

Projections from surfaces of revolution in the Euclidean plane

C. Charitos and P. Dospra

July 21, 2020

Abstract

For a specific class of surfaces of revolution S , the existence of a smooth map Φ from a neighbourhood U of S to the Euclidean plane E^2 preserving distances infinitesimally along the meridians and the parallels of S and sending the meridional arcs of $U \cap S$ to straight lines of E^2 , is proven.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification 53A05, 34A05

1 Introduction

In [4] (see [5] for the translation of [4] in English) Euler proved that there does not exist a perfect map from the sphere S^2 or from a part of S^2 to the Euclidean plane E^2 . Recall that a smooth map f from S^2 (or from a part of S^2) to E^2 is called *perfect* if for each $p \in S^2$ there is a neighborhood $U(p)$ of p in S^2 such that the restriction of f on $U(p)$ preserves distances infinitesimally along the meridians and the parallels of S^2 and f preserves also angles between meridians and parallels [1]. In modern geometric language a perfect map is a local isometry from S^2 to E^2 and thus Euler's theorem results as a corollary of the Gauss Egregium Theorem which was proven many years later. However, Euler's method of proof is very fruitful and can be applied in similar problems, see for instance Proposition 5 in [1]. Very briefly, Euler's basic idea for the non-existence of a perfect map from S^2 to E^2 , is to translate geometrical conditions to a system of differential equations and prove that this system does not have a solution. Using Euler's method, the non-existence of a smooth map from a neighbourhood U of S^2 to E^2 which preserves distances infinitesimally along the meridians and the parallels of S^2 and which sends the meridional arcs of $U \cap S^2$ to straight lines of E^2 , can also be proven [1].

The origin of all these problems lies in the ancient problem of cartography, that is, the problem of constructing geographical maps from S^2 (or from a subset of S^2) to E^2 which satisfy certain specific requirements. This problem can also be considered as part of a more general subject which explores the existence of coordinate transformations that preserves some geometrical properties from one coordinate system to another. Several prominent mathematicians have been studying this problem from antiquity to our days and in the course of this study, S^2 was replaced gradually by surfaces of revolution or by surfaces in E^3 generally, see [6] for an excellent historical recursion on this subject.

The goal of this work is to determine the class of surfaces of revolution S for which there exists a smooth map Φ from an open neighbourhood U of S

to E^2 preserving distances infinitesimally along the meridians and the parallels of S and sending meridional arcs of $U \cap S$ to straight lines of E^2 . Furthermore the map Φ is computed explicitly. For the computation of Φ we follow Euler's ideas, that is, we convert geometrical conditions to differential equations whose solutions allow us to find Φ .

As a corollary of the above result we deduce that if p is a point of S and if the Gaussian curvature at p is positive, then a map Φ as above does not exist in a neighbourhood U of p . We also deduce that if S_0 is an abstract surface of constant negative curvature, such maps Φ do not exist from an open subset U of S_0 to E^2 .

2 Statement of Results

Let S be a surface of revolution in E^3 . In the following we assume that all maps are of class C^s , $s \geq 2$. We consider a parametrization of S given by

$$r(t, u) = (f(u) \cos t, f(u) \sin t, g(u)), \quad (r)$$

where $f(u) > 0$, $a < u < b$, $t \in [0, 2\pi]$. In what follows we will always assume that the curve $\gamma(u) = (f(u), g(u))$ is parametrized by arc-length i.e. $(f')^2(u) + (g')^2(u) = 1$. Therefore the Riemannian metric on S takes the form

$$ds^2 = du^2 + f^2(u)dt^2.$$

For t fixed, the u -curves $r(t, \cdot)$ are called *meridians* of S and are geodesics for the metric ds , while for u fixed, the t -curves $r(\cdot, u)$ are called *parallels* and are not geodesics in general.

At each point $p \in S$ we may assign a unique pair of coordinates $(t, u) \in (a, b) \times [0, 2\pi]$ since $p = r(t, u)$. Thus, p will be identified with this pair (t, u) .

Theorem 1 *Assume that $f'(u) \neq 0$ and $f''(u) \neq 0$ for each $u \in (a, b)$. Let U be an open connected subset of S . Then, there exists a map $\Phi : U \rightarrow E^2$ having the properties*

(C1) : Φ sends the meridional arcs of $S \cap U$ to straight lines of E^2 ;

(C2) : Φ preserves distances infinitesimally along the meridians and the parallels of S ;

if and only if $f^2 = cu^2 + du + k$, where c, d, k are constants with $k > 0$ and $c > 0$. Furthermore, assuming that $\Phi(t, u) = (x(t, u), y(t, u))$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} x(t, u) &= u \cos(b(t)) + \int \sqrt{k} \cos(\theta_0 - b(t)) dt, \\ y(t, u) &= -u \sin(b(t)) + \int \sqrt{k} \sin(\theta_0 - b(t)) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$b(t) = -\sqrt{ct} + c_0.$$

In Figure 1, a surface of revolution S is drawn which satisfies all hypothesis of Theorem 1. In this example, taking $f^2 = u^2 + 1$ it results that $g(u) = \ln(\sqrt{u^2 + 1} + u)$, $u > 0$. The picture confirms that the Gaussian curvature of each point of S is negative.




Figure 1: Surface with $f^2 = u^2 + 1$

Corollary 1 (1) If $p \in S$ and the Gaussian curvature at p is positive then for each neighborhood U of p in S there does not exist a map $\Phi : U \rightarrow E^2$ satisfying the conditions (C1) and (C2).

(2) If S_0 is a Riemannian surface of constant negative curvature then for each open neighbourhood $U \subset S_0$ there does not exist a map $\Phi : U \rightarrow E^2$ satisfying the conditions (C1) and (C2).

Condition (C1) is a natural requirement since meridians are geodesics of S and thus it is required to be sent to geodesics of E^2 via Φ .

Condition (C2) appears in Euler's writings and means that the elementary length between two points p, q on a meridian (resp. two points p, r on a parallel) of S is equal to the elementary length of points $P = \Phi(p), Q = \Phi(q)$ (resp. of points $P, R = \Phi(r)$). In other words, the 'elements' pq and pr are equal to the 'elements' PQ and PR respectively (following the terminology of [4]). In order to express (C2) rigorously, let $p = (t, u), q = (t, u + du), r = (t + dt, u), P = \Phi(t, u), Q = \Phi(t, u + du), R = \Phi(t + dt, u)$. If we denote by $|p_1 - p_2|$ the distance between the points $p_1, p_2 \in S$ and by $\|P_1 - P_2\|$ the Euclidean distance between the points $P_1, P_2 \in E^2$, then condition (C2) means that:

$$\lim_{du \rightarrow 0} \frac{|q - p|}{|du|} = \lim_{du \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|P - Q\|}{|du|} \quad (\text{i})$$

$$\lim_{dt \rightarrow 0} \frac{|r - p|}{|du|} = \lim_{dt \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|R - Q\|}{|du|}. \quad (\text{ii})$$

Using the coordinate functions $x(t, u)$ and $y(t, u)$ the equalities (i) and (ii) take respectively the following form:

$$\sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial u}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial u}\right)^2} = 1, \quad (\text{iii})$$

$$\sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial t}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial t}\right)^2} = f(u). \quad (\text{iv})$$

Indeed, relations (iii) and (iv) correspond to the relations (I) and (II) of ([5], p. 5). In [1], these relations are reproved using a modern mathematical language and they are labelled as relations (6) and (7) respectively. In the present work, relations (iii) and (iv) are obtained by replacing $\cos u$ in the parametrization $(\cos u \cos t, \cos u \sin t, \sin u)$ of S^2 by the function $f(u)$ in the parametrization $(f(u) \cos t, f(u) \sin t, g(u))$ of S and then repeating the same steps. As a result, using Euler's method, condition (C2) is translated in a system of differential equations consisting of the relations (iii) and (iv).

Combining (C1) and (C2) we deduce that Φ restricted to a meridian of S is an isometry onto its image.

Remark 2 If $f'(u) = 0$ for each $u \in (a, b)$, then, the curve $\gamma(u) = (f(u), g(u))$ is a straight line in the (x, z) -plane and so, the surface S obtained by revolving γ about the z -axis is Euclidean i.e. locally isometric to the Euclidean plane E^2 . Furthermore, if $f''(u) = 0$ for each u , we deduce that f' and g' are constant functions since by assumption the curve $\gamma(u) = (f(u), g(u))$ is parametrized by arc-length. Therefore $\gamma(u)$ is a line segment and thus S is a locally isometric to E^2 .

3 Auxiliary Lemmas

In this section we give some results that we will use for the proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 1 *Assume that $f'(u) \neq 0$ and $f''(u) \neq 0$, for each $u \in (a, b)$. Let U be an open connected subset of S and a map $\Phi : U \rightarrow E^2$ having the properties (C₁) and (C₂). Then, there are variables ϕ and ω which are functions of t, u satisfying*

$$\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial y}{\partial u} \right) = (\cos \phi, \sin \phi), \quad \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} \right) = (f(u) \cos \omega, f(u) \sin \omega)$$

and

$$f''(u) = \left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial u}(t, u) \right)^2 f(u).$$

Proof. Proceeding as in the proof of Proposition 5 of [1] we have that there are variables ϕ and ω which are functions of t, u such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial y}{\partial u} \right) &= (\cos \phi, \sin \phi), \\ \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} \right) &= (f(u) \cos \omega, f(u) \sin \omega). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u \partial t} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial u},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\sin \phi \cdot \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} &= f' \cdot \cos \omega - f \cdot \sin \omega \cdot \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial u}, \\ \cos \phi \cdot \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} &= f' \cdot \sin \omega + f \cdot \cos \omega \cdot \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial u}. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the first of the above equality by $\cos \omega$, the second by $\sin \omega$ and adding, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} (-\sin \phi \cdot \cos \omega + \cos \phi \cdot \sin \omega) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = \\ f' \cdot \cos^2 \omega - f \cdot \sin \omega \cdot \cos \omega \cdot \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial u} + f' \cdot \sin^2 \omega + f \cdot \cos \omega \cdot \sin \omega \cdot \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial u} \end{aligned}$$

if and only if

$$\sin(\phi - \omega) \cdot \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = f'.$$

Similarly, multiplying the first equality by $\cos \phi$, the second by $\sin \phi$ and adding, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sin u \cdot \cos \omega \cdot \cos \phi - \\ \cos u \cdot \sin \omega \cdot \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial u} \cdot \cos \phi - \sin u \cdot \sin \omega \cdot \sin \phi + \cos u \cdot \cos \omega \cdot \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial u} \cdot \sin \phi = 0 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$f \cdot \sin(\phi - \omega) \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial u} = -f' \cdot \cos(\phi - \omega).$$

On the other hand, the condition (C1) implies that the meridians are mapped to straight lines, and so, we have

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial u} = 0.$$

Thus, differentiating

$$\sin(\phi - \omega) \cdot \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = f'$$

with respect to u and using the previous equality, we obtain

$$-\cos(\phi - \omega) \cdot \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial u} \cdot \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = f''.$$

Multiplying

$$\sin(\phi - \omega) \cdot \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = f'$$

by

$$\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial u} \cdot \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}$$

we have

$$f \cdot \sin(\phi - \omega) \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial u} \right)^2 \cdot \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = -f' \cdot \cos(\phi - \omega) \cdot \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial u} \cdot \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}.$$

Hence, combining the above equalities, we deduce

$$f \cdot f' \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial u} \right)^2 = f' \cdot f''$$

which implies that

$$f'(u)(f''(u) - \left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial u} \right)^2 f(u)) = 0.$$

Since we have $f'(u) \neq 0$, we obtain the result. ■

Lemma 2 Assume that $f'(u) \neq 0$ and $f''(u) \neq 0$, for each $u \in (a, b)$. Assume that

$$2f'a' + fa'' = 0.$$

Then, we have $f^2 = cu^2 + du + k$ and

$$a = a(u) = \arctan\left(\frac{2c}{\sqrt{-\Delta}}\left(u + \frac{d}{2c}\right)\right),$$

where $\Delta = d^2 - 4ck$.

Proof. Putting $y = a'$, we have the differential equation

$$y' + \frac{2f'}{f}y = 0.$$

Its solution is

$$y = a' = Ce^{-2\int \frac{f'}{f} du}.$$

It follows that

$$(a')^2 = C^2 e^{-4\int \frac{f'}{f} du},$$

whence

$$\frac{f''}{f} = C^2 e^{-4\int \frac{f'}{f} du},$$

and so, we obtain

$$\ln f'' - \ln f = K - 4 \int \frac{f'}{f} du.$$

Differentiating the above equality, we get

$$\frac{f^{(3)}}{f''} - \frac{f'}{f} = -4\frac{f'}{f},$$

and therefore we deduce

$$f^{(3)}f + 3f''f' = 0.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(ff')'' = ((f f')')' = (f'f' + ff'')' = 2f''f + f''f + ff^{(3)} = f^{(3)}f + 3f''f',$$

whence we get

$$(ff')'' = 0.$$

It follows that $(ff')' = c$, whence we have $ff' = c_1u + d_1$, and so, we get $(f^2)' = cu + d$. Thus, we obtain

$$f^2 = cu^2 + du + k.$$

Taking the first and the second derivative, we have

$$f' = \frac{1}{2} \frac{2cu + d}{\sqrt{cu^2 + du + k}} \quad \text{and} \quad f'' = \frac{4ck - d^2}{4(cu^2 + du + k)^{3/2}}.$$

Thus

$$\frac{f''}{f} = \frac{4ck - d^2}{4(cu^2 + du + k)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{f'}{f} = \frac{2cu + d}{2(cu^2 + du + k)}.$$

Since

$$\frac{f''}{f} = (a')^2 = C^2 e^{-4 \int \frac{f'}{f} du},$$

we have

$$\frac{f''}{f} = \frac{C^2}{f^4}.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\frac{4ck - d^2}{4(cu^2 + du + k)^2} = \frac{C^2}{(cu^2 + du + k)^2},$$

and therefore

$$C^2 = \frac{4ck - d^2}{4}.$$

Let $\Delta = d^2 - 4ck$ be the discriminant of $cu^2 + du + k$. Thus, we get

$$C = \frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}}{2}.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$a' = C e^{-2 \int \frac{f'}{f} du} = \frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}/2}{f^2} = \frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}/2}{cu^2 + du + k}$$

and thus

$$a = \int a' du = \int \frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}/2}{cu^2 + du + k} du = \int \frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}/2}{c(u + \frac{d}{2c})^2 + (\frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}}{2c})^2} du.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$a(u) = \arctan \left(\frac{2c}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} \left(u + \frac{d}{2c} \right) \right).$$

■

4 Proof of Theorem 1

Suppose that there exists a map $\Phi : U \rightarrow E^2$ having the properties (C1) and (C2). By Lemma 1, there are variables ϕ and ω which are functions of t, u satisfying

$$\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial y}{\partial u} \right) = (\cos \phi, \sin \phi), \quad (1)$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} \right) = (f(u) \cos \omega, f(u) \sin \omega). \quad (2)$$

and

$$f''(u) = \left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial u}(t, u) \right)^2 f(u). \quad (3)$$

By (C1), the meridians are mapped to straight lines, and so, we have

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial u} = 0.$$

Thus (1) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial y}{\partial u} \right) &= \\ \left(\frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial u^2}, \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial u^2} \right) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial u} (\cos \phi, \sin \phi) = \left(-\sin \phi \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial u}, \cos \phi \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial u} \right) = (0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

It follows

$$x(t, u) = ug_1(t) + g_2(t), \quad y(t, u) = uh_1(t) + h_2(t). \quad (4)$$

Therefore, the function $(\partial\omega/\partial u)$ is a function depending only on the variable u , and hence there exist functions $a(u)$ and $b(t)$ such that

$$\omega(t, u) = a(u) + b(t). \quad (5)$$

Combining (2) and (5), we deduce

$$\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} \right) = (f \cos(a(u) + b(t)), f \sin(a(u) + b(t))), \quad (6)$$

and using that

$$\frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial u \partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial t \partial u},$$

(4) and (6) implies that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u} f \cos(a(u) + b(t)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_1(t).$$

Therefore, for each t and u , we deduce

$$f'(u) \cos((a(u) + b(t)) - f(u) \sin(a(u) + b(t))a'(u) = g_1'(t). \quad (7)$$

Similarly, from

$$\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial u \partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial t \partial u}$$

we get

$$f'(u) \sin((a(u) + b(t)) + f(u) \cos(a(u) + b(t))a'(u) = h_1'(t), \quad (8)$$

for each t and u .

By taking the derivative of (7) with respect to u we have

$$f'' \cos \omega - 2f'a' \sin \omega - f(a')^2 \cos \omega - fa'' \sin \omega = 0$$

and so, we get

$$\sin \omega (2f'a' + fa'') - (f'' - f(a')^2) \cos \omega = 0$$

Assuming that $\sin \omega \neq 0$, we obtain

$$2f'a' + fa'' = 0. \quad (9)$$

If $\sin \omega = 0$, then $\cos \omega \neq 0$. Thus, by taking the derivative of (8) we can derive the same differential equation (9), restricting if necessary the domain where the functions f and a are defined. Lemma 2 implies that

$$f^2 = cu^2 + du + k$$

and

$$a = a(u) = \arctan \left(\frac{2c}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} \left(u + \frac{d}{2c} \right) \right), \quad (10)$$

where $\Delta = d^2 - 4ck$.

Using (9) and (10) we get

$$\frac{f'}{f} = -\frac{a''}{2a'} = a' \tan a,$$

which is equivalent to

$$f' \cos a - fa' \sin a = 0. \quad (11)$$

If $f \cos a = 0$, then the above equality implies that $fa' \sin a = 0$. Since $f(u)a'(u) \neq 0$, for every u , we have $\sin a = 0$ which is a contradiction. Thus, dividing the above equality by $f \cos a$, we obtain $\frac{f'}{f} \tan a + a' = 0$. Substituting f'/f by $a' \tan a$ we deduce $a'(\tan a)^2 + a' = 0$, whence $(\tan a)^2 = -1$ which is a contradiction. Hence we have

$$f' \sin a + fa' \cos a \neq 0.$$

On the other hand, by taking the derivative of $f' \sin a + fa' \cos a$, we have

$$(f' \sin a + fa' \cos a)' = f'' \sin a + f'a' \cos a + f'a' \cos a + fa'' \cos a - f(a')^2 \sin a.$$

In order to prove that this expression is zero, it suffices to show that

$$\frac{f''}{f} \tan a + 2\frac{f'}{f}a' + a'' - (a')^2 \tan a = 0$$

and one can verify, by a simple replacement, that this relation holds. Furthermore, we have

$$a'(0) = \frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}}{2k}, \quad f'(0) = \frac{d}{2\sqrt{k}}, \quad f(0) = \sqrt{k}, \quad \tan a(0) = \frac{d}{\sqrt{-\Delta}}.$$

Then, we obtain

$$f' \sin a + fa' \cos a = \sqrt{c}. \quad (12)$$

By expanding relation (7), we obtain

$$f'(\cos a(u) \cos b(t) - \sin a(u) \sin b(t)) - fa'(\sin a(u) \cos b(t) + \sin b(t) \cos a(u)) = g_1'(t),$$

and from (11), (12) the relation $g_1'(t) = \sqrt{c} \sin b(t)$ follows.

Similarly, from (9) we have:

$$f'(\sin a \cos b + \sin b \cos a) + fa'(\cos a \cos b - \sin a \sin b) = h_1'(t).$$

whence

$$\cos b(f' \sin a + fa' \cos a) + \sin b(f' \cos a - fa' \sin a) = h_1'(t)$$

and so, we obtain $h_1'(t) = \sqrt{c} \cos b(t)$. Therefore, we get

$$g_1'(t) = \sqrt{c} \sin b(t) \quad \text{and} \quad h_1'(t) = \sqrt{c} \cos b(t).$$

We will proceed now with the computation of the projection Φ . By hypothesis, we have, that $(\partial\phi/\partial u) = 0$. Hence ϕ is a function only of t . From (1), (2) and (4) we have that

$$\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial y}{\partial u}\right) = (\cos \phi(t), \sin \phi(t)) = (g_1, h_1) \quad (13)$$

and

$$\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}\right) = (f(u) \cos(a(u) + b(t)), f(u) \sin(a(u) + b(t))) = (ug'_1 + g'_2, uh'_1 + h'_2). \quad (14)$$

Consequently, (13) and (14) we get respectively that

$$(g_1)^2 + (h_1)^2 = 1$$

and

$$u^2((g'_1)^2 + (h'_1)^2) + 2u(g'_1g'_2 + h'_1h'_2) + (g'_2)^2 + (h'_2)^2 = cu^2 + du + k.$$

Therefore, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (g'_2)^2 + (h'_2)^2 &= k \\ 2(g'_1g'_2 + h'_1h'_2) &= d. \end{aligned}$$

From the first of the previous relations we deduce that there exists a function $r(t)$ such that

$$(g'_2, h'_2) = (\sqrt{k} \cos r(t), \sqrt{k} \sin r(t)) \quad (15)$$

while from the second, in combination with (13) and (12), we deduce that $2\sqrt{ck} \sin(b+r) = d$ and thus

$$\sin(b+r) = \frac{d}{2\sqrt{ck}}.$$

Therefore, there exists real number θ_0 such that

$$r(t) = \theta_0 - b(t).$$

Furthermore, from (13) we have that

$$(g'_1, h'_1) = (-\phi' \sin \phi, \phi' \cos \phi) = (\sqrt{c} \sin b, \sqrt{c} \cos b),$$

and so, we have the following two cases:

a) $\phi' = \sqrt{c}$ and $\phi(t) = -b(t)$. Thus, we have

$$b'(t) = -\phi' = -\sqrt{c},$$

whence

$$b(t) = -\sqrt{c}t + c_0.$$

Then, we get

$$(g_1, h_1) = (\cos(-b(t)), \sin(-b(t))) = (\cos b(t), -\sin b(t)). \quad (16)$$

Thus, combining (4), (15) and (16) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}x(t, u) &= u \cos(b(t)) + \int \sqrt{k} \cos(\theta_0 - b(t)) dt \\y(t, u) &= -u \sin(b(t)) + \int \sqrt{k} \sin(\theta_0 - b(t)) dt.\end{aligned}$$

b) $\phi' = -\sqrt{c}$ and $\phi(t) = \pi - b(t)$. Proceeding as above, we deduce the result.

Furthermore, substituting $b(t)$ in the integrals above we may calculate them and thus we may find explicit formulas for the map Φ .

Conversely, by substituting the above expressions of $x(t, u)$ and $y(t, u)$ into (iii) and (iv), and supposing that $f^2 = cu^2 + du + k$, we see that condition (C1) is easily verified. Also, condition (C2) is satisfied, since $\frac{\partial x}{\partial u} = \cos \phi$ implies that

$$\phi = \arccos\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial u}\right)$$

and so, by taking the derivative with respect to u , we obtain that $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial u} = 0$. Hence, Theorem 1 is proven.

5 Proof of Corollary 1

(1) The Gaussian curvature of each point of S is given by the formula

$$K = -\frac{f''}{f}$$

(see Formula (9), p. 162, in the Example 4 of [2]). On the other hand, in the proof of Lemma 2 we have shown that $f''/f > 0$. Therefore, $K < 0$ at every point of S and thus statement (1) is proven.

(2) The surfaces of revolution of constant negative curvature are well known. A description of them can be found for example in ([3], Theorem 15.22, p. 477). Obviously these surfaces of revolution R does not have the form of the surface S given in Theorem 1. Therefore, for any point $p \in R$ and for any neighborhood $U \subset R$ of p there does not exist a map $\Phi : U \rightarrow E^2$ satisfying the conditions (C1) and (C2). On the other hand, if S_0 is a Riemannian surface of constant negative curvature $k < 0$, it is well known that S_0 is locally isometric to surface of revolution R of constant curvature k . Therefore our statement follows.

References

- [1] C. Charitos, I. Papadoperakis, *On the existence of a perfect map from the 2-sphere to the Euclidean plane*, Eighteen Essays in Non-Euclidean Geometry, IRMA Lectures in Mathematics and Theoretical Physics 29, Eds V. Alberge, A. Papadopoulos, EMS 2019.
- [2] M. P. do Carmo, *Differential Geometry of Curves and Surfaces*, Prentice-Hall, 1976.
- [3] A. Gray, *Modern Differential Geometry of Curves and Surfaces*, Chapman and Hall/RCR, Third Edition, 2006.

- [4] Leonhard Euler, *De repraesentatione superficiei sphaericae super plano*, Acta Academiae Scientiarum Imperialis Petropolitinae 1777, 1778, pp. 107-132 Opera Omnia: Series 1, Volume 28, pp. 248-275.
- [5] Leonard Euler (translation by G. Heine), *On the mapping of Spherical Surfaces onto the Plane*, <http://eulerarchive.maa.org/docs/translations/E490en.pdf>
- [6] A. Papadopoulos, *Quasiconformal mappings, from Ptolemy's geography to the work of Teichmüller*, in Uniformization, Riemann–Hilbert correspondence, Calabi–Yau manifolds, and Picard–Fuchs equations (L. Ji and S.-T. Yau, eds.), Advanced Lectures in Mathematics 42, Higher Education Press Beijing, and International Press, Boston, 2018, 237–315.

Charalampos Charitos
Department of Natural Resources Management & Agricultural Engineering
Agricultural University Athens
Iera Odos 55, 11855 Athens, Greece
email: bakis@aua.gr

Petroula Dospra
Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering
University of Western Macedonia
50100 Kozani, Greece
email: petroula.dospra@gmail.com