

The Turán number for the edge blow-up of trees

Anyao Wang^a, Xinmin Hou^{a,b}, Boyuan Liu^a, Yue Ma^a

^aSchool of Mathematical Sciences

University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui, 230026, PR China

^bCAS Wu Wen-Tsun Key Laboratory of Mathematics

University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui, 230026, PR China

August 25, 2020

Abstract

The edge blow-up of a graph F is the graph obtained from replacing each edge in F by a clique of the same size where the new vertices of the cliques are all different. In this article, we concern about the Turán problem for the edge blow-up of trees. Erdős et al. (1995) and Chen et al. (2003) solved the problem for stars. The problem for paths was resolved by Glebov (2011). Liu (2013) extended the above results to cycles and a special family of trees with the minimum degree at most two in the smaller color class (paths and proper subdivisions of stars were included in the family). In this article, we extend Liu's result to all the trees with the minimum degree at least two in the smaller color class. Combining with Liu's result, except one particular case, the Turán problem for the edge blow-up of trees is completely resolved. Moreover, we determine the maximum number of edges in the family of $\{K_{1,k}, kK_2, 2K_{1,k-1}\}$ -free graphs and the extremal graphs, which is an extension of a result given by Abbott et al. (1972).

1 Introduction

In this paper, all graphs considered are simple and finite. For a graph G and a vertex $x \in V(G)$, the set of neighbors of x in G is denoted by $N_G(x)$, write $N_G[x] = N_G(x) \cup \{x\}$, called the closed set of neighbors of x . The *degree* of x , denoted by $\deg_G(x)$, is $|N_G(x)|$. Let $\delta(G)$ and $\Delta(G)$ denote the minimum and maximum degrees of G , respectively. For a subset $X \subseteq V(G)$, let $\delta_G(X) = \min\{d_G(v) : v \in X\}$ and $\Delta_G(X) = \max\{d_G(v) : v \in X\}$. Let $e(G)$ be the number of edges of G . For a graph G and $S, T \subset V(G)$, let $e_G(S, T)$ be the number of edges $e = xy \in E(G)$ with $x \in S$ and $y \in T$, if $S = T$, we use $e_G(S)$ instead of $e_G(S, S)$, and $e_G(u, T)$ instead of $e_G(\{u\}, T)$ for convenience, the index G will be omitted if no confusion from the context. For a subset $X \subseteq V(G)$ or $X \subseteq E(G)$, let $G[X]$ be the subgraph of G

induced by X . A *matching* M in G is a subset of $E(G)$ with $\delta(G[M]) = \Delta(G[M]) = 1$. The *matching number* of G , denoted by $\nu(G)$, is the maximum number of edges in a matching in G . For a given graph G , we write $\alpha(G)$ and $\beta(G)$ for the independent and the (vertex) covering number of G , respectively. Write $\chi(G)$ for the chromatic number of G . Given a family \mathcal{L} of graphs, define $p(\mathcal{L}) = \min_{L \in \mathcal{L}} \chi(L) - 1$.

Given two graphs G and H , we say that G is *H-free* if G does not contain an H as a subgraph. The *Turán number*, denoted by $\text{ex}(n, H)$, is the largest number of edges of an H -free graph on n vertices. That is,

$$\text{ex}(n, H) = \max\{e(G) : |V(G)| = n, G \text{ is } H\text{-free}\}.$$

We call an H -free graph with n vertices and $\text{ex}(n, H)$ edges an *extremal graph* of H . For positive integers n and r with $n \geq r$, the Turán graph, denoted by $T_{n,r}$, is the complete r -partite graph on n vertices with the size of each part differing by at most one. Two fundamental theorems due to Mantel [16] and Turán [19] state that $\text{ex}(n, K_{r+1}) = e(T_{n,r})$ for $r \geq 2$ and the Turán graph is the unique extremal graph. The celebrated Erdős-Stone-Simonovits Theorem [8, 9] told us that asymptotically Turán's construction is best possible for any graph H with $\chi(H) \geq 3$. But the Turán problem are often very difficult for bipartite graphs even for the cycles and trees. In this article, we mainly concern a special family of graphs obtained from blowing up all edges in trees. Formally, given a graph F and a positive integer p , the *edge blow-up* of F , denoted by F^{p+1} , is the graph obtained from replacing each edge in F by a clique of size $p + 1$ where the new added vertices of the cliques are all different.

The extremal graphs of the edge blow-up of trees have special constructions. To describe the constructions, we need some definitions and notation. Given two vertex-disjoint graphs H_1 and H_2 , the *join graph* of H_1 and H_2 , denote by $H_1 \vee H_2$, is the graph obtained by joining each vertex of H_1 to each vertex of H_2 . Given vertex-disjoint graphs H_1, \dots, H_k , we can define the join graph of H_1, \dots, H_k recursively, i.e. $H_1 \vee \dots \vee H_k = (H_1 \vee \dots \vee H_{k-1}) \vee H_k$. Write $\vee_{i=1}^k H_i$ for $H_1 \vee \dots \vee H_k$ and $\cup_{i=1}^k H_i$ for the disjoint union of H_1, \dots, H_k . If $H_1 = \dots = H_k = H$, write kH for $\cup_{i=1}^k H_i$. A graph is called *almost d -regular* if all vertices, except at most one of degree $d - 1$, have degree d . Write $R(n, d)$ for an almost d -regular graph on n vertices. Denote by $K(n_1, \dots, n_p)$ the complete p -partite graph with color classes of orders n_1, \dots, n_p . As usual, write K_t and $K_{s,t}$ for $K(t)$ and $K(s, t)$ respectively. Write K_t^c for the empty graph on t vertices. Let $L_1(n_1, \dots, n_p; k)$ be the family of graphs constructed by embedding a $R(2k - 1, k - 1)$ in one class of $K(n_1, \dots, n_p)$ and let $L_2(n_1, \dots, n_p; k)$ be the family of graphs constructed by embedding a $R(k + 1, k - 1) \cup K_{k-1}$ (for even k) or $2K_k$ (for odd k) in one class of $K(n_1, \dots, n_p)$. If n_1, \dots, n_p have almost equal size, i.e. $|n_i - n_j| \leq 1$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq p$, we write $K(n; p)$ and $L_s(n; p; k)$ for $K(n_1, \dots, n_p)$ and $L_s(n_1, \dots, n_p; k)$, respectively, where $n = \sum_{i=1}^p n_i$ and $s \in \{1, 2\}$.

Now we define the extremal graphs for the blow-up of trees. For positive integers n, p, a, k with $n \geq a$, let

$$\mathcal{H}_i(n, p, a, k) = K_{a-1} \vee L_i(n_1, \dots, n_p; k), \text{ for } i = 1, 2,$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_2(n, p, a, d, k) = R(a-1, d) \vee L_2(n_1, \dots, n_p; k),$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^p n_i = n - a + 1$. In particular, let

$$H_i(n, p, a, k) = K_{a-1} \vee L_i(n - a + 1; p; k), \text{ for } i = 1, 2,$$

and

$$H_2(n, p, a, d, k) = R(a-1, d) \vee L_2(n - a + 1; p; k).$$

Note that $H_i(n, p, a, k)$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $H_2(n, p, a, d, k)$ are also families of graphs and by the definitions they might contain non-isomorphic graphs. However, as mentioned in [14], all members in each family have the same number of edges, and since their difference does not matter in this article, we will treat each of them as a "unique" graph instead of a family of graphs.

Define

$$g_1(k) = \begin{cases} k^2 - \frac{3}{2}k, & k \text{ is even;} \\ k^2 - \frac{3k-1}{2}, & k \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \text{ and } g_2(k) = \begin{cases} k^2 - \frac{3}{2}k, & k \text{ is even;} \\ k^2 - k, & k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Let

$$g(n, p, a) = e(T_{n-a+1, p}) + e(K_{a-1, n-a+1}) + e(K_{a-1}),$$

and

$$g(n, p, a, b-1) = e(T_{n-a+1, p}) + e(K_{a-1, n-a+1}) + e(R(a-1, b-1)).$$

The Turán problem for the edge blow-up of trees was originally studied by Erdős et al. [7], they determined the value of $ex(n, F^3)$ and the extremal graphs of F^3 when F is a star. Here is a list of some of known Turán type results about the edge blow-up of trees.

- (a). (Erdős et al [7], 1995) For $k \geq 1$ and $n \geq 50k^2$,

$$ex(n, K_{1, k}^3) = g(n, 2, 1) + g_2(k).$$

Moreover, when k is odd, $H_2(n, 2, 1, k)$ is the unique extremal graph; when k is even, $H_1(n, 2, 1, k)$ and $H_2(n, 2, 1, k)$ are extremal graphs.

(b). (Chen et al. [5], 2003) For any $p \geq 2$, $k \geq 1$ and $n \geq 16k^3(p+1)^8$,

$$\text{ex}(n, K_{1,k}^{p+1}) = g(n, p, 1) + g_2(k),$$

where $H_2(n, p, 1, k)$ is the unique extremal graph for odd k , and when k is even, $H_1(n, p, 1, k)$ and $H_2(n, p, 1, k)$ are extremal graphs.

(c). (Glebov [10], 2011) For any $p \geq 2$, $k \geq 1$ and $n > 16r^{11}(p+1)^8$,

$$\text{ex}(n, P_{r+1}^{p+1}) = g(n, p, a) + g_2(k),$$

where $a = \lfloor \frac{r+1}{2} \rfloor$ and $k = 1$ or 2 with respect to r is odd or even. Moreover, $H_2(n, p, a, 1)$ (resp. $H_1(n, p, a, 2)$) is the unique extremal graph when r is odd (when r is even, resp.).

(d) (Liu [14], 2013) Given a tree T , denote by A and B its two color classes with $a = |A| \leq |B|$. For any $p \geq 3$, when n is sufficiently large, we have that

(i) if $\delta(A) = 1$ and $\alpha(T) = |B|$, then $\text{ex}(n, T^{p+1}) = g(n, p, a) + g_2(1)$. Moreover, $H_2(n, p, a, 1)$ is the unique extremal graph for T^{p+1} .

(ii) if $\delta(A) = 2$, then $\text{ex}(n, T^{p+1}) = g(n, p, a) + g_2(2)$. Moreover, $H_1(n, p, a, 2)$ is the unique extremal graph for T^{p+1} .

(e) There are some other related results: (i) Liu [14] also determined the Turán number for the edge blow-up of cycles and its extremal graphs, which are almost the same as those for the blow-up of paths. (ii) Another interesting extension of the result (a) is blowing up every edge of a tree by a cycle of odd length instead of a clique. Hou et al [11, 12] solved the problem for stars and recently Zhu et al [20] resolved the problem for paths and cycles.

In this article, we extend Liu's result to trees T such that the two color classes A, B have the property that $|A| \leq |B|$ and $\delta(A) \geq 2$. The main result is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Given $p \geq 3$ and a tree T such that its two color classes A and B satisfying $|A| \leq |B|$. Let $A_0 = \{x \in A : d(x) = \delta(A)\}$ and $B_0 = \{y \in B : |N(y) \cap A_0| \geq 2\}$. Denote by $a = |A|$, $k = \delta(A)$ and $b + 2 = \delta(B_0)$. If $k \geq 2$ then, when n is sufficiently large, we have*

$$\text{ex}(n, T^{p+1}) = \begin{cases} g(n, p, a) + g_1(k), & k \text{ is even;} \\ g(n, p, a) + g_2(k), & k \text{ is odd and } B_0 = \emptyset; \\ g(n, p, a) + g_1(k), & k \text{ is odd and } b = 0 \text{ or } 0 < b \leq a - 1 - \lceil \frac{k-1}{a-1} \rceil; \\ g(n, p, a, b-1) + g_2(k), & k \text{ is odd and } b \geq \max\{1, a - 1 - \lceil \frac{k-1}{a-1} \rceil\}. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, for even k , $H_1(n, p, a, k)$ and $H_2(n, p, a, k)$ are extremal graphs; for odd k , if $B_0 = \emptyset$ then $H_2(n, p, a, k)$ is the unique extremal graph, if $B_0 \neq \emptyset$ and $b = 0$ or $0 < b < a - 1 - \lceil \frac{k-1}{a-1} \rceil$ then $H_1(n, p, a, k)$ is the unique extremal graph, if $B_0 \neq \emptyset$ and $b > \max\{0, a - 1 - \lceil \frac{k-1}{a-1} \rceil\}$ then $H_2(n, p, a, b - 1, k)$ is the unique extremal graph, and if $B_0 \neq \emptyset$ and $b = a - 1 - \lceil \frac{k-1}{a-1} \rceil > 0$ then $H_1(n, p, a, k)$ and $H_2(n, p, a, b - 1, k)$ are extremal graphs.

Remarks: (1) For even k , $H_2(n, p, a, k)$ is a new extremal graph which is not mentioned in [5, 7].

(2) Combining the result given by Liu [14], the Turán problem for the edge blow-up of trees T , except for the case $\delta(A) = 1$ and $\alpha(T) \neq |B|$, is resolved.

The results of another type of extremal problems will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Abbott, Hanson, and Sauer [1] determined the maximum number of edges in a graph with maximum degree and matching number no more than k .

Theorem 1.2 ([1]). *Let G be a $\{K_{1,k}, kK_2\}$ -free graph. Then*

$$e(G) \leq g_2(k) = \begin{cases} k^2 - k & \text{if } k \text{ is odd,} \\ k^2 - \frac{3}{2}k & \text{if } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, the equality holds if $G = 2K_k$ when k is odd, and $G = R(2k-1-2t, k-1) \cup tK_{1,k-1}$ for $0 \leq t \leq \frac{k}{2} - 1$ or $R(k+1, k-1) \cup K_{k-1}$ when k is even.

We further determine the maximum number of edges in $\{K_{1,k}, kK_2, 2K_{1,k-1}\}$ -free graphs and its extremal graphs in the following theorem, which has its own flavor in extremal graph theory and will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.3. *Let G be a $\{K_{1,k}, kK_2, 2K_{1,k-1}\}$ -free graph. Then*

$$e(G) \leq g_1(k) = \begin{cases} k^2 - \frac{3}{2}k + \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } k \text{ is odd,} \\ k^2 - \frac{3}{2}k & \text{if } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, the equality holds if and only if $G = R(2k-1, k-1)$ when k is odd, and $G = R(2k-1, k-1)$ or $R(k+1, k-1) \cup K_{k-1}$ when k is even. If we do not care the the trivial components, the optimal graphs are determined completely.

Some other (including hypergraph) extensions of Theorem 1.2 have been made e.g., in [3, 6, 13, 15], especially the following theorem given by Chvátal and Hanson [6] will be used in our proof.

Theorem 1.4 ([6]). *For all graph G with maximum degree $\Delta \geq 1$ and matching number $\nu \geq 1$, then $e(G) \leq f(\nu, \Delta) = \nu\Delta + \lfloor \frac{\Delta}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{\nu}{\lceil \Delta/2 \rceil} \rfloor$.*

The rest of the article is arranged as follows. We give preliminaries in the next section and prove Theorem 1.3 in Section 3. The proof of Theorem 1.1 will be given in Section 4. We give some discussions in the last section.

2 Preliminaries

A graph G on n vertices is called an n -vertex graph. Write $H \subseteq G$ for H being a subgraph of G . The following family of graphs was first introduced by Simonovits [17].

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathcal{D}(n, p, r)$ be the family of n -vertex graphs G satisfying the following symmetric conditions:

- (1) It is possible to omit at most r vertices of G such that the remaining graph G' is a join of graphs of almost equal order, i.e. $G' = \vee_{i=1}^p G^i$ where $|V(G^i)| = n_i$ and $|n_i - n/p| \leq r$ for any $i \in [p]$. The vertices in $V(G) \setminus V(G')$ are called the exceptional vertices.
- (2) For every $i \in [p]$, there exist connected graphs H_i such that $G^i = k_i H_i$ where $k_i = n_i/|H_i|$ and any two copies H_i^j, H_i^ℓ in G^i ($1 \leq j < \ell \leq k_i$) are symmetric subgraphs of G^i , i.e. there exists an isomorphism $\omega : H_i^j \rightarrow H_i^\ell$ such that for every $x \in V(H_i^j)$, $u \in V(G^i) \setminus (V(H_i^j \cup H_i^\ell))$, $xu \in E(G^i)$ if and only if $\omega(x)u \in E(G^i)$. The graphs H_i are called the blocks.

Remark: For given integers p, a, k and large enough n , $H_1(n, p, a, k)$, $H_2(n, p, a, k)$ and $H_2(n, p, a, b-1, k)$ are graphs in the family $\mathcal{D}(n, p, r)$ for appropriate value of r .

The following two theorems also due to Simonovits [17, 18] are the base of the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 2.1 ([17]). Assume that a finite family \mathcal{L} of forbidden graphs with $p(\mathcal{L}) = p$ is given. If for some $L \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\ell = |V(L)|$,

$$L \subseteq P_\ell \vee K((p-1)\ell; p-1)$$

then there exist $r = r(L)$ and $n_0 = n_0(r)$ such that $\mathcal{D}(n, p, r)$ contains an \mathcal{L} -extremal graph for every $n > n_0$. Furthermore, if this is the only extremal graph in $\mathcal{D}(n, p, r)$, then it is the unique extremal graph for every sufficiently large n .

Theorem 2.2 ([18]). Assume that a finite family \mathcal{L} of forbidden graphs with $p(\mathcal{L}) = p$ is given. If for some $L \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\ell = |V(L)|$,

$$L \subseteq \ell P_2 \vee K(2(p-1)\ell; p-1)$$

then there exist $r = r(L)$ and $n_0 = n_0(r)$ such that $\mathcal{D}(n, p, r)$ contains an \mathcal{L} -extremal graph for every $n > n_0$. Furthermore, for any \mathcal{L} -extremal graph $G \in \mathcal{D}(n, p, r)$, we have that

- (i) all blocks of G consist of isolated vertices, i.e. the join graph G' will be a Turán graph $T_{n', p}$.

(ii) each exceptional vertex in $V(G) \setminus V(G')$ is joined either to all the vertices of G' or to all the vertices of $p-1$ classes of G' and to no vertex of the remaining class.

In the following lemma, we show that T^{p+1} satisfies the condition of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2.

Lemma 2.3. For $p \geq 3$ and $\ell = |V(T^{p+1})|$,

$$T^{p+1} \subseteq P_\ell \vee K((p-1)\ell; p-1) \text{ and } T^{p+1} \subseteq \ell P_2 \vee K(2(p-1)\ell; p-1).$$

Proof. Let S_1, \dots, S_{p-1} be the $p-1$ classes of $K((p-1)\ell; p-1)$. Then $|S_1| = \dots = |S_{p-1}| = \ell$. Note that $\nu(P_\ell) = \lfloor \frac{\ell}{2} \rfloor$. Hence P_ℓ has a maximum matching M of size $\lfloor \frac{\ell}{2} \rfloor$. Since $|S_1| = |S_2| > |V(T)|$, we can embed T into $S_1 \vee S_2$. Label the edges of T by e_1, \dots, e_m . Now we blow up the edges of T as follows: for $e_i \in E(T)$, choose $v_3^i \in S_3, \dots, v_{p-1}^i \in S_{p-1}$ and an edge $v_p^i v_{p+1}^i$ in M . Then $V(e_i) \cup \{v_3^i, \dots, v_{p+1}^i\}$ induces a copy of K_{p+1} in $P_\ell \vee K((p-1)\ell; p-1)$. Note that $|S_i| > |M_i| = \lfloor \frac{\ell}{2} \rfloor \geq m$. This guarantees that the blow-ups are vertex disjoint.

Clearly, ℓP_2 is a matching of size ℓ and the size of each class of $K(2(p-1)\ell; p-1)$ is bigger than the one of $K((p-1)\ell; p-1)$. So we can embed T^{p+1} into $K(2(p-1)\ell; p-1)$ in the same way. □

The following definitions and lemma due to Liu [14] also play an important role in our proof.

Definition 2.2. (1) Given a graph H , a vertex split on a vertex $v \in V(H)$ is defined as follows: replace v by an independent set of size $d(v)$ in which each vertex is adjacent to exactly one distinct vertices in $N_H(v)$. Given a vertex subset $U \subseteq V(H)$, a vertex split on U means applying vertex split on the vertices in U one by one. The splitting family of H , denoted by $\mathcal{H}(H)$, is the family of graphs that can be obtained from H by applying vertex split on some $U \subseteq V(H)$.

(2) Given a family \mathcal{L} , let $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{L})$ be the family of minimal graphs M that satisfy the following: there exists an $L \in \mathcal{L}$ and a $t = t(L)$ such that $L \subseteq M' \vee K((p-1)t; p-1)$, where $M' = M \cup K_t^c$ (putting M into an independent set of size t). We call \mathcal{M} the decomposition family of \mathcal{L} .

Lemma 2.4 ([14]). Given $p \geq 3$ and any graph H with $\chi(H) \leq p-1$, $\mathcal{M}(H^{p+1}) = \mathcal{H}(H)$. In particular, a matching of size $e(H)$ is in $\mathcal{M}(H^{p+1})$.

The following lemma shows that the split operations increase the matching number.

Lemma 2.5. Given a graph H and let $\mathcal{H}(H)$ be its splitting family. Then $\nu(H') \geq \nu(H)$ for any $H' \in \mathcal{H}(H)$.

Proof. Let $H' \in \mathcal{H}(H)$ and assume H' is obtained from H by applying vertex split on $U \subseteq V(H)$. It is sufficient to show $\nu(H') \geq \nu(H)$ when $|U| = 1$. Assume $U = \{u\}$. Let M be a maximum matching in H . If u is not covered by M then M is still a matching in H' . We are done. Now assume u is covered by M and the edge $uv \in M$. By the definition of vertex split, there is a copy u' of u with $u'v \in E(H')$. Then the edge set obtained from replacing uv in M by $u'v$ is a matching in H' , we are done. \square

We also need the following fundamental results in graph theory.

Theorem 2.6 ([4]). (1) (Hall's Theorem) A bipartite graph G with bipartition (X, Y) has a matching which covers every vertex in X if and only if $|S| \leq |N(S)|$ for all $S \subseteq X$.

(2) (König's Theorem) If G is a bipartite graph, then $\beta(G) = \nu(G)$.

(3) (Gallai's Theorem) If G is a graph, then $\alpha(G) + \beta(G) = |V(G)|$.

We will prove some lemmas for preparation.

Lemma 2.7. Let T be a tree with two color classes A and B . Assume $|A| \leq |B|$ and $\delta(A) \geq 2$. Then the following holds.

(i) $\beta(T) = |A|$;

(ii) $\min\{\beta(T') : T' \in \mathcal{H}(T)\} \geq |A|$;

(iii) $K_{|A|-1} \vee K_m^c$ is $\mathcal{H}(T)$ -free for any $m \geq 1$.

Proof. (i) We first claim that $\nu(T) = |A|$. For any $S \subseteq A$, $\delta(S) \geq \delta(A) \geq 2$. So $2|S| \leq e_T(S, N(S)) \leq |S| + |N(S)| - 1$. Thus $|S| \leq |N(S)|$ for any $S \subseteq A$. By Hall's Theorem, T has a matching saturated A . That is, $\nu(T) = |A|$. By König's Theorem, $\beta(T) = \nu(T) = |A|$.

(ii) By Lemma 2.5, $\nu(T') \geq \nu(T) = |A|$ for all $T' \in \mathcal{H}(T)$. By König's Theorem, $\beta(T') = \nu(T') \geq |A|$, we are done.

(iii) Clearly, $\beta(K_{|A|-1} \vee K_m^c) \leq |A| - 1$. The statement follows directly from (ii). \square

In what follows, given a tree T , let A, B, A_0, B_0 and a, b, k, p be defined the same as in Theorem 1.1. Note that in the rest of this section we always assume $k \geq 2$. Given a $\tilde{T} \in \mathcal{H}(T)$, let S be the set of splitting vertices in A and let \tilde{S} be the set of vertices split from S . Denote $s = |S|$ and $m = |\tilde{S}|$. Let $\tilde{A} = (A \setminus S) \cup \tilde{S}$ and $\tilde{B} = V(\tilde{T}) \setminus \tilde{A}$.

Lemma 2.8. If $s \geq 1$ then $\nu(\tilde{T}) \geq a - 1 + k$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.5, we need only to prove the lemma for $s = 1$. Then $m \geq k$. Let $S = \{v\}$. Since $|\tilde{A}| \geq a - 1 + m \geq a - 1 + k$, by Hall's Theorem, it suffices to prove that for any $A' \subseteq \tilde{A}$, $|N_{\tilde{T}}(A')| \geq |A'|$.

Fix an $A' \subseteq \tilde{A}$, let $A'_1 = A' \cap \tilde{S}$ and $A'_2 = A' \cap (A \setminus \{v\})$. Note that for any $u \in A'_2$, we have that $d_{\tilde{T}}(u) \geq 2$ and $|N_{\tilde{T}}(u) \cap N_{\tilde{T}}(v)| \leq 1$ (since \tilde{T} is a forest). Thus

$$|N_{\tilde{T}}(A')| = |N_{\tilde{T}}(A'_1)| + |N_{\tilde{T}}(A'_2) \setminus N_{\tilde{T}}(A'_1)| \geq |A'_1| + |A'_2| = |A'|.$$

□

Lemma 2.9. (1) If $k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ then all graphs in $\mathcal{H}_1(n, p, a, k) \cup \mathcal{H}_2(n, p, a, k)$ are T^{p+1} -free.

(2) If $k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $B_0 = \emptyset$ then all graphs in $\mathcal{H}_2(n, p, a, k)$ are T^{p+1} -free.

(3) If $k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $B_0 \neq \emptyset$ and $b = 0$ or $0 < b < a - 1 - \lceil \frac{k-1}{a-1} \rceil$, then all graphs in $\mathcal{H}_1(n, p, a, k)$ are T^{p+1} -free.

(4) If $k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $B_0 \neq \emptyset$ and $b > \max\{0, a - 1 - \lceil \frac{k-1}{a-1} \rceil\}$, then all graphs in $\mathcal{H}_2(n, p, a, b - 1, k)$ are T^{p+1} -free.

(5) If $k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $B_0 \neq \emptyset$ and $b = a - 1 - \lceil \frac{k-1}{a-1} \rceil > 0$, then all graphs in $\mathcal{H}_1(n, p, a, k) \cup \mathcal{H}_2(n, p, a, b - 1, k)$ are T^{p+1} -free.

Proof. Choose $G \in \mathcal{H}_1(n, p, a, k) \cup \mathcal{H}_2(n, p, a, k) \cup \mathcal{H}_2(n, p, a, b - 1, k)$. Without loss of generality, assume that $L_i(n_1, \dots, n_p; k)$ ($i = 1, 2$) is obtained by embedding a member, say G_0 , of $\{R(2k - 1, k - 1), R(k + 1, k - 1) \cup K_{k-1}, 2K_k\}$ in the first class, denoted by X_1 , of $K(n_1, \dots, n_p; p)$. Let $C_1 = G[X_1]$. Then $\nu(C_1) \leq k - 1$ and $\Delta(C_1) \leq k - 1$. By Lemma 2.4, it suffices to prove that $K_{a-1} \vee C_1$ or $R(a - 1, b - 1) \vee C_1$ is $\mathcal{H}(T)$ -free. Write K for K_{a-1} or $R(a - 1, b - 1)$.

Suppose to the contrary that there is a $\tilde{T} \in \mathcal{H}(T)$ such that $\tilde{T} \subseteq K \vee C_1$. Denote $A' = \tilde{A} \cap V(C_1)$, $B' = \tilde{B} \cap V(K)$ and $B'' = \tilde{B} \setminus B'$. Let $t' = |B'|$.

Let A'_1 and B''_1 be the sets of non-isolated vertices of $\tilde{T}[A', B'']$ in A' and B'' , respectively. Let $T' = \tilde{T}[A'_1, B''_1]$ be the forest consisting of nontrivial components of $\tilde{T}[A', B'']$. Then $\delta(T') \geq 1$. If $s \geq 1$, then by Lemma 2.8, we have that $\nu(\tilde{T}) \geq a - 1 + k$. Note that B' is a vertex cover of $T - V(T')$. By the König's Theorem, $\nu(T - V(T')) = \beta(T - V(T')) \leq |B'| \leq a - 1$. Thus $\nu(T') \geq \nu(T) - \nu(T - V(T')) \geq k$, a contradiction to $\nu(T') \leq \nu(C_1) \leq k - 1$.

Now assume $s = 0$. Then $|A'| \geq t' + 1$. Since $\tilde{T}[A', B']$ is a forest, we have $e_{\tilde{T}}[A', B'] \leq |A'| + |B'| - 1$. Therefore,

$$e(T') \geq k|A'| - (|A'| + |B'| - 1) \geq (k - 2)|A'| + 2 \geq (k - 2)(t' + 1) + 2.$$

If $t' = 0$ there will be at least one vertex t in A'_1 with $d_{T'}(t) \geq k$, a contradiction to $\Delta(T') \leq \Delta(C_1) \leq k - 1$.

If $t' = 1$, by the Pigeonhole principle, either there exists one vertex $u_0 \in A'_1$ with $d_{T'}(u_0) \geq k$ or there are two vertices $u_1, u_2 \in A'_1$ with $d_{T'}(u_i) = k - 1$ for $i = 1, 2$. For the former, we can get a contradiction with the same reason as the case $t' = 0$. For

the latter, we have $N_T(u_1) \cap N_T(u_2) = B'$. So T' has two disjoint copies of $K_{1,k-1}$. By $|V(G_0)| \geq |V(T')| \geq 2k$. We have $T' = 2K_{1,k-1}$, $G_0 = 2K_k$, and $G \in \mathcal{H}_2(n, p, a, b-1, k)$. And $T' = 2K_{1,k-1}$ also implies that $A' = A'_1 = \{u_1, u_2\}$ (otherwise, any vertex of $A' \setminus A'_1$ has degree at most $|B'| = 1$, a contradiction to $\delta(A) \geq 2$). By the assumption of (4), $b > 0$ and the vertex of B' has degree at most $b-1$ in $R(a-1, b-1)$. So the degree of the vertex of B' has degree at most $b+1$, a contradiction to $\delta(B_0) = b+2$.

Now assume $t' \geq 2$. Then

$$2k = |V(G_0)| \geq |V(T')| \geq e(T') + 1 \geq (k-2)(t'+1) + 3 \geq 3k-3. \quad (1)$$

When $k \geq 4$, we have $2k = |V(G_0)| \geq |V(T')| \geq 2k+1$, a contradiction. When $k = 3$, we have that all the equalities hold in (1). Hence $G_0 = 2K_3$ and $e(T') = 5$. But this is impossible since a spanning forest of G_0 has at most four edges. When $k = 2$, we have $G_0 \cong R(3, 1)$ or $R(3, 1) \cup K_1$ by the definition of $\mathcal{H}_i(n, p, a, k)$ for $i = 1, 2$. So $e(G_0) = 1$. But $e(T') \geq 2$, a contradiction to $T' \subseteq G_0$. \square

3 Maximal $\{K_{1,k}, kK_2, 2K_{1,k-1}\}$ -free graphs

Proof. Suppose G is a $\{K_{1,k}, kK_2, 2K_{1,k-1}\}$ -free graph with maximum number of edges. By Theorem 1.2, $e(G) \leq g_2(k)$. When k is even, $e(G) \leq k^2 - \frac{3}{2}k$ and the equality holds if $G = R(2k-1, k-1)$ or $R(k+1, k-1) \cup K_{k-1}$. Clearly, $R(2k-1, k-1)$ and $R(k+1, k-1) \cup K_{k-1}$ are $2K_{1,k-1}$ -free, so it is sufficient to show that there is no other extremal graph regardless of trivial components when k is even.

First we note that $\nu(G) = k-1$ (otherwise $G \cup K_{1,k-2}$ has more edges but it is still $\{K_{1,k}, kK_2, 2K_{1,k-1}\}$ -free, a contradiction). We shall make use of the Gallai-Edmonds structure theorem [2]: G has a subset $S \subseteq V(G)$ such that (i)

$$\nu(G) = \frac{1}{2}(|V(G)| + |S| - o(G-S)),$$

where $o(G-S)$ is the number of odd components of $G-S$; (ii) every odd component of $G-S$ is factor-critical; (iii) every even component of $G-S$ has a perfect matching; (iv) every maximum matching M in G saturates S , and every edge of M incident with S joins a vertex in S to a vertex in an odd component of $G-S$. Denote by $|S| = s$ and $o(G-S) = m$. Then $n+s-m = 2k-2$. Let $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_{m'}$ be all the components of $G-S$. Then $m \leq m'$. Let $n_i = |V(G_i)|$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m'$. Without loss of generality, assume n_1, \dots, n_m are odd with $n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_m$ and $n_{m+1}, \dots, n_{m'}$ are even with $n_{m+1} \geq \dots \geq n_{m'}$. Define

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \binom{x}{2} & \text{if } x \leq k-1, \\ \lfloor \frac{(k-1)x}{2} \rfloor & \text{if } x \geq k. \end{cases}$$

Claim 3.1. (a) $f(x)$ is strictly increasing in $[1, +\infty)$.

(b) $f(x_1) + f(x_2) \leq f(x_1 + x_2 - 1)$ for odd integers $1 \leq x_1 \leq k - 1$ and $x_1 \leq x_2$. Moreover, the equality holds if and only if $x_1 = 1$ when $x_1 + x_2 \leq k$, and $x_1 = 1$ or $k - 1$ when $x_2 \geq k$.

Proof of Claim 3.1: (a) It can be checked directly from the definition of $f(x)$.

(b) If $1 \leq x_1 \leq x_2 \leq k - 1$ and $x_1 + x_2 \leq k$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x_1 + x_2 - 1) - f(x_1) - f(x_2) \\ &= \frac{(x_1 + x_2 - 1)(x_1 + x_2 - 2)}{2} - \frac{x_1(x_1 - 1)}{2} - \frac{x_2(x_2 - 1)}{2} \\ &= (x_1 - 1)(x_2 - 1) \\ &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and the equality holds if and only if $x_1 = 1$.

If $1 \leq x_1 \leq x_2 \leq k - 1$ and $x_1 + x_2 \geq k + 1$, then $k + 1 \leq x_1 + x_2 \leq 2k - 2$. Hence we have $k \geq 3$. So

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1 + x_2 - 1) - f(x_1) - f(x_2) &= \left\lfloor \frac{(k - 1)(x_1 + x_2 - 1)}{2} \right\rfloor - \frac{x_1(x_1 - 1)}{2} - \frac{x_2(x_2 - 1)}{2} \\ &\geq \frac{(k - x_1)x_1 + (k - 1 - x_2)(x_2 - 1) - 1}{2} \\ &\geq \frac{k - 2}{2} \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $1 \leq x_1 \leq k - 1 < x_2$, note that x_1 and x_2 are odd, then

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1 + x_2 - 1) - f(x_1) - f(x_2) &= \left\lfloor \frac{(k - 1)(x_1 + x_2 - 1)}{2} \right\rfloor - \frac{x_1(x_1 - 1)}{2} - \left\lfloor \frac{(k - 1)x_2}{2} \right\rfloor \\ &= \frac{(k - 1 - x_1)(x_1 - 1)}{2} \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

So we have $f(x_1 + x_2 - 1) \geq f(x_1) + f(x_2)$ and the equality holds if and only if $x_1 = 1$ or $k - 1$. □

Case 1: $s = 0$.

Then $n - m = 2k - 2$.

Claim 3.2. $m = m'$.

Suppose to the contrary that $m < m'$. Note that

$$n_i + n_j \leq n - (m' - 2) \leq n - (m + 1 - 2) = 2k - 1$$

for any $1 \leq i \neq j \leq m'$. This implies that there is at most one component with at least k vertices. By Claim 3.1,

$$e(G_1) + e(G_{m+1}) \leq f(n_1) + f(n_{m+1}) < f(n_1 + n_{m+1}).$$

Let G' be the graph obtained from G by deleting the two components G_1 and G_{m+1} and adding a new $n_1 + n_{m+1}$ -vertex component G'_1 with $\Delta(G'_1) \leq k - 1$ and $f(n_1 + n_{m+1})$ edges. Then $\nu(G') \leq \frac{|V(G')| + |S| - o(G' - S)}{2} = k - 1$. Since $\Delta(G'_1) \leq k - 1$, we have $\Delta(G') \leq k - 1$ too. Since $n_1 + n_{m+1} \leq 2k - 1$, G'_1 is $2K_{1,k-1}$ -free. Note that G_1 and G_{m+1} are largest odd and even components in G , respectively, and G has at most one component of order at least k . So G' is also $2K_{1,k-1}$ -free. But $e(G') > e(G)$, a contradiction to the maximality of $e(G)$.

To complete the case, it is sufficient to show the following claim.

Claim 3.3. *Either $n_1 = 2k - 1$ and $n_j = 1$ for $2 \leq j \leq m$ or $n_1 = k + 1$, $n_2 = k - 1$ and $n_j = 1$ for $3 \leq j \leq m$ when k is even.*

Recall that $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_m$ and $n_i + n_j \leq n - (m - 2) = 2k$. So $n_1 \leq 2k - 1$ and $1 \leq n_i \leq k$. Suppose $n_2 \neq 1$ and $k - 1$. By Claim 3.1, $f(n_1) + f(n_2) < f(n_1 + n_2 - 1)$. Since G is $2K_{1,k-1}$ -free, there is at most one component G_i with $\Delta(G_i) = k - 1$. Without loss of generality, assume $\max\{\Delta(G_1), \Delta(G_2)\} = k - 1$ if any. Let G' be the graph obtained from G by replacing components G_1, G_2 by two new components G'_1, G'_2 such that $G'_1 \cong R(n_1 + n_2 - 1, k - 1)$ and $G'_2 \cong K_1$. Then $e(G'_1) = f(n_1 + n_2 - 1)$. Note that $n_1 + n_2 - 1 \leq 2k - 1$ and $\Delta(G_i) < k - 1$ for $3 \leq i \leq m$. It is easy to check that G' is $\{K_{1,k}, kK_2, 2K_{1,k-1}\}$ -free. But this is a contradiction to the maximality of $e(G)$. So $n_2 = 1$ or $k - 1$. If $n_2 = 1$ then $n_2 = \dots = n_m = 1$ because of $1 \leq n_m \leq \dots \leq n_2 = 1$ and $n_1 = n - (m - 1) = 2k - 1$. If $n_2 = k - 1$ (k must be even in this case), then by Claim 3.1, $n_1 \geq k$ is odd and $n_1 + n_2 \leq 2k$, which implies that $n_1 = k + 1$ and $n_j = 1$ for $3 \leq j \leq m$. This completes the proof of the case $s = 0$.

Case 2: $s \geq 1$.

By the Gallai-Edmonds structure theorem, $G_1 \cup \dots \cup G_{m'}$ is $\{K_{1,k}, (k - s)K_2, 2K_{1,k-1}\}$ -free. So, from Case 1, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{m'} e(G_i) \leq f(2k - 2s - 1)$, and the equality holds if and only if $m = m'$, $n_1 = 2k - 2s - 1$ and $n_i = 1$ for $2 \leq i \leq m$, or $n_1 = k - s + 1$, $n_2 = k - s - 1$ and $n_i = 1$ for $3 \leq i \leq m$ when $k - s$ is even (in fact, the case holds only for $s = 1$). Now we claim that $e(G) < f(2k - 1)$ in this case. Note that

$$e(G) = e(S) + e[S, \cup_{i=1}^{m'} V(G_i)] + \sum_{i=1}^{m'} e(G_i) \leq (k - 1)s + f(2k - 2s - 1) \leq f(2k - 1), \quad (2)$$

and the equality holds if and only if $d_G(v) = k-1$ for each $v \in S$, $m = m'$, $n_1 = 2k-2s-1 \geq k$ and $n_i = 1$ for $2 \leq i \leq m$, or $n_1 = k-s+1$, $n_2 = k-s-1$ and $n_i = 1$ for $3 \leq i \leq m$ when $k-s$ is even. Therefore, the equality holds in (2) implies that $G_1 = R(2k-2s-1, k-1)$ or $G_1 = R(k-s+1, k-1)$ when $k-s$ is even and $d_G(v) = k-1$ for each $v \in S$. If k is odd, since G is $K_{1,k}$ -free, any vertex in S can not be adjacent to vertices in $V(G_1)$. So a vertex in S and its neighbors form a $K_{1,k-1}$, which is disjoint with a $K_{1,k-1}$ in G_1 , a contradiction. Now suppose k is even. Since $n_1 \geq k$ is odd, we have $n_1 \neq k-s+1$, i. e., $n_1 = 2k-2s+1 \geq k+1$. Let $u \in V(G_1)$ be the vertex with degree $k-2$. Since G is $K_{1,k}$ -free, any vertex in S can not be adjacent to vertices in $V(G_1) \setminus \{u\}$. So a vertex in S and its neighbors form a $K_{1,k-1}$, which is disjoint with a $K_{1,k-1}$ in $G_1 \setminus \{u\}$, a contradiction.

The proof of the theorem is completed. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Define

$$h(n, p, a, k) = \begin{cases} g(n, p, a) + g_1(k), & k \text{ is even;} \\ g(n, p, a) + g_2(k), & k \text{ is odd and } B_0 = \emptyset; \\ g(n, p, a) + g_1(k), & k \text{ is odd and } b = 0 \text{ or } 0 < b \leq a-1 - \lceil \frac{k-1}{a-1} \rceil; \\ g(n, p, a, b-1) + g_2(k), & k \text{ is odd and } b \geq \max\{1, a-1 - \lceil \frac{k-1}{a-1} \rceil\}. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 2.3, $T^{p+1} \subseteq \ell P_2 \vee K(2(p-1)\ell; p-1)$ and $T^{p+1} \subseteq P_\ell \vee K((p-1)\ell; p-1)$. By Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, there exist $r = r(T^{p+1})$ and $n_0 = n_0(r)$ such that $\mathcal{D}(n, p, r)$ contains a T^{p+1} -extremal graph for every $n > n_0$. Furthermore, every T^{p+1} -extremal graph $G \in \mathcal{D}(n, p, r)$ satisfies (i) and (ii) in Theorem 2.2. Choose such a T^{p+1} -extremal graph G with maximum number of edges. By Lemma 2.9, we have $e(G) \geq h(n, p, a, k)$. Denote by W the set of vertices in $V(G) \setminus V(G')$ which is joined to all the vertices of G' . Denote by B_i the set of vertices in $V(G) \setminus V(G')$ which is joined to no vertices of G^i but to all the vertices of the remaining $p-1$ classes. Let $C_i = G[V(G^i) \cup B_i]$.

Claim 4.1. $|W| = a-1$.

Proof. First, we show that $|W| \leq a-1$. Otherwise, T can be embedded into $G[W] \vee C_1$ since $|W| \geq |A|$ and $|V(C_1)| \geq |B|$, but this is impossible since $T \in \mathcal{H}(T) = \mathcal{M}(T^{p+1})$ by Lemma 2.4. Now we claim that $|W| = a-1$. If not, suppose $|W| = a-1-s$ for some

$s \geq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
e(G) &\leq e(T_{n-a+1+s,p}) + (a-1-s)n + o(n) \\
&= \frac{p-1}{2p}n^2 + \frac{a-1-s}{p}n + o(n) \\
&< \frac{p-1}{2p}n^2 + \frac{a-1}{p}n + o(n) \\
&= h(n, p, a, k),
\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction to the maximality of $e(G)$. \square

Claim 4.2. For any $1 \leq i \leq p$ and any vertex $u \in C_i$, $d_{C_i}(u) + \sum_{j \neq i} \nu(C_j) \leq k-1$.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an i and a vertex $u_0 \in V(C_i)$ such that $d_{C_i}(u_0) + \sum_{j \neq i} \nu(C_j) \geq k$. Without loss of generality, assume $u_0 \in C_1$ and $N_{C_1}(u_0) = \{u_1, \dots, u_s\}$. Let x_1y_1, \dots, x_ty_t be a matching in $\cup_{j=2}^p C_j$ with $s+t = k$. Choose $\alpha \in A$ with minimum degree in T and let $T' = T - \alpha$. Then $d_T(\alpha) = k$ and T' has color classes $A \setminus \{\alpha\}$ and B . Note that $|A \setminus \{\alpha\}| = a-1 = |W|$ and n is large enough. So we can embed T' into G such that $A \setminus \{\alpha\} = W$ and $B \subseteq (\cup_{i=1}^p V(C_i)) \setminus \{u_0, u_1, \dots, u_s, x_1, y_1, \dots, x_t, y_t\}$. Therefore, T can be embedded into G by putting α at u_0 and its neighbors at $u_1, \dots, u_s, x_1, \dots, x_t$. Now we show that T^{p+1} can be embedded in G too. For each edge $\beta_i\gamma_j \in E(T')$ with $\beta_i \in W$ and $\gamma_j \in V(C_q)$ for some $1 \leq q \leq p$. Choose exactly one vertex, denoted by θ_{ij}^ℓ , in each $V(G^\ell)$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq p$ and $\ell \neq q$. Then $G[\{\beta_i, \gamma_j\} \cup \{\theta_{ij}^\ell : 1 \leq \ell \leq p \text{ and } \ell \neq q\}] \cong K_{p+1}$ is a blow-up of the edge $\beta_i\gamma_j \in E(T)$. For each $u_0u_i \in E(T)$, $1 \leq i \leq s$, choose exactly one vertex, denoted by w_i^ℓ , in each $V(C_\ell)$ for $2 \leq \ell \leq p$. Then $G[\{u_0, u_i, w_i^2, \dots, w_i^p\}] \cong K_{p+1}$ forms a blow-up of the edge u_0u_i for $1 \leq i \leq s$. For each $u_0x_i \in E(T)$ ($1 \leq i \leq t$), assume $x_i \in C_q$ for some $2 \leq q \leq p$. Choose exactly one vertex, denoted by z_i^ℓ in each $V(C_\ell)$ for $2 \leq \ell \leq p$ and $\ell \neq q$. Then $G[\{u_0, x_i, y_i\} \cup \{z_i^\ell : 2 \leq \ell \leq p \text{ and } \ell \neq q\}] \cong K_{p+1}$ is a blow-up of the edge u_0x_i for $1 \leq i \leq t$. Since n is sufficiently large and $|V(C_i)| \sim \frac{n}{p}$, we can choose the sets $\{\theta_{ij}^\ell : 1 \leq \ell \leq p \text{ and } \ell \neq q\}$, $\{w_i^2, \dots, w_i^p\}$ and $\{z_i^\ell : 2 \leq \ell \leq p \text{ and } \ell \neq q\}$ are pairwise disjoint, a contradiction to G is T^{p+1} -free. \square

Claim 4.3. $\max_{1 \leq i \leq p} \Delta(C_i) = k-1$.

Proof. If not then $\Delta(C_i) \leq k-2$ for any $1 \leq i \leq p$. To get a contradiction, it suffices to prove that $\sum_{i=1}^p e(C_i) < k^2 - \frac{3}{2}k$. If $k = 2$, then $\Delta(C_i) = 0$ for any $1 \leq i \leq p$, we are done. Now assume $k \geq 3$.

If there exists an i such that $\nu(C_i) \leq \Delta(C_i)$, then by Theorem 1.4 and Claim 4.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^p e(C_j) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^p f(\nu(C_j), \Delta(C_j)) \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^p \nu(C_j)(\Delta(C_j) + 1) \\
&\leq (k-1) \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \nu(C_j) + \nu(C_i) \right) \\
&\leq (k-1)(k-1 - \Delta(C_i) + \nu(C_i)) \\
&\leq (k-1)^2 \\
&< k^2 - \frac{3}{2}k.
\end{aligned}$$

If $\nu(C_i) \geq \Delta(C_i) + 1$ for any $1 \leq i \leq p$ then $\Delta(C_i) \geq 1$. Denote by $s = \min_j \{\nu(C_j) - \Delta(C_j)\}$. Then $\nu(C_j) \geq s + 1$ for any $1 \leq j \leq p$. By Claim 4.2, we have $\Delta(C_j) \leq k - 1 - (p-1)(s+1)$ for any $1 \leq j \leq p$. Choose i such that $\nu(C_i) - \Delta(C_i) = s$. Again by Theorem 1.4 and Claim 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^p e(C_j) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^p f(\nu(C_j), \Delta(C_j)) \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^p \nu(C_j)(\Delta(C_j) + 1) \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \nu(C_j) + \nu(C_i) \right) [k - (p-1)(s+1)] \\
&\leq (k-1+s)[k - (p-1)(s+1)] \\
&= k^2 - (ps - 2s + p)k - (s-1)(p-1)(s+1) \\
&< k^2 - \frac{3}{2}k.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the claim. \square

Without loss of generality, assume that $\Delta(C_1) = k - 1$. By Claim 4.2, we have $\sum_{j=2}^p \nu(C_j) = 0$, i.e. $e(C_j) = 0$ for any $2 \leq j \leq p$, and $\nu(C_1) \leq k - 1$. So

$$\sum_{i=1}^p e(C_i) = e(C_1) \leq f(\Delta(C_1), \nu(C_1)) \leq f(k-1, k-1) = g_2(k). \quad (3)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
e(G) &\leq e(C_1 \vee \dots \vee C_p) + e(W, \cup_{i=1}^p V(C_i)) + e(G[W]) + \sum_{i=1}^p e(C_i) \\
&\leq e(T_{n-a+1,p}) + (a-1)(n-a+1) + e(G[W]) + e(C_1) \\
&\leq g(n, p, a) + g_2(k),
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

and the equality holds if and only if $C_1 \vee \dots \vee C_p \cong T_{n-a+1,p}$, $G[\cup_{i=1}^p V(C_i) \cup W] \cong K_{a-1} \vee T_{n-a+1,p}$, $G[W] \cong K_{a-1}$, and $e(C_1) = g_2(k)$. So we have $e(G) \leq h(n, p, a, k)$ when k is even or k is odd and $B_0 = \emptyset$. Note that $e(G) \geq h(n, p, a, k)$ by assumption and $g_1(k) = g_2(k)$ when k is even. Therefore, $e(G) = h(n, p, a, k)$ and $G \cong H_1(n, p, a, k)$ or $H_2(n, p, a, k)$ for even k and $G \cong H_2(n, p, a, k)$ when k is odd and $B_0 = \emptyset$.

Now suppose k is odd and $B_0 \neq \emptyset$. We have the following claim.

Claim 4.4. *Either C_1 is $2K_{1,k-1}$ -free or $G[W]$ is $K_{1,b}$ -free.*

Proof. Assume that there exist two disjoint copies of $K_{1,k-1}$ in C_1 and a copy of $K_{1,b}$ in $G[W]$. We claim that T can be embedded in $G[W] \vee C_1$ and so $T^{p+1} \subseteq G$ by Lemma 2.4, this is a contradiction. Choose a vertex $u_0 \in B_0$ with $d_T(u_0) = b+2$ and its two neighbors u_1, u_2 in A_0 . Then $d_T(u_1) = d_T(u_2) = k$ and $(N_T[u_1] \setminus \{u_0\}) \cap (N_T[u_2] \setminus \{u_0\}) = \emptyset$. Then we can embed u_0 at the center of a copy of $K_{1,b}$ in $G[W]$ and embed $N_T[u_1] \setminus \{u_0\}$ and $N_T[u_2] \setminus \{u_0\}$ into two disjoint copies of $K_{1,k-1}$ in C_1 (this can be done by embedding u_1 and u_2 at the centers of the two $K_{1,k-1}$). Next, embedding $A \setminus \{u_1, u_2\}$ into $W \setminus \{u_0\}$ (this can be done since $|A \setminus \{u_1, u_2\}| = |W \setminus \{u_0\}| = a-2$) and the rest vertices of $B \setminus \{u_0\}$ into the vertices not used before in C_1 (it can be done since $|V(C_1)|$ is big enough than $|B|$), we get an embedding of T in $G[W] \vee C_1$. The claims holds. \square

By Claim 4.4 and Theorem 1.3, we have $e(C_1) \leq g_1(k)$ or $G[W] \cong R(a-1, b-1)$ ($b > 0$). By (4),

$$e(G) \leq \max\{g(n, p, a) + g_1(k), g(n, p, a, b-1) + g_2(k)\},$$

and the equality holds if and only if

- (i) $G \cong H_1(n, p, a, k)$ when $b = 0$ or $b > 0$ and $g(n, p, a) + g_1(k) > g(n, p, a, b-1) + g_2(k)$ which is equivalent to $0 < b < a-1 - \lceil \frac{k-1}{a-1} \rceil$;
- (ii) $G \cong H_2(n, p, a, b-1)$ when $b > 0$ and $g(n, p, a) + g_1(k) < g(n, p, a, b-1) + g_2(k)$, which is equivalent to $b > \max\{0, a-1 - \lceil \frac{k-1}{a-1} \rceil\}$;
- (iii) $G \cong H_1(n, p, a, k)$ or $H_2(n, p, a, b-1)$ when $b > 0$ and $g(n, p, a) + g_1(k) = g(n, p, a, b-1) + g_2(k)$, which is equivalent to $b = a-1 - \lceil \frac{k-1}{a-1} \rceil > 0$.

The uniqueness of the extremal graphs for the cases (i) and (ii) comes from Theorem 2.1 directly.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

5 Discussions and remarks

Combining Theorem 1.1 and the result (d) given by Liu [14], the Turán problem for T^{p+1} with $p \geq 3$ has been resolved except for the case $\delta(A) = 1$ and $\alpha(T) \neq B$. So there are two natural problems to be considered further.

Problem 5.1. (P1) Given $p \geq 3$ and a tree T such that its two color classes A and B satisfying $|A| \leq |B|$, determine $ex(n, T^{p+1})$ when $\delta(A) = 1$ and $\alpha(T) \neq B$.

(P2) Determine $ex(n, T^{p+1})$ when $p = 2$.

Remarks: (1) For (P1), let $\mathcal{C}_T = \{C : C \subseteq T \text{ and } V(C) \text{ is a vertex cover of } T\}$ and K be a \mathcal{C}_T -free graph on $a-1$ vertices. We guess that the graph with the structure $K \vee T_{n-a+1, p}$ is an extremal graph for T^{p+1} . The difficult thing is that we have no idea about the structure of K and its number of edges.

(2) For (P2), we need new techniques to avoid Lemma 2.4 (given by Liu [14], which requires $p \geq 3$), and the performance for $p = 2$ maybe different from $p \geq 3$.

References

- [1] H. L. Abbott, D. Hanson, H. Sauer, Intersection theorems for systems of sets, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 12 (1972), 381-389.
- [2] J. Akiyama, M. Kano, *Factors and factorizations of graphs: Proof techniques in factor theory*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, (2011).
- [3] N. Balachandran, N. Khare, Graphs with restricted valency and matching number, *Discrete Math.* 309(12)(2009), 4176-4180.
- [4] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, *Graph Theory with Applications*, The Macmillan Press Ltd., London and Basingstoke (1976).
- [5] G. Chen, R. J. Gould, F. Pfender, and B. Wei, Extremal graphs for intersecting cliques, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 89 (2003) 159-171.
- [6] V. Chvátal, D. Hanson, Degrees and matchings, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 20(2) (1976), 128-138.

- [7] P. Erdős, Z. Füredi, R. J. Gould, D. S. Gunderson, Extremal graphs for intersecting triangles, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **64(1)** (1995) 89–100.
- [8] P. Erdős and M. Simonovits. A limit theorem in graph theory. *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, 1 (1966): 51-57.
- [9] P. Erdős and A. Stone. On the structure of linear graphs. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 52 (1946): 1087-1091.
- [10] R. Glebov. Extremal graphs for clique-paths. arXiv:1111.7029v1, 2011.
- [11] X. Hou, Y. Qiu and B. Liu, Extremal graph for intersecting odd cycles, *Electron. J. Combin.*, 23(2) (2016), P2.29.
- [12] X. Hou, Y. Qiu, B. Liu, Turán number and decomposition number of intersecting odd cycles. *Discrete Math.* 341 (2018), 126-137.
- [13] X. Hou, L. Yu, J. Gao, B. Liu, The size of 3-uniform hypergraphs with given matching number and codegree, *Discrete Math.* 342(2019), 760–767.
- [14] H. Liu, Extremal graphs for blow-ups of cycles and trees, *Electron. J. Combin.*, 20(1) (2013), P65.
- [15] N. Khare, On the size of 3-uniform linear hypergraphs, *Discrete Math.* 334(2014), 26–37.
- [16] W. Mantel, Problem 28, *Wiskundige Opgaoen*, 10 (1907), 60-61.
- [17] M. Simonovits, Extremal graph problems with symmetrical extremal graphs, additional chromatic conditions, *Discrete Math.*, 7 (1974), 349-376.
- [18] M. Simonovits. How to solve a Turán type extremal graph problem? DIMACS Series in Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science, 49, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [19] P. Turán, On an extremal problem in graph theory (in Hungarian), *Mat. Fiz. Lapok*, 48(1941), 436-452.
- [20] H. Zhu, L. Kang, E. Shan, Extremal graphs for odd-ballooning of paths and cycles, *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 36 (2020): 755-766.