

SEPARATING INVARIANTS OF THREE NILPOTENT 3×3 MATRICES

FELIPE BARBOSA CAVALCANTE AND ARTEM LOPATIN

ABSTRACT. The algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_n^d)^{GL_n}$ of GL_n -invariants of d -tuple of $n \times n$ nilpotent matrices with respect to the action by simultaneous conjugation is generated by the traces of products of nilpotent generic matrices in the case of an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. We describe a minimal separating set for this algebra in case $n = d = 3$.

Keywords: invariant theory, matrix invariants, general linear group, separating invariants, generators, nilpotent matrices.

2010 MSC: 16R30; 15B10; 13A50.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Algebras of invariants.** All vector spaces, algebras, and modules are over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{F} of characteristic zero unless otherwise stated. By an algebra we always mean an associative algebra with unity.

Given $n > 1$ and $d \geq 1$, the direct sum M_n^d of d copies of the space of $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{F} is a GL_n -module with respect to the diagonal action by conjugation: $g \cdot \underline{A} = (gA_1g^{-1}, \dots, gA_dg^{-1})$ for $g \in GL_n$ and $\underline{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_d)$ from M_n^d . Given a matrix A , denote by A_{ij} the (i, j) th entry of A . Any element of the coordinate ring

$$\mathcal{O}(M_n^d) = \mathbb{F}[x_{ij}(k) \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq d]$$

of M_n^d can be considered as the polynomial function $x_{ij}(k) : M_n^d \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$, which sends (A_1, \dots, A_d) to $(A_k)_{ij}$. Sibirskii [21] and Procesi [19] established that the algebra of invariants

$$\mathcal{O}(M_n^d)^{GL_n} = \{f \in \mathcal{O}(M_n^d) \mid f(g \cdot \underline{A}) = f(\underline{A}) \text{ for all } g \in GL_n, \underline{A} \in M_n^d\}$$

is generated by $\text{tr}(X_{i_1} \cdots X_{i_r})$, where X_k stands for the $n \times n$ generic matrix $(x_{ij}(k))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$. Note that over an infinite field of positive characteristic a generating set for $\mathcal{O}(M_n^d)^{GL_n}$ was described by Donkin [12].

Denote by \mathcal{N}_n the affine variety of all $n \times n$ nilpotent matrices, i.e., $A \in M_n$ belongs to \mathcal{N}_n if and only if $\text{tr}(A) = \text{tr}(A^2) = \cdots = \text{tr}(A^n) = 0$, or equivalently, $A^n = 0$. The variety \mathcal{N}_n^d is known to be irreducible. The coordinate ring $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_n^d)$ of $\mathcal{N}_n^d \subset M_n^d$ is generated by $y_{ij}(k)$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and $1 \leq k \leq d$, where $y_{ij}(k) : \mathcal{N}_n^d \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ sends (A_1, \dots, A_d) to $(A_k)_{ij}$. Denote by $J = J_{n,d}$ the ideal of all $f \in \mathcal{O}(M_n^d)$ that are zero over \mathcal{N}_n^d , i.e., $J = I(\mathcal{N}_n^d)$. Then $\text{tr}(X_k^s) \in J$ for all $1 \leq k, s \leq n$. We have

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_n^d) = \mathcal{O}(M_n^d)/J$$

The first author was partially supported by CAPES and the second author was supported by CNPq 307712/2014-1 and FAPESP 2018/23690-6.

and $y_{ij}(k) = x_{ij}(k) + J$. The algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_n^d)^{GL_n}$ of *invariants of nilpotent matrices* is defined in the same way as $\mathcal{O}(M_n^d)^{GL_n}$. Since

$$0 \longrightarrow J \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(M_n^d) \xrightarrow{\Psi} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_n^d) \longrightarrow 0$$

is a short exact sequence, then

$$0 \longrightarrow J^{GL_n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(M_n^d)^{GL_n} \xrightarrow{\hat{\Psi}} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_n^d)^{GL_n} \longrightarrow 0$$

is also a short exact sequence, since GL_n is a linearly reductive group. Therefore, the algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_n^d)^{GL_n}$ is generated by $\text{tr}(Y_{i_1} \cdots Y_{i_r})$, where $Y_k = (y_{ij}(k))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is the *generic nilpotent* $n \times n$ matrix. Obviously, $\text{tr}(Y_k^s) = 0$ for any $s > 0$ and $Y_k^n = 0$. Let us remark that in case $d = 1$ we have $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_n^1)^{GL_n} = \mathbb{F}$.

1.2. Separating invariants. In 2002 Derksen and Kemper [1] (see [2] for the second edition) introduced the notion of separating invariants as a weaker concept than generating invariants. Assume that W is M_n^d or \mathcal{N}_n^d . Given a subset S of $\mathcal{O}(W)^{GL_n}$, we say that elements u, v of W are *separated by* S if exists an invariant $f \in S$ with $f(u) \neq f(v)$. If $u, v \in W$ are separated by $\mathcal{O}(W)^{GL_n}$, then we simply say that they *are separated*. A subset $S \subset \mathcal{O}(W)^{GL_n}$ of the invariant ring is called *separating* if for any v, w from W that are separated we have that they are separated by S . We say that a separating set is minimal if it is minimal w.r.t. inclusion. Obviously, any generating set is also separating. Thus the cases when some separating set does not generate the algebra of invariants are of special interest.

For a monomial $c \in \mathcal{O}(M_n^d)$ denote by $\deg c$ its *degree* and by $\text{mdeg } c$ its *multidegree*, i.e., $\text{mdeg } c = (t_1, \dots, t_d)$, where t_k is the total degree of the monomial c in $x_{ij}(k)$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, and $\deg c = t_1 + \cdots + t_d$. Then the algebras $\mathcal{O}(M_n^d)^{GL_n}$ and $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_n^d)^{GL_n}$ have \mathbb{N} -grading by degrees and \mathbb{N}^d -grading by multidegrees, where \mathbb{N} stands for the set of non-negative integers. We say that a multidegree (t_1, \dots, t_d) is less than a multidegree (k_1, \dots, k_d) if $t_i \leq k_i$ for all i and $t_j < k_j$ for some j .

Given an algebra of invariants $\mathcal{O}(W)^{GL_n}$, denote by D_{gen} (D_{sep} , respectively) the minimal integer D such that the set of all homogeneous invariants of $\mathcal{O}(W)^{GL_n}$ of degree less or equal to D is a generating set (separating set, respectively). Note that D_{gen} is the maximal degree of elements of any minimal (w.r.t. inclusion) generating set. Since $\hat{\Psi}$ is surjective, we obtain that

$$D_{\text{gen}}(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_n^d)^{GL_n}) \leq D_{\text{gen}}(\mathcal{O}(M_n^d)^{GL_n}) \quad \text{and} \quad D_{\text{sep}}(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_n^d)^{GL_n}) \leq D_{\text{sep}}(\mathcal{O}(M_n^d)^{GL_n}).$$

In case of an infinite field of arbitrary characteristic the following minimal separating set for $\mathcal{O}(M_2^d)^{GL_2}$ was given by Kaygorodov, Lopatin, Popov [14]:

$$(1) \quad \text{tr}(X_i^2), 1 \leq i \leq d; \text{tr}(X_{i_1} \cdots X_{i_k}), k \in \{1, 2, 3\}, 1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq d.$$

Note that set (1) generates the algebra $\mathcal{O}(M_2^d)^{GL_2}$ if and only if the characteristic of \mathbb{F} is different from two or $d \leq 3$ (see [20, 5]). A minimal generating set for $\mathcal{O}(M_3^d)^{GL_3}$ was given by Lopatin in [15, 16, 17] in the case of an arbitrary infinite field. Over a field of characteristic zero a minimal generating set for $\mathcal{O}(M_n^2)^{GL_n}$ was established by Drensky and Sadikova [13] in case $n = 4$ (see also [22]) and by Đoković [3] in case $n = 5$ (see also [4]).

For the algebra $\mathcal{O}(M_n^d)^{GL_n}$ Derksen and Makam [7] established that $D_{\text{gen}} \leq (d+1)n^4$ and $D_{\text{sep}} \leq n^6$ in case of an arbitrary algebraically closed field. The second bound was improved in [8], where it was proven that $D_{\text{sep}} \leq 4n^2 \log_2(n) + 12n^2 - 4n$. Moreover, over an algebraically closed field of zero characteristic it was shown in [8] that $D_{\text{sep}} \leq 4n \log_2(n) + 12n - 4$.

We can consider M_n^d as an $SL_n \times SL_n$ -module with respect to the diagonal left-right action: $(g_1, g_2) \cdot \underline{A} = (g_1 A_1 g_2^{-1}, \dots, g_1 A_d g_2^{-1})$ for all $g_1, g_2 \in SL_n$ and $\underline{A} \in M_n^d$. A minimal separating set for the algebra of matrix semi-invariants $\mathcal{O}(M_2^d)^{SL_2 \times SL_2}$ was explicitly described by Domokos [10] over an arbitrary algebraically closed field. Note that a minimal generating set for $\mathcal{O}(M_2^d)^{SL_2 \times SL_2}$ was given by Lopatin [18] over an arbitrary infinite field (see also [11]). Upper bounds on D_{gen} and D_{sep} were given by Derksen and Makam in [6, 8, 9]. Note that there is a surjective homomorphism $\sigma^* : \mathcal{O}(M_n^d)^{SL_n \times SL_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(M_n^{d-1})^{GL_n}$ of algebras sending separating sets to separating sets (see Corollary 6.3 of [10]).

1.3. Results. The main result of our paper is the description of a minimal generating set and a minimal separating set for the algebra of invariants $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_3^d)^{GL_3}$ (see Theorem 3.2). We also show that $D_{\text{sep}}(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_3^d)^{GL_3}) = 6$ for all $d \geq 2$ (see Corollary 2.3).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 the cases of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_2^d)^{GL_2}$ for $d > 0$ and $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_3^2)^{GL_3}$ are considered. The proof of Theorem 3.2 is divided into several lemmas. The statement of Theorem 3.2 about generators is proven in Section 3. To prove the statement of Theorem 3.2 about separating set we describe certain elements of GL_3 -orbits on \mathcal{N}_3^2 in Section 4 (although we do not obtain classification of these orbits) and then complete the proof of key Lemma 3.6 in Sections 5–8.

1.4. Notations. Denote by E the identity matrix and by E_{ij} the matrix such that the $(i, j)^{\text{th}}$ entry is equal to one and the rest of entries are zeros. We use the following notations for 3×3 nilpotent matrices: $J_1 = E_{12}$ and $J_2 = E_{12} + E_{23}$.

Assume that \mathcal{A} is $\mathcal{O}(M_n^d)^{GL_n}$ or $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_n^d)^{GL_n}$. We say that an \mathbb{N} -homogeneous invariant $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is *decomposable* and write $f \equiv 0$ if f is a polynomial in \mathbb{N} -homogeneous invariants of \mathcal{A} of strictly lower degree. If f is not decomposable, then we say that f is *indecomposable* and write $f \not\equiv 0$. In case $f - h \equiv 0$ we write $f \equiv h$. Obviously, if $f \equiv 0$ for $f \in \mathcal{O}(M_n^d)^{GL_n}$, then $\Psi(f) \equiv 0$ in $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_n^d)^{GL_n}$.

2. CASES OF 2×2 MATRICES AND TWO 3×3 MATRICES

Proposition 2.1. *Assume that $n = 2$. Then the set*

$$S_{2,d} = \{\text{tr}(Y_i Y_j), 1 \leq i < j \leq d; \text{tr}(Y_i Y_j Y_k), 1 \leq i < j < k \leq d\}$$

is a minimal generating set as well as a minimal separating set for the algebra of invariants $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_2^d)^{GL_2}$.

Proof. Applying the surjective homomorphism $\hat{\Psi}$ to the known minimal generating set for $\mathcal{O}(M_2^d)^{GL_2}$ (see Section 1.2) we obtain that $S_{2,d}$ generates the algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_2^d)^{GL_2}$. Then $S_{2,d}$ is also a separating set. Claims 1 and 2 (see below) show that $S_{2,d}$ is a *minimal* separating set for all $d > 0$, and therefore it is a minimal generating set.

Claim 1. Let $d = 2$. Then $S_{2,2} \setminus \{\text{tr}(Y_1 Y_2)\}$ is not separating for $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_2^2)^{GL_2}$.

To prove this claim consider $\underline{A} = (E_{12}, E_{12})$ and $\underline{B} = (E_{12}, E_{21})$ from \mathcal{N}_2^2 . Then $\text{tr}(A_1 A_2) \neq \text{tr}(B_1 B_2)$.

Claim 2. Let $d = 3$. Then $S_{2,3} \setminus \{\text{tr}(Y_1 Y_2 Y_3)\}$ is not separating for $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_2^3)^{GL_2}$.

To prove this claim we consider $\underline{A} = (E_{12}, -E_{21}, C)$ and $\underline{B} = (E_{12}, C, -E_{21})$ from \mathcal{N}_2^3 , where $C = E_{11} + E_{12} - E_{21} - E_{22}$. Then $\text{tr}(A_i A_j) = \text{tr}(B_i B_j)$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$, but $\text{tr}(A_1 A_2 A_3) \neq \text{tr}(B_1 B_2 B_3)$. \square

Proposition 2.2. *Assume that $n = 3$ and $d = 2$. Then the set*

$$S_{3,2} = \{\text{tr}(Y_1 Y_2), \text{tr}(Y_1^2 Y_2), \text{tr}(Y_1 Y_2^2), \text{tr}(Y_1^2 Y_2^2), \text{tr}(Y_1^2 Y_2^2 Y_1 Y_2)\}$$

is a minimal generating set as well as a minimal separating set for the algebra of invariants $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_3^2)^{GL_3}$.

Proof. Applying the surjective homomorphism $\hat{\Psi}$ to the known minimal generating set for $\mathcal{O}(M_3^2)^{GL_3}$ (see Theorem 1 of [15]) we obtain that $S_{3,2}$ generates the algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_3^2)^{GL_3}$. Then $S_{3,2}$ is also a separating set. To complete the proof it is enough to show that $S_{3,2}$ is a *minimal* separating set, i.e., for any $f \in S_{3,2}$ it is enough to show that $S_{3,2} \setminus \{f\}$ is not separating. We will construct $\underline{A} = (A_1, A_2)$ and $\underline{B} = (B_1, B_2)$ from \mathcal{N}_3^2 such that for any $h \in S_{3,2} \setminus \{f\}$ we have $h(\underline{A}) = h(\underline{B})$, but $f(\underline{A}) \neq f(\underline{B})$.

For $f = \text{tr}(Y_1 Y_2)$, we consider $A_1 = B_1 = J_2$, $A_2 = E_{32}$, and $B_2 = E_{12}$.

For $f = \text{tr}(Y_1^2 Y_2)$, we consider $A_1 = B_1 = J_2$,

$$A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $f = \text{tr}(Y_1^2 Y_2^2)$, we consider $A_1 = B_1 = J_2$,

$$A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $f = \text{tr}(Y_1^2 Y_2^2 Y_1 Y_2)$, we consider $A_1 = B_1 = J_2$,

$$A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

\square

Corollary 2.3. *Assume that $d \geq 2$. Then*

- (a) *for the algebra $\mathcal{O}(M_3^d)^{GL_3}$ we have $D_{\text{sep}} = 6$, where the field \mathbb{F} is arbitrary of characteristic different from 2 and 3;*
- (b) *for the algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_3^d)^{GL_3}$ we have $D_{\text{gen}} = D_{\text{sep}} = 6$.*

Proof. Since it is well-known that $\mathcal{O}(M_3^d)^{GL_3}$ is generated by homogeneous invariants of degree less or equal to 6 over an arbitrary field of characteristic different from 2 and 3 (for example, see [16]), we have $D_{\text{sep}}(\mathcal{O}(M_3^d)^{GL_3}) \leq 6$ and $D_{\text{sep}}(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_3^d)^{GL_3}) \leq D_{\text{gen}}(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_3^d)^{GL_3}) \leq 6$. Proposition 2.2 shows that any homogeneous invariant of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_3^d)^{GL_3}$ of degree less than 6 belongs to the subalgebra generated by $S_{3,2} \setminus \{\text{tr}(Y_1^2 Y_2^2 Y_1 Y_2)\}$. Thus, $D_{\text{sep}}(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_3^d)^{GL_3}) > 5$ and the proof of part (b) is completed.

Assume that \mathbb{F} is an arbitrary field of characteristic different from 2 and 3. Then the set

$$S'_{3,2} = S_{3,2}|_{Y_1 \rightarrow X_1, Y_2 \rightarrow X_2} \bigcup \{\text{tr}(X_i^k) \mid i = 1, 2, k = 1, 2, 3\}$$

is known to be a minimal generating set for $\mathcal{O}(M_3^d)^{GL_3}$ (for example, see [16]) and repeating the proof of Proposition 2.2 we can see that $S'_{3,2}$ is a minimal separating set for $\mathcal{O}(M_3^d)^{GL_3}$. The proof of part (a) is concluded similarly to part (b). \square

3. THE CASE OF THREE 3×3 NILPOTENT MATRICES

Denote by $S_{3,3}$ the following subset of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_3^3)^{GL_3}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{tr}(Y_i Y_j), \text{tr}(Y_i^2 Y_j), \text{tr}(Y_i Y_j^2), \text{tr}(Y_i^2 Y_j^2), \text{tr}(Y_i^2 Y_j^2 Y_i Y_j) \text{ with } 1 \leq i < j \leq 3, \\ & \text{tr}(Y_1 Y_2 Y_3), \text{tr}(Y_1 Y_3 Y_2) \\ & \text{tr}(Y_i^2 Y_j Y_k) \text{ with } \{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}, \\ & \text{tr}(Y_1^2 Y_2 Y_1 Y_3), \text{tr}(Y_2^2 Y_1 Y_2 Y_3), \text{tr}(Y_3^2 Y_1 Y_3 Y_2). \end{aligned}$$

We set $P_{3,3} = S_{3,3} \sqcup P'_{3,3}$, where $P'_{3,3} \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_3^3)^{GL_3}$ is the following set:

$$\text{tr}(Y_i^2 Y_j^2 Y_k), \text{tr}(Y_i^2 Y_j^2 Y_i Y_k) \text{ with } \{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}, \text{tr}(Y_1^2 Y_2^2 Y_3^2).$$

Note that $|S_{3,3}| = 26$ and $|P_{3,3}| = 39$.

Remark 3.1. Applying the surjective homomorphism $\hat{\Psi}$ to the minimal generating set of $\mathcal{O}(M_3^3)^{GL_3}$ from Theorem 1 of [15] we obtain that $P_{3,3}$ generates the algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_3^3)^{GL_3}$.

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that $n = d = 3$ and the field \mathbb{F} is algebraically closed of characteristic zero. Then*

- (a) $P_{3,3}$ is a minimal generating set for the algebra of invariants $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_3^3)^{GL_3}$.
- (b) $S_{3,3}$ is a minimal separating set for the algebra of invariants $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_3^3)^{GL_3}$;

Proof. We split the proof into several lemmas. Remark 3.1 and Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5 (see below) imply that $P_{3,3} = S_{3,3} \sqcup P'_{3,3}$ satisfies conditions (a) and (b) of Remark 3.3 (see below), which implies that $P_{3,3}$ is a *minimal* generating set for $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_3^3)^{GL_3}$.

To complete the proof it is enough to show that $S_{3,3}$ is a separating set for $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_3^3)^{GL_3}$, since the minimality follows from Lemma 3.4. Thus the fact that $P_{3,3}$ is separating together with Lemma 3.6 (see below) completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.3. Let \mathcal{A} be $\mathcal{O}(M_n^d)^{GL_n}$ or $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_n^d)^{GL_n}$. Assume that a homogeneous set $P = P_1 \cup P_2$ generates the algebra \mathcal{A} and $P_1, P_2 \subset \mathcal{A}$ satisfy the following conditions:

- (a) $P \setminus \{f\}$ is not a separating set for \mathcal{A} for any $f \in P_1$;

- (b) any non-trivial linear combination of elements $f_1, \dots, f_m \in P_2$ of the same multi-degree is not decomposable in \mathcal{A} .

Then P is a minimal generating set for \mathcal{A} .

Proof. If P is not a minimal generating set for \mathcal{A} , then there exists an $f \in P$ such that $P \setminus \{f\}$ is also a generating set for \mathcal{A} . But condition (a) implies that f does not belong to P_1 and condition (b) implies that f does not belong to P_2 ; a contradiction. \square

Lemma 3.4. *For any $f \in S_{3,3}$ the set $P_{3,3} \setminus \{f\}$ is not separating for $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_3^3)^{GL_3}$. In particular, any proper subset of $S_{3,3}$ is not a separating set for $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_3^3)^{GL_3}$.*

Proof. If f depends on entries of only two matrices from the list Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 , then the claim of the lemma follows from the fact that $S_{3,2}$ is a minimal separating set for $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_3^2)^{GL_3}$ (see Proposition 2.2).

We will construct $\underline{A} = (A_1, A_2, A_3)$ and $\underline{B} = (B_1, B_2, B_3)$ from \mathcal{N}_3^3 such that for any $h \in P_{3,3} \setminus \{f\}$ we have $h(\underline{A}) = h(\underline{B})$, but $f(\underline{A}) \neq f(\underline{B})$.

For $f = \text{tr}(Y_1 Y_2 Y_3)$ we consider $\underline{A} = (E_{31}, E_{12} + E_{32}, E_{23})$ and $\underline{B} = (0, E_{12}, E_{21})$.

For $f = \text{tr}(Y_1^2 Y_2 Y_3)$ we consider $\underline{A} = (E_{21} + E_{32}, E_{12}, E_{23})$ and $\underline{B} = (E_{13} + E_{21}, E_{12}, J_2)$.

For $f = \text{tr}(Y_1^2 Y_2 Y_1 Y_3)$ we consider $\underline{A} = (E_{21} + E_{32}, E_{13}, E_{23})$ and $\underline{B} = (E_{23} + E_{31}, E_{12}, E_{13})$. Obviously, the considered cases of $f \in S_{3,3}$ imply the rest of the cases. \square

Lemma 3.5. *The following elements are indecomposable in $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_3^3)^{GL_3}$:*

- (a) $\alpha_1 \text{tr}(Y_1^2 Y_2^2 Y_3) + \alpha_2 \text{tr}(Y_2^2 Y_1^2 Y_3)$ for any $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{F}$, where α_1 or α_2 is non-zero;
- (b) $\text{tr}(Y_1^2 Y_2^2 Y_1 Y_3)$;
- (c) $\text{tr}(Y_1^2 Y_2^2 Y_3^2)$.

Proof. For short, denote

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(a) Assume that the claim of part (a) does not hold. Since the algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_3^3)^{GL_3}$ has \mathbb{N}^d -grading by multidegrees and is known to be generated by $P_{3,3}$ (see Remark 3.1), without loss of generality we obtain that there exist $\alpha_1 \neq 0, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_4$ from \mathbb{F} such that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 \text{tr}(A_1^2 A_2^2 A_3) + \alpha_2 \text{tr}(A_2^2 A_1^2 A_3) &= \beta_1 \text{tr}(A_1 A_2 A_3) \text{tr}(A_1 A_2) + \beta_2 \text{tr}(A_1 A_3 A_2) \text{tr}(A_1 A_2) \\ &\quad + \beta_3 \text{tr}(A_1^2 A_2) \text{tr}(A_2 A_3) + \beta_4 \text{tr}(A_2^2 A_1) \text{tr}(A_1 A_3) \end{aligned}$$

for all A_1, A_2, A_3 from \mathcal{N}_3 . Consequently considering triples $(J_2, E_{23} + E_{31}, E_{13})$ and (J_2, C, E_{21}) we obtain $\beta_3 = 0$ and $\alpha_1 = 0$, respectively; a contradiction.

(b) Assume that the claim of part (b) does not hold. As in part (a), we can see that there are $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(A_1^2 A_2^2 A_1 A_3) &= \alpha_1 \text{tr}(A_1^2 A_2^2) \text{tr}(A_1 A_3) + \alpha_2 \text{tr}(A_1^2 A_2 A_3) \text{tr}(A_1 A_2) + \alpha_3 \text{tr}(A_1^2 A_3 A_2) \text{tr}(A_1 A_2) \\ &\quad + \beta_1 \text{tr}(A_1^2 A_2) \text{tr}(A_1 A_2 A_3) + \beta_2 \text{tr}(A_1^2 A_2) \text{tr}(A_1 A_3 A_2) + \beta_3 \text{tr}(A_2^2 A_1) \text{tr}(A_1^2 A_3) \\ &\quad + \gamma \text{tr}(A_1 A_2)^2 \text{tr}(A_1 A_3) \end{aligned}$$

for all triples $(A_1, A_2, A_3) \in \mathcal{N}_3^3$. Consequently considering triples $(J_2, E_{31}+E_{32}, E_{21}-E_{32})$, $(J_2, E_{31}+E_{32}, E_{32})$, (J_2, C, E_{32}) , $(J_2, C, E_{31}+E_{32})$, $(J_2, C, E_{21}-E_{32})$ we obtain that $\alpha_2 = 0$, $\gamma = 0$, $\beta_2 = \alpha_1 + \beta_1$, $\alpha_1 = 1$, $\beta_1 = 0$, respectively. Then we take

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and $A_3 = E_{12}$ to obtain $0 = -1$; a contradiction.

(c) Assume that the claim of part (c) does not hold. As in part (a), we can see that there are $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_6, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_6, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(A_1^2 A_2^2 A_3^2) &= \alpha_1 \text{tr}(A_1^2 A_2 A_3) \text{tr}(A_2 A_3) + \alpha_2 \text{tr}(A_1^2 A_3 A_2) \text{tr}(A_2 A_3) + \alpha_3 \text{tr}(A_2^2 A_1 A_3) \text{tr}(A_1 A_3) \\ &\quad + \alpha_4 \text{tr}(A_2^2 A_3 A_1) \text{tr}(A_1 A_3) + \alpha_5 \text{tr}(A_3^2 A_1 A_2) \text{tr}(A_1 A_2) + \alpha_6 \text{tr}(A_3^2 A_2 A_1) \text{tr}(A_1 A_2) \\ &\quad + \beta_1 \text{tr}(A_1 A_2 A_3)^2 + \beta_2 \text{tr}(A_1 A_2 A_3) \text{tr}(A_1 A_3 A_2) + \beta_3 \text{tr}(A_1 A_3 A_2)^2 \\ &\quad + \beta_4 \text{tr}(A_1^2 A_2) \text{tr}(A_3^2 A_2) + \beta_5 \text{tr}(A_1^2 A_3) \text{tr}(A_2^2 A_3) + \beta_6 \text{tr}(A_2^2 A_1) \text{tr}(A_3^2 A_1) \\ &\quad + \gamma \text{tr}(A_1 A_2) \text{tr}(A_1 A_3) \text{tr}(A_2 A_3) \end{aligned}$$

for all triples $(A_1, A_2, A_3) \in \mathcal{N}_3^3$. Consequently considering triples $(J_2, E_{23} + E_{31}, E_{31})$, $(J_2, E_{31}, E_{23} + E_{31})$, and $(J_2, D, E_{31} + E_{32})$ we obtain that $\beta_1 = 0$, $\beta_3 = 0$, and $\beta_2 = -\gamma$, respectively. Considering all permutations of entries in the triple $(J_2, D + E_{31}, E_{32})$ we can see that $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_6 = -\gamma$. Similarly, taking all permutations of entries in the triple $(J_2, J_2, E_{23} + E_{31})$ we obtain that $\beta_4 = \beta_5 = \beta_6 = \gamma$. Considering triple $(J_2, C, E_{21} + E_{32})$ we can see that $\gamma = 0$. Finally, taking $A_2 = J_2$, $A_3 = E_{21} + E_{32}$, and

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

we obtain $0 = -1$; a contradiction. \square

Lemma 3.6. Consider $\underline{A} = (A_1, A_2, A_3)$ and $\underline{B} = (B_1, B_2, B_3)$ from \mathcal{N}_3^3 that are not separated by $S_{3,3}$. Then $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3}$.

Proof. By the definition of $P'_{3,3}$ we can assume that

$$(2) \quad A_i \neq 0 \text{ or } B_i \neq 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq 3.$$

Since \mathbb{F} is algebraically closed, then applying the action of GL_3 on the pair $(\underline{A}, \underline{B})$ we can assume that we have one of the following cases:

- (a) $A_1 = J_2$ and $B_1 = J_2$;
- (b) $A_1 = J_2$ and $B_1 = J_1$;
- (c) $A_1 = J_1$ and $B_1 = J_1$;
- (d) $A_1 = J_1$ and $B_1 = 0$;
- (e) $A_1 = J_2$ and $B_1 = 0$.

Here we also applied renaming of \underline{A} and \underline{B} to diminish the number of cases. Lemmas 5.1, 6.1, 7.1, 8.1, 8.2 (see below) conclude the proof. \square

4. CANONICAL FORMS

Lemma 4.1. *Assume $A_1 = J_1$ and $A_2 \in \mathcal{N}_3$. Then there exists $g \in GL_3$ such that $gA_1g^{-1} = A_1$ and gA_2g^{-1} is one of the following matrices:*

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad V_I &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_2 & a_3 \\ 0 & 0 & a_6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \\ (2) \quad V_{II} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a_3 \\ 0 & a_5 & -a_5^2 \\ 0 & 1 & -a_5 \end{pmatrix}; \\ (3) \quad V_{III} &= \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & -a_1^2 \\ 0 & -a_1 & a_6 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \\ (4) \quad V_{IV} &= \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ a_4 & 0 & 0 \\ a_7 & a_8 & -a_1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } a_4 \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (1) Assume that A_2 is an upper triangular matrix. The condition $A_2 \in \mathcal{N}_3$ concludes the proof of part (1).

In the rest of the proof we consider the following $g \in GL_3$ with $gA_1g^{-1} = A_1$, where $g_1, g_9 \neq 0$:

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & g_2 & g_3 \\ 0 & g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g_9 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ a_4 & a_5 & a_6 \\ a_7 & a_8 & a_9 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(2) Assume that $a_4 = a_7 = 0$ and $a_8 \neq 0$. Taking $g_1 = 1$, $g_3 = \frac{1}{a_8}((a_1 - a_5)g_2 - a_2)$ and $g_9 = \frac{1}{a_8}$ we obtain

$$gA_2g^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 & * \\ 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 1 & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the claim of part (2) follows from the condition $A_2 \in \mathcal{N}_3$.

(3) Assume that $a_4 = 0$ and $a_7 \neq 0$. Taking $g_2 = \frac{g_1 a_8}{a_7}$, $g_3 = \frac{g_1 a_9}{a_7}$ and $g_9 = \frac{g_1}{a_7}$ we obtain

$$gA_2g^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * \\ 0 & * & * \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The condition $A_2 \in \mathcal{N}_3$ concludes the proof of part (3).

(4) Assume that $a_4 \neq 0$. Taking $g_2 = \frac{g_1 a_5}{a_4}$ and $g_3 = \frac{a_6 g_1}{a_4}$ we obtain

$$gA_2g^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * \\ a_4 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

The condition $A_2 \in \mathcal{N}_3$ concludes the proof of part (4). □

Lemma 4.2. *Assume $A_1 = J_2$ and $A_2 \in \mathcal{N}_3$. Then there exists $g \in GL_3$ such that $gA_1g^{-1} = A_1$ and gA_2g^{-1} is one of the following matrices:*

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) \quad W_I &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_2 & a_3 \\ 0 & 0 & a_6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \\
(2) \quad W_{II} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_8 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } a_8 \neq 0; \\
(3) \quad W_{III} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a_3 \\ a_4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } a_4 \neq 0; \\
(4) \quad W_{IV} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_4 & a_5 & a_6 \\ 0 & a_8 & -a_5 \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } a_4, a_8 \neq 0; \\
(5) \quad W_V &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_2 & a_3 \\ 0 & a_5 & a_6 \\ a_7 & a_8 & -a_5 \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } a_7 \neq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. (1) Assume that A_2 is an upper triangular matrix. Since $A_2 \in \mathcal{N}_3$, the diagonal elements are zeros. Then taking $g = E$ we conclude the proof of part (1).

In the rest of the proof we consider the following $g \in GL_3$ with $gA_1g^{-1} = A_1$, where $g_1 \neq 0$:

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & g_2 & g_3 \\ 0 & g_1 & g_2 \\ 0 & 0 & g_1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ a_4 & a_5 & a_6 \\ a_7 & a_8 & a_9 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(2) Assume that $a_4 = a_7 = 0$ and $a_8 \neq 0$. Taking $g_2 = \frac{-g_1 a_5}{a_8}$ and $g_3 = -(a_2 + \frac{a_1 a_5 - a_5^2}{a_8}) \frac{g_1}{a_8}$ we obtain

$$gA_2g^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & a_8 & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

The condition $A_2 \in \mathcal{N}_3$ concludes the proof of part (2).

(3) Assume that $a_7 = a_8 = 0$ and $a_4 \neq 0$. Taking $g_2 = \frac{-g_1 a_1}{a_4}$ and $g_3 = \frac{g_1}{a_4} (a_1^2 + a_4 a_6 + a_1 (a_5 - a_9))$ we obtain

$$gA_2g^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & * \\ a_4 & * & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

The condition $A_2 \in \mathcal{N}_3$ concludes the proof of part (3).

(4) Assume that $a_7 = 0$ and $a_4, a_8 \neq 0$. Taking $g_2 = \frac{-g_1 a_1}{a_4}$ and $g_3 = (\frac{a_1 a_5}{a_4} - a_2) \frac{g_1}{a_8}$ we obtain

$$gA_2g^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & * \\ a_4 & * & * \\ 0 & a_8 & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

The condition $A_2 \in \mathcal{N}_3$ concludes the proof of part (4).

(5) Assume that $a_7 \neq 0$. Taking $g_2 = \frac{-g_1 a_4}{a_7}$ and $g_3 = (\frac{a_4^2}{a_7} - a_1) \frac{g_1}{a_7}$ we obtain

$$gA_2g^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & * \\ 0 & * & * \\ a_7 & * & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

The condition $A_2 \in \mathcal{N}_3$ concludes the proof of part (5). \square

5. CASE (A): $A_1 = J_2$ AND $B_1 = J_2$.

In Lemma 5.1, 6.1, 7.1, 8.1, 8.2 (see below) we will consider $\underline{A} = (A_1, A_2, A_3)$ and $\underline{B} = (B_1, B_2, B_3)$ from \mathcal{N}_3^3 with the certain matrices A_1 and B_1 . Denote the entries of matrices A_i, B_i , where $i = 2, 3$:

$$A_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_{i1} & a_{i2} & a_{i3} \\ a_{i4} & a_{i5} & a_{i6} \\ a_{i7} & a_{i8} & a_{i9} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B_i = \begin{pmatrix} b_{i1} & b_{i2} & b_{i3} \\ b_{i4} & b_{i5} & b_{i6} \\ b_{i7} & b_{i8} & b_{i9} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For short, denote by T_{i_1, \dots, i_k} the equality $f(\underline{A}) = f(\underline{B})$ for $f = \text{tr}(Y_{i_1} \cdots Y_{i_k})$. We say that we can express the variable $c \in \{a_{ij}, b_{ij} \mid i = 2, 3, 1 \leq j \leq 9\}$ from T_{i_1, \dots, i_k} if T_{i_1, \dots, i_k} can be rewritten as $cf = h$, where polynomials f, h in commutative variables $\{a_{ij}, b_{ij}\}$ do not contain c and $f \neq 0$.

We say that a matrix $A \in \mathcal{N}_3$ has type $V_I, \dots, V_{IV}, W_I, \dots, W_V$, respectively, if A is equal to the corresponding matrix from Lemma 4.1 or Lemma 4.2. In the proofs of Lemmas 5.1, 6.1, 7.1 (see below) we say that the type of the pair (A_2, B_2) is (K, R) for some symbols K, R from the set of symbols $\{V_I, \dots, V_{IV}, W_I, \dots, W_V\}$ if

- the type of A_2 is K and the elements of A_2 are the result of substitutions $a_i \rightarrow a_{2i}$, where $1 \leq i \leq 9$, in the corresponding matrix from Lemma 4.1 or Lemma 4.2.
- the type of B_2 is L and the elements of B_2 are the result of substitutions $a_i \rightarrow b_{2i}$, where $1 \leq i \leq 9$, in the corresponding matrix from Lemma 4.1 or Lemma 4.2.

Lemma 5.1. *Consider $\underline{A} = (J_2, A_2, A_3)$ and $\underline{B} = (J_2, B_2, B_3)$ from \mathcal{N}_3^3 that are not separated by $S_{3,3}$. Then $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.2, we can assume that A_2 and B_2 have one of the following types: W_I, \dots, W_V . The equality T_{112} implies $b_{27} = a_{27}$.

Assume $a_{27} \neq 0$. Then the pair (A_2, B_2) has type (W_V, W_V) . Consequently considering T_{12} and T_{113} we obtain $b_{28} = a_{28}$ and $b_{37} = a_{37}$, respectively. Since $a_{27} \neq 0$, consequently considering $T_{1122}, T_{112212}, T_{1132}$ and T_{11213} we obtain $b_{25} = a_{25}, b_{22} = a_{22}, b_{39} = a_{39}$ and $b_{34} = a_{34}$, respectively. Continuing the calculations, let us consequently consider $T_{13}, T_{221}, T_{1123}, \text{tr}(A_3) - \text{tr}(B_3) = 0, \sigma_2(A_2) - \sigma_2(B_2) = 0, T_{123}, T_{132}, T_{23}$ to conclude that $b_{38} = a_{38}, b_{26} = a_{26}, b_{31} = a_{31}, b_{35} = a_{35}, b_{23} = a_{23}, b_{32} = a_{32}, b_{36} = a_{36}$ and $b_{33} = a_{33}$, respectively. Therefore, $\underline{A} = \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3}$.

Assume $a_{27} = 0$. Then the equality T_{12} implies that $b_{28} = a_{24} + a_{28} - b_{24}$. Considering T_{1122} we conclude that $a_{24} - b_{24} = 0$ or $a_{28} - b_{24} = 0$. We divide the proof into two cases.

1. Let $b_{24} \neq a_{24}$. Hence $b_{24} = a_{28}$ and $b_{28} = a_{24}$. These restrictions show that the only possibilities for the type of the pair (A_2, B_2) are (W_{II}, W_{III}) , (W_{III}, W_{II}) and (W_{IV}, W_{IV}) . Obviously, it is enough to consider the first and the last cases.

1.1. Assume that the type of (A_2, B_2) is (W_{II}, W_{III}) . Since $a_{28} \neq 0$, consequently considering T_{113} , T_{1123} , T_{1132} , T_{13} and T_{11213} we obtain $b_{37} = a_{37}$, $a_{34} = 0$, $b_{38} = 0$, $b_{34} = a_{38}$ and $a_{37} = 0$, respectively. Thus \underline{A} , \underline{B} are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$ and the required is proven.

1.2. Assume now that the type of (A_2, B_2) is (W_{IV}, W_{IV}) . Then $a_{24} \neq 0$ and $a_{28} \neq 0$. The equality T_{113} implies that $b_{37} = a_{37}$ and T_{112212} implies that $a_{24}a_{28}(a_{24} - a_{28}) = 0$; a contradiction.

2. Let $b_{24} = a_{24}$. Hence $b_{28} = a_{28}$. It is easy to see that there are only four possibilities for the type of the pair (A_2, B_2) , which are (W_I, W_I) , (W_{II}, W_{II}) , (W_{III}, W_{III}) and (W_{IV}, W_{IV}) .

2.1. Assume that the type of (A_2, B_2) is (W_I, W_I) . Consequently considering equalities $\text{tr}(A_3) = 0$, $\text{tr}(B_3) = 0$, T_{13} , T_{113} we obtain $a_{39} = -a_{31} - a_{35}$, $b_{39} = -b_{31} - b_{35}$, $b_{38} = a_{34} + a_{38} - b_{34}$, $b_{37} = a_{37}$, respectively.

Let $a_{37} \neq 0$. Consequently considering equalities T_{123} , T_{132} , T_{1133} , T_{23} , we obtain $b_{26} = a_{26}$, $b_{22} = a_{22}$, $a_{35} = ((a_{34} - b_{34})(a_{38} - b_{34}) + a_{37}b_{35})/a_{37}$, $b_{23} = ((a_{22} - a_{26})(a_{34} - b_{34}) + a_{23}a_{37})/a_{37}$, respectively. From T_{113313} , T_{331} we can express b_{32} and b_{36} , respectively. Hence \underline{A} , \underline{B} are not separated by $P_{3,3}$ and the required is proven.

Let $a_{37} = 0$ and $a_{34} - b_{34} \neq 0$. Then the equality T_{1133} implies $b_{34} = a_{38}$. If $a_{34} = 0$, then we can see that \underline{A} , \underline{B} are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$. On the other hand, if $a_{34} \neq 0$, then T_{113313} implies that $a_{38} = 0$ and we also obtain that \underline{A} , \underline{B} are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$.

Finally, let $a_{37} = 0$ and $a_{34} - b_{34} = 0$. If $a_{34} = 0$ or $a_{38} = 0$, then \underline{A} , \underline{B} are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$. On the other hand, if a_{34} and a_{38} are not equal to zero, then considering T_{3312} and T_{3321} we obtain $b_{26} = a_{26}$ and $b_{22} = a_{22}$, respectively. Thus \underline{A} , \underline{B} are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$.

2.2. Assume that the type of (A_2, B_2) is (W_{II}, W_{II}) . Since $a_{28} \neq 0$, consequently considering equalities T_{113} , T_{123} , T_{132} , $\text{tr}(A_3) - \text{tr}(B_3) = 0$, T_{1123} , T_{13} we obtain that $b_{37} = a_{37}$, $b_{35} = a_{35}$, $b_{39} = a_{39}$, $b_{31} = a_{31}$, $b_{34} = a_{34}$, $b_{38} = a_{38}$, respectively.

In case $a_{37} \neq 0$ we apply consequently T_{113313} , T_{331} , T_{23} to see that $b_{32} = a_{32}$, $b_{36} = a_{36}$, $b_{23} = a_{23}$, respectively. Thus \underline{A} , \underline{B} are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$.

Now we assume that $a_{37} = 0$. It follows from T_{23} that $b_{36} = a_{36}$. If $a_{34} = 0$, then \underline{A} , \underline{B} are not separated by $P_{3,3}$. If $a_{34} \neq 0$, then consequently considering equalities $\sigma_2(A_3) - \sigma_2(B_3) = 0$, T_{223} we obtain that $b_{32} = a_{32}$, $b_{23} = a_{23}$, respectively. Hence \underline{A} , \underline{B} are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$.

2.3. Assume that the type of (A_2, B_2) is (W_{III}, W_{III}) . Since $a_{24} \neq 0$, consequently considering equalities T_{113} , T_{123} , T_{132} , $\text{tr}(A_3) - \text{tr}(B_3) = 0$, T_{1132} , T_{13} we obtain that $b_{37} = a_{37}$, $b_{31} = a_{31}$, $b_{35} = a_{35}$, $b_{39} = a_{39}$, $b_{38} = a_{38}$, $b_{34} = a_{34}$, respectively.

In case $a_{37} \neq 0$ we apply consequently equalities T_{113313} , T_{23} , T_{331} , $\sigma_2(A_3) - \sigma_2(B_3) = 0$ to see that $b_{32} = a_{32}$, $b_{23} = a_{23}$, $b_{36} = a_{36}$, $b_{33} = a_{33}$, respectively. Thus \underline{A} , \underline{B} are not separated by $P_{3,3}$.

Now we assume that $a_{37} = 0$. It follows from T_{23} that $b_{32} = a_{32}$. If $a_{38} = 0$, then $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3}$. If $a_{38} \neq 0$, then consequently considering equalities $\sigma_2(A_3) - \sigma_2(B_3) = 0, T_{223}$ we obtain that $b_{36} = a_{36}, b_{23} = a_{23}$, respectively. Hence $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$.

2.4. Assume that the type of (A_2, B_2) is (W_{IV}, W_{IV}) . Since $a_{24} \neq 0$ and $a_{28} \neq 0$, consequently considering the following equalities $T_{113}, T_{13}, \text{tr}(A_3) = 0, \text{tr}(B_3) = 0, T_{221}, \sigma_2(A_2) - \sigma_2(B_2) = 0, T_{1123}, \sigma_2(A_2) = 0, T_{2213}, T_{2231}, T_{123}, T_{223}$ we can see that $b_{37} = a_{37}, b_{38} = a_{34} + a_{38} - b_{34}, a_{39} = -a_{31} - a_{35}, b_{39} = -b_{31} - b_{35}, b_{25} = a_{25}, b_{26} = a_{26}, b_{34} = a_{34}, a_{26} = -a_{25}^2/a_{28}, b_{36} = a_{36} + a_{25}(a_{35} - b_{35})/a_{28}, b_{32} = a_{32} + a_{25}(a_{31} - b_{31})/a_{28}, b_{35} = a_{35} + a_{24}(a_{31} - b_{31})/a_{28}, b_{33} = a_{33} + a_{24}a_{25}^2(b_{31} - a_{31})/(a_{24}a_{28}^2)$, respectively.

In case $b_{31} = a_{31}$ we have $\underline{A} = \underline{B}$ and the required is proven. Assume that $b_{31} \neq a_{31}$. It follows from T_{1133} and T_{331} that $a_{37} = 0$ and $a_{38} = a_{34} - a_{24}a_{34}/a_{28}$, respectively.

If $a_{28} = a_{24}$, then T_{132} implies $a_{24}(a_{31} - b_{31}) = 0$; a contradiction. Assume that $a_{28} \neq a_{24}$. Then T_{22123} and T_{2233} imply that $a_{25} = 0$ and $a_{33} = 0$, respectively. It follows from

$$T_{332} - a_{32}T_{132} = a_{28}(a_{32} - a_{36})(a_{31} - b_{31}) = 0$$

that $a_{36} = a_{32}$. Consequently applying equalities T_{3312} and $\sigma_2(A_3) - \sigma_2(B_3) = 0$ we can see that $a_{35} = \frac{1}{2}(a_{31} + b_{31} + a_{24}(b_{31} - a_{31})/a_{28})$ and $a_{31}^2 = b_{31}^2$. Hence $b_{31} = -a_{31} \neq 0$ and the equality $\det(A_3) = 0$ implies $a_{24}a_{31}(a_{24} - a_{28}) = 0$; a contradiction. \square

6. CASE (B): $A_1 = J_2$ AND $B_1 = J_1$

Lemma 6.1. *Consider $\underline{A} = (J_2, A_2, A_3)$ and $\underline{B} = (J_1, B_2, B_3)$ from $\mathcal{N}_{3,3}^3$ that are not separated by $S_{3,3}$. Then $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3}$.*

Proof. By Lemmas 4.2 and 4.1, we can assume that A_2 and B_2 have one of the following types: W_I, \dots, W_V and V_I, \dots, V_{IV} , respectively. Equalities T_{112} and T_{113} imply that $a_{27} = a_{37} = 0$.

1. Assume $a_{28} \neq 0$. Then equalities T_{1122} and T_{12} imply that $a_{24} = 0$ and $b_{24} = a_{28}$, respectively. Therefore, we can see that the type of (A_2, B_2) is (W_{II}, V_{IV}) . Consequently considering equalities $T_{1123}, T_{13}, T_{221}, T_{123}, \sigma_2(A_2) - \sigma_2(B_2) = 0, \text{tr}(A_3) = 0, \text{tr}(B_3) = 0, T_{132}$ and T_{23} we obtain that $a_{34} = 0, b_{34} = a_{38}, b_{21} = 0, b_{31} = a_{35}, b_{22} = -b_{23}b_{27}/a_{28}, a_{39} = -a_{31} - a_{35}, b_{39} = -a_{35} - b_{35}, b_{35} = -a_{31} - a_{35} - b_{27}b_{36}/a_{28}$ and $b_{32} = (a_{28}a_{36} - b_{27}b_{33} - b_{28}b_{36} - b_{23}b_{37})/a_{28} + a_{38}b_{23}b_{27}/a_{28}^2$, respectively.

In case $b_{28} \neq 0$ we apply consequently equalities $\det(B_2) = 0, T_{223}$ and T_{2213} to see that $b_{23} = b_{33} = b_{36} = 0$. Thus $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3}$.

Let $b_{28} = 0$. Observe that if $b_{23} = 0$, then $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$. So we can assume that $b_{23} \neq 0$. Considering T_{2231} and T_{223} we obtain that $b_{37} = a_{38}b_{27}/a_{28}$ and $b_{38} = (a_{35}b_{27} + 2b_{27}b_{35})/a_{28} + b_{27}^2b_{36}/a_{28}^2$, respectively. Hence $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3}$.

2. Now we assume that $a_{28} = 0$. The equality T_{12} implies $b_{24} = a_{24}$. These restrictions show that the only possibilities for the type of the pair (A_2, B_2) are $(W_I, V_I), (W_I, V_{II}), (W_I, V_{III})$ and (W_{III}, V_{IV}) .

2.1. Assume that the type of (A_2, B_2) is (W_I, V_I) . Considering equalities T_{13} , $\text{tr}(A_3) = 0$ and $\text{tr}(B_3) = 0$ we obtain that $b_{34} = a_{34} + a_{38}$, $a_{39} = -a_{31} - a_{35}$ and $b_{39} = -b_{31} - b_{35}$, respectively. In case $a_{34} = 0$, the equality T_{123} implies that $b_{26}b_{37} = 0$. On the other hand, in case $a_{34} \neq 0$, applying T_{1133} and T_{123} we obtain that $a_{38} = 0$ and $b_{26}b_{37} = 0$, respectively. Hence $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$ in both cases.

2.2. Assume that the type of (A_2, B_2) is (W_I, V_{II}) . Consequently considering equalities T_{13} , $\text{tr}(A_3) = 0$ and $\text{tr}(B_3) = 0$ we obtain that $b_{34} = a_{34} + a_{38}$, $a_{39} = -a_{31} - a_{35}$ and $b_{39} = -b_{31} - b_{35}$, respectively.

Let $a_{34} \neq 0$. Then it follows from equalities T_{1133} and T_{331} that $a_{38} = 0$ and $b_{35} = a_{31} + a_{35} - b_{31} - b_{36}b_{37}/a_{34}$, respectively. In case $b_{25} \neq 0$, the equality T_{123} implies that $a_{34} = b_{25}b_{37}$. On the other hand, in case $b_{25} = 0$, the equality T_{223} implies that $b_{23} = 0$. Thus $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$ in both cases.

Let $a_{34} = 0$. In case $b_{25} \neq 0$, it follows from the equality T_{123} that $a_{38} = b_{25}b_{37}$. On the other hand, in case $b_{25} = 0$, the equality T_{223} implies that $a_{38}b_{23} = 0$. We can see that $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$ in both cases.

2.3. Assume that the type of (A_2, B_2) is (W_I, V_{III}) . Consequently considering equalities T_{221} , $\det(B_2) = 0$, T_{132} , T_{1133} and T_{3321} we obtain that b_{26} , b_{21} , b_{36} , $a_{34}a_{38}$, $b_{33}b_{34}$ are equal to zero, respectively. Thus, $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$.

2.4. Assume that the type of (A_2, B_2) is (W_{III}, V_{IV}) . Since $a_{24} \neq 0$, considering equalities T_{221} , T_{1132} , T_{13} , T_{123} , $\sigma_2(A_2) - \sigma_2(B_2) = 0$, $\text{tr}(A_3) = 0$, and $\text{tr}(B_3) = 0$ we obtain that $b_{21} = 0$, $a_{38} = 0$, $b_{34} = a_{34}$, $b_{31} = a_{31}$, $b_{22} = -b_{23}b_{27}/a_{24}$, $a_{39} = -a_{31} - a_{35}$, and $b_{39} = -a_{31} - b_{35}$, respectively.

In case $b_{28} \neq 0$ it follows from equalities $\det(B_2) = 0$, T_{223} and T_{2213} that $b_{23} = b_{33} = b_{36} = 0$; therefore, $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$. Thus we can assume that $b_{28} = 0$. In case $b_{23} = 0$ we obviously have that $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$. On the other hand, in case $b_{23} \neq 0$ equalities T_{2231} , T_{132} , T_{223} imply that $b_{37} = a_{34}b_{27}/a_{24}$, $b_{35} = a_{35} - b_{27}b_{36}/a_{24}$ and $b_{38} = (a_{31}b_{27} + 2a_{35}b_{27})/a_{24} - b_{27}^2b_{36}/a_{24}^2$, respectively. Hence $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$. \square

7. CASE (C): $A_1 = J_1$ AND $B_1 = J_1$.

Lemma 7.1. Consider $\underline{A} = (J_1, A_2, A_3)$ and $\underline{B} = (J_1, B_2, B_3)$ from \mathcal{N}_3^3 that are not separated by $S_{3,3}$. Then $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3}$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, we can assume that A_2 and B_2 have one of the following types: V_I, \dots, V_{IV} . The equality T_{12} implies that $b_{24} = a_{24}$, therefore we can see that the only possibilities for the type of (A_2, B_2) are (V_I, V_I) , (V_I, V_{II}) , (V_I, V_{III}) , (V_{II}, V_{II}) , (V_{II}, V_{III}) , (V_{III}, V_{III}) and (V_{IV}, V_{IV}) . Furthermore, consequently considering the equalities T_{13} , $\text{tr}(A_3) = 0$, and $\text{tr}(B_3) = 0$ we obtain that $b_{34} = a_{34}$, $a_{39} = -a_{31} - a_{35}$, and $b_{39} = -b_{31} - b_{35}$.

1. Assume that the type of (A_2, B_2) is (V_I, V_I) . Note that if $b_{26} = 0$, then equality T_{123} implies that $a_{26} = 0$ or $a_{37} = 0$ and in both cases we have that $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$. On the other hand, in case $b_{26} \neq 0$ considering the equalities T_{23} and T_{123} we obtain $b_{38} = (a_{22}a_{34} + a_{23}a_{37} + a_{26}a_{38} - a_{34}b_{22} - b_{23}b_{37})/b_{26}$ and $b_{37} = a_{26}a_{37}/b_{26}$, respectively. If $a_{26} = 0$ or $a_{37} = 0$, then $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$. Thus we can

assume that $a_{26}, a_{37} \neq 0$. Considering the equalities T_{223} and T_{331} , we obtain $b_{22} = a_{22}$ and $a_{36} = a_{34}(-a_{31} - a_{35} + b_{31} + b_{35})/a_{37} + a_{26}a_{36}/b_{26}$. Therefore, $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$.

2. Assume that the type of (A_2, B_2) is (V_I, V_{II}) . At first, assume that $a_{37} \neq 0$. Then considering the equalities T_{331} and T_{123} we obtain that $a_{36} = (a_{34}(-a_{31} - a_{35} + b_{31} + b_{35}) + b_{36}b_{37})/a_{37}$, $a_{26} = b_{25}(a_{34} - b_{25}b_{37})/a_{37}$, respectively. Thus the equality T_{223} implies that $b_{23} - a_{22}b_{25} = 0$ or $a_{34} - b_{25}b_{37} = 0$, and therefore $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$.

On the other hand, assume that $a_{37} = 0$. If $b_{23} = 0$, then $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$. Furthermore, if $b_{23} \neq 0$, then the equality T_{223} implies $a_{34} = b_{25}b_{37}$ and we also have that $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$.

3. Assume that the type of (A_2, B_2) is (V_I, V_{III}) . Considering the equalities T_{221} , $\det(B_2) = 0$, and T_{132} , we obtain $b_{26} = b_{21} = b_{36} = 0$. It follows from T_{123} and T_{3321} that $a_{26}a_{37} = 0$ and $a_{34}b_{33} = 0$, respectively. We can conclude that $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$.

4. Assume that the type of (A_2, B_2) is (V_{II}, V_{II}) . It follows from the equality T_{23} that $b_{36} = a_{36} + a_{25}(a_{31} + 2a_{35}) - a_{25}^2a_{38} + a_{23}a_{37} - b_{25}(b_{31} + 2b_{35}) - b_{23}b_{37} + b_{25}^2b_{38}$.

Assume that $a_{25} \neq 0$. Then the equality T_{123} implies that $a_{37} = (a_{25}a_{34} + b_{25}(-a_{34} + b_{25}b_{37}))/a_{25}^2$, and T_{223} implies that $a_{25}b_{23} - a_{23}b_{25} = 0$ or $-a_{34} + b_{25}b_{37} = 0$. Furthermore, if $-a_{34} + b_{25}b_{37} = 0$, then $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$. On the other hand, if $-a_{34} + b_{25}b_{37} \neq 0$, then $b_{23} = a_{23}b_{25}/a_{25}$ and we can express a_{38} from the equality T_{3312} . Since $T_{332231} = (a_{23}b_{25}(a_{34} - b_{25}b_{37})/a_{25})T_{331}$, we can conclude that $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$.

Now we assume that $a_{25} = 0$. If $a_{34} = b_{25} = 0$, then $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$. If $a_{34} \neq 0$ and $b_{25} = 0$, then the equalities T_{223} and T_{331} imply that $b_{23} = a_{23}$ and $a_{31} = (a_{34}(-a_{35} + b_{31} + b_{35}) + (a_{37} - b_{37})(-a_{36} + a_{23}b_{37}))/a_{34}$; therefore, we also have that $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$. Finally, if $b_{25} \neq 0$, then T_{123} implies $a_{34} = b_{25}b_{37}$ and T_{223} implies that $a_{23} = 0$ or $b_{37} = 0$; thus, $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$.

5. Assume that the type of (A_2, B_2) is (V_{II}, V_{III}) . Considering the equalities T_{221} , $\det(B_2) = 0$, and T_{132} we obtain $b_{26} = b_{21} = b_{36} = 0$. Hence, $T_{332231} = (a_{31}a_{34} + a_{34}a_{35} + a_{36}a_{37})T_{223} + a_{34}b_{22}T_{3321}$. Thus, the equalities T_{223} and T_{3321} imply that $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$.

6. Assume that the type of (A_2, B_2) is (V_{III}, V_{III}) . It follows from equalities T_{221} and T_{132} that $b_{26} = a_{26}$ and $b_{36} = a_{21}a_{34} + a_{36} - a_{34}b_{21}$, respectively.

Assume $a_{26} = 0$. Then consequently considering equalities $\det(A_2) = 0$, $\det(B_2) = 0$, and T_{23} we obtain that $a_{21} = b_{21} = 0$ and $b_{33} = a_{33} + a_{22}a_{34} - a_{34}b_{22}$. Hence, if $a_{34} = 0$, then $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$. On the other hand, if $a_{34} \neq 0$, then the equalities T_{331} and T_{3321} imply that $b_{35} = (a_{31}a_{34} + a_{34}(a_{35} - b_{31}) + a_{36}(a_{37} - b_{37}))/a_{34}$ and $a_{22} = (-a_{31}a_{36} + a_{34}^2b_{22} + a_{36}b_{31})/a_{34}^2$, respectively. Finally, T_{223} implies that $a_{36} = 0$ or $a_{31} - b_{31} = 0$. In both cases we have that $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$.

Now we assume that $a_{26} \neq 0$. Consequently applying equalities $\det(A_2) = 0$, $\det(B_2) = 0$, T_{123} , T_{2213} , T_{2231} , and T_{23} we obtain that $a_{22} = a_{21}^3/a_{26}$, $b_{22} = b_{21}^3/a_{26}$, $b_{37} = (-a_{21}a_{34} + a_{34}b_{21} + a_{26}a_{37})/a_{26}$, $b_{35} = ((a_{21} - b_{21})(a_{36} - a_{34}b_{21}) + a_{26}a_{35})/a_{26}$, $b_{31} = (a_{21}a_{34}(a_{21} - b_{21}) + a_{26}(a_{31} - a_{21}a_{37} + a_{37}b_{21}))/a_{26}$ and $b_{38} = (a_{21}^3a_{34} + a_{21}b_{21}(a_{36} - a_{34}b_{21}) + b_{21}^2(-a_{36} + a_{34}b_{21}) - a_{21}^2(a_{26}a_{37} + a_{34}b_{21}) + a_{21}a_{26}(a_{31} - a_{35} + a_{37}b_{21}) + a_{26}(a_{33} -$

$a_{31}b_{21} + a_{35}b_{21} - b_{33}) + a_{26}^2 a_{38})/a_{26}^2$, respectively. If $a_{34} \neq 0$, then considering T_{3312} and T_{223} we can express b_{33} and b_{32} , respectively; therefore, $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3}$. Thus, we can assume that $a_{34} = 0$. Considering equalities T_{22123} and T_{223} we can express b_{33} and b_{32} , respectively; therefore, $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3}$.

7. Assume that the type of (A_2, B_2) is (V_{IV}, V_{IV}) . Since $a_{24} \neq 0$, considering the equalities T_{221} , T_{123} , $\sigma_2(A_2) = 0$, $\sigma_2(B_2) = 0$, T_{132} , and T_{23} we obtain that $b_{21} = a_{21}$, $b_{31} = a_{31}$, $a_{22} = (-a_{21}^2 - a_{23}a_{27})/a_{24}$, $b_{22} = (-a_{21}^2 - b_{23}b_{27})/a_{24}$, $b_{35} = (a_{27}a_{36} + a_{24}a_{35} - b_{27}b_{36})/a_{24}$ and $b_{32} = (-a_{23}a_{27}a_{34} - a_{21}a_{27}a_{36} + a_{34}b_{23}b_{27} + a_{24}^2 a_{32} + a_{21}b_{27}b_{36} + a_{24}(a_{27}a_{33} + a_{28}a_{36} + a_{23}a_{37} - b_{27}b_{33} - b_{28}b_{36} - b_{23}b_{37}))/a_{24}^2$, respectively.

7.1. Assume $a_{23} \neq 0$. Considering equalities $\det(A_2) = 0$ and T_{2231} we have that $a_{28} = (a_{21}^3 + a_{21}a_{23}a_{27})/(a_{23}a_{24})$ and $a_{37} = (a_{23}a_{27}a_{34} - a_{34}b_{23}b_{27} + a_{24}b_{23}b_{37})/(a_{23}a_{24})$.

Let $b_{23} = 0$. Then the equality $\det(B_2) = 0$ implies that $a_{21} = 0$ and we can express a_{38} from the equality T_{223} . If $b_{28} = 0$, then $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$. On the other hand, if $b_{28} \neq 0$, then the equalities T_{2213} and T_{22123} imply that $b_{36} = b_{33} = 0$, and therefore $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3}$.

Let $b_{23} \neq 0$. Then it follows from the equality $\det(B_2) = 0$ that $b_{28} = (a_{21}^3 + a_{21}b_{23}b_{27})/(a_{24}b_{23})$. In case $a_{21} = 0$ we can express b_{38} from the equality T_{223} and we can see that $T_{332231} = (-a_{24}a_{27}(a_{31} + 2a_{35}) - a_{27}^2 a_{36} + a_{24}^2 a_{38})(a_{23}/a_{24})T_{331}$; thus, $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$. On the other hand, if $a_{21} \neq 0$, then equalities T_{2213} , T_{22123} imply that $b_{36} = a_{36}b_{23}/a_{23}$, $b_{33} = a_{33}b_{23}/a_{23}$ and we can express b_{38} from T_{223} . Therefore, $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3}$.

7.2. Assume that $a_{23} = 0$. Then the equality $\det(A_2) = 0$ implies that $a_{21} = 0$.

Let $b_{23} \neq 0$. Since $a_{24} \neq 0$, considering equalities $\det(B_2) = 0$, T_{2231} we obtain $b_{28} = 0$, $b_{37} = a_{34}b_{27}/a_{24}$ and then we can express b_{38} from T_{223} . If $a_{28} = 0$, then $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$. Thus we can assume that $a_{28} \neq 0$. It follows from T_{2213} and T_{22123} that $a_{36} = a_{33} = 0$, and therefore $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3}$.

On the other hand, let $b_{23} = 0$. If $b_{28} \neq 0$, then considering the equalities T_{223} and T_{2213} we obtain $b_{33} = a_{28}a_{33}/b_{28}$ and $b_{36} = a_{28}a_{36}/b_{28}$, respectively; thus, $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$. Thus we can assume that $b_{28} = 0$. It is easy to see that if $a_{28} = 0$, then $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$. If $a_{28} \neq 0$, then the equalities T_{223} and T_{2213} imply that $a_{33} = a_{36} = 0$, and therefore $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$. \square

8. CASES (D) AND (E): $B_1 = 0$.

Lemma 8.1. Consider $\underline{A} = (J_1, A_2, A_3)$ and $\underline{B} = (0, B_2, B_3)$ from \mathcal{N}_3^3 that are not separated by $S_{3,3}$. Then $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3}$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, we can assume that A_2 has one of the following types: V_I, \dots, V_{IV} . Equalities T_{12} and T_{13} imply that $a_{24} = a_{34} = 0$. Then it follows from equality T_{221} that $a_{26} = 0$ or $a_{27} = 0$.

Assume $a_{26} = 0$ and $a_{27} \neq 0$. Thus the type of A_2 is V_{III} . The equality T_{132} implies that $a_{36} = 0$. Hence $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$.

Assume $a_{27} = 0$. Thus the type of A_2 is either V_I or V_{II} . In both cases the equality T_{331} implies that $a_{36}a_{37} = 0$. Hence $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$. \square

Lemma 8.2. Consider $\underline{A} = (J_2, A_2, A_3)$ and $\underline{B} = (0, B_2, B_3)$ from \mathcal{N}_3^3 that are not separated by $S_{3,3}$. Then $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3}$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.2, we can assume that A_2 has one of the following types: W_I, \dots, W_V . Consequently considering equalities $T_{112}, T_{12}, T_{1122}$ we can see that $a_{27} = 0, a_{28} = -a_{24}, a_{24} = 0$, respectively. Then the type of A_2 is W_I . Consequently applying equalities $T_{13}, T_{113}, T_{1133}$ we obtain that $a_{38} = -a_{34}, a_{37} = 0, a_{34} = 0$, respectively. Hence $\underline{A}, \underline{B}$ are not separated by $P_{3,3} \setminus S_{3,3}$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Derksen, G. Kemper, *Computational invariant theory*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, 130. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002. x+268 pp.
- [2] H. Derksen, G. Kemper, *Computational invariant theory (second edition)*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, 130. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2015. xxii+366 pp.
- [3] D. Đoković, *Poincaré series of some pure and mixed trace algebras of two generic matrices*, J. Algebra **309** (2007), 654–671.
- [4] D. Đoković, *On orthogonal and special orthogonal invariants of a single matrix of small order*, Lin. Multilin. Algebra **57** (2009) no. 4, 345–354.
- [5] M. Domokos, S.G. Kuzmin, A.N. Zubkov, *Rings of matrix invariants in positive characteristic*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **176** (2002), 61–80.
- [6] H. Derksen, V. Makam, *Polynomial degree bounds for matrix semi-invariants*, Adv. Math. **310** (2017), 44–63.
- [7] H. Derksen, V. Makam, *Generating invariant rings of quivers in arbitrary characteristic*, J. Algebra **489** (2017), 435–445.
- [8] H. Derksen, V. Makam, *Algorithms for orbit closure separation for invariants and semi-invariants of matrices*, arXiv: 1801.02043v2.
- [9] H. Derksen, V. Makam, *Weyl’s polarization theorem in positive characteristic*, Transformation Groups (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00031-020-09559-3>
- [10] M. Domokos, *Characteristic free description of semi-invariants of 2×2 matrices*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **224** (2020), no. 5, 106220, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2019.106220>.
- [11] M. Domokos, *Addendum to $\hat{A}IJ$ Characteristic free description of semi-invariants of 2×2 matrices $\hat{A}I$ [J. Pure Appl. Algebra 224 (2020), no. 5, 106220]*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **224** (2020), no. 6, 106270, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2019.106270>.
- [12] S. Donkin, *Invariants of several matrices*, Invent. Math. **110** (1992), 389–401.
- [13] V. Drensky, L. Sadikova, *Generators of invariants of two 4×4 matrices*, C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci. **59** (2006), No. 5, 477–484.
- [14] I. Kaygorodov, A. Lopatin, Yu. Popov, *Separating invariants for 2×2 matrices*, Linear Algebra and its Applications **559** (2018), 114–124.
- [15] A.A. Lopatin, *The invariant ring of triples of 3×3 matrices over a field of arbitrary characteristic*, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **45** (2004), No. 3, 624–633 (Russian). English translation: Siberian Mathematical Journal **45** (2004), No. 3, 513–521.
- [16] A.A. Lopatin, *The algebra of invariants of 3×3 matrices over a field of arbitrary characteristic*, Commun. Algebra **32** (2004), no. 7, 2863–2883.
- [17] A.A. Lopatin, *Relatively free algebras with the identity $x^3 = 0$* , Commun. Algebra **33** (2005), no. 10, 3583–3605.
- [18] A.A. Lopatin, *Minimal generating set for semi-invariants of quivers of dimension two*, Linear Algebra and its Applications, **434** (2011), no. 8, 1920–1944.
- [19] C. Procesi, *The invariant theory of $n \times n$ matrices*, Adv. Math. **19** (1976), 306–381.
- [20] C. Procesi, *Computing with 2×2 matrices*, J. Algebra **87** (1984), 342–359.
- [21] K.S. Sibirskii, *Algebraic invariants of a system of matrices*, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **9** (1968), No. 1, 152–164 (Russian). English translation: Soviet Math. Dokl. **8** (1967), 36–40.

[22] Y. Teranishi, *The ring of invariants of matrices*, Nagoya Math. J. **104** (1986), 149–161.

FELIPE BARBOSA CAVALCANTE, STATE UNIVERSITY OF CAMPINAS, 651 SERGIO BUARQUE DE HOLANDA, 13083-859 CAMPINAS, SP, BRAZIL

E-mail address: felipelamerck@hotmail.com (Felipe Barbosa Cavalcante)

ARTEM LOPATIN, STATE UNIVERSITY OF CAMPINAS, 651 SERGIO BUARQUE DE HOLANDA, 13083-859 CAMPINAS, SP, BRAZIL

E-mail address: dr.artem.lopatin@gmail.com (Artem Lopatin)