

GROMOV-HAUSDORFF CONVERGENCE OF QUANTISED INTERVALS

THOMAS GOTFREDSEN, JENS KAAD, AND DAVID KYED

ABSTRACT. The Podleś quantum sphere S_q^2 admits a natural commutative C^* -subalgebra I_q with spectrum $\{0\} \cup \{q^{2k} : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$, which may therefore be considered as a quantised version of a classical interval. We study here the compact quantum metric space structure on I_q inherited from the corresponding structure on S_q^2 , and provide an explicit formula for the metric induced on the spectrum. Moreover, we show that the resulting metric spaces vary continuously in the deformation parameter q with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff distance, and that they converge to a classical interval of length π as q tends to 1.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of compact quantum metric spaces dates back to the work of Connes [Co89], in which he studied metrics on state spaces of spectral triples. This notion was later formalised in the works of Rieffel [Ri98, Ri99, Ri05], in which the weak $*$ -topology on the state space is metrised by the Monge-Kantorovich metric coming from a so-called Lip-norm on a C^* -algebra (see Section 2 for details). As shown by Rieffel, the classical Gromov-Hausdorff distance admits an analogue, known as quantum Gromov-Hausdorff distance, for compact quantum metric spaces, and this notion was later refined by Latrémolière through his notion of propinquity [La16]. Although examples of compact quantum metric spaces are abundant, some of the most basic examples from non-commutative geometry are not well understood from this point of view, and only very recently, Aguilar and KAAD [AK18] showed that the Podleś standard sphere S_q^2 , introduced as a homogeneous space of Woronowicz' q -deformed $SU(2)$ [Po87, Wo87], admits a natural compact quantum metric space structure stemming from its non-commutative geometry. More precisely, Aguilar and KAAD show that the Lip-norm arising from the Dirac operator D_q of the Dańbrowski-Sitarz spectral triple [DS03], does indeed provide a quantum metric structure on S_q^2 . The main question left open in [AK18] is that of quantum Gromov-Hausdorff convergence of S_q^2 to the classical 2-sphere S^2 as the deformation parameter tends to 1. This question seems rather difficult to settle¹, and the aim of the present paper is to show that the Podleś sphere S_q^2 contains a natural commutative C^* -algebra I_q for which the corresponding convergence question can be settled, and that the answer supports the more general conjecture that S_q^2 converges to S^2 as q tends to 1. The Podleś sphere is generated by a self-adjoint operator A and a non-normal operator B (see Section 2 for precise definitions), and the C^* -algebra I_q is simply the unital C^* -algebra generated by A inside S_q^2 . Since S_q^2 admits a rather accessible representation on $B(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0))$ [Po87, Proposition 4], the spectrum of the self-adjoint generator $A \in S_q^2$ is easily derivable, and one finds that for $q \in (0, 1)$ this is exactly the set

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 58B32, 58B34, 46L89, 46L30.

Key words and phrases. Quantum metric spaces, Podleś sphere, Gromov-Hausdorff distance.

¹Note: building on the results of the present paper, this was very recently settled in [AKK21].

$$X_q = \{0\} \cup \{q^{2k} : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\},$$

which can therefore be viewed as a quantised version of a classical interval. The Lip-norm L_{D_q} coming from the Dirac operator on S_q^2 therefore, in particular, provides a metric on the state space of $I_q \cong C(X_q)$ and embedding X_q into the state space of $C(X_q)$ as point-evaluations, we obtain a metric d_q on X_q . Our first main result determines an explicit formula for this metric.

Theorem A. *For $q \in (0, 1)$, the metric d_q on X_q is given by the following formula:*

$$d_q(x, y) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y \\ \sum_{k=\min\{m,n\}}^{\max\{m,n\}-1} \frac{(1-q^2)q^k}{\sqrt{1-q^{2(k+1)}}} & \text{if } x = q^{2n} \text{ and } y = q^{2m} \text{ with } n \neq m \\ \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{(1-q^2)q^k}{\sqrt{1-q^{2(k+1)}}} & \text{if } x = q^{2n} \text{ and } y = 0 \text{ or } x = 0 \text{ and } y = q^{2n}. \end{cases}$$

When $q = 1$, the spectrum of the operator A becomes $X_1 := [0, 1]$ and in Section 3.1 we will show that when X_1 is equipped with the metric d_1 inherited from the classical 2-sphere S^2 , then the space (X_1, d_1) becomes isometrically isomorphic to $[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ with its standard Euclidian metric. Our second main theorem therefore confirms that the quantised intervals do indeed converge to the appropriate classical interval as the deformation parameter tends to 1:

Theorem B. *The metric spaces (X_q, d_q) vary continuously with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff distance in the deformation parameter $q \in (0, 1)$ and converge to the interval $[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ with its standard metric as q tends to 1.*

On the class of commutative compact quantum metric spaces, convergence in both La-trémolière's propinquity [La16] and Rieffel's quantum Gromov-Hausdorff distance [Ri04] is implied by convergence in classical Gromov-Hausdorff distance (see Remark 3.7) and Theorem B therefore settles all the natural convergence question for the algebras $I_q \cong C(X_q)$.

The paper is structured as follows: In the first part we introduce the basic definitions concerning quantum metric spaces, Gromov-Hausdorff distance, $SU_q(2)$ and the standard Podleś sphere and the associated Dąbrowski-Sitarz spectral triple. In the second part we first give a description of I_q in the continuum case, i.e. when $q = 1$, followed by a thorough treatment of the quantised case, where $SU(2)$ is deformed by a parameter $q \in (0, 1)$. For this we provide a detailed treatment of the metric d_q , on X_q and its Lipschitz semi-norm from which we can prove Theorem A, and finally we use this to prove Theorem B.

Acknowledgments. The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support from the Independent Research Fund Denmark through grant no. 7014-00145B and grant no. 9040-00107B. We are also grateful for the comments and suggestions provided by the anonymous referee.

Standing conventions. The semi-norms appearing in this text are defined everywhere on unital C^* -algebras and may take the value infinity.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Quantum metric spaces. We begin this section by recalling some basic facts about metric spaces. Let (X, d) be a compact metric space. The *Lipschitz semi-norm*, $L_d: C(X) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$, on $C(X)$ is defined by the formula

$$L_d(f) := \sup \left\{ \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)} : x \neq y \right\}; \quad f \in C(X).$$

A continuous function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is then said to be a Lipschitz function when $L_d(f) < \infty$ and in this case $L_d(f)$ agrees with the Lipschitz constant. The Lipschitz functions on X form a $*$ -subalgebra which we denote by $C_{\text{Lip}}(X) \subset C(X)$. Given subsets $A, B \subset X$, their Hausdorff-distance is defined as

$$\text{dist}_H^d(A, B) := \inf\{r \geq 0 \mid A \subset \mathbb{B}(B, r) \text{ and } B \subset \mathbb{B}(A, r)\},$$

where $\mathbb{B}(A, r)$ denotes the set $\{x \in X : \exists a \in A : d(x, a) < r\}$. For two metric spaces $(X, d_X), (Y, d_Y)$, their *Gromov-Hausdorff distance* is defined as

$$\text{dist}_{GH}(X, Y) = \inf\{\text{dist}_H^{d_Z}(\iota_X(X), \iota_Y(Y))\},$$

where the infimum ranges over all metric spaces (Z, d_Z) and all isometric embeddings $\iota_X: X \rightarrow Z$ and $\iota_Y: Y \rightarrow Z$. Next, we will recall the relevant definitions for quantum metric spaces.

Definition 2.1 ([Ri98, Ri99, Ri05]). Let A be a unital C^* -algebra, and let $L: A \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be a semi-norm. We say that (A, L) is a *compact quantum metric space*, and that L is a *Lip-norm*, if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $\text{Dom}(L) := \{a \in A : L(a) < \infty\}$ is dense in A ;
- (2) L is $*$ -invariant and lower semi-continuous on A ;
- (3) $\ker(L) := \{a \in A : L(a) = 0\} = \mathbb{C}1_A$;
- (4) The Monge-Kantorovich metric on the state space $S(A)$ of A , given by

$$\text{mk}_L(\mu, \nu) := \sup\{|\mu(a) - \nu(a)| : a \in A, L(a) \leq 1\}, \quad \text{for } \mu, \nu \in S(A)$$

metrises the weak $*$ -topology.

The model example for a compact quantum metric space is, unsurprisingly, $(C(X), L_d)$ where (X, d) is a compact metric space. In this case it is a well-known fact that the Monge-Kantorovich metric recaptures the metric d on X when the latter is viewed as a subset of the state space of $C(X)$:

$$d(x, y) = \sup\{|f(x) - f(y)| : f \in C(X), L_d(f) \leq 1\}.$$

Another interesting class of examples, which dates back to the work of Connes [Co89], comes from certain spectral triples: the setting is thus that of a separable Hilbert space H with a self-adjoint densely defined operator $D: \text{Dom}(D) \rightarrow H$, and a unital C^* -algebra A represented on H via a $*$ -homomorphism $\rho: A \rightarrow B(H)$. Then one can define the *Lipschitz algebra* $\text{Lip}_D(A)$, to consist of all elements $x \in A$ which preserve $\text{Dom}(D)$, and for which $[D, \rho(x)]: \text{Dom}(D) \rightarrow H$ admits a bounded extension to H , which will be denoted by $\partial(x) \in B(H)$. Clearly, $\text{Lip}_D(A) \subset A$ is a $*$ -subalgebra and it follows from the definition of a spectral triple that $\text{Lip}_D(A) \subset A$ is norm-dense. From the spectral triple (A, H, D) , we also obtain a semi-norm as follows:

Definition 2.2. Define $L_D: A \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ by the formula

$$L_D(x) := \sup\{|\langle \xi, \rho(x^*)D\eta \rangle - \langle \rho(x)D\xi, \eta \rangle| : \xi, \eta \in \text{Dom}(D), \|\xi\| = \|\eta\| = 1\}.$$

A first result says that $x \in \text{Lip}_D(A)$ exactly when $L_D(x)$ is finite, and in this case $L_D(x) = \|\partial(x)\|$, see e.g. [AK18, Lemma 2.3]. Moreover, $L_D : A \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is lower semi-continuous and $*$ -invariant, see [Ri99, Proposition 3.7]. The above construction does in general not yield a quantum metric space, but due to the work of Rieffel, there are tools available for verifying whether or not this is the case (see for instance [Ri98, Theorem 1.8]).

Quantum analogues of the Gromov-Hausdorff distance have been defined by Rieffel and La-trémolière, and we refer the reader to [Ri04, La16] for concrete definitions. For our purposes, it suffices to know that when the compact quantum metric spaces in question are of the form $(C(X), L_d)$, then both analogues are dominated by the classical Gromov-Hausdorff distance, see Remark 3.7.

2.2. The Standard Podleś Sphere. The central object of interest in this paper is the standard Podleś quantum sphere, which is defined as a particular C^* -subalgebra of Woronowicz' [Wo87] quantum group $SU_q(2)$ as given below. Fix $q \in (0, 1]$, and let $SU_q(2)$ denote the universal unital C^* -algebra with generators a and b defined such that the following relations are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} ba &= qab, & b^*a &= qab^*, & bb^* &= b^*b \\ a^*a + q^2bb^* &= 1 = aa^* + bb^*. \end{aligned}$$

We denote the unital $*$ -subalgebra generated by a and b by $\mathcal{O}(SU_q(2))$, and by $\mathcal{O}(S_q^2)$ the unital $*$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}(SU_q(2))$ generated by the elements

$$A := b^*b \quad \text{and} \quad B := ab^*.$$

The standard Podleś quantum sphere, S_q^2 , is defined as the norm-closure of $\mathcal{O}(S_q^2) \subset SU_q(2)$ [Po87]. We remark that from the defining relations of $SU_q(2)$ we obtain a similar set of relations for A and B :

$$\begin{aligned} AB &= q^2BA, & A &= A^* \\ BB^* &= q^{-2}A(1-A), & B^*B &= A(1-q^2A). \end{aligned}$$

The C^* -algebra $SU_q(2)$ comes equipped with a natural faithful state, called the Haar state, which we denote by $h : SU_q(2) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, see e.g. [KS97, Section 11.3.2]. We let $L^2(SU_q(2))$ denote the separable Hilbert space obtained by applying the GNS-construction to the C^* -algebra $SU_q(2)$ equipped with the Haar state.

From now on, we assume that $q \neq 1$. Define an automorphism ∂_k on $\mathcal{O}(SU_q(2))$ by $\partial_k(x) = q^{\frac{1}{2}}x$ if $x \in \{a, b\}$, and $\partial_k(x) = q^{-\frac{1}{2}}x$ if $x \in \{a^*, b^*\}$, and for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, define the vector subspaces

$$\mathcal{A}_n := \{x \in \mathcal{O}(SU_q(2)) : \partial_k(x) = q^{n/2}x\} \subset \mathcal{O}(SU_q(2)).$$

It turns out that $\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{O}(S_q^2)$ and that the algebra structure on $\mathcal{O}(SU_q(2))$ allows us to consider each \mathcal{A}_n as a left module over $\mathcal{O}(S_q^2)$. We let H_+ and H_- denote the separable Hilbert spaces obtained by taking the Hilbert space closures of \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_{-1} (respectively) when considered as subspaces of $L^2(SU_q(2))$. The GNS-representation of $SU_q(2)$ on $L^2(SU_q(2))$ (when properly restricted) then provides us with two unital $*$ -homomorphisms $\rho_+ : S_q^2 \rightarrow B(H_+)$ and $\rho_- : S_q^2 \rightarrow B(H_-)$.

By [DS03] there exists an even spectral triple, $(S_q^2, H_+ \oplus H_-, D_q)$, where the representation in question is given by the direct sum $\rho : \rho_+ \oplus \rho_- : S_q^2 \rightarrow B(H_+ \oplus H_-)$. For an explicit construction of the Dirac operator $D_q : \text{Dom}(D_q) \rightarrow H_+ \oplus H_-$, we refer to [DS03, NeTu05] or [AK18].

For $x \in \text{Lip}_{D_q}(S_q^2)$, the associated operator $\partial(x)$ (obtained as the closure of $[D_q, \rho(x)]$) takes the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial_2(x) \\ \partial_1(x) & 0 \end{pmatrix} : H_+ \oplus H_- \rightarrow H_+ \oplus H_-,$$

where $\partial_1 : \text{Lip}_{D_q}(S_q^2) \rightarrow B(H_+, H_-)$ and $\partial_2 : \text{Lip}_{D_q}(S_q^2) \rightarrow B(H_-, H_+)$ are derivations satisfying $\partial_2(x^*) = -\partial_1(x)^*$ (remark in this respect that $B(H_+, H_-)$ and $B(H_-, H_+)$ can be considered as bimodules over S_q^2 via the representations ρ_+ and ρ_-). Consequently the Lip-norm is, for $x \in \text{Lip}_{D_q}(S_q^2)$, given by

$$L_{D_q}(x) = \max \{ \|\partial_1(x)\|, \|\partial_1(x^*)\| \}.$$

By [Po87, Proposition 4], S_q^2 admits a faithful representation, $\pi : S_q^2 \rightarrow B(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0))$, defined by

$$\pi(A)(e_k) := q^{2k} e_k, \quad \pi(B)(e_k) = q^k \sqrt{1 - q^{2(k+1)}} e_{k+1}, \quad (1)$$

where e_k denotes the characteristic function on the point-set $\{k\} \subset \mathbb{N}_0$. In fact, this representation even provides a $*$ -isomorphism to the unitisation of the compact operators on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$. Using this representation it is easy to see that the spectrum of the operator A for a specific $q \in (0, 1)$ is given by

$$X_q := \{0\} \cup \{q^{2k} : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

Hence the indicator functions $\chi_{\{q^{2k}\}} : X_q \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ are continuous for all k . In fact, these indicator functions and the unit generate $C(X_q)$, since any continuous function, $f : X_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, can be written as $f(0) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (f(q^{2k}) - f(0)) \cdot \chi_{\{q^{2k}\}}$, where $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(q^{2k}) = f(0)$. By [AK18, Theorem 8.3], (S_q^2, L_{D_q}) is a compact quantum metric space, and consequently so is $I_q := C^*(A, 1) \cong C(X_q)$ with the restricted Lip-norm. The compact quantum metric space (I_q, L_{D_q}) is our main object of interest in the present paper. As I_q is commutative, the Lip-norm L_{D_q} defines a genuine metric d_q on X_q when the latter is considered as a subset of the state space $S(S_q^2)$. In order to describe d_q explicitly, the following lemma will be key:

Lemma 2.3 ([AK18, Lemma 5.3]). *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and let $q \in (0, 1)$. We have that $\chi_{\{q^{2k}\}}(A) \in \text{Lip}_{D_q}(S_q^2)$ and the derivative is given by*

$$\partial_1(\chi_{\{q^{2k}\}}(A)) = \frac{1}{q^{2k}(1-q^2)} \chi_{\{q^{2k}\}}(A) \cdot b^* a^* - \frac{1}{q^{2(k-1)}(1-q^2)} \chi_{\{q^{2(k-1)}\}}(A) \cdot b^* a^*$$

In particular, we obtain that

$$\partial_1(f(A)) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f(q^{2k}) - f(q^{2(k+1)})}{q^{2k}(1-q^2)} \chi_{\{q^{2k}\}}(A) \cdot b^* a^* \quad (2)$$

for every $f \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\chi_{\{q^{2k}\}} : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$.

Remark 2.4. The formula in (2) for $\partial_1(f(A))$ is related to the notion of q -differentiation from q -calculus. Indeed, the q^2 -differentiation of $f \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\chi_{\{q^{2k}\}} : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ would be given

by

$$\mathcal{D}_{q^2}(f) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f(q^{2k}) - f(q^{2(k+1)})}{q^{2k}(1-q^2)} \chi_{\{q^{2k}\}},$$

see for example [KS97, Chapter 2.2]. The extra term b^*a^* appearing in (2) comes from the geometry of the quantised 2-sphere as it operates between the Hilbert space completions H_+ and H_- of the quantised spinor bundles \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_{-1} .

3. METRIC PROPERTIES OF THE QUANTISED INTERVAL

In this section we first provide the explicit descriptions of the compact metric spaces (X_q, d_q) which encode the compact quantum metric space structure of (I_q, L_{D_q}) . More precisely, the algebra of Lipschitz functions of the metric space (X_q, d_q) must agree with the Lipschitz algebra $\text{Lip}_{D_q}(S_q^2) \cap I_q$ and the two semi-norms must agree, in the sense that $L_{D_q}(f(A)) = L_{d_q}(f)$ whenever f is a Lipschitz function on (X_q, d_q) . This analysis is separated into the case $q = 1$, referred to as the continuum case, and the case $q < 1$, referred to as the quantised case.

3.1. The continuum case. We consider the 2-sphere $S^2 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 1\}$ whereas $S^3 = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z|^2 + |w|^2 = 1\}$ both equipped with the subspace topology coming from the usual topology on \mathbb{R}^3 and \mathbb{C}^2 .

In the situation where $q = 1$ we have a homeomorphism between the characters of $SU_q(2)$ and the 3-sphere S^3 , which sends $(z, w) \in S^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ to the unique character $\chi_{z,w}$ satisfying that $\chi_{z,w}(a) = z$ and $\chi_{z,w}(b) = w$ (see [Wo87]). Consequently, we can identify $SU_q(2)$ with $C(S^3)$ such that $a(z, w) = z$ and $b(z, w) = w$. We may moreover view the 2-sphere S^2 as the quotient space of S^3 under the circle action $\lambda \cdot (z, w) := (\lambda \cdot z, \lambda \cdot w)$ and this identification happens via the Hopf-fibration

$$S^3 \ni (z, w) \longmapsto (2\text{Re}(z\bar{w}), 2\text{Im}(z\bar{w}), |z|^2 - |w|^2) \in S^2.$$

Since both $A(z, w) = (b^*b)(w) = |w|^2$ and $B(z, w) = z\bar{w}$ are invariant under the circle action we may consider them as continuous function on S^2 and as such they are given by

$$A(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{1 - x_3}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad B(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{x_1 + ix_2}{2}.$$

It is now clear that A has range $[0, 1]$ and so we have a $*$ -isomorphism $C([0, 1]) \cong I_1$. Let d_1 be the metric on $[0, 1]$ obtained from the standard round metric on S^2 so that

$$d_1(s, t) := \inf \{d_{S^2}((x_1, x_2, 1-2s), (y_1, y_2, 1-2t)) : x_1^2 + x_2^2 + (1-2s)^2 = 1 = y_1^2 + y_2^2 + (1-2t)^2\}$$

for all $s, t \in [0, 1]$. We record the following elementary result:

Proposition 3.1. *The map $\phi: [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ given by $\phi(t) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sin(t)$ is an isometric isomorphism when $[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ is equipped with the standard Euclidean metric d and $[0, 1]$ is equipped with the metric d_1 . In particular, we have a $*$ -isomorphism $\beta: C([-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]) \rightarrow I_1$, $\beta(f) = (f \circ \phi^{-1})(A)$, which maps $C_{\text{Lip}}([-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}])$ onto $I_1 \cap C_{\text{Lip}}(S^2)$ and satisfies $L_{d_{S^2}}(\beta(f)) = L_d(f)$.*

Remark 3.2. For completeness, we note that when $q = 1$, the standard Podleś sphere is of course isomorphic to $C(S^2)$. Indeed, the continuous maps corresponding to A and B separate points in S^2 and the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem then shows that $S_1^2 = C^*(1, A, B) \cong C(S^2)$.

3.2. The quantised case. We will now address the case of a fixed $q \in (0, 1)$. We let X_q denote the spectrum of $A \in S_q^2$, and, as we already saw, $X_q = \{0\} \cup \{q^{2k} : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$. As explained in the introduction, the Lip-norm L_{D_q} gives rise to a metric on the state space of $C^*(A, 1) \cong C(X_q)$, which therefore, in particular, determines a metric d_q on X_q when the latter is viewed as a subset of the state space via point evaluations. The aim of the current section is to find an explicit formula for this metric, and show that the metric spaces (X_q, d_q) converge in the Gromov-Hausdorff distance to the Euclidean interval $[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ as q tends to 1.

To this end, we consider the function $\rho_q : [-1, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\rho_q(x) := \frac{\sqrt{1 - q^{2(x+1)}}}{(1 - q^2)q^x}.$$

Definition 3.3. Define the metric $d_q : X_q \times X_q \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$d_q(x, y) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y \\ \sum_{k=\min\{m, n\}}^{\max\{m, n\}-1} \frac{1}{\rho_q(k)} & \text{if } x = q^{2n} \text{ and } y = q^{2m} \text{ with } n \neq m \\ \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\rho_q(k)} & \text{if } x = q^{2n} \text{ and } y = 0 \text{ or } x = 0 \text{ and } y = q^{2n}. \end{cases}$$

Remark that the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\rho_q(k)}$ is convergent as can be seen from the estimate

$$\frac{1}{\rho_q(k)} = \frac{q^k(1 - q^2)}{\sqrt{1 - q^{2(k+1)}}} \leq q^k \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (3)$$

In order to prove Theorem A, we need several lemmas, the first of which shows that the Lipschitz semi-norm on $C(X_q)$ defined by the metric d_q and the Lip-norm L_{D_q} on I_q agree on all finite linear combinations of characteristic functions on X_q :

Lemma 3.4. *For any $f \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\chi_{\{q^{2k}\}} : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \subset C(X_q)$, it holds that $f(A) \in \text{Lip}_{D_q}(S_q^2) \cap I_q$. Moreover, we have the identities*

$$L_{D_q}(f(A)) = \max\{\rho_q(k) \cdot |f(q^{2k}) - f(q^{2(k+1)})| : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\} = L_{d_q}(f).$$

In particular, f is also Lipschitz with respect to the metric d_q .

Note that the maximum is indeed well-defined, since f is non-zero at no more than finitely many elements from X_q .

Proof. Let $f \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\chi_{\{q^{2k}\}} : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ be given. The fact that $f(A) \in \text{Lip}_{D_q}(S_q^2) \cap I_q$ is a consequence of Lemma 2.3. Moreover, from Lemma 2.3 and the defining identities for $SU_q(2)$ we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1(f(A))\partial_1(f(A))^* &= A(1 - q^2A) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|f(q^{2k}) - f(q^{2(k+1)})|^2}{q^{4k}(1 - q^2)^2} \chi_{\{q^{2k}\}}(A) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho_q(k)^2 \cdot |f(q^{2k}) - f(q^{2(k+1)})|^2 \chi_{\{q^{2k}\}}(A). \end{aligned}$$

The continuous functional calculus applied to $A \in I_q$ then implies that

$$\|\partial_1(f(A))\|^2 = \max\{\rho_q(k) \cdot |f(q^{2k}) - f(q^{2(k+1)})| : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}. \quad (4)$$

The identity

$$L_{D_q}(f(A)) = \max\{\rho_q(k) \cdot |f(q^{2k}) - f(q^{2(k+1)})| : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$$

now follows since the formula in (4) implies that $\|\partial_2(f(A))\| = \|\partial_1(\bar{f}(A))\| = \|\partial_1(f(A))\|$.

For the second equality, choose $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$\rho_q(l) \cdot |f(q^{2l}) - f(q^{2(l+1)})| = \max\{\rho_q(k) \cdot |f(q^{2k}) - f(q^{2(k+1)})| : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

This choice of $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ implies that

$$|f(q^{2k}) - f(q^{2(k+1)})| \leq |f(q^{2l}) - f(q^{2(l+1)})| \cdot \frac{\rho_q(l)}{\rho_q(k)}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Thus, for every $m < n$ we may now estimate as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |f(q^{2m}) - f(q^{2n})| &\leq \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} |f(q^{2k}) - f(q^{2(k+1)})| \leq \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} |f(q^{2l}) - f(q^{2(l+1)})| \cdot \frac{\rho_q(l)}{\rho_q(k)} \\ &= |f(q^{2l}) - f(q^{2(l+1)})| \cdot \rho_q(l) \cdot d_q(q^{2m}, q^{2n}). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

This shows that $f : X_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is Lipschitz with $L_{d_q}(f) \leq L_{D_q}(f(A))$. The fact that equality is achieved is then a consequence of Definition 3.3. Indeed, we obtain that

$$L_{D_q}(f(A)) = |f(q^{2l}) - f(q^{2(l+1)})| \cdot \rho_q(l) = \frac{|f(q^{2l}) - f(q^{2(l+1)})|}{d_q(q^{2l}, q^{2(l+1)})} \leq L_{d_q}(f). \quad \square$$

The next lemma computes the Lipschitz semi-norms of general continuous functions on X_q and provides information on the behaviour of the Lipschitz constants of a particularly interesting approximation.

Lemma 3.5. *For any $f \in C(X_q)$ one has*

$$L_{d_q}(f) = \sup\{|f(q^{2k}) - f(q^{2(k+1)})| \cdot \rho_q(k) : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

Moreover, if $f(0) = 0$ and f is Lipschitz with respect to the metric d_q , then the sequence $\{L_{d_q}(f \cdot \chi_{\{q^{2k} : k \leq n\}})\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is bounded.

Proof. We first notice that Definition 3.3 implies the inequality

$$\sup\{|f(q^{2k}) - f(q^{2(k+1)})| \cdot \rho_q(k) : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \leq L_{d_q}(f)$$

(see also the proof of Lemma 3.4 for more details).

We then claim that

$$\frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d_q(x, y)} \leq \sup\{|f(q^{2k}) - f(q^{2(k+1)})| \cdot \rho_q(k) : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \quad (6)$$

whenever $x, y \in X_q \setminus \{0\}$ satisfy $x \neq y$. We have to be careful at this point since the inequality in (6) is not an immediate consequence of Definition 3.3 : the right hand side of our inequality only uses successive elements as exponents (i.e. k and $k + 1$) whereas $x = q^{2n}$ and $y = q^{2m}$ for some $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ without any further constraints (except for $n \neq m$). The inequality in (6) does however follow by an application of Lemma 3.4 to a suitable restriction of f .

Thus, to establish the claimed identity, it only remains to be shown that the supremum in (6) is still an upper bound when $x = q^{2n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $y = 0$. However, this follows immediately from the estimate in (6) together with continuity of the function f and the metric d_q .

For the second part, we assume that f is Lipschitz and that $f(0) = 0$. By Lemma 3.4 it suffices to show that the sequence $\{|f(q^{2n})| \cdot \rho_q(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is bounded. To this end, we first note that since f is Lipschitz we may find a constant C such that $|f(q^{2n})| \leq C \cdot d_q(q^{2n}, 0)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. It follows that

$$\rho_q(n) \cdot |f(q^{2n})| \leq C \cdot \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{\rho_q(n)}{\rho_q(k)} = C \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^k \frac{\sqrt{1 - q^{2(n+1)}}}{\sqrt{1 - q^{2(k+n+1)}}} \leq C \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^k = \frac{C}{1 - q}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. This ends the proof of the lemma. \square

The metric $d_q: X_q \times X_q \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ yields a Lipschitz algebra $C_{\text{Lip}}(X_q) \subset C(X_q)$ and the semi-norm $L_{D_q}: I_q \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ yields an *a priori different* Lipschitz algebra $\text{Lip}_{D_q}(I_q) \subset I_q$. The Lipschitz algebras $C_{\text{Lip}}(X_q)$ and $\text{Lip}_{D_q}(I_q)$ agree with the domains of the semi-norms L_{d_q} and L_{D_q} , respectively (recall that the domain consists of the elements where a semi-norm is finite). Moreover, the two unital commutative C^* -algebras $C(X_q)$ and I_q are related by the $*$ -isomorphisms $f \mapsto f(A)$. We are going to show that the $*$ -isomorphism $f \mapsto f(A)$ restricts to a $*$ -isomorphism $C_{\text{Lip}}(X_q) \rightarrow \text{Lip}_{D_q}(I_q)$ which is moreover isometric with respect to the semi-norms L_{d_q} and L_{D_q} .

Suppressing the identification $C(X_q) \cong I_q$ we have by now proved that the two semi-norms L_{d_q} and L_{D_q} agree on *finite* linear combinations of the indicator functions $\chi_{\{q^{2k}\}}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ (Lemma 3.4) and we have moreover succeeded in computing the semi-norm $L_{d_q}: C(X_q) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ (Lemma 3.5).

The passage from finite linear combinations of indicator functions to general Lipschitz elements is however quite subtle. To explain a bit what the subtle point is, we let $\mathcal{J}_q \subset I_q$ denote the smallest unital $*$ -subalgebra containing all the projections $\chi_{\{q^{2k}\}}(A)$. Then even though $\mathcal{J}_q \subset I_q$ is norm-dense and the derivation $\partial: \text{Lip}_{D_q}(I_q) \rightarrow B(H_+ \oplus H_-)$ is closed, it is not true that $\text{Lip}_{D_q}(I_q)$ can be recovered by taking the closure of the restriction $\partial: \mathcal{J}_q \rightarrow B(H_+ \oplus H_-)$. In particular, for a general element $f(A) = f(0) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (f(q^{2k}) - f(0)) \cdot \chi_{\{q^{2k}\}}(A) \in \text{Lip}_{D_q}(I_q)$ we cannot a priori compute $\partial(f(A)) \in B(H_+ \oplus H_-)$ by using Lemma 2.3 and applying the derivation ∂ term by term.

After these clarifications we are ready to state and prove the first main result of this section:

Theorem 3.1. *The Lip-algebra of I_q associated with the Dąbrowski-Sitarz spectral triple $(S_q^2, H_+ \oplus H_-, D_q)$ agrees with $\{f(A) : f \in C_{\text{Lip}}(X_q)\}$, and for $f \in C_{\text{Lip}}(X_q)$, we have $L_{D_q}(f(A)) = L_{d_q}(f)$.*

Proof. Let $f \in C(X_q)$ be given.

Suppose first that $L_{D_q}(f(A)) < \infty$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we define the projection $Q_n := \sum_{k=0}^n \chi_{\{q^{2k}\}}(A)$. Since ∂_1 is a derivation, we obtain from Lemma 2.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1(f(A))Q_n &= \partial_1(f(A)Q_n) - f(A)\partial_1(Q_n) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (f(q^{2k}) - f(q^{2(k+1)})) \frac{1}{q^{2k}(1 - q^2)} \chi_{\{q^{2k}\}}(A) \cdot b^* a^*. \end{aligned}$$

Following the proof of Lemma 3.4 we then get that

$$\|\partial_1(f(A))Q_n\| = \max\{|f(q^{2k}) - f(q^{2(k+1)})| \cdot \rho_q(k) : k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}\} \quad (7)$$

and hence (using that Q_n is an orthogonal projection) we obtain the estimate

$$\sup\{|f(q^{2k}) - f(q^{2(k+1)})| \cdot \rho_q(k) : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\} = \sup\{\|\partial_1(f(A))Q_n\| : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \leq \|\partial_1(f(A))\|. \quad (8)$$

By Lemma 3.5 this shows that f is Lipschitz with respect to the metric d_q and that

$$L_{d_q}(f) \leq \|\partial_1(f(A))\|.$$

To prove that equality holds, we observe that by [Ti08, Theorem 6.2.17],

$$h(Q_n) = (1 - q^2) \sum_{k=0}^n q^{2k} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 1,$$

where h denotes the Haar state on $SU_q(2)$. Since h is faithful and $\{Q_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is an increasing sequence of projections, Q_n converges to the identity in the strong operator topology on $B(L^2(SU_q(2)))$, and hence also on $B(H_+)$. It now follows from (8) and Lemma 3.5 that for any ξ in the unit ball of H_+ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_1(f(A))\xi\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\partial_1(f(A))Q_n\xi\| \leq \sup\{\|\partial_1(f(A))Q_n\| : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \\ &= L_{d_q}(f). \end{aligned}$$

and hence that $\|\partial_1(f(A))\| = L_{d_q}(f)$. Since we moreover have the identities

$$\|\partial_2(f(A))\| = \|\partial_1(\bar{f}(A))\| = L_{d_q}(f)$$

we may conclude that $L_{D_q}(f(A)) = L_{d_q}(f)$.

Suppose next that $f \in C(X_q)$ is Lipschitz with respect to the metric d_q . Since subtracting a constant changes neither the Lipschitz constant of f nor $L_{D_q}(f(A))$, we may, without loss of generality, assume that $f(0) = 0$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ define the function $f_n := f \cdot \chi_{\{q^{2k}: k \leq n\}}$. By Lemma 3.5, the sequence $\{L_{d_q}(f_n)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is then bounded and moreover $f_n(A)$ converges to $f(A)$ in operator norm.

Hence, since $L_{D_q}(f_n(A)) = L_{d_q}(f_n)$ by Lemma 3.4, we obtain by lower semi-continuity of $L_{D_q} : I_q \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ that

$$L_{D_q}(f(A)) \leq \sup\{L_{D_q}(f_n(A)) : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\} < \infty.$$

This shows that $f(A) \in \text{Lip}_{D_q}(I_q)$ and this ends the proof of the theorem. \square

Theorem A now follows easily:

Proof of Theorem A. The metric d'_q on X_q induced by L_{D_q} is by definition given by

$$d'_q(x, y) := \sup\{|f(x) - f(y)| : f \in C(X_q), L_{D_q}(f(A)) \leq 1\}.$$

However, by Theorem 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_q(x, y) &= \sup\{|f(x) - f(y)| : f \in C(X_q), L_{d_q}(f) \leq 1\} \\ &= \sup\{|f(x) - f(y)| : f \in C(X_q), L_{D_q}(f(A)) \leq 1\}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence the two metrics agree. \square

In the following, we will consider the behaviour of (X_q, d_q) with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff metric, and provide a proof of Theorem B. To this end, we first establish a preliminary result about the diameter of X_q :

Lemma 3.6. *It holds that $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} d_q(0, 1) = \pi$.*

Proof. Observe that the function $\frac{1}{\rho_q}: x \mapsto (1 - q^2) \frac{q^x}{\sqrt{1 - q^{2(x+1)}}$ is positive and decreasing on $(-1, \infty)$. This yields the estimates

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{\rho_q(x)} dx \leq \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{1}{\rho_q(k)} \leq \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\rho_q(x)} dx. \quad (9)$$

Furthermore, it can be verified that $F(x) := \frac{1 - q^2}{q \ln(q)} \arcsin(q^{x+1})$ is an antiderivative of $\frac{1}{\rho_q(x)}$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F(x) = 0$. We therefore obtain the inequalities

$$-\frac{1 - q^2}{q \ln(q)} \arcsin(q^2) \leq d_q(0, 1) \leq -\frac{1 - q^2}{q \ln(q)} \arcsin(q).$$

Since $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{1 - q^2}{q \ln(q)} = -2$ and $\arcsin(1) = \frac{\pi}{2}$ we may conclude that $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} d_q(0, 1) = \pi$. \square

Proof of Theorem B. For each $q \in (0, 1)$, we consider the isometric embedding $\iota_q: X_q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $\iota_q(x) = d_q(1, x) - \frac{\pi}{2}$.

We start by proving continuity at a fixed $q_0 \in (0, 1)$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Choose a $\delta_0 > 0$ such that $J := [q_0 - \delta_0, q_0 + \delta_0] \subset (0, 1)$. From the estimate in (3) we obtain that

$$\sum_{k=0}^\infty \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{\rho_q(k)} : q \in J \right\} \leq \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sup \{ q^k \sqrt{1 - q^2} : q \in J \} \leq \sum_{k=0}^\infty (q_0 + \delta_0)^k < \infty.$$

We may therefore choose an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$\sum_{k=n_0}^\infty \frac{1}{\rho_q(k)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad (10)$$

for all $q \in J = [q_0 - \delta_0, q_0 + \delta_0]$. Now, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the function $q \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^{n_0-1} \frac{1}{\rho_q(k)}$ is continuous and we may thus choose a $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ such that

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{\rho_q(k)} - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{\rho_{q_0}(k)} \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad (11)$$

for all $m \in \{1, \dots, n_0\}$ and all $q \in (q_0 - \delta, q_0 + \delta)$.

Let now $q \in (q_0 - \delta, q_0 + \delta) \subset J$ be given. It then follows immediately from (11) that

$$|\iota_q(q^{2m}) - \iota_{q_0}(q_0^{2m})| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} < \varepsilon$$

for all $m \in \{1, \dots, n_0\}$. Moreover, for $m > n_0$ we apply (10) and (11) to estimate that

$$\begin{aligned} |\iota_q(q^{2m}) - \iota_{q_0}(q_0^{2m})| &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n_0-1} \frac{1}{\rho_q(k)} + \sum_{k=n_0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{\rho_q(k)} - \sum_{k=0}^{n_0-1} \frac{1}{\rho_{q_0}(k)} - \sum_{k=n_0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{\rho_{q_0}(k)} \right| \\ &\leq |\iota_q(q^{2n_0}) - \iota_{q_0}(q_0^{2n_0})| + \sum_{k=n_0}^\infty \frac{1}{\rho_q(k)} + \sum_{k=n_0}^\infty \frac{1}{\rho_{q_0}(k)} \\ &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

A similar argument also shows that $|\iota_q(0) - \iota_{q_0}(0)| < \varepsilon$. We conclude that

$$\text{dist}_H(\iota_q(X_q), \iota_{q_0}(X_{q_0})) \leq \varepsilon$$

and hence that $(0, 1) \ni q \mapsto (X_q, d_q)$ varies continuously in Gromov-Hausdorff distance.

For convergence, it suffices to show that the Hausdorff distance between $\iota_q(X_q)$ and $[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ converges to 0 as $q \rightarrow 1$. To this end, let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. By Lemma 3.6, we may find a $q_1 \in (0, 1)$ such that for any $q \in (q_1, 1)$, we have $|\iota_q(0) - \frac{\pi}{2}| < \varepsilon$. Moreover, since $-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \iota_q(x) \leq \iota_q(0)$ for all $x \in X_q$, it follows that for every $x \in X_q$ there exists a $y \in [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ with $|\iota_q(x) - y| < \varepsilon$. It remains to be shown that we can find a $q_2 \in (0, 1)$ such that given any $y \in [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ and any $q \in (q_2, 1)$, we can find $x \in X_q$ such that $|y - \iota_q(x)| < \varepsilon$. Since $\frac{1}{\rho_q(0)} = \sqrt{1 - q^2} \xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 1} 0$ and $d_q(0, 1) \xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 1} \pi$ by Lemma 3.6 we can find a $q_2 \in (0, 1)$ such that $\frac{1}{\rho_q(0)} < \varepsilon$ and $|\iota_q(0) - \frac{\pi}{2}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for all $q \in (q_2, 1)$. Let now $q \in (q_2, 1)$ be given. It follows that $|y - \iota_q(0)| < \varepsilon$ for $y \in (\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$. On the other hand, we may for each $y \in [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}]$ find an $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $y \in [\iota_q(q^{2n}), \iota_q(q^{2(n+1)})]$ and consequently

$$|y - \iota_q(q^{2n})| \leq |\iota_q(q^{2n}) - \iota_q(q^{2(n+1)})| = \frac{1}{\rho_q(n)} \leq \frac{1}{\rho_q(0)} < \varepsilon. \quad \square$$

Remark 3.7. As stated in the introduction, Theorem B also applies if we replace the classical Gromov-Hausdorff distance with respectively the quantum Gromov-Hausdorff distance of Rieffel [Ri04] or Latrémolière's propinquity. To see this, note that by [La16, Corollary 6.4] the former is dominated by two times the latter and by [La16, Theorem 6.6], propinquity is dominated by the classical Gromov-Hausdorff distance on the class of compact metric spaces, and hence the convergence and continuity are also obtained for these distances.

REFERENCES

- [AK18] Konrad Aguilar and Jens Kaad. The Podleś Sphere as a Spectral Metric Space. *J. Geom. Phys.* **133** (2018), 260–278.
- [AKK21] Konrad Aguilar, Jens Kaad and David Kyed. The Podleś spheres converge to the sphere. *Preprint*. arXiv:2102.12761
- [Co89] Alain Connes. Compact metric spaces, Fredholm modules, and hyperfiniteness. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, **9** (1989), no. 2, 207–220.
- [DS03] Ludwik Dąbrowski and Andrzej Sitarz. Dirac operator on the standard Podleś quantum sphere. *Noncommutative geometry and quantum groups (Warsaw, 2001)*, 49–58, Banach Center Publ., 61, Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 2003.
- [KS97] Anatoli Klimyk and Konrad Schmüdgen. Quantum Groups and their Representations. Texts and Monographs in Physics, *Springer-Verlag, Berlin*, 1997.
- [La16] Frédéric Latrémolière. The quantum Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **368** (2016), no. 1, 365–411.
- [NeTu05] Sergey Neshveyev and Lars Tuset. A local index formula for the quantum sphere. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **254** (2005), no. 2, 323–341.
- [Po87] Piotr Podleś. Quantum Spheres. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **14** (1987), no. 3, 193–202.
- [Ri98] Marc Rieffel. Metrics on states from actions of compact groups. *Doc. Math.* **3** (1998), 215–229.
- [Ri99] Marc Rieffel. Metrics on state spaces. *Doc. Math.* **4** (1999), 559–600.
- [Ri04] Marc Rieffel. Gromov-Hausdorff Distance for Quantum Metric Spaces. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **168** (2004), no. 796, 1–65.
- [Ri05] Marc Rieffel. Compact quantum metric spaces. *Operator Algebras, Quantization, and Noncommutative Geometry*, 315–330, Contemp. Math., 365, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.
- [Ti08] Thomas Timmermann. An invitation to quantum groups and duality. From Hopf algebras to multiplicative unitaries and beyond. EMS Textbooks in Mathematics. *European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich*, 2008.
- [Wo87] Stanisław. L. Woronowicz. Twisted $SU(2)$ group. An example of a noncommutative differential calculus. *Publ. Red. Inst. Math. Sci.* **23** (1987), no. 1, 117–181.

THOMAS GOTFREDSEN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN DENMARK, CAMPUSVEJ 55, DK-5230 ODENSE M, DENMARK

Email address: `thgot@imada.sdu.dk`

JENS KAAD, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN DENMARK, CAMPUSVEJ 55, DK-5230 ODENSE M, DENMARK

Email address: `kaad@imada.sdu.dk`

DAVID KYED, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN DENMARK, CAMPUSVEJ 55, DK-5230 ODENSE M, DENMARK

Email address: `dkyed@imada.sdu.dk`