

NON-UNIQUENESS OF STEADY-STATE WEAK SOLUTIONS TO THE SURFACE QUASI-GEOSTROPHIC EQUATIONS

XINYU CHENG, HYUNJU KWON, DONG LI

ABSTRACT. We show the existence of nontrivial stationary weak solutions to the surface quasi-geostrophic equations on the two dimensional periodic torus.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the 2D surface quasi-geostrophic (SQG) equations for $\theta = \theta(x, t) : \mathbb{T}^2 \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta = -\nu \Lambda^\gamma \theta, & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^2 \times (0, \infty); \\ u = \nabla^\perp \Lambda^{-1} \theta = (-\partial_2 \Lambda^{-1} \theta, \partial_1 \Lambda^{-1} \theta) = (-\mathcal{R}_2 \theta, \mathcal{R}_1 \theta); \\ \theta|_{t=0} = \theta_0, \end{cases} \quad (\text{SQG})$$

where $\nu \geq 0$ is the viscosity, $0 < \gamma \leq 2$ and $\mathbb{T}^2 = [-\pi, \pi]^2$ is the periodic torus. For $s \geq 0$ the fractional Laplacian $\Lambda^s = (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}$ is defined by (under suitable assumptions on θ) $\widehat{\Lambda^s \theta}(k) = |k|^s \widehat{\theta}(k)$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. For negative s the formula is restricted to nonzero wave numbers. We consider solutions with zero mean which is invariant under the dynamics thanks to incompressibility. Note that the operators \mathcal{R}_j , $j = 1, 2$ are skew-symmetric, i.e. $\langle \mathcal{R}_j f, g \rangle = -\langle f, \mathcal{R}_j g \rangle$ where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the usual L^2 (real) inner product. Using this one can derive for $\theta \in L^2$ (below $[A, B] = AB - BA$ is the usual commutator):

$$\langle \theta \mathcal{R}_j \theta, \phi \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \langle \theta, [\mathcal{R}_j, \phi] \theta \rangle, \quad \forall \phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2).$$

By Proposition B.1 one has $\|[\mathcal{R}_j, \phi] \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \|\phi\|_{H^3} \|\theta\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}}$ and thus $\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ regularity suffices for defining a weak solution. To state our main theorem (Theorem 1.2), we introduce a definition.

Definition 1.1. We say $\theta \in \dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T}^2)$ ($\bar{\theta} = 0$) is a stationary weak solution to (SQG) if

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta) \cdot \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} ([\mathcal{R}^\perp, \nabla \psi] \theta) dx = -\nu \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta) \Lambda^{\gamma + \frac{1}{2}} \psi dx, \quad \forall \psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2),$$

where $[\mathcal{R}^\perp, \nabla \psi] \theta = -[\mathcal{R}_2, \partial_1 \psi] \theta + [\mathcal{R}_1, \partial_2 \psi] \theta$.

Theorem 1.2. For any $\nu \geq 0$, $\gamma \in (0, \frac{3}{2})$, and $\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{2} + \min(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{3}{2} - \gamma)$, there exist infinitely many nontrivial steady-state/stationary weak solutions θ to (SQG) satisfying $\bar{\theta} \equiv 0$ and $\Lambda^{-1} \theta \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{T}^2)$.

Remark 1.3. For the non-steady case, by employing time-dependent test functions, one can define weak solutions in $L^2_{t, \text{loc}} \dot{H}_x^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Resnick [10] proved the global existence of a weak solution to (SQG) for $\nu \geq 0$ and $0 < \gamma \leq 2$ in $L_t^\infty L_x^2$ for any initial data $\theta_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Marchand [8] obtained a global weak solution in $L_t^\infty \dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $\theta_0 \in \dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ or $L_t^\infty L^p$ for $\theta_0 \in L_x^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $p \geq \frac{4}{3}$, when¹ $\nu > 0$ and $0 < \gamma \leq 2$. Non-uniqueness of weak solutions satisfying $\|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta(t)\|_2 = e(t)$ (for any prescribed $0 \leq e \in C_c^\infty$) was obtained in [1] in two cases: $\nu = 0$: $\frac{1}{2} < \beta < \frac{4}{5}$, $\sigma < \frac{\beta}{2-\beta}$, $\Lambda^{-1} \theta \in C_t^\sigma C_x^\beta$ and $\nu > 0$: $\frac{1}{2} < \beta < \frac{4}{5}$, $0 < \gamma < 2 - \beta$, $\sigma < \frac{\beta}{2-\beta}$, $\Lambda^{-1} \theta \in C_t^\sigma C_x^\beta$. Note that the restriction $\beta - 1 < 1 - \gamma$ accords with the critical $\|\theta\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{C}^{1-\gamma}}$ norm. For $\nu = 0$ by using the identity $\frac{1}{2} \partial_t (\|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} P_{< j} \theta\|_2^2) = -\int P_{< j} (\theta \mathcal{R}^\perp \theta) \cdot P_{< j} \mathcal{R} \theta dx$, one has conservation of $\|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta\|_2^2$ for $\theta \in L_{t,x}^3$ (see also [7]). We also mention that for the non-dissipative case in the positive direction some uniqueness of SQG patches with some regularity for the moving boundary were obtained in recent [5].

Remark 1.4. Consider a general active scalar $\partial_t \theta + \nabla \cdot (\theta u) = 0$ where $\widehat{u} = m(k) \widehat{\theta}(k)$. By using a plane wave ansatz $\theta = a_k e^{i\lambda k \cdot x} + a_k^* e^{-i\lambda k \cdot x}$ with $|k| = 1$ and $\lambda \gg 1$, we derive $\nabla \cdot (\theta u) \underset{\text{low freq}}{\approx} \nabla \cdot (|a_k|^2 (m(-\lambda k) + m(\lambda k)))$ which vanishes if m is odd. This is known as the odd multiplier obstruction [2, 9, 7] in applying the convex integration scheme [4]. Previously the non-uniqueness results were established only for active

¹For $\nu = 0$ one requires $p > 4/3$ since the embedding $L^{\frac{4}{3}} \hookrightarrow \dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is not compact. For the diffusive case one has extra $L^2 \dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}}$ conservation by construction.

scalar equations with non-odd multipliers [9, 7]. In [1] this issue was resolved by using the momentum equation for $v = \Lambda^{-1}u$ and rewriting the nonlinearity $u \cdot \nabla v - (\nabla v)^T \cdot u$ as the sum of a divergence of a 2-tensor, and a gradient of a scalar function. Recently Isett and Ma [6] gives another direct approach at the level of θ .

Remark 1.5. The restriction² $\gamma < \frac{3}{2}$ in Theorem 1.2 can be seen by a crude heuristic using the plane wave ansatz localized around frequency λ . The domination of nonlinearity versus dissipation yields $\|\Lambda^{-1}\theta\|_\infty \gg \lambda^{\gamma-2}$. Hölder regularity of $\Lambda^{-1}\theta$ yields $\|\Lambda^{-1}\theta\|_\infty \lesssim \lambda^{-\alpha}$ where $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$. Thus $\gamma \leq 2 - \alpha < \frac{3}{2}$.

The modest goal of this work is to introduce another approach to overcome the odd multiplier obstruction by working directly with the scalar function $f = \Lambda^{-1}\theta$. Returning to the plane wave ansatz, the SQG nonlinearity written for f is $Q^\nabla(\Lambda f \nabla^\perp f)$ where Q^∇ means projection to the gradient direction.³ Now consider $f = \sum a_l(x) \cos(\lambda l \cdot x)$ where $|l| = 1$ and $\lambda \gg 1$, then (see Lemma 3.1)

$$\Lambda f = \lambda f + (l \cdot \nabla) a \sin(\lambda l \cdot x) + (T_{\lambda l}^{(1)} a) \cos(\lambda l \cdot x) + (T_{\lambda l}^{(2)} a) \sin(\lambda l \cdot x).$$

Thus we have

$$\Lambda f \nabla^\perp f \overset{\circ}{\approx} -\frac{1}{4} \lambda \sum_l (l \cdot \nabla) (a_l^2) l^\perp + \text{error terms}.$$

We then use a novel algebraic lemma (Lemma 3.2) to obtain nontrivial projection in the gradient direction. One should note that in the above computation, the leading $O(\lambda^2)$ term vanishes which completely accords with the odd multiplier obstruction problem mentioned earlier. What is remarkable is that in the next $O(\lambda)$ term there is nontrivial non-oscillatory contribution coming from the commutator piece $[\Lambda, a_l] \cos \lambda x$. This resonates with the momentum approach in [1] and also the recent work [6].

Our next result is about the weak rigidity of solutions in the time-dependent case. It improves Theorem 1.3 of [7] from $L_t^p L_x^2$, $p > 2$ to $L_t^2 \dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}+}$. The proof can be found in Appendix B.

Theorem 1.6 (Weak rigidity). *Let $\nu \geq 0$ and $0 < \gamma \leq 2$. Suppose $f = \lim_n \theta_n$ is a weak limit of solutions (SQG) in $L_t^2 \dot{H}^s$ for $s > -\frac{1}{2}$. Then f must also be a weak solution.*

Notations. For any two quantities A and B , $A \lesssim B$ denotes $A \leq CB$ for some absolute constant $C > 0$. Similarly, $A \gtrsim B$ means $A \geq CB$, and $A \sim B$ when $A \lesssim B$ and $A \gtrsim B$. For a real number X , we use X^+ for $X + \epsilon$ when $\epsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small. For any two vector functions v and w , we denote

$$\boxed{v \overset{\circ}{\approx} w, \quad \text{if } v = w + \nabla^\perp p}$$

holds for some smooth scalar function p . The mean of f on \mathbb{T}^2 is denoted by $\bar{f} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} f(x) dx$. The function space $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$ is the collection of all C^∞ mean-zero functions on \mathbb{T}^2 . For any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we denote $\|f\|_p = \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^2)}$ as the usual Lebesgue norm. For f on \mathbb{T}^2 , we follow the Fourier transform convention $\hat{f}(k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} f(x) e^{-ix \cdot k} dx$ and $f(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \hat{f}(k) e^{ik \cdot x}$. The convolution operation $*$ is defined by $(f * g)(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} f(x-y) g(y) dy$, which implies $\widehat{f * g}(k) = \hat{f}(k) \hat{g}(k)$ and $\widehat{fg}(k) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \hat{f}(l) \hat{g}(k-l)$.

For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the homogeneous \dot{H}^s Sobolev norm is defined by $\|f\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^2)} = \left(\sum_{0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} |k|^{2s} |\hat{f}(k)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Note that for $f = \Lambda^{-1}\theta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$ the steady-state SQG equation is $\nabla \cdot (\Lambda f \nabla^\perp f) = -\nu \Lambda^{\gamma+1} f$ which follows from $\Lambda f \nabla^\perp f \overset{\circ}{\approx} \nu \Lambda^{\gamma-1} \nabla f$. The idea is to find approximate solutions $(f_{\leq n}, q_n) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2) \times C_0^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$ solving the relaxed equation

$$\Lambda f_{\leq n} \nabla^\perp f_{\leq n} \overset{\circ}{\approx} \nu \Lambda^{\gamma-1} \nabla f_{\leq n} + \nabla q_n, \tag{2.1}$$

such that $q_n \rightarrow 0$ in the limit. This will be done inductively.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. WLOG we take $C_0 = 2$ in Proposition 3.3. Fix $\nu \geq 0$, $0 < \gamma < \frac{3}{2}$ and choose parameters as in (2.3). Choose b and λ_0 as in Proposition 4.1. If necessary, we choose larger λ_0 to have $\sum_{m=0}^\infty \lambda_m^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\beta}{2b}} \leq 1$. Take the base step $(f_{\leq 0}, q_0) = (0, 0)$. For n^{th} -step, assume that $(f_{\leq n}, q_n) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2) \times C_0^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$ satisfy

- $(f_{\leq n}, q_n)$ solves (2.1).

²This restriction is immaterial in some sense since $\gamma > 1$ is subcritical.

³We caution the reader that this is slightly different than the usual convex integration scheme in Euler.

- $\text{supp}(\widehat{f_{\leq n}}) \subset \{|k| \leq 6\lambda_n\}$, $\text{supp}(\widehat{q_n}) \subset \{|k| \leq 12\lambda_n\}$ and satisfies $\|q_n\|_X \leq r_n$ (see (4.1)),

$$\|f_{\leq n}\|_{C^\alpha(\mathbb{T}^2)} \leq 50 \sum_{m=1}^n \lambda_m^\alpha \sqrt{\frac{r_{m-1}}{\lambda_m}} \leq 100 \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \lambda_{m+1}^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\beta}{2b}} \leq 100.$$

Then for step $n+1$, we find $f_{n+1} = f_{\leq n+1} - f_{\leq n}$ and $q_{n+1} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$ satisfying

- f_{n+1} is chosen to solve $\Lambda f_{n+1} \nabla^\perp f_{n+1} + \nabla q_n \overset{\circ}{\approx} 0$ up to a sufficiently small correction (see Proposition 3.3). Also $\text{supp}(\widehat{f_{\leq n+1}}) \subset \{|k| \leq 6\lambda_{n+1}\}$ and $\|f_{n+1}\|_{C^\alpha(\mathbb{T}^2)} \leq 100\lambda_{n+1}^\alpha \cdot \sqrt{\frac{r_n}{\lambda_{n+1}}}$.
- $\|q_{n+1}\|_X \leq r_{n+1}$, $\text{supp}(\widehat{q_{n+1}}) \subset \{|k| \leq 12\lambda_{n+1}\}$ and solves (see Proposition 4.1)

$$(\Lambda f_{n+1} \nabla^\perp f_{n+1} + \nabla q_n) + \Lambda f_{\leq n} \nabla^\perp f_{n+1} + \Lambda f_{n+1} \nabla^\perp f_{\leq n} \overset{\circ}{\approx} \nabla q_{n+1} + \nu \Lambda^{\gamma-1} \nabla f_{n+1}. \quad (2.2)$$

Thus with the help of Proposition 3.3 and 4.1 the induction step can be closed and it remains to show that $f_{\leq n}$ converges to the desired weak solution. We first check its regularity. Clearly

$$\|f_{\leq n'} - f_{\leq n}\|_{C^\alpha} \lesssim \sum_{m=n}^{n'-1} \lambda_{m+1}^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\beta}{2b}}, \quad \forall n' \geq n.$$

Thus $f_{\leq n} \rightarrow f \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Now denote $\theta_n = \Lambda f_n$ and $\theta = \Lambda f$. Clearly

$$\langle \theta_n \Lambda^{-1} \nabla^\perp \theta_n - \nu \Lambda^{\gamma-2} \nabla \theta_{n+1} - \nabla q_{n+1}, \nabla \psi \rangle = 0, \quad \forall \psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2).$$

We then rewrite the above as

$$\frac{1}{2} \langle \Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta_n, \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} [\mathcal{R}^\perp, \nabla \psi] \theta_n \rangle + \nu \langle \Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta_n, \Lambda^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}} \psi \rangle + \langle q_n, \Delta \psi \rangle = 0, \quad \forall \psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2).$$

Since $\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta_n \rightarrow \Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta$ strongly in L^∞ , by using Proposition B.1, we obtain that θ solves (SQG).

Finally we remark that our solution $\theta = \Lambda f$ has an almost explicit form. By using (3.7), we have

$$f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^2 2 \sqrt{\frac{r_n}{5\lambda_{n+1}}} \left(P_{\leq \mu_{n+1}} \sqrt{C_0 + R_j \frac{q_n}{r_n}} \right) \cos(5\lambda_{n+1} l_j \cdot x).$$

The leading term is an almost explicit Fourier series (one can take C_0 large) and thus our solution is nontrivial. \square

Parameters. Throughout this paper, we fix parameters as follows. $\nu \geq 0$, $0 < \gamma < \frac{3}{2}$, $0 < \beta < \min\{\frac{1}{3}, 3 - 2\gamma\}$,

$$\lambda_n = \left\lceil \lambda_0^{b^n} \right\rceil, \quad r_n = \lambda_n^{-\beta}, \quad \mu_{n+1} = (\lambda_{n+1} \lambda_n)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \quad (2.3)$$

where $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ denotes the ceiling function. Here $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $b = 1^+$, will be chosen in Proposition 4.1. The Hölder exponent in Theorem 1.2 is $\alpha = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\beta}{2b} - \epsilon_0 > \frac{1}{2}$ by taking first $b - 1$ sufficiently small and then ϵ_0 sufficiently small. See also Appendix C for more explicit dependence of constants.

3. CONSTRUCTION OF f_{n+1}

In this section we show that for given q_n , one can solve the main piece in (2.2) up to a small error:

$$\Lambda f_{n+1} \nabla^\perp f_{n+1} + \nabla q_n \overset{\circ}{\approx} \text{small error}. \quad (3.1)$$

3.1. Derivation of the leading order part. Consider the ansatz ($f = f_{n+1}$)

$$f(x) = \sum_l a_l(x) \cos(\lambda l \cdot x), \quad (3.2)$$

where the frequency a_l is much smaller than λ and the summation over l is finite.

Lemma 3.1 (Leibniz). *Let $|l| = 1$, $\lambda l \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, and $g(x) = a(x) \cos(\lambda l \cdot x)$. Then,*

$$\Lambda g = \lambda g + (l \cdot \nabla a) \sin(\lambda l \cdot x) + (T_{\lambda l}^{(1)} a) \cos(\lambda l \cdot x) + (T_{\lambda l}^{(2)} a) \sin(\lambda l \cdot x),$$

where

$$\widehat{T_{\lambda l}^{(1)}} a(k) = \left(\frac{|\lambda l + k| + |\lambda l - k|}{2} - \lambda \right) \widehat{a}(k), \quad \widehat{T_{\lambda l}^{(2)}} a(k) = i \left(\frac{|\lambda l + k| - |\lambda l - k|}{2} - l \cdot k \right) \widehat{a}(k). \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. The proof follows from a simple calculation using the following fact: If $\widehat{T_m g}(k) = m(k)\widehat{g}(k)$, then for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, $T_m(g(x)e^{in \cdot x}) = (T_{m_1}g)e^{in \cdot x}$, where $m_1(k) = m(k+n)$. \square

By using Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\Lambda f \nabla^\perp f \overset{\circ}{\approx} \boxed{\text{main}} + \boxed{\text{non-oscillatory error}} + \boxed{\text{oscillatory error}}, \quad (3.4)$$

where (below $l^\perp = (-l_2, l_1)^\top$ for $l = (l_1, l_2)^\top$)

$$\boxed{\text{main}} = -\frac{1}{4}\lambda \sum_l (l \cdot \nabla)(a_l^2)l^\perp,$$

$$\boxed{\text{non-oscillatory error}} = -\frac{1}{2}\lambda \sum_l (T_{\lambda l}^{(2)} a_l) a_l l^\perp + \frac{1}{2} \sum_l (T_{\lambda l}^{(1)} a_l) \nabla^\perp a_l,$$

$$\boxed{\text{oscillatory error}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_l (l \cdot \nabla a_l + T_{\lambda l}^{(2)} a_l) (\lambda a_l l^\perp \cos(2\lambda l \cdot x) + \nabla^\perp a_l \sin(2\lambda l \cdot x)) \quad (\text{osc1})$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \sum_l (T_{\lambda l}^{(1)} a_l) (\lambda a_l l^\perp \sin(2\lambda l \cdot x) - \nabla^\perp a_l \cos(2\lambda l \cdot x)) \quad (\text{osc2})$$

$$- \lambda \sum_{l \neq l'} (l \cdot \nabla a_l + T_{\lambda l}^{(2)} a_l) a_{l'} (l')^\perp \sin(\lambda l \cdot x) \sin(\lambda l' \cdot x) \quad (\text{osc3})$$

$$+ \sum_{l \neq l'} (l \cdot \nabla a_l + T_{\lambda l}^{(2)} a_l) \nabla^\perp a_{l'} \sin(\lambda l \cdot x) \cos(\lambda l' \cdot x) \quad (\text{osc4})$$

$$- \lambda \sum_{l \neq l'} (T_{\lambda l}^{(1)} a_l) a_{l'} (l')^\perp \cos(\lambda l \cdot x) \sin(\lambda l' \cdot x) \quad (\text{osc5})$$

$$+ \sum_{l \neq l'} (T_{\lambda l}^{(1)} a_l) \nabla^\perp a_{l'} \cos(\lambda l \cdot x) \cos(\lambda l' \cdot x). \quad (\text{osc6})$$

Note that the leading-order term $\lambda f \nabla^\perp f$ in $\Lambda f \nabla^\perp f$ vanishes since $\nabla^\perp (\frac{\lambda}{2} f^2) \overset{\circ}{\approx} 0$.

3.2. Matching. We begin with a simple yet powerful lemma.

Lemma 3.2 (Algebraic Lemma). *For a given $Q \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$, we have the decomposition identity*

$$\sum_{j=1}^2 l_j^\perp (l_j \cdot \nabla)(\mathcal{R}_j^o Q) \overset{\circ}{\approx} \nabla Q,$$

where $l_1 = (\frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5})^\top$, $l_2 = (1, 0)^\top$, and the Riesz-type transforms \mathcal{R}_j^o , $j = 1, 2$ are defined by

$$\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_1^o(k_1, k_2) = \frac{25(k_2^2 - k_1^2)}{12|k|^2}, \quad \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_2^o(k_1, k_2) = \frac{7(k_2^2 - k_1^2)}{12|k|^2} + \frac{4k_1 k_2}{|k|^2}.$$

Proof. This follows from the identity $\sum_{j=1}^2 (l_j^\perp \cdot \nabla)(l_j \cdot \nabla)(\mathcal{R}_j^o Q) = \Delta Q$. \square

Proposition 3.3. *Set l_j and \mathcal{R}_j^o , $j = 1, 2$ as in Lemma 3.2. For given $q_n \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$, choose $C_0 \geq 2$ to be a fixed constant and*

$$a_{j,n+1}^{\text{perfect}} = 2\sqrt{\frac{r_n}{5\lambda_{n+1}}} \sqrt{C_0 + \mathcal{R}_j^o \frac{q_n}{r_n}}, \quad (3.5)$$

where (λ_{n+1}, r_n) are taken as in (2.3). Then

$$-\frac{1}{4} \cdot (5\lambda_{n+1}) \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^2 l_j^\perp (l_j \cdot \nabla)(a_{j,n+1}^{\text{perfect}})^2 \right) + \nabla q_n \overset{\circ}{\approx} 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Proof. The proof follows from applying Lemma 3.2 to $Q = q_n$. \square

We now choose

$$f_{n+1}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^2 a_{j,n+1}(x) \cos(5\lambda_{n+1} l_j \cdot x), \quad a_{j,n+1} = P_{\leq \mu_{n+1}} a_{j,n+1}^{\text{perfect}}, \quad (3.7)$$

where $P_{\leq \mu_{n+1}} \widehat{g}(k) = \psi\left(\frac{k}{\mu_{n+1}}\right)\widehat{g}(k)$, and $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfies $\psi(k) = 0$ for $|k| \geq 1$, and $\psi(k) = 1$ for $|k| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. We have $\Lambda f_{n+1} \nabla^\perp f_{n+1} + \nabla q_n \overset{\circ}{\approx}$ small error. In the next section we estimate the errors.

4. ERROR ESTIMATES FOR q_{n+1}

Proposition 4.1. *Given $\nu \geq 0$, $0 < \gamma < \frac{3}{2}$, $0 < \beta < \min\left(\frac{1}{3}, 3 - 2\gamma\right)$, there exists $b_0 = b_0(\nu, \gamma, \beta)$ such that for any $0 < b - 1 < b_0$ we can find $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda_0(\nu, \gamma, \beta, b)$ for which the following holds. If $\lambda_0 \geq \Lambda_0$ and $(f_{\leq n}, q_n)$ satisfies (below \mathcal{R}_j^o are the same as in Lemma 3.2)*

- the frequencies of $f_{\leq n}$ and q_n are localized to $\leq 6\lambda_n$ and $\leq 12\lambda_n$, respectively,
- $\|f_{\leq n}\|_{C^\alpha(\mathbb{T}^2)} \leq 100$ and $\|q_n\|_X \leq r_n$ where

$$\|q\|_X := \|q\|_\infty + \sum_{j=1}^2 \|\mathcal{R}_j^o q\|_\infty. \quad (4.1)$$

then there exists $q_{n+1} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$ solving (2.2) with frequency localized to $\leq 12\lambda_{n+1}$, f_{n+1} defined by (3.5) satisfying

$$\|q_{n+1}\|_X \leq r_{n+1}. \quad (4.2)$$

Proof. Rewrite (2.2) as

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla q_{n+1} &\overset{\circ}{\approx} \underbrace{\Lambda f_{n+1} \nabla^\perp f_{n+1} + \nabla q_n}_{\text{Mismatch error}} + \underbrace{\Lambda f_{n+1} \nabla^\perp f_{\leq n} + \Lambda f_{\leq n} \nabla^\perp f_{n+1}}_{\text{Transport error}} - \underbrace{\nu \nabla \Lambda^{\gamma-1} f_{n+1}}_{\text{Dissipation error}} \\ &=: \nabla q_M + \nabla q_T + \nabla q_D. \end{aligned}$$

Frequency localization of q_{n+1} can be easily deduced from q_M , q_T , and q_D which are defined below. For convenience, we shall write $a_{j,n+1}$ as a_j in the computation below.

Mismatch error. By (3.4), we can further decompose the mismatch error as

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla q_M &\overset{\circ}{\approx} (\boxed{\text{main}} + \nabla q_n) + \boxed{\text{non-oscillatory error}} + \boxed{\text{oscillatory error}} \\ &\overset{\circ}{\approx} \nabla q_{M1} + \nabla q_{M2} + \nabla q_{M3}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma A.5, q_{M1} is defined as in (A.3) and satisfies

$$\|q_{M1}\|_X \lesssim r_n (\mu_{n+1}^{-1} \lambda_n)^2 \log \mu_{n+1}. \quad (4.3)$$

Note that both $\boxed{\text{non-oscillatory error}}$ and $\boxed{\text{oscillatory error}}$ have zero means, so we define

$$q_{M2} = \Delta^{-1} \nabla \cdot \boxed{\text{non-oscillatory error}}, \quad q_{M3} = \Delta^{-1} \nabla \cdot \boxed{\text{oscillatory error}}$$

in $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$. We postpone the estimate for q_{M2} to Appendix, where Lemma A.4 proves

$$\|q_{M2}\|_X \lesssim r_n \lambda_{n+1}^{-2} \mu_{n+1}^2 \log \mu_{n+1}. \quad (4.4)$$

Next we estimate q_{M3} . Denote $T_{n+1,j}^{(i)} = T_{5\lambda_{n+1} l_j}^{(i)}$ for $i, j = 1, 2$. By Lemma A.2, we have

$$\|T_{n+1,j}^{(1)} a_j\|_\infty \lesssim \lambda_{n+1}^{-1} \mu_{n+1}^2 \sqrt{\frac{r_n}{\lambda_{n+1}}}, \quad \|T_{n+1,j}^{(2)} a_j\|_\infty \lesssim \lambda_{n+1}^{-2} \mu_{n+1}^3 \sqrt{\frac{r_n}{\lambda_{n+1}}}. \quad (4.5)$$

Since all terms in (oscillatory error) have the frequency localized to $\sim \lambda_{n+1}$ provided that $48\lambda_n \leq \lambda_{n+1}$, the estimate for q_{M3} easily follows from (4.5):

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta^{-1} \nabla \cdot (\text{osc1})\|_X &\lesssim \sum_{j=1}^2 (\|\nabla a_j\|_\infty + \|T_{n+1,j}^{(2)} a_j\|_\infty) (\|a_j\|_\infty + \lambda_{n+1}^{-1} \|\nabla^\perp a_j\|_\infty) \lesssim \left(\frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_{n+1}}\right) r_n, \\ \|\Delta^{-1} \nabla \cdot (\text{osc2})\|_X &\lesssim \sum_{j=1}^2 \|T_{n+1,j}^{(1)} a_j\|_\infty (\|a_j\|_\infty + \lambda_{n+1}^{-1} \|\nabla^\perp a_j\|_\infty) \lesssim \left(\frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_{n+1}}\right) r_n. \end{aligned}$$

The estimates for (osc3)-(osc6) are similar (using $2/\sqrt{5} \leq |l_1 \pm l_2| \leq 4/\sqrt{5}$) and therefore

$$\|q_{M3}\|_X \lesssim \left(\frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_{n+1}}\right) r_n. \quad (4.6)$$

Combining (4.3), (4.4), and (4.6) and using $b > 1$, $\beta < 1$, we can find $\Lambda_M = \Lambda_M(\beta, b)$ such that for any $\lambda_0 \geq \Lambda_M$, we get $q_M = q_{M1} + q_{M2} + q_{M3} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$ satisfying

$$\|q_M\|_X \leq \frac{1}{3}r_{n+1}.$$

Transport error. Define

$$q_T = \Delta^{-1} \nabla \cdot (\Lambda f_{n+1} \nabla^\perp f_{\leq n} + \Lambda f_{\leq n} \nabla^\perp f_{n+1}) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2).$$

Since $\Lambda f_{n+1} \nabla^\perp f_{\leq n} + \Lambda f_{\leq n} \nabla^\perp f_{n+1}$ is frequency-localized to $\sim \lambda_{n+1}$, using $\|f_{\leq n}\|_{C^\alpha} \leq 100$, we get

$$\|q_T\|_X \lesssim \|f_{n+1}\|_\infty (\|\nabla^\perp f_{\leq n}\|_\infty + \|\Lambda f_{\leq n}\|_\infty) \leq C_\alpha \lambda_n^{1-\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{r_n}{\lambda_{n+1}}} \leq \frac{1}{3}r_{n+1}$$

for some constant $C_\alpha > 0$. We can find $\Lambda_T = \Lambda_T(\beta, b)$ such that for any $\lambda_0 \geq \Lambda_T$ the last inequality holds since $b > 1$ and $\beta < \frac{1}{3}$.

Dissipation error. We define $q_D = -\nu \Lambda^{\gamma-1} f_{n+1} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$ which satisfies

$$\|q_D\|_X \leq C_2 \lambda_{n+1}^{\gamma-1} \|f_{n+1}\|_\infty \leq 5C_2 \lambda_{n+1}^{\gamma-1} \sqrt{\frac{r_n}{\lambda_{n+1}}} \leq \frac{1}{3}r_{n+1},$$

for some $C_2 = C_2(\nu, \gamma) > 0$. Since $\beta < 3 - 2\gamma$, we can find sufficiently small $b_0 = b_0(\nu, \gamma, \beta)$ such that for any $1 < b < b_0 + 1$ there exists $\Lambda_D = \Lambda_D(\nu, \gamma, \beta, b)$ which leads the last inequality for any $\lambda_0 \geq \Lambda_D$.

Collecting the estimates, we obtain $\|q_{n+1}\|_X \leq r_{n+1}$ if $\lambda_0 > \Lambda_0 = \max(\Lambda_M, \Lambda_T, \Lambda_D)$. \square

APPENDIX A. NON-OSCILLATORY ERROR ESTIMATE

Lemma A.1. *Suppose $a : \mathbb{T}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\text{supp}(\widehat{a}) \subset \{|k| \leq \mu\}$ and $\mu \geq 10$. Then for any Riesz-type operator \mathcal{R} we have $\|\mathcal{R}a\|_\infty \lesssim \|a\|_\infty \log \mu$.*

Proof. WLOG we can assume $\bar{a} = 0$. By using LP-decomposition, splitting into low and high frequencies and choosing $J = 2 \log \mu$, we obtain $\|\mathcal{R}a\|_\infty \lesssim (J+3)\|a\|_\infty + 2^{-J}\|\nabla a\|_\infty \lesssim (J+3+2^{-J})\|a\|_\infty \lesssim \|a\|_\infty \log \mu$. \square

We now state two useful facts. Assume $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$ and $K \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $m(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K(z) e^{-i\xi \cdot z} dz$. Then⁴

$$(T_m f)(x) := \sum_k m(k) \widehat{f}(k) e^{ik \cdot x} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K(z) f(x-z) dz, \quad \|T_m f\|_{L_x^p(\mathbb{T}^2)} \leq \|K\|_{L_x^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|f\|_{L_x^p(\mathbb{T}^2)}, \quad \forall 1 \leq p \leq \infty. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Assume $f, g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$ and $K \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ with $m(\xi, \eta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} K(z_1, z_2) e^{-i\xi \cdot z_1 - i\eta \cdot z_2} dz_1 dz_2$. Then

$$T_m(f, g)(x) := \sum_k \left(\sum_{k' \in \mathbb{Z}^2} m(k', k-k') \widehat{f}(k') \widehat{g}(k-k') \right) e^{ik \cdot x} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} K(z_1, z_2) f(x-z_1) g(x-z_2) dz_1 dz_2, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

and consequently $\|T_m(f, g)\|_{L_x^r(\mathbb{T}^2)} \leq \|K\|_{L_x^1(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)} \|f\|_{L_x^p(\mathbb{T}^2)} \|g\|_{L_x^q(\mathbb{T}^2)}$ for any $1 \leq r, p, q \leq \infty$ with $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$.

Lemma A.2. *Assume $b_0 : \mathbb{T}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\text{supp}(\widehat{b_0}) \subset \{|k| \leq \mu\}$ and $10 \leq \mu \leq \frac{1}{2}\lambda$. Then (see (3.3))*

$$\|T_{\lambda l}^{(1)} b_0\|_\infty \lesssim \lambda^{-1} \mu^2 \|b_0\|_\infty, \quad \|T_{\lambda l}^{(2)} b_0\|_\infty \lesssim \lambda^{-2} \mu^3 \|b_0\|_\infty, \quad \|\Delta^{-1} \nabla T_{\lambda l}^{(2)} b_0\|_X \lesssim \|b_0\|_\infty \lambda^{-2} \mu^2 \log \mu.$$

Proof. We show only the first one as the rest are similar. Choose $\phi_1 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $\phi_1(\xi) \equiv 1$ for $|\xi| \leq 1$ and $\phi_1(\xi) \equiv 0$ for $|\xi| \geq 1.1$. Denote $\phi_2(z) = |l+z| + |l-z| - 2$ and note that for $|z| \leq \frac{2}{3}$ we have $\phi_2(z) = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 h_{ij}(z) z_i z_j$ for some $h_{ij} \in C^\infty$. By (A.1) it suffices to show $\|F\|_{L_x^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \lambda^{-2} \mu^2$ for $F(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \phi_2(\lambda^{-1}\xi) \phi_1(\mu^{-1}\xi) e^{i\xi \cdot x} d\xi$. This follows from a change of variable $\mu^{-1}\xi \rightarrow \xi$ and integration by parts. For the third estimate one can extract an extra gradient from the symbol and then use Lemma A.1. \square

Lemma A.3. *Let $\text{supp}(\widehat{b_0}) \subset \{|k| \leq \mu\}$, $\mu \leq \frac{1}{2}\lambda$. Then for⁵ some $K_i = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(m_i)$ with $\|K_i\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^4)} \lesssim 1$, we have*

$$b_0 T_{\lambda l}^{(2)} b_0 = \frac{\mu^2}{\lambda^2} \sum_{i=1}^2 \partial_{x_i} T_{m_i}(b_0, b_0), \quad (T_{\lambda l}^{(1)} b_0) \partial_{x_1} b_0 = \frac{\mu^2}{\lambda} \sum_{i=3}^4 \partial_{x_i} T_{m_i}(b_0, b_0), \quad (T_{\lambda l}^{(1)} b_0) \partial_{x_2} b_0 = \frac{\mu^2}{\lambda} \sum_{i=5}^6 \partial_{x_i} T_{m_i}(b_0, b_0).$$

⁴Here and below we still denote by f its periodic extension to all of \mathbb{R}^2 .

⁵Here \mathcal{F}^{-1} denotes Fourier inverse transform on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$. See (A.2).

Proof. Observe that for $|z| \leq \frac{2}{3}$, $\phi(z) = |l+z| - |l-z| - 2l \cdot z = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^2 h_{ijk}(z) z_i z_j z_k$ for some $h_{ijk} \in C^\infty$. Choose $\phi_1 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $\phi_1(\xi) \equiv 1$ for $|\xi| \leq 1$ and $\phi_1(\xi) \equiv 0$ for $|\xi| \geq 1.1$. By using parity of ϕ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{b_0 T_{\lambda_l}^{(2)} b_0}(k) = \frac{i}{4} \lambda \sum_{k' \in \mathbb{Z}^2} (\phi(\lambda^{-1} k') - \phi(\lambda^{-1}(k' - k))) \widehat{b_0}(k') \widehat{b_0}(k - k') \\ & = -\frac{i}{4} \sum_{k' \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \int_0^1 k \cdot (\nabla \phi)(\lambda^{-1}(k' - \theta k)) d\theta \phi_1(\mu^{-1} k') \phi_1(\mu^{-1}(k - k')) \widehat{b_0}(k') \widehat{b_0}(k - k'). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $(\nabla \phi)(\frac{k' - \theta k}{\lambda}) \phi_1(\frac{k'}{\mu}) \phi_1(\frac{k - k'}{\mu}) = \lambda^{-2} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2} \tilde{h}_{ij}(\frac{k' - \theta k}{\lambda})(k' - \theta k)_i (k' - \theta k)_j \phi_1(\frac{k'}{\mu}) \phi_1(\frac{k - k'}{\mu})$ where $\tilde{h}_{ij} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$. The result then follows from (A.2) by checking the L^1 bound of the kernel. The case for $T_{\lambda_l}^{(1)}$ is similar. \square

Lemma A.4. Define $T_{n+1,j}^{(i)} = T_{5\lambda_{n+1} l_j}^{(i)}$, $a_j = a_{j,n+1}$, and l_j , $i, j = 1, 2$, as in (3.3) and (3.5). Suppose that the assumptions on $(f_{\leq n}, q_n)$ in Proposition 4.1 hold. Then, we have

$$\|\Delta^{-1} \nabla \cdot ((T_{n+1,j}^{(1)} a_j) \nabla^\perp a_j)\|_X + \|\Delta^{-1} \nabla \cdot (5\lambda_{n+1} (T_{n+1,j}^{(2)} a_j) a_j l_j^\perp)\|_X \lesssim r_n \lambda_{n+1}^{-2} \mu_{n+1}^2 \log \mu_{n+1}.$$

Proof. We only treat the second term as the others are similar. By Lemma A.3 and A.1, we have

$$\|\Delta^{-1} \nabla \cdot (5\lambda_{n+1} (T_{n+1,j}^{(2)} a_j) a_j l_j^\perp)\|_X \lesssim (\log \mu_{n+1}) \lambda_{n+1} (\frac{\mu_{n+1}}{\lambda_{n+1}})^2 \frac{r_n}{\lambda_{n+1}} \lesssim r_n (\frac{\mu_{n+1}}{\lambda_{n+1}})^2 \log \mu_{n+1}. \quad \square$$

Lemma A.5 (Estimate of q_{M1}). We have $\|q_{M1}\|_X \lesssim (\log \mu_{n+1}) (\mu_{n+1}^{-1} \lambda_n)^2 r_n$.

Proof. To ease the notation we write $a_j^p = 2\sqrt{\frac{r_n}{\lambda_{n+1}}} \sqrt{C_0 + \mathcal{R}_j \frac{q_n}{r_n}}$ and $a_j = P_{\leq \mu_{n+1}} a_j^p$. By using a fattened frequency projection $\tilde{P}_{\leq \mu_{n+1}}$ which is frequency localized to $\{|k| \leq 4\mu_{n+1}\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{4} \cdot (5\lambda_{n+1}) \cdot \sum_{j=1}^2 l_j^\perp (l_j \cdot \nabla) a_j^2 + \nabla q_n - \nabla q_{M1} \\ & = -\frac{5}{4} \lambda_{n+1} \sum_{j=1}^2 l_j^\perp (l_j \cdot \nabla) \tilde{P}_{\leq \mu_{n+1}} ((P_{\leq \mu_{n+1}} a_j^p)^2) + \nabla q_n - \nabla q_{M1} \\ & = -\frac{5}{4} \lambda_{n+1} \sum_{j=1}^2 l_j^\perp (l_j \cdot \nabla) \tilde{P}_{\leq \mu_{n+1}} \left(-2a_j^p P_{> \mu_{n+1}} a_j^p + (P_{> \mu_{n+1}} a_j^p)^2 \right) - \nabla q_{M1} \stackrel{\circ}{\approx} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can solve $q_{M1} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$ as

$$q_{M1} = -\frac{5}{4} \lambda_{n+1} \sum_{j=1}^2 \Delta^{-1} \nabla \cdot \left(l_j^\perp (l_j \cdot \nabla) \tilde{P}_{\leq \mu_{n+1}} \left(-2a_j^p P_{> \mu_{n+1}} a_j^p + (P_{> \mu_{n+1}} a_j^p)^2 \right) \right). \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Note that q_{M1} is frequency localized to $\{|k| \leq 4\mu_{n+1}\}$. By Lemma A.1, we obtain

$$\|q_{M1}\|_X \lesssim \log \mu_{n+1} \cdot \lambda_{n+1} \sum_{j=1}^2 \|a_j^p\|_\infty \|P_{> \mu_{n+1}} a_j^p\|_\infty \lesssim \log \mu_{n+1} \cdot (\mu_{n+1}^{-1} \lambda_n)^2 r_n. \quad \square$$

APPENDIX B. SOME TECHNICAL ESTIMATES

Proposition B.1. Let $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_j$, $j = 1, 2$. Assume $\phi \in H^3$ and $\theta \in \dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ ($\bar{\theta} = 0$). Then we have

$$\|[\mathcal{R}, \phi] \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \|\phi\|_{\dot{H}^3} \|\theta\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Proof. Denote $m(k) = \frac{k_1}{|k|}$. It suffices to show that

$$\left\| \sum_{k' \neq 0, k} |k|^{-\frac{1}{2}} (m(k) - m(k')) \widehat{\phi}(k - k') \widehat{\theta}(k') \right\|_{l_k^2} \lesssim \| |k|^3 \widehat{\phi}(k) \|_{l_k^2} \| |k|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{\theta}(k) \|_{l_k^2}. \quad (\text{B.1})$$

If $|k'| \lesssim |k - k'|$, then $|k| \lesssim |k - k'|$, and

$$\text{LHS of (B.1)} \lesssim \left\| \sum_{k' \neq 0, k} |k - k'| |\widehat{\phi}(k - k')| \cdot |k'|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\widehat{\theta}(k')| \right\|_{l_k^2} \lesssim \text{RHS of (B.1)}.$$

If $|k - k'| \ll |k|$, then $|k| \sim |k'|$, and it suffices to use $|m(k) - m(k')| \lesssim |k - k'| (|k'| + |k|)^{-1}$.

□

Proof of Theorem 1.6. The point is to use the weak formulation (below $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes L^2 -inner product in (t, x) , and ψ is a time-dependent test function)

$$\langle \partial_t \theta_n, \psi \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta_n, \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} [\mathcal{R}^\perp, \nabla \psi] \theta_n \rangle + \nu \langle \Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta_n, \Lambda^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}} \psi \rangle = 0.$$

By using the above together with Proposition B.1, we have⁶ $\|\partial_t \theta_n\|_{L_t^1 \dot{H}^{-s}} \lesssim 1$. Fix any $0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. We have $\|\partial_t \widehat{\theta}_n(k, t)\|_{L_t^1} \lesssim |k|^8$ and $\|\widehat{\theta}_n(k, t)\|_{L_t^2} \lesssim |k|^{-s}$ which implies that for a subsequence (and using a diagonal argument) $\|\widehat{\theta}_{n_l}(k, t) - \widehat{f}(k, t)\|_{L_t^2} \rightarrow 0$ for any fixed k . Using $\theta_n \in L_t^2 \dot{H}^s$, one obtains $\theta_{n_l} \rightarrow f$ in $L_t^2 \dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Since $\|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} [\mathcal{R}^\perp, \nabla \psi] (\theta_n - f)\|_2 \lesssim \|\theta_n - f\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}}$, f is clearly the desired weak solution. □

APPENDIX C. BOOKKEEPING OF VARIOUS PARAMETERS

In this appendix we sketch how the choice of various parameters in (2.3) take effect on various error terms and the regularity of the weak solution. Recall that (observe from below $\log \mu_{n+1} \sim \log \lambda_n$)

$$\lambda_n = \left[\lambda_0^{b^n} \right], \quad r_n = \lambda_n^{-\beta}, \quad \mu_{n+1} = (\lambda_n \lambda_{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \alpha = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\beta}{2b} - \epsilon_0 > \frac{1}{2}.$$

Mismatch error $r_n \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_{n+1}} \log \lambda_n \ll r_{n+1} \iff \lambda_n^{(b-1)(\beta-1)} \log \lambda_n \ll 1.$

Transport error $\lambda_n^{1-\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{r_n}{\lambda_{n+1}}} \ll r_{n+1} \iff \lambda_n^{1-\alpha-\frac{1}{2}\beta-\frac{1}{2}b+b\beta} \ll 1.$

Dissipation error $\lambda_{n+1}^{\gamma-1} \sqrt{\frac{r_n}{\lambda_{n+1}}} \ll r_{n+1} \iff \lambda_{n+1}^{\gamma-\frac{3}{2}+\beta-\frac{\beta}{2b}} \ll 1.$

C^α -regularity $\lambda_{n+1}^\alpha \sqrt{\frac{r_n}{\lambda_{n+1}}} \ll 1 \iff \lambda_{n+1}^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\beta}{2b}} \ll 1.$

Now one can take $\alpha = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\beta}{2b}$ to do a limiting computation. From the transport error we obtain (the limiting condition)

$$1 - \alpha - \frac{1}{2}\beta - \frac{1}{2}b + b\beta = \frac{1-b}{2b}(b - \beta(2b+1)) \Rightarrow \beta < \frac{1}{3}.$$

From the dissipation error we obtain $\frac{\beta}{2} < \frac{3}{2} - \gamma$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

X. Cheng was supported by the International Doctoral Fellowship (IDF) from the University of British Columbia, Canada. H. Kwon was partially supported by NSERC grant 261356-13 (Canada) and the NSF grant No.DMS-1638352. D. Li was supported in part by Hong Kong RGC grant GRF 16307317 and 16309518.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Buckmaster, S. Shkoller, V. Vicol. Nonuniqueness of weak solutions to the SQG equation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 72(9):1809-1874, 2019.
- [2] C. De Lellis, L. Székelyhidi Jr. The h -principle and the equations of fluid dynamics. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 49(3):347-375, 2012.
- [3] C. De Lellis, L. Székelyhidi Jr. Dissipative continuous Euler flows. *Invent. math.* 193(2):377-407, 2013.
- [4] C. De Lellis, L. Székelyhidi Jr. On turbulence and geometry: from Nash to Onsager. *Notices AMS*, 66(5):677-685, 2019
- [5] A. Córdoba, D. Córdoba and F. Gancedo. Uniqueness for SQG patch solutions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B*, 5, 1-31, 2018.
- [6] P. Isett, A. Ma. A direct approach to nonuniqueness and failure of compactness for the SQG equation. *arXiv:2007.03078*.
- [7] P. Isett, and V. Vicol. Hölder Continuous Solutions of Active Scalar Equations. *Ann. PDE.*, 1(1):1-77, 2015.
- [8] F. Marchand. Existence and regularity of weak solutions to the quasi-geostrophic equations in the spaces L^p or $\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 277(1):4567, 2008.
- [9] R. Shvydkoy. Convex integration for a class of active scalar equations. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 24(4):1159-1174, 2011
- [10] S.G. Resnick. Dynamical problems in non-linear advective partial differential equations. PhD thesis, U of Chicago, 1995.

XINYU CHENG, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, XYCHENG@MATH.UBC.CA

HYUNJU KWON, INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, HKWON@MATH.IAS.EDU

DONG LI, HONG KONG UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, MADLI@UST.HK

⁶Here t belongs to an arbitrary compact interval.