

# A PERTURBATION RESULT OF M-ACCRETIVE LINEAR OPERATORS IN HILBERT SPACES

MOHAMMED BENHARRAT<sup>1\*</sup>

ABSTRACT. A new sufficient condition is given for the sum of linear m-accretive operator and accretive operator one in a Hilbert space to be m-accretive. As an application, an extended result to the operator-norm error bound estimate for the exponential Trotter-Kato product formula is given.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A linear operator  $T$  with domain  $\mathcal{D}(T)$  in a complex Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is said to be accretive if

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle Tx, x \rangle \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{D}(T)$$

or, equivalently if

$$\|(\lambda + T)x\| \geq \lambda \|x\| \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{D}(T) \text{ and } \lambda > 0.$$

Further, if  $\mathcal{R}(\lambda + T) = \mathcal{H}$  for some (and hence for every)  $\lambda > 0$ , we say that  $T$  is m-accretive. In particular, every m-accretive operator is accretive and closed densely defined, its adjoint is also m-accretive (cf. [7], p. 279). Furthermore,

$$(\lambda + T)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{and} \quad \|(\lambda + T)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \text{ for } \lambda > 0,$$

where,  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  denote the Banach space of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . In particular, a bounded accretive operator is m-accretive.

Consider two linear operators  $T$  and  $A$  in the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , such that  $\mathcal{D}(T) \subset \mathcal{D}(A)$ . Assume furthermore that  $T$  is m-accretive and  $A$  is an accretive operator. Then the question is:

Under which conditions the sum  $T + A$  is m-accretive?

Many papers have been devoted to this problem and most results treat pairs  $T, A$  of relatively bounded or resolvent commuting operators. We refer the reader to [2, 3, 5, 6, 15, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22]. Since  $T$  is closed it follows that there are two nonnegative constants  $a, b$  such that

$$\|Ax\|^2 \leq a \|x\|^2 + b \|Tx\|^2, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{D}(T) \subset \mathcal{D}(A). \quad (1.1)$$

In this case,  $A$  is called relatively bounded with respect to  $T$  or simply  $T$ -bounded, and refer to  $b$  as a relative bound. Gustafson [4], generalizing basic work of Rellich, Kato, and others (cf. [7]), showed that that  $T + A$  is also m-accretive if  $A$  is  $T$ -bounded, with

---

*Date:* 25/07/2020.

\* Corresponding author

This work was supported by the Algerian research project: PRFU, no. C00L03ES310120180002.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A10; 47A56.

*Key words and phrases.* Accretive operators, Perturbation theory, Trotter-Kato product formula.

$b < 1$  (see [4, Theorem 2.]). Okazawa showed in [14] that the closure of the sum  $T + A$  is m-accretive, if the bounded operator  $A(t+T)^{-1}$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  is a contraction for some  $t > 0$ , [14, Theorem 1.]. In particular, he also showed that the validity of (1.1) with  $b = 1$  implies that the closure of  $T + A$  is m-accretive, [14, Corollary 1.]. Later, the same author in [13] gave a variant of perturbation by assumed the existence of two nonnegative constants  $a$  and  $\beta \leq 1$  such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle Tx, Ax \rangle + a \|x\|^2 + \beta \|Tx\|^2 \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{D}(T). \quad (1.2)$$

If  $\beta < 1$ , then  $T + A$  is m-accretive and also the closure of  $T + A$  is m-accretive for  $\beta = 1$ , [13, Theorem 4.1]. Note that this result cover the case of relatively bounded perturbation, see [13, Remark 4.4]. There are many papers on the question of such perturbation, see [15, 16, 17, 19, 21] for more results.

The aim of this paper is to establish a new perturbation results on the m-accretivity of the operator  $T + A$ . This may be viewed as a slight improvement and generalization of the perturbation results, particularly, those of Okazawa, [15, 13]. The following lemma is our partial answer to the question above.

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $T$  and  $A$  two operators such that  $\mathcal{D}(T) \subset \mathcal{D}(A)$ . Assume that  $T$  is m-accretive,  $A$  is accretive and there exists  $c \geq 0$ , such that*

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle Tx, Ax \rangle \geq c \|Ax\|^2, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{D}(T). \quad (1.3)$$

If we take  $b = \max\{c \geq 0 : (1.3) \text{ holds}\}$ , we have,

- (1) if  $0 \leq b \leq 1$ , then  $T + A$  is also m-accretive,
- (2) if  $b > 1$  then  $T + A$  is m- $\omega$ -accretive, with  $\omega = \pi/2 - \arcsin(\frac{b-1}{b})$ .

Here,  $T$  is m- $\omega$ -accretive if  $e^{\pm i\theta}T$  is m-accretive for  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2} - \omega$ ,  $0 < \omega \leq \pi/2$ . In this case,  $-T$  generates an holomorphic contraction semigroup on the sector  $|\arg(\lambda)| < \omega$ . In this connection, we note that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\|(\lambda + T)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{M_\varepsilon}{|\lambda|}, \quad \text{for } |\arg(\lambda)| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} + \omega - \varepsilon$$

with  $M_\varepsilon$  is independent of  $\lambda$  (see [7, pp. 490]).

The novelty of the lemma is the optimality of  $b$  such that (1.3) holds. Clearly, (1.3) implies  $\operatorname{Re} \langle Tx, Ax \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{D}(T)$ , this exactly the assumption of [14, Theorem 2.]. Hence, we conclude that  $T + A$  is also m-accretive. Our result is a refinement of this result by given a more precise sector containing the numerical range in function of the constant  $b$ . Also, from (1.3), we have for  $b > 0$ ,

$$\|Ax\| \leq \frac{1}{b} \|Tx\|, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{D}(T). \quad (1.4)$$

Thus the assumption (1.3) is stronger than the relative boundedness with respect to  $T$ . In particular, if  $b > 1$  the lower bound  $\frac{1}{b} < 1$ , so according to [4, Theorem 2.],  $T + A$  is m-accretive. Here, we say more,  $T + A$  is m- $\omega$ -accretive with  $\omega$  depends of the lower bound  $\frac{1}{b} < 1$ .

## 2. PROOF OF THE LEMMA

*Proof of Lemma 1.1.* Let  $b = \max\{c \geq 0 : (1.3) \text{ holds}\}$ . If  $b = 0$ , this exactly the [14, Theorem 2.]. Assume that  $0 \leq b \leq 1$ . We obtain from (1.3)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \operatorname{Re} \langle Tx, Ax \rangle - b \|Ax\|^2 \\ &\leq \operatorname{Re} \langle Tx, Ax \rangle + (\alpha - b) \|Ax\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for some  $\alpha > 1$ . Using (1.2), we get

$$0 \leq \operatorname{Re} \langle Tx, Ax \rangle + \frac{\alpha - b}{b^2} \|Tx\|^2.$$

Choosing  $\alpha$  such that  $\beta = \frac{\alpha - b}{b^2} < 1$ , by (1.2) we conclude that  $T + A$  is m-accretive (cf.[13, Theorem 4.1]).

Now, suppose that that  $b > 1$ . Let  $x \in \mathcal{D}(T)$ , then for every  $t > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \langle tx + Tx, Ax \rangle &= t \operatorname{Re} \langle x, Ax \rangle + \operatorname{Re} \langle Tx, Ax \rangle \\ &\geq b \|Ax\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\|Ax\| \leq \frac{1}{b} \|tx + Tx\|. \quad (2.1)$$

Since  $T$  is m-accretive, then

$$\|A(t + T)^{-1}x\| \leq \frac{1}{b} \|x\|, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Hence it follows that

$$\|A(t + T)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{b} < 1. \quad (2.2)$$

Then the operator  $I + A(t + T)^{-1}$  is invertible and

$$\|(I + A(t + T)^{-1})^{-1}\| \leq \frac{b}{b - 1}.$$

The fact that

$$t + T + A = [I + A(t + T)^{-1}](t + T),$$

it follows that  $-t \in \rho(T + A)$  and

$$\|t(t + T + A)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{b}{b - 1} = M, \quad \text{for all } t > 0,$$

with  $M > 1$ . Since  $T + A$  is accretive,  $\rho(T + A)$  contains also the half plane  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}(z) < 0\}$ . Put  $S = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z \neq 0; |\arg(z)| < \pi/2 - \arcsin(\frac{1}{M}) = \theta\}$  and  $M' := 1/\sin(\pi/2 - \theta')$  with  $0 < \theta < \theta' < \pi/2$ , clearly  $M' > M$ . Let  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $|\arg(\mu)| \leq \theta'$  and fix  $\lambda$  with  $\operatorname{Re}\lambda = -t < 0$ . Let  $|\mu - \lambda| \leq \frac{|\lambda|}{M'}$ , we have that

$\|(\mu - \lambda)(t + T + A)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{M}{M'} < 1$ . Hence it follows that  $\mu \in \rho(T + A)$  and

$$(\mu + T + A)^{-1} = (\lambda + T + A)^{-1}[I + (\mu - \lambda)(\lambda + T + A)^{-1}]^{-1}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mu(\mu + T + A)^{-1}\| &\leq \frac{|\mu|}{|\lambda|} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{M}{M'}} M \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{M'}\right) \frac{1}{1 - \frac{M}{M'}} M. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 + \frac{1}{M'}\right) \frac{1}{1 - \frac{M}{M'}} M &= \frac{1 + \sin(\pi/2 - \theta')}{\sin(\pi/2 - \omega) - \sin(\pi/2 - \theta')} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sin((\theta' - \theta)/2) \sin((\theta' + \theta)/2)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sin(\theta' - \theta) \sin(\theta)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sin(\theta' - \theta) \sin(\pi/2 - \arcsin(\frac{1}{M}))} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sin(\theta' - \theta) \cos(\arcsin(\frac{1}{M}))} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sin(\theta' - \theta) \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{M^2}}} \\ &\leq \frac{M}{\sin(\theta' - \theta) \sqrt{M^2 - 1}}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\|(\mu + T + A)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{M}{|\mu| \sin(\theta' - \theta) \sqrt{M^2 - 1}}.$$

This shows that the sector  $S$  belongs to  $\rho(T + A)$  and for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\|(\mu + T + A)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{M_\varepsilon}{|\mu|} \quad \text{for} \quad |\arg(\mu)| \leq \pi/2 - \arcsin(\frac{1}{M}) + \varepsilon,$$

with  $M_\varepsilon = \frac{M}{\sin(\varepsilon) \sqrt{M^2 - 1}}$  and  $\theta' - \theta = \varepsilon$ . Clearly,  $M_\varepsilon$  is independent of  $\mu$ . Hence,  $T + A$

is  $m$ - $\omega$ -accretive, with  $\omega = \pi/2 - \arcsin(\frac{b-1}{b})$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.1.* (1) As seen in the last paragraph of the proof, the condition (1.2) implies (1.3) at least for  $0 \leq b \leq 1$ . Thus [13, Theorem 4.1] is covered by Lemma 1.1.

(2) If the assumptions of Lemma 1.1 are satisfied, we can see that  $Re \langle tx + Tx, Ax \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{D}(T)$ . Therefore  $A(t + T)^{-1}$  is bounded accretive operator for any  $t > 0$ .

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $T$  and  $A$  as in Lemma 1.1 obeying (1.3). Then*

- (1)  $-(T + A)$  generates contractive one-parameter semigroup for  $0 \leq b \leq 1$ .
- (2)  $-(T + A)$  generates contractive holomorphic one-parameter semigroup with angle  $\omega = \arcsin(\frac{b-1}{b})$  for  $b > 1$ .

### 3. AN APPLICATION

One of interest is the operator-norm error bound estimate for the exponential Trotter-Kato product formula in the case of accretive perturbations, see [1, 10, 11] and [12] for a short survey. Let  $A$  be a semibounded from below densely defined self-adjoint operator and  $B$  an  $m$ -accretive operator in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ .

In [1, Theorem 3.4] it has been shown that if  $B$  is  $A$ -bounded with lower bound  $< 1$  and

$$\mathcal{D}((A + B)^\alpha) \subset \mathcal{D}(A^\alpha) \cap \mathcal{D}((B^*)^\alpha) \neq \{0\} \quad \text{for some } \alpha \in (0,1], \quad (3.1)$$

then there is a constant  $L_\alpha > 0$  such that the estimates

$$\left\| (e^{-tB/n} e^{-tA/n})^n - e^{-t(A+B)} \right\| \leq L_\alpha \frac{\ln n}{n^\alpha} \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\left\| (e^{-tA^*/n} e^{-tB^*/n})^n - e^{-t(A+B)^*} \right\| \leq L_\alpha \frac{\ln n}{n^\alpha} \quad (3.3)$$

hold for some  $\alpha \in (0,1]$  and  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  uniformly in  $t \geq 0$ . Here  $T^\alpha$  denotes the fractional powers of an  $m$ -accretive operator, see [8, 9].

The aim of the present result is to extend [1, Theorem 3.4]. This extension is accomplished by replacing the relative boundedness by the assumption (1.3). More precisely, we have

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $A$  be a semibounded from below densely defined self-adjoint operator and  $B$  an  $m$ -accretive operator with (1.3) for some  $b > 1$ . Assume that (3.1) holds. Then there is a constant  $L_\alpha > 0$  such that the estimates (3.2) and (3.3) hold for some  $\alpha \in (0,1]$  and  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  uniformly in  $t \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* From (1.3), we have for  $b > 1$ ,

$$\|Bx\| \leq a \|Ax\|, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{D}(A), \quad (3.4)$$

with  $a = \frac{1}{b} < 1$ . Hence  $B$  is  $A$ -bounded with lower bound  $a < 1$ . Also, by lemma 1.1,  $A + B$  is  $m$ - $\omega$ -accretive, with  $\omega = \pi/2 - \arcsin(\frac{b-1}{b})$ . Now, all assumptions of [1, Theorem 3.4] are fulfilled. Hence we obtain the desired result.  $\square$

*Remark 3.2.* It well known that, for an  $m$ -accretive operator  $T$ , the fractional powers  $T^\alpha$  are  $m$ - $(\alpha\pi)/2$ -accretive and, if  $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$ , then  $\mathcal{D}(T^\alpha) = \mathcal{D}(T^{*\alpha})$ , see [9, Theorem 1.1]. Since  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $A + B$  are  $m$ -accretive operators, we deduce that

$$\mathcal{D}((A + B)^{*\alpha}) = \mathcal{D}((A + B)^\alpha) \subset \mathcal{D}(A^\alpha) \cap \mathcal{D}(B^\alpha) = \mathcal{D}(A^\alpha) \cap \mathcal{D}((B^*)^\alpha),$$

for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1/2[$ . Thus, the condition (3.1) may be omitted in Theorem 3.1 if we take  $\alpha \in (0, 1/2[$  (cf. [1, Theorem 4.1]).

## REFERENCES

- [1] V. Cachia, H. Neidhardt and V. A. Zagrebnov, *Comments on the Trotter product formula error-bound estimates for nonself-adjoint semigroups*. Integr. equ. oper. theory 42, 425–448 (2002).
- [2] P. R. Chernoff, *Perturbations of dissipative operators with relative bound one*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 33 (1972), 72–74.
- [3] K-J. Engel, *On perturbations of linear  $m$ -accretive operators on reflexive Banach spaces*, Mh. Math. 119 (1995), 259–265.
- [4] K. Gustafson, *A perturbation lemma*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc., 72 (1966), 334–338.
- [5] P. Hess, T. Kato, *Perturbation of closed operators and their adjoints*, Comment. Math. Helv. 45 (1970) 524–529.
- [6] S. Krol, *Perturbation theorems for holomorphic semigroups*, J. Evol. Equ. 9 (2009), 449–468.
- [7] T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1995).
- [8] T. Kato, *Note on fractional powers of linear operators*, Proc. Japan Acad. 36 (1960), no. 3, 94–96.
- [9] T. Kato, *Fractional powers of dissipative operators*, Proc. Japan Acad. 13 (3) (1961), 246–274.
- [10] T. Kato, *On the Trotter-Lie product formula*. Proc. Japan Acad. 50 (1974), 694–698.
- [11] T. Kato, *Trotter’s product formula for an arbitrary pair of self-adjoint contraction semigroups*. Topics in Funct. Anal., Ad. Math. Suppl. Studies Vol. 3, 185–195 (I.Gohberg and M.Kac eds.). Acad. Press, New York 1978.
- [12] H. Neidhardt, A. Stephan, V. A. Zagrebnov, *Operator-Norm Convergence of the Trotter Product Formula on Hilbert and Banach Spaces: A Short Survey*. In: Rassias T. (eds) Current Research in Nonlinear Analysis. Springer Optimization and Its Applications, vol 135. Springer, Cham (2018).
- [13] N. Okazawa, *Perturbations of Linear  $m$ -Accretive Operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 37, No. 1 (Jan., 1973), pp. 169-174.
- [14] N. Okazawa, *Two perturbation theorems for contraction semigroups in a Hilbert space*, Proc. Japan Acad. 45 (1969), 850-853.
- [15] N. Okazawa, *Approximation of linear  $m$ -accretive operators in a Hilbert space*, Osaka J. Math., 14 (1977), 85–94.
- [16] N. Okazawa, *On the perturbation of linear operators in Banach and Hilbert spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 34 (1982) 677–701.
- [17] N. Okazawa, *Perturbation theory for  $m$ -accretive operators and generalized complex Ginzburg-Landau equations*, J. Math. Soc. Japan Vol. 54 No. 1 (2002), 1–19.
- [18] M. Sobajima, *A class of relatively bounded perturbations for generators of bounded analytic semigroups in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 416 (2014) 855–861
- [19] H. Sohr, *Ein neues Surjektivitätskriterium im Hilbertraum*. Mh. Math. 91, 313–337 (1981).
- [20] R. Wust, *Generalisations of Rellich’s theorem on perturbation of (essentially) selfadjoint operators*, Math. Z. 119 (1971), 276–280.
- [21] A. Yoshikawa, *On Perturbation of closed operators in a Banach space*, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ., 22 (1972), 50–61.
- [22] K. Yosida, *A perturbation theorem for semigroups of linear operators*, Proc. Japan Acad. 41 (1965), 645–64.

<sup>1</sup> DÉPARTEMENT DE GÉNIE DES SYSTÈMES, ÉCOLE NATIONALE POLYTECHNIQUE D’ORAN-MAURICE AUDIN (EX. ENSET D’ORAN), BP 1523 ORAN-EL M’NAOUAR, 31000 ORAN, ALGÉRIE.

*E-mail address:* [mohammed.benharrat@enp-oran.dz](mailto:mohammed.benharrat@enp-oran.dz), [mohammed.benharrat@gmail.com](mailto:mohammed.benharrat@gmail.com)