

COMPARISON OF CLARK MEASURES IN SEVERAL VARIABLES

ALEKSEI B. ALEKSANDROV AND EVGUENI DOUBTSOV

ABSTRACT. Let \mathbb{D} denote the unit disc of \mathbb{C} and let Ω denote the unit ball B_n of \mathbb{C}^n or the unit polydisc \mathbb{D}^n , $n \geq 2$. Given a non-constant holomorphic function $b : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$, we study the corresponding family $\sigma_\alpha[b]$, $\alpha \in \partial\mathbb{D}$, of Clark measures on $\partial\Omega$. For $\Omega = B_n$ and an inner function $I : B_n \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$, we show that the property $\sigma_1[I] \ll \sigma_1[b]$ is related to the membership of an appropriate function in the de Branges–Rovnyak space $\mathcal{H}(b)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{D} denote the unit disc of \mathbb{C} and $\mathbb{T} = \partial\mathbb{D}$. Let Ω denote the unit ball B_n of \mathbb{C}^n or the unit polydisc \mathbb{D}^n , $n \geq 2$, and let $\partial\Omega$ denote the unit sphere $S_n = \partial B_n$ or the unit torus \mathbb{T}^n , respectively. Let $C(z, \zeta) = C_\Omega(z, \zeta)$ denote the Cauchy kernel for Ω . Recall that

$$C_{B_n}(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{(1 - \langle z, \zeta \rangle)^n}, \quad z \in B_n, \quad \zeta \in S_n,$$

$$C_{\mathbb{D}^n}(z, \zeta) = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{1 - z_j \bar{\zeta}_j}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}^n, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{T}^n.$$

The corresponding Poisson kernel is given by the formula

$$P(z, \zeta) = \frac{C(z, \zeta)C(\zeta, z)}{C(z, z)}, \quad z \in \Omega, \quad \zeta \in \partial\Omega.$$

Let $M(\partial\Omega)$ denote the space of complex Borel measures on $\partial\Omega$. For $\mu \in M(\partial\Omega)$, the Cauchy transform μ_+ is defined as

$$\mu_+(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} C(z, \xi) d\mu(\xi), \quad z \in \Omega.$$

1.1. Clark measures. Given an $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$ and a holomorphic function $b : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$, the quotient

$$\frac{1 - |b(z)|^2}{|\alpha - b(z)|^2} = \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\alpha + b(z)}{\alpha - b(z)} \right), \quad z \in \Omega,$$

is positive and pluriharmonic. Therefore, there exists a unique positive measure $\sigma_\alpha = \sigma_\alpha[b] \in M(\partial\Omega)$ such that

$$P[\sigma_\alpha](z) = \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\alpha + b(z)}{\alpha - b(z)} \right), \quad z \in \Omega.$$

After the seminal paper of Clark [3], various properties and applications of the measures σ_α on the unit circle \mathbb{T} have been obtained; see, for example, reviews [6, 7, 8] for further references.

1.2. Model spaces and de Branges–Rovnyak spaces. Let Σ denote the normalized Lebesgue measure on $\partial\Omega$.

Definition 1.1. A holomorphic function $I : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is called *inner* if $|I(\zeta)| = 1$ for Σ -a.e. $\zeta \in \partial\Omega$.

In the above definition, $I(\zeta)$ stands, as usual, for $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1-} I(r\zeta)$. Recall that the corresponding limit exists Σ -a.e. Also, by the above definition, every inner function is non-constant.

Given an inner function I in Ω , we have

$$P[\sigma_\alpha](\zeta) = \frac{1 - |I(\zeta)|^2}{|\alpha - I(\zeta)|^2} = 0 \quad \Sigma\text{-a.e.},$$

therefore, $\sigma_\alpha = \sigma_\alpha[I]$ is a singular measure. Here and in what follows, this means that σ_α and Σ are mutually singular; in brief, $\sigma_\alpha \perp \Sigma$.

Let $\mathcal{H}ol(\Omega)$ denote the space of holomorphic functions in Ω . For $0 < p < \infty$, the classical Hardy space $H^p = H^p(\Omega)$ consists of those $f \in \mathcal{H}ol(\Omega)$ for which

$$\|f\|_{H^p}^p = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\partial\Omega} |f(r\zeta)|^p d\Sigma(\zeta) < \infty.$$

As usual, we identify the Hardy space $H^p(\Omega)$, $p > 0$, and the space $H^p(\partial\Omega)$ of the corresponding boundary values.

For an inner function θ on \mathbb{D} , the classical model space K_θ is defined as $K_\theta = H^2(\mathbb{T}) \ominus \theta H^2(\mathbb{T})$. Clark [3] introduced and studied a family of unitary operators $U_\alpha : K_\theta \rightarrow L^2(\sigma_\alpha)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$. For an inner function I in Ω , there are several generalizations of K_θ . Consider the following direct analog of K_θ :

$$I^*(H^2) = H^2 \ominus IH^2.$$

Clark's construction extends to $I^*(H^2)$ and provides isometric operators $T_\alpha : I^*(H^2) \rightarrow L^2(\sigma_\alpha)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$. In fact, as shown in [2, Theorem 5.1], T_α are unitary operators for $\Omega = B_n$.

Observe that $K(z, w) = (1 - I(z)\overline{I(w)})C(z, w)$ is the reproducing kernel for the Hilbert space $I^*(H^2)$ at $z \in \Omega$, that is,

$$g(z) = \int_{\partial\Omega} g(w)K(z, w) d\Sigma(w), \quad z \in \Omega,$$

for all $g \in I^*(H^2)$. Now, let $b : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be an arbitrary non-constant holomorphic function. The corresponding de Branges–Rovnyak space $\mathcal{H}(b) \subset H^2$ is the Hilbert space with the following reproducing kernel:

$$k_b(z, w) = (1 - b(z)\overline{b(w)})C(z, w).$$

In particular, $I^*(H^2) = \mathcal{H}(I)$ for an inner function I . Further details are given in [10, Chapter II] for \mathbb{D} in the place of Ω .

Sarason [10, Section III-7] constructed partial isometries

$$V_{b,\alpha} : L^2(\sigma_\alpha[b]) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(b), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{T},$$

where $\mathcal{H}(b) \subset H^2(\mathbb{D})$ is the de Branges–Rovnyak space generated by b . For a non-constant holomorphic function $b : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$, we give a similar construction of partial isometries $V_{b,\alpha}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$, in Section 2.

1.3. Comparison of Clark measures. Sarason [10, Section III-11] used operators $V_{b,\alpha}$ to compare Clark measures on the unit circle \mathbb{T} . In the final Section 3, we obtain the following comparison result for Clark measures on the unit sphere S_n .

Theorem 1.2. *Let I be an inner function in B_n and let $b : B_n \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$, $n \geq 2$, be a non-constant holomorphic function. Let $\sigma = \sigma_\alpha[I]$ and $\mu = \sigma_\alpha[b]$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$, be the corresponding Clark measures and let $K_w(\cdot) = K(\cdot, w)$, where $K(z, w)$ denotes the reproducing kernel for $I^*(H^2)$. Then the following properties are equivalent:*

- (i) $\sigma \ll \mu$ and $\frac{d\sigma}{d\mu} \in L^2(\mu)$;
- (ii) the function

$$\frac{\alpha - b}{\alpha - I} K_w$$

is in the de Branges–Rovnyak space $\mathcal{H}(b)$ for all $w \in B_n$;

- (iii) the function

$$\frac{\alpha - b}{\alpha - I} K_w$$

is in $\mathcal{H}(b)$ for some $w \in B_n$.

2. CLARK MEASURES AND DE BRANGES–ROVNYAK SPACES

In this section, $b : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is an arbitrary non-constant holomorphic function.

2.1. Cauchy integrals and Clark measures. The following proposition is obtained in [2, Proposition 3.5] for $\Omega = B_n$. Essentially the same argument is applicable to $\Omega = \mathbb{D}^n$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $b : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be a holomorphic function and let $\sigma_\alpha = \sigma_\alpha[b]$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$, be the corresponding Clark measure. Then*

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} C(z, \zeta) C(\zeta, w) d\sigma_\alpha(\zeta) = \frac{1 - b(z)\overline{b(w)}}{(1 - \alpha b(z))(1 - \alpha \overline{b(w)})} C(z, w)$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$, $z, w \in \Omega$.

2.2. Partial isometries $V_{b,\alpha} : L^2(\sigma_\alpha[b]) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(b)$. Fix an $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$. Let $\sigma_\alpha = \sigma_\alpha[b]$ and let $k_w(\cdot) = k_b(\cdot, w)$, where $k_b(z, w)$ denotes the reproducing kernel for $\mathcal{H}(b)$. Define

$$(U_{b,\alpha} k_w)(\cdot) = (1 - \alpha \overline{b(w)}) C(\cdot, w), \quad w \in \Omega.$$

Let $H^2(\sigma_\alpha)$ denote the closed linear span of $C(\cdot, w)$, $w \in \Omega$, in $L^2(\sigma_\alpha)$.

Proposition 2.2. *For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$, $U_{b,\alpha}$ has a unique extension to a unitary operator from $\mathcal{H}(b)$ onto $H^2(\sigma_\alpha)$.*

Proof. Fix an $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$. Applying Proposition 2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (U_{b,\alpha} k_w, U_{b,\alpha} k_z)_{L^2(\sigma_\alpha)} &= \int_{\partial\Omega} (1 - \alpha \overline{b(w)}) C(\zeta, w) (1 - \alpha \overline{b(z)}) C(z, \zeta) d\sigma_\alpha(\zeta) \\ &= (1 - \alpha \overline{b(w)}) (1 - \alpha \overline{b(z)}) \int_{\partial\Omega} C(\zeta, w) C(z, \zeta) d\sigma_\alpha(\zeta) \\ &= (1 - b(z)\overline{b(w)}) C(z, w) \\ &= k_b(z, w) = (k_w, k_z)_{\mathcal{H}(b)}. \end{aligned}$$

So, $U_{b,\alpha}$ extends to an isometric embedding of $\mathcal{H}(b)$ into $L^2(\sigma_\alpha)$. Since the linear span of the family $\{k_w\}_{w \in \Omega}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}(b)$, the extension is unique. Finally, $U_{b,\alpha}$ maps $\mathcal{H}(b)$ onto $H^2(\sigma_\alpha)$ by the definition of $H^2(\sigma_\alpha)$. \square

Now, define

$$(1) \quad (V_{b,\alpha}g)(z) = (1 - \overline{\alpha}b(z))(g\sigma_\alpha)_+(z), \quad g \in L^2(\sigma), \quad z \in \Omega.$$

Proposition 2.3. *For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$, formula (1) defines a partial isometry from $L^2(\sigma_\alpha)$ into $\mathcal{H}(b)$. The restriction of $V_{b,\alpha}$ to $H^2(\sigma_\alpha)$ coincides with $U_{b,\alpha}^*$; in particular,*

$$(2) \quad V_{b,\alpha}C(\cdot, w)(z) = (1 - \overline{\alpha b(w)})^{-1}k_b(z, w).$$

Proof. The definition of $U_{b,\alpha}$ and Proposition 2.1 guarantee that

$$(3) \quad (U_\alpha^*g)(z) = (1 - \overline{\alpha}b(z))(g\sigma_\alpha)_+(z), \quad z \in \Omega,$$

for $g(\zeta) = (1 - \overline{\alpha b(w)})C(\zeta, w)$ with $w \in \Omega$. Therefore, (3) holds for all $g \in H^2(\sigma_\alpha)$; hence, the restriction of $V_{b,\alpha}$ on $H^2(\sigma_\alpha)$ coincides with U_α^* . If $h \in L^2(\sigma_\alpha)$ and $h \perp H^2(\sigma_\alpha)$, then $(h\sigma_\alpha)_+ = 0$. Therefore, $V_{b,\alpha}$ maps $L^2(\sigma_\alpha)$ into $\mathcal{H}(b)$, as required. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

3.1. Auxiliary results and definitions.

3.1.1. *Pluriharmonic measures.* A measure $\mu \in M(\partial\Omega)$ is called *pluriharmonic* if the Poisson integral

$$P[\mu](z) = \int_{\partial\Omega} P(z, \zeta) d\mu(\zeta), \quad z \in \Omega,$$

is a pluriharmonic function. Let $PM(\Omega)$ denote the set of all pluriharmonic measures. Clearly, every Clark measure is an element of $PM(\Omega)$.

3.1.2. *Totally singular measures.* By definition, the ball algebra $A(B_n)$ consists of those $f \in C(\overline{B_n})$ which are holomorphic in B_n . Let $M_0(S_n)$ denote the set of those probability measures $\rho \in M(S_n)$ which represent the origin for $A(B_n)$, that is,

$$\int_{S_n} f d\rho = f(0) \quad \text{for all } f \in A(B_n).$$

Elements of $M_0(S_n)$ are called representing measures.

Definition 3.1. A measure $\mu \in M(S_n)$ is said to be *totally singular* if $\mu \perp \rho$ for all $\rho \in M_0(S_n)$.

Proposition 3.2 ([5, Theorem 10]). *Let $\mu \in PM(S_n)$. Then μ^s is totally singular.*

Remark 3.3. For positive pluriharmonic measures, the above theorem was obtained in [1, Chapter 5, Section 3.3.3]. In fact, we will apply Proposition 3.2 to Clark measures, that is, to positive $\mu \in PM(S_n)$.

3.1.3. Henkin measures.

Definition 3.4 (see [9, Section 9.1.5]). We say that $\mu \in M(S_n)$ is a *Henkin measure* if

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_n} f_j d\mu = 0$$

for any bounded sequence $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset A(B_n)$ with the following property:

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f_j(z) = 0 \quad \text{for any } z \in B_n.$$

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2. We are given an inner function I in B_n and a non-constant holomorphic function $b : B_n \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$. Without loss of generality, assume that $\alpha = 1$. So, let $\sigma = \sigma_1[I]$, $\mu = \sigma_1[b]$ and $K_w = K(\cdot, w)$, where $K(z, w)$ denotes the reproducing kernel for $I^*(H^2) = \mathcal{H}(I)$. By formula (1) and property (2) with $b = I$, we have

$$(4) \quad (1 - b)(C(\cdot, w)\sigma)_+ = \frac{1 - b}{1 - I} V_I C(\cdot, w) = (1 - \overline{I(w)})^{-1} \frac{1 - b}{1 - I} K_w.$$

Now, we are in position to prove the main theorem.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) By the definition of V_b , we have

$$V_b \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\mu} C(\cdot, w) \right) = (1 - b) \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\mu} C(\cdot, w) \mu \right)_+ = (1 - b)(C(\cdot, w)\sigma)_+.$$

Since V_b maps into $\mathcal{H}(b)$, combination of the above property and (4) provides (ii).

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) This implication is trivial.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) By assumption, we are given a point $w \in B_n$ and a function $q = q_w \in L^2(\mu)$ such that

$$(1 - \overline{I(w)})^{-1} \frac{1 - b}{1 - I} K_w = V_b q = (1 - b)(q\mu)_+.$$

Combining the above property and (4), we obtain

$$(C(\cdot, w)\sigma - q\mu)_+ = 0.$$

Hence, $C(w, \cdot)\sigma - \overline{q}\mu$ is a Henkin measure. So, by the Cole–Range Theorem (see [4] or [9, Theorem 9.6.1]), there exists a representing measure ρ such that $C(\cdot, w)\sigma - q\mu \ll \rho$; in particular,

$$(5) \quad C(\cdot, w)\sigma - q\mu^s \ll \rho \quad \text{for some } \rho \in M_0(S_n).$$

Recall that σ is a singular measure. Therefore, σ and μ^s are totally singular by Proposition 3.2. Hence, $C(\cdot, w)\sigma - q\mu^s$ is totally singular. Combining this observation and (5), we conclude that $\sigma = \frac{q}{C(\cdot, w)} \mu^s$. In particular, $\sigma \ll \mu$ and $\frac{d\sigma}{d\mu} \in L^2(\mu)$, as required. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is finished.

REFERENCES

1. A. B. Aleksandrov, *Function theory in the ball*, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., Vol. 8, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994, pp. 107–178.
2. A. B. Aleksandrov and E. Doubtsov, *Clark measures on the complex sphere*, J. Funct. Anal. **278** (2020), no. 2, 108314, 30pp.
3. D. N. Clark, *One dimensional perturbations of restricted shifts*, J. Analyse Math. **25** (1972), 169–191.
4. B. Cole and R. M. Range, *A-measures on complex manifolds and some applications*, J. Funct. Anal. **11** (1972), 393–400.

5. E. S. Dubtsov, *Singular parts of pluriharmonic measures*, J. Math. Sci. (New York) **85** (1997), no. 2, 1790–1793.
6. S. R. Garcia and W. T. Ross, *Model spaces: a survey*, Invariant subspaces of the shift operator, Contemp. Math., vol. 638, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2015, pp. 197–245.
7. A. Matheson and M. Stessin, *Applications of spectral measures*, Recent advances in operator-related function theory, Contemp. Math., vol. 393, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006, pp. 15–27.
8. A. Poltoratski and D. Sarason, *Aleksandrov-Clark measures*, Recent advances in operator-related function theory, Contemp. Math., vol. 393, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006, pp. 1–14.
9. W. Rudin, *Function theory in the unit ball of \mathbf{C}^n* , Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 241, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1980.
10. D. Sarason, *Sub-Hardy Hilbert spaces in the unit disk*, University of Arkansas Lecture Notes in the Mathematical Sciences, vol. 10, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1994, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.

ST. PETERSBURG DEPARTMENT OF STEKLOV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, FONTANKA 27, ST. PETERSBURG 191023, RUSSIA

E-mail address: alex@pdmi.ras.ru

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, ST. PETERSBURG STATE UNIVERSITY, LINE 14TH (VASILYEVSKY ISLAND), 29, ST. PETERSBURG 199178, RUSSIA AND ST. PETERSBURG DEPARTMENT OF STEKLOV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, FONTANKA 27, ST. PETERSBURG 191023, RUSSIA

E-mail address: dubtsov@pdmi.ras.ru