

# A superfluid $\text{He}^4$ version of a test on QG vs CG: feasibility with demonstrated methods

Massimo Cerdonio\* and Giovanni Carugno<sup>§</sup>  
INFN Section and University, Padova, Italy    Dec 7<sup>th</sup> 2020

\* [cerdonio@pd.infn.it](mailto:cerdonio@pd.infn.it)    § [carugno@pd.infn.it](mailto:carugno@pd.infn.it)

arXiv:2007.13364v5 [quant-physics] [gr-qc]

## Abstract

Recently a wealth of work appeared towards attempting to reveal the possible non-classicity of the gravitational field by detecting gravity mediated entanglement between *mesoscopic* masses. We present and analyze in detail the feasibility of a version of such experiments, which rather uses *macroscopic* masses of superfluid  $\text{He}^4$ , taking advantage of the macroscopic quantum effects shown by that system, with a crucial role played by the Josephson effects.

## 1. Introduction

It would be of great interest to try to test if "classical gravity", CG, emerges from a quantum theory of gravity at fundamental level, QG, or rather it is "classical" down to fundamentals. Indirect signs of this can be found even without entering the Planck regime. A promising idea is to take advantage of the phenomenon of entanglement between states of quantum system which are also subject to gravitational fields, even weak as Newtonian attraction in linearized GR. Entanglement, as a quantum phenomenon, has no "classical" limit; it cannot be produced by a purely "classical" interaction [1]. To find signs of entanglement in gravitating system could signal that gravity at the fundamental level is quantum, QG.

To make experimental attempts on this line, one must have systems that show non-negligible Newtonian attraction, and that at the same time can go into superposition of quantum states, specifically NOON states [1]. Recently a concept has been proposed and discussed, concerning the experimental feasibility of table top realizations of such tests [2,3]. The analysis in [4] reinforced the notion that table-top experiments, where matter fields are entangled by Newtonian gravitational interaction, are able to probe quantum features of gravity. The review in [5] gives, with analytic discussions, the current panorama on table top experiments for quantum gravity.

Both proposals [2,3] make use of two masses in a state of superposition in two locations, as they propagate each in one of two interferometer. The two interferometers are arranged side by side, with pairs of arms parallel. The proposal of ref [2] considers equal masses in a Mach-Zehnder interferometer, while that of ref [3] uses Stern-Gerlach interferometry of equal mass nanospheres, embedded with nitrogen-vacancy spins. The two equal masses  $m$  enter each separately the corresponding interferometer and

interference effects at the outputs are observed. The mass fields interact only through their gravitational interaction. Should gravity, at Newtonian level, have an underlying quantum nature, QG, the side-by-side beams would get to be "gravitationally entangled", still without being in contact. The proposals [2,3] suggest different ways to test if such an entanglement indeed occurs.

Here we consider a scheme, inspired by that of ref [2], with the relevant advantage of making use of *macroscopic* masses of matter, superfluid He<sup>4</sup>. We discuss how such a version appears to be feasible with well understood and tested cryogenic methods and technologies.

## 2. Concept of the superfluid He<sup>4</sup> experiment.

Let us follow ref [2], with their notations, to summarize their scheme. Consider the two Mach-Zehnder interferometers arranged as in their Fig. 1; d<sub>1</sub> is the separation between side-by-side arms; d<sub>2</sub> is one of the other arm separations they give attention to. Before entering their respective final beam splitter, the masses acquire a path dependent phase increment, i=1,2

$$(1) \quad \phi_i = m^2 (G/\hbar) (\Delta t/d_i)$$

where m is the mass entering the interferometer, G the gravitational constant, h-bar the Planck constant, and Δt the time spent by each mass in that arm.

In [2] a discussion is given on how the interference patterns are influenced by the φ<sub>i</sub>'s, if entanglement occurs or not. There are two extreme regimes. If the two masses are not entangled, they undergo ordinary interference. If, by contrast, maximal entanglement occurs, the interference is completely destroyed. Given the mass m, either there is no interferometer configurations - separations d<sub>1</sub> and d<sub>2</sub>, time spent Δt - in which the ordinary interference is destroyed, or in some configurations the interference is completely destroyed. If this can be reconducted to the relations of eq (1), then this constitutes a "witness" that the gravitational field mediating the interaction between the masses must be "quantum" at the fundamental level.

Here we propose a set-up where, in the approximation d = d<sub>1</sub> ≪ d<sub>2</sub>, the *mesoscopic* masses m are substituted by *macroscopic* masses of superfluid He<sup>4</sup>, and each interferometer is constituted by a loop of channels incorporating Josephson junctions. The loop makes up for a macroscopic quantum interference device known as SHeQUID. The system is operated at less than one mK below the λ point, the transition temperature to superfluidity T<sub>λ</sub>=2.17 K, where "ideal" Josephson effects in superfluid He<sup>4</sup> occur. The basics are robust, because such "macroscopic quantum effects" have been extensively observed and found in complete agreement with fundamental theories of macroscopic quantum phenomena in superfluids [6,7]. The essence of the proposed experiment is to look for destroying or maintaining the pattern of interference in the system of two SHeQUID, considered as matter interferometers, which are positioned side-by-side.

### 3. The experiment with superfluid He<sup>4</sup>.

We consider the superfluid He<sup>4</sup> analog of the superconducting dc-SQUID, a well-studied case, see in particular ref [49] of ref [7]. Two "junctions", showing Josephson effects, are inserted in channels making a closed loop, where the superfluid flows. The system behaves as a matter interferometer. In the superconducting SQUID the sensing loop is sensitive to the flux of the magnetic field threading it. In the superfluid He<sup>4</sup> case the role of the magnetic field is taken by the vector of rotation in respect to the local inertial frame [8]. Other implementations of such a SHeQUID allow measurements of phase gradients created within the system, for instance by stimulating counterflows of the normal and superfluid components [9]. As the basic scheme of SHeQUID allows measurements over time scales of a few seconds, amply enough for the proposed experiment, we take such a basic scheme as the simplest to present and discuss our proposal .

We propose to position side by side two identical SHeQUIDs, Fig.1. Each sensing loop has the geometry of a square of side length  $L$  and the channels have cross section  $\sigma$ . The channels are traversed by the superfluid component of density  $\rho_s$  at temperatures less than one mK below  $T_\lambda$ . The two apparatuses have the planes of their loops residing in a vertical plane on Earth. Their channels of length  $L$ , lying respectively side-by-side, are parallel and horizontal at a distance  $d \ll L$ .

The SHeQUID is considered the matter interferometer analogue of a Mach-Zehnder. This feature of the SHeQUID has been remarked in [10], where it is specified that the Josephson junctions constitute the beam splitters and the channels, in which the superfluid flows, constitute the arms. The superfluid in the two apparatuses must come from well-separated He<sup>4</sup> baths, so to keep completely disconnected the gravitationally interacting masses of superfluid. Otherwise, they would be totally connected in phase by the infinite range of superfluid order ODRLO [10].

The mass  $m$  in (1) is given by  $m = L\sigma\rho_s$ . For the time  $\Delta t$  it can be taken the characteristic time  $\Delta t_J = 1/2f_J$ , where  $f_J$  is the Josephson frequency used to probe the phase [7,9]. In the "ideal", non-dissipative, Josephson regime [11], which we consider here, this time is fundamental in that it marks the period with which the superfluid density (not the superfluid velocity as in the phase slippage regime) goes momentarily to zero. This happens when, during the Josephson current oscillations, the phase difference across the junction passes through  $\Delta\phi = \pi$ , see discussion in [10].

The relation to calculate the effects of QG in our version, as it comes from eq (1), is then

$$(2) \quad \phi = A (L\sigma\rho_s)^2 (G/\hbar) (1/2f_J) (1/d)$$

where  $A$  is a form factor of order  $O(1)$ , which takes in account that in our case the masses are cylinders, while in [2,3] the masses are point-like or (nano)spheres. To evaluate the factor  $A$ , we take the eq (8) from a calculation [12] for the Coulomb potential per unit length between two charged isolated cylinders, and substitute mass to




Fig.1: two SHeQUIDs, with the planes of the loops sensitive to the Earth rotation lay, in a vertical plane, with the horizontal channels of length  $L$  parallel, and are oriented to maximize the Earth rotation signal; for details of the superflow driving/measuring membrane assembly, including an electrostatic actuator and a superconducting SQUID, see ref[7]; for details of the Josephson junctions realized with submicron channels, see text and related refs; the SHeQUIDs are immersed in two separated liquid He<sup>4</sup> baths at  $T = T_\lambda - 20 \mu\text{K}$ ; each one is connected to its bath by a filling capillary; the side-by-side channels are made of dielectric material in view of the experiment as in Sec. 4; the piezo modulates the distance  $d$  between the side-by-side arms; in the QG case, each of the outputs of the two SHeQUIDs would be modulated at the piezo frequency; the heater burns the superfluid film to keep the two baths disconnected, and thus, when switched off, a putative QG effect should disappear, see text

charge and the gravitational constant  $G$  in place of the electrostatic constants. With our parameters,  $A$  comes out to be around 0,5 without considering the finite size of the capillary radius dimensions.

The superfluid density  $\rho_s$  has a definite temperature dependence  $\rho_s(T) = 2.4 \rho_\lambda (1 - T/T_\lambda)^{2/3}$  with  $\rho_\lambda = 1.5 \cdot 10^2 \text{ Kg/m}^3$ . As for the dimensions of the superfluid interferometer and for the realization of the Josephson junctions, the literature is abundant of elegant experiments [6,7]. So for a practical realization of our proposal, we suggest to use the typical realizations one can find therein: i) for the junctions, use arrays of hundreds of submicron channels in parallel in a few microns square lattice on a plate of submicron thickness, ii) for the channel cross section  $\sigma$  and length  $L$  respectively  $\sigma = 4 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2$  and  $L = 3 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ m}$ , and, as the typical Josephson frequency used to probe the phase  $f_J$  ranges between a fraction of one to some ten kHz [7,9], we take 5 kHz. For  $d$  we take  $d = 10^{-2} \text{ m}$ . We fix for convenience the working temperature at about 20  $\mu\text{K}$  below the  $\lambda$  point, where the Josephson junctions are well into the "ideal" Josephson regime in contrast to the "phase slip" regime farther from the  $\lambda$  point [11]. Typically, the temperature in these experiments is regulated with a stability of about 50 nK [7], and can be further pushed to 20nK.

The experiment consists in testing if interference effects in SHeQUID are destroyed. This can be easily accomplished. The sign of "classical" response of the SHeQUID is the response to the Earth rotation. In particular by properly orienting around the vertical the SHeQUID depicted in Fig. 1, we can get the maximum interference signal [7]. Then it is straightforward to check if the gravitational interaction may destroy such an interference. In fact Eq (2) shows a strong dependence of the phase, which regulates the interference effects on each SHeQUID, on the distance  $d$ . Modulating this distance, say by microns at Hz frequencies using a piezoelectric actuator and taking advantage of the elasticity of the apparatuses,  $\phi$  can be modulated, for a  $\delta d$  of 1  $\mu\text{m}$ , to order of 1 rad. So the whole range of relevant phases can be explored, and, looking if in some conditions related to eq (2) the interference pattern is destroyed, it can be assessed unequivocally if entanglement did indeed occur.

#### 4. A test of the proof of principle.

There are two requirements for a correct implementation of our proposal. First, the portions of superfluid involved - those traversing the SHeQUID interferometer - must be in NOON states [1], and second, the Josephson junctions must indeed act as beam splitters, in order to consider the SHeQUID exactly like a Mach-Zehnder interferometer.

NOON states are expected to exist for the *mesoscopic* nanoparticles traversing the interferometers, as in [3]. The argument is that there is not enough energy to create excitations of the same mass, nor to dissociate the nanoparticles in components [1]. The situation for superfluid  $\text{He}^4$  appears to be quite similar: as a consequence of ODLRO [10], all the atoms in a *macroscopic* sample are in a single macroscopic entangled state completely determined by the local fields [13].

It is not the target of this paper to discuss theoretically this issue, nor the one concerning the operation of the Josephson junctions as beam splitters. Rather, we propose to clarify both issues via a preliminary test.

Let us consider an electromagnetic version [14] of the scheme above. Apply to the same apparatus, prepared for the proposed QG vs CG experiment, a static electric field  $E$ , oriented horizontally in the plane of the figure. In the parallel side-by-side dielectric channels, the superfluid will polarize and a repulsive electrostatic force  $F_E$  will arise. The dielectric constant of He4 is known [15], and classical electrostatics will give  $F_E$ , either in closed form, or numerically, if fringe effects are important. This will allow to estimate the field  $E$  to apply, for the experiment to be sensitive enough to give a yes/no answer. Now the classical em field of course emerges from a quantum field, and thus the effects of entanglement, now via the em field, must be found. This will uniquely happen, only if *both* the requirements above are fulfilled, and makes this preliminary test a suitable proof of principle for the gravitational case. As for the expected effects, we can get the relevant phases from a crude estimate, by substituting in eq (1) the electrostatic repulsion  $F_E$  in place of the gravitational attraction  $Gm^2/d^2$ .

Of course, in performing such a preliminary experiment, if QG applies one has both contributions in the effects. Also, as the gravitational one arises from an attraction, while the electrostatic one comes from a repulsion, the two will have opposite signs, and there will be one  $F_E$  which compensates the unknown gravitational one, so that in the end it would provide a measurement with a different procedure. This is an additional bonus coming from the considerations above

## 5. Discussion .

A necessary condition to observe the putative entanglement is to keep completely disconnected the interacting masses of superfluid, as noted in Sec 3, because of the long-range order in superfluid He<sup>4</sup>. So in performing the proposed experiments, preliminary and actual, one must be careful to avoid any superfluid path, which may connect the two baths. A connection may easily occur for instance via the superfluid film. As it is well known, the film climbs any wall and spills over on the opposite side, provided the wall stays below  $T_\lambda$  up to the top. It is easy to stop the film: one burns it out with appropriate heaters above the surface of the superfluid and below the top of the wall, as in Fig.1.

Actually, this feature offers a yes/no test of uniquely compelling evidence. Imagine that the experiment, properly prepared as above, gives a positive outcome, indicating the occurrence of entanglement. How can one be sure of such a conclusion? Simply switch off the heater, which burns the film. As the wall between the baths cools back below  $T_\lambda$ , the film reconstitutes and reconnects the two baths. If the effect disappears, while nothing else has been changed, one will be certain to have observed the entanglement effect.

One may wonder why the expected effect is so large,  $\phi$ 's of order of rads. In this respect it should be appreciated how in our versions the gravitationally interacting masses involved are many orders larger than in the schemes of ref [2,3],  $10^{-8}$  kg vs  $10^{-12}$  -  $10^{-14}$  kg respectively.

Of course, one has to make sure to control any deviation from ideality in the pair of SHeQUIDS, as interferometers. This can be checked in obvious ways and independently corrected, with the aid again of the response of the interference pattern to the Earth rotation.

Let us now examine a few extraneous interaction, which may connect the masses. which may obviously occur. After usual shielding procedures with Faraday cages and mu-metal, em interferences/interactions should convincingly be excluded. Still one may be concerned with Casimir interactions. The distance  $d$  of 1 cm should be plenty to avoid the Casimir effects discussed in [16].

A disturbance on phases in the SHeQUIDS comes from the possible presence of quantized vortexes, which may be created in turbulent episodes during cooling through the phase transition, and are not under control. Vortexes may be created at the superfluid transition and then move and/or be metastable, giving occasional and abrupt overall phase changes in the system. Fortunately such episodes occur at intervals of hours, see in particular Fig. 3 in [17], which shows long term drifts below  $2 \cdot 10^{-3}$  rad over 6 hours, and even lower drift rates are quoted [9]. So, over the characteristic times of an actual experiment, this feature would not give any problem.

Another source of external disturbances in this type of experiments has been analyzed in [18] and concerns acceleration noise affecting the masses of the proposals [2,3]. In our version, this would not apply of course, but it would intervene another disturbance, now connected with uncontrolled rotational movements of the platform on which the whole experimental set up resides. The  $\text{He}^4$  SQUID used here is sensitive to picking up the component the rotation of Earth over its sensitive area, and in fact the instrument is oriented, still in a vertical plane, to maximize such a pick up, in order to maximize its response. As discussed in [17], concerning the interest of  $\text{He}^4$  SQUIDS as gyroscopes, this disturbance could have been greatly mitigated already at that time, see ref [16] in [17]. Since then there has been continuing progress in demonstrating rotationally ultra-quiet platforms, motivated by geophysical research [19] and towards laboratory tests of the Lense-Thirring effect [20]. It should be feasible to go well beyond the requirements for the experiments proposed here.

Other possible experiments would be based in altering the velocity of the superfluid, by activating the heater in the channel, when one uses the SHeQUID versions of ref [9]. In this way, one would alter the interaction time between the masses in the channels. Also, Josephson effects are similarly shown when the SHeQUID would work in the dissipative "phase slippage" regime, rather than in the non-dissipative "ideal" Josephson regime as in this proposal. The impact of either of the above may need considerations beyond the scope of this note, and thus we leave a detailed study of the feasibility of alternative detection schemes to further studies.

## 5. Concluding remarks.

This paper has been written in the prospective of a yes/no test of QG vs CG. A most recent study addresses the question if, given that experiments show entanglement of two quantum system solely due to the gravitational field, then gravity is indeed necessarily quantum [21]. The Authors discuss the issue within the framework of Generalized Probabilistic Theories, which, among other applications in various different fields, has the advantage in this case that it does not presuppose the quantum formalism. Their conclusion is that, if gravity does not violate two conditions - that i) faster-than-light signalling are not allowed and ii) of being a mediator which interacts only locally - then a positive outcome of an experiment like those of refs [2,3] would *only* demonstrate that gravity is *non-classical*. In fact they provide alternative models of systems that are neither classical nor quantum but can nonetheless entangle quantum system [21]. Therefore, as the present proposed experiment belongs to the same class of those of refs [2,3], the same conclusion applies.

## Acknowledgements

We enjoyed lively discussions in person with Alessandro Bettini. MC is indebted to Alessio Belenchia for the critical readings of the manuscript in the various versions and for constructive and encouraging comments. We thank Richard Packard and Andrea Vinante for useful criticisms and comments. Comments from Michele Bonaldi, Andrea Di Biagio, Giulio Gasbarri and Carlo Rovelli were appreciated. We thank Antonello Ortolan for help in considering the form factor and Mario Zago for producing the figure.

## References

- [1] R.J.Marshman, A.Mazumdar and S. Bose, Locality and entanglement in table-top testing of the quantum nature of linearized gravity, *Phys.Rev. A* **101** 052110 (2020)
- [2] C.Marletto and V.Vedral, Gravitationally Induced Entanglement between Two Massive Particles is Sufficient Evidence of Quantum Effects in Gravity, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **119** 240402 (2017);
- [3] S.Bose et al., Spin Entanglement Witness for Quantum Gravity, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119** 240401 (2017)
- [4] A.Belenchia et al., Information Content of the Gravitational Field of a Quantum Superposition, First Prize Essay in the Gravity Research Foundation 2019 Essays on Gravitation; also A.Belenchia et al., Quantum superposition of massive objects and the quantization of gravity, *Phys. Rev. D* **98** 126009 (2018)
- [5] D.Carney, P.C. E. Stamp and J.M.Taylor, Tabletop experiments for quantum gravity: a user's manual, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **36** 034001 (2019)
- [6] E.Varoquaux, Anderson's considerations on the flow of superfluid helium: Some offshoots, *Rev.Mod.Phys.* **87** 803 (2015)



- [7] Y.Sato and R.E.Packard, Superfluid helium quantum interference devices: physics and applications, Rep. Prog. Phys. **75** 016401 (2012)
- [8] M.Cerdonio and S.Vitale, Superfluid  $4\text{He}$  analog of the rf superconducting quantum interference device and the detection of inertial and gravitational fields, Phys. Rev. **B 29** 481 (1984)
- [9] see [7] and for details ref [53] in [7]: this is an elaboration of the fundamental scheme using a heater to promote controlled additional velocities fields in the superfluid component and allow direct measurements of the corresponding phase shifts; an even more complete elaboration, see for details ref [100] in [7], allows continuous operation, linearization of the output and a  $250\ 2\pi$  dynamic range; these schemes add degrees of freedom in the experiment, which may be useful for further version of the test and for cross checking
- [10] see ref [6] for the illuminating discussions on ODLRO in Sec VIII B "Landau's two fluid, ODLRO and macrorealism" and on the analogy with the optics of interferometry in a rotating space-time in Sec VIII A "Matter waves and superfluid interferometry"; here also details on the behaviour of the superfluid density across the junction
- [11] K.Sukhatme et al., Observation of the ideal Josephson effect in superfluid  $\text{He}^4$ , Nature **411** 280 (2001); E. Hoskinson et al., Transition from phase slips to the Josephson effect in a superfluid  $4\text{He}$  weak link, Nature Physics **2** 23 (2006)
- [12] R.H.Price and R.P. Phillips, The force between two charged wires, Am.J.Phys. **58**, 534 (1990)
- [13] Y.Sato and R.Packard, On the feasibility of detecting an Aharonov-Bohm phase shift in neutral matter, Journal of Physics: Conference Series **150**, 032093 (2009)
- [14] we took this notion from the electromagnetic version outlined for a Gedanken experiment on QG vs CG, see [4] and refs [3,9] therein
- [15] C. J. Grebenkemper and J. P. Hagen, The Dielectric Constant of Liquid Helium, Phys. Rev. **80** 89 (1950)]
- [16] T.W. van de Kamp et al., Quantum Gravity Witness via Entanglement of Masses: Casimir Screening, arXiv:2006.06931v1 [gr-qc] 12 Jun 2020
- [17] Y.Sato, A.Yoshi and R.Packard, Superfluid  $\text{He}^4$  Quantum Interference Grating, Phys.Rev.Lett. 101 085302 (2008)
- [18] A.Grossardt, Acceleration noise constraints on gravity induced entanglement, arXiv:2007.05762v1 [gr-qc] 11 Jul 2020
- [19] A.Gebauer et al., Reconstruction of the Instantaneous Earth Rotation Vector with Sub-Arcsecond Resolution Using a Large Scale Ring Laser Array, Phys. Rev. Lett. 033605 (2020)
- [20] F. Bosi et al., Measuring gravitomagnetic effects by a multi-ring-laser gyroscope, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 122002 (2011)
- [21] T.D. Galley, F. Giacomini and J.H. Selby "A no-go theorem on the nature of the gravitational field beyond quantum theory" arXiv:2012.01441v1 [quant-ph] 2 Dec 202