

# The Einstein-Hilbert type action on almost $k$ -product manifolds

Vladimir Rovenski\*

## Abstract

A Riemannian manifold endowed with  $k > 2$  orthogonal complementary distributions (called here a Riemannian almost  $k$ -product structure) appears in such topics as multiply warped products, the webs composed of several foliations, and proper Dupin hypersurfaces of real space-forms. In the paper we consider the mixed scalar curvature of such structure for  $k > 2$ , derive Euler-Lagrange equations for the Einstein-Hilbert type action with respect to adapted variations of metric, and present them in a nice form of Einstein equation.

**Keywords:** Almost  $k$ -product manifold, mixed scalar curvature, Einstein-Hilbert type action

**Mathematics Subject Classifications (2010)** 53C15; 53C12; 53C40

## Introduction

Many examples of Riemannian metrics come (as critical points) from variational problems, a particularly famous of which is the *Einstein-Hilbert action*, given on a smooth closed manifold  $M$  by

$$J : g \rightarrow \int_M \left\{ \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{a}} (S - 2\Lambda) + \mathcal{L} \right\} \mathrm{dvol}_g, \quad (1)$$

e.g., [5]. Here,  $g$  is a pseudo-Riemannian metric on  $M$ ,  $S$  – the scalar curvature of  $(M, g)$ ,  $\Lambda$  – a constant (the “cosmological constant”),  $\mathcal{L}$  – Lagrangian describing the matter contents, and  $\mathfrak{a} = 8\pi G/c^4$  – the coupling constant involving the gravitational constant  $G$  and the speed of light  $c$ . To deal also with non-compact manifolds (“spacetimes”), it is assumed that the integral above is taken over  $M$  if it converges; otherwise, one integrates over arbitrarily large, relatively compact domain  $\Omega \subset M$ , which also contains supports of variations of  $g$ . The Euler-Lagrange equation for (1) (called the *Einstein equation*) is

$$\mathrm{Ric} - (1/2)S \cdot g + \Lambda g = \mathfrak{a} \Theta \quad (2)$$

with the Ricci curvature  $\mathrm{Ric}$  and the energy-momentum tensor  $\Theta$  (generalizing the stress tensor of Newtonian physics), given in a coordinates by  $\Theta_{\mu\nu} = -2 \partial \mathcal{L} / \partial g^{\mu\nu} + g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}$ . The solution of (2) is a metric  $g$ , satisfying this equation, where the tensor  $\Theta$  (describing a specified type of matter) is given. The classification of solutions of (2) is a deep and largely unsolved problem [5].

Distributions on a manifold (i.e., subbundles of the tangent bundle) appear in various situations, e.g., [4, 7] and are used to build up notions of integrability, and specifically of a foliated manifold. On a manifold equipped with an additional structure (e.g., almost product [7] or contact), one can consider an analogue of (1) adjusted to that structure. This approach was taken in [2, 3, 8, 11, 12], for  $M$  endowed with a distribution  $\mathcal{D}$  or a foliation (that can be viewed as an integrable distribution).

In this paper, a similar change in (1) is considered on a connected smooth  $n$ -dimensional manifold endowed with  $k \geq 2$  pairwise orthogonal  $n_i$ -dimensional distributions with  $\sum n_i = n$ .

---

\*Mathematical Department, University of Haifa, Mount Carmel, 31905 Haifa, Israel  
e-mail: vrovenski@univ.haifa.ac.il

The notion of a multiply warped product, e.g., [6], is a special case of this structure, which can be also viewed in the theory of webs composed of foliations of different dimensions, see [1]. The *mixed Einstein-Hilbert action* on  $(M, \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_k)$ ,

$$J_{\mathcal{D}} : g \mapsto \int_M \left\{ \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{a}} (S_{\mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_k} - 2\Lambda) + \mathcal{L} \right\} d \text{vol}_g, \quad (3)$$

is an analog of (1), where  $S$  is replaced by the mixed scalar curvature  $S_{\mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_k}$ , see (6). The geometrical part of (3) is  $J_{\mathcal{D}}^g : g \mapsto \int_M S_{\mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_k} d \text{vol}_g$  – the total mixed scalar curvature. The mixed scalar curvature is the simplest curvature invariant of a pseudo-Riemannian almost product structure, which can be defined as an averaged sum of sectional curvatures of planes that non-trivially intersect with both of the distributions. Investigation of  $S_{\mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_k}$  can lead to multiple results regarding the existence of foliations and submersions with interesting geometry, e.g., integral formulas and splitting results, curvature prescribing and variational problems, see [9] for  $k = 2$ . Varying (3) as a functional of adapted metric  $g$ , we obtain the Euler-Lagrange equations in the form of Einstein equation (2), i.e.,

$$\text{Ric}_{\mathcal{D}} - (1/2) \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}} \cdot g + \Lambda g = \mathfrak{a} \Theta \quad (4)$$

(for  $k = 2$ , see [2, 11, 12]), where the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature are replaced by the Ricci type tensor  $\text{Ric}_{\mathcal{D}}$ , see (23), and its trace  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}$ . By the equality

$$S = S_{\mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_k} + \sum_i S(\mathcal{D}_i),$$

where  $S(\mathcal{D}_i)$  is the scalar curvature along the distribution  $\mathcal{D}_i$ , one can combine the action (1) with (3) to obtain the *perturbed Einstein-Hilbert action* on  $(M, \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_k)$ :

$$\bar{J}_{\varepsilon} : g \mapsto \int_M \left\{ \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{a}} (S + \varepsilon S_{\mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_k} - 2\Lambda) + \mathcal{L} \right\} d \text{vol}_g$$

with  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ , whose critical points describe the “space-times” in extended theory of gravity.

In the paper we consider the geometrical part of the Einstein-Hilbert type action (3) (called the total mixed scalar curvature), derive Euler-Lagrange equations with respect to adapted variations of metric (which generalize equations for  $k = 2$  in [11]), and present them in a nice form (4) of Einstein equation. We delegate the following for further study: a) generalize our results for more general variations of metrics; b) extend our results for variations of connections (as in Einstein-Cartan theory); c) find more applications of our results in geometry and physics.

## 1 Preliminaries

Let  $M$  be a smooth connected  $n$ -dimensional manifold with the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$  and the curvature tensor  $R$ . A pseudo-Riemannian metric  $g = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  of index  $q$  on  $M$  is an element  $g \in \text{Sym}^2(M)$  (of the space of symmetric  $(0, 2)$ -tensors) such that each  $g_x$  ( $x \in M$ ) is a non-degenerate bilinear form of index  $q$  on the tangent space  $T_x M$ . For  $q = 0$  (i.e.,  $g_x$  is positive definite)  $g$  is a Riemannian metric and for  $q = 1$  it is called a Lorentz metric. Let  $\text{Riem}(M) \subset \text{Sym}^2(M)$  be the subspace of pseudo-Riemannian metrics of a given signature.

A distribution  $\mathcal{D}$  on  $M$  is *non-degenerate*, if  $g_x$  is non-degenerate on  $\mathcal{D}_x \subset T_x M$  for all  $x \in M$ ; in this case, the orthogonal complement of  $\mathcal{D}^{\perp}$  is also non-degenerate.

Let  $M$  be endowed with  $k \geq 2$  pairwise transversal  $n_i$ -dimensional distributions  $\mathcal{D}_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ) with  $\sum n_i = n$ . Denote by  $\text{Riem}(M, \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_k) \subset \text{Riem}(M)$  the subspace of pseudo-Riemannian metrics making  $\{\mathcal{D}_i\}$  pairwise orthogonal and non-degenerate. A  $(M; \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_k)$  with a compatible metric  $g$  will be called here a *Riemannian almost  $k$ -product manifold*, see [10]. Let  $P_i : TM \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_i$  be the orthoprojector, and  $P_i^{\perp} = \text{id}_{TM} - P_i$  be the orthoprojector

onto  $\mathcal{D}_i^\perp$ . The second fundamental form  $h_i : \mathcal{D}_i \times \mathcal{D}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_i^\perp$  (symmetric) and the integrability tensor  $T_i : \mathcal{D}_i \times \mathcal{D}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_i^\perp$  (skew-symmetric) of  $\mathcal{D}_i$  are defined by

$$h_i(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} P_i^\perp (\nabla_X Y + \nabla_Y X), \quad T_i(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} P_i^\perp (\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X) = \frac{1}{2} P_i^\perp [X, Y].$$

Similarly,  $h_i^\perp, H_i^\perp = \text{Tr}_g h_i^\perp, T_i^\perp$  are the second fundamental forms, mean curvature vector fields and the integrability tensors of distributions  $\mathcal{D}_i^\perp$  in  $M$ . Note that  $H_i = \sum_{j \neq i} P_j H_i$ , etc. Recall that a distribution  $\mathcal{D}_i$  is called integrable if  $T_i = 0$ , and  $\mathcal{D}_i$  is called totally umbilical, harmonic, or totally geodesic, if  $h_i = (H_i/n_i)g$ ,  $H_i = 0$ , or  $h_i = 0$ , respectively.

The ‘‘musical’’ isomorphisms  $\sharp$  and  $\flat$  will be used for rank one and symmetric rank 2 tensors. For example, if  $\omega \in \Lambda^1(M)$  is a 1-form and  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}_M$  then  $\omega(Y) = \langle \omega^\sharp, Y \rangle$  and  $X^\flat(Y) = \langle X, Y \rangle$ . For arbitrary (0,2)-tensors  $B$  and  $C$  we also have  $\langle B, C \rangle = \text{Tr}_g(B^\sharp C^\sharp) = \langle B^\sharp, C^\sharp \rangle$ .

The shape operator  $(A_i)_Z$  of  $\mathcal{D}_i$  with  $Z \in \mathcal{D}_i^\perp$ , and the operator  $(T_i)_Z^\sharp$  are defined by

$$\langle (A_i)_Z(X), Y \rangle = h_i(X, Y), \quad \langle (T_i)_Z^\sharp(X), Y \rangle = \langle T_i(X, Y), Z \rangle, \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{D}_i.$$

Given  $g \in \text{Riem}(M, \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_k)$ , there exists a local  $g$ -orthonormal frame  $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$  on  $M$ , where  $\{E_1, \dots, E_{n_1}\} \subset \mathcal{D}_1$  and  $\{E_{n_{i-1}+1}, \dots, E_{n_i}\} \subset \mathcal{D}_i$  for  $2 \leq i \leq k$ , and  $\varepsilon_a = \langle E_a, E_a \rangle \in \{-1, 1\}$ . All quantities defined below using an adapted frame do not depend on the choice of this frame. The squares of norms of tensors are obtained using

$$\langle h_i, h_i \rangle = \sum_{n_{i-1} < a, b \leq n_i} \varepsilon_a \varepsilon_b \langle h_i(E_a, E_b), h_i(E_a, E_b) \rangle, \quad \langle T_i, T_i \rangle = \sum_{n_{i-1} < a, b \leq n_i} \varepsilon_a \varepsilon_b \langle T_i(E_a, E_b), T_i(E_a, E_b) \rangle.$$

The *divergence* of a vector field  $X \in \mathfrak{X}_M$  is given by  $(\text{div } X) \text{d vol}_g = \mathcal{L}_X(\text{d vol}_g)$ , where  $\text{d vol}_g$  is the volume form of  $g$ . One may show that  $\text{div } X = \text{Tr}(\nabla X) = \text{div}_i X + \text{div}_i^\perp X$ , where

$$\text{div}_i X = \sum_{n_{i-1} < a \leq n_i} \varepsilon_a \langle \nabla_a X, E_a \rangle, \quad \text{div}_i^\perp X = \sum_{b \neq (n_{i-1}, n_i)} \varepsilon_b \langle \nabla_b X, E_b \rangle.$$

Observe that for  $X \in \mathcal{D}_i$  we have

$$\text{div}_i^\perp X = \text{div } X + \langle X, H_i^\perp \rangle. \quad (5)$$

For a (1,2)-tensor  $Q$  define a (0,2)-tensor  $\text{div}_i^\perp Q$  by

$$(\text{div}_i^\perp Q)(X, Y) = \sum_{b \neq (n_{i-1}, n_i)} \varepsilon_b \langle (\nabla_b Q)(X, Y), E_b \rangle, \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}_M.$$

For a  $\mathcal{D}_i$ -valued (1,2)-tensor  $Q$ , similarly to (5), we have  $\text{div}_i^\perp Q = \text{div } Q + \langle Q, H_i \rangle$ , where

$$(\text{div}_i Q)(X, Y) = \sum_{n_{i-1} < a \leq n_i} \varepsilon_a \langle (\nabla_a Q)(X, Y), E_a \rangle = -\langle Q(X, Y), H_i \rangle,$$

and  $\langle Q, H_i \rangle(X, Y) = \langle Q(X, Y), H_i \rangle$  is a (0,2)-tensor. For example,  $\text{div}_i^\perp h_i = \text{div } h_i + \langle h_i, H_i \rangle$ . For a function  $f$  on  $M$ , we use the notation  $P_i^\perp(\nabla f)$  for the projection of  $\nabla f$  onto  $\mathcal{D}_i^\perp$ .

The  $\mathcal{D}_i$ -deformation tensor  $\text{Def}_{\mathcal{D}_i} Z$  of  $Z \in \mathfrak{X}_M$  is the symmetric part of  $\nabla Z$  restricted to  $\mathcal{D}_i$ ,

$$2 \text{Def}_{\mathcal{D}_i} Z(X, Y) = \langle \nabla_X Z, Y \rangle + \langle \nabla_Y Z, X \rangle, \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{D}_i.$$

The Casorati type operators  $\mathcal{A}_i : \mathcal{D}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_i$  and  $\mathcal{T}_i : \mathcal{D}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_i$  and the symmetric (0,2)-tensor  $\Psi_i$ , see [3, 11], are defined using  $A_i$  and  $T_i$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_i &= \sum_{E_a \in \mathcal{D}_i^\perp} \varepsilon_a ((A_i)_{E_a})^2, \quad \mathcal{T}_i = \sum_{E_a \in \mathcal{D}_i^\perp} \varepsilon_a ((T_i)_{E_a}^\sharp)^2, \\ \Psi_i(X, Y) &= \text{Tr}((A_i)_Y (A_i)_X + (T_i)_Y^\sharp (T_i)_X^\sharp), \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{D}_i^\perp. \end{aligned}$$

We define a self-adjoint  $(1, 1)$ -tensor  $\mathcal{K}_i$  by the formula with Lie bracket,

$$\mathcal{K}_i = \sum_{E_a \in \mathcal{D}_i^\perp} \varepsilon_a [(T_i^\sharp)_{E_a}, (A_i)_{E_a}] = \sum_{E_a \in \mathcal{D}_i^\perp} \varepsilon_a ((T_i)_{E_a} (A_i)_{E_a} - (A_i)_{E_a} (T_i)_{E_a}).$$

For any  $(1, 2)$ -tensors  $Q_1, Q_2$  and a  $(0, 2)$ -tensor  $S$  on  $TM$ , define the following  $(0, 2)$ -tensor  $\Upsilon_{Q_1, Q_2}$ :

$$\langle \Upsilon_{Q_1, Q_2}, S \rangle = \sum_{\lambda, \mu} \varepsilon_\lambda \varepsilon_\mu [S(Q_1(e_\lambda, e_\mu), Q_2(e_\lambda, e_\mu)) + S(Q_2(e_\lambda, e_\mu), Q_1(e_\lambda, e_\mu))],$$

where on the left-hand side we have the inner product of  $(0, 2)$ -tensors induced by  $g$ ,  $\{e_\lambda\}$  is a local orthonormal basis of  $TM$  and  $\varepsilon_\lambda = \langle e_\lambda, e_\lambda \rangle \in \{-1, 1\}$ .

**Remark 1.** If  $g$  is definite then  $\Upsilon_{h_i, h_i} = 0$  if and only if  $h_i = 0$ . Indeed, for any  $X \in \mathcal{D}_i$  we have

$$\langle \Upsilon_{h_i, h_i}, X^b \otimes X^b \rangle = 2 \sum_{a, b} \langle X, h_i(E_a, E_b) \rangle^2.$$

The above sum is equal to zero if and only if every summand vanishes. This yields  $h_i = 0$ . Thus,  $\Upsilon_{h_i, h_i}$  is a ‘‘measure of non-total geodesy’’ of  $\mathcal{D}_i^\perp$ . Similarly, if  $\Upsilon_{T_i, T_i} = 0$  then

$$\langle \Upsilon_{T_i, T_i}, X^b \otimes X^b \rangle = 2 \sum_{a, b} \langle X, T_i(E_a, E_b) \rangle^2.$$

Hence, if  $g$  is definite then the condition  $\Upsilon_{T_i, T_i} = 0$  is equivalent to  $T_i = 0$ . Therefore,  $\Upsilon_{T_i, T_i}$  can be viewed as a ‘‘measure of non-integrability’’ of  $\mathcal{D}_i$ .

## 2 The mixed scalar curvature

A plane in  $TM$  spanned by two vectors belonging to different distributions  $\mathcal{D}_i$  and  $\mathcal{D}_j$  will be called *mixed*, and its sectional curvature is called mixed. Similarly to the case of  $k = 2$ , the mixed scalar curvature of  $(M, g; \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_k)$  is defined as an averaged mixed sectional curvature.

**Definition 1** (see [10]). Given  $g \in \text{Riem}(M; \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_k)$  with  $k \geq 2$ , the following function on  $M$  will be called the *mixed scalar curvature*:

$$S_{\mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_k} = \sum_{i < j} S(\mathcal{D}_i, \mathcal{D}_j), \quad (6)$$

where

$$S(\mathcal{D}_i, \mathcal{D}_j) = \sum_{n_{i-1} < a \leq n_i, n_{j-1} < b \leq n_j} \varepsilon_a \varepsilon_b \langle R(E_a, E_b) E_a, E_b \rangle, \quad i \neq j.$$

The following symmetric  $(0, 2)$ -tensor  $r$  will be called the *partial Ricci tensor*:

$$r(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k r_i(X, Y),$$

where the partial Ricci tensor related to  $\mathcal{D}_i$  is

$$r_i(X, Y) = \sum_{n_{i-1} < a \leq n_i} \varepsilon_a \langle R_{E_a, P_i^\perp X} E_a, P_i^\perp Y \rangle, \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}_M. \quad (7)$$

**Proposition 1.** *We have*

$$S_{\mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_k} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i S_{\mathcal{D}_i, \mathcal{D}_i^\perp} = \text{Tr}_g r.$$

*Proof.* This directly follows from definitions (6) and (7) and equality  $\text{Tr}_g r_i = S_{\mathcal{D}_i, \mathcal{D}_i^\perp}$ .  $\square$

The following formula for a Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  endowed with two complementary orthogonal distributions  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ , see [14]:

$$\operatorname{div}(H + H^\perp) = S_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\perp} + \langle h, h \rangle + \langle h^\perp, h^\perp \rangle - \langle H, H \rangle - \langle H^\perp, H^\perp \rangle - \langle T, T \rangle - \langle T^\perp, T^\perp \rangle, \quad (8)$$

has many interesting global corollaries (e.g., decomposition criteria using the sign of  $S$ , [13]). In [10], we generalized (8) to  $(M, g)$  with  $k > 2$  distributions and gave applications to splitting and isometric immersions of manifolds., in particular, multiply warped products.

The mixed scalar curvature of a pair of distributions  $(\mathcal{D}_i, \mathcal{D}_i^\perp)$  on  $(M, g)$  is

$$S_{\mathcal{D}_i, \mathcal{D}_i^\perp} = \sum_{n_{i-1} < a \leq n_i, b \neq (n_{i-1}, n_i)} \varepsilon_a \varepsilon_b \langle R_{E_a, E_b} E_a, E_b \rangle. \quad (9)$$

If  $\mathcal{D}_i$  is spanned by a unit vector field  $N$ , i.e.,  $\langle N, N \rangle = \varepsilon_N \in \{-1, 1\}$ , then  $S_{\mathcal{D}_i, \mathcal{D}_i^\perp} = \varepsilon_N \operatorname{Ric}_{N, N}$ , where  $\operatorname{Ric}_{N, N}$  is the Ricci curvature in the  $N$ -direction. We have  $S_{\mathcal{D}_i, \mathcal{D}_i^\perp} = \operatorname{Tr}_g r_i = \operatorname{Tr}_g r_i^\perp$ . If  $\dim \mathcal{D}_i = 1$  then  $r_i = \varepsilon_N R_N$ , where  $R_N = R(N, \cdot)N$  is the Jacobi operator, and  $r_i^\perp = \operatorname{Ric}_{N, N} g_i^\perp$ , where the symmetric  $(0, 2)$ -tensor  $g_i^\perp$  is defined by  $g_i^\perp(X, Y) = \langle P_i^\perp X, P_i^\perp Y \rangle$  for  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}_M$ . The following presentation of the partial Ricci tensor in (7) is valid, see [3, 11]:

$$r_i = \operatorname{div} h_i + \langle h_i, H_i \rangle - \mathcal{A}_i^\flat - \mathcal{T}_i^\flat - \Psi_i^\perp + \operatorname{Def}_{\mathcal{D}_i^\perp} H_i^\perp. \quad (10)$$

Tracing (10) over  $\mathcal{D}_i$  and applying the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Tr}_g(\operatorname{div} h_i) &= \operatorname{div} H_i, & \operatorname{Tr} \langle h_i, H_i \rangle &= \langle H_i, H_i \rangle, & \operatorname{Tr}_g \Psi_i^\perp &= \langle h_i^\perp, h_i^\perp \rangle - \langle T_i^\perp, T_i^\perp \rangle, \\ \operatorname{Tr} \mathcal{A}_i &= \langle h_i, h_i \rangle, & \operatorname{Tr} \mathcal{T}_i &= -\langle T_i, T_i \rangle, & \operatorname{Tr}_g(\operatorname{Def}_{\mathcal{D}_i^\perp} H_i^\perp) &= \operatorname{div} H_i^\perp + g(H_i^\perp, H_i^\perp), \end{aligned}$$

we get (8) with  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_i$ .

**Theorem 1** ([10]). *For a Riemannian almost  $k$ -product manifold  $(M, g; \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_k)$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \sum_i (H_i + H_i^\perp) &= 2S_{\mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_k} \\ &+ \sum_i (\langle h_i, h_i \rangle - \langle H_i, H_i \rangle - \langle T_i, T_i \rangle + \langle h_i^\perp, h_i^\perp \rangle - \langle H_i^\perp, H_i^\perp \rangle - \langle T_i^\perp, T_i^\perp \rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

**Example 1.** To illustrate the proof of (11) for  $k > 2$ , consider the case of  $k = 3$ . Using (8) for two distributions,  $\mathcal{D}_1$  and  $\mathcal{D}_1^\perp = \mathcal{D}_2 \oplus \mathcal{D}_3$ , according to (8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(H_1 + H_1^\perp) &= 2S_{\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_1^\perp} + (\langle h_1, h_1 \rangle - \langle H_1, H_1 \rangle - \langle T_1, T_1 \rangle) \\ &+ (\langle h_1^\perp, h_1^\perp \rangle - \langle H_1^\perp, H_1^\perp \rangle - \langle T_1^\perp, T_1^\perp \rangle), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

and similarly for  $(\mathcal{D}_2, \mathcal{D}_2^\perp)$  and  $(\mathcal{D}_3, \mathcal{D}_3^\perp)$ . Summing 3 copies of (12), we obtain (11) for  $k = 3$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \sum_i (H_i + H_i^\perp) &= 2S_{\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2, \mathcal{D}_3} \\ &+ \sum_i (\langle h_i, h_i \rangle - \langle H_i, H_i \rangle - \langle T_i, T_i \rangle + \langle h_i^\perp, h_i^\perp \rangle - \langle H_i^\perp, H_i^\perp \rangle - \langle T_i^\perp, T_i^\perp \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 2.** Using Stokes' Theorem for (11) on a closed manifold  $(M, g; \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_k)$  yields the integral formula for any  $k \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ , which for  $k = 2$  directly follows from (8),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M (2S_{\mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_k} + \sum_i (\langle h_i, h_i \rangle - \langle H_i, H_i \rangle - \langle T_i, T_i \rangle \\ + \langle h_i^\perp, h_i^\perp \rangle - \langle H_i^\perp, H_i^\perp \rangle - \langle T_i^\perp, T_i^\perp \rangle)) \, d \operatorname{vol}_g = 0. \end{aligned}$$

### 3 Adapted variations of metric

We consider smooth 1-parameter variations  $\{g_t \in \text{Riem}(M) : |t| < \varepsilon\}$  of the metric  $g_0 = g$ . Let the infinitesimal variations, represented by a symmetric  $(0, 2)$ -tensor

$$B(t) \equiv \partial g_t / \partial t,$$

be supported in a relatively compact domain  $\Omega$  in  $M$ , i.e.,  $g_t = g$  and  $B_t = 0$  outside  $\Omega$  for  $|t| < \varepsilon$ . A variation  $g_t$  is *volume-preserving* if  $\text{Vol}(\Omega, g_t) = \text{Vol}(\Omega, g)$  for all  $t$ . We adopt the notations  $\partial_t \equiv \partial / \partial t$ ,  $B \equiv \partial_t g_t|_{t=0} = \dot{g}$ , but we shall also write  $B$  instead of  $B_t$  to make formulas easier to read, wherever it does not lead to confusion. Since  $B$  is symmetric, then  $\langle C, B \rangle = \langle \text{Sym}(C), B \rangle$  for any  $(0, 2)$ -tensor  $C$ . Denote by  $\otimes$  the product of tensors.

**Definition 2.** A family of metrics

$$\{g(t) \in \text{Riem}(M, \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_k) : |t| < \varepsilon\}$$

such that  $g_0 = g$  will be called an *adapted variation*. In other words,  $\mathcal{D}_i$  and  $\mathcal{D}_j$  are  $g_t$ -orthogonal for all  $i \neq j$  and  $t$ . An adapted variation  $g_t$  will be called a  $\mathcal{D}_j$ -*variation* (for some  $j \in [1, k]$ ) if

$$g_t(X, Y) = g_0(X, Y), \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{D}_j^\perp, \quad |t| < \varepsilon.$$

For an adapted variation we have  $g_t = \bigoplus_{j=1}^k g_j(t)$ , where  $g_j(t) = g_t|_{\mathcal{D}_j}$ . Thus, the tensor  $B_t = \partial_t g_t$  of an adapted variation of metric  $g$  on  $(M; \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_k)$  can be decomposed into the sum of derivatives of  $\mathcal{D}_j$ -variations; namely,  $B_t = \sum_{j=1}^k B_j(t)$ , where  $B_j(t) = \partial_t g_j(t) = B_t|_{\mathcal{D}_j}$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let a local adapted frame  $\{E_a\}$  evolve by  $g_t \in \text{Riem}(M, \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_k)$  according to

$$\partial_t E_a = -(1/2) B_t^\sharp(E_a).$$

Then,  $\{E_a(t)\}$  is a  $g_t$ -orthonormal adapted frame for all  $t$ .

*Proof.* For  $\{E_a(t)\}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (g_t(E_a, E_b)) &= g_t(\partial_t E_a(t), E_b(t)) + g_t(E_a(t), \partial_t E_b(t)) + (\partial_t g_t)(E_a(t), E_b(t)) \\ &= B_t(E_a(t), E_b(t)) - \frac{1}{2} g_t(B_t^\sharp(E_a(t)), E_b(t)) - \frac{1}{2} g_t(E_a(t), B_t^\sharp(E_b(t))) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

From this the claim follows.  $\square$

The following proposition was proved in [12] when  $k = 2$ .

**Proposition 2.** If  $g_t$  is a  $\mathcal{D}_j$ -variation of  $g$  on  $(M; \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_k)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \langle h_j^\perp, h_j^\perp \rangle &= -\langle (1/2) \Upsilon_{h_j^\perp, h_j^\perp}, B_j \rangle, \\ \partial_t \langle h_j, h_j \rangle &= \langle \text{div } h_j + \mathcal{K}_j^\flat, B_j \rangle - \text{div} \langle h_j, B_j \rangle, \\ \partial_t g(H_j^\perp, H_j^\perp) &= -\langle (H_j^\perp)^\flat \otimes (H_j^\perp)^\flat, B_j \rangle, \\ \partial_t g(H_j, H_j) &= \langle (\text{div } H_j) g_j, B_j \rangle - \text{div}((\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{D}_j} B_j^\sharp) H_j), \\ \partial_t \langle T_j^\perp, T_j^\perp \rangle &= \langle (1/2) \Upsilon_{T_j^\perp, T_j^\perp}, B_j \rangle, \\ \partial_t \langle T_j, T_j \rangle &= \langle 2 \mathcal{T}_j^\flat, B_j \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and for  $i \neq j$  (when  $k > 2$ ) we have dual equations

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \langle h_i^\perp, h_i^\perp \rangle &= \langle \text{div } h_i^\perp + (\mathcal{K}_i^\perp)^\flat, B_j \rangle - \text{div} \langle h_i^\perp, B_j \rangle, \\ \partial_t \langle h_i, h_i \rangle &= \langle -(1/2) \Upsilon_{h_i, h_i}, B_j \rangle, \\ \partial_t g(H_i^\perp, H_i^\perp) &= \langle (\text{div } H_i^\perp) g_j, B_j \rangle - \text{div}((\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{D}_i^\perp} (B_j)^\sharp) H_i^\perp), \\ \partial_t g(H_i, H_i) &= -\langle H_i^\flat \otimes H_i^\flat, B_j \rangle, \\ \partial_t \langle T_i^\perp, T_i^\perp \rangle &= \langle 2 (\mathcal{T}_i^\perp)^\flat, B_j \rangle, \\ \partial_t \langle T_i, T_i \rangle &= \langle (1/2) \Upsilon_{T_i, T_i}, B_j \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

For any variation  $g_t$  of metric  $g$  on  $M$  with  $B = \partial_t g$  we have

$$\partial_t (\mathrm{d} \operatorname{vol}_g) = \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{Tr}_g B) \mathrm{d} \operatorname{vol}_g. \quad (13)$$

Differentiating the well-known formula  $\operatorname{div} X \cdot \mathrm{d} \operatorname{vol}_g = \mathcal{L}_X (\mathrm{d} \operatorname{vol}_g)$  and using (13), we obtain

$$\partial_t (\operatorname{div} X) = \operatorname{div} (\partial_t X) + \frac{1}{2} X (\operatorname{Tr}_g B) \quad (14)$$

for any variation  $g_t$  of metric and a  $t$ -dependent vector field  $X$  on  $M$ . By (14) and (13), using the Divergence Theorem, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_M (\operatorname{div} X) \mathrm{d} \operatorname{vol}_g = \int_M \operatorname{div} \left( \partial_t X + \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{Tr}_g B) X \right) \mathrm{d} \operatorname{vol}_g = 0 \quad (15)$$

for any variation  $g_t$  with  $\operatorname{supp} (\partial_t g) \subset \Omega$ , and  $t$ -dependent  $X \in \mathfrak{X}_M$  with  $\operatorname{supp} (\partial_t X) \subset \Omega$ .

The following theorem allows us to restore the partial Ricci curvature (23). It is based on calculating the variations with respect to  $g$  of components in (8) and using (15) for divergence terms. By this theorem and Definition 3 we conclude that an adapted metric  $g$  is critical for the action (3) with respect to volume-preserving adapted variations of metric if and only if (4) holds.

**Theorem 2** (see [12]). *A metric  $g \in \operatorname{Riem}(M, \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_k)$  is critical for the geometrical part of (3), i.e.,  $\Lambda = 0 = \mathcal{L}$ , with respect to volume-preserving adapted variations if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{div} h_j + \mathcal{K}_j^b - \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{h_j^\perp, h_j^\perp} + (H_j^\perp)^b \otimes (H_j^\perp)^b - \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{T_j^\perp, T_j^\perp} - 2 \mathcal{T}_j^b + \sum_{i \neq j} (\operatorname{div} h_i^\perp |_{\mathcal{D}_j} \\ & + (P_j \mathcal{K}_i^\perp)^b + (P_j H_i)^\perp \otimes (P_j H_i)^\perp - \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{P_j h_i, P_j h_i} - \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{P_j T_i, P_j T_i} - 2 (P_j \mathcal{T}_i^\perp)^b) \\ & = (\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_k} - \operatorname{div} (H_j + \sum_{i \neq j} H_i^\perp) + \lambda_j) g_j \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

for some  $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $1 \leq j \leq k$ .

*Proof.* Let  $g_t$  be a  $\mathcal{D}_j$ -variation for some  $j$ , and let

$$Q(g) := 2 \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_k} - \operatorname{div} \sum_i (H_i + H_i^\perp).$$

Then

$$\frac{d}{dt} J_{\mathcal{D}, \Omega}(g_t) |_{t=0} = \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega Q(g_t) \mathrm{d} \operatorname{vol}_{g_t} |_{t=0} + \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega \operatorname{div} \sum_i (H_i + H_i^\perp) \mathrm{d} \operatorname{vol}_{g_t} |_{t=0}.$$

For adapted variations of  $g$  supported in  $\Omega$ , both fields  $\partial_t \sum_i (H_i + H_i^\perp)$  and  $(\operatorname{Tr} B^\sharp) \sum_i (H_i + H_i^\perp)$  vanish on  $\partial\Omega$ , and by (14) we get  $\frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega \operatorname{div} \sum_i (H_i + H_i^\perp) \mathrm{d} \operatorname{vol}_g = 0$ . Thus, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} J_{\mathcal{D}, \Omega}(g_t) |_{t=0} = \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega Q(g_t) \mathrm{d} \operatorname{vol}_{g_t} |_{t=0},$$

and  $Q(g)$  can be presented using (8) and (11) as

$$Q(g) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i (\langle T_i, T_i \rangle - \langle h_i, h_i \rangle + \langle H_i, H_i \rangle + \langle T_i^\perp, T_i^\perp \rangle - \langle h_i^\perp, h_i^\perp \rangle + \langle H_i^\perp, H_i^\perp \rangle).$$

By Proposition 2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t (-\langle h_j^\perp, h_j^\perp \rangle - \langle h_j, h_j \rangle + g(H_j^\perp, H_j^\perp) + g(H_j, H_j) + \langle T_j^\perp, T_j^\perp \rangle + \langle T_j, T_j \rangle) \\ & = \langle -\operatorname{div} h_j - \mathcal{K}_j^b - (H_j^\perp)^\perp \otimes (H_j^\perp)^\perp + (1/2) \Upsilon_{h_j^\perp, h_j^\perp} + (1/2) \Upsilon_{T_j^\perp, T_j^\perp} + 2 \mathcal{T}_j^b \\ & + (\operatorname{div} H_j) g_j, B_j \rangle + \operatorname{div} (\langle h_j, B_j \rangle - (\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{D}_j} B_j^\sharp) H_j), \end{aligned}$$

and for  $i \neq j$  (when  $k > 2$ ) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t (-\langle h_i^\perp, h_i^\perp \rangle - \langle h_i, h_i \rangle) + g(H_i^\perp, H_i^\perp) + g(H_i, H_i) + \langle T_i^\perp, T_i^\perp \rangle + \langle T_i, T_i \rangle \\ &= \langle -\operatorname{div} h_i^\perp - (\mathcal{K}_i^\perp)^\flat - H_i^\flat \otimes H_i^\flat + (1/2)\Upsilon_{h_i, h_i} + (1/2)\Upsilon_{T_i, T_i} + 2(\mathcal{T}_i^\perp)^\flat \\ &+ (\operatorname{div} H_i^\perp) g_j, B_j \rangle + \operatorname{div}(\langle h_i^\perp, B_j \rangle - (\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{D}_i^\perp}(B_j)^\sharp) H_i^\perp). \end{aligned}$$

We use the above to derive  $\partial_t Q(g)$ . Removing integrals of divergences of vector fields compactly supported in  $\Omega$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \partial_t Q(g_t)|_{t=0} \, d \operatorname{vol}_g &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left( \sum_{i \neq j} \langle -\operatorname{div} h_i^\perp - (\mathcal{K}_i^\perp)^\flat - H_i^\flat \otimes H_i^\flat + \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{h_i, h_i} \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{T_i, T_i} + 2(\mathcal{T}_i^\perp)^\flat + (\operatorname{div} H_i^\perp) g_j, B_j \rangle + \langle -\operatorname{div} h_j - \mathcal{K}_j^\flat - (H_j^\perp)^\flat \otimes (H_j^\perp)^\flat \\ &\left. + \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{h_j^\perp, h_j^\perp} + \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{T_j^\perp, T_j^\perp} + 2\mathcal{T}_j^\flat + (\operatorname{div} H_j) g_j, B_j \rangle \right) d \operatorname{vol}_g, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where  $B_j = \partial_t g_t|_{t=0}$ . Since

$$\frac{d}{dt} J_{\mathcal{D}, \Omega}(g_t)|_{t=0} = \int_{\Omega} \partial_t Q(g_t)|_{t=0} \, d \operatorname{vol}_g + \int_{\Omega} Q(g) (\partial_t d \operatorname{vol}_{g_t}|_{t=0}),$$

by (17) and (13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} J_{\mathcal{D}, \Omega}(g_t)|_{t=0} &= \int_{\Omega} \left[ \langle -\operatorname{div} h_j - \mathcal{K}_j^\flat - (H_j^\perp)^\flat \otimes (H_j^\perp)^\flat + \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{h_j^\perp, h_j^\perp} + \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{T_j^\perp, T_j^\perp} + 2\mathcal{T}_j^\flat \right. \\ &+ \sum_{i \neq j} \left( -\operatorname{div} h_i^\perp - (\mathcal{K}_i^\perp)^\flat - H_i^\flat \otimes H_i^\flat + \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{h_i, h_i} + \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{T_i, T_i} + 2(\mathcal{T}_i^\perp)^\flat \right) \\ &\left. + (\operatorname{S}_{\mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_k} - \operatorname{div}(H_j + \sum_{i \neq j} H_i^\perp)) g_j, B_j \right] d \operatorname{vol}_g. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

If  $g$  is critical for  $J_{\mathcal{D}, \Omega}$  with respect to  $\mathcal{D}_j$ -variations of  $g$ , then the integral in (18) is zero for any symmetric  $(0, 2)$ -tensor  $B_j$ . This yields the  $\mathcal{D}_j$ -component of Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{div} h_j + \mathcal{K}_j^\flat + (H_j^\perp)^\flat \otimes (H_j^\perp)^\flat - \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{h_j^\perp, h_j^\perp} - \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{T_j^\perp, T_j^\perp} - 2\mathcal{T}_j^\flat \\ &+ \sum_{i \neq j} \left( \operatorname{div} h_i^\perp|_{\mathcal{D}_j} + (P_j \mathcal{K}_i^\perp)^\flat + (P_j H_i)^\flat \otimes (P_j H_i)^\flat - \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{P_j h_i, P_j h_i} - \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{P_j T_i, P_j T_i} - 2(P_j \mathcal{T}_i^\perp)^\flat \right) \\ &= (\operatorname{S}_{\mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_k} - \operatorname{div}(H_j + \sum_{i \neq j} H_i^\perp)) g_j. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

For volume-preserving  $\mathcal{D}_j$ -variations, the Euler-Lagrange equation (of the geometrical part of (3) with respect to volume-preserving adapted variations) will be (16) instead of (19).  $\square$

**Remark 3.** Using the partial Ricci tensor (7) and replacing  $\operatorname{div} h_j$  and  $\operatorname{div} h_i^\perp$  for  $i \neq j$  in (16) according to (10), we can rewrite (16) as

$$\begin{aligned} & r_j - \langle h_j, H_j \rangle + \mathcal{A}_j^\flat - \mathcal{T}_j^\flat + \Psi_j^\perp - \operatorname{Def}_{\mathcal{D}_j^\perp} H_j^\perp + \mathcal{K}_j^\flat + (H_j^\perp)^\flat \otimes (H_j^\perp)^\flat - \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{h_j^\perp, h_j^\perp} - \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{T_j^\perp, T_j^\perp} \\ &+ \sum_{i \neq j} \left( r_i^\perp|_{\mathcal{D}_j} - \langle h_i^\perp|_{\mathcal{D}_j}, H_i^\perp \rangle + (P_j \mathcal{A}_i^\perp)^\flat - (P_j \mathcal{T}_i^\perp)^\flat + \Psi_i|_{\mathcal{D}_j} - \operatorname{Def}_{\mathcal{D}_j} H_i + (P_j \mathcal{K}_i^\perp)^\flat \right) \\ &+ (P_j H_i)^\flat \otimes (P_j H_i)^\flat - \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{P_j h_i, P_j h_i} - \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{P_j T_i, P_j T_i} \\ &= (\operatorname{S}_{\mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_k} - \operatorname{div}(H_j + \sum_{i \neq j} H_i^\perp) + \lambda_j) g_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, k. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

**Example 2.** A pair  $(\mathcal{D}_i, \mathcal{D}_j)$  with  $i \neq j$  of distributions on a Riemannian almost  $k$ -product manifold  $(M, g; \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_k)$  is called *mixed integrable*, if  $T_{i,j}(X, Y) = 0$  for all  $X \in \mathcal{D}_i$  and

$Y \in \mathcal{D}_j$ , see [10]. Let  $(M, g; \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_k)$  with  $k > 2$  has integrable distributions  $\mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_k$  and each pair  $(\mathcal{D}_i, \mathcal{D}_j)$  is mixed integrable. Then  $T_l^\perp(X, Y) = 0$  for all  $l \leq k$  and  $X \in \mathcal{D}_i, Y \in \mathcal{D}_j$  with  $i \neq j$ , see [10, Lemma 2]. In this case, (20) reads as

$$\begin{aligned} & r_j - \langle h_j, H_j \rangle + \mathcal{A}_j^\flat + \Psi_j^\perp - \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{h_j^\perp, h_j^\perp} + (H_j^\perp)^\flat \otimes (H_j^\perp)^\flat - \text{Def}_{\mathcal{D}_j^\perp} H_j^\perp \\ & + \sum_{i \neq j} (r_i^\perp|_{\mathcal{D}_j} - \langle h_i^\perp|_{\mathcal{D}_j}, H_i^\perp \rangle + (P_j \mathcal{A}_i^\perp)^\flat + \Psi_i|_{\mathcal{D}_j} - \text{Def}_{\mathcal{D}_j} H_i + (P_j H_i)^\flat \otimes (P_j H_i)^\flat \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{P_j h_i, P_j h_i}) = (\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_k} - \text{div}(H_j + \sum_{i \neq j} H_i^\perp) + \lambda_j) g_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, k. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 3.** The Ricci type symmetric  $(0, 2)$ -tensor  $\mathcal{R}ic_{\mathcal{D}}$  in (4) is defined by its restrictions  $\mathcal{R}ic_{\mathcal{D}}|_{\mathcal{D}_j \times \mathcal{D}_j}$  on  $k$  subbundles  $\mathcal{D}_j \times \mathcal{D}_j$  of  $TM \times TM$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}ic_{\mathcal{D}}|_{\mathcal{D}_j \times \mathcal{D}_j} &= r_j - \langle h_j, H_j \rangle + \mathcal{A}_j^\flat - \mathcal{T}_j^\flat + \Psi_j^\perp - \text{Def}_{\mathcal{D}_j^\perp} H_j^\perp + \mathcal{K}_j^\flat + (H_j^\perp)^\flat \otimes (H_j^\perp)^\flat \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{h_j^\perp, h_j^\perp} - \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{T_j^\perp, T_j^\perp} + \sum_{i \neq j} (r_i^\perp|_{\mathcal{D}_j} - \langle h_i^\perp|_{\mathcal{D}_j}, H_i^\perp \rangle + (P_j \mathcal{A}_i^\perp)^\flat - (P_j \mathcal{T}_i^\perp)^\flat + \Psi_i|_{\mathcal{D}_j} \\ &- \text{Def}_{\mathcal{D}_j} H_i + (P_j \mathcal{K}_i^\perp)^\flat + (P_j H_i)^\flat \otimes (P_j H_i)^\flat - \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{P_j h_i, P_j h_i} - \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{P_j T_i, P_j T_i}) + \mu_j g_j. \quad (21) \end{aligned}$$

In other words,

$$\mathcal{R}ic_{\mathcal{D}}|_{\mathcal{D}_j \times \mathcal{D}_j} = U_j + \mu_j g_j,$$

where  $U_j$  is the LHS of (16) and  $(\mu_j)$  are uniquely determined so (see Theorem 3 below) that critical metrics satisfy Einstein type equation (4).

**Theorem 3.** A metric  $g \in \text{Riem}(M, \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_k)$  is critical for the geometrical part of (3) (i.e.,  $\Lambda = 0 = \Theta$ ) with respect to adapted variations if and only if  $g$  satisfies Einstein type equation (4), where the tensor  $\mathcal{R}ic_{\mathcal{D}}$  is given in Definition 3 with some (uniquely defined)  $\mu_i \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* The Euler-Lagrange equations (16) consist of  $\mathcal{D}_j \times \mathcal{D}_j$ -components. Thus, for the geometrical part of (3) we obtain (21). If  $n = 2$  (and  $k = 2$ ), then we take  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 0$ , see [8]. Assume that  $n > 2$ . Substituting (21) with arbitrary  $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$  into (4) along  $\mathcal{D}_j$ , we conclude that if the Euler Lagrange equations  $U_j = b_j g_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq k$ ) hold, then

$$\mathcal{R}ic_{\mathcal{D}} - (1/2) \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}} \cdot g = 0,$$

see (4) with  $\Lambda = 0 = \Theta$ , if and only if  $(\mu_j)$  satisfy the following linear system:

$$\sum_i n_i \mu_i - 2 \mu_j = a_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, k, \quad (22)$$

with coefficients  $a_j = 2b_j - \text{Tr} \sum_i U_i$ . The matrix of (22) is

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} n_1 - 2 & n_2 & \dots & n_{k-1} & n_k \\ n_1 & n_2 - 2 & \dots & n_{k-1} & n_k \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ n_1 & n_2 & \dots & n_{k-1} & n_k - 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Its determinant:  $\det A = 2^{k-1}(2-n)$  is negative when  $n > 2$ . Hence, the system (22) has a unique solution  $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$ . It is given by  $\mu_i = -\frac{1}{2n-4} (\sum_j (a_i - a_j) n_j - 2a_i)$ .  $\square$

**Example 3** (see [8]). The symmetric Ricci type tensor  $\mathcal{R}ic_{\mathcal{D}}$  in (4) with  $k = 2$ , is defined by its restrictions on two subbundles of  $TM \times TM$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}ic_{\mathcal{D}}|_{\mathcal{D}^\perp \times \mathcal{D}^\perp} &= r - \langle h^\perp, H^\perp \rangle + (\mathcal{A}^\perp)^\flat - (\mathcal{T}^\perp)^\flat + \Psi - \text{Def}_{\mathcal{D}} H + (\mathcal{K}^\perp)^\flat \\ &+ H^\flat \otimes H^\flat - \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{h, h} - \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{T, T} + \mu_1 g^\perp, \\ \mathcal{R}ic_{\mathcal{D}}|_{\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}} &= r^\perp - \langle h, H \rangle + \mathcal{A}^\flat - \mathcal{T}^\flat + \Psi^\perp - \text{Def}_{\mathcal{D}^\perp} H^\perp + \mathcal{K}^\flat \\ &+ (H^\perp)^\flat \otimes (H^\perp)^\flat - \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{h^\perp, h^\perp} - \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{T^\perp, T^\perp} + \mu_2 g^\top, \quad (23) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mu_1 = -\frac{n_1-1}{n-2} \operatorname{div}(H^\perp - H)$  and  $\mu_2 = \frac{n_2-1}{n-2} \operatorname{div}(H^\perp - H)$ . Here  $(23)_2$  is dual to  $(23)_1$  with respect to interchanging distributions  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ , and their last terms vanish if  $n_1 = n_2 = 1$ . Also,  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}} := \operatorname{Tr}_g \mathcal{R}ic_{\mathcal{D}} = S_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\perp} + \frac{n_2-n_1}{n-2} \operatorname{div}(H^\perp - H)$ .

## References

- [1] M.A. Akivis, V.V. Goldberg, Differential geometry of webs. In *Handbook of differential geometry*, Vol. I, pp. 1–152, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2000
- [2] E. Barletta, S. Dragomir, V. Rovenski and M. Soret, Mixed gravitational field equations on globally hyperbolic space-times, *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 30 : 8, (2013) 085015, 26 pp.
- [3] E. Barletta, S. Dragomir and V. Rovenski, The mixed Einstein-Hilbert action and extrinsic geometry of foliated manifolds, *Balkan J. of Geometry and Its Appl.* 22 : 1, (2017), 1–17
- [4] A. Bejancu and H. Farran, *Foliations and geometric structures*, Springer, 2006
- [5] A. L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*. Springer, 1987
- [6] B.-Y. Chen, *Differential geometry of warped product manifolds and submanifolds*. World Scientific, 2017
- [7] A. Gray, Pseudo-Riemannian almost product manifolds and submersions, *J. Math. Mech.* 16:7 (1967), 715–737
- [8] V. Rovenski, Einstein-Hilbert type action on space-times, *Publications de l’Institut Mathématique*, Issue: (N.S.) 103 (117) (2018), 199–210
- [9] V. Rovenski, Prescribing the mixed scalar curvature of a foliation. *Balkan J. of Geometry and Its Applications*, 24 : 1, (2019), 73–92
- [10] V. Rovenski, Integral formulas for a Riemannian manifold with several orthogonal complementary distributions Preprint, arXiv:2008.01974 v2, (2020).
- [11] V. Rovenski and T. Zawadzki, The Einstein-Hilbert type action on foliated pseudo-Riemannian manifolds, *J. Math. Physics, Analysis and Geometry*, 15 : 1 (2019), 86–121
- [12] V. Rovenski and T. Zawadzki, Variations of the total mixed scalar curvature of a distribution, *Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom.* 54 (2018), 87–122
- [13] S.E. Stepanov and J. Mikeš, Liouville-type theorems for some classes of Riemannian almost product manifolds and for special mappings of Riemannian manifolds, *Differential Geom. and its Appl.* 54, Part A (2017), 111–121
- [14] P. Walczak, An integral formula for a Riemannian manifold with two orthogonal complementary distributions. *Colloq. Math.*, 58 (1990), 243–252