

Note on relations among multiple zeta(-star) values II

Masahiro Igarashi

Abstract

I obtain new evaluations of special values of multiple polylogarithms by using a limiting case of a basic hypergeometric identity of G. E. Andrews.

Keywords: Multiple polylogarithm; Multiple zeta value; Multiple zeta-star value; Hypergeometric series

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11M32; Secondary 33C20, 33C90

1 Introduction

We deal with the following special values of multiple polylogarithms (MPLs for short):

$$\sum_{0 < m_1 < \dots < m_n < \infty} \frac{z^{m_n-1}}{m_1^{k_1} \dots m_n^{k_n}}, \quad (1)$$

$$\sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_n < \infty} \frac{z^{m_n-1}}{m_1^{k_1} \dots m_n^{k_n}}, \quad (2)$$

where $z = \pm 1$, $1 \leq k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n-1$) and $2 \leq k_n \in \mathbb{Z}$. In case $z = 1$, the values (1) and (2) become the multiple zeta value (MZV for short) and the multiple zeta-star value (MZSV for short), respectively (see Euler [9], Hoffman [10], and Zagier [20]). As usual, MZV and MZSV are denoted by $\zeta(\{k_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ and $\zeta^*(\{k_i\}_{i=1}^n)$, respectively. Besides this, we denote by $\zeta_-(\{k_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ and

$\zeta_-^*(\{k_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ the case $z = -1$ of (1) and of (2), respectively. It is known that special values of MPLs satisfy numerous relations (see, e.g., [4], [7], [8]). In the present paper, we deal also with the generalized hypergeometric series

$${}_{p+1}F_p \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_{p+1} \\ b_1, \dots, b_p \end{matrix} ; z \right) := \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_m \cdots (a_{p+1})_m}{(b_1)_m \cdots (b_p)_m} \frac{z^m}{m!},$$

where $1 \leq p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $z, a_1, \dots, a_{p+1} \in \mathbb{C}$, $b_1, \dots, b_p \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$ and $(a)_m$ denotes the Pochhammer symbol defined by $(a)_m = a(a+1) \cdots (a+m-1)$ ($1 \leq m \in \mathbb{Z}$) and $(a)_0 = 1$. This power series converges absolutely for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|z| = 1$ provided $\operatorname{Re}(\sum_{i=1}^p b_i - \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} a_i) > 0$. In [12] and [13], I showed that various new and interesting relations among special values of MPLs can be derived from the following limiting case of Andrews' basic hypergeometric identity [1, Theorem 4]:

Theorem A (Krattenthaler and Rivoal [15, Proposition 1 (i)], a limiting case of [1, Theorem 4]). *Let s be a positive integer, and let a, b_i, c_i ($i = 1, \dots, s+1$) be complex numbers. Suppose that the complex numbers a, b_i, c_i ($i = 1, \dots, s+1$) satisfy the conditions $1 + a - b_i, 1 + a - c_i \notin \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$ ($i = 1, \dots, s+1$),*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left((2s+1)(a+1) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^{s+1} (b_i + c_i) \right) &> 0, \\ \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{i=r}^{s+1} A_i (1 + a - b_i - c_i) \right) &> 0 \quad (r = 2, \dots, s+1) \end{aligned}$$

for all possible choices of $A_i = 1$ or 2 ($i = 2, \dots, s$), $A_{s+1} = 1$. (For the details of the choices of A_i , see [15].) Then the following identity holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_{2s+4}F_{2s+3} \left(\begin{matrix} a, \frac{a}{2} + 1, b_1, c_1, \dots, b_{s+1}, c_{s+1} \\ \frac{a}{2}, 1 + a - b_1, 1 + a - c_1, \dots, 1 + a - b_{s+1}, 1 + a - c_{s+1} \end{matrix} ; -1 \right) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(1 + a - b_{s+1}) \Gamma(1 + a - c_{s+1})}{\Gamma(1 + a) \Gamma(1 + a - b_{s+1} - c_{s+1})} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{l_1, \dots, l_s=0}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^s \frac{(1 + a - b_i - c_i)_{l_i} (b_{i+1})_{l_1 + \dots + l_i} (c_{i+1})_{l_1 + \dots + l_i}}{l_i! (1 + a - b_i)_{l_1 + \dots + l_i} (1 + a - c_i)_{l_1 + \dots + l_i}}. \end{aligned}$$

Andrews' hypergeometric identity [1, Theorem 4] is a terminating one. The justification of taking the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ in the identity was rigorously done by

Krattenthaler and Rivoal in [15]. They applied Theorem A to proving an identity between hypergeometric series and multiple integrals related to the construction of \mathbb{Q} -linear forms in the Riemann zeta values $\zeta(k)$, which is Zudilin's identity [22, Theorem 5]. Their study is an application of Andrews' identity to diophantine problems of zeta values. The application to the study of relations among special values of MPLs was studied in my previous papers [12] and [13]. In these papers, I showed the usefulness of the hypergeometric identity for such a study. In fact, I proved various new and interesting relations among special values of MPLs by using [15, Proposition 1 (i) and (ii)]: for example, the identities (28), (29), (30), (58) of [13]; see also [12, (A3), (A4), Addendum]. In the present paper, I again deal with the application of Theorem A to evaluating special values of MPLs, and obtain new evaluations of them: see Theorems 1, 2, and 3 below. The present paper and my previous papers [12], [13] are motivated by [1, Theorem 4], [15, Proposition 1], and [11, Remarks 2.6 and 2.7]: the hypergeometric identities of Andrews, Krattenthaler and Rivoal motivated me to study the hypergeometric method of proving relations among special values of MPLs. (I already noted the paper [15] in my preprint arXiv:0908.2536v1.)

Note 1. I obtained Applications 1 and 2 below in April–July 2013 and in June 2014, respectively. These results were written in my unpublished manuscripts (2013–2014), but not written in the published version [13], though Application 1 was written in the earlier versions of [13] distributed in October and December 2013 as preprints.

2 Applications of Andrews' hypergeometric identity

Hereafter we use the following notation:

$$\mathbb{Z}_{\geq k} := \{k, k+1, k+2, \dots\}, \quad \mathbb{Z}_{\leq k} := \{k, k-1, k-2, \dots\},$$

$$\{a\}^n := \underbrace{a, \dots, a}_n,$$

where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $1 \leq n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We regard $\{a\}^0$ as the empty set \emptyset .

2.1 Application 1

Using Theorem A, I can prove the following evaluations:

Theorem 1. *Let $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Then the sums*

$$\sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^{r-i} \left\{ \binom{s-2+i}{i} + \binom{s-1+i}{i} \right\} \zeta_{-}(\{1\}^{r-i}, 2s+i), \quad (3)$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^{r-i} 2^{r-i+1} \left\{ \binom{2s+i-1}{i} - \binom{2s+i-1}{i-1} \right\} \zeta_{-}(\{1\}^{r-i}, 2s+i) \quad (4)$$

can be expressed as \mathbb{Q} -polynomials of the Riemann zeta values $\zeta(k) := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-k}$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$).

Remark 1. I first note the following two evaluations:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{-}(\{1\}^m, 2) &= (-1)^m \zeta(m+2) + (-1)^m 2 \frac{(\log 2)^{m+2}}{(m+2)!} \\ &\quad + (-1)^{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{m+2} \text{Li}_k(1/2) \frac{(\log 2)^{m+2-k}}{(m+2-k)!} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

($m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$) proved by Borwein, Bradley, and Broadhurst in [6, Identity (69), Section 6], where $\text{Li}_k(z) := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} z^m m^{-k}$ is the polylogarithm; and

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{-}(1, 3) &= -2\text{Li}_4(1/2) - \frac{1}{12}(\log 2)^4 + \frac{15}{8}\zeta(4) \\ &\quad - \frac{7}{4}\zeta(3)\log 2 + \frac{1}{2}\zeta(2)(\log 2)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

given by Borwein, Borwein, and Girgensohn in [5, p. 291, line 10]. I note that, while the evaluations (5) and (6) contain $\text{Li}_k(1/2)$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$; $\log 2 = \text{Li}_1(1/2)$), the evaluations of the sums (3) and (4) in Theorem 1 do not contain $\text{Li}_k(1/2)$. In fact, the sums (3) and (4) can be evaluated only by $\zeta(k)$ ($= \text{Li}_k(1)$). From this fact, I think that the sums (3) and (4) are particularly interesting. For evaluations of $\zeta_{-}(\{1\}^m, n+1)$ ($m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$) in terms of alternating Euler sums, see [6, Identity (28)] and [7, Theorem 9.3]. For MZVs, it is known that $\zeta(\{1\}^m, n+2)$ ($m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$) can be expressed as a \mathbb{Q} -polynomial of $\zeta(k)$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$) (see [18] and [20]).

To prove Theorem 1, I prove two lemmas:

Lemma 1. *The following two identities hold:*

(i)

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^{r-i} \left\{ \binom{s-2+i}{i} + \binom{s-1+i}{i} \right\} \zeta_{-}(\{1\}^{r-i}, 2s+i) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{r_1+\dots+r_s=r \\ r_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}} \zeta^{\star}(\{r_i+2\}_{i=1}^s) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^{r-i} 2^{r-i+1} \left\{ \binom{2s+i-1}{i} - \binom{2s+i-1}{i-1} \right\} \zeta_{-}(\{1\}^{r-i}, 2s+i) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^r \frac{(-1)^i}{i!} \frac{d^i}{d\alpha^i} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\alpha)^2}{\Gamma(2\alpha-1)} \right) \Big|_{\alpha=1} \\ & \times \sum_{\substack{r_1+\dots+r_s=r-i \\ r_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^s (r_j+1) \right\} \zeta^{\star}(\{r_j+2\}_{j=1}^s) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, where $\Gamma(z)$ is the gamma function.

Proof. Taking $\alpha = \beta = 1$ in [13, Theorem 2.13 (iii)], we get (7). The identity (8) can be proved as follows: Taking $a = 2\alpha$ and $b_i = c_i = \alpha$ ($i = 1, \dots, s+1$) ($s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $s+1/2 > \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > 1/2$) in Theorem A, we get the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2\alpha-1)_{m+1}}{m!} \frac{(-1)^m}{(m+\alpha)^{2s+1}} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)^2}{\Gamma(2\alpha-1)} \sum_{0 \leq m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_s < \infty} \prod_{i=1}^s \frac{1}{(m_i+\alpha)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating both sides of this identity r times at $\alpha = 1$ and using the identity

$$\frac{1}{r!} \frac{d^r}{dw^r} (w)_m = (w)_m \sum_{0 \leq m_1 < \dots < m_r < m} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{m_i + w}$$

($r, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$), we get (8). \square

Lemma 2. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$, and let $f(r_1, \dots, r_k)$ be a symmetric function with k variables. Then the sum

$$\sum_{\substack{r_1 + \dots + r_k = r \\ r_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}} f(r_1, \dots, r_k) \zeta^*(qr_1 + s, \dots, qr_k + s) \quad (9)$$

can be expressed as a \mathbb{Q} -linear combination of $f(r_1, \dots, r_k) \{\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \zeta(m_i)\}$ ($m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$).

Proof. Let \mathfrak{S}_k be the symmetric group of degree k . Then we can get the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_k} \sum_{\substack{r_{\sigma(1)} + \dots + r_{\sigma(k)} = r \\ r_{\sigma(i)} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}} f(r_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, r_{\sigma(k)}) \zeta^*(qr_{\sigma(1)} + s, \dots, qr_{\sigma(k)} + s) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{r_1 + \dots + r_k = r \\ r_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}} f(r_1, \dots, r_k) \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_k} \zeta^*(qr_{\sigma(1)} + s, \dots, qr_{\sigma(k)} + s) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$. By taking $i_j = qr_j + s$ ($j = 1, \dots, k$) in [10, Theorem 2.1], the inner sum on the right-hand side of (10) can be written as a \mathbb{Q} -polynomial of $\zeta(m)$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$). Thus the right-hand side becomes a \mathbb{Q} -linear combination of $f(r_1, \dots, r_k) \{\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \zeta(m_i)\}$. On the other hand, by $|\mathfrak{S}_k| = k!$, where $|\mathfrak{S}_k|$ denotes the number of elements of \mathfrak{S}_k , the left-hand side of (10) can be rewritten as

$$k! \sum_{\substack{r_1 + \dots + r_k = r \\ r_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}} f(r_1, \dots, r_k) \zeta^*(qr_1 + s, \dots, qr_k + s),$$

which is (9). This completes the proof. \square

Remark 2. Hoffman proved his evaluation [10, Theorem 2.1] explicitly; therefore the sum (9) can also be evaluated so.

Proof of Theorem 1. Taking $q = 1$, $s = 2$, $f(r_1, \dots, r_k) = 1$ in Lemma 2, we see that the right-hand side of (7) can be expressed as a \mathbb{Q} -linear combination of $\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \zeta(m_i)$, and this proves the assertion for (3). Similarly, taking $q = 1$, $s = 2$, $f(r_1, \dots, r_k) = \prod_{i=1}^k (r_i + 1)$ in Lemma 2, we see that the inner sum

on the right-hand side of (8) can be expressed as a \mathbb{Q} -linear combination of $\{\prod_{i=1}^k (r_i + 1)\}\{\prod_{i=1}^\ell \zeta(m_i)\}$. We note that the differential coefficient

$$\frac{1}{i!} \frac{d^i}{d\alpha^i} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\alpha)^2}{\Gamma(2\alpha - 1)} \right) \Big|_{\alpha=1} \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) \quad (11)$$

also has such an expression. Indeed, using the expansion of the gamma function

$$\Gamma(\alpha) = \exp \left(-\gamma(\alpha - 1) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\zeta(n)}{n} (\alpha - 1)^n \right)$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\alpha - 1| < 1$ (see, e.g., [3, p. 38], [19, Chapter XII], [18]), where γ is Euler's constant, we get the expansion

$$\frac{\Gamma(\alpha)^2}{\Gamma(2\alpha - 1)} = \exp \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\zeta(n)}{n} (2^n - 2)(\alpha - 1)^n \right)$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\alpha - 1| < 1/2$. Using this, we can get an expression of (11) by a \mathbb{Q} -polynomial of $\zeta(k)$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$). Therefore, combining all the expressions above of the right-hand side of (8), we get a proof of the assertion for (4). \square

Using Theorem A, I can prove also the following evaluation, which is similar to (7):

Theorem 2. *The identity*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^r \left\{ \binom{s-2+i}{i} + \binom{s-1+i}{i} \right\} \zeta^*(\{1\}^{r-i}, 2s+i) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{r_1 + \dots + r_s = r \\ r_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}} (r_1 + 1) \zeta^*(\{r_i + 2\}_{i=1}^s) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

holds for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.

Proof. Taking $a = \alpha + 1$, $b_1 = c_1 = 1$, $b_i = \alpha$, $c_i = 1$ ($i = 2, \dots, s+1$) ($s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > 0$) in Theorem A, we get the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{m!}{(\alpha)_{m+1}} \frac{2m + \alpha + 1}{(m + \alpha)^s (m + 1)^s} (-1)^m \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_s < \infty} \frac{1}{(m_1 + \alpha)^2} \left\{ \prod_{i=2}^s \frac{1}{(m_i + \alpha)(m_i + 1)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating both sides of this identity r times at $\alpha = 1$ and using the identity

$$\frac{(-1)^r}{r!} \frac{d^r}{dw^r} \left(\frac{1}{(w)_{m+1}} \right) = \frac{1}{(w)_{m+1}} \sum_{0 \leq m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_r \leq m} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{m_i + w}$$

$(r, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$, we get (12). \square

Remark 3. Taking $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 1$ in [13, Theorem 2.13 (ii)], I get also the identity

$$\text{The left-hand side of (12)} = \sum_{\substack{r_1 + \dots + r_s = r \\ r_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}} \zeta^*(\{\{1\}^{r_i}, 2\}_{i=1}^s)$$

$(r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1})$, where $\{\{1\}^{r_i}, 2\}_{i=1}^s := \{1\}^{r_1}, 2, \dots, \{1\}^{r_i}, 2, \dots, \{1\}^{r_s}, 2$.

Taking $s = 1$ in (7) and (12), I get the identities

$$\sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^{r-i} (\delta_{i0} + 1) \zeta_{-}(\{1\}^{r-i}, 2 + i) = \zeta(r + 2), \quad (13)$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^r (\delta_{i0} + 1) \zeta_{+}^*(\{1\}^{r-i}, 2 + i) = (r + 1) \zeta(r + 2) \quad (14)$$

$(r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$, respectively, where δ_{ij} denotes Kronecker's delta, i.e., $\delta_{ij} = 1$ if $i = j$; $\delta_{ij} = 0$ if $i \neq j$. I note that there is a similarity between (13), (14) and the identity for MZSVs of Aoki and Ohno [2, Corollary 1].

2.2 Application 2

As I showed in [13], the following identity can be derived from Theorem A:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^k 2^{k-i} \sum_{\substack{k_1 + \dots + k_s = k-i \\ k_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}} \zeta^*(i + k_1 + 2, \{k_j + 2\}_{j=2}^s) \\ &= 2^{1+k} \binom{k+s-1}{k} (1 - 2^{1-k-2s}) \zeta(k + 2s) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. (For details, see [13, Theorem 2.16 and its proof, Remark 8].) Using this identity, I can prove the following evaluation of MZSVs:

Theorem 3. *The identity*

$$\begin{aligned} & \zeta^*(4, \{2\}^{s-1}) \\ &= c(s) \zeta^*(\{2\}^{s+1}) - \frac{2}{3} \sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2+k_3=s-2 \\ k_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}} (2 + \delta_{0k_1}) \zeta^*(\{2\}^{k_1}, 3, \{2\}^{k_2}, 3, \{2\}^{k_3}) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

holds for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, where δ_{ij} denotes Kronecker's delta defined under (14) and

$$c(s) := \frac{2s(s+1)}{3} + \frac{2}{3} \sum_{i=2}^{s+1} \binom{2(s+1)}{2i} \frac{B_{2(s+1-i)} B_{2i}}{B_{2(s+1)}} \frac{(1-2^{1-2i})}{(1-2^{1-2(s+1)})} \quad (17)$$

($s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$). Here B_n is the n -th Bernoulli number.

Remark 4. The identity (16) is an expression of $\zeta^*(4, \{2\}^{s-1})$ in terms of the $\{2, 3\}$ -basis of the \mathbb{Q} -vector space of MZSVs. For the $\{2, 3\}$ -basis, see [14, Section 3].

Proof of Theorem 3. Taking $k = 2$ in (15), we get the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & 3\zeta^*(4, \{2\}^{s-1}) + 2^2 \sum_{i=1}^s \zeta^*(\{2\}^{i-1}, 4, \{2\}^{s-i}) \\ & + 2 \sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2+k_3=s-2 \\ k_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}} (2 + \delta_{0k_1}) \zeta^*(\{2\}^{k_1}, 3, \{2\}^{k_2}, 3, \{2\}^{k_3}) \\ & = 2^2 s(s+1)(1-2^{-1-2s}) \zeta(2+2s) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

for $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. By using the identity

$$2(1-2^{1-2s}) \zeta(2s) = \zeta^*(\{2\}^s) \quad (19)$$

($s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$; see [21]), the right-hand side of (18) can be rewritten as

$$2^2 s(s+1)(1-2^{-1-2s}) \zeta(2+2s) = 2s(s+1) \zeta^*(\{2\}^{s+1}) \quad (20)$$

for $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. For the first sum on the left-hand side of (18), by using (19) and Euler's formula

$$\zeta(2s) = (-1)^{s-1} \frac{B_{2s}}{(2s)!} \frac{(2\pi)^{2s}}{2} \quad (s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}),$$

it can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^s \zeta^*(\{2\}^{i-1}, 4, \{2\}^{s-i}) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \zeta(2(i+2)) \zeta^*(\{2\}^{s-1-i}) \quad (\text{see the proof below}) \\
&= 2 \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (1 - 2^{1-2(s-1-i)}) \zeta(2(i+2)) \zeta(2(s-1-i)) \\
&= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (1 - 2^{1-2(s-1-i)}) \frac{B_{2(i+2)} B_{2(s-1-i)}}{(2(i+2))! (2(s-1-i))!} \right) (-1)^{s-1} \frac{(2\pi)^{2(s+1)}}{2} \\
&= - \left(\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (1 - 2^{1-2(s-1-i)}) \frac{B_{2(i+2)} B_{2(s-1-i)}}{(2(i+2))! (2(s-1-i))!} \right) \frac{(2(s+1))!}{B_{2(s+1)}} \zeta(2(s+1)) \\
&= - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \binom{2(s+1)}{2(i+2)} \frac{B_{2(i+2)} B_{2(s-1-i)}}{B_{2(s+1)}} \frac{(1 - 2^{1-2(s-1-i)})}{(1 - 2^{1-2(s+1)})} \right) \zeta^*(\{2\}^{s+1})
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

for $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, where $\zeta(0) = -1/2$ and $\zeta^*(\emptyset) = 1$. Thus, substituting (20) and (21) into (18), we get (16). The first identity of (21) can be proved in a way similar to that used for MZVs in [3, pp. 8 and 89]. Indeed, using the harmonic product of MZSVs (see [14] and [16]), we can get the identities

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta(t) \zeta^*(\{2\}^{s-1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^s \zeta^*(\{2\}^{i-1}, t, \{2\}^{s-i}) - \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \zeta^*(\{2\}^{i-1}, t+2, \{2\}^{s-1-i}), \\
\zeta(t+2) \zeta^*(\{2\}^{s-2}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \zeta^*(\{2\}^{i-1}, t+2, \{2\}^{s-1-i}) - \sum_{i=1}^{s-2} \zeta^*(\{2\}^{i-1}, t+4, \{2\}^{s-2-i}), \\
&\vdots \\
\zeta(t+2s-4) \zeta^*(2) &= \zeta^*(t+2s-4, 2) + \zeta^*(2, t+2s-4) - \zeta(t+2s-2)
\end{aligned}$$

for $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$. Adding up each side of these identities, we get the identity

$$\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \zeta(2i+t) \zeta^*(\{2\}^{s-1-i}) = \sum_{i=1}^s \zeta^*(\{2\}^{i-1}, t, \{2\}^{s-i})$$

for $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$. The first identity of (21) is the case $t = 4$ of this identity.

This completes the proof of Theorem 3. \square

Remark 5. The identity (15) contains both (19) and the identity for $\zeta(2s+1)$ of [14, Theorem 2] as special cases: $k = 0$ and $k = 1$, respectively. Compare this

with [14, Proof of Theorem 2]. These two cases give a $\{2, 3\}$ -basis expression of $\zeta(k)$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ (see [14, Theorem 2]). Taking $\alpha = 1$ in [13, Theorem 2.16], I get the following general form of (15), which was proved in 2014:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^k 2^{k-i} \binom{i+r}{i} \sum_{\substack{k_1+\dots+k_s=k-i \\ k_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}} \zeta^*(i+k_1+r+2, \{k_j+2\}_{j=2}^s) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{\substack{k_1+\dots+k_{i+2}=k \\ r_1+\dots+r_{i+1}=r+1 \\ k_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, r_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}} 2^{i+1+k_{i+2}} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^i \binom{k_j+r_j-1}{k_j} \right\} \binom{k_{i+1}+r_{i+1}-2}{k_{i+1}} \binom{k_{i+2}+s-1}{k_{i+2}} \\ & \quad \times \zeta_{-}(\{k_j+r_j\}_{j=1}^i, k_{i+1}+k_{i+2}+r_{i+1}+2s-1) \end{aligned}$$

for all $k, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. It is interesting to obtain generalizations of (16), in particular, as the $\{2, 3\}$ -basis expression of MZSVs.

Remark 6. This was obtained in 2018. The coefficient (17) has the following closed form:

$$\begin{aligned} c(s) &= \frac{2}{3} \{s(s-1) - 1 + (1 - 2^{1-2(s+1)})^{-1}\} \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{18} \frac{(s+1)(2s+1)}{(1 - 2^{1-2(s+1)})} \frac{B_{2s}}{B_{2(s+1)}} \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Indeed, taking $n = s+1$ in the identity of [17, p. 154, line 11] and using $B_0 = 1$, $B_2 = 1/6$, we get the following identity for the Bernoulli numbers:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=2}^{s+1} \binom{2(s+1)}{2i} B_{2(s+1-i)} B_{2i} (1 - 2^{1-2i}) \\ &= \{1 - (2s+1)(1 - 2^{1-2(s+1)})\} B_{2(s+1)} - \frac{(s+1)(2s+1)}{12} B_{2s} \end{aligned}$$

for $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Dividing both sides of this identity by $B_{2(s+1)}(1 - 2^{1-2(s+1)})$ and substituting the result into (17), we get (22).

Note 2. I take this opportunity to give some notes about my papers [11] and [13].

(i) I note that a revised manuscript of [11] which contains Remark 2.7 was first submitted to the journal on August 4, 2009. This is an additional explanation for the addition of Remark 2.7 to [11]. See also the explanation at the beginning of [11, Remark 2.7].

(ii) I note that the identities (27), (28), (57), (58) of [13] were proved in 2014 (before October 15, 2014). (These were also discovered by using [15, Proposition 1 (i)].) These new identities were already written in my unpublished manuscripts in 2014 (before October 15, 2014). It is interesting to generalize these identities of mine and my previous results [12, Addendum], [13, Identities (29) and (30)]. For non-trivial two-parameter generalizations of them, see [13, Corollaries 2.10, 2.12 and Theorem 2.14]: these generalizations were already written in my manuscripts submitted to journals in March and May 2015. I note also that I had already obtained many of the results of [13] before October 15, 2014, and had already written them in my unpublished manuscripts before October 15, 2014. For instance, I obtained the identity (63) of [13] in February 2013, and wrote it in my unpublished manuscript in February 2013. For another instance, I obtained the identities (8) and (26) of [13] in April 2014, and wrote them in my unpublished manuscript in April 2014.

Corrections to [13]. (i) Page 713, line 9 from bottom: “and a revised” should be “and is a revised”. (ii) Page 726, line 10 from bottom: “I remark that” should be “I note that”.

References

- [1] G. E. Andrews, Problems and prospects for basic hypergeometric functions, in *Theory and application of special functions*, Ed. by R. A. Askey, Math. Res. Center, Univ. Wisconsin, Publ. No. 35, Academic Press, 1975, New York, pp. 191–224.
- [2] T. Aoki and Y. Ohno, Sum relations for multiple zeta values and connection formulas for the Gauss hypergeometric functions, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **41** (2005), no. 2, 329–337.
- [3] T. Arakawa and M. Kaneko, *Introduction to multiple zeta values*, Kyushu University MI Lecture Note Series, Vol. 23 (2010) (in Japanese).

- [4] J. Blümlein, D. J. Broadhurst and J. A. M. Vermaseren, The multiple zeta value data mine, *Comput. Phys. Comm.* **181** (2010), no. 3, 582–625.
- [5] D. Borwein, J. M. Borwein and R. Girgensohn, Explicit evaluation of Euler sums, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* (2) **38** (1995), no. 2, 277–294.
- [6] J. M. Borwein, D. M. Bradley and D. J. Broadhurst, Evaluations of k -fold Euler/Zagier sums: a compendium of results for arbitrary k , *The Wilf Festschrift* (Philadelphia, PA, 1996), *Electron. J. Combin.* **4** (1997), no. 2, Research Paper 5, approx. 21 pp. (electronic).
- [7] J. M. Borwein, D. M. Bradley, D. J. Broadhurst and P. Lisoněk, Special values of multiple polylogarithms, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **353** (2001), no. 3, 907–941.
- [8] D. Bowman and D. M. Bradley, Multiple polylogarithms: a brief survey, in *q-series with applications to combinatorics, number theory, and physics* (Urbana, IL, 2000), 71–92, *Contemp. Math.*, **291**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001.
- [9] L. Euler, *Meditationes circa singulare serierum genus*, *Novi Comm. Acad. Sci. Petropol.* **20** (1775), 140–186; reprinted in *Opera Omnia*, Ser. I, Vol. 15, B. G. Teubner, Berlin, 1927, pp. 217–267.
- [10] M. E. Hoffman, Multiple harmonic series, *Pacific J. Math.* **152** (1992), no. 2, 275–290.
- [11] M. Igarashi, Cyclic sum of certain parametrized multiple series, *J. Number Theory* **131** (2011), no. 3, 508–518.
- [12] M. Igarashi, Note on relations among multiple zeta-star values, 2011. arXiv:1106.0481.
- [13] M. Igarashi, Note on relations among multiple zeta(-star) values, *Italian J. Pure Appl. Math.* no. 39 (2018), 710–756.

- [14] K. Ihara, J. Kajikawa, Y. Ohno and J. Okuda, Multiple zeta values vs. multiple zeta-star values, *J. Algebra* **332** (2011), no. 1, 187–208.
- [15] C. Krattenthaler and T. Rivoal, An identity of Andrews, multiple integrals, and very-well-poised hypergeometric series, *Ramanujan J.* **13** (2007), no. 1-3, 203–219.
- [16] S. Muneta, Algebraic setup of non-strict multiple zeta values, *Acta Arith.* **136** (2009), no. 1, 7–18.
- [17] T. Nakamura, Restricted and weighted sum formulas for double zeta values of even weight, *Šiauliai Math. Semin.* **4** (12) (2009), 151–155.
- [18] Y. Ohno and D. Zagier, Multiple zeta values of fixed weight, depth and height, *Indag. Math. (N. S.)* **12** (2001), no. 4, 483–487.
- [19] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, *A Course of Modern Analysis*, 4th ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 1927; reprinted 2003.
- [20] D. Zagier, Values of zeta functions and their applications, in *First European Congress of Mathematics*, Vol. II (Paris, 1992), Ed. by A. Joseph et al., *Progr. Math.* **120**, Birkhäuser, 1994, Basel, pp. 497–512.
- [21] S. A. Zlobin, Generating functions for the values of a multiple zeta function, *Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh.* **60** (2005), no. 2, 55–59 (in Russian); English transl., *Moscow Univ. Math. Bull.* **60** (2005), no. 2, 44–48.
- [22] W. Zudilin, Well-poised hypergeometric series for diophantine problems of zeta values, *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux* **15** (2003), no. 2, 593–626.

Nagoya, Japan

E-mail address: masahiro.igarashi2018@gmail.com