

## ON GRAUERT'S EXAMPLES OF COMPLETE KÄHLER METRICS

SAHIL GEHLAWAT AND KAUSHAL VERMA

ABSTRACT. Grauert showed that the existence of a complete Kähler metric does not characterize domains of holomorphy by constructing such metrics on the complements of complex analytic sets in a domain of holomorphy. In this note, we study the holomorphic sectional curvatures of such metrics in two prototype cases namely,  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $n \geq 2$  and  $\mathbb{B}^N \setminus A$ ,  $N \geq 2$  and  $A \subset \mathbb{B}^N$  is a hyperplane of codimension at least two. This is done by computing the Gaussian curvature of its restriction to the leaves of a suitable holomorphic foliation of these two examples. We also examine this metric on the punctured plane  $\mathbb{C}^*$  and show that it behaves very differently in this case.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In addition to showing that every domain of holomorphy admits a real analytic, complete Kähler metric, Grauert [8] showed that the converse is false in general by constructing such metrics on the complement of a closed complex analytic set in a given domain of holomorphy. This was done by first explicitly producing such a metric on  $(\mathbb{C}^n)^* = \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $n \geq 1$  and then pulling it back to a domain of the form  $D \setminus A$  (where  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  is a domain of holomorphy and  $A \subset D$  is a complex analytic set) by means of the defining equations for  $A$ . The possible degeneracies that may occur in pulling it back are taken care of by adding a suitable metric to it.

The primary purpose of this note is to study the holomorphic sectional curvature of this metric on  $(\mathbb{C}^n)^*$  where  $n \geq 2$ . In what follows,  $n \geq 2$  unless stated otherwise. Furthermore, as an example, we apply Grauert's construction to the pair  $D = \mathbb{B}^N$ , the unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}^N$ ,  $N \geq 2$  and  $A = \{z_1 = z_2 = \dots = z_n = 0\}$ ,  $2 \leq n \leq N$ , to get such a metric on  $\mathbb{B}^N \setminus A$ , and also obtain information about its holomorphic sectional curvature.

To recall the construction of this metric on  $(\mathbb{C}^n)^*$  (in addition to [8], see [9] for instance), note that the real analytic function  $u : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $u(t) = (t-1)/(t \log t)$  is positive on  $(0, \infty)$  and satisfies

$$\int_0^1 tu^2(t) dt < \infty \text{ and } \int_0^1 u(t) dt = \infty.$$

as a direct calculation shows. For  $t \in (0, \infty)$ , set  $v(t) = \int_0^t \tau u^2(\tau) d\tau$  and  $U(t) = \int_0^t v(\tau)/\tau d\tau$  so that  $U'(t) = v(t)/t$ . Finally, define  $H(z) = U(|z|^2)$  and let  $g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \partial^2 H / \partial z_\alpha \partial \bar{z}_\beta$  for  $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n$ . A computation shows that

$$g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(z) = U''(|z|^2) \bar{z}_\alpha z_\beta + U'(|z|^2) \delta_{\alpha\beta}$$

and hence for a tangent vector  $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$  based at  $z \in (\mathbb{C}^n)^*$ ,

$$\sum_{\alpha, \beta} g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(z) X_\alpha \bar{X}_\beta = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} (U''(|z|^2) \bar{z}_\alpha z_\beta + U'(|z|^2) \delta_{\alpha\beta}) X_\alpha \bar{X}_\beta.$$

The right side simplifies as

$$\left( u^2(|z|^2) - \frac{v(|z|^2)}{|z|^4} \right) |\langle X, z \rangle|^2 + \frac{v(|z|^2)}{|z|^2} |X|^2 = u^2(|z|^2) |\langle X, z \rangle|^2 + \frac{v(|z|^2)}{|z|^2} \left( |X|^2 - \frac{|\langle X, z \rangle|^2}{|z|^2} \right)$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 32Q05, 32Q10 ; Secondary : 32Q02.

*Key words and phrases*. Holomorphic sectional curvature, complete Kähler metric.

where  $\langle X, z \rangle = X_1 \bar{z}_1 + X_2 \bar{z}_2 + \dots + X_n \bar{z}_n$  is the standard Hermitian inner product on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality then shows that the prescription

$$\tilde{g}(z, X) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(z) X_\alpha \bar{X}_\beta \geq 0$$

and hence defines a real analytic Kähler pseudometric, with global potential  $H$ , on  $(\mathbb{C}^n)^*$ . By replacing  $g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(z)$  with  $g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(z) + \delta_{\alpha\beta}$  amounts to working with  $g(z, X) = \tilde{g}(z, X) + |X|^2$  which is clearly positive and hence produces the desired metric. We will refer to  $g$  as the Grauert metric on  $(\mathbb{C}^n)^*$  and record the expression

$$g(z, X) = \left(1 + \frac{v(|z|^2)}{|z|^2}\right) |X|^2 + \left(u^2(|z|^2) - \frac{v(|z|^2)}{|z|^4}\right) |\langle X, z \rangle|^2$$

for future use. Note that  $g(z, X)$  is rotation invariant in the sense that  $g(z, X) = g(\mathbb{U}z, \mathbb{U}X)$  for every unitary rotation  $\mathbb{U}$ .

By [17], recall that the holomorphic sectional curvature of  $g$  at  $z \in (\mathbb{C}^n)^*$  along a tangent direction  $X$  can be recovered as the supremum of the Gaussian curvatures of all possible nonsingular holomorphic disks through  $z$  which are tangent to  $X$ . In other words, let  $\phi$  be a holomorphic map from a neighbourhood of the origin in  $\mathbb{C}$  into  $(\mathbb{C}^n)^*$  with  $\phi(0) = z$  and  $d\phi(0) = X$ . Let  $K_{\phi^*g}(0)$  be the Gaussian curvature of the pull back metric  $\phi^*g$  which is well defined near the origin. Then,  $K_g(z, X)$ , the holomorphic sectional curvature of  $g$  at  $z$  along  $X$  satisfies

$$K_g(z, X) = \sup K_{\phi^*g}(0)$$

where the supremum is taken over all such  $\phi$ . Let  $K_g^\pm(z)$  be the supremum and infimum respectively of  $K_g(z, X)$  over all tangent vectors  $X$  at  $z$ .

Let  $\phi$  be such an embedding from a neighbourhood of the origin into  $(\mathbb{C}^n)^*$  with  $\phi(0) = z$  and  $d\phi(0) = X$ . For a given unitary rotation  $\mathbb{U}$ , the map  $\mathbb{U} \circ \phi$  defines an embedding at  $\mathbb{U} \circ \phi(0) = \mathbb{U}z$  with  $d(\mathbb{U} \circ \phi)(0) = \mathbb{U}X$ . Note that

$$(\mathbb{U} \circ \phi)^*g = (\phi^* \circ \mathbb{U}^*)g = \phi^*g$$

since  $g$  is rotation invariant. Therefore,

$$K_{\phi^*g}(0) = K_{(\mathbb{U} \circ \phi)^*g}(0)$$

which shows that  $K_g(z, X) = K_g(\mathbb{U}z, \mathbb{U}X)$  and hence  $K_g^\pm(z) = K_g^\pm(\mathbb{U}z)$ . Since this holds for every  $\mathbb{U}$ ,  $K_g^\pm$  are constant on spheres centered at the origin.

As  $(\mathbb{C}^n)^*$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , is not a domain of holomorphy, Shiffman's theorem [14] implies that  $K_g^+$  cannot be non-positive everywhere. Thus, there must be points arbitrarily close to the origin and holomorphic tangent vectors  $X$  based at them along which  $K_g(X) > 0$ . This was strengthened by Balas [1] who showed that *every* rotation invariant, complete Kähler metric on  $(\mathbb{C}^n)^*$  admits holomorphic tangent vectors, based at points arbitrarily close to the origin, along which it is positively curved. This was done by considering tangent vectors that are orthogonal to the radial direction. However, it turns out that much more can be said for the Grauert metric  $g$  by computing the curvature of its restriction to the leaves of the holomorphic vector field

$$(1.1) \quad X = X(z) = z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + z_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} + \dots + z_{n-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{n-1}} + \alpha z_n \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n}, \quad \alpha < 0$$

which has an isolated singularity at the origin. Let  $\mathcal{F}_X^n$  be the foliation induced by  $X$ . For  $z \in (\mathbb{C}^n)^*$ , let  $\mathcal{L}_z \subset \mathcal{F}_X^n$  be the leaf passing through  $z$ . Let  $\kappa(z)$  be the Gaussian curvature of  $g|_{\mathcal{L}_z}$ , the restriction of  $g$  to  $\mathcal{L}_z$ , at  $z$ . By estimating  $\kappa$  near the origin and noting that  $K_g^+ \geq \kappa$  provides a lower bound for  $K_g^+$  near the origin, and a similar calculation, that is independent of this specific  $X$ , yields information on  $K_g(z, X)$  and hence  $K_g^-$  far away from the origin.

**Theorem 1.1.** *For the Grauert metric  $g$  on  $(\mathbb{C}^n)^*$ , where  $n \geq 2$ ,  $K_g^+(z) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $|z| \rightarrow 0$ . Furthermore, there exists  $R > 0$  such that  $K_g^-(z) < 0$  for all  $|z| \geq R$ .*

As alluded to earlier, we will now consider a specific example of a complete metric that arises by applying Grauert's construction to  $\mathbb{B}^N \setminus A$  where  $A = \{z_1 = z_2 = \dots = z_n = 0\}$ ,  $2 \leq n \leq N$  and  $N \geq 2$ . The Grauert metric  $g$  on  $(\mathbb{C}^n)^*$  can be pulled back via the natural projection  $\pi : \mathbb{B}^N \setminus A \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n)^*$

$$\pi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N) = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$$

to get  $\pi^*g$  which is a Kähler pseudometric on  $\mathbb{B}^N \setminus A$ . By adding to this a complete metric on  $\mathbb{B}^N$  say,  $\tilde{g}$ , gives  $\pi^*g + \tilde{g}$  which is complete on  $\mathbb{B}^N \setminus A$ . Note that the choice of  $\tilde{g}$  is not unique and as a prototype, we will consider the Bergman metric

$$\tilde{g}_B = (N+1) \left( \frac{|\langle z, dz \rangle|^2}{(1-|z|^2)^2} + \frac{|dz|^2}{1-|z|^2} \right)$$

which is a complete Kähler, constant curvature example. Thus,  $g_B = \pi^*g + \tilde{g}_B$  is an example of a complete Kähler metric on  $\mathbb{B}^N \setminus A$  and the corresponding functions  $K_{g_B}^\pm$  are well defined. Note that  $X$ , when viewed as a vector field on  $\mathbb{B}^N \setminus A$ , is singular along  $A$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_X^N$  be the foliation induced by  $X$  on  $\mathbb{B}^N \setminus A$ . Fix  $w = (0, \dots, 0, w_{n+1}, \dots, w_N) \in A$ , let  $r^2 = 1 - |w|^2$  and consider the slice

$$D_w = \{z \in \mathbb{B}^N : z_j = w_j, n+1 \leq j \leq N\}.$$

Note that  $D_w \setminus A$  can be identified with a punctured ball in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  of radius  $r$ . Also, for  $z \in D_w$ , the entire leaf  $\mathcal{L}_z \subset \mathcal{F}_X^N$  passing through  $z$  is contained in  $D_w$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}(z)$  be the Gaussian curvature of  $g_B|_{\mathcal{L}_z}$ , the restriction of  $g_B$  to  $\mathcal{L}_z$ , at  $z$ . Again, as  $K_{g_B}^+(z) \geq \mathcal{K}(z)$ , it suffices to estimate  $\mathcal{K}(z)$  near  $w$  in order to obtain a lower bound for  $K_{g_B}^+(z)$  near  $w$ . In addition,  $\mathcal{K}(z)$  can be estimated directly near the boundary of  $D_w \setminus A$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *For the metric  $g_B$  on  $\mathbb{B}^N \setminus A$ ,  $K_{g_B}^+(z) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $z \rightarrow w$  along  $D_w$ . Furthermore,  $\mathcal{K}(z) \rightarrow -6/(N+1)$  as  $|z| \rightarrow 1$  along  $D_w$ .*

The concluding section contains a discussion of this metric on  $\mathbb{C}^*$ , i.e., when  $n = 1$ , as well as miscellaneous remarks related to the computations that follow. Other aspects of complete metrics on complements of analytic sets can be found in [5], [6] and [12].

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We will begin by computing a general expression for the Gaussian curvature of the leaves of a foliation induced by an arbitrary holomorphic vector field  $X$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with  $\text{sing}(X) = \{0\}$ . For  $z \in (\mathbb{C}^n)^*$ , let  $Z = Z(T)$  be the solution of

$$\dot{Z} = dZ/dT = X(Z(T)), \quad Z(0) = z$$

where  $Z$  is defined in a neighbourhood of the origin in the plane. Since  $\dot{Z} = X(Z)$ , the pull back metric  $Z^*g(T) = h(T)|dT|^2$  where

$$(2.1) \quad h(T) = \left(1 + \frac{v(|Z|^2)}{|Z|^2}\right) |X(Z)|^2 + \left(u^2(|Z|^2) - \frac{v(|Z|^2)}{|Z|^4}\right) |\langle Z, X(Z) \rangle|^2.$$

Its curvature

$$(2.2) \quad \kappa(z) = -2(h(T))^{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log h(T) \Big|_{T=0} = -2(h(0))^{-3} (h(0) \partial \bar{\partial} h(0) - \partial h(0) \bar{\partial} h(0))$$

which will be simplified by computing expressions for  $\partial h, \bar{\partial} h$  and  $\partial \bar{\partial} h$ . For this, abbreviate  $u(|Z|^2), v(|Z|^2)$  by just  $u, v$  and likewise for their derivatives with the understanding that they are functions of  $|Z|^2$ . Also, note that

$$(2.3) \quad \partial |Z|^2 = \langle X(Z), Z \rangle, \quad \bar{\partial} |Z|^2 = \langle Z, X(Z) \rangle, \quad \partial X(Z) = DX(Z)(X(Z)) \text{ and } \bar{\partial} X(Z) = 0.$$

Repeated use of these identities in simplifying the resulting expressions shows that

$$\partial h = f_1(T) + f_2(T) + f_3(T) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\partial} h = \overline{f_1(T)} + \overline{f_2(T)} + \overline{f_3(T)}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(T) &= \left( u^2 - \frac{v}{|Z|^4} \right) (2|X(Z)|^2 \langle X(Z), Z \rangle + \langle DX(Z)(X(Z)), Z \rangle \langle Z, X(Z) \rangle), \\ f_2(T) &= \left( 1 + \frac{v}{|Z|^2} \right) \langle DX(Z)(X(Z)), X(Z) \rangle, \quad \text{and} \\ f_3(T) &= \left( 2uu' - \frac{u^2}{|Z|^2} + \frac{2v}{|Z|^6} \right) \langle X(Z), Z \rangle |\langle X(Z), Z \rangle|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating once again

$$\bar{\partial} f_1(T) = \left( 2uu' - \frac{u^2}{|Z|^2} + \frac{2v}{|Z|^6} \right) A_1 + \left( u^2 - \frac{v}{|Z|^4} \right) (A_2 + 2|X(Z)|^4 + |\langle DX(Z)(X(Z)), Z \rangle|^2)$$

where the  $A_i$ 's are multi-term expressions that can be explicitly written, but their exact form is not important. The relevant point is that  $A_1, A_2$  both contain either  $\langle X(Z), Z \rangle$  or its conjugate as a factor. Similarly,

$$\bar{\partial} f_2(T) = \left( u^2 - \frac{v}{|Z|^4} \right) A_3 + \left( 1 + \frac{v}{|Z|^2} \right) |DX(Z)(X(Z))|^2$$

where  $A_3$  contains  $\langle Z, X(Z) \rangle$  as factor and finally, it can be seen that every term in  $\bar{\partial} f_3(T)$  also contains either  $\langle X(Z), Z \rangle$  or its conjugate as a factor. Thus,  $\bar{\partial} \partial h = \bar{\partial} f_1(T) + \bar{\partial} f_2(T) + \bar{\partial} f_3(T)$  can be expressed as the sum of several terms that can be grouped depending on whether they contain  $\langle X(Z), Z \rangle$  (or its conjugate) or not.

Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\} : \langle z, X(z) \rangle = 0\}$ . This is precisely the set where the vector field  $X$  is orthogonal to the radial direction. Note that  $\mathcal{S}$  may be empty as the example of  $X = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$  shows. But assuming that  $\mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset$ , note that for  $Z(0) = z \in \mathcal{S}$ ,

$$h(0) = \left( 1 + \frac{v}{|z|^2} \right) |X(z)|^2, \quad f_2(0) = \left( 1 + \frac{v}{|z|^2} \right) \langle DX(z)(X(z)), X(z) \rangle$$

and  $f_1(0) = f_3(0) = 0$ . Hence

$$\partial h(0) = \left( 1 + \frac{v}{|z|^2} \right) \langle DX(z)(X(z)), X(z) \rangle = \overline{\bar{\partial} h(0)}$$

Further, on  $\mathcal{S}$ , all the  $A_i$ 's that occur in the expressions for  $\bar{\partial} f_i$  vanish and hence

$$\bar{\partial} f_1(0) = \left( u^2 - \frac{v}{|z|^4} \right) (2|X(z)|^4 + |\langle DX(z)(X(z)), z \rangle|^2), \quad \bar{\partial} f_2(0) = \left( 1 + \frac{v}{|z|^2} \right) |DX(z)(X(z))|^2$$

and  $\bar{\partial} f_3(0) = 0$ , and thus

$$\bar{\partial} \partial h(0) = \left( u^2 - \frac{v}{|z|^4} \right) (2|X(z)|^4 + |\langle DX(z)(X(z)), z \rangle|^2) + \left( 1 + \frac{v}{|z|^2} \right) |DX(z)(X(z))|^2.$$

Putting all this together in (2.2) and writing  $|V \wedge W|^2 = |V|^2|W|^2 - |\langle V, W \rangle|^2$  for vectors  $V, W \in \mathbb{C}^n$  leads to  $\kappa(z) = N(z)/D(z)$  where  $N(z) = -2(A(z) + B(z))$  with

$$(2.4) \quad A(z) = (|z|^4 u^2 - v)(2|X(z)|^6 + |\langle DX(z)(X(z)), z \rangle|^2 |X(z)|^2),$$

$$(2.5) \quad B(z) = (|z|^2 + v)|z|^2 |X(z) \wedge DX(z)(X(z))|^2$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad D(z) = (|z|^2 + v)^2 |X(z)|^6$$

after some simplification.

Note that  $B(z) \geq 0$ . Further, the sign of  $A(z)$ , and hence  $\kappa(z)$ , is determined by looking at  $(|z|^4 u^2 - v)$ . Setting  $t = |z|^2$ , the derivative of the function  $\eta : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\eta(t) = t^2 u^2(t) - v(t)$$

satisfies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \eta'(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (tu^2(t) + 2t^2 u(t)u'(t)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{(t-1)^2 \log t}{t(\log t)^3} \left( 1 + 2 \left( \frac{1}{t-1} - \frac{1}{\log t} \right) \right) \right) = +\infty.$$

Thus,  $\eta(t) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and hence there exists  $R > 0$  such that  $A(z) > 0$ , and consequently  $\kappa(z) < 0$ , for  $z \in \mathcal{S} \cap \{|z|^2 > R^2\}$ .

**2.1. Behaviour near infinity:** Two observations can be made about the calculations so far. One, the critical value of  $R$  beyond which  $\kappa < 0$  does *not* depend on the vector field  $X$  as long as  $z \in \mathcal{S}$  – it only depends on the functions  $u, v$  that define the Grauert metric  $g$ , and second, all these calculations are purely local, i.e., what is needed is a non-singular holomorphic vector field that is defined in some neighbourhood contained in  $(\mathbb{C}^n)^*$ .

Pick a  $z_0$  with  $|z_0| > R$  and let  $V$  be a holomorphic tangent vector at  $z_0$ . By Wu [17], there are local holomorphic coordinates  $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$  defined in a neighbourhood  $\Omega$  of  $z_0 = 0$  in which  $V = \partial/\partial w_n|_0$  and the Gaussian curvature of the restriction of the Grauert metric  $g$  to the holomorphic disc  $\{w_1 = w_2 = \dots = w_{n-1} = 0\}$  equals  $K_g(z_0, V)$ . The assignment  $p \mapsto \partial/\partial w_n|_p$  defines a non-vanishing holomorphic vector field  $X(p)$  in  $\Omega$  and the leaves of the induced foliation are given by  $\{w_1 = c_1, w_2 = c_2, \dots, w_{n-1} = c_{n-1}\}$  for small values of  $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$ . Note that  $X(0) = V$ . Now suppose, in addition, that  $\langle z_0, V \rangle = 0$ . The calculations above show that  $K_g(z_0, V) = \kappa(z_0) < 0$  and hence  $K_g^-(z_0) \leq K_g(z_0, V) < 0$  and by rotation invariance, it follows that  $K_g^-(z) < 0$  for all  $|z| = |z_0|$ .

**2.2. Behaviour near the origin:** To estimate  $K_g^+$  near  $z = 0$ , the vector field  $X$  in (1.1) will be used. Write  $X(z) = (z_1, z_2, \dots, \alpha z_n)$  where  $\alpha < 0$  and compute  $DX(z)(X(z)) = (z_1, z_2, \dots, \alpha^2 z_n)$ . For  $n \geq 3$ ,

$$\mathcal{S} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\} : \langle z, X(z) \rangle = |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 + \dots + |z_{n-1}|^2 + \alpha |z_n|^2 = 0\}$$

is a smooth quadric whose Levi form has exactly one zero eigenvalue and  $(n-2)$  non-zero eigenvalues everywhere. When  $n = 2$ ,

$$\mathcal{S} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\} : \langle z, X(z) \rangle = |z_1|^2 + \alpha |z_2|^2 = 0\}$$

and is smooth Levi flat, but in either case, the origin  $0 \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}$  and  $\mathcal{S} \cup \{0\}$  is a real algebraic hypersurface with an isolated singularity at the origin. On  $\mathcal{S}$ , the various terms in (2.4) - (2.6) simplify as

$$|z|^2 = (1 - \alpha)|z_n|^2, \quad |X(z)|^2 = (\alpha^2 - \alpha)|z_n|^2, \quad |DX(z)(X(z))|^2 = (\alpha^4 - \alpha)|z_n|^2$$

and

$$\langle DX(z)(X(z)), z \rangle = (\alpha^2 - \alpha)|z_n|^2, \quad \langle DX(z)(X(z)), X(z) \rangle = (\alpha^3 - \alpha)|z_n|^2.$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} |z|^2 |X(z) \wedge DX(z)(X(z))|^2 &= (1 - \alpha)|z_n|^2 ((\alpha^2 - \alpha)(\alpha^4 - \alpha)|z_n|^4 - (\alpha^3 - \alpha)^2 |z_n|^4) \\ &= (\alpha^2 - \alpha)^3 |z_n|^6. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $A(z), B(z)$  and  $D(z)$  can be determined and hence

$$(2.7) \quad \kappa(z) = -\frac{2(3|z|^4 u^2(|z|^2) - 2v(|z|^2) + |z|^2)}{(|z|^2 + v(|z|^2))^2}$$

for  $z \in \mathcal{S}$ . The interesting thing here is that this expression is independent of  $\alpha$ . To analyze  $\kappa(z)$ , write  $|z|^2 = t$  and consider  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$f(t) = -\frac{2(3t^2u^2(t) - 2v(t) + t)}{(t + v(t))^2}$$

which is clearly real analytic on  $(0, \infty)$ .

*Claim:*  $f(1) < 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} f(t) = +\infty$ .

Recall that  $u(t) = (t-1)/(t \log t)$  and  $v(t) = \int_0^t \tau u^2(\tau) d\tau$ . To show that  $f(1) < 0$ , it will suffice to prove that  $v(1) < 2$  since  $u(1) = 1$ . Change variables by writing  $\tau = e^{-x}$  to get

$$v(1) = \int_0^1 \frac{(\tau-1)^2}{\tau(\log \tau)^2} d\tau = \int_0^\infty \frac{(1-e^{-x})^2}{x^2} dx = \int_0^1 \frac{(1-e^{-x})^2}{x^2} dx + \int_1^\infty \frac{(1-e^{-x})^2}{x^2} dx$$

and note that  $(1-e^{-x})^2/x^2 \leq 1$  on  $[0, 1)$  and  $(1-e^{-x})^2 < 1$  on  $[1, \infty)$  which respectively imply that the first term is at most 1 and the second is strictly less than 1. Thus,  $v(1) < 2$ .

For the second claim, observe that both  $u(t), tu^2(t) \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $t^2u^2(t), v(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . By substituting for  $u, u', v$  and  $v'$ , and repeatedly using L'Hôpital's rule,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} f(t) = -\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{2(4tu^2(t) + 6t^2u(t)u'(t) + 1)}{2t + 2tv(t)u^2(t) + 2v(t) + 2t^2u^2(t)} = +\infty.$$

Therefore, there exists a  $c \in (0, 1)$  such that  $f(c) = 0$ . By the real analyticity of  $f$  and the fact that  $f(t) \rightarrow +\infty$  near  $t = 0$ , there can be only finitely many such  $c$ . Let  $a > 0$  be the minimum of the zeros of  $f$  in  $(0, 1)$ . Hence, for  $z \in \mathcal{S} \cap \{|z|^2 < a\}$ ,  $\kappa(z) > 0$  and this implies that  $K_g^+(z) > 0$ . By rotation invariance,  $K_g^+ > 0$  everywhere in the punctured ball  $\{|z|^2 < a\} \setminus \{0\}$ . In fact,  $K_g^+(z) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $|z| \rightarrow 0$ . The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Recall that the metric  $g_B = \pi^*g + \tilde{g}_B$ , where

$$\pi^*g(z, X) = \left(1 + \frac{v(|\pi(z)|^2)}{|\pi(z)|^2}\right) |\pi(X)|^2 + \left(u^2(|\pi(z)|^2) - \frac{v(|\pi(z)|^2)}{|\pi(z)|^4}\right) |\langle \pi(X), \pi(z) \rangle|^2$$

for  $(z, X) \in (B^N \setminus A) \times \mathbb{C}^N$  and  $\tilde{g}_B$  is the Bergman metric on  $\mathbb{B}^N$ . For  $z \in D_w$ , let  $Z = Z(T)$  be the solution of

$$\dot{Z} = dZ/dT = X(Z(T)), \quad Z(0) = z \in D_w.$$

Since  $X$  as in (1.1) is being viewed as a vector field on  $\mathbb{B}^N \setminus A$ , it follows that  $Z_j(T) \equiv w_j$  for  $n+1 \leq j \leq N$ . Also,

$$|Z_1(T)|^2 + |Z_2(T)|^2 + \dots + |Z_n(T)|^2 < 1 - |w|^2 = r^2.$$

Furthermore,  $\pi : D_w \setminus A \rightarrow B^n(0, r) \setminus \{0\}$  is a biholomorphism and let

$$\tilde{Z}(T) = \pi(Z(T)) = (Z_1(T), Z_2(T), \dots, Z_n(T)) \in \mathbb{B}^n(0, r) \setminus \{0\}$$

and set  $\tilde{z} = \pi(z)$ . For  $\tilde{z} = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{B}^n(0, r)$ , define

$$\tilde{X}(\tilde{z}) = z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + z_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} + \dots + z_{n-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{n-1}} + \alpha z_n \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n}, \quad \alpha < 0$$

and denote the corresponding foliation by  $\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{X}}$ . Then  $\text{sing}(\tilde{X}) = \{0\} = \pi(w)$  and note that  $\tilde{X}(\tilde{z}) = \pi \circ X \circ \pi^{-1}(\tilde{z})$  and  $|X(Z)|^2 = |\tilde{X}(\tilde{Z})|^2$ . Also, note that  $|Z(T)|^2 = |\tilde{Z}(T)|^2 + |w|^2$  which gives  $1 - |Z(T)|^2 = r^2 - |\tilde{Z}(T)|^2$  and  $\langle Z(T), X(Z(T)) \rangle = \langle \tilde{Z}(T), \tilde{X}(\tilde{Z}(T)) \rangle$ .

With  $\mathcal{S}$  as before and  $w \in A$ , let

$$\mathcal{S}_w = \mathcal{S} \cap D_w = \{z \in D_w \setminus A : |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 + \dots + \alpha |z_n|^2 = 0\}$$

which describes the locus along which the radial vector  $z$  (along the slice  $D_w$ ) is orthogonal to  $\tilde{X}$ , i.e.,  $\langle \tilde{z}, \tilde{X}(\tilde{z}) \rangle = \langle z, X(z) \rangle = 0$ . As before, for  $z \in \mathcal{S}_w$ ,

$$|\tilde{X}(\tilde{z})|^2 |\langle D\tilde{X}(\tilde{z})(\tilde{X}(\tilde{z})), \tilde{z} \rangle|^2 = |\tilde{X}(\tilde{z})|^6 = |\tilde{z}|^2 |D\tilde{X}(\tilde{z})(\tilde{X}(\tilde{z})) \wedge \tilde{X}(\tilde{z})|^2.$$

Using the above observations, writing  $\tilde{Z} = \tilde{Z}(T)$  and computing as before,  $Z^*g_B(T) = h_B(T)|dT|^2$  where

$$h_B(T) = \left( 1 + \frac{v(|\tilde{Z}|^2)}{|\tilde{Z}|^2} + \frac{N+1}{r^2 - |\tilde{Z}|^2} \right) |\tilde{X}(\tilde{Z})|^2 + \left( u^2(|\tilde{Z}|^2) - \frac{v(|\tilde{Z}|^2)}{|\tilde{Z}|^4} + \frac{N+1}{(r^2 - |\tilde{Z}|^2)^2} \right) |\langle \tilde{Z}, \tilde{X}(\tilde{Z}) \rangle|^2.$$

The calculations leading to  $\mathcal{K}(z)$ , the curvature of  $Z^*g_B(T)$ , are similar in spirit to those in Theorem 1.1. Indeed, what is required are expressions for  $h_B(0)$ ,  $\partial h_B(0)$ ,  $\bar{\partial} h_B(0)$  and  $\partial \bar{\partial} h_B(0)$  when  $Z(0) = z \in \mathcal{S}_w$ . Of these, the easiest to write down is

$$(3.1) \quad h_B(0) = \left( 1 + \frac{v}{|\tilde{z}|^2} + \frac{N+1}{r^2 - |\tilde{z}|^2} \right) |\tilde{X}(\tilde{z})|^2$$

where  $u, v$  are understood to be functions of  $|\tilde{z}|^2$ . For the others, several pages of messy calculations along similar lines as in Theorem 1.1 yield rather unwieldy expressions for  $\partial h_B, \bar{\partial} h_B$  and  $\partial \bar{\partial} h_B$  which simplify considerably when  $Z(0) = z \in \mathcal{S}_w$  to give:

$$(3.2) \quad h_B(0) \partial \bar{\partial} h_B(0) - \partial h_B(0) \bar{\partial} h_B(0) = I(\tilde{z}) \cdot J(\tilde{z})$$

where

$$(3.3) \quad I(\tilde{z}) = \left( |\tilde{z}|^2 + v + \frac{(N+1)|\tilde{z}|^2}{(r^2 - |\tilde{z}|^2)} \right) \frac{|\tilde{X}(\tilde{z})|^6}{|\tilde{z}|^6}, \text{ and}$$

$$(3.4) \quad J(\tilde{z}) = \left( 3|\tilde{z}|^4 u^2 - 2v + |\tilde{z}|^2 + \frac{(N+1)|\tilde{z}|^2(r^2 + 2|\tilde{z}|^2)}{(r^2 - |\tilde{z}|^2)^2} \right).$$

Finally, by combining (3.1) – (3.4)

$$\mathcal{K}(z) = -P(\tilde{z})/Q(\tilde{z})$$

where

$$P(\tilde{z}) = 2 \left( 3|\tilde{z}|^4 u^2 - 2v + |\tilde{z}|^2 + \frac{(N+1)|\tilde{z}|^2(r^2 + 2|\tilde{z}|^2)}{(r^2 - |\tilde{z}|^2)^2} \right), \text{ and}$$

$$Q(\tilde{z}) = \left( |\tilde{z}|^2 + v + \frac{(N+1)|\tilde{z}|^2}{(r^2 - |\tilde{z}|^2)} \right)^2.$$

To analyze  $\mathcal{K}(z)$ , write  $|\tilde{z}|^2 = t$  and define  $f : (0, r^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as

$$f(t) = - \frac{2 \left( 3t^2 u^2(t) - 2v(t) + t + \frac{(N+1)t(r^2+2t)}{(r^2-t)^2} \right)}{\left( t + v(t) + \frac{(N+1)t}{(r^2-t)} \right)^2}$$

which is real analytic on  $(0, r^2)$ . Theorem 1.2 is a consequence of the following:

*Claim:*  $f(r^2/2) < 0$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow r^2} f(t) \rightarrow -6/(N+1)$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} f(t) \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Note that the numerator in  $f(r^2/2)$  is

$$-2 \left( 3(r^2/2)^2 u^2(r^2/2) - 2v^2(r^2/2) + r^2/2 + 4(N+1) \right)$$

and this will be negative if it can be shown that  $v(r^2/2) < 2(N+1)$ . But since  $r^2/2 < 1/2$ ,  $v(1) < 2$  and  $v$  is an increasing function on  $(0, \infty)$ , it follows that  $v(r^2/2) < v(1) < 2 < 2(N+1)$ .

To determine the behaviour of  $f$  near the origin, note that both the numerator and denominator in  $f$  approach zero as  $t \rightarrow 0$  and repeated use of L'Hôpital's rule shows that

$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} f(t) = +\infty$ . Finally, note that near  $t = r^2$ , both  $(3t^2u^2 - 2v + t)$  and  $(t + v)$  are bounded while the remaining terms in  $f$  approach  $+\infty$ . Simplifying them gives

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow r^2} f(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow r^2} -2(r^2 + 2t)/(t(N + 1)) = -6/(N + 1).$$

When  $n = N$ ,  $A = \{0\}$  and  $\mathbb{B}^N \setminus A$  is the punctured ball with the metric  $g_B$ . In this case, there is no need to work with slices for then  $X$  as in (1.1) has an isolated singularity at the origin. All computations above remain valid in this case as well.

#### 4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

(i) When  $n = 1$ , note that

$$(4.1) \quad g(z, X) = (1 + |z|^2 u^2(|z|^2)) |X|^2$$

as a bit of simplification shows. The curvature properties of this metric on  $\mathbb{C}^*$  are very likely to be considered as part of folklore knowledge, but our inability to find any explicit reference only piqued our curiosity and made us include the following observations.

The conformal density  $h(z) = (1 + |z|^2 u^2(|z|^2)) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $|z| \rightarrow 0$  and a calculation shows that the curvature of  $g$  is  $\kappa(z) = f(t)$ ,  $t = |z|^2$ , where  $f(t) = -P(t)/Q(t)$  with

$$P(t) = 2(u^2 + 2t^2(u')^2 + 2t^2uu'' + 2t^3u^3u'' + 6tuu' + 2t^2u^3u' - 2t^3(uu')^2)$$

and  $Q(t) = (1 + tu^2)^3$ .

*Claim:*  $f \leq 0$  on  $(0, \infty)$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} f(t) = -4$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t) = 0$ .

To compute the limits of  $f$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  and  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , write  $P(t) = 2(M_1(t) + M_2(t))$  where

$$M_1(t) = 2t^3u^3u'' + 2t^2u^3u' - 2t^3u^2(u')^2 \text{ and } M_2(t) = u^2 + 2t^2(u')^2 + 2t^2uu'' + 6tuu'.$$

which upon substituting for  $u, u'$  and  $u''$  become

$$M_1(t) = 2t^3(t-1)^2 \tilde{M}_1(t)/(t \log t)^6, \text{ and } M_2(t) = \tilde{M}_2(t)/t^2(\log t)^4$$

where

$$\tilde{M}_1(t) = ((t-1)^2 - t(\log t)^2), \text{ and}$$

$$\tilde{M}_2(t) = (t-1)^2(\log t)^2 + 2(\log t)^2 + 6(t-1)^2 - 8(t-1)\log t + 2(t-1)(\log t)^2 - 4(t-1)^2 \log t$$

It can now be seen that  $M_2(t)/(tu^2)^3 \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  and  $M_1(t)/(tu^2)^3 \rightarrow 2$  and hence  $f(t) \rightarrow -4$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ .

Further, both  $\tilde{M}_1$  and  $\tilde{M}_2$  approach  $+\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and hence  $M_1, M_2 > 0$  for large  $t$ . Since  $u > 0, u' \leq 0$  and  $u'' \geq 0$  on  $(0, \infty)$ , each of the terms  $6tuu', 2t^2u^3u'$  and  $-2t^3(uu')^2$  are non-positive, and hence for large  $t$ ,

$$0 \leq M_2(t) = u^2 + 2t^2(u')^2 + 2t^2uu'' + 6tuu' \leq u^2 + 2t^2(u')^2 + 2t^2uu''$$

and

$$0 \leq M_1(t) = 2t^3u^3u'' + 2t^2u^3u' - 2t^3(uu')^2 \leq 2t^3u^3u''.$$

Both  $(u^2 + 2t^2(u')^2 + 2t^2uu'')/(tu^2)^3$  and  $2t^3u^3u''/(tu^2)^3 \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  and thus,  $f \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

Finally, the assertion that  $f \leq 0$  on  $(0, \infty)$  is equivalent to the subharmonicity of  $\log h$  on  $\mathbb{C}^*$ . For this, it suffices to show that  $\partial\bar{\partial} \log(|z|^2 u^2(|z|^2)) = \partial\bar{\partial} \log u^2(|z|^2) \geq 0$  on  $\mathbb{C}^*$ . Now compute

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\bar{\partial} \log u(|z|^2) &= u^{-2} (uu' + |z|^2 (uu'' - (u')^2)) \\ &= t^{-3} (\log t)^{-4} ((t-1)^2 - t(\log t)^2). \end{aligned}$$

Ignoring the positive multiplicative factor  $t^{-3}$ , the sign of  $\partial\bar{\partial}\log u(|z^2|)$  is determined by

$$(\log t)^{-4} \left( (t-1)^2 - t(\log t)^2 \right).$$

Writing  $t = e^x$ , where  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  now, reduces this to

$$x^{-4}(e^x - 1)^2 - x^{-2}e^x$$

whose value near  $x = 0$  is positive since its limit at  $x = 0$  is  $1/12$ . Away from  $x = 0$ , the positivity of this expression is equivalent to the assertion that  $\sinh^2(x/2) \geq (x/2)^2$  which is evidently true.

Thus, the Grauert metric on  $\mathbb{C}^*$  is an example of a non-positively curved conformal metric whose limiting behaviour is described above. Given that  $\kappa \rightarrow -4$  near  $z = 0$ , the fact that  $\kappa \rightarrow 0$  for large  $|z|$  is not surprising and in fact, is expected. Indeed, if  $\kappa$  were to approach a negative constant as  $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $g$  would be an example of a metric on  $\mathbb{C}^*$  that is non-positively curved everywhere and, in addition, satisfies  $\kappa \leq -a < 0$  outside a compact set in  $\mathbb{C}^*$ . This would contradict a version of the Schwarz lemma – see Troyanov [15].

(ii) When  $n \geq 2$ , working directly with the curvature tensor to understand the limiting behaviour near the origin and for large values of  $|z|$  involves the inversion of the matrix  $((g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}))$ . To circumvent this, the approach of working with the foliation induced by a suitable holomorphic vector field has been adopted. The question of which holomorphic vector field to work with then arises, and as the calculations show, the only condition to keep in mind is that  $\mathcal{S}$  be non-empty. The choice of  $X$  as in (1.1), which is a linear saddle-node example, clearly satisfies this condition. In this case, the coordinate axes are separatrices and Theorem 1.1 shows that the leaf-wise curvature  $\kappa \rightarrow +\infty$  along  $\mathcal{S}$  near the origin. All these considerations are related to the paradigm of identifying Hermitian metrics which are negatively curved when restricted to the leaves of a given foliation – for example, see [2], [3], [4], [7], [10], [11], [13] and [16].

## REFERENCES

- [1] Balas, Andrew: *On the holomorphic sectional curvature of complete domains in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  that are not Stein*, Several complex variables and complex geometry, Part 2 (Santa Cruz, CA, 1989), 5963, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **52**, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991.
- [2] Camacho, C.; Lins Neto, A.; Sad, P: *Minimal sets of foliations on complex projective spaces*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. **68** (1988), 187–203 (1989).
- [3] Candel, Alberto: *Uniformization of surface laminations*, Annales scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure **26** no. 4 (1993), 489–516.
- [4] Candel, Alberto, and Gmez-Mont, X: *Uniformization of the leaves of a rational vector field*, Annales de l'institut Fourier **45** no. 4 (1995), 1123–1133.
- [5] Diederich, Klas; Fornaess, John Erik: *Thin complements of complete Kähler domains*, Math. Ann. **259** (1982), no. 3, 331341.
- [6] Diederich, Klas; Fornaess, John Erik: *On the nature of thin complements of complete Kähler metrics*, Math. Ann. **268** (1984), no. 4, 475495.
- [7] Glutsyuk, A. A: *Hyperbolicity of the leaves of a generic one-dimensional holomorphic foliation on a nonsingular projective algebraic variety* (Russian) Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova **213** (1997), Differ. Uravn. s Veshchestv. i Kompleks. Vrem., 90111; translation in Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 1996, no. 2 (213), 83103.
- [8] Grauert, Hans: *Charakterisierung der Holomorphiegebiete durch die vollständige Kählersche Metrik*, (German) Math. Ann. **131** (1956), 3875.
- [9] Jarnicki, Marek; Pflug, Peter: *First steps in several complex variables: Reinhardt domains*, EMS Textbooks in Mathematics. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2008. viii+359 pp. ISBN: 978-3-03719-049-4
- [10] Lins Neto, A.; Canille Martins, J. C.: *Hermitian metrics inducing the Poincaré metric in the leaves of a singular holomorphic foliation by curves*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **356** (2004), no. 7, 29632988.
- [11] Lins Neto, A.: *Uniformization and the Poincaré metric on the leaves of a foliation by curves*, Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.) **31** (2000), no. 3, 351366.

- [12] Ohsawa, Takeo: *Analyticity of complements of complete Kähler domains*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **56** (1980), 484–487.
- [13] Shcherbakov, A. A.: *Metrics and smooth uniformisation of leaves of holomorphic foliations*, (English, Russian summary) Mosc. Math. J. **11** (2011), no. 1, 157178, 183.
- [14] Shiffman, B: *Extension of holomorphic maps into hermitian manifolds*, Math. Ann. **194** (1971), 249–258.
- [15] Troyanov, Marc: *The Schwarz lemma for nonpositively curved Riemannian surfaces*, Manuscripta Math. **72** (1991), no. 3, 251256.
- [16] Verjovsky, Alberto: *A uniformization theorem for holomorphic foliations*, The Lefschetz centennial conference, Part III (Mexico City, 1984), 233253, Contemp. Math., **58**, III, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987
- [17] Wu, H.: *A remark on holomorphic sectional curvature*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **22** (1972/73), 11031108.

SG: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, BANGALORE 560 012, INDIA  
*E-mail address:* [sahilg@iisc.ac.in](mailto:sahilg@iisc.ac.in)

KV: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, BANGALORE 560 012, INDIA  
*E-mail address:* [kverma@iisc.ac.in](mailto:kverma@iisc.ac.in)