

Planar Turán Number of intersecting triangles ^{*}

Longfei Fang, Mingqing Zhai[†], Bing Wang

School of Mathematics and Finance, Chuzhou University, Anhui, Chuzhou, 239012, China

Abstract

The planar Turán number of a given graph H , denoted by $ex_{\mathcal{P}}(n, H)$, is the maximum number of edges over all planar graphs on n vertices that do not contain a copy of H as a subgraph. Let H_k be a friendship graph, which is obtained from k triangles by sharing a common vertex. In this paper, we obtain sharp bounds of $ex_{\mathcal{P}}(n, H_k)$ and $ex_{\mathcal{P}}(n, K_1 + P_{k+1})$ for $k \geq 2$, which improve the results of Lan, Shi and Song in Electron. J. Combin. 26 (2) (2019), #P2.11.

AMS Classification: 05C10, 05C35

Keywords: Turán number; Planar graph; Friendship graph

1 Introduction

Given a graph H , a graph is said to be H -free if it contains no H as a subgraph. As one of the best results in extremal graph theory, Turán Theorem gives the maximum number of edges in a K_r -free graph on n vertices. Replacing K_r with an arbitrary graph H , the famous Erdős-Stone Theorem shows that the maximum number of edges in an H -free graph on n vertices possible is $(1 + o(1))\binom{n}{2} \left(\frac{\chi(H)-2}{\chi(H)-1} \right)$, where $\chi(H)$ denotes the chromatic number of H . The *Turán number* of a graph H , denoted by $ex(n, H)$, is the maximum number of edges in a graph G on n vertices which does not contain H as a subgraph. Over the last decades, a large quantity of work has been carried out in Turán-type problems (see the survey paper [4]).

In 2015, Dowden [2] initiated the study of Turán-type problems when the host graph is planar, i.e., how many edges can an H -free planar graph on n vertices have? The *planar*

^{*}Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 41571398 and 11871222) and SRSF of Chuzhou University (No. 2018qd02).

[†]Corresponding author: mqzhai@chzu.edu.cn (M.Zhai)

Turán number of a graph H , denoted by $ex_{\mathcal{P}}(n, H)$, is the maximum number of edges in an H -free planar graph on n vertices. It is easy to check that a triangle-free planar graph has at most $2n - 4$ edges and $K_{2, n-2}$ is exactly the extremal graph. Besides, there is a plane triangulation containing no K_4 (see, for example, the graph $2K_1 + C_{n-2}$). Thus, $ex_{\mathcal{P}}(n, K_3) = 2n - 4$ and $ex_{\mathcal{P}}(n, K_r) = 3n - 6$ for all $r \geq 4$. Since the planar Turán problem on complete graphs is trivial, the next natural type of graphs considered are cycles and others variations. Dowden [2] showed that $ex_{\mathcal{P}}(n, C_4) \leq \frac{15(n-2)}{7}$ for $n \geq 4$ and $ex_{\mathcal{P}}(n, C_5) \leq \frac{12n-33}{5}$ for $n \geq 11$. Ghosh et. al [5] proved that $ex_{\mathcal{P}}(n, C_6) \leq \frac{5n}{2} - 7$ for $n \geq 18$. Lan et. al [7] obtained a sharp upper bound of $ex_{\mathcal{P}}(n, \mathcal{C}_k + e)$ for $k \in \{4, 5\}$ and gave an upper bound of $ex_{\mathcal{P}}(n, \mathcal{C}_6 + e)$, where $\mathcal{C}_k + e$ is the family of graphs obtained from a cycle C_k by linking two nonadjacent vertices (via e) in the cycle. Later, Ghosh et. al [6] obtained a sharp bound of $ex_{\mathcal{P}}(n, \mathcal{C}_6 + e)$, which improved the result of Lan et. al.

In this paper, we focus on the planar Turán number of two classes of graphs. Let H_k be a *friendship graph*, which is obtained from k triangles by sharing a common vertex. Let $F_k \cong K_1 + P_{k+1}$ be a *k-fan*, which is obtained by joining a new vertex to all the vertices of P_{k+1} . In 1995, Erdős et. al [3] determined $ex(n, H_k)$ for every fixed k and large enough n . Chen et. al [1] studied $ex(n, K_1 + kK_r)$ for sufficiently large n , where $k \geq 2$ and $r \geq 2$. Recently, Yuan [11] determined $ex(n, F_k)$ for $k \geq 3$ and large enough n . Now we consider the case that the host graphs are planar. Notice that the average degree of a plane triangulation is less than 6 and for each n , there are plane triangulations of order n such that the maximum degree are at most 6. Therefore, $ex_{\mathcal{P}}(n, H_k) = 3n - 6$ for $k \geq 4$ and $ex_{\mathcal{P}}(n, F_k) = 3n - 6$ for $k \geq 6$. Lan, Shi and Song [8] obtained a sharp upper bound of $ex_{\mathcal{P}}(n, H_2)$ for $n \geq 5$, and then they gave the following result for $ex_{\mathcal{P}}(n, H_3)$.

Theorem 1.1 ([8]) *Let $n \geq 7$ be an integer. Then*

$$\lfloor \frac{5n}{2} \rfloor \leq ex_{\mathcal{P}}(n, H_3) < \frac{17n}{6} - 4$$

for all $n \geq 15$ and

$$ex_{\mathcal{P}}(n, H_3) = \begin{cases} 3n - 6 & \text{if } n \in \{7, 8, 9, 10, 12\} \\ 3n - 7 & \text{if } n = 11 \\ 3n - 8 & \text{if } n \in \{13, 14\} \end{cases}$$

However, the upper bound in Theorem 1.1 is not sharp. In Section 2, we show a sharp upper bound of $ex_{\mathcal{P}}(n, H_3)$ and give infinitely many extremal graphs which attain the extremal values.

Now, let us focus our attention on k -fans. Recall that $ex_{\mathcal{P}}(n, F_k) = 3n - 6$ for $k \geq 6$. Lan, Shi and Song also considered the values of $ex_{\mathcal{P}}(n, F_k)$ for the remaining cases.

Theorem 1.2 *Let $n \geq k + 2$. Then*

- (i) ([7]) for $k = 2$, $ex_{\mathcal{P}}(n, F_k) \leq \frac{12(n-2)}{5}$, with equality when $n \equiv 12 \pmod{20}$;
- (ii) ([8]) for $k \in \{3, 4, 5\}$, $ex_{\mathcal{P}}(n, F_k) \leq \frac{13kn}{4k+2} - \frac{12k}{2k+1}$.

In Section 3, we obtain a sharp upper bound of $ex_{\mathcal{P}}(n, F_k)$, which improves the result in Theorem 1.2. Moreover, we give infinitely many extremal graphs which attain the new upper bound.

All graphs considered in this paper are undirected, finite and simple. Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a graph of vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. For a vertex $v \in V(G)$, the *degree* of v in G is denoted by $d_G(v)$. v is called a k -*vertex* of G if $d_G(v) = k$. Let $\delta(G)$, $d(G)$ and $\Delta(G)$ be the minimum, average and maximum degree of G , respectively. A maximal connected (2-connected) subgraph of G is called a *component* (*block*) of G . Two graphs are *vertex-disjoint* if they have no common vertex, and *edge-disjoint* if they have no common edge. For $S \subset V(G)$, the subgraph induced by S , denote by $G[S]$, is the graph with vertex set S and edge set $\{xy \in E(G) : x, y \in S\}$. Specially, write $G \setminus v$ for the subgraph induced by $V(G) \setminus \{v\}$. For a positive integer t , we use tG to denote the disjoint union of t copies of a graph G .

Let us introduce some notations on planar graphs. Given a plane graph G , the outer face of G is denoted by $\Gamma(G)$. A face of size i in G is called an i -*face*. Let $f(G) = \sum_{i=1} f_i(G)$, where $f_i(G)$ is the number of i -faces in G . Let $E_3(G)$ be the set of edges in G such that each belongs to at least one 3-face and $E_{3,3}(G)$ be the set of edges in G such that each belongs to two 3-faces. Furthermore, we write $E'_{3,3}(G) = E_{3,3}(G) \setminus E(\Gamma(G))$. For convenience, we denote $e(G) = |E(G)|$, $e_3(G) = |E_3(G)|$, $e_{3,3}(G) = |E_{3,3}(G)|$ and $e'_{3,3}(G) = |E'_{3,3}(G)|$. We use $n_k(G)$ to denote the number of k -vertices incident with exactly k inner 3-faces in a plane graph G . Given $v \in V(G)$, let G_v be the graph induced by all the 3-faces incident to v .

2 Planar Turán Number of H_k

The following definition will play an important role in proving the subsequent theorem.

Definition 2.1 *Let G be a plane graph which contains 3-faces. We recursively construct a triangular-block in the following way. Start with a 3-face F of G .*

- (i) Take $e \in E(F)$ and search for a new 3-face $F(e)$ of G containing e . Add other edge(s) in this 3-face to $E(F)$.
- (ii) Repeat step (i), till we cannot find a new 3-face of G containing any edge in $E(F)$.

The *triangular-block* obtained from F in Definition 2.1 is denoted by \widehat{F} . Clearly, \widehat{F} is well defined. We shall note that an i -face of \widehat{F} is not necessarily an i -face of G for $i \geq 4$, vice versa. By Definition 2.1 (i), we have $E(\widehat{F}) \subseteq E_3(G)$. By Definition 2.1 (ii), any two triangular-blocks of G are edge-disjoint. Let \mathcal{B} be the family of triangular-blocks of G . Then $e_3(G) = \sum_{\widehat{F} \in \mathcal{B}} e_3(\widehat{F})$. And if $\Gamma(G)$ is not a 3-face, then we can find that $e_{3,3}(G) = \sum_{\widehat{F} \in \mathcal{B}} e'_{3,3}(\widehat{F})$. In fact, let $e \in E_{3,3}(G)$. Then there exists a triangular-block \widehat{F} containing e . Since $\Gamma(G)$ is not a 3-face, there are two inner 3-faces of G containing e . Thus, $e \in E'_{3,3}(\widehat{F})$.

Lemma 2.2 *Let F be a 3-face in a plane graph G . Let $\mathcal{C}_{\widehat{F}}$ consist of $\Gamma(\widehat{F})$ and those faces of \widehat{F} of size at least 4. If $\Gamma(G)$ is not a 3-face, then any two faces of $\mathcal{C}_{\widehat{F}}$ are edge-disjoint.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary. Assume that there exist two faces of $\mathcal{C}_{\widehat{F}}$ containing a common edge e . Note that $e \in E(\widehat{F}) \subseteq E_3(G)$. And by the definition of \widehat{F} , there is a 3-face U of G containing e . Since $\Gamma(G)$ is not a 3-face, U is an inner face of G . By the maximality of \widehat{F} , U is also an inner 3-face of \widehat{F} . Therefore, $U \notin \mathcal{C}_{\widehat{F}}$, and the statement holds. \square

Let \widehat{F} be a triangular-block of G and $v \in V(\widehat{F})$ be incident with $\alpha(v)$ faces in $\mathcal{C}_{\widehat{F}}$. By Lemma 2.2, if $\Gamma(G)$ is not a 3-face, then these $\alpha(v)$ faces are edge-disjoint. It follows that $G_v \setminus v$ contains exactly $\alpha(v)$ paths of order at least two (see Figure 1, where \widehat{F}_v is the graph induced by all the faces of \widehat{F} incident to v).




Figure 1: Two examples when $\alpha(v) = 3$.

Definition 2.3 Let \widehat{F} be a triangular-block in a plane graph G . The contribution of \widehat{F} to the number of vertices in G , denoted by $n(\widehat{F})$, is defined as

$$n(\widehat{F}) = \sum_{v \in V(\widehat{F})} \frac{1}{\# \text{triangular-block sharing } v}.$$

One can observe that the number of vertices incident to 3-faces of G is $\sum_{\widehat{F} \in \mathcal{B}} n(\widehat{F})$. Thus $|V(G)| \geq \sum_{\widehat{F} \in \mathcal{B}} n(\widehat{F})$.

Lemma 2.4 Let G be a plane triangulation. Then G is regular if and only if G is isomorphic to one of K_3, K_4, R_1 and R_6 (where R_1 and R_6 see Figure 2).




Figure 2: Extremal graphs R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4, R_5 and R_6 .

Proof. Since G is a plane triangulation, $e(G) = 3n - 6$. Assume that G is k -regular. Thus, by $2e(G) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_G(v) = kn$, we have $n = \frac{12}{6-k}$. Therefore,

$$(n, k) \in \{(3, 2), (4, 3), (6, 4), (12, 5)\}.$$

The case when $(n, k) \in \{(3, 2), (4, 3)\}$ is trivial, and correspondingly $G \in \{K_3, K_4\}$. Now we consider the case when $(n, k) = (12, 5)$. Since $2e(G) = 3f_3(G) = 3f(G)$, we have $f(G) = 20$. It is well known that a graph G is embeddable on the plane if and only if it is embeddable on the sphere. This implies that the outer face of G can be arbitrarily chosen from its 20 faces. Therefore, there is a vertex $v_0 \in V(G)$ such that five 3-faces incident to v_0 are inner faces. Moreover, $G_{v_0} \setminus v_0$ is a pentagon, say $v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5v_1$. Similarly, there exists an inner 3-face $U_1 = y_1v_1v_2y_1$ other than $v_0v_1v_2v_0$ containing v_1v_2 . If $y_1 \in \{v_3, v_5\}$,

say $y_1 = v_3$, then $d_G(v_2) = 3$, a contradiction. If $y_1 = v_4$, then U_1 is an outer face, also a contradiction. Thus, $y_1 \notin N_G[v_0]$, where $N_G[v_0]$ is the closed neighborhood of v_0 . Similar discussions as above, there exists an inner 3-face $U_i = y_i v_i v_{i+1} y_i$ other than $v_0 v_i v_{i+1}$ containing $v_i v_{i+1}$ for $i \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$ (where $v_6 = v_1$). Moreover, $y_i \notin N_G[v_0] \cup \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{i-1}\}$ for $i \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$. Let $G' = G[N_G[v_0] \cup \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_5\}]$. Note that $d_{G'}(v_i) = 5$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. Since G is a plane triangulation, we have $y_i y_{i+1} \in E(G')$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. Now $d_{G'}(y_i) = 4$ for each i and there is a unique vertex $z \in V(G) \setminus V(G')$. Clearly, $zy_i \in E(G)$ for each i . It follows that $G \cong R_6$.

The case when $(n, k) = (6, 4)$ is similar and the corresponding graph is R_1 . Its proof is omitted here. \square

Now we give the result on the planar Turán number of H_3 .

Theorem 2.5 $e_{\mathcal{P}}(n, H_3) \leq \frac{67n}{24} - 4$ for all $n \geq 13$, with equality if and only if n is divisible by 24.

Proof. Let G be an H_3 -free plane graph on $n \geq 13$ vertices. It suffices to prove that $e(G) \leq \frac{67n}{24} - 4$. We shall proceed the proof by induction on n . The statement is true when $n \in \{13, 14\}$ by Theorem 1.1. Suppose then that $n \geq 15$.

If there exists a vertex $u \in V(G)$ with $d_G(u) \leq 2$, then by the induction hypothesis, we have $e(G \setminus u) \leq \frac{67n-67}{24} - 4$ and thus $e(G) = e(G \setminus u) + d_G(u) \leq \frac{67n}{24} - 4$, as desired. Recall that $e(G) \leq 2n - 4$ for any triangle-free graph G . It follows that if G contains no triangle then $e(G) < \frac{67}{24}n - 4$.

If G is disconnected, let $G_1, \dots, G_s, G_{s+1}, \dots, G_{s+t}$ be all components of G such that $|V(G_i)| \leq 12$ for $i \leq s$ and $|V(G_{s+j})| \geq 13$ for $j \leq t$, where $s, t \geq 0$, $s + t \geq 2$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{s+t} |V(G_i)| = n$. Since G_i is a plane graph, we have $e(G_i) \leq 3|V(G_i)| - 6$ for all $i \leq s$, and $e(G_{s+j}) \leq \frac{67|V(G_{s+j})|}{24} - 4$ for each $j \leq t$ by the induction hypothesis. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} e(G) &\leq 3 \sum_{i=1}^s |V(G_i)| - 6s + \frac{67 \sum_{j=1}^t |V(G_{s+j})|}{24} - 4t \\ &= \left(\frac{67n}{24} - 4t - 2s\right) + \left(\frac{5 \sum_{i=1}^s |V(G_i)|}{24} - 4s\right) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{67n}{24} - 4\right) + \left(\frac{5}{24} \times 12s - 4s\right) \\ &\leq \frac{67n}{24} - 4. \end{aligned}$$

In the following we may assume that G is a connected graph with $\delta(G) \geq 3$ and $f_3(G) > 0$. If G is a plane triangulation, then $e(G) = 3n - 6$ and hence there is a vertex

v such that $d_G(v) \geq 6$. This implies that G contains a copy of H_3 with center v , a contradiction. Therefore G is not a plane triangulation. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\Gamma(G)$ is not a 3-face and F is an inner 3-face of G . Assume that the size of $\Gamma(\widehat{F})$ is l_0 and the sizes of other faces in $\mathcal{C}_{\widehat{F}}$ are $l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{|\mathcal{C}_{\widehat{F}}|-1}$, respectively. Let v be a vertex incident with exactly $\alpha(v)$ faces in $\mathcal{C}_{\widehat{F}}$. Recall that $G_v \setminus v$ contains exactly $\alpha(v)$ paths of order at least two. Thus, $\alpha(v) \leq 2$ for any $v \in V(\widehat{F})$ since G is H_3 -free.

Claim 1. Let $A_{\widehat{F}} = \{v \in V(\widehat{F}) : \alpha(v) = 2\}$. Then $4 \leq d_{\widehat{F}}(v) \leq 6$ for any $v \in A_{\widehat{F}}$ and $d_{\widehat{F}}(v) \leq 5$ for any $v \in V(\widehat{F}) \setminus A_{\widehat{F}}$.

Proof. Clearly, $d_{\widehat{F}}(v) \geq 4$ for any $v \in A_{\widehat{F}}$. If $d_{\widehat{F}}(v) \geq 7$ for some $v \in A_{\widehat{F}}$, then $G_v \setminus v$ contains a copy of $P_5 \cup P_2$ or $P_4 \cup P_3$. It follows that G_v contains a copy of H_3 , a contradiction. Thus, $4 \leq d_{\widehat{F}}(v) \leq 6$ for any $v \in A_{\widehat{F}}$. On the other hand, if $d_{\widehat{F}}(v) \geq 6$ for some $v \in V(\widehat{F}) \setminus A_{\widehat{F}}$, then $G_v \setminus v$ contains a copy of P_6 . It follows that G_v contains a copy of H_3 , a contradiction. Thus, $d_{\widehat{F}}(v) \leq 5$ for any $v \in V(\widehat{F}) \setminus A_{\widehat{F}}$. \square




Figure 3: An example of graphs \widehat{F} and F' .

Claim 2. $|A_{\widehat{F}}| \leq |\mathcal{C}_{\widehat{F}}| - 1$.

Proof. We define a graph F' as follows (see Figure 3): corresponding to each face U_i in $\mathcal{C}_{\widehat{F}}$ there is a vertex U'_i of F' , and corresponding to each vertex v_k of $A_{\widehat{F}}$ there is an edge v'_k of F' ; two vertices U'_i and U'_j are joined by an edge v'_k in F' if and only if their corresponding faces U_i and U_j share a vertex $v_k \in V(\widehat{F})$. In order to prove the claim, it suffices to show F' is acyclic.

Suppose to the contrary that F' contains a cycle. Then $\mathcal{C}_{\widehat{F}}$ separates \widehat{F} into at least two edge-disjoint subgraphs G_1 and G_2 (see Figure 3). Let e be an arbitrary edge of some face in $\mathcal{C}_{\widehat{F}}$. Thus, $e \in E_3(G)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $e \in E(G_1)$. Note that $\Gamma(G)$ is not a 3-face. By the discussion in Lemma 2.2, each inner 3-face of G containing e is also an inner 3-face of \widehat{F} . According to the choice of e , there is exactly one 3-face $F(e)$ of G containing e , that is, the other face of G containing e is not a 3-face.

This indicates that G_1 is a triangular-block, which contradicts the maximality of \widehat{F} . \square

Claim 3. $n_5(G) \leq \frac{3}{4}n$, with equality if and only if any triangular-block of G is isomorphic to R_6 (see Figure 2) and any vertex of G belongs to exactly one triangular-block of G .

Proof. Recall that any two triangular-blocks of G are edge-disjoint. For any vertex $v \in V(G)$, v is incident with at most two triangular-blocks since G is H_3 -free. Let $B_{\widehat{F}} = \{v \in V(\widehat{F}) : v \text{ is shared by exactly two triangular-blocks of } G\}$. Let $v \in B_{\widehat{F}}$. Then v lies in $\Gamma(\widehat{F})$. Let e_1, e_2 be the two edges of $\Gamma(\widehat{F})$ incident to v . Then there is an inner 3-face U_i containing e_i for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. If $d_{\widehat{F}}(v) \geq 4$, then U_1 and U_2 are edge-disjoint. Note that the other triangular-block containing v also has an inner 3-face U_3 containing v . Therefore, G contains a copy of H_3 , a contradiction. It follows that $d_{\widehat{F}}(v) \leq 3$ for any vertex $v \in B_{\widehat{F}}$. Since G is H_3 -free. Moreover, $A_{\widehat{F}} \cap B_{\widehat{F}} = \phi$, since by Claim 1 $d_{\widehat{F}}(v) \geq 4$ for any vertex $v \in A_{\widehat{F}}$. Note that for every $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, |\mathcal{C}_{\widehat{F}}| - 1\}$, we can add $l_i - 3$ edges to the face of size l_i such that the resulting graph is a plane triangulation. Therefore,

$$e(\widehat{F}) = (3|V(\widehat{F})| - 6) - \sum_{i=0}^{|\mathcal{C}_{\widehat{F}}|-1} (l_i - 3).$$

On the other hand, by Claim 1 we have,

$$2e(\widehat{F}) = \sum_{v \in V(\widehat{F})} d_{\widehat{F}}(v) \leq 6|A_{\widehat{F}}| + 3|B_{\widehat{F}}| + 5(|V(\widehat{F})| - |A_{\widehat{F}}| - |B_{\widehat{F}}|) = 5|V(\widehat{F})| + |A_{\widehat{F}}| - 2|B_{\widehat{F}}|.$$

Combining with above two inequalities, we have

$$|V(\widehat{F})| \leq 2l_0 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{|\mathcal{C}_{\widehat{F}}|-1} l_i - 6|\mathcal{C}_{\widehat{F}}| + |A_{\widehat{F}}| - 2|B_{\widehat{F}}| + 12. \quad (1)$$

According to the definition of \widehat{F} , we can find that all faces of \widehat{F} are cycles. Therefore, by (1) and Claim 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} n_5(\widehat{F}) &\leq |V(\widehat{F})| - \left(\sum_{i=0}^{|\mathcal{C}_{\widehat{F}}|-1} l_i - |A_{\widehat{F}}| \right) \\ &= \frac{3}{4} \left(|V(\widehat{F})| - \frac{|B_{\widehat{F}}|}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \left\{ |V(\widehat{F})| - 4l_0 - 4 \sum_{i=1}^{|\mathcal{C}_{\widehat{F}}|-1} l_i + 4|A_{\widehat{F}}| + \frac{3|B_{\widehat{F}}|}{2} \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{3}{4} \left(|V(\widehat{F})| - \frac{|B_{\widehat{F}}|}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{4} \left\{ (2l_0 - 6) + (6|\mathcal{C}_{\widehat{F}}| - 6 - 5|A_{\widehat{F}}|) + \frac{1}{2}|B_{\widehat{F}}| + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{|\mathcal{C}_{\widehat{F}}|-1} l_i \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{3}{4} \left(|V(\widehat{F})| - \frac{|B_{\widehat{F}}|}{2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The last inequality holds in equality if and only if $l_0 = 3$ and $|A_{\widehat{F}}| = |B_{\widehat{F}}| = |C_{\widehat{F}}| - 1 = 0$. If $n_5(\widehat{F}) = \frac{3}{4}(|V(\widehat{F})| - \frac{|B_{\widehat{F}}|}{2})$, then (1) becomes an equality, and thus $|V(\widehat{F})| = 12$. Furthermore, $l_0 = 3$ and $|C_{\widehat{F}}| = 1$ imply that \widehat{F} is a plane triangulation, that is, $e(\widehat{F}) = 3|V(\widehat{F})| - 6 = 30$. Recall that the degree of every vertex in $V(\widehat{F}) \setminus A_{\widehat{F}}$ is at most 5. Thus, \widehat{F} is 5-regular. By Lemma 2.4, we have $\widehat{F} \cong R_6$ for any $\widehat{F} \in \mathcal{B}$.

Let v be a 5-vertex incident with five inner 3-face of G . Clearly, v belongs to only one triangular-block of G . Thus $n_5(G) = \sum_{\widehat{F} \in \mathcal{B}} n_5(\widehat{F})$. By Definition 2.3 and the choice of $B_{\widehat{F}}$, we have $n(\widehat{F}) = |V(\widehat{F})| - \frac{|B_{\widehat{F}}|}{2}$. It follows that

$$n \geq \sum_{\widehat{F} \in \mathcal{B}} n(\widehat{F}) = \sum_{\widehat{F} \in \mathcal{B}} (|V(\widehat{F})| - \frac{|B_{\widehat{F}}|}{2}). \quad (3)$$

Thus by (2), we have

$$n_5(G) = \sum_{\widehat{F} \in \mathcal{B}} n_5(\widehat{F}) \leq \frac{3}{4} \sum_{\widehat{F} \in \mathcal{B}} (|V(\widehat{F})| - \frac{|B_{\widehat{F}}|}{2}) \leq \frac{3}{4}n. \quad (4)$$

We now characterize the equality in (4). $n_5(G) = \frac{3}{4}n$ if and only if equalities hold in (3) and (2) for every $\widehat{F} \in \mathcal{B}$. Note that if (2) becomes an equality, then $\widehat{F} \cong R_6$ and $B_{\widehat{F}} = 0$. Moreover, (3) becomes an equality means that $n = \sum_{\widehat{F} \in \mathcal{B}} |V(\widehat{F})|$, i.e., any vertex of G belongs to exactly one triangular-block of G . Conversely, assume that $\widehat{F} \cong R_6$ for each $\widehat{F} \in \mathcal{B}$ and v belongs to exactly one \widehat{F} for each $v \in V(G)$. Then

$$n_5(G) = \sum_{\widehat{F} \in \mathcal{B}} n_5(\widehat{F}) = \sum_{\widehat{F} \in \mathcal{B}} \frac{3}{4}|V(\widehat{F})| = \frac{3}{4}n,$$

since $|V(R_6)| = 12$ and $n_5(R_6) = 9$. \square

Note that for $i \leq 5$, each i -vertex is incident with at most i inner 3-faces of G . Moreover, for all $i \geq 6$, each i -vertex is incident with at most four 3-faces (otherwise, if there is a vertex v such that $d_G(v) \geq 6$ and v is incident with at least five 3-faces, then G_v contains a copy of H_3). Double counting the number of vertices, we have

$$3f_3(G) \leq 5n_5(G) + 4(n - n_5(G)) = 4n + n_5(G).$$

By Claim 3, we have $3f_3(G) \leq \frac{19n}{4}$, that is, $f_3(G) \leq \frac{19n}{12}$. Moreover,

$$2e(G) = 3f_3(G) + \sum_{i \geq 4} i f_i(G) \geq 3f_3(G) + 4(f(G) - f_3(G)) = 4f(G) - f_3(G). \quad (5)$$

This implies that $f(G) \leq \frac{2e(G) + f_3(G)}{4}$.




Figure 4: The construction of G_k .

By Euler's formula, $n - 2 = e(G) - f(G) \geq e(G) - \frac{2e(G) + \frac{19n}{12}}{4}$. This indicates that $e(G) \leq \frac{67n}{24} - 4$, as desired.

From the proof above, we see that equality in $e(G) \leq \frac{67n}{24} - 4$ is achieved if and only if $n_5(G) = \frac{3}{4}n$ and equality holds in (5). This implies that $e(G) = \frac{67n}{24} - 4$ if and only if G is a connected H_3 -free plane graph satisfying: any triangular-block of G is isomorphic to R_6 ; any vertex of G belongs to exactly one triangular-block of G ; any face of G is either a 3-face or a 4-face. We next construct such an extremal plane graph G . Let G_0 be the plane graph obtained from two disjoint copies of R_6 by adding three independent edges between their outer faces, as depicted in Figure 4. We construct G_k of order n recursively for all $k \geq 1$ via the illustration given in Figure 4: the entire graph G_{k-1} is placed into the center bold red quadrangle of Figure 4 (in such a way that the center bold red quadrangle is identified with the outer quadrangle of G_{k-1}). One can check that G_k is H_3 -free with $n = 24(k + 1)$ vertices and $\frac{67n}{24} - 4$ edges for all $k \geq 0$. This completes the proof. \square

3 Planar Turán Number of F_k

In this section we will determine the planar Turán number of F_k . We first need to introduce a lemma.

Lemma 3.1 ([9]) *There does not exist a planar graph on $n \in \{11, 13\}$ vertices with exactly one vertex of degree 4 and $n - 1$ vertices of degree 5.*

Recall that $ex_{\mathcal{P}}(n, F_k) = 3n - 6$ for all $k \geq 6$. The following theorem characterizes the case when $ex_{\mathcal{P}}(n, F_k) = 3n - 6$ for $k \leq 5$.

Theorem 3.2 *Let n, k be two positive integers with $k \leq 5$ and $n \geq k + 2$. Then $ex_{\mathcal{P}}(n, F_k) = 3n - 6$ if and only if $(n, k) \in \{(6, 4), (7, 5), (8, 5), (9, 5), (10, 5), (12, 5)\}$.*

Proof. Note that if G is an F_k -free plane triangulation on n vertices, then G contains a copy of $F_{\Delta(G)-1}$ and hence $\Delta(G) \leq k$. This, together with $\Delta(G) \geq d(G) = 6 - \frac{12}{n}$, implies that $n \leq \frac{12}{6-k}$. Thus, $(n, k) \in \{(6, 4), (7, 5), (8, 5), (9, 5), (10, 5), (11, 5), (12, 5)\}$. The plane triangulation R_1 depicted in Figure 2 is F_4 -free. Thus $ex_{\mathcal{P}}(6, F_4) = 12$. For $n \in \{7, 8, 9, 10, 12\}$, the plane triangulations R_2 - R_6 depicted in Figure 2 are F_5 -free and thus $ex_{\mathcal{P}}(n, F_5) = 3n - 6$. We next show that $ex_{\mathcal{P}}(11, F_5) < 3n - 6$. If $ex_{\mathcal{P}}(11, F_5) = 3n - 6 = 27$, then $d(G) = 6 - \frac{12}{n}$. Thus, $\Delta(G) \geq 5$ and $\delta(G) \leq 4$. Since $\Delta(G) \leq k = 5$, we have $\Delta(G) = 5$. Therefore,

$$54 = 2e(G) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_G(v) \leq \delta(G) + (n-1)\Delta(G) \leq 4 + 5(n-1) = 54.$$

This implies that G is a plane triangulation with exactly one vertex of degree 4 and $n-1$ vertices of degree 5, contradicting to Lemma 3.1. \square

In the following, we introduce a new definition of blocks in a plane graph.

Definition 3.3 Let G be a plane graph containing 3-faces and $\Gamma(G)$ is not a 3-face. We recursively construct an improvement-block in the following way.

- (i) Start with a 3-face F of G .
- (ii) Take $v \in V(\widehat{F})$ such that v is incident with exactly $l \geq 2$ faces in $\mathcal{C}_{\widehat{F}}$. Recall that $G_v \setminus v$ consists of l path-components P^1, P^2, \dots, P^l . Then delete v , add l isolated vertices v_1, v_2, \dots, v_l and link v_i to each vertex of P^i for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$.
- (iii) Repeat step (i), till the resulting graph contains no vertex satisfying the above property.

The *improvement-block* obtained from F in Definition 3.3 is denoted by \widetilde{F} . Clearly, \widetilde{F} is well defined. For instance, a triangular-block and corresponding improvement-block of a plane graph G are shown in Figure 5. We can observe that $e'_{3,3}(\widehat{F}) = e'_{3,3}(\widetilde{F})$ and $e_3(\widehat{F}) = e_3(\widetilde{F})$. Moreover, any two faces of $\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{F}}$ are vertex-disjoint by Definition 3.3 (ii), where $\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{F}}$ consists of $\Gamma(\widetilde{F})$ and those faces of \widetilde{F} of size at least 4.




Figure 5: A triangular-block \widehat{F} and corresponding improvement-block \widetilde{F} .

Now we give our main theorem of this section. We note that for $k = 2$, the bound in Theorem 1.2 meets the bound in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4 *Let n, k be integers with $k \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and $n \geq \frac{12}{6-k} + 1$. Then $\text{exp}_{\mathcal{P}}(n, F_k) \leq \frac{24k}{7k+6}(n-2)$, with equality if $n \equiv \frac{12(k+2)}{6-k} \pmod{\frac{28k+24}{6-k}}$.*

Proof. Let G be an F_k -free plane graph on $n \geq \frac{12}{6-k} + 1$ vertices, where $k \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$. By the proof of Theorem 3.2, G is not a plane triangulation. We shall proceed the proof by induction on n . Assume first $n = \frac{12}{6-k} + 1$. If $k = 2$, then it is easy to verify that $n = 4$ and $e(G) \leq 4$, as desired. If $k \in \{3, 4, 5\}$, then

$$e(G) \leq 3\left(\frac{12}{6-k} + 1\right) - 7 = \frac{4k+12}{6-k} \leq \frac{24k}{7k+6}(n-2).$$

Suppose then that $n \geq \frac{12}{6-k} + 2$. If there exists a vertex $u \in V(G)$ with $d_G(u) \leq 2$, then by the induction hypothesis, $e(G \setminus u) \leq \frac{24k}{7k+6}(n-3)$ and thus $e(G) = e(G \setminus u) + d_G(u) \leq \frac{24k}{7k+6}(n-2)$, as desired. Next assume that $\delta(G) \geq 3$. If G is disconnected, let $G_1, \dots, G_s, G_{s+1}, \dots, G_{s+t}$ be all components of G such that $|V(G_i)| \leq \frac{12}{6-k}$ for $i \leq s$ and $|V(G_{s+j})| \geq \frac{12}{6-k} + 1$ for $j \leq t$, where $s, t \geq 0$, $s+t \geq 2$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{s+t} |V(G_i)| = n$. Since G_i is a plane graph, we have $e(G_i) \leq 3|V(G_i)| - 6$ for all $i \leq s$, and $e(G_{s+j}) \leq \frac{24k}{7k+6}(|V(G_j)| - 2)$ for all $j \leq t$ by the induction hypothesis. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} e(G) &= 3 \sum_{i=1}^s |V(G_i)| - 6s + \frac{24k(\sum_{j=1}^t |V(G_{s+j})| - 2t)}{7k+6} \\ &= \frac{24k(n-2)}{7k+6} + \left(\frac{18-3k}{7k+6} \sum_{i=1}^s |V(G_i)| - 6s\right) - \frac{48k}{7k+6}(t-1) \\ &\leq \frac{24k(n-2)}{7k+6} - \frac{42k}{7k+6}s - \frac{48k}{7k+6}(t-1) \\ &\leq \frac{24k(n-2)}{7k+6} - \frac{42k}{7k+6}(s+t) + \frac{48k}{7k+6} \\ &< \frac{24k(n-2)}{7k+6}. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to consider the case when G is connected and $\delta(G) \geq 3$. Note that G is not a plane triangulation. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\Gamma(G)$ is not a 3-face. If G contains no 3-face, then $e(G) \leq 2n - 4 < \frac{24k}{7k+6}(n-2)$, as desired. Now assume that G contains a 3-face F . Note that G is F_k -free. Then both \widehat{F} and \widetilde{F} are F_k -free.

Claim 1. $e'_{3,3}(\widehat{F}) \leq \frac{3k-6}{2k}e_3(\widehat{F})$ with equality if and only if $\widehat{F} \cong J_k$, where J_k is isomorphic to K_3 for $k = 2$, K_4 for $k = 3$, R_1 for $k = 4$ and R_6 for $k = 5$.

Proof. Equivalently, we shall prove that $e_{3,3}(\widetilde{F}) \leq \frac{3k-6}{2k}e_3(\widetilde{F})$. Recall that any two faces of $\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{F}}$ are vertex-disjoint. Thus, for any j -vertex v of \widetilde{F} , there is at most one face of $\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{F}}$ incident to v . This indicates that other $j - 1$ faces of \widetilde{F} incident to v are consecutive 3-faces. It follows that \widetilde{F} contains a copy of the $(j - 1)$ -fan F_{j-1} . Since \widetilde{F} is F_k -free, we have $j - 1 < k$. It follows that $\Delta(\widetilde{F}) \leq k$ and $2e_3(\widetilde{F}) = 2e(\widetilde{F}) \leq k|V(\widetilde{F})|$. Therefore, $e_3(\widetilde{F}) \leq \frac{k}{2}|V(\widetilde{F})|$. Assume that the size of $\Gamma(\widetilde{F})$ is l_0 and the sizes of other faces of \widetilde{F} are $l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{|\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{F}}|-1}$, respectively. Note that $e_3(\widetilde{F}) = (3|V(\widetilde{F})| - 6) - \sum_{i=0}^{|\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{F}}|-1} (l_i - 3)$. Combining with $e_3(\widetilde{F}) \leq \frac{k}{2}|V(\widetilde{F})|$, we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{|\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{F}}|-1} l_i \geq \frac{6-k}{2}|V(\widetilde{F})| + 3|\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{F}}| - 6. \quad (6)$$

Recall that all faces of \widehat{F} are cycles. Clearly, $e_3(\widehat{F}) - e'_{3,3}(\widehat{F}) = \sum_{j=0}^{|\mathcal{C}_{\widehat{F}}|-1} l'_j = \sum_{i=0}^{|\mathcal{C}_{\widehat{F}}|-1} l_i$, where the size of $\Gamma(\widehat{F})$ is l'_0 and the sizes of other faces of \widehat{F} are $l'_1, l'_2, \dots, l'_{|\mathcal{C}_{\widehat{F}}|-1}$, respectively. It follows from (6) that

$$e_3(\widetilde{F}) - e'_{3,3}(\widetilde{F}) = \sum_{i=0}^s l_i \geq \frac{6-k}{2}|V(\widetilde{F})| + 3|\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{F}}| - 6. \quad (7)$$

If $|V(\widetilde{F})| > \frac{12}{6-k}$, then

$$\frac{e_3(\widetilde{F}) - e'_{3,3}(\widetilde{F})}{e_3(\widetilde{F})} \geq \frac{\frac{6-k}{2}|V(\widetilde{F})| - 3}{\frac{k}{2}|V(\widetilde{F})|} > \frac{6-k}{k} - \frac{3}{\frac{k}{2} \frac{12}{6-k}} = \frac{6-k}{2k},$$

that is, $e'_{3,3}(\widetilde{F}) < \frac{3k-6}{2k}e_3(\widetilde{F})$, as desired. Note that $\sum_{i=0}^{|\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{F}}|-1} l_i \geq l_0 \geq 3$. If $|V(\widetilde{F})| \leq \frac{12}{6-k}$, then

$$\frac{e_3(\widetilde{F}) - e'_{3,3}(\widetilde{F})}{e_3(\widetilde{F})} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{|\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{F}}|-1} l_i}{e_3(\widetilde{F})} \geq \frac{3}{\frac{k}{2}|V(\widetilde{F})|} \geq \frac{6-k}{2k}.$$

Therefore, $e'_{3,3}(\widetilde{F}) \leq \frac{3k-6}{2k}e_3(\widetilde{F})$, with equality if and only if $\sum_{i=0}^{|\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{F}}|-1} l_i = l_0 = 3$, $|V(\widetilde{F})| = \frac{12}{6-k}$ and $e(\widetilde{F}) = e_3(\widetilde{F}) = \frac{k}{2}|V(\widetilde{F})|$. This indicates that \widetilde{F} is a k -regular plane triangulation. By Lemma 2.4, we have $\widetilde{F} \cong J_k$. Furthermore, we can see that $\widehat{F} \cong J_k$. Conversely, assume that $\widehat{F} \cong J_k$. It is easy to check that $e'_{3,3}(\widehat{F}) = \frac{3k-6}{2k}e_3(\widehat{F})$. \square

Recall that $e_3(G) = \sum_{\widehat{F} \in \mathcal{B}} e_3(\widehat{F})$ and $e_{3,3}(G) = \sum_{\widehat{F} \in \mathcal{B}} e'_{3,3}(\widehat{F})$ since $\Gamma(G)$ is not a

3-face. By Claim 1, we have

$$e_{3,3}(G) = \sum_{\widehat{F} \in \mathcal{B}} e'_{3,3}(\widehat{F}) \leq \frac{3k-6}{2k} \sum_{\widehat{F} \in \mathcal{B}} e_3(\widehat{F}) = \frac{3k-6}{2k} e_3(G).$$

Hence, $3f_3(G) = e_3(G) + e_{3,3}(G) \leq \frac{5k-6}{2k} e_3(G)$, that is, $f_3(G) \leq \frac{5k-6}{6k} e_3(G)$. Note that $e_3(G) \leq e(G)$. By (5) we have

$$f(G) \leq \frac{2e(G) + f_3(G)}{4} \leq \frac{2e(G) + \frac{5k-6}{6k} e_3(G)}{4} \leq \frac{(17k-6)e(G)}{24k}.$$

By Euler's formula, $n-2 = e(G) - f(G) \geq \frac{(7k+6)e(G)}{24k}$. Thus, $e(G) \leq \frac{24k(n-2)}{7k+6}$, as desired.




Figure 6: Construction of $G_{t,2}$.

From the proof above, we see that $e(G) = \frac{24k(n-2)}{7k+6}$ if and only if $e_3(G) = e(G)$, $f(G) = \frac{2e(G)+f_3(G)}{4}$ and $e'_{3,3}(\widehat{F}) = \frac{3k-6}{2k} e_3(\widehat{F})$ for any $\widehat{F} \in \mathcal{B}$. This implies that if $e(G) = \frac{24k(n-2)}{7k+6}$, then G is a connected F_k -free plane graph satisfying: $\widehat{F} \cong J_k$ for any $\widehat{F} \in \mathcal{B}$; each edge in G belongs to either $E_{3,3}(G)$ or $E_{3,4}(G)$. The construction of extremal plane graphs for $k = 2$ can be found in [7]. We now follow this direction to construct the extremal graphs for general k .

Let $G_{0,2}$ be the graph depicted in Figure 6 (a). Let us then proceed to define further plane graphs $G_{t,2}$ on $20t + 12$ vertices inductively via the illustration given in Figure 6 (b). Here, the entire graph $G_{t-1,2}$ is placed into the center bold red quadrangle of Figure 6 (b), and the entire graph $G_{0,2}$ is then placed between the two given bold red quadrangles of Figure 6 (b) (in such a way that these are identified with the bold red quadrangles). One can observe that $G_{t,2}$ is an F_2 -free plane graph of order $20t + 12$ and size $48t + 24$. We then construct $G_{t,k}$ by replacing every 3-face of $G_{t,2}$ with a copy of J_k . One can observe that $G_{t,k}$ is an F_k -free plane graph of order $\frac{28k+24}{6-k}t + \frac{12(k+2)}{6-k}$ and size $\frac{96k}{6-k}t + \frac{48k}{6-k}$ for $k \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$. Clearly, $e(G_{t,k}) = \frac{24k}{7k+6} (|V(G_{t,k})| - 2)$.

This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] G. Chen, R.J. Gould, F. Pfender, B. Wei, Extremal graphs for intersecting cliques, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 89 (2003) 159-171.
- [2] C. Dowden, Extremal C_4 -free/ C_5 -free planar graphs, *Journal of Graph Theory*, 83 (2016) 213-230.
- [3] P. Erdős, Z. Füredi, R.J. Gould, D.S. Gunderson, Extremal graphs for intersecting triangles, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 64 (1995) 89-100.
- [4] Z. Füredi, M. Simonovits, The history of degenerate (bipartite) extremal graph problems, *Bolyai Soc. Studies (The Erdős Centennial)* 25 (2013) 167-262.
- [5] D. Ghosh, E. Győri, R.R. Martin, A. Paulos, C. Xiao, Planar Turán Number of the 6-Cycle, [arxiv:2004.14094](https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.14094) (2020)
- [6] D. Ghosh, E. Győri, A. Paulos, C. Xiao, O. Zamora, Planar Turán Number of the Θ_6 , [arxiv:2006.00994v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.00994v1) (2020).
- [7] Y.X. Lan, Y.T. Shi, Z-X. Song, Extremal Theta-free planar graphs, *Discrete Math.* 342 (12) (2019), 111610.
- [8] Y.X. Lan, Y.T. Shi, Z-X. Song, Extremal H -free planar graphs, *Electron. J. Combin.* 26 (2) (2019), #P2.11.
- [9] E.F. Schmeichel, S.L. Hakimi, On planar graphical degree sequences, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 32 (1977) 598-609.
- [10] P. Turán, On an extremal problem in graph theory, *Mat. Fiz. Lapok*, 48 (1941) 436-452.
- [11] L.T. Yuan, Extremal functions for odd wheels, Technical Report, 2019.