BACKWARD PROBLEMS IN TIME FOR FRACTIONAL DIFFUSION-WAVE EQUATION

¹ G. FLORIDIA AND ^{2,3,4} M. YAMAMOTO

ABSTRACT. In this article, for a time-fractional diffusion-wave equation $\partial_t^{\alpha} u(x,t) = -Au(x,t)$, 0 < t < T with fractional order $\alpha \in (1,2)$, we consider the backward problem in time: determine $u(\cdot,t)$, 0 < t < T by $u(\cdot,T)$ and $\partial_t u(\cdot,T)$. We proved that there exists a countably infinite set $\Lambda \in (0,\infty)$ with a unique accumulation point 0 such that the backward problem is well-posed for $T \notin \Lambda$.

Key words. backward problem, fractional diffusion-wave equation, well-posedness AMS subject classifications. 35R30, 35R11

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^d with sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$. We consider a fractional differential equation:

$$\partial_t^{\alpha} u(x,t) = -\mathcal{A}u(x,t), \quad x \in \Omega, \ 0 < t < T,$$

where $-\mathcal{A}$ is a uniformly elliptic operator. Henceforth for $n-1 < \alpha < n$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the Caputo derivative by

$$\partial_t^{\alpha} g(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} \frac{d^n}{ds^n} g(s) ds.$$

For $\alpha \in (0, 1) \cup (1, 2)$, equation (1.1) is widely studied not only by mathematical interests but also for the modelling of various types of diffusion phenomena in heterogeneous media. Among them, we particularly refer to the anomalous diffusion which cannot be modelled by a classical advection-diffusion equation which corresponds to $\alpha = 1$. More precisely, field

¹ Department PAU, Università Mediterranea di Reggio Calabria, Via dell'Università 25 89124 Reggio Calabria, Italy & INdAM Unit, University of Catania, Italy, floridia.giuseppe@icloud.com

² Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan

³ Honorary Member of Academy of Romanian Scientists, Splaiul Independentei Street, no 54, 050094 Bucharest Romania

⁴ Peoples' Friendship University of Russia (RUDN University) 6 Miklukho-Maklaya St, Moscow, 117198, Russian Federation e-mail: myama@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp.

data of diffusion of e.g., contaminants in soil often indicate long-tailed profiles, which cannot be interpreted by a classical advection-diffusion equation whose solution decays very fast, i.e., exponentially.

There are tremendously many works on mathematical analysis and here we are strongly limited to some references. As for the well-posedness of the initial boundary value problem for (1.1), we refer to Kubica, Ryszewska and Yamamoto [7], Kubica and Yamamoto [8], Sakamoto and Yamamoto [14], Zacher [25], and for inverse problems and related topics the readers can consult the handbook Li, Liu and Yamamoto [9], Li and Yamamoto [10], Liu, Li and Yamamoto [12].

A solution to equation (1.1) with $\alpha \neq 1$ shows behavior which is essentially different from the case of $\alpha = 1$ and can characterize the anomaly of the diffusion in the heterogeneous media. Among such characteristic properties, the backward stability in time is important and this is the main subject of this article. In the case of $\alpha = 1$, the classical diffusion equation possesses the strong smoothing property, so that we cannot solve the equation with final value condition, and cannot have good stability but with given a priori bound assumptions, one can prove only conditional stability of logarithmic type (e.g., Imanuvilov and Yamamoto [5], Isakov [6], Section 9 in Yamamoto [23]).

In the case of $0 < \alpha < 1$, Sakamoto and Yamamoto [14] established the well-posedness of the backward problem in time under reasonable regularity condition. After [14], as for $0 < \alpha < 1$, there have been many theoretical and numerical works on the backward problems, and here we can refer to Floridia, Li and Yamamoto [3], Liu and Yamamoto [11], Tuan, Huynh, Ngoc and Zhou [15], Tuan, Lung and Tatar [16], Tuan, Thach, O'Regan and Can [17], Wang, Wei and Zhou [18], Wang and Liu [19], Wei and Wang [20], Xiong, Wang and Li [22], Yang and Liu [24], and we do not intend comprehensive references.

However, to the best knowledge of the authors, except for Wei and Zhang [21], there are still no works on the backward problem as long as the case $1 < \alpha < 2$ is concerned, although the case $1 < \alpha < 2$ is used for the modelling.

The purpose of this article is to sharpen the stability and the uniqueness, which improves the theoretical achievements of [21] for the backward problem for the case of $1 < \alpha < 2$.

For the formulation of the problem, we introduce an operator and function spaces. We assume that all functions under consideration are real-valued. Henceforth $L^2(\Omega)$ and $H^2(\Omega)$, $H_0^1(\Omega)$, $H_0^2(\Omega)$, etc. denote the Lebesgue space and usual Sobolev spaces (e.g., Adams [1]), and by $\|\cdot\|_X$ we denote the norm in the space X. We set $(a, b) = \int_{\Omega} a(x)b(x)dx$. Identifying the dual space $(L^2(\Omega))'$ with itself, we denote $H^{-1}(\Omega) = (H_0^1(\Omega))'$ and $H^{-2}(\Omega) = (H_0^2(\Omega))'$.

We set

$$-\mathcal{A}v(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} \partial_i (a_{ij}(x)\partial_j v(x)) + c(x)v(x), \quad x \in \Omega$$

for $v \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$, where $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$, $a_{ij} = a_{ji} \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$, $c \in C(\overline{\Omega})$, $c \leq 0$ on $\overline{\Omega}$. Then we define an operator A in $L^2(\Omega)$ by

$$Av = \mathcal{A}v, \quad v \in \mathcal{D}(A) := H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega).$$
 (1.2)

Here $v \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ means that v = 0 on $\partial \Omega$ in the sense of the trace. Then it is also known that the operator defined by (1.2) has eigenvalues and we number the set of all the eigenvalues:

$$0 < \mu_1 < \mu_2 < \cdots \longrightarrow \infty.$$

Let $\{\varphi_{nj}\}_{1\leq j\leq \ell_n}$ be an orthonormal basis of Ker $(A - \mu_n)$: $A\varphi_{nj} = \mu_n\varphi_{nj}$ and $(\varphi_{nj}, \varphi_{mi}) = \delta_{nm}\delta_{ij}$ where we set $\delta_{ij} = 1$ if i = j and = 0 if $i \neq j$. Then we see that $\{\varphi_{nj}; n \in \mathbb{N}, 1\leq j\leq \ell_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis in $L^2(\Omega)$.

Throughout this article, we always assume

$$1 < \alpha < 2.$$

In terms of A, we rewrite (1.1) as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^{\alpha} u(x,t) = -Au(x,t), & x \in \Omega, \ t > 0, \\ u(\cdot,0) = a, & \partial_t u(\cdot,0) = b, & x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$
(1.3)

By $E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$ we denote the Mittag-Leffler function:

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)}$$

with $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$. It is known that $E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$ is an entire function in $z \in \mathbb{C}$ (e.g., Gorenflo, Kilbas, Mainardi and Rogosin [4], Podlubny [13]).

Before stating the main results, we show the well-posedness and the regularity of the solution $u_{a,b}$ to (1.3).

Proposition.

Let $a, b \in L^2(\Omega)$. Then there exists a unique solution $u_{a,b}$ to (1.3) such that

$$u_{a,b} \in C([0,T]; L^{2}(\Omega)) \cap C((0,T; H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H^{1}_{0}(\Omega)),$$
$$\lim_{t \to 0} \|u(\cdot,t) - a\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} = \lim_{t \to 0} \|\partial_{t}u(\cdot,t) - v\|_{H^{-2}(\Omega)} = 0$$

and

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell_n} \{(a,\varphi_{nj})E_{\alpha,1}(-\mu_n t^{\alpha}) + (b,\varphi_{nj})tE_{\alpha,2}(-\mu_n t^{\alpha})\}\varphi_{nj}(x)$$

$$in \ C([0,T]; L^2(\Omega)),$$

$$\partial_t u(x,t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell_n} \{-\mu_n t^{\alpha-1}(a,\varphi_{nj})E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\mu_n t^{\alpha}) + (b,\varphi_{nj})E_{\alpha,1}(-\mu_n t^{\alpha})\}\varphi_{nj}(x)$$

$$in \ C([0,T]; L^2(\Omega)).$$

$$(1.4)$$

Now we formulate

Backward problem:

Let T > 0 and a_T, b_T . Then determine u = u(x, t) such that

$$\partial_t^{\alpha} u = -Au, \quad x \in \Omega, \ t > 0,$$
$$u(\cdot, T) = a_T, \quad \partial_t u(\cdot, T) = b_T, \qquad x \in \Omega,$$
$$u(\cdot, t) \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad t > 0.$$

We set

$$\psi(\eta) := E_{\alpha,1}(-\eta)^2 + \eta E_{\alpha,2}(-\eta)E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\eta), \quad \eta > 0.$$
(1.5)

By the definition of the Mittag-Leffler function, we have $\psi(0) = 1$. Before stating the main result, we show

Lemma 1.

The set $\{\eta > 0; \psi(\eta) = 0\}$ is a non-empty and finite set.

We set

$$\{\eta_1, ..., \eta_N\} = \{\eta > 0; \, \psi(\eta) = 0\}$$
(1.6)

with $\eta_1 < \cdots < \eta_N$. We have no information of the number N of the zeros of ψ , except that it exists. In Lemma 2 in Section 4, we will provide an upper bound of the largest zero η_N .

Now we are ready to state our main result:

Theorem.

(i) We assume

$$T \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left(\frac{\eta_1}{\mu_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \cdots, \left(\frac{\eta_N}{\mu_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right\}.$$
 (1.7)

Then for any $a_T, b_T \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)$, there exist $a, b \in L^2(\Omega)$ such that the solution $u_{a,b}$ to (1.3) satisfies

$$u_{a,b}(\cdot,T) = a_T, \quad \partial_t u_{a,b}(\cdot,T) = b_T.$$
(1.8)

Moreover there exists a constant C > 0 such that

$$C^{-1}(\|a_T\|_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|b_T\|_{H^2(\Omega)}) \le \|a\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|b\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le C(\|a_T\|_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|b_T\|_{H^2(\Omega)})$$
(1.9)

for all $a_T, b_T \in L^2(\Omega)$. (ii) We assume

$$T \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left(\frac{\eta_1}{\mu_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \cdots, \left(\frac{\eta_N}{\mu_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right\}.$$
 (1.10)

Then there exists $(a,b) \not\equiv (0,0)$ in Ω such that $(u_{a,b}(\cdot,T), \partial_t u_{a,b}(\cdot,T)) \equiv (0,0)$ in Ω . Furthermore, if $(u_{a,b}(\cdot,T), \partial_t u_{a,b}(\cdot,T)) \equiv (0,0)$ in Ω , then

$$(a,\varphi_{nj}) = (b,\varphi_{nj}) = 0, \quad 1 \le j \le \ell_n$$

if

$$T \not\in \left\{ \left(\frac{\eta_1}{\mu_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \cdots, \left(\frac{\eta_N}{\mu_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right\}.$$

Henceforth we set

$$\Lambda = \Lambda(\alpha, A) := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left(\frac{\eta_1}{\mu_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \cdots, \left(\frac{\eta_N}{\mu_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right\}$$

We note that Λ is a countably infinite set. Theorem (i) implies that the backward problem in time for $1 < \alpha < 2$, is well-posed for some values of T not belonging to the non-empty set Λ . The part (ii) means that we cannot determine the φ_{nj} -components of initial values where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $T \in \left\{ \left(\frac{\eta_1}{\mu_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \cdots, \left(\frac{\eta_N}{\mu_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right\}$, that is, such exceptional values of the final time T actually cause the non-uniqueness for the backward problem.

Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu_n = \infty$, the set Λ has an accumulation point 0, but we can readily verify

$$\Lambda \subset \left[0, \left(\frac{\eta_N}{\mu_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\right]$$

Hence Corollary 1. If

$$T > \left(\frac{\eta_N}{\mu_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}},\tag{1.11}$$

then for any $a_T, b_T \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)$, there exist unique $a, b, \in L^2(\Omega)$ such that $u_{a,b}$ satisfies (1.8) and (1.9).

In Corollary 2 in Section 4, we provide a more concrete estimate of T than (1.11).

The backward problem for $1 < \alpha < 2$ is rather different from the case $0 < \alpha < 1$ which is well-posed for any T > 0. We can sum up the results for the backward problems for $0 < \alpha \leq 2$:

Backward problem in time.

- $0 < \alpha < 1$: well-posed for any T > 0.
- $\alpha = 1$: severely ill-posed but we have the uniqueness and some conditional stability for any T > 0.
- $1 < \alpha < 2$: well-posed for T > 0 not belonging to a countably infinite set. Even non-uniqueness occurs for such exceptional values of T.
- $\alpha = 2$: Well-posed. Also we have conservation quantity such as energy, which is impossible for $\alpha \neq 2$.

The well-posedness is sensitive according to $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\alpha = 1$, $1 < \alpha < 2$ and $\alpha = 2$, and in the case $1 < \alpha < 2$, a quite new aspect of the non-uniqueness happens by choices of T.

This article is composed of four sections. In Section 2, we prove Lemma 1, and Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem. Section 4 gives two concluding remarks.

2. Proof of Lemma 1

We recall that $\psi(\eta)$ is defined by (1.5). By the analyticity of the Mittag-Leffler function, we see that $\psi(\eta)$ is analytic in $\eta > 0$ and continuous in $[0, \infty)$. Moreover by the asymptotics of the Mittag-Leffler functions (e.g., Theorem 1.4 (pp.33-34) in [13]), we see that

$$\begin{cases}
E_{\alpha,1}(-\eta) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\frac{1}{\eta} + O\left(\frac{1}{\eta^2}\right), \quad E_{\alpha,2}(-\eta) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}\frac{1}{\eta} + O\left(\frac{1}{\eta^2}\right), \\
E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\eta) = \frac{-1}{\Gamma(-\alpha)}\frac{1}{\eta^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\eta^3}\right) \quad \text{as } \eta \to \infty.
\end{cases}$$
(2.1)

Therefore

$$\psi(\eta) = E_{\alpha,1}(-\eta)^2 + \eta E_{\alpha,2}(-\eta)E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\eta)$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\frac{1}{\eta} + O\left(\frac{1}{\eta^2}\right)\right)^2 - \eta \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}\frac{1}{\eta} + O\left(\frac{1}{\eta^2}\right)\right) \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha)}\frac{1}{\eta^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\eta^3}\right)\right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)^2} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)\Gamma(-\alpha)}\right)\frac{1}{\eta^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\eta^3}\right) \quad \text{as } \eta \to \infty.$$

Since $\Gamma(1-\alpha) = -\alpha\Gamma(-\alpha)$ and $\Gamma(2-\alpha) = (1-\alpha)\Gamma(1-\alpha) = (\alpha^2 - \alpha)\Gamma(-\alpha)$, we obtain

$$\psi(\eta) = \frac{-1}{\alpha^2(\alpha-1)\Gamma(-\alpha)^2} \frac{1}{\eta^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\eta^3}\right) \quad \text{as } \eta \to \infty.$$
(2.2)

By $\frac{-1}{\alpha^2(\alpha-1)\Gamma(-\alpha)^2} < 0$, there exists a constant M > 0 such that $\psi(\eta) < 0$ for $\eta \ge M$. Since $\psi(0) = 1$, by the continuity of ψ in $[0, \infty)$, we can find a sufficiently small constant $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\psi(\eta) > 0$ for $0 \le \eta \le \varepsilon$. Therefore the intermediate value theorem yields that

there exists $\eta_0 \in (\varepsilon, M)$ such that $\psi(\eta_0) = 0$. Moreover, since ψ is analytic in $[\varepsilon, M]$, the set $\{\eta \in [\varepsilon, M]; \psi(\eta) = 0\}$ is a finite set. Otherwise $\psi(\eta) = 0$ for each $\eta \in [\varepsilon, M]$, which implies $\psi(0) = 0$ by the continuity of $\psi(\eta)$ at $\eta = 0$, which contradicts $\psi(0) = 1$. Thus the proof of Lemma 1 is complete.

3. Proof of Theorem

We set

$$a_{nj} = (a, \varphi_{nj}), \quad b_{nj} = (b, \varphi_{nj}),$$

and

$$\begin{cases} p_{nj} := a_{nj} E_{\alpha,1}(-\mu_n T^{\alpha}) + b_{nj} T E_{\alpha,2}(-\mu_n T^{\alpha}), \\ q_{nj} := -\mu_n T^{\alpha-1} a_{nj} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\mu_n T^{\alpha}) + b_{nj} E_{\alpha,1}(-\mu_n T^{\alpha}). \end{cases}$$
(3.1)

Since $\{\varphi_{nj}\}_{1\leq j\leq \ell_n,n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an orthonormal basis in $L^2(\Omega)$, we see that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell_n} |(g,\varphi_{nj})|^2 = ||g||^2_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad g \in L^2(\Omega),$$
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell_n} \mu_n^2 |(g,\varphi_{nj})|^2 = ||g||^2_{H^2(\Omega)}, \quad g \in \mathcal{D}(A) = H^1(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega).$$

Hence, by (1.4), we have

$$\|u(\cdot,T)\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + \|\partial_{t}u(\cdot,T)\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}$$
(3.2)

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell_n} \mu_n^2 (|a_{nj} E_{\alpha,1}(-\mu_n T^{\alpha}) + b_{nj} T E_{\alpha,2}(-\mu_n T^{\alpha})|^2 + |-\mu_n T^{\alpha-1} a_{nj} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\mu_n T^{\alpha}) + b_{nj} E_{\alpha,1}(-\mu_n T^{\alpha})|^2)$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell_n} \mu_n^2 (|p_{nj}|^2 + |q_{nj}|^2).$$

Now we proceed to

Proof of Theorem (i).

We assume (1.7), and so $\psi(\mu_n T^{\alpha}) \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we can solve (3.1) with respect to a_{nj} and b_{nj} :

$$\begin{cases} a_{nj} = \frac{1}{\psi(\mu_n T^{\alpha})} (p_{nj} E_{\alpha,1}(-\mu_n T^{\alpha}) - q_{nj} T E_{\alpha,2}(-\mu_n T^{\alpha})), \\ b_{nj} = \frac{1}{\psi(\mu_n T^{\alpha})} (p_{nj} \mu_n T^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\mu_n T^{\alpha}) + q_{nj} E_{\alpha,1}(-\mu_n T^{\alpha})). \end{cases}$$
(3.3)

By (2.1) and (2.2), we can choose a large constant $M_0 > 0$ such that

$$|E_{\alpha,1}(-\eta)| \leq \frac{2}{\eta} \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \right|, \quad |E_{\alpha,2}(-\eta)| \leq \frac{2}{\eta} \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}, \quad |E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\eta)| \leq \frac{2}{\eta^2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha)},$$
$$|\psi(\eta)| \geq \frac{1}{2\eta^2} \frac{1}{\alpha^2(\alpha-1)\Gamma(-\alpha)^2}, \quad \eta \geq M_0.$$

Here we note that $\Gamma(1-\alpha) < 0$ and $\Gamma(2-\alpha), \Gamma(-\alpha) > 0$. Consequently we can fix $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(\mu_n T^{\alpha})| &\geq \frac{1}{2T^{2\alpha}\mu_n^2} \frac{1}{\alpha^2(\alpha-1)\Gamma(-\alpha)^2} = \frac{C_1}{\mu_n^2}, \\ |E_{\alpha,1}(-\mu_n T^{\alpha})|, \quad |E_{\alpha,2}(-\mu_n T^{\alpha})|, \quad |\mu_n E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\mu_n T^{\alpha})| &\leq \frac{C_1}{\mu_n}, \quad n \geq N_0. \end{aligned}$$
(3.4)

Here and henceforth C_k , k = 1, 2, ..., 5, 6 denote generic constants which are independent of n and j, but dependent on T, N_0, α .

Therefore (3.3) implies

$$|a_{nj}| \le C_2 \mu_n (|p_{nj}| + |q_{nj}|), \quad |b_{nj}| \le C_2 \mu_n (|p_{nj}| + |q_{nj}|), \quad n \ge N_0, \ 1 \le j \le \ell_n.$$
(3.5)

On the other hand, by bounds of the Mittag-Leffler functions (e.g., Theorem 1.6 (p.35) in [13]), we see that

$$|E_{\alpha,1}(-\mu_n T^{\alpha})|, \quad |E_{\alpha,2}(-\mu_n T^{\alpha})| \le \frac{C_3}{1+\mu_n} \le C_4, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
 (3.6)

Moreover the estimate of $|E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\mu_n T^{\alpha})|$ in (3.4) implies

$$|E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\mu_n T^{\alpha})| \le \frac{C_4}{1+\mu_n^2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(3.7)

Since $\psi(\mu_n T^{\alpha}) \neq 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by (3.3) and (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{nj}| &\leq C_5 \max_{1 \leq n \leq N_0 - 1} \left| \frac{1}{\psi(\mu_n T^{\alpha})} \right| (|p_{nj}| + T|q_{nj}|), \\ |b_{nj}| &\leq C_5 \max_{1 \leq n \leq N_0 - 1} \left| \frac{1}{\psi(\mu_n T^{\alpha})} \right| (\mu_n T^{\alpha - 1} |p_{nj}| + |q_{nj}|), \quad 1 \leq n \leq N_0 - 1, \ 1 \leq j \leq \ell_n, \end{aligned}$$

so that (3.5) holds for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq j \leq \ell_n$. Hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell_n} (|a_{nj}|^2 + |b_{nj}|^2) \le C_5 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell_n} \mu_n^2 (|p_{nj}|^2 + |q_{nj}|^2),$$

and applying (3.2), we obtain

$$||a||_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + ||b||_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq C_{5}(||u(\cdot, T)||_{H^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + ||\partial_{t}u(\cdot, T)||_{H^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}).$$

Next we prove the reverse inequality. Applying (3.6) and (3.7) in (3.1), we have

$$\mu_n |p_{nj}| \le C_6(|a_{nj}| + |b_{nj}|),$$

$$\mu_n |q_{nj}| \le C_6' \left(\frac{C_3}{1 + \mu_n} |a_{nj}| + |b_{nj}| \right) \le C_6(|a_{nj}| + |b_{nj}|)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq j \leq \ell_n$. Hence, in view of (3.2), we see

$$\|u(\cdot,T)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\partial_t u(\cdot,T)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \le C_6 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell_n} (|a_{nj}|^2 + |b_{nj}|^2) = C_6(\|a\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|b\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2),$$

which completes the proof of Theorem (i).

Proof of Theorem (ii).

By (1.4) we see

$$u(\cdot,T) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell_n} p_{nj}\varphi_{nj}, \quad \partial_t u(\cdot,T) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell_n} q_{nj}\varphi_{nj}$$

Therefore $u(\cdot, T) = \partial_t u(\cdot, T) = 0$ in Ω is equivalent to $p_{nj} = q_{nj} = 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq j \leq \ell_n$. By (1.10), we can choose $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_0 \in \{1, ..., N\}$ such that $T = \left(\frac{\eta_{k_0}}{\mu_{n_0}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$, that is, $\eta_{k_0} = \mu_{n_0} T^{\alpha}$. Consequently $\psi(\mu_{n_0} T^{\alpha}) = 0$. Recalling the definition of $\psi(\mu_{n_0} T^{\alpha})$, we see that it is the determinant of the coefficient matrix of the linear system (3.1) with respect to a_{n_0j} and b_{n_0j} . Hence there exist $(a_{n_01}, b_{n_01}) \neq (0, 0)$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} a_{n_01}E_{\alpha,1}(-\mu_{n_0}T^{\alpha}) + b_{n_01}TE_{\alpha,2}(-\mu_{n_0}T^{\alpha}) = 0, \\ -\mu_{n_0}T^{\alpha-1}a_{n_01}E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\mu_{n_0}T^{\alpha}) + b_{n_01}E_{\alpha,1}(-\mu_{n_0}T^{\alpha}) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Setting $a = u(\cdot, 0) := a_{n_0 1} \varphi_{n_0 1}$ and $b = \partial_t u(\cdot, 0) := b_{n_0 1} \varphi_{n_0 1}$, we see that either $a \neq 0$ in Ω or $b \neq 0$ in Ω , and $u_{a,b}(\cdot, T) = \partial_t u_{a,b}(\cdot, T) = 0$ in Ω . The former part of (ii) is now proved. The latter part follows from (3.1). Indeed let $T \notin \left\{ \left(\frac{\eta_1}{\mu_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \dots, \left(\frac{\eta_N}{\mu_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right\}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\psi(\mu_n T^{\alpha}) \neq 0$. Therefore the determinant $\psi(\mu_n T^{\alpha})$ of the coefficient matrix of (3.1) is not zero, and so $a_{nj} = b_{nj} = 0$, that is, $(a, \varphi_{nj}) = (b, \varphi_{nj}) = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq \ell_n$. Thus the proof of Theorem is complete.

4. Concluding remarks

4.1. Estimation of η_N and T.

We recall (1.6). First we give an upper bound for η_N . For simplicity, we set

$$\mu_1 := \frac{-1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} = \frac{1}{\alpha\Gamma(-\alpha)},$$

$$\mu_2 := \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} = \frac{1}{(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\Gamma(-\alpha)}, \quad \mu_3 := \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha)}.$$

Here we used $\Gamma(1-\alpha) = -\alpha\Gamma(-\alpha)$ and $\Gamma(2-\alpha) = (1-\alpha)\Gamma(1-\alpha) = (1-\alpha)(-\alpha)\Gamma(-\alpha)$. By $\Gamma(-\alpha) > 0$, we see that $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 > 0$. For $1 < \alpha < 2$, we choose θ such that

$$\frac{\pi\alpha}{2} < \theta < \pi. \tag{4.1}$$

By γ we denote the contour in \mathbb{C} which is directed from $\infty e^{-\sqrt{-1}\theta}$ to $\infty e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}$ and consists of (i) arg $z = -\theta$, $|z| \ge 1$

- (ii) $-\theta \le \arg z \le \theta, |z| = 1$
- (iii) arg $z = \theta$, $|z| \ge 1$.

Moreover we set

$$\nu_{1} = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha\sin\theta} \int_{\gamma} |\exp(\zeta^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})| |\zeta| d\zeta,$$

$$\nu_{2} = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha\sin\theta} \int_{\gamma} |\exp(\zeta^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})| |\zeta^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}}| d\zeta,$$

$$\nu_{3} = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha\sin\theta} \int_{\gamma} |\exp(\zeta^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})| |\zeta^{1+\frac{1}{\alpha}}| d\zeta.$$

Since there exists a constant $C_0 > 0$ such that $|\exp(\zeta^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})| \leq \exp\left(-C_0|\zeta|^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\right)$ for $\zeta \in \gamma$, we can directly verify that $0 < \nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3 < \infty$.

Then we can prove

Lemma 2.

$$\eta_N < \max\left\{\frac{1}{|\cos\theta|}, \, \alpha^2(\alpha-1)\Gamma(-\alpha)^2(\mu_2\nu_3 + \mu_3\nu_2 + 2\mu_1\nu_1 + \nu_1^2 + \nu_2\nu_3)\right\}.$$

Proof of Lemma 2.

First by formula (1.145) (p.34) in [13], we see that

$$\begin{cases} E_{\alpha,1}(-\eta) = -\frac{\mu_1}{\eta} + I_{\alpha,1}(\eta), & E_{\alpha,2}(-\eta) = \frac{\mu_2}{\eta} + I_{\alpha,2}(\eta), \\ E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\eta) = -\frac{\mu_3}{\eta^2} + I_{\alpha,\alpha}(\eta), & \eta \ge 1, \end{cases}$$
(4.2)

where

$$I_{\alpha,\ell}(\eta) = \frac{-1}{2\pi\alpha\sqrt{-1\eta}} \int_{\gamma} \exp(\zeta^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) \zeta^{\frac{1-\ell}{\alpha}+1} \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta+\eta},$$

$$I_{\alpha,\alpha}(\eta) = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha\sqrt{-1\eta^2}} \int_{\gamma} \exp(\zeta^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) \zeta^{\frac{1}{\alpha}+1} \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta+\eta}, \quad \ell = 1, 2, \quad \eta \ge 1.$$
(4.3)

Next we will prove

$$|I_{\alpha,1}(\eta)| \le \frac{\nu_1}{\eta^2}, \quad |I_{\alpha,2}(\eta)| \le \frac{\nu_2}{\eta^2}, \quad |I_{\alpha,\alpha}(\eta)| \le \frac{\nu_3}{\eta^3} \quad \text{for } \eta \ge \frac{1}{|\cos\theta|}.$$
(4.4)

Proof of (4.4). For $\eta \geq \frac{1}{|\cos \theta|}$, we can directly verify that

$$\min_{\zeta \in \gamma} |\zeta + \eta| = \min_{r \ge 1} |re^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} - (-\eta)| = |-\eta| \sin(\pi - \theta) = \eta \sin \theta > 0.$$

10

Indeed the intersection point of the perpendicular from $-\eta$ with the half-line $\{re^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}; r \ge 1\}$ is outside of $\{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| \le 1\}$ if $|\eta| > \frac{1}{|\cos \theta|}$. Hence with fixed η satisfying $\eta \ge \frac{1}{|\cos \theta|}$, the function $|\zeta + \eta|$ in $\zeta \in \gamma$, attains the minimum at such an intersection point ζ .

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{\alpha,\ell}(\eta)| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha\sin\theta} \frac{1}{\eta^2} \int_{\gamma} |\exp(\zeta^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})| |\zeta^{\frac{1-\ell}{\alpha}+1}| d\zeta = \nu_{\ell} \frac{1}{\eta^2}, \\ |I_{\alpha,\alpha}(\eta)| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha\sin\theta\eta^3} \int_{\gamma} |\exp(\zeta^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})| |\zeta^{\frac{1}{\alpha}+1}| d\zeta = \frac{\nu_3}{\eta^3}, \quad \eta \geq \frac{1}{|\cos\theta|} \end{aligned}$$

Hence (4.4) is proved.

Now we will complete the proof of Lemma 2. Applying (4.2) and (4.4) in (1.5), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \psi(\eta) &= E_{\alpha,1}(-\eta)^2 + \eta E_{\alpha,2}(-\eta)E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\eta) \\ &= \left(\frac{\mu_1}{\eta} - I_{\alpha,1}(\eta)\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\mu_2}{\eta} + I_{\alpha,2}(\eta)\right)\left(-\frac{\mu_3}{\eta} + \eta I_{\alpha,\alpha}(\eta)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\eta^2}(\mu_1^2 - \mu_2\mu_3) + \left\{-2\frac{\mu_1}{\eta}I_{\alpha,1}(\eta) + I_{\alpha,1}(\eta)^2 + \mu_2I_{\alpha,\alpha}(\eta) - \frac{\mu_3I_{\alpha,2}(\eta)}{\eta} + \eta I_{\alpha,2}(\eta)I_{\alpha,\alpha}(\eta)\right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\eta^2}(\mu_1^2 - \mu_2\mu_3) + \left(2\frac{\mu_1}{\eta}|I_{\alpha,1}(\eta)| + |I_{\alpha,1}(\eta)|^2 + \mu_2|I_{\alpha,\alpha}(\eta)| + \frac{\mu_3|I_{\alpha,2}(\eta)|}{\eta} + \eta|I_{\alpha,2}(\eta)||I_{\alpha,\alpha}(\eta)|\right) \\ &\leq \frac{-1}{\alpha^2(\alpha - 1)\Gamma(-\alpha)^2}\frac{1}{\eta^2} + (\mu_2\nu_3 + \mu_3\nu_2 + 2\mu_1\nu_1)\frac{1}{\eta^3} + (\nu_1^2 + \nu_2\nu_3)\frac{1}{\eta^4} \\ &\leq \frac{-1}{\alpha^2(\alpha - 1)\Gamma(-\alpha)^2}\frac{1}{\eta^2} + (\mu_2\nu_3 + \mu_3\nu_2 + 2\mu_1\nu_1 + \nu_1^2 + \nu_2\nu_3)\frac{1}{\eta^3} \end{split}$$

for $\eta \geq \frac{1}{|\cos \theta|} \geq 1$. For the last inequality, we use $\frac{1}{\eta^4} \leq \frac{1}{\eta^3}$ for $\eta \geq 1$. Hence

$$\psi(\eta) = \frac{-1}{\alpha^2(\alpha-1)\Gamma(-\alpha)^2} \frac{1}{\eta^2} \left\{ 1 - \alpha^2(\alpha-1)\Gamma(-\alpha)^2(\mu_2\nu_3 + \mu_3\nu_2 + 2\mu_1\nu_1 + \nu_1^2 + \nu_2\nu_3)\frac{1}{\eta} \right\}$$

for $\eta \geq \frac{1}{|\cos \theta|}$. Thus the proof of Lemma 2 is complete.

Applying Lemma 2 to Corollary 1, we obtain a lower bound of T which is described more concretely than (1.11) for guaranteeing the well-posedness f the backward problem. Corollary 2.

If

$$T > \left(\frac{1}{\mu_1} \max\left\{\frac{1}{|\cos\theta|}, \alpha^2(\alpha-1)\Gamma(-\alpha)^2(\mu_2\nu_3 + \mu_3\nu_2 + 2\mu_1\nu_1 + \nu_1^2 + \nu_2\nu_3)\right\}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}},$$

then for any $a_T, b_T \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)$, there exist unique $a, b \in L^2(\Omega)$ such that $u_{a,b}$ satisfies (1.8) and (1.9).

4.2. Backward fractional ordinary differential equations.

Let $1 < \alpha < 2$ and $\lambda > 0$. We consider a backward fractional ordinary differential equation.

$$\partial_t^{\alpha} v(t) = -\lambda v(t), \quad v(T) = a_T, \quad \partial_t v(T) = b_T, \quad 0 < t < T.$$
(4.5)

By (1.6), we can prove that $T \notin \left\{ \left(\frac{\eta_1}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, ..., \left(\frac{\eta_N}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right\}$, then (4.5) possesses a unique solution for arbitrary $a_T, b_T \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover if $T \in \left\{ \left(\frac{\eta_1}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, ..., \left(\frac{\eta_N}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right\}$, then there exists a non-zero solution v to (4.5) with $a_T = b_T = 0$, and there may be no solutions with some a_T, b_T . Thus for the case $1 < \alpha < 2$, the backward problem for a fractional ordinary differential equation is not always uniquely solvable for all T > 0. In general, even for nonlinear fractional ordinary differential equations, under suitable conditions, we can apply the contraction mapping theorem to prove the well-posedness for sufficiently small T. However, as Theorem (ii) asserts, the backward problem for fractional partial differential equations with $1 < \alpha < 2$ may not be well-posed even for sufficiently small T > 0. We can refer to an example (p.374) in Diethelm and Ford [2] which indicates the non-uniqueness in the case of $b_T = 0$ with some value of λ .

Acknowledgment

This work is also supported by the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (IN δ AM), through the GNAMPA Research Project 2019.

The second author was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (S) 15H05740 of Japan Society for the Promotion of Science and by The National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 11771270, 91730303). This work was prepared with the support of the "RUDN University Program 5-100".

References

- [1] R.A. Adams, Sobolev Spaces, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [2] K. Diethelm and N.J. Ford, A note on the well-posedness of terminal value problems for fractional differential equations, J. Integral Eq. and Appl. 30 (2018) 371-376.
- [3] G. Floridia, Z. Li and M. Yamamoto, Well-posedness for the backward problems in time for general time-fractional diffusion equation, to appear in Atti Acad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl.
- [4] R. Gorenflo, A.A. Kilbas, F. Mainardi and S. V. Rogosin, Mittag-Leffler Functions, Related Topics and Applications, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2014.

- [5] O.Y. Imanuvilov and M. Yamamoto, Conditional stability in a backward parabolic system, Appl. Anal. 93 (2014) 2174-2198.
- [6] V. Isakov, Inverse Problems for Partial Differential Equations, second edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- [7] A. Kubica, K. Ryszewska, and M. Yamamoto, Introduction to a Theory of Time-fractional Partial Differential Equations, Springer Japan, Tokyo, 2020.
- [8] A. Kubica and M. Yamamoto, Initial-boundary value problems for fractional diffusion equations with time-dependent coefficients, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. 21 (2018) 276-311.
- [9] Z. Li, Y. Liu and M. Yamamoto, Inverse problems of determining parameters of the fractional partial differential equations, Handbook of Fractional Calculus with Applications (ed: J.A. Tenreiro Machado, A. N. Kochubei and Y. Luchko) Vol. 2, pp. 431-442, De Gruyter, Berlin, 2019.
- [10] Z. Li and M. Yamamoto, Inverse problems of determining coefficients of the fractional partial differential equations, Handbook of Fractional Calculus with Applications (ed: J.A. Tenreiro Machado, A. N. Kochubei and Y. Luchko) Vol. 2, pp.443-464, De Gruyter, Berlin, 2019.
- [11] J.-J. Liu and M. Yamamoto, A backward problem for the time-fractional diffusion equation, Appl. Anal. 89 (2010) 1769-1788.
- [12] Y. Liu, Z. Li and M. Yamamoto, Inverse problems of determining sources of the fractional partial differential equations, Handbook of Fractional Calculus with Applications (ed: J.A. Tenreiro Machado, A. N. Kochubei and Y. Luchko) Vol. 2, pp. 411-429, De Gruyter, Berlin, 2019.
- [13] I. Podlubny, Fractional Differential Equations, Academic Press, San Diego, 1999.
- [14] K. Sakamoto and M. Yamamoto, Initial value/boundary value problems for fractional diffusion-wave equations and applications to some inverse problems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 382 (2011) 426-447.
- [15] N. H. Tuan, L.N. Huynh, T.B. Ngoc, and Y. Zhou, On a backward problem for nonlinear fractional diffusion equations, Applied Mathematics Letters 92 (2019) 76-84.
- [16] N. H. Tuan, L. D. Long, and S. Tatar, Tikhonov regularization method for a backward problem for the inhomogeneous time-fractional diffusion equation, Appl. Anal. 97 (2018) 842-863.
- [17] N.H. Tuan, T.N. Thach, D. O'Regan, and N.H. Can, Backward problem for time fractional reactiondiffusion equation with nonlinear source and discrete data, preprint: arXiv:1910.14204
- [18] J.-G. Wang, T. Wei, and Y.-B. Zhou, Tikhonov regularization method for a backward problem for the time-fractional diffusion equation, Appl. Math. Model. 37 (2013) 8518-8532.
- [19] L. Wang and J.-J. Liu, Total variation regularization for a backward time-fractional diffusion problem, Inverse Problems 29 (2013) 115013.
- [20] T. Wei and J.-G. Wang, A modified quasi-boundary value method for the backward time-fractional diffusion problem, ESAIM: Math. Model. Numer. Anal. 48 (2014) 603-621.
- [21] T. Wei and Y. Zhang, The backward problem for a time-fractional diffusion-wave equation in a bounded domain, Cpmputers and Math. with Appl. 75 (2018) 3632-3648.

- [22] X.-T. Xiong, J.-X. Wang, and M. Li, An optimal method for fractional heat conduction problem backward in time, Appl. Anal. 91 (2012) 823-840.
- [23] M. Yamamoto, Carleman estimates for parabolic equations and applications, Inverse Problems 25 (2009) 123013.
- [24] M. Yang and J.-J. Liu, Solving a final value fractional diffusion problem by boundary condition regularization, Appl. Numer. Math. 66 (2013) 45-58.
- [25] R. Zacher, Weak solutions of abstract evolutionary integro-differential equations in Hilbert spaces, Funkcial. Ekvac. 52 (2009) 1-18.