1}\right) \left(\frac{c}{d_2}\right).$$

Assume $d > 0$ or $c_1 c_2 > 0$. Then

$$\left(\frac{c_1}{d}\right) = \left(\frac{c_2}{d}\right) \quad \text{if} \quad c_1 \equiv c_2 \pmod{d}.$$

Also the relation

$$\left(\frac{c}{d_1}\right) = \left(\frac{c}{d_2}\right) \quad \text{if} \quad \begin{cases} d_1 \equiv d_2 \pmod{c} \text{ and } c \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ d_1 \equiv d_2 \pmod{4c} \text{ and } c \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

is valid. Finally we mention

$$\left(\frac{c}{-1}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } c > 0, \\ -1 & \text{for } c < 0. \end{cases}$$

Since one of the rules demands $c \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, we will from now on assume that $q \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

4.6 Proposition. *Let q be a suitable multiple of q' and let*

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_1[q], \quad \left(\frac{c}{d}\right) = 1.$$

Then $v(M) = 1$.

Proof. We use the invariance under $(c, d) \mapsto (c, d+xc)$. We can apply Dirichlet's prime number theorem and therefore assume that $d = p$ is a (positive) prime. But then the Kronecker symbol is the usual Legendre symbol. Since $d \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ we have $\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) = 1$. This implies $\left(\frac{c/q}{d}\right) = 1$. Since d is a prime, we get a solution of $c/q = x^2 + dy$ or $c = qx^2 + dqy$. Now use

$$\begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ qx^2 & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ qy & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the case $c > 0$ the w -value is zero. This follows from the corollary in the table of Maass in the introduction. In the case $c < 0$ we must have $y < 0$ and

again from this corollary follows that the w -value is zero. (In the notation of the table the sign distribution of (m_1, c, m'_1) is $(+, *, +)$ or $(+, -, *)$.) Now we get

$$v(M) = v \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = v \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ qx^2 & d \end{pmatrix} = \left\{ \begin{matrix} qx^2 \\ d \end{matrix} \right\}.$$

Lemma 4.2 now shows

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} x^2q \\ d \end{matrix} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{matrix} x^2q^3 \\ d \end{matrix} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{matrix} q(qx)^2 \\ d \end{matrix} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{matrix} q \\ d \end{matrix} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{matrix} q \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right\} = 1. \quad \square$$

4.7 Lemma. *Assume that the matrix $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ is contained in $\Gamma_1[q]$ and has the following properties. All entries are positive and $dq < c(q-1)$. Then*

$$v(M) = e^{-2\pi ir} \quad \text{if} \quad \left(\frac{c}{d} \right) = -1.$$

Proof. We consider

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1-q & -q \\ q & 1+q \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ c-qc+dq & -cq+d+dq \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly $\left(\frac{q}{1+q} \right) = 1$. We also claim

$$\left(\frac{c-qc+dq}{-cq+d+dq} \right) = 1.$$

To prove this, we observe

$$\left(\frac{c-qc+dq}{-cq+d+dq} \right) = \left(\frac{c-qc+dq}{d-c} \right) = \left(\frac{c-qc+dq}{-1} \right) \left(\frac{c-qc+dq}{c-d} \right).$$

Now we use $c-qc+dq < 0$. It follows $c-d > 0$. Hence we get

$$= - \left(\frac{c}{c-d} \right) = - \left(\frac{c}{-d} \right) = - \left(\frac{c}{d} \right) = -(-1) = 1.$$

Now we have proved

$$v \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1-q & -q \\ q & 1+q \end{pmatrix} \right) = 1.$$

The left hand side equals

$$v \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \exp \left\{ 2\pi irw \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1-q & -q \\ q & 1+q \end{pmatrix} \right) \right\} = 1.$$

From Maass' table in the introduction follows that the w -value is 1. (The sign distribution of (m_1, c, m'_1) is $(+, +, -)$.) This proves Lemma 4.7. \square

There exist two coprime natural numbers c, d such that $c \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ and $d \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ and such that $\left(\frac{c}{d}\right) = -1$. We also can assume $dq < c(q-1)$. The pair (c, d) is the second row of a matrix $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_1[q]$. We want to compute $v(M)$. Since we can add a multiple of the second row to the first one, we can assume that a and b are also positive. From Lemma 4.7 we know $v(M) = e^{-2\pi ir}$. Now we consider

$$v(M^2) = v(M)^2 e^{2\pi ir w(M, M)}.$$

Since all entries from M are positive, we have $w(M, M) = 0$. So we get

$$v(M^2) = e^{-4\pi ir}.$$

We compute $\left(\frac{\gamma}{\delta}\right)$ for the matrix

$$N = M^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

We get

$$\left(\frac{\gamma}{\delta}\right) = \left(\frac{c(a+d)}{cb+d^2}\right) = \left(\frac{c}{cb+d^2}\right) \left(\frac{a+d}{cb+d^2}\right).$$

We have

$$\left(\frac{c}{cb+d^2}\right) = \left(\frac{c}{d^2}\right) = 1$$

and

$$\left(\frac{a+d}{cb+d^2}\right) = \left(\frac{a+d}{d(a+d)-1}\right).$$

Since $a+d \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ we only can change the denominator mod $4(a+d)$. Since $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ we see

$$\left(\frac{a+d}{d(a+d)-1}\right) = \left(\frac{a+d}{a+d-1}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{a+d-1}\right) = 1.$$

This shows $v(N) = 1$ and we get the relation

$$e^{-4\pi ir} = 1$$

which implies that $2r$ is integral. This finishes the proof of the main result.

References

- [BMS] Bass, H. Milnor, J. Serre, J.P.: *Solution of the congruence subgroup problem for SL_n ($n \geq 3$) and Sp_{2n} ($n \geq 2$)*, Publications mathématiques l'I.H.É.S., tome **33**, p. 59–137 (1967)
- [Ch] Christian, U.: *Hilbert-Siegelsche Modulformen und Poincarésche Reihen*, Mathematische Annalen **148**, 257307 (1962)
- [De] Deligne, P.: *Extensions centrales non résiduellement finies de groupes arithmétiques*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **287**, p. 203-208 (1978)
- [Di] Dickson, L.E.: *Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, Dover Publications, New York, Dover (1957).
- [Hi] Hill, R.: *Fractional weights and non-congruence subgroups*, Automorphic Forms and Representations of algebraic groups over local fields, Saito, H., Takahashi, T. (ed.) Surikenkoukyuroku series **1338**, 71-80 (2003)
- [Ma1] Maass, H.: *Lectures on Modular Functions of One Complex Variable*, Notes by Sunder Lal, Tata Institute Of Fundamental Research, Bombay, Revised 1983 (1964)
- [Ma2] Maass, H.: *Die Multiplikatorsysteme zur Siegelschen Modulgruppe*, Nachrichten der Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen II. Mathematisch-physikalische Klasse, Nr. **11**, 125-135 (1964)
- [Me] Mennicke, J.: *Zur Theorie der Siegelschen Modulgruppe*, Math. Annalen **159**, 115–129 (1965)