

Double constructions of quadratic and symplectic antiassociative algebras

Gbèwèwou Damien Houndedji[†] and Cyrille Essossolim Haliya^{*}

July 24, 2020

ABSTRACT. This work addresses some relevant characteristics and properties of q -generalized associative algebras and q -generalized dendriform algebras such as bimodules, matched pairs. We construct for the special case of $q = -1$ an antiassociative algebra with a decomposition into the direct sum of the underlying vector spaces of another antiassociative algebra and its dual such that both of them are subalgebras and the natural symmetric bilinear form is invariant or the natural antisymmetric bilinear form is symplectic. The former is called a double construction of quadratic antiassociative algebra and the later is a double construction of symplectic antiassociative algebra which is interpreted in terms of antidendriform algebras. We classify the 2-dimensional antiassociative algebras and thoroughly give some double constructions of quadratic and symplectic antiassociative algebras

Keywords. Antiassociative algebra, antidendriform, symplectic form, \mathcal{O} -operator
MSC2010. 16T25, 05C25, 16S99, 16Z05.

1. Introduction

Antiassociative algebras first arise in the litterature specially in [10]. In their paper Okubo and Kamiya gave the essential properties of antiassociative algebras and introduced Jordan-Lie (super) algebras which is intimately related to both Jordan-super and antiassociative algebras. In 2014, M. Markl and E. Remm in [9] formulated and proved results concerning Koszulness of operads for n -ary algebras where they focused on the particular case of antiassociative operation, i.e. an operation $(a, b) \rightarrow ab$ satisfying $(ab)c + a(bc) = 0$ for each a, b and c . They showed that the corresponding operad is not Koszul, hence while the standard cohomology has no sensible meaning, the deformation cohomology coincides with the triple cohomology [6, 7] and governs deformations of antiassociative algebras.

Recently, P. Zummanovich shows that there's a strong link in between antiassociative algebras and Mock-Lie algebras (see [12] and [5] for more details).

From the above mentionned litterature, succeeding in providing an antiassociative algebraic structure particularly in low dimension may give a good framework for a better description to the above mathematical constructions.

In [2] C. Bai discusses double constructions of Frobenius algebras and antisymmetric infinitesimal bialgebras. Recall that a (symmetric) Frobenius algebra is an associative algebra with a non-degenerate (symmetric) invariant bilinear form.

Prior in this work, we will define the q -generalized associative algebras and the q -generalized dendriform algebras and derive their related algebraic structures such as bimodules and matched pairs. Then, we will discuss the double constructions of quadratic antiassociative algebras the way Bai treated double constructions of frobenius algebras. Furthermore, we will discuss the link between \mathcal{O} -operator and antidendriform algebras.

An \mathcal{O} -operator associated to a bimodule (l, r, V) of an associative algebra A is a linear map $T : V \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfying

$$T(u) \cdot T(v) = T(l(T(u))v + r(T(v)u)), \quad u, v \in V.$$

The notion of \mathcal{O} -operator was introduced in [4] (such a structure appeared independently in [11] under the name of generalized Rota-Baxter operator) which is an analogue of the \mathcal{O} -operator defined by Kupershmidt as a natural generalization of the operator form of the classical Yang-Baxter equation ([8] and a further study in [3]). Conversely, the antisymmetric part of an \mathcal{O} -operator satisfies the associative Yang-Baxter equation in a larger associative algebra.

We will show that from an \mathcal{O} -operator, one can get an antidendriform algebra the same way the dendriform algebra is derived from \mathcal{O} -operator. Dendriform algebras are equipped with an associative product which can be written as a linear combination of nonassociative compositions. They were introduced by Loday with motivation in K-theory and have been studied extensively in several area of mathematics and physics, etc (see [2] and reference therein for more details). Analogously, we will show that antidendriform algebras are equipped with an antiassociative structure which can be written as a linear combination of nonassociative compositions.

Furthermore, we find that there is a compatible antidendriform algebra structure on an antiassociative algebra \mathcal{A} if and only if there exists an invertible \mathcal{O} -operator of \mathcal{A} , or equivalently, there exists an invertible symplectic form associated to certain suitable bimodule of \mathcal{A} .

The paper is organized as follow. In Sec.2, we define the notion of the q -generalized associative algebras and study their related algebraic structures such as bimodules and matched pairs. Sec.3, we give an explicit and systematic study on the double constructions of quadratic antiassociative algebras. In Sect.4, we introduce the notion of q -generalized dendriform algebras and give an explicit study on their bimodules and matched pairs. In Sec.5, we introduce the close relations between \mathcal{O} -operators and antidendriform algebras and explicitly study the double construction of symplectic antiassociative algebras. In Sec.6, we investigate the classification of the 2-dimensional antiassociative algebras and, quadratic and symplectic double constructions.

2. q -generalized associative algebras

2.1. Preliminaries. We consider two important non degenerate bilinear forms on an antiassociative algebra given as follows.

1. A symmetric bilinear form $B(,)$ on an antiassociative algebra \mathcal{A} is invariant if

$$B(xy, z) = B(x, yz) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (2.1)$$

2. A skew-symmetric bilinear form $\omega(,)$ on an antiassociative algebra \mathcal{A} is said to be symplectic if ω satisfies the following identity:

$$\omega(xy, z) + \omega(yz, x) + \omega(zx, y) = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}$.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let \cdot be a bilinear product in a vector space \mathcal{A} . Suppose that it satisfies the following law:

$$(x \cdot y) \cdot z = -x \cdot (y \cdot z). \quad (2.3)$$

Then, we call the pair (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) an **antiassociative algebra**. Combining both associative ($q=1$) and antiassociative ($q=-1$) cases, any algebra \mathcal{A} satisfying

$$(x \cdot y) \cdot z = qx \cdot (y \cdot z), \quad q = 1, -1$$

is called a **q -associative algebra**.

LEMMA 2.2. [10] Let (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) be an antiassociative algebra. Then any product involving four or more elements of (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) is identically zero. For example, we have

$$(x \cdot y) \cdot (z \cdot w) = 0$$

for any $x, y, z, w \in V$.

PROOF. For simplicity, set $u = x \cdot y$, $v = z \cdot w$ and $t = y \cdot z$. We then compute

$$(x \cdot y) \cdot (z \cdot w) = u \cdot (z \cdot w) = -(u \cdot z) \cdot w = -[(x \cdot y) \cdot z] \cdot w = [x \cdot (y \cdot z)] \cdot w = (x \cdot t) \cdot w$$

and

$$(x \cdot y) \cdot (z \cdot w) = (x \cdot y) \cdot v = -x \cdot (y \cdot v) = -x \cdot [y \cdot (z \cdot w)] = x \cdot [(y \cdot z) \cdot w] = x \cdot (t \cdot w).$$

Adding both of them gives

$$2(x \cdot y) \cdot (z \cdot w) = (x \cdot t) \cdot w + x \cdot (t \cdot w) = 0$$

which implies that

$$2 \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (x \cdot y) \cdot (z \cdot w) = 0.$$

It's also clear that

$$[(x \cdot y) \cdot z] \cdot w = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x \cdot [(y \cdot z) \cdot w] = 0.$$

□

COROLLARY 2.3. [10] *Any antiassociative algebra cannot have idempotent element. In particular, it cannot possess the unit element.*

PROOF. Setting $x = y = z = w = e$ in Lemma 2.2 we obtain $e^2 \cdot e^2 = 0$. If we consider $e^2 = e$ then this leads to $e^2 = 0$ implies that $e = 0$. □

Let's assume the field K is of characteristic $\neq 2, 3$.

DEFINITION 2.4. [12] *An algebra (\mathcal{A}, \diamond) over K is called mock Lie if it is commutative:*

$$x \diamond y = y \diamond x, \tag{2.4}$$

and satisfies the Jacobi identity:

$$(x \diamond y) \diamond z + (z \diamond x) \diamond y + (y \diamond z) \diamond x = 0 \tag{2.5}$$

for any $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}$.

THEOREM 2.5. [12] *Given an antiassociative algebra (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) , the new algebra \mathcal{A}^\dagger with multiplication give by the "anticommutator"*

$$a \diamond b = \frac{1}{2} (a \cdot b + b \cdot a),$$

is a mock-Lie algebra.

PROOF. Let $x, y \in \mathcal{A}^\dagger$. Then

$$x \diamond y = \frac{1}{2} (x \cdot y + y \cdot x)$$

and

$$y \diamond x = \frac{1}{2} (y \cdot x + x \cdot y).$$

Therefore $x \diamond y - y \diamond x = 0$ lead to the commutativity of \diamond . On the other hand $\forall x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}^\dagger$

$$\begin{aligned} (x \diamond y) \diamond z &= \left(\frac{1}{2} (x \cdot y + y \cdot x) \right) \diamond z, \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (x \cdot y + y \cdot x) \cdot z + \frac{1}{4} z \cdot (x \cdot y + y \cdot x), \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (x \cdot y) \cdot z + \frac{1}{4} (y \cdot x) \cdot z + \frac{1}{4} z \cdot (x \cdot y) + \frac{1}{4} z \cdot (y \cdot x), \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (x \cdot y) \cdot z + \frac{1}{4} (y \cdot x) \cdot z - (z \cdot x) \cdot y - (z \cdot y) \cdot x \quad \text{antiassociativity of } \cdot. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} (y \diamond z) \diamond x &= \frac{1}{4}(y \cdot z) \cdot x + \frac{1}{4}(z \cdot y) \cdot x + \frac{1}{4}x \cdot (y \cdot z) + \frac{1}{4}x \cdot (z \cdot y), \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(y \cdot z) \cdot x + \frac{1}{4}(z \cdot y) \cdot x - \frac{1}{4}(x \cdot y) \cdot z + \frac{1}{4}x \cdot (z \cdot y), \quad \text{antiassociativity of } \cdot \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (z \diamond x) \diamond y &= \frac{1}{4}(z \cdot x) \cdot y + \frac{1}{4}(x \cdot z) \cdot y + \frac{1}{4}y \cdot (z \cdot x) + \frac{1}{4}y \cdot (x \cdot z), \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(z \cdot x) \cdot y - \frac{1}{4}x \cdot (z \cdot y) - \frac{1}{4}(y \cdot z) \cdot x - \frac{1}{4}(y \cdot x) \cdot z, \quad \text{antiassociativity of } \cdot. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x \diamond y) \diamond z + (y \diamond z) \diamond x + (z \diamond x) \diamond y &= \frac{1}{4}(x \cdot y) \cdot z + \frac{1}{4}(y \cdot x) \cdot z - \frac{1}{4}(z \cdot x) \cdot y - \frac{1}{4}(z \cdot y) \cdot x \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4}(y \cdot z) \cdot x + \frac{1}{4}(z \cdot y) \cdot x - \frac{1}{4}(x \cdot y) \cdot z + \frac{1}{4}x \cdot (z \cdot y) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4}(z \cdot x) \cdot y - \frac{1}{4}x \cdot (z \cdot y) - \frac{1}{4}(y \cdot z) \cdot x - \frac{1}{4}(y \cdot x) \cdot z, \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the Jacobi identity. \square

Now, let us give a generalized definition.

DEFINITION 2.6. Let (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) be an algebra over field \mathcal{K} . (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) is called **q -generalized associative algebra** when it satisfies the following law:

$$(x \cdot y) \cdot z = qx \cdot (y \cdot z), q \in \mathcal{K} - \{0\}. \quad (2.6)$$

EXAMPLE 2.7. Let (\mathcal{A}, \circ) be a Zinbiel algebra, that is for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$(x \circ y) \circ z = x \circ (y \circ z) + x \circ (z \circ y).$$

If " \circ " is commutative, we have

$$(x \circ y) \circ z = x \circ (y \circ z) + x \circ (y \circ z) = 2x \circ (y \circ z)$$

Hence, a commutative Zinbiel algebra is a 2-associative algebra.

2.2. Bimodules and matched pairs of q -generalized associative algebras.

DEFINITION 2.8. Let \mathcal{A} be a q -generalized associative algebra and let V be a vector space. Let $l, r, \mathcal{A} \rightarrow gl(V)$ be two linear maps. V (or the pair (l, r) , or (l, r, V)) is called a bimodule of \mathcal{A} if

$$l(xy)v = ql(x)l(y)v, r(xy)v = q^{-1}r(y)r(x)v, l(x)r(y)v = q^{-1}r(y)l(x)v$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}, v \in V$.

REMARK 2.9. Let \mathcal{A} be a q -generalized associative algebra and (l, r, V) the bimodule of \mathcal{A}

- For the particular case of $q = 1$, (l, r, V) is a bimodule of associative algebra \mathcal{A} ie

$$l(xy)v = l(x)l(y)v, r(xy)v = r(y)r(x)v, l(x)r(y)v = r(y)l(x)v, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{A}, v \in V, \quad (2.7)$$

which is well known in the litterature.

- When $q = -1$, (l, r, V) is a bimodule of antiassociative algebra \mathcal{A} ie

$$l(xy)v = -l(x)l(y)v, r(xy)v = -r(y)r(x)v, l(x)r(y)v = -r(y)l(x)v \quad (2.8)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}, v \in V$.

- When $q = 2$, (l, r, V) is a bimodule of a commutative Zinbiel algebra of \mathcal{A} ie

$$l(xy)v = 2l(x)l(y)v, r(xy)v = \frac{1}{2}r(y)r(x)v, l(x)r(y)v = \frac{1}{2}r(y)l(x)v, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{A}, v \in V. \quad (2.9)$$

PROPOSITION 2.10. (l, r, V) is a bimodule of a q -generalized associative algebra \mathcal{A} if and only if the direct sum $\mathcal{A} \oplus V$ of vectors spaces is turned into a q -generalized associative algebra by defining multiplication in $\mathcal{A} \oplus V$ by

$$(x + a) * (y + b) = x \cdot y + (l(x)b + r(y)a)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}, a, b \in V$.

Proof: We have:

$$\begin{aligned} [(x_1 + v_1) * (x_2 + v_2)] * (x_3 + v_3) &= (x_1 \cdot x_2) \cdot x_3 + l(x_1 \cdot x_2)v_3 \\ &\quad + r(x_3)(l(x_1)v_2) + r(x_3)(r(x_2)v_1) \\ &= qx_1 \cdot (x_2 \cdot x_3) + ql(x_1)l(x_2)v_3 \\ &\quad + ql(x_1)r(x_3)v_2 + qr(x_2 \cdot x_3)v_1 \\ &= q(x_1 + v_1) * [(x_2 + v_2) * (x_3 + v_3)] \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathcal{A}, v_1, v_2, v_3 \in V$. □

We denote such q -generalized associative algebra $(\mathcal{A} \oplus V, *)$ by $\mathcal{A} \ltimes_{l,r} V$ or simply $\mathcal{A} \ltimes V$.

LEMMA 2.11. Let (l, r, V) be a bimodule of a q -generalized associative algebra \mathcal{A} .

(i) Let $l^*, r^* : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow gl(V^*)$ be the linear maps given by

$$\langle l^*(x)u^*, v \rangle = \langle l(x)v, u^* \rangle, \langle r^*(x)u^*, v \rangle = \langle r(x)v, u^* \rangle \quad (2.10)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}, u^* \in V^*, v \in V$. Then, $(q^{-2}r^*, q^2l^*, V^*)$ is a bimodule of \mathcal{A} .

(ii) $(l, 0, V), (0, r, V), (q^{-2}r^*, 0, V^*)$ and $(0, q^2l^*, V^*)$ are bimodules.

Proof: Let (l, r, V) be a bimodule of a q -generalized associative algebra \mathcal{A} . Show that $(q^{-2}r^*, q^2l^*, V^*)$ is a bimodule of \mathcal{A} . Let $x, y \in \mathcal{A}, u^* \in V^*, v \in V$, we have

(i)

$$\langle q^{-2}r^*(xy)u^*, v \rangle = \langle q^{-2}r(xy)v, u^* \rangle = \langle q^{-3}r(y)r(x)v, u^* \rangle = \langle q(q^{-2}r^*)(x)(q^{-2}r^*)(y)u^*, v \rangle$$

$$\text{leading to } q^{-2}r^*(xy)u^* = q(q^{-2}r^*)(x)(q^{-2}r^*)(y)u^*;$$

(ii)

$$\langle q^2l^*(xy)u^*, v \rangle = \langle q^2l(xy)v, u^* \rangle = \langle q^3l(x)l(y)v, u^* \rangle = \langle q^{-1}(q^2l^*)(y)(q^2l^*)(x)u^*, v \rangle$$

$$\text{giving } q^2l^*(xy)u^* = q^{-1}(q^2l^*)(y)(q^2l^*)(x)u^*;$$

(iii)

$$\langle (q^{-2}r^*)(x)(q^2l^*)(y)u^*, v \rangle = \langle l(y)r(x)v, u^* \rangle = \langle q^{-1}r(x)l(y)v, u^* \rangle = \langle q^{-1}(q^2l^*)(y)(q^{-2}r^*)(x)u^*, v \rangle$$

providing that $(q^{-2}r^*)(x)(q^2l^*)(y)u^* = q^{-1}(q^2l^*)(y)(q^{-2}r^*)(x)u^*$. Hence, $(q^{-2}r^*, q^2l^*, V^*)$ is a bimodule of \mathcal{A} .

Similarly, we can show also that $(l, 0, V), (0, r, V), (q^{-2}r^*, 0, V^*)$ and $(0, q^2l^*, V^*)$ are well bimodules of \mathcal{A} .

REMARK 2.12.

- For $q = 1$ we obtain a bimodule dual of an associative algebra which is well known in [1].
- For $q = \pm 1$ the dual bimodule of a bimodule of an antiassociative algebra \mathcal{A} and of a bimodule of an associative algebra \mathcal{A} are equal ie (r^*, l^*, V^*) .

□

EXAMPLE 2.13. Let (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) be a q -generalized associative algebra. Let $L.(x)$ and $R.(x)$ denote the left and right multiplication operators, respectively, that is, $L.(x)(y) = x \cdot y, R.(x)(y) = y \cdot x$. For any $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $L. : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow gl(\mathcal{A})$ with $x \mapsto L.(x)$ and $R. : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow gl(\mathcal{A})$ with $x \mapsto R.(x)$ (for every $x \in \mathcal{A}$) be two linear maps. Then $(L., 0), (0, R.)$ and $(L., R.)$ are bimodules of \mathcal{A} too.

THEOREM 2.14. *Let (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) and (\mathcal{B}, \circ) be two q -generalized associative algebras. Suppose that there are linear maps $l_{\mathcal{A}}, r_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow gl(\mathcal{B})$ and $l_{\mathcal{B}}, r_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow gl(\mathcal{A})$ such that $(l_{\mathcal{A}}, r_{\mathcal{A}})$ is a bimodule of \mathcal{A} and $(l_{\mathcal{B}}, r_{\mathcal{B}})$ is a bimodule of \mathcal{B} , satisfying the following conditions:*

$$l_{\mathcal{A}}(x)(a \circ b) = q^{-1}l_{\mathcal{A}}(r_{\mathcal{B}}(a)x)b + q^{-1}(l_{\mathcal{A}}(x)a) \circ b, \quad (2.11)$$

$$r_{\mathcal{A}}(x)(a \circ b) = qr_{\mathcal{A}}(l_{\mathcal{B}}(b)x)a + qa \circ (r_{\mathcal{A}}(x)b), \quad (2.12)$$

$$l_{\mathcal{B}}(a)(x \cdot y) = q^{-1}l_{\mathcal{B}}(r_{\mathcal{A}}(x)a)y + q^{-1}(l_{\mathcal{B}}(a)x) \cdot y, \quad (2.13)$$

$$r_{\mathcal{B}}(a)(x \cdot y) = qr_{\mathcal{B}}(l_{\mathcal{A}}(y)a)x + qx \cdot (r_{\mathcal{B}}(a)y), \quad (2.14)$$

$$l_{\mathcal{A}}(l_{\mathcal{B}}(a)x)b + (r_{\mathcal{A}}(x)a) \circ b - qr_{\mathcal{A}}(r_{\mathcal{B}}(b)x)a - qa \circ (l_{\mathcal{A}}(x)b) = 0, \quad (2.15)$$

$$l_{\mathcal{B}}(l_{\mathcal{A}}(x)a)y + (r_{\mathcal{B}}(a)x) \cdot y - qr_{\mathcal{B}}(r_{\mathcal{A}}(y)a)x - qx \cdot (l_{\mathcal{B}}(a)y) = 0 \quad (2.16)$$

for any $x, y \in \mathcal{A}, a, b \in \mathcal{B}$. Then, there is a q -generalized associative algebra structure on the direct sum $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}$ of the underlying vector spaces of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} given by

$$(x + a) * (y + b) = (x \cdot y + l_{\mathcal{B}}(a)y + r_{\mathcal{B}}(b)x) + (a \circ b + l_{\mathcal{A}}(x)b + r_{\mathcal{A}}(y)a) \quad (2.17)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}, a, b \in \mathcal{B}$. We denote this q -generalized associative algebra by $\mathcal{A} \bowtie_{l_{\mathcal{B}}, r_{\mathcal{B}}}^{l_{\mathcal{A}}, r_{\mathcal{A}}} \mathcal{B}$ or simply $\mathcal{A} \bowtie \mathcal{B}$.

Proof: We have:

$$\begin{aligned} ((x + a) * (y + b)) * (z + c) &= [(x \cdot y + l_{\mathcal{B}}(a)y + r_{\mathcal{B}}(b)x) \\ &\quad + (a \circ b + l_{\mathcal{A}}(x)b + r_{\mathcal{A}}(y)a)] * (z + c) \\ &= (x \cdot y) \cdot z + (l_{\mathcal{B}}(a)y) \cdot z + (r_{\mathcal{B}}(b)x) \cdot z \\ &\quad + l_{\mathcal{B}}(a \circ b)z + l_{\mathcal{B}}(l_{\mathcal{A}}(x)b)z + l_{\mathcal{B}}(r_{\mathcal{A}}(y)a)z \\ &\quad + r_{\mathcal{B}}(c)(x \cdot y) + r_{\mathcal{B}}(c)(l_{\mathcal{B}}(a)y) + r_{\mathcal{B}}(c)(r_{\mathcal{B}}(b)x) \\ &\quad + a \circ (b \circ c) + (l_{\mathcal{A}}(x)b) \circ c \\ &\quad + (l_{\mathcal{A}}(x)b) \circ c + (r_{\mathcal{A}}(y)a) \circ c \\ &\quad + l_{\mathcal{A}}(x \cdot y)c + l_{\mathcal{A}}(l_{\mathcal{B}}(a)y)c \\ &\quad + l_{\mathcal{A}}(r_{\mathcal{B}}(b)x)c + r_{\mathcal{A}}(z)(a \circ b) \\ &\quad + r_{\mathcal{A}}(z)(l_{\mathcal{A}}(x)b) + r_{\mathcal{A}}(z)(r_{\mathcal{A}}(y)a) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} q(x + a) * [(y + b) * (z + c)] &= q(x + a) * [(y \cdot z + l_{\mathcal{B}}(b)z + r_{\mathcal{B}}(c)y) \\ &\quad + (b \circ c + l_{\mathcal{A}}(y)c + r_{\mathcal{A}}(z)b)] \\ &= qx \cdot (y \cdot z) + qx \cdot (l_{\mathcal{B}}(b)z) + qx \cdot (r_{\mathcal{B}}(c)y) \\ &\quad + ql_{\mathcal{B}}(a)(y \cdot z) + ql_{\mathcal{B}}(a)(l_{\mathcal{B}}(b)z) + ql_{\mathcal{B}}(a)(r_{\mathcal{B}}(c)y) \\ &\quad + qr_{\mathcal{B}}(b \circ c)x + qr_{\mathcal{B}}(l_{\mathcal{A}}(y)c)x + qr_{\mathcal{B}}(r_{\mathcal{A}}(z)b)x \\ &\quad + qa \circ b \circ c + qa \circ (l_{\mathcal{A}}(y)c) + qa \circ (r_{\mathcal{A}}(z)b) \\ &\quad + ql_{\mathcal{A}}(x)(b \circ c) + ql_{\mathcal{A}}(x)(l_{\mathcal{A}}(y)c) + ql_{\mathcal{A}}(x)(r_{\mathcal{A}}(z)b) \\ &\quad + qr_{\mathcal{A}}(y \cdot z)a + qr_{\mathcal{A}}(l_{\mathcal{B}}(b)z)a + qr_{\mathcal{A}}(r_{\mathcal{B}}(c)y) \circ a. \end{aligned}$$

Then $((x + a) * (y + b)) * (z + c) = q(x + a) * ((y + b) * (z + c))$. □

DEFINITION 2.15. *Let (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) and (\mathcal{B}, \circ) be two q -generalized associative algebras. Suppose that there are linear maps $l_{\mathcal{A}}, r_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow gl(\mathcal{B})$ and $l_{\mathcal{B}}, r_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow gl(\mathcal{A})$ such that $(l_{\mathcal{A}}, r_{\mathcal{A}})$ is a bimodule of \mathcal{A} and $(l_{\mathcal{B}}, r_{\mathcal{B}})$ is a bimodule of \mathcal{B} . If the equations (2.11) - (2.16) are satisfied, then $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, l_{\mathcal{A}}, r_{\mathcal{A}}, l_{\mathcal{B}}, r_{\mathcal{B}})$ is called a **matched pair of q -generalized associative algebras**.*

REMARK 2.16. *In the previous definition*

- for $q = 1$, $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, l_{\mathcal{A}}, r_{\mathcal{A}}, l_{\mathcal{B}}, r_{\mathcal{B}})$ is called a matched pair of associative algebras which is well known in [1];
- for $q = -1$, $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, l_{\mathcal{A}}, r_{\mathcal{A}}, l_{\mathcal{B}}, r_{\mathcal{B}})$ is called a matched pair of antiassociative algebras;
- when $q = 2$, $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, l_{\mathcal{A}}, r_{\mathcal{A}}, l_{\mathcal{B}}, r_{\mathcal{B}})$ is called a matched pair of a commutative Zinbiel algebras.

3. Double constructions of quadratic antiassociative algebras

DEFINITION 3.1. We call (\mathcal{A}, B) a double construction of a quadratic antiassociative algebra associated to \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_1^* if it satisfies the conditions

- (1) $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \oplus \mathcal{A}_1^*$ as the direct sum of vector spaces;
- (2) \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_1^* are antiassociative subalgebras of \mathcal{A} ;
- (3) B is the natural non-degenerate invariant symmetric bilinear form on $\mathcal{A}_1 \oplus \mathcal{A}_1^*$ given by

$$B(x + a^*, y + b^*) = \langle x, b^* \rangle + \langle a^*, y \rangle \quad (3.1)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_1, a^*, b^* \in \mathcal{A}_1^*$ where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the natural pair between the vector space \mathcal{A}_1 and its dual space \mathcal{A}_1^* .

Let (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) be an antiassociative algebra. Suppose that there is an antiassociative algebra structure " \circ " on its dual space \mathcal{A}^* . We construct an antiassociative algebra structure on the direct sum $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{A}^*$ of the underlying vector spaces of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}^* such that (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) and (\mathcal{A}^*, \circ) are subalgebras and the symmetric bilinear form on $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{A}^*$ given by (3.1) is invariant. That is, $(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{A}^*, B)$ is a quadratic antiassociative algebra. Such a construction is called a double construction of a quadratic antiassociative algebra associated to (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) and (\mathcal{A}^*, \circ) and we denote it by $(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{A}^*, B)$.

THEOREM 3.2. Let (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) be an antiassociative algebra. Suppose that there is an antiassociative algebra structure " \circ " on its dual space \mathcal{A}^* . Then, there is a double construction of a quadratic antiassociative algebra associated to (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) and (\mathcal{A}^*, \circ) if and only if $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*, R^*, L^*, R_\circ^*, L_\circ^*)$ is a matched pair of antiassociative algebras.

Proof: Let us consider the four maps

$$L^* : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow gl(\mathcal{A}^*), \langle L^*(x)u^*, v \rangle = \langle L(x)v, u^* \rangle = \langle xv, u^* \rangle,$$

$$R^* : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow gl(\mathcal{A}^*), \langle R^*(x)u^*, v \rangle = \langle R(x)v, u^* \rangle = \langle vx, u^* \rangle,$$

$$R_\circ^* : \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow gl(\mathcal{A}), \langle R_\circ^*(x^*)u, v^* \rangle = \langle R_\circ(x^*)v^*, u \rangle = \langle v^* \circ x^*, u \rangle,$$

$$L_\circ^* : \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow gl(\mathcal{A}), \langle L_\circ^*(x^*)u, v^* \rangle = \langle L_\circ(x^*)v^*, u \rangle = \langle x^* \circ v^*, u \rangle,$$

for all $x, v, u \in \mathcal{A}, x^*, v^*, u^* \in \mathcal{A}^*$. If $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*, R^*, L^*, R_\circ^*, L_\circ^*)$ is a matched pair of antiassociative algebras, then the bilinear form $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ defined by the equation (3.1) is invariant on the antiassociative algebra $\mathcal{A} \bowtie_{R_\circ^*, L_\circ^*}^{R^*, L^*} \mathcal{A}^*$ with its product $*$ given by the equation (2.17), that is $B[(x+a^*)*(y+b^*), (z+c^*)] = B[(x+a^*), (y+b^*)*(z+c^*)]$, where $B(x+a^*, y+b^*) = \langle x, b^* \rangle + \langle a^*, y \rangle$, for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}, a^*, b^* \in \mathcal{A}^*$ and $(x+a^*)*(y+b^*) = (x \cdot y + l_{\mathcal{A}}(a^*)y + r_{\mathcal{A}}(b^*)x) + (a^* \circ b^* + l_{\mathcal{A}^*}(a^*)b^* + r_{\mathcal{A}^*}(b^*)a^*)$ with $l_{\mathcal{A}} = R^*, r_{\mathcal{A}} = L^*, l_{\mathcal{A}^*} = R_\circ^*, r_{\mathcal{A}^*} = L_\circ^*$. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B[(x+a^*)*(y+b^*), (z+c^*)] &= B[(x \cdot y + l_{\mathcal{A}}(a^*)y + r_{\mathcal{A}}(b^*)x) + (a^* \circ b^* \\ &\quad + l_{\mathcal{A}^*}(a^*)b^* + r_{\mathcal{A}^*}(b^*)a^*), z+c^*] \\ &= \langle (x \cdot y + l_{\mathcal{A}}(a^*)y + r_{\mathcal{A}}(b^*)x), c^* \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle (a^* \circ b^* + l_{\mathcal{A}^*}(a^*)b^* + r_{\mathcal{A}^*}(b^*)a^*), z \rangle \\ &= \langle x \cdot y, c^* \rangle + \langle l_{\mathcal{A}}(a^*)y, c^* \rangle + \langle r_{\mathcal{A}}(b^*)x, c^* \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle a^* \circ b^*, z \rangle + \langle l_{\mathcal{A}^*}(a^*)b^*, z \rangle + \langle r_{\mathcal{A}^*}(b^*)a^*, z \rangle \\ &= \langle x \cdot y, c^* \rangle + \langle c^* \circ a^*, y \rangle + \langle b^* \circ c^*, x \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle a^* \circ b^*, z \rangle + \langle z \cdot x, b^* \rangle + \langle y \cdot z, a^* \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B[x+a^*, (y+b^*)*(z+c^*)] &= B[x+a^*, (y \cdot z + l_{\mathcal{A}}(b^*)z + r_{\mathcal{A}}(c^*)y \\ &\quad + (b^* \circ c^* + l_{\mathcal{A}^*}(b^*)c^* + r_{\mathcal{A}^*}(c^*)b^*))] \\ &= \langle x, (b^* \circ c^* + l_{\mathcal{A}}(b^*)c^* + r_{\mathcal{A}}(c^*)b^*) \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle (y \cdot z + l_{\mathcal{A}}(b^*)z + r_{\mathcal{A}}(c^*)y), a^* \rangle \\ &= \langle x, b^* \circ c^* \rangle + \langle x, l_{\mathcal{A}}(b^*)c^* \rangle + \langle x, r_{\mathcal{A}}(c^*)b^* \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \langle y \cdot z, a^* \rangle + \langle l_{\mathcal{A}^*}(b^*)z, a^* \rangle + \langle r_{\mathcal{A}^*}(c^*)y, a^* \rangle \\
= & \langle x, b^* \circ c^* \rangle + \langle c^*, x \cdot y \rangle + \langle b^*, z \cdot x \rangle \\
& + \langle y \cdot z, a^* \rangle + \langle a^* \circ b^*, z \rangle + \langle c^* \circ a^*, y \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, B is well invariant. Conversely, set

$$x * a^* = l_{\mathcal{A}}(x)a^* + r_{\mathcal{A}^*}(a^*)x, a^* * x = l_{\mathcal{A}^*}(a^*)x + r_{\mathcal{A}}(x)a^*,$$

for $x \in \mathcal{A}, a^* \in \mathcal{A}^*$. Then, $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*, R^*, L^*, R_{\circ}^*, L_{\circ}^*)$ is a matched pair of antiassociative algebras, since the double construction of the quadratic antiassociative algebra associated to (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) and (\mathcal{A}^*, \circ) produces the equations (2.11) - (2.16). \square

THEOREM 3.3. *Let (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) be an antiassociative algebra. Suppose that there is an antiassociative algebra structure " \circ " on its dual space \mathcal{A}^* . Then, $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*, R^*, L^*, R_{\circ}^*, L_{\circ}^*)$ is a matched pair of antiassociative algebras if and only if for any $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and $a^*, b^* \in \mathcal{A}^*$,*

$$R^*(x)(a^* \circ b^*) = -R^*(L_{\circ}^*(a^*)x)b^* - (R^*(x)a^*) \circ b^*, \quad (3.2)$$

$$R^*(R_{\circ}^*(a^*)x)b^* + L^*(x)a^* \circ b^* = -L^*(L_{\circ}^*(b^*)x)a^* - a^* \circ (R^*(x)b^*). \quad (3.3)$$

Proof: Obviously, (3.2) gives (2.11) and (3.3) reduces to (2.15) when $l_{\mathcal{A}} = R^*, r_{\mathcal{A}} = L^*, l_{\mathcal{B}} = l_{\mathcal{A}^*} = R_{\circ}^*, r_{\mathcal{B}} = r_{\mathcal{A}^*} = L_{\circ}^*$. Now, show that

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.11) & \iff (2.12) \iff (2.13) \iff (2.14) \\
& \text{and } (2.15) \iff (2.16).
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose (2.11) and (2.15) are satisfied and show that one has:

$$\begin{aligned}
L^*(x)(a^* \circ b^*) &= -L^*(R_{\circ}^*(b^*)x)a^* - a^* \circ (L^*(x)b^*) \\
R_{\circ}^*(x \cdot y) &= -R_{\circ}^*(L^*(x)a^*)y - (R_{\circ}^*(a^*)x) \cdot y \\
L_{\circ}^*(a^*)(x \cdot y) &= -L_{\circ}^*(R^*(y)a^*)x - x \cdot (L_{\circ}^*(a^*)y) \\
R_{\circ}^*(R^*(x)a^*)y + (L_{\circ}^*(a^*)x) \cdot y + L_{\circ}^*(L(y)a^*)x + x \cdot (R_{\circ}^*(a^*)y) &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We have :

$$\langle R^*(x)a^*, y \rangle = \langle L^*(y)a^*, x \rangle = \langle y \cdot x, a^* \rangle; \langle R_{\circ}^*(b^*)x, a^* \rangle = \langle L_{\circ}^*(a^*)x, b^* \rangle = \langle a^* \circ b^*, x \rangle$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}, a^*, b^* \in \mathcal{A}^*$. Then

(i)

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle R^*(x)(a^* \circ b^*), y \rangle &= \langle y \cdot x, a^* \circ b^* \rangle = \langle L^*(y)(a^* \circ b^*), x \rangle; \\
\langle -R^*(L_{\circ}^*(a^*)x)b^*, y \rangle &= \langle -L^*(y)b^*, L_{\circ}^*(a^*)x \rangle = \langle -a^* \circ (L^*(y)b^*), x \rangle \\
\langle -(R^*(x)a^*) \circ b^*, y \rangle &= \langle -R^*(x)a^*, R_{\circ}^*(b^*)y \rangle = \langle -L^*(R_{\circ}^*(b^*)y)a^*, x \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

leading to (2.11) \iff (2.12);

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle L^*(y)(a^* \circ b^*), x \rangle &= \langle -a^* \circ (L^*(y)b^*), x \rangle + \langle -L^*(R_{\circ}^*(b^*)y) \cdot x, a^* \rangle \\
&= \langle -R_{\circ}^*(L^*(y)b^*)x, a^* \rangle + \langle -(R_{\circ}^*(b^*)y) \cdot x, a^* \rangle \\
&= \langle R_{\circ}^*(b^*)(y \cdot x), a^* \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

giving (2.12) \iff (2.13);

(iii)

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle R^*(x)(a^* \circ b^*), y \rangle &= \langle -R^*(L_{\circ}^*(a^*)x)b^*, y \rangle + \langle -(R^*(x)a^*) \circ b^*, y \rangle \\
&= \langle -y \cdot L_{\circ}^*(a^*)x, b^* \rangle + \langle -L_{\circ}^*(R^*(x)a^*)y, b^* \rangle \\
&= \langle L_{\circ}^*(a^*)(y \cdot x), b^* \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

providing that (2.11) \iff (2.14);

(iv)

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle L^*(L_\circ^*(b^*)x)a^*, y \rangle &= \langle (L_\circ^*(b^*)x) \cdot y, a^* \rangle; \langle a^* \circ (R^*(x)b^*), y \rangle = \langle R_\circ^*(R^*(x)b^*)y, a^* \rangle; \\
\langle (L^*(x)a^*) \circ b^*, y \rangle &= \langle R_\circ(b^*)y, L^*(x)a^* \rangle = \langle x \cdot (R_\circ^*(b^*)y), a^* \rangle; \\
\langle R^*(R_\circ^*(a^*)x)b^*, y \rangle &= \langle L^*(y)b^*, R_\circ^*(a^*)x \rangle = \langle L_\circ^*(L^*(y)b^*)x, a^* \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

implying that (2.15) \iff (2.16).

□

4. q -generalized dendriform algebras

4.1. Bimodule and matched pair of q -generalized dendriform algebras.

DEFINITION 4.1. Let \mathcal{A} be a vector space over a field \mathbb{F} with two bilinear products denoted by \prec and \succ . Then, $(\mathcal{A}, \prec, \succ)$ is called a **q -generalized dendriform algebra** if, for any $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(x \prec y) \prec z &= qx \prec (y * z), \\
(x \succ y) \prec z &= qx \succ (y \prec z), \\
x \succ (y \succ z) &= q^{-1}(x * y) \succ z,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$x * y = x \prec y + x \succ y \quad (4.1)$$

Let $(\mathcal{A}, \prec, \succ)$ be a q -generalized dendriform algebra. For any $x \in \mathcal{A}$, let $L_\succ(x), R_\succ(x)$ and $L_\prec(x), R_\prec(x)$ denote the left and right multiplication operators of (\mathcal{A}, \prec) and (\mathcal{A}, \succ) , respectively, that is,

$$L_\succ(x)y = x \succ y, R_\succ(x)y = y \succ x, L_\prec(x)y = x \prec y, R_\prec(x)y = y \prec x, \quad (4.2)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, let $L_\succ, R_\succ, L_\prec, R_\prec : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow gl(\mathcal{A})$ be four linear maps with $x \rightarrow L_\succ(x), x \rightarrow R_\succ(x), x \rightarrow L_\prec(x)$, and $x \rightarrow R_\prec(x)$, respectively.

PROPOSITION 4.2. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \prec, \succ)$ be a q -generalized dendriform algebra. Then, $(\mathcal{A}, *)$ is a q -generalized associative algebra. Moreover, (L_\succ, R_\prec) is a bimodule of the associated q -generalized associative algebra $(\mathcal{A}, *)$.

Proof: We have :

$$\begin{aligned}
(x * y) * z &= qx * (y * z) \iff \\
(x * y) \prec z + (x * y) \succ z &= qx \prec (y * z) + qx \succ (y * z) \iff \\
(x \prec y) \prec z + (x \succ y) \prec z + (x * y) \succ z &= qx \prec (y * z) + qx \succ (y \prec z) + qx \succ (y \succ z) \iff \\
&\begin{cases} (x \prec y) \prec z = qx \prec (y * z), \\ (x \succ y) \prec z = qx \succ (y \prec z), \\ x \succ (y \succ z) = q^{-1}(x * y) \succ z. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Then, $(\mathcal{A}, *)$ is well a q -generalized associative algebra.

Now, show that $(L_\succ, R_\prec, \mathcal{A})$ is a bimodule of q -generalized associative algebra $(\mathcal{A}, *)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
L_\succ(x * y)v &= (x * y) \succ v = qx \succ (y \succ v) \\
&= qL_\succ(x)L_\succ(y)v.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $L_\succ(x * y)v = qL_\succ(x)L_\succ(y)v$. Moreover,

$$R_\prec(x * y)v = v \prec (x * y) = q^{-1}(v \prec x) \prec y = q^{-1}R_\prec(y)R_\prec(x)v.$$

Then, $R_\prec(x * y)v = q^{-1}R_\prec(y)R_\prec(x)v$. It follows that

$$L_\succ(x)R_\prec(y)v = x \succ (v \prec y) = q^{-1}(x \succ v) \prec y = q^{-1}R_\prec(y)L_\succ(x)v.$$

Therefore, $L_\succ(x)R_\prec(y)v = q^{-1}R_\prec(y)L_\succ(x)v$.

□

We call $(\mathcal{A}, *)$ the associated q -generalized associative algebra of $(\mathcal{A}, \prec, \succ)$ and $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \prec)$ is called a compatible q -generalized dendriform algebra structure on the q -generalized associative algebra $(\mathcal{A}, *)$.

DEFINITION 4.3. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \prec)$ be a q -generalized dendriform algebra and V a vector space. Let $l_{\succ}, r_{\succ}, l_{\prec}, r_{\prec} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow gl(V)$ be four linear maps. Then, $(l_{\succ}, r_{\succ}, l_{\prec}, r_{\prec}, V)$ is called a **bimodule** of \mathcal{A} if the following equations hold for any $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$:

$$l_{\prec}(x \prec y) = ql_{\prec}(x)l_{*}(y), r_{\prec}(x)l_{\prec}(y) = ql_{\prec}(y)r_{*}(x), r_{\prec}(x)r_{\prec}(y) = qr_{\prec}(y * x), \quad (4.3)$$

$$l_{\prec}(x \succ y) = ql_{\succ}(x)l_{\prec}(y), r_{\prec}(x)l_{\succ}(y) = ql_{\succ}(y)r_{\prec}(x), r_{\prec}(x)r_{\succ}(y) = qr_{\succ}(y \prec x), \quad (4.4)$$

$$l_{\succ}(x * y) = ql_{\succ}(x)l_{\succ}(y), r_{\succ}(x)l_{*}(y) = ql_{\succ}(y)r_{\succ}(x), r_{\succ}(x)r_{*}(y) = qr_{\succ}(y \succ x), \quad (4.5)$$

where $x * y = x \succ y + x \prec y$, $l_{*} = l_{\succ} + l_{\prec}$, $r_{*} = r_{\succ} + r_{\prec}$.

By a direct computation, $(l_{\succ}, r_{\succ}, l_{\prec}, r_{\prec}, V)$ is a bimodule of a q -generalized dendriform algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \prec)$ if and only if there exists a q -generalized dendriform algebra structure on the direct sum $\mathcal{A} \oplus V$ of the underlying vector spaces of \mathcal{A} given by

$$\begin{aligned} (x + u) \succ (y + v) &= x \succ y + l_{\succ}(x)v + r_{\succ}(y)u, \\ (x + u) \prec (y + v) &= x \prec y + l_{\prec}(x)v + r_{\prec}(y)u, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}, u, v \in V$. We denote it by $\mathcal{A} \ltimes_{l_{\succ}, r_{\succ}, l_{\prec}, r_{\prec}} V$.

PROPOSITION 4.4. Let $(l_{\succ}, r_{\succ}, l_{\prec}, r_{\prec}, V)$ be a bimodule of a q -generalized dendriform algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \prec)$. Let $(\mathcal{A}, *)$ be the associated q -generalized associative algebra. Then, we have the following results:

- (1) Both $(l_{\succ}, r_{\prec}, V)$ and $(l_{\prec} + l_{\prec}, r_{\succ} + r_{\prec}, V)$ are bimodules of $(\mathcal{A}, *)$;
- (2) For any bimodule (l, r, V) of $(\mathcal{A}, *)$, $(l, 0, 0, r, V)$ is a bimodule of $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \prec)$;
- (3) Both $(l_{\prec} + l_{\prec}, 0, 0, r_{\succ} + r_{\prec}, V)$ and $(l_{\succ}, 0, 0, r_{\prec}, V)$ are bimodules of $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \prec)$;
- (4) The q -generalized dendriform algebras $\mathcal{A} \ltimes_{l_{\succ}, r_{\succ}, l_{\prec}, r_{\prec}} V$ and $\mathcal{A} \ltimes_{l_{\succ} + l_{\prec}, 0, 0, r_{\succ} + r_{\prec}} V$ have the same associated q -generalized associative algebra $\mathcal{A} \ltimes_{l_{\succ} + l_{\prec}, r_{\succ} + r_{\prec}} V$.

Proof: By computing, we have:

- (1) $l_{\succ}(x * y) = ql_{\succ}(x)l_{\succ}(y)$, $r_{\prec}(x * y) = q^{-1}r_{\prec}(y)r_{\prec}(x)$, $l_{\prec}(x)r_{\prec}(y) = q^{-1}r_{\prec}(y)l_{\prec}(x)$. Then $(l_{\succ}, r_{\prec}, V)$ is bimodule of $(\mathcal{A}, *)$.
 $(l_{\succ} + l_{\prec})(x * y) = (l_{\succ})(x * y) + (l_{\prec})(x * y) = ql_{\succ}(x)l_{\succ}(y) + (l_{\prec})(x \prec y) + (l_{\prec})(x \succ y) = ql_{\succ}(x)l_{\succ}(y) + ql_{\prec}(x)l_{*}(y) + ql_{\succ}(x)l_{\prec}(y) = q(l_{\succ} + l_{\prec})(x)(l_{\succ} + l_{\prec})(y)$. By the same procedure, we establish the other relationships. Hence, $(l_{\succ} + l_{\prec}, r_{\succ} + r_{\prec}, V)$ is bimodule of $(\mathcal{A}, *)$.
- (2) Setting $l_{\succ} = l, r_{\succ} = 0, l_{\prec} = 0, r_{\prec} = r$ in the deninition 4.3 we have : $r(y * x) = q^{-1}r(x)r(y)$, $l(y)r(x) = q^{-1}r(x)l(y)$, $l(x * y) = ql(x)l(y)$. Then, $(l, 0, 0, r, V)$ is a bimodule of $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \prec)$.
- (3) We reason similar to (2).
- (4) Using $(x + u) * (y + v) = (x + u) \succ (y + v) + (x + u) \prec (y + v)$, $\forall x, y \in \mathcal{A}, u, v \in V$, with $(x + u) \succ (y + v) = x \succ y + l_{\succ}(x)v + r_{\succ}(y)u$, $(x + u) \prec (y + v) = x \prec y + l_{\prec}(x)v + r_{\prec}(y)u$, we establish the result. □

EXAMPLE 4.5. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \prec, \succ)$ be a dendriform algebra. Then,

$$(L_{\succ}, R_{\succ}, L_{\prec}, R_{\prec}, \mathcal{A}), (L_{\succ}, 0, 0, R_{\prec}, \mathcal{A}), (L_{\succ} + L_{\prec}, 0, 0, R_{\succ} + R_{\prec}, \mathcal{A})$$

are bimodules of $(\mathcal{A}, \prec, \succ)$.

THEOREM 4.6. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \succ_{\mathcal{A}}, \prec_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $(\mathcal{B}, \succ_{\mathcal{B}}, \prec_{\mathcal{B}})$ be two q -generalized dendriform algebras. Suppose that there are linear maps $l_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}, r_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}, l_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}, r_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow gl(\mathcal{B})$ and $l_{\succ_{\mathcal{B}}}, r_{\succ_{\mathcal{B}}}, l_{\prec_{\mathcal{B}}}, r_{\prec_{\mathcal{B}}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow gl(\mathcal{A})$ such that $(l_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}, r_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}, l_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}, r_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}, \mathcal{B})$ is a bimodule of \mathcal{A} and $(l_{\succ_{\mathcal{B}}}, r_{\succ_{\mathcal{B}}}, l_{\prec_{\mathcal{B}}}, r_{\prec_{\mathcal{B}}}, \mathcal{A})$ is a bimodule of \mathcal{B} and they satisfy the following equations :*

$$r_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}(x)(a \prec_{\mathcal{B}} b) = qa \prec_{\mathcal{B}} (r_{\mathcal{A}}(x)b) + qr_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}(l_{\mathcal{B}}(x)a), \quad (4.6)$$

$$l_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}(l_{\prec_{\mathcal{B}}}(x))b + (r_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}(x)a) \prec_{\mathcal{B}} b = qa \prec_{\mathcal{B}} (l_{\mathcal{A}}(x)b) + qr_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}(r_{\mathcal{B}}(b)x)a, \quad (4.7)$$

$$l_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}(x)(a *_{\mathcal{B}} b) = q^{-1}(l_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}(x)a) \prec_{\mathcal{B}} b + q^{-1}l_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}(r_{\prec_{\mathcal{B}}}(a)x)b, \quad (4.8)$$

$$r_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}(x)(a \succ_{\mathcal{B}} b) = qr_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}(l_{\prec_{\mathcal{B}}}(b)x)a + qa \succ_{\mathcal{B}} (r_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}(x)b), \quad (4.9)$$

$$l_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}(l_{\succ_{\mathcal{B}}}(a)x)b + (r_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}(x)a) \prec_{\mathcal{B}} b = qa \succ_{\mathcal{B}} (l_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}(x)b) + qr_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}(r_{\prec_{\mathcal{B}}}(b)x)a \quad (4.10)$$

$$l_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}(x)(a \prec_{\mathcal{B}} b) = q^{-1}(l_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}(x)a) \prec_{\mathcal{B}} b + q^{-1}l_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}(r_{\succ_{\mathcal{B}}}(a)x)b, \quad (4.11)$$

$$r_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}(x)(a *_{\mathcal{B}} b) = qa \succ_{\mathcal{B}} (r_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}(x)b) + qr_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}(l_{\succ_{\mathcal{B}}}(b)x)a, \quad (4.12)$$

$$a \succ_{\mathcal{B}} (l_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}(x)b) + r_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}(r_{\succ_{\mathcal{B}}}(b)x)a = q^{-1}l_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}(l_{\mathcal{B}}(a)x)b + q^{-1}(r_{\mathcal{A}}(x)a) \succ_{\mathcal{B}} b, \quad (4.13)$$

$$l_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}(x)(a \succ_{\mathcal{B}} b) = q^{-1}(l_{\mathcal{A}}(x)a) \succ_{\mathcal{B}} b + q^{-1}l_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}(r_{\mathcal{B}}(a)x)b, \quad (4.14)$$

$$r_{\prec_{\mathcal{B}}}(a)(x \prec_{\mathcal{A}} y) = qx \prec_{\mathcal{A}} (r_{\mathcal{B}}(a)y) + qr_{\prec_{\mathcal{B}}}(l_{\mathcal{A}}(y)a)x, \quad (4.15)$$

$$l_{\prec_{\mathcal{B}}}(l_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}(x)a)y + (r_{\prec_{\mathcal{B}}}(a)x) \prec_{\mathcal{A}} y = qx \prec_{\mathcal{A}} (l_{\mathcal{B}}(a)y) + qr_{\prec_{\mathcal{B}}}(r_{\mathcal{A}}(y)a)x, \quad (4.16)$$

$$l_{\prec_{\mathcal{B}}}(a)(x *_{\mathcal{A}} y) = q^{-1}(l_{\prec_{\mathcal{B}}}(a)x) \prec_{\mathcal{A}} y + q^{-1}l_{\prec_{\mathcal{B}}}(r_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}(x)a)y, \quad (4.17)$$

$$r_{\prec_{\mathcal{B}}}(a)(x \succ_{\mathcal{A}} y) = qr_{\succ_{\mathcal{B}}}(l_{\prec_{\mathcal{B}}}(y)a)x + qx \succ_{\mathcal{A}} (r_{\prec_{\mathcal{B}}}(a)y), \quad (4.18)$$

$$l_{\prec_{\mathcal{B}}}(l_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}(x)a)y + (r_{\succ_{\mathcal{B}}}(a)x) \prec_{\mathcal{A}} y = qx \succ_{\mathcal{A}} (l_{\prec_{\mathcal{B}}}(a)y) + qr_{\succ_{\mathcal{B}}}(r_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}(y)a)x, \quad (4.19)$$

$$l_{\succ_{\mathcal{B}}}(a)(x \prec_{\mathcal{A}} y) = q^{-1}(l_{\succ_{\mathcal{B}}}(a)x) \prec_{\mathcal{A}} y + q^{-1}l_{\prec_{\mathcal{B}}}(r_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}(x)a)y, \quad (4.20)$$

$$r_{\succ_{\mathcal{B}}}(a)(x *_{\mathcal{A}} y) = qx \succ_{\mathcal{A}} (r_{\succ_{\mathcal{B}}}(a)y) + qr_{\succ_{\mathcal{B}}}(l_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}(y)a)x, \quad (4.21)$$

$$x \succ_{\mathcal{A}} (l_{\succ_{\mathcal{B}}}(a)y) + r_{\succ_{\mathcal{B}}}(r_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}(y)a)x = q^{-1}l_{\succ_{\mathcal{B}}}(l_{\mathcal{A}}(x)a)y + q^{-1}(r_{\mathcal{B}}(a)x) \succ_{\mathcal{A}} y, \quad (4.22)$$

$$l_{\succ_{\mathcal{B}}}(a)(x \succ_{\mathcal{A}} y) = q^{-1}(l_{\mathcal{B}}(a)x) \succ_{\mathcal{A}} y + q^{-1}l_{\succ_{\mathcal{B}}}(r_{\mathcal{A}}(x)a)y \quad (4.23)$$

for any $x, y \in \mathcal{A}, a, b \in \mathcal{B}$ and $l_{\mathcal{A}} = l_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}} + l_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}, r_{\mathcal{A}} = r_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}} + r_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}, l_{\mathcal{B}} = l_{\succ_{\mathcal{B}}} + l_{\prec_{\mathcal{B}}}, r_{\mathcal{B}} = r_{\succ_{\mathcal{B}}} + r_{\prec_{\mathcal{B}}}$. Then, there is a q -generalized dendriform algebra structure on the direct sum $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}$ of the underlying vector spaces of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} given by

$$(x + a) \succ (y + b) = (x \succ_{\mathcal{A}} y + r_{\succ_{\mathcal{B}}}(b)x + l_{\succ_{\mathcal{B}}}(a)y) + (l_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}(x)b + r_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}(y)a + a \succ_{\mathcal{B}} b),$$

$$(x + a) \prec (y + b) = (x \prec_{\mathcal{A}} y + r_{\prec_{\mathcal{B}}}(b)x + l_{\prec_{\mathcal{B}}}(a)y) + (l_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}(x)b + r_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}(y)a + a \prec_{\mathcal{B}} b)$$

for any $x, y \in \mathcal{A}, a, b \in \mathcal{B}$. Let $\mathcal{A} \bowtie_{l_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}, r_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}, l_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}, r_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}, l_{\succ_{\mathcal{B}}}, r_{\succ_{\mathcal{B}}}, l_{\prec_{\mathcal{B}}}, r_{\prec_{\mathcal{B}}}}^{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}, r_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}, l_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}, r_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}} \mathcal{B}$ or simply $\mathcal{A} \bowtie \mathcal{B}$ denote this q -generalized dendriform algebra. On the other hand, every q -generalized dendriform algebra which is the direct sum of the underlying vector spaces of two subalgebra can be obtained in this way.

Proof: The Proof is obtained in a similar way as for Theorem 2.14. □

DEFINITION 4.7. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \succ_{\mathcal{A}}, \prec_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $(\mathcal{B}, \succ_{\mathcal{B}}, \prec_{\mathcal{B}})$ be two q -generalized dendriform algebras. Suppose that there are linear maps $l_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}, r_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}, l_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}, r_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow gl(\mathcal{B})$ and $l_{\succ_{\mathcal{B}}}, r_{\succ_{\mathcal{B}}}, l_{\prec_{\mathcal{B}}}, r_{\prec_{\mathcal{B}}} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow gl(\mathcal{A})$ such that $(l_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}, r_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}, l_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}, r_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}})$ is a bimodule of \mathcal{A} and $(l_{\succ_{\mathcal{B}}}, r_{\succ_{\mathcal{B}}}, l_{\prec_{\mathcal{B}}}, r_{\prec_{\mathcal{B}}})$ is a bimodule of \mathcal{B} . If Eqs.4.6 - 4.23 are satisfied, then $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, l_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}, r_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}, l_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}, r_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}, l_{\succ_{\mathcal{B}}}, r_{\succ_{\mathcal{B}}}, l_{\prec_{\mathcal{B}}}, r_{\prec_{\mathcal{B}}})$ is called a **matched pair of q -generalized dendriform algebras**.*

REMARK 4.8. Obviously \mathcal{B} is an ideal of $\mathcal{A} \bowtie \mathcal{B}$ if and only if $l_{\succ \mathcal{B}} = r_{\succ \mathcal{B}} = l_{\prec \mathcal{B}} = r_{\prec \mathcal{B}} = 0$. If \mathcal{B} is a trivial ideal, then $\mathcal{A} \bowtie_{0,0,0,0}^{l_{\succ \mathcal{A}}, r_{\succ \mathcal{A}}, l_{\prec \mathcal{A}}, r_{\prec \mathcal{A}}} \mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{A} \bowtie_{l_{\succ \mathcal{A}}, r_{\succ \mathcal{A}}, l_{\prec \mathcal{A}}, r_{\prec \mathcal{A}}} \mathcal{B}$.

COROLLARY 4.9. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, l_{\succ \mathcal{A}}, r_{\succ \mathcal{A}}, l_{\prec \mathcal{A}}, r_{\prec \mathcal{A}}, l_{\succ \mathcal{B}}, r_{\succ \mathcal{B}}, l_{\prec \mathcal{B}}, r_{\prec \mathcal{B}})$ be a matched pair of q -generalized dendriform algebras. Then, $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, l_{\succ \mathcal{A}} + l_{\prec \mathcal{A}}, r_{\succ \mathcal{A}} + r_{\prec \mathcal{A}}, l_{\succ \mathcal{B}} + l_{\prec \mathcal{B}}, r_{\succ \mathcal{B}} + r_{\prec \mathcal{B}})$ is a matched pair of the associated q -generalized associative algebras $(\mathcal{A}, *_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $(\mathcal{B}, *_{\mathcal{B}})$.

Proof: In fact, the associated q -generalized associative algebra $(\mathcal{A} \bowtie \mathcal{B}, *)$ is exactly the q -generalized associative algebra obtained from the matched pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, l_{\mathcal{A}}, r_{\mathcal{A}}, l_{\mathcal{B}}, r_{\mathcal{B}})$ of q -generalized associative algebras:

$$(x + a) * (y + b) = x *_{\mathcal{A}} y + l_{\mathcal{B}}(a)y + r_{\mathcal{B}}(b)x + a *_{\mathcal{B}} b + l_{\mathcal{A}}(x)b + r_{\mathcal{A}}(y)a$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}, a, b \in \mathcal{B}$, where $l_{\mathcal{A}} = l_{\succ \mathcal{A}} + l_{\prec \mathcal{A}}, r_{\mathcal{A}} = r_{\succ \mathcal{A}} + r_{\prec \mathcal{A}}, l_{\mathcal{B}} = l_{\succ \mathcal{B}} + l_{\prec \mathcal{B}}, r_{\mathcal{B}} = r_{\succ \mathcal{B}} + r_{\prec \mathcal{B}}$. \square

PROPOSITION 4.10. Let $(l_{\succ}, r_{\succ}, l_{\prec}, r_{\prec}, V)$ be a bimodule of a q -generalized dendriform algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \prec)$. Let $(\mathcal{A}, *)$ be the associated q -generalized hom-associative algebra. Let $l_{\succ}^*, r_{\succ}^*, l_{\prec}^*, r_{\prec}^* : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow gl(V^*)$ be the linear maps given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle l_{\succ}^*(x)a^*, y \rangle &= \langle l_{\succ}(x)y, a^* \rangle, \langle r_{\succ}^*(x)a^*, y \rangle = \langle r_{\succ}(x)y, a^* \rangle, \\ \langle l_{\prec}^*(x)a^*, y \rangle &= \langle l_{\prec}(x)y, a^* \rangle, \langle r_{\prec}^*(x)a^*, y \rangle = \langle r_{\prec}(x)y, a^* \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

- (1) $(q^{-2}(r_{\succ}^* + r_{\prec}^*), -q^2 l_{\prec}^*, -q^{-2} r_{\prec}^*, q^2(l_{\succ}^* + l_{\prec}^*), V^*)$ is a bimodule of $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \prec)$;
- (2) $(q^{-2}(r_{\succ}^* + r_{\prec}^*), 0, 0, q^2(l_{\succ}^* + l_{\prec}^*), V^*)$ and $(q^{-2} r_{\prec}^*, 0, 0, q^2 l_{\succ}^*, V^*)$ are bimodules of $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \prec)$;
- (3) $(q^{-2}(r_{\succ}^* + r_{\prec}^*), q^2(l_{\succ}^* + l_{\prec}^*), V^*)$ and $(q^{-2} r_{\prec}^*, q^2 l_{\succ}^*, V^*)$ are bimodules of $(\mathcal{A}, *)$;
- (4) The q -generalized dendriform algebras

$$\mathcal{A} \bowtie_{q^{-2}(r_{\succ}^* + r_{\prec}^*), -q^2 l_{\prec}^*, -q^{-2} r_{\prec}^*, q^2(l_{\succ}^* + l_{\prec}^*)} V^* \text{ and } \mathcal{A} \bowtie_{q^{-2} r_{\prec}^*, 0, 0, q^2 l_{\succ}^*} V^*$$

have the same q -generalized associative algebra $\mathcal{A} \bowtie_{q^{-2} r_{\prec}^*, q^2 l_{\succ}^*} V^*$.

Proof: Show that $(q^{-2}(r_{\succ}^* + r_{\prec}^*), -q^2 l_{\prec}^*, -q^{-2} r_{\prec}^*, q^2(l_{\succ}^* + l_{\prec}^*), V^*)$ is a bimodule of $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \prec)$. Let $x, y \in \mathcal{A}, u^* \in V^*, v \in V$, we have :

(i)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle -q^{-2} r_{\prec}^*(x \prec y)u^*, v \rangle &= \langle -q^{-2} r_{\prec}(x \prec y)v, u^* \rangle = \langle -q^{-3} r_{\prec}(y)r_{\succ}(x)v, u^* \rangle \\ &= \langle q(-q^{-2} r_{\prec}^*)(x)(q^{-2} r_{\prec}^*)(y)u^*, v \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{leading to } (-q^{-2} r_{\prec}^*)(x \prec y)u^* = q(-q^{-2} r_{\prec}^*)(x)(q^{-2} r_{\prec}^*)(y)u^*;$$

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (q^2 l_{\succ}^*(x))(-q^{-2} r_{\prec}^*(y))u^*, v \rangle &= \langle -r_{\succ}(y)l_{\succ}(x)v, u^* \rangle \\ &= \langle -ql_{\succ}(x)r_{\succ}(y)v, u^* \rangle = \langle q(-q^2 r_{\succ}^*(y))(q^2 l_{\succ}^*(x))u^*, v \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{giving } (q^2 l_{\succ}^*(x))(-q^{-2} r_{\prec}^*(y))u^* = q(-q^{-2} r_{\succ}^*(y))(q^2 l_{\succ}^*(x))u^*;$$

(iii)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (q^2 l_{\succ}^*(x))(q^2 l_{\prec}^*(y))u^*, v \rangle &= \langle q^4 l_{\succ}(y)l_{\succ}(x)v, u^* \rangle \\ &= \langle q^4 l_{\prec}(y)l_{\succ}(x)v, u^* \rangle + \langle q^4 l_{\succ}(y)l_{\prec}(x)v, u^* \rangle + \langle q^4 l_{\prec}(y)l_{\prec}(x)v, u^* \rangle \\ &= \langle q^3 l_{\prec}(y \prec x)v, u^* \rangle + \langle q^3 l_{\succ}(y * x)v, u^* \rangle + \langle q^3 l_{\prec}(y \succ x)v, u^* \rangle \\ &= \langle q^3 l_{\succ}(y * x)v, u^* \rangle = \langle q^3 l_{\succ}^*(y * x)u^*, v \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{providing that } (q^2 l_{\succ}^*(x))(q^2 l_{\prec}^*(y))u^* = q(q^2 l_{\succ}^*(y * x))u^*;$$

(iv)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle -q^{-2} r_{\succ}^*(x \succ y)u^*, v \rangle &= \langle -q^{-2} r_{\succ}(x \succ y)v, u^* \rangle = \langle -q^{-3} r_{\succ}(y)r_{\succ}(x)v, u^* \rangle \\ &= \langle q(q^{-2} r_{\succ}^*)(x)(-q^{-2} r_{\succ}^*)(y)u^*, v \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{hence } (-q^{-2} r_{\succ}^*)(x \succ y)u^* = q(q^{-2} r_{\succ}^*)(x)(-q^{-2} r_{\succ}^*)(y)u^*;$$

(v)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle (q^2 l_*^*)(x)(q^{-2} r_*^*)(y)u^*, v \rangle = \langle r_*(y)l_*(x)v, u^* \rangle \\
& = \langle r_>(y)l_*(x)v, u^* \rangle + \langle r_<(y)l_>(x)v, u^* \rangle + \langle r_<(y)l_<(x)v, u^* \rangle \\
& = \langle ql_>(x)r_>(y)v, u^* \rangle + \langle ql_>(x)r_<(y)v, u^* \rangle + \langle ql_<(x)r_*(y)v, u^* \rangle \\
& = \langle ql_*(x)r_*(y)v, u^* \rangle = \langle q(q^{-2} r_*^*)(y)(q^2 l_*^*)(x)u^*, v \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

providing that $(q^2 l_*^*)(x)(q^{-2} r_*^*)(y)u^* = q(q^{-2} r_*^*)(y)(q^2 l_*^*)(x)u^*$;

(vi)

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle -q^3 l_*^*(y \prec x)u^*, v \rangle &= \langle -q^3 l_<(y \prec x)v, u^* \rangle = \langle -q^{-4} l_<(y)l_*(x)v, u^* \rangle \\
&= \langle (q^2 l_*^*)(x)(-q^2 l_<^*)(y)u^*, v \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

then $(q^2 l_*^*)(x)(-q^2 l_<^*)(y)u^* = q(-q^2 l_<^*)(y \prec x)u^*$;

(vii)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle q^{-2} r_*^*(x * y)u^*, v \rangle = \langle q^{-2} r_*(x * y)v, u^* \rangle \\
& = \langle q^{-2} r_>(x \succ y)v + q^{-2} r_>(x \succ y)v + q^{-2} r_<(x * y)v, u^* \rangle \\
& \langle q^{-2} q^{-1} r_<(y)r_>(x)v + q^{-2} q^{-1} r_>(y)r_*(x)v + q^{-2} q^{-1} r_<(y)r_<(x)v, u^* \rangle \\
& = \langle q^{-3} r_*(y)r_*(x)v, u^* \rangle = \langle q^{-3} r_*^*(y)r_*^*(x)u^*, v \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

leading to $(q^{-2} r_*^*)(x * y)u^* = q(q^{-2} r_*^*)(x)(q^{-2} r_*^*)(y)u^*$;

(viii)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle (-q^2 l_*^*)(x)(q^{-2} r_*^*)(y)u^*, v \rangle = \langle -r_<(y)l_<(x)v, u^* \rangle \\
& = \langle ql_<(x)r_*(y)v, u^* \rangle = \langle q(q^2 l_*^*)(y)(-q^2 l_*^*)(x)u^*, v \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

giving $(-q^2 l_*^*)(x)(q^{-2} r_*^*)(y)u^* = q(q^{-2} r_*^*)(y)(-q^2 l_*^*)(x)u^*$;

(ix)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle (-q^3 l_*^*)(y \succ x)u^*, v \rangle = \langle (-q^3 l_>(y \succ x)v, u^* \rangle \\
& = \langle -q^4 l_>(y)l_<(x)v, u^* \rangle = \langle (-q^2 l_*^*)(x)(q^2 l_>^*)(y)u^*, v \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

giving $(-q^2 l_*^*)(x)(q^2 l_>^*)(y)u^* = q(-q^2 l_>^*)(y \succ x)u^*$;

Similar, using the Proposition 4.4 we show the other results. \square

EXAMPLE 4.11. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \prec, \succ)$ be a q -generalized dendriform algebra. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (q^{-2}(R_>^* + R_<^*), -q^{-2}L_<^*, -q^{-2}R_>^*, q^2(L_>^* + L_<^*), \mathcal{A}^*), \\
& (q^{-2}R_<^*, 0, 0, q^2L_>^*, \mathcal{A}^*), (q^{-2}(R_>^* + R_<^*), 0, 0, q^2(L_>^* + L_<^*), \mathcal{A}^*)
\end{aligned}$$

are bimodules of $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \prec)$ too. There are two compatible q -generalized dendriform algebra structures, $\mathcal{A} \bowtie_{R_>^* + R_<^*, -L_<^*, -R_>^*, L_>^* + L_<^*} \mathcal{A}^*$ and $\mathcal{A} \bowtie_{R_<^* + R_>^*, 0, 0, L_>^* + L_<^*} \mathcal{A}^*$, on the same associative algebra $\mathcal{A} \bowtie_{R_>^*, L_>^*} \mathcal{A}^*$.

5. Double construction of symplectic antiassociative algebras

5.1. \mathcal{O} -operators and antidendriform algebras.

DEFINITION 5.1. Let (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) be an antiassociative algebra and (l, r, V) a bimodule. A linear map $T : V \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is called an **\mathcal{O} -operator associated** to (l, r, V) , if T satisfies

$$T(u) \cdot T(v) = T(l(T(u))v + r(T(v))u) \text{ for all } u, v \in V.$$

EXAMPLE 5.2. Let (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) be an antiassociative algebra. Then, the identity map id is an \mathcal{O} -operator associated to the bimodule $(L, 0)$ or $(0, R)$.

EXAMPLE 5.3. Let (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) be an antiassociative algebra. A linear map $\tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is called a **Rota-Baxter operator** on \mathcal{A} of weight zero if τ satisfies

$$\tau(x) \cdot \tau(y) = \tau(\tau(x) \cdot y + x \cdot \tau(y)) \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathcal{A}.$$

In fact, a Rota-Baxter operator on \mathcal{A} is just an \mathcal{O} -operator associated to the bimodule (L, R) .

THEOREM 5.4. *Let \mathcal{A} be an antiassociative algebra and (l, r, V) a bimodule. Let $T : V \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be an \mathcal{O} -operator associated to (l, r, V) . Then, there exists an antidendriform algebra structure on V given by*

$$u \succ v = l(T(u))v, u \prec v = r(T(v))u$$

for all $u, v \in V$. So, there is an associated antiassociative algebra structure on V given by the equation (4.1) and T is a homomorphism of antiassociative algebras. Moreover, $T(V) = \{T(v) \mid v \in V\} \subset \mathcal{A}$ is an antiassociative subalgebra of \mathcal{A} and there is an induced antidendriform algebra structure on $T(V)$ given by

$$T(u) \succ T(v) = T(u \succ v), T(u) \prec T(v) = T(u \prec v) \quad (5.1)$$

for all $u, v \in V$. Its corresponding associated antiassociative algebra structure on $T(V)$ given by the equation (4.1) is just the antiassociative subalgebra structure of \mathcal{A} and T is a homomorphism of antidendriform algebras.

Proof: For any $x, y, z \in V$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x \succ y) \prec z + x \succ (y \prec z) &= l(T(x))y \prec z + x \succ r(T(z))y \\ &= r(T(z))l(T(x))y + l(T(x))r(T(z))y = -l((T(x)))r(T(z))y + l((T(x)))r(T(z))y \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The other equalities are checked similarly. □

COROLLARY 5.5. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, *)$ be an antiassociative algebra. There is a compatible antidendriform algebra structure on \mathcal{A} if and only if there exists an invertible \mathcal{O} -operator of $(\mathcal{A}, *)$.*

Proof: In fact, if T is an invertible \mathcal{O} -operator associated to a bimodule (l, r, V) , then the compatible antidendriform algebra structure on \mathcal{A} is given by

$$x \succ y = T(l(x)T^{-1}(y)), x \prec y = T(r(y)T^{-1}(x)) \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Conversely, let $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \prec)$ be a antidendriform algebra and $(\mathcal{A}, *)$ the associated antiassociative algebra. Then, the identity map id is an \mathcal{O} -operator associated to the bimodule (L_\succ, R_\prec) of $(\mathcal{A}, *)$. □

5.2. Double constructions of symplectic antiassociative algebras.

DEFINITION 5.6. *Let \mathcal{A} be an antiassociative algebra. We say that (\mathcal{A}, ω) is a symplectic antiassociative algebra if ω is a non-degenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form on \mathcal{A} such that the following identity satisfied:*

$$\omega(xy, z) + \omega(yz, x) + \omega(zx, y) = 0 \text{ (invariance condition),} \quad (5.2)$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}$.

THEOREM 5.7. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, *)$ be an antiassociative algebra and let ω be a non-degenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form. Then, there exists a compatible antidendriform algebra structure \succ, \prec on \mathcal{A} given by*

$$\omega(x \succ y, z) = \omega(y, z * x), \quad \omega(x \prec y, z) = \omega(x, y * z) \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (5.3)$$

Proof: Define a linear map $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ by $\langle T(x), y \rangle = \omega(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. Then, T is invertible and T^{-1} is an \mathcal{O} -operator of the antiassociative algebra $(\mathcal{A}, *)$ associated to the bimodule (R_*, L_*) . By Corollary 5.5, there is a compatible antidendriform algebra structure \succ, \prec on $(\mathcal{A}, *)$ given by

$$x \succ y = T^{-1}R_*(x)T(y), \quad x \prec y = T^{-1}L_*(y)T(x)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$, which gives exactly the equation (5.3). □

DEFINITION 5.8. *We call (\mathcal{A}, ω) a **double construction of symplectic antiassociative algebra** associated to \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_1^* if it satisfies the conditions*

- (1) $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \oplus \mathcal{A}_1^*$ as the direct sum of vector spaces;
- (2) \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_1^* are antiassociative subalgebras of $(\mathcal{A};$
- (3) ω is the natural non-degenerate antisymmetric invariant bilinear form on $\mathcal{A}_1 \oplus \mathcal{A}_1^*$ given by

$$\omega(x + a^*, y + b^*) = -\langle x, b^* \rangle + \langle a^*, y \rangle, \quad (5.4)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_1, a^*, b^* \in \mathcal{A}_1^*$ where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the natural pair between the vector space \mathcal{A}_1 and its dual space \mathcal{A}_1^* .

Let $(\mathcal{A}, *_{\mathcal{A}})$ be an antiassociative algebra and suppose that there is an antiassociative algebra structure $*_{\mathcal{A}^*}$ on its dual space \mathcal{A}^* . We construct an antiassociative algebra structure on the direct sum $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{A}^*$ of the underlying vector spaces of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}^* such that both \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}^* are subalgebras and the antisymmetric bilinear form on $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{A}^*$ given by Eq.(5.4) is invariant on $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{A}^*$. Such a construction is called double construction of symplectic antiassociative algebra associated to $(\mathcal{A}, *_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $(\mathcal{A}^*, *_{\mathcal{A}^*})$ and denoted by $(T(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A} \bowtie \mathcal{A}^*, \omega)$.

COROLLARY 5.9. *Let $(T(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A} \bowtie \mathcal{A}^*, \omega)$ be a double construction of symplectic antiassociative algebra. Then, there exists a compatible antidendriform algebra structure \succ, \prec on $T(\mathcal{A})$ defined by the equation (5.3).*

Moreover, \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}^ , endowed with this product, are antidendriform subalgebras.*

Proof: The first half follows from Theorem 5.7. Let $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. Set $x \succ y = a + b^*$, where $a \in \mathcal{A}, b^* \in \mathcal{A}^*$. Since \mathcal{A} is an antiassociative subalgebra of $T(\mathcal{A})$ and $\omega(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) = \omega(\mathcal{A}^*, \mathcal{A}^*) = 0$, we have

$$\omega(b^*, \mathcal{A}^*) = \omega(b^*, \mathcal{A}) = \omega(x \succ y, \mathcal{A}) = \omega(y, \mathcal{A} * x) = 0.$$

Therefore, $b^* = 0$ due to the non-dependence of ω . Hence, $x \succ y = a \in \mathcal{A}$. Similarly, $x \prec y \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus, \mathcal{A} is an antidendriform subalgebra of $T(\mathcal{A})$ with the product \prec, \succ . By symmetry of $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*$ is also an antidendriform subalgebra. \square

DEFINITION 5.10. *Let $(T(\mathcal{A}_1) = \mathcal{A}_1 \bowtie \mathcal{A}_1^*, \omega_1)$ and $(T(\mathcal{A}_2) = \mathcal{A}_2 \bowtie \mathcal{A}_2^*, \omega_2)$ be two double constructions of symplectic antiassociative algebra. They are **isomorphic** if there exists an isomorphism of antiassociative algebras $\varphi : T(\mathcal{A}_1) \rightarrow T(\mathcal{A}_2)$ satisfying the conditions*

$$\varphi(\mathcal{A}_1) = \mathcal{A}_2, \quad \varphi(\mathcal{A}_1^*) = \mathcal{A}_2^*, \quad \omega_1(x, y) = \varphi^* \omega_2(x, y) = \omega_2(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) \quad (5.5)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_1$.

PROPOSITION 5.11. *Two double constructions of symplectic antiassociative algebra $(T(\mathcal{A}_1) = \mathcal{A}_1 \bowtie \mathcal{A}_1^*, \omega_1)$ and $(T(\mathcal{A}_2) = \mathcal{A}_2 \bowtie \mathcal{A}_2^*, \omega_2)$ are isomorphic if and only if there exists a antidendriform algebra isomorphism $\varphi : T(\mathcal{A}_1) \rightarrow T(\mathcal{A}_1)$ satisfying the equation (5.5), where the antidendriform algebra structures on $T(\mathcal{A}_1)$ and $T(\mathcal{A}_2)$ and given by the equation (5.3), respectively.*

Proof: This is straightforward. \square

THEOREM 5.12. *, Let $(\mathcal{A}, \succ_{\mathcal{A}}, \prec_{\mathcal{A}})$ be an antidendriform algebra and $(\mathcal{A}, *_{\mathcal{A}})$ the associated antiassociative algebra. Suppose that there is an antidendriform algebra structure " $\succ_{\mathcal{A}^*}, \prec_{\mathcal{A}^*}$ " on its dual space \mathcal{A}^* and $(\mathcal{A}^*, *_{\mathcal{A}^*})$ is the associated antiassociative algebra. Then, there exists a double construction of symplectic antiassociative algebra associated to $(\mathcal{A}, *_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $(\mathcal{A}, *_{\mathcal{A}^*})$ if and only if $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*, R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}^*, L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}^*, R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*, L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*)$ is a matched pair of antiassociative algebras. Moreover, every double construction of the symplectic antiassociative algebra can be obtained in this way.*

Proof: If $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*, R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}^*, L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}^*, R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*, L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*)$ is a matched pair of the antiassociative algebras, it is straightforward to show that the bilinear form given by Eq.(5.4) is invariant on the antiassociative algebra $\mathcal{A} \bowtie_{R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}^*, L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}^*, R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*, L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*} \mathcal{A}^*$ given by :

$$(x + a^*) *_{\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{A}^*} (y + b^*) = (x *_{\mathcal{A}} y + R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*(a^*)y + L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*(b^*)x)$$

$$+(a^* *_{\mathcal{A}^*} b^* + R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}^*(x)b^* + L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}^*(y)a^*).$$

In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega[(x + a^*) *_{\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{A}^*} (y + b^*), z + c^*] + \omega[(y + b^*) *_{\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{A}^*} (z + c^*), x + a^*] \\ & + \omega[(z + c^*) *_{\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{A}^*} (x + a^*), y + b^*] \\ = & -\langle x *_{\mathcal{A}} y + R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}^*(a^*)y + L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}^*(b^*)x, c^* \rangle + \langle a^* *_{\mathcal{A}^*} b^* + R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}^*(x)b^* + L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}^*(y)a^*, z \rangle \\ & -\langle y *_{\mathcal{A}} z + R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}^*(b^*)z + L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}^*(c^*)y, a^* \rangle + \langle b^* *_{\mathcal{A}^*} c^* + R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}^*(y)c^* + L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}^*(z)b^*, x \rangle \\ & -\langle z *_{\mathcal{A}} x + R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}^*(c^*)x + L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}^*(a^*)z, b^* \rangle + \langle c^* *_{\mathcal{A}^*} a^* + R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}^*(z)a^* + L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}^*(x)c^*, y \rangle \\ = & -\langle x \prec_{\mathcal{A}} y, c^* \rangle - \langle x \succ_{\mathcal{A}} y, c^* \rangle - \langle c^* \prec_{\mathcal{A}^*} a^*, y \rangle - \langle b^* \succ_{\mathcal{A}^*} c^*, x \rangle + \langle a^* \prec_{\mathcal{A}^*} b^*, z \rangle \\ & + \langle a^* \succ_{\mathcal{A}^*} b^*, z \rangle + \langle z \prec_{\mathcal{A}} x, b^* \rangle + \langle y \succ_{\mathcal{A}} z, a^* \rangle - \langle y \succ_{\mathcal{A}} z, a^* \rangle - \langle y \prec_{\mathcal{A}} z, a^* \rangle \\ & - \langle a^* \prec_{\mathcal{A}^*} b^*, z \rangle - \langle a^* \succ_{\mathcal{A}^*} c^*, y \rangle + \langle b^* \prec_{\mathcal{A}^*} c^*, x \rangle + \langle b^* \succ_{\mathcal{A}^*} c^*, x \rangle \\ & + \langle x \prec_{\mathcal{A}} y, c^* \rangle + \langle z \succ_{\mathcal{A}} x, b^* \rangle - \langle z \prec_{\mathcal{A}} x, b^* \rangle - \langle z \succ_{\mathcal{A}} x, b^* \rangle \\ & - \langle b^* \prec_{\mathcal{A}^*} c^*, x \rangle - \langle a^* \succ_{\mathcal{A}^*} b^*, z \rangle + \langle c^* \prec_{\mathcal{A}^*} a^*, y \rangle \\ & + \langle a^* \succ_{\mathcal{A}^*} c^*, y \rangle + \langle y \prec_{\mathcal{A}} z, a^* \rangle + \langle x \succ_{\mathcal{A}} y, c^* \rangle \\ = & 0. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, if there exists a double construction of the symplectic antiassociative algebra associated to $(\mathcal{A}, *_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $(\mathcal{A}, *_{\mathcal{A}^*})$, then $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*, R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}^*, L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}^*, R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*, L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*)$ is a matched pair of the antiassociative algebras given by the following equations:

$$R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}^*(x)(a^* *_{\mathcal{A}^*} b^*) = -R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}^*(L_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}^*}}(a^*)x)b^* - (R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}^*(x)a^*) *_{\mathcal{A}^*} b^*, \quad (5.6)$$

$$L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}^*(x)(a^* *_{\mathcal{A}^*} b^*) = -L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}^*(R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}^*(b^*)x)a^* - a^* *_{\mathcal{A}^*} (L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}^*(x)b^*), \quad (5.7)$$

$$R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*(a^*)(x *_{\mathcal{A}} y^*) = -R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*(L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}^*(x)a^*)y - (R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*(a^*)x) *_{\mathcal{A}} y, \quad (5.8)$$

$$L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*(a^*)(x *_{\mathcal{A}} y^*) = -L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*(R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}^*(y)a^*)x - x *_{\mathcal{A}} (L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*(a^*)y), \quad (5.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}^*(R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*(a^*)x)b^* + (L_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}^*(x)a^*) *_{\mathcal{A}^*} b^* \\ & + L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}^*(L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*(b^*)x)a^* + a^* *_{\mathcal{A}^*} (R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}^*(x)b^*) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}^*(R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*(x)a^*)y + (L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*(a^*)x) *_{\mathcal{A}} y \\ & + L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*(L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}^*(y)a^*)x + x *_{\mathcal{A}} (R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*(a^*)y) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

since the operation $*_{\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{A}^*}$ is antiassociative. \square

COROLLARY 5.13. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \prec)$ be an antidendriform algebra and $(R_{\prec}^*, L_{\succ}^*)$ the bimodule of the associated antiassociative algebra $(\mathcal{A}, *)$. Then, $(T(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A} \ltimes_{R_{\prec}^*, L_{\succ}^*} \mathcal{A}^*, \omega)$ is a double construction of the symplectic antiassociative algebra. Conversely, let $(T(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A} \bowtie \mathcal{A}^*, \omega)$ be a double construction of the symplectic antiassociative algebra. If \mathcal{A}^* is an ideal of $T(\mathcal{A})$, then \mathcal{A}^* is a trivial antiassociative algebra and hence $T(\mathcal{A})$ is isomorphic to the semidirect product $\mathcal{A} \ltimes_{L_{T(\mathcal{A})}, R_{T(\mathcal{A})}} \mathcal{A}^*$. Furthermore, this double construction of the symplectic antiassociative algebra is isomorphic to the double construction of the symplectic antiassociative algebra $(T(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A} \ltimes_{R_{\prec}^*, L_{\succ}^*} \mathcal{A}^*, \omega)$ and the antidendriform algebra structure on \mathcal{A} is given by ω from the equation (5.3).*

Proof: $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*, R_{\prec}^*, L_{\succ}^*, 0, 0)$, with the antiassociative algebra structure on \mathcal{A}^* being trivial, is always a matched pair of antiassociative algebras and, the first half of this Proof follows immediately. Conversely, if \mathcal{A}^* is an ideal, then, for any $a^*, b^* \in \mathcal{A}^*$, it follows that if $T(\mathcal{A}) * a^*, b^* * T(\mathcal{A}) \in \mathcal{A}^*$, then $\omega(a^* * b^*, T(\mathcal{A})) = -\omega(T(\mathcal{A}) * a^*, b^*) - \omega(b^* * T(\mathcal{A}), a^*) = 0$. Thus, $a^* * b^* = 0$. Hence, $T(\mathcal{A})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A} \ltimes_{L_{T(\mathcal{A})}, R_{T(\mathcal{A})}} \mathcal{A}^*$. It follows that $(T(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A} \bowtie \mathcal{A}^*, \omega)$ is isomorphic to the double construction of the symplectic antiassociative algebra $(T(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A} \ltimes_{R_{\prec}^*, L_{\succ}^*} \mathcal{A}^*, \omega)$. \square

THEOREM 5.14. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \succ_{\mathcal{A}}, \prec_{\mathcal{A}})$ be an antidendriform algebra and $(\mathcal{A}, *_{\mathcal{A}})$ the associated antiassociative algebra. Suppose that there is an antidendriform algebra structure $\succ_{\mathcal{A}^*}, \prec_{\mathcal{A}^*}$ on its dual space \mathcal{A}^* and $(\mathcal{A}^*, *_{\mathcal{A}^*})$ is the associated antiassociative algebra. Then,*

$$(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*, R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}^*, L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}^*, R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*, L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*)$$

is a matched pair of antiassociative algebras if and only if

$$(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*, R_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}^* + R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}^*, -L_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}^*, -R_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}^*, L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}^* + L_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}^*, R_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^* + R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*, -L_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*, -R_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*, L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^* + L_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*)$$

is a matched pair of antidendriform algebras.

Proof: The necessary condition follows from the Corollary 4.9. We need to prove the sufficient condition only. If $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*, R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}^*, L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}^*, R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*, L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*)$ is a matched pair of antiassociative algebras, then $(\mathcal{A} \bowtie_{R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}^*, L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}^*, R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*, L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*}^{\mathcal{A}^*} \mathcal{A}^*, \omega)$ is a double construction of the symplectic antiassociative algebra. Hence, there exists a compatible antidendriform algebra structure on $(\mathcal{A} \bowtie_{R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}^*, L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}^*, R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*, L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*}^{\mathcal{A}^*} \mathcal{A}^*)$ given by (5.3). By a simple and direct computation, we show that \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}^* are its subalgebras and the other products are given by

$$\begin{aligned} x \succ a^* &= (R_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}^* + R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}^*)(x)a^* - L_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*(a^*)x, \\ x \prec a^* &= -R_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}^*(x)a^* + (L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^* + L_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*)(a^*)x, \\ a^* \succ x &= (R_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^* + R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*)(a^*)x - L_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}^*(x)a^*, \\ a^* \prec x &= -R_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*(a^*)x + (L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}^* + L_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}^*)(x)a^*, \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in \mathcal{A}, a^* \in \mathcal{A}^*$. Therefore,

$$(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*, R_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}^* + R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}^*, -L_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}^*, -R_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}^*, L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}^* + L_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}^*, R_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^* + R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*, -L_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*, -R_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*, L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^* + L_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*)$$

is a matched pair of antidendriform algebras.

THEOREM 5.15. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \prec_{\mathcal{A}}, \succ_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $(\mathcal{A}^*, \prec_{\mathcal{A}^*}, \succ_{\mathcal{A}^*})$ be two antidendriform algebras. Let $(\mathcal{A}, *_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $(\mathcal{A}^*, *_{\mathcal{A}^*})$ be the corresponding associated antiassociative algebras. Then, the following conditions*

- (1) *There is a double construction of the symplectic antiassociative algebras associated to $(\mathcal{A}, *_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $(\mathcal{A}^*, *_{\mathcal{A}^*})$;*
- (2) *$(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*, R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}^*, L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}^*, R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*, L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*)$ is a matched pair of the antiassociative algebras;*
- (3) *$(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*, R_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}^* + R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}^*, -L_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}^*, -R_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}^*, L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}}}^* + L_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}}}^*, R_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^* + R_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*, -L_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*, -R_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*, L_{\succ_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^* + L_{\prec_{\mathcal{A}^*}}^*)$ is a matched pair of antidendriform algebras;*

are equivalent.

Proof: This follows from Theorems 5.12 and 5.14. □

6. Classification of 2-dimensional antiassociative algebras and double constructions

In this section, we investigate the classification of 2-dimensional antiassociative algebras and some quadratic and symplectic double constructions. Classification of antiassociative algebras has been done firstly in [9], but unfortunately with some errors. In fact, the authors found only two classes; comparatively we found four classes and, the proof is given in the following part. Let \mathcal{A} be an antiassociative algebra such that there is an antiassociative structure "o" on its dual space \mathcal{A}^* spanned by $\{e_1, e_2\}$ and $\{e_1^*, e_2^*\}$ respectively. Formula (2.3) leads to the following relations:

$$(e_i \cdot e_j) \cdot e_k = e_i \cdot (e_j \cdot e_k), \quad \text{where } i, j, k = 1, 2. \quad (6.1)$$

Let $e_1 \cdot e_1 = a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2$, $e_1 \cdot e_2 = b_1 e_1 + b_2 e_2$, $e_2 \cdot e_1 = c_1 e_1 + c_2 e_2$, $e_2 \cdot e_2 = d_1 e_1 + d_2 e_2$ where $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{C}$.

PROPOSITION 6.1. *There are four non-isomorphic 2-dimensional antiassociative algebras \mathcal{A} given by the following:*

$$e_i \cdot e_j = 0, \quad e_1 \cdot e_1 = e_2, \quad e_2 \cdot e_1 = e_2, \quad e_2 \cdot e_2 = e_1. \quad (6.2)$$

PROOF. Let \mathcal{A} be a 2-dimensional antiassociative algebra with basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$. Suppose $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $x = x_1e_1 + x_2e_2$, $y = y_1e_1 + y_2e_2$ and $z = z_1e_1 + z_2e_2$ with $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. By antiassociativity and ignoring the coefficients, we get the relations

$$(e_i \cdot e_j) \cdot e_k = -e_i \cdot (e_j \cdot e_k), \quad i, j, k = 1, 2.$$

Setting $e_1e_1 = a_1e_1 + a_2e_2$, $e_1e_2 = b_1e_1 + b_2e_2$, $e_2e_1 = c_1e_1 + c_2e_2$ and $e_2e_2 = d_1e_1 + d_2e_2$, in the previous relations one have the following eight relations equivalent to a system of 32 equations:

$$\begin{cases} (a_1^2 + a_2c_1)e_1 + (a_1a_2 + a_2c_2)e_2 = -(a_1^2 + a_2b_1)e_1 - (a_1a_2 + a_2b_2)e_2, \\ (a_1b_1 + a_2d_1)e_1 + (a_1b_2 + a_2d_2)e_2 = -(b_1a_1 + b_2b_1)e_1 - (b_1a_2 + b_2^2)e_2, \\ (b_1a_1 + b_2c_1)e_1 + (b_1a_2 + b_2c_2)e_2 = -(c_1a_1 + c_2b_1)e_1 - (c_1a_2 + c_2b_2)e_2, \\ (b_1^2 + b_2d_1)e_1 + (b_1b_2 + b_2d_2)e_2 = -(d_1a_1 + d_2b_1)e_1 - (d_1a_2 + d_2b_2)e_2, \\ (b_1a_1 + b_2c_1)e_1 + (b_1a_2 + b_2c_2)e_2 = -(c_1a_1 + c_2b_1)e_1 - (c_1a_2 + c_2b_2)e_2, \\ (c_1b_1 + c_2d_1)e_1 + (c_1b_2 + c_2d_2)e_2 = -(b_1c_1 + b_2d_1)e_1 - (b_1c_2 + b_2d_2)e_2, \\ (d_1a_1 + d_2c_1)e_1 + (d_1a_2 + d_2c_2)e_2 = -(c_1^2 + c_2d_1)e_1 - (d_1c_2 + d_2^2)e_2 \end{cases}.$$

For

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= 0 \\ a_2 &= 0 \Rightarrow b_2 = 0 \\ b_1 &= 0 \\ c_1 &= 0 \\ c_2 &= 0 \\ d_1 &\neq 0 \Rightarrow d_2 = 0 \\ \text{class : } & e_2e_2 = d_1e_1. \end{aligned}$$

For

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= 0 \\ a_2 &= 0 \Rightarrow b_2 = 0 \\ b_1 &= 0 \\ c_1 &= 0 \\ c_2 &= 0 \\ \text{class : } & e_2e_1 = c_2e_2. \end{aligned}$$

For

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= 0 \\ a_2 &= 0 \\ b_1 &= 0 \Rightarrow c_1 = c_2 = b_2 = d_1 = d_2 = 0 \\ \text{class : } & e_1e_1 = a_1e_2. \end{aligned}$$

The last class is the trivial one ie. $e_ie_j = 0$. The other cases lead to an absurdity. Therefore, by isomorphism we get the following four classes: $e_ie_j = 0$, $e_1e_1 = e_2$, $e_2e_1 = e_2$ and $e_2e_2 = e_1$. \square

Now, we discuss the quadratic antiassociative algebra structure on the direct sum $A \oplus A^*$. *Case(I).* $e_1 \cdot e_1 = e_2$. The product on the dual space is given by: $e_2^* \circ e_1^* = e_2^*$. Using relation (2.17) when $l_{\mathcal{A}} = R^*$, $r_{\mathcal{A}} = L^*$, $l_{\mathcal{B}} = l_{\mathcal{A}^*} = R_{\circ}^*$, $r_{\mathcal{B}} = r_{\mathcal{A}^*} = L_{\circ}^*$, we obtain the double construction of quadratic antiassociative algebra $(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{A}^*, *, B)$ associated to (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) and (\mathcal{A}^*, \circ) given explicitly

by the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
(e_1 + e_1^*) * (e_1 + e_1^*) &= (e_1 \cdot e_1 + R_o^*(e_1^*)e_1 + L_o^*(e_1^*)e_1) + (e_1^* \circ e_1^* + R^*(e_1)e_1^* + L^*(e_1)e_1^*), \\
&= e_2, \\
(e_1 + e_1^*) * (e_1 + e_2^*) &= (e_1 \cdot e_1 + R_o^*(e_1^*)e_1 + L_o^*(e_2^*)e_1) + (e_1^* \circ e_2^* + R^*(e_1)e_2^* + L^*(e_1)e_1^*), \\
&= e_2 + e_1^*, \\
(e_1 + e_1^*) * (e_2 + e_1^*) &= (e_1 \cdot e_2 + R_o^*(e_1^*)e_2 + L_o^*(e_1^*)e_1) + (e_1^* \circ e_1^* + R^*(e_1)e_1^* + L^*(e_2)e_1^*), \\
&= e_2, \\
(e_1 + e_1^*) * (e_2 + e_2^*) &= (e_1 \cdot e_2 + R_o^*(e_1^*)e_2 + L_o^*(e_2^*)e_1) + (e_1^* \circ e_2^* + R^*(e_1)e_2^* + L^*(e_2)e_1^*), \\
&= e_2 + e_1^*, \\
(e_1 + e_2^*) * (e_1 + e_1^*) &= (e_1 \cdot e_1 + R_o^*(e_2^*)e_1 + L_o^*(e_1^*)e_1) + (e_2^* \circ e_1^* + R^*(e_1)e_1^* + L^*(e_1)e_1^*), \\
&= e_2 + e_2^*, \\
(e_1 + e_2^*) * (e_1 + e_2^*) &= (e_1 \cdot e_1 + R_o^*(e_2^*)e_1 + L_o^*(e_2^*)e_1) + (e_2^* \circ e_2^* + R^*(e_1)e_2^* + L^*(e_1)e_2^*), \\
&= e_2 + 2e_1^*, \\
(e_2 + e_1^*) * (e_1 + e_1^*) &= (e_2 \cdot e_1 + R_o^*(e_1^*)e_1 + L_o^*(e_2^*)e_1) + (e_1^* \circ e_1^* + R^*(e_2)e_1^* + L^*(e_1)e_1^*), \\
&= 0, \\
(e_2 + e_1^*) * (e_1 + e_2^*) &= (e_2 \cdot e_1 + R_o^*(e_1^*)e_1 + L_o^*(e_2^*)e_2) + (e_1^* \circ e_2^* + R^*(e_2)e_2^* + L^*(e_1)e_1^*), \\
&= e_1, \\
(e_2 + e_2^*) * (e_1 + e_1^*) &= (e_2 \cdot e_1 + R_o^*(e_2^*)e_1 + L_o^*(e_1^*)e_2) + (e_2^* \circ e_1^* + R^*(e_2)e_1^* + L^*(e_1)e_2^*), \\
&= e_2^* + e_1^*, \\
(e_2 + e_2^*) * (e_1 + e_2^*) &= (e_2 \cdot e_1 + R_o^*(e_2^*)e_1 + L_o^*(e_2^*)e_2) + (e_2^* \circ e_2^* + R^*(e_2)e_2^* + L^*(e_1)e_2^*), \\
&= e_1 + e_1^*, \\
(e_2 + e_2^*) * (e_2 + e_1^*) &= (e_2 \cdot e_2 + R_o^*(e_2^*)e_2 + L_o^*(e_1^*)e_2) + (e_2^* \circ e_1^* + R^*(e_2)e_1^* + L^*(e_2)e_2^*), \\
&= e_2^*, \\
(e_2 + e_2^*) * (e_2 + e_2^*) &= (e_2 \cdot e_2 + R_o^*(e_2^*)e_2 + L_o^*(e_2^*)e_2) + (e_2^* \circ e_2^* + R^*(e_2)e_2^* + L^*(e_2)e_2^*), \\
&= e_1, \\
(e_1 + e_2^*) * (e_2 + e_1^*) &= (e_1 \cdot e_2 + R_o^*(e_2^*)e_2 + L_o^*(e_1^*)e_1) + (e_2^* \circ e_1^* + R^*(e_1)e_1^* + L^*(e_2)e_2^*), \\
&= e_2^*, \\
(e_1 + e_2^*) * (e_2 + e_2^*) &= (e_1 \cdot e_2 + R_o^*(e_2^*)e_2 + L_o^*(e_2^*)e_1) + (e_2^* \circ e_2^* + R^*(e_1)e_2^* + L^*(e_2)e_2^*), \\
&= e_1^*, \\
(e_2 + e_1^*) * (e_2 + e_1^*) &= (e_2 \cdot e_2 + R_o^*(e_1^*)e_2 + L_o^*(e_1^*)e_2) + (e_1^* \circ e_1^* + R^*(e_2)e_1^* + L^*(e_2)e_1^*), \\
&= e_2, \\
(e_2 + e_1^*) * (e_2 + e_2^*) &= (e_2 \cdot e_2 + R_o^*(e_1^*)e_2 + L_o^*(e_2^*)e_2) + (e_1^* \circ e_2^* + R^*(e_2)e_2^* + L^*(e_2)e_1^*), \\
&= e_1 + e_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Case(II) $e_2 \cdot e_1 = e_2$. The product on the dual space is given by: $e_1^* \circ e_1^* = e_2^*$. Similarly, the double construction of quadratic antiassociative algebra $(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{A}^*, *, B)$ associated to (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) and

(\mathcal{A}^*, \circ) is given explicitly by the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
(e_1 + e_1^*) * (e_1 + e_1^*) &= e_2^*, \\
(e_1 + e_1^*) * (e_1 + e_2^*) &= e_2^*, \\
(e_1 + e_1^*) * (e_2 + e_1^*) &= e_1 + e_2^*, \\
(e_1 + e_1^*) * (e_2 + e_2^*) &= e_1 + e_2^*, \\
(e_1 + e_2^*) * (e_1 + e_1^*) &= 0, \\
(e_1 + e_2^*) * (e_1 + e_2^*) &= e_2^*, \\
(e_2 + e_1^*) * (e_1 + e_1^*) &= e_2 + e_2^*, \\
(e_2 + e_1^*) * (e_1 + e_2^*) &= e_2, \\
(e_2 + e_2^*) * (e_1 + e_1^*) &= e_2 + e_1, \\
(e_2 + e_2^*) * (e_1 + e_2^*) &= e_2, \\
(e_2 + e_2^*) * (e_2 + e_1^*) &= e_1 + e_1^*, \\
(e_2 + e_2^*) * (e_2 + e_2^*) &= e_1^*, \\
(e_1 + e_2^*) * (e_2 + e_1^*) &= e_1^*, \\
(e_1 + e_2^*) * (e_2 + e_2^*) &= e_1^* + e_2^*, \\
(e_2 + e_1^*) * (e_2 + e_1^*) &= 2e_1 + e_2^*, \\
(e_2 + e_1^*) * (e_2 + e_2^*) &= e_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Case(III) The following relations $e_1 \prec e_1 = \lambda e_2$, $e_1 \succ e_1 = (1 - \lambda)e_2$, $\lambda \in K$ define an antidendriform algebra associated to the antiassociative algebra $e_1 \cdot e_1 = e_2$. A double construction of symplectic antiassociative algebra $(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{A}^*, *, \omega)$ is given explicitly by the following relations: $e_1 * e_1 = e_2$, $e_1 * e_2^* = \lambda e_1^*$, $e_2^* * e_1 = (1 - \lambda)e_1^*$.

Case(IV) The following relations $e_2 \prec e_2 = -e_1$, $e_2 \succ e_2 = e_1$, define an antidendriform algebra associated to the antiassociative algebra $e_i \cdot e_j = 0$. A double construction of symplectic antiassociative algebra $(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{A}^*, *, \omega)$ is given explicitly by the following relations: $e_1^* * e_2 = e_2^*$, $e_2 * e_1^* = -e_2^*$.

References

- [1] H. An and C. Bai, From Rota-Baxter algebras to pre-Lie algebras. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **41** (2008)015201(19pp).
- [2] C. Bai, Double constructions of Frobenius algebras, Connes cocycle and their duality. J. Noncommut. Geom. **4** (2010), pp. 475 - 530.
- [3] C. Bai, A unified algebraic approach to the classical Yang-Baxter equation. J. Phys. A **40** (2007), pp 11073-11082. Zbl 1118.17008 MR 2396216.
- [4] C. Bai, L. Guo, and X. Ni, \mathcal{O} -operators on associative algebras and associative Yang-Baxter equations Preprint 2009; arXiv:0910.3261 [math.RA].
- [5] M. Camacho, Ivan Kaygorodov, Victor Lopatkin and Mohamed A. Salim, The variety of dual mock-Lie algebras. arXiv:1910.01484 [math.RA].
- [6] T.F. Fox, An introduction to algebraic deformation theory. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **84** (1993), pp. 17-41. Fox, T.F., , M.:
- [7] T.F. Fox, M. Markl Distributive laws, bialgebras, and cohomology. In Loday, J.L. Stasheff, J.D. Voronov, A.A. (eds.) Operads: Proceedings of Renaissance Conferences of Contemporary Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, vol. 202, pp. 167-205 (1997).
- [8] B. A. Kuppersmidt, What a classical r-matrix really is. J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. **6** (1999), pp. 448-488, Zbl 1015.17015 MR 1722068.
- [9] M. Markl and E. Remm, (Non-)Koszulness of operads for n-ary algebras, galgalim and other curiosities. J. Homotopy Relat. Struct. **139** (2014), DOI 10.1007/s40062-014-0090-7
- [10] S. Okubo and N. Kamiya, Jordan-Lie super algebra and Jordan-Lie triple system, J. Algebra **198** (1997), 388.
- [11] K. Uchino, Quantum analogy of Poisson geometry, related dendriform algebras and Rota-Baxter operators. Lett. Math. Phys. **85** (2008), 91-109. Zbl 05544981 MR 2443932.
- [12] P. Zumanovich, Specialand exceptional Mock-Lie algebras, Linear Algebra and its Applications (2017), 518, 79-96.

(†) UNIVERSITY OF ABOMEY-CALAVI, INTERNATIONAL CHAIR IN MATHEMATICAL PHYSICS AND APPLICATIONS,
ICMPA-UNESCO CHAIR, 072 BP 50, COTONOU, REP. OF BENIN
E-mail address: `houndedjid@gmail.com`

(*) UNIVERSITY OF ...,
E-mail address: `cyzille19@gmail.com`