

Two new transformation formulas for ${}_8\psi_8$ and ${}_8W_7$ series associated with Weierstrass' theta identity

Jin Wang^{1,2}, Xinrong Ma^{3,4}

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua 321004, P. R. China

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Soochow University, Suzhou 215006, P. R. China

Abstract

In this paper, we establish two new transformation formulas for ${}_8\psi_8$ and ${}_8\phi_7$ series by means of Slater's general transformation for bilateral series. As applications, some specific transformation formulas are presented among which include a general form of Weierstrass' theta identity and new proofs of Bailey's VWP ${}_6\psi_6$ and Jackson's ${}_8\phi_7$ summation formula.

Keywords: Basic hypergeometric series; theta function; Weierstrass' theta identity; transformation; Bailey's ${}_6\psi_6$ summation formula; Jackson's ${}_8\phi_7$ summation formula

AMS subject classification 2010: Primary 33D15

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, we shall adopt the standard notation and terminology for basic hypergeometric series (or q -series) found in Gasper and Rahman's book [5]. For instance, the q -shifted factorial with $0 < |q| < 1$ and integers n is defined by

$$(x; q)_\infty := \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - xq^n) \quad \text{and} \quad (x; q)_n := \frac{(x; q)_\infty}{(q^n x; q)_\infty}$$

and its multi-parameter form by

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m; q)_n := (x_1; q)_n (x_2; q)_n \cdots (x_m; q)_n.$$

We also need the Jacobi theta function

$$\theta(x) := \frac{1}{(q; q)_\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{n(n-1)/2} x^n \quad (x \neq 0) \quad (1.1)$$

and the multi-parameter notation

$$\theta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) := \theta(x_1)\theta(x_2)\cdots\theta(x_m).$$

¹This work was supported by NSF of Zhejiang Province (Grant No. LQ20A010004).

²E-mail address: jinwang@zjnu.edu.cn

³This work was supported by NSFC grant No. 11971341.

⁴E-mail address: xrma@suda.edu.cn.

Recall that the classical Jacobi triple product identity (cf. [5, (II.28)]) asserts

$$\theta(x) = (x, q/x; q)_\infty. \quad (1.2)$$

A basic hypergeometric series with the base q and the argument z is defined to be

$${}_{r+1}\phi_r \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_{r+1} \\ b_1, \dots, b_r \end{matrix}; q, z \right] := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, \dots, a_{r+1}; q)_n}{(b_1, \dots, b_r; q)_n} \frac{z^n}{(q; q)_n}, \quad (1.3)$$

while a bilateral hypergeometric series with the argument $z \neq 0$ is given by

$${}_r\psi_r \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, \dots, b_r \end{matrix}; q, z \right] := \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, \dots, a_r; q)_n}{(b_1, \dots, b_r; q)_n} z^n. \quad (1.4)$$

It is convenient for us to employ the shorthand notation

$${}_{r+1}W_r[a_1; a_2, \dots, a_{r+1}; q, z] \quad (1.5)$$

for the special cases of the above ${}_{r+1}\phi_r$ series called *very-well-poised* (in short, VWP), in which all parameters satisfy the relations

$$a_1q = a_2b_1 = a_3b_2 = \dots = a_{r+1}b_r \text{ while } a_2 = q\sqrt{a_1}, a_3 = -q\sqrt{a_1}.$$

New notation. In order to state our writing in a convenient form, we will introduce two new notation (not used in [5]) as follows:

$$\Omega(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) := \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^m (x_i/a_j; q)_\infty. \quad (1.6)$$

Analogously to ${}_{r+1}W_r$ series, we will use the compact notation

$${}_r\psi_r [a; a_2, \dots, a_r; q, z] \quad (1.7)$$

to denote the VWP ${}_r\psi_r$ series, which means that all parameters are subject to the relations

$$a_1b_1 = a_2b_2 = \dots = a_rb_r = aq \text{ while } a_1 = q\sqrt{a}, a_2 = -q\sqrt{a}.$$

In the context of basic hypergeometric series, finding summation and transformation formulas is an old but interesting study that has attracted many researchers. Among the various known results, Weierstrass' theta identity and Bailey's VWP ${}_6\psi_6$ summation formula are very fundamental and frequently used.

Lemma 1.1 (Weierstrass' theta identity: cf. [8]). *For any nonzero complex numbers x, a, b, c , there holds*

$$\theta(xa, x/a, bc, b/c) - \theta(xc, x/c, ab, b/a) = \frac{b}{a} \theta(xb, x/b, ac, a/c). \quad (1.8)$$

Lemma 1.2 (Bailey’s VWP ${}_6\psi_6$ summation formula: cf. [5, (II.33)]). *For any nonzero complex numbers a, b, c, d, e such that $|a^2q/bcde| < 1$, there holds*

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_6\psi_6[a; b, c, d, e; q, a^2q/bcde] \\ &= \frac{(q, q/a, aq, aq/bc, aq/bd, aq/be, aq/cd, aq/ce, aq/de; q)_\infty}{(q/b, q/c, q/d, q/e, aq/b, aq/c, aq/d, aq/e, a^2q/bcde; q)_\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

For the various proofs of Bailey’s VWP ${}_6\psi_6$ summation formula, we refer the reader to R. Askey [1], R. Askey and E. M. Ismail [2], W. C. Chu [4], F. Jouhet and M. Schlosser [7], M. Schlosser [10, 11], as well as L. J. Slater and L. Lakin [13]. Here we would like to mention that our previous paper [16] reveals a relation of Bailey’s VWP ${}_6\psi_6$ summation formula and Weierstrass’ theta identity. Regarding the latter, the reader may consult T. H. Koornwinder’s paper [8] for its history and applications in the theory of theta functions. Some interesting applications of this identity can be found in the latest paper [15] by the first author of this paper.

The theme of the present paper is to establish two new transformations concerning VWP ${}_8\psi_8$ series and ${}_8W_7$ series. The word “new” means that these two transformations seem to have not been known in the literature. For instance, they are essentially different from Jackson’s transformation formula expressing a VWP ${}_8\psi_8$ series in term of sum of two ${}_8W_7$ series. See [5, Eq. (5.6.2)] or [6] for more details. For this purpose, we will use the following result which first appeared in [17] due to C. A. Wei.

Lemma 1.3 (Cf. [17, Thm. 4]). *For complex numbers a, b, c, d, e, f, g and $t = bcde/aq$, such that $|aq/fg| < 1, |a^2q/tfg| < 1$, there holds*

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_8\psi_8[a; b, c, d, e, f, g; q, a^2q/tfg] \\ &= \kappa_0 {}_8\psi_8[t; b, c, d, e, tf/a, tg/a; q, aq/fg] \\ &+ \kappa_1 {}_8W_7[b^2/a; bc/a, bd/a, be/a, bf/a, bg/a; q, a^2q/tfg], \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

where the coefficients

$$\kappa_0 := \frac{\theta(b/a) \Omega(tq; b, c, d, e) \Omega(aq; cd, ce, de, fg, tf, tg)(aq, q/a; q)_\infty}{\theta(b/t) \Omega(aq; b, c, d, e) \Omega(b/a; 1/c, 1/d, 1/e) (q/f, q/g, tq, q/t, a^2q/tfg; q)_\infty}, \quad (1.11a)$$

$$\kappa_1 := \frac{\theta(t/a) \Omega(bq; b, c, d, e, f, g) \Omega(b/a; b/ac, b/ad, b/ae)(aq/bf, aq/bg, q/a, aq; q)_\infty}{\theta(t/b) \Omega(aq; b, c, d, e, f, g) \Omega(b/a; 1/c, 1/d, 1/e) (q/f, q/g, q/b, b^2q/a; q)_\infty}. \quad (1.11b)$$

Furthermore, we will need to set up another preliminary transformation embedded in Slater’s general transformation for bilateral series.

Lemma 1.4. *For complex numbers a, b, c, d, e, h, k, z such that $|a^3q^2/bcdehk| < 1$, there holds*

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_8W_7[b^2/a; bc/a, bd/a, be/a, bh/a, bk/a; q, a^3q^2/bcdehk] \\ &= \lambda_1 {}_8\psi_8[a; b, c, d, e, h, k; q, a^3q^2/bcdehk] \\ &+ \mu_1 {}_8\psi_8[z^2/a; bz/a, cz/a, dz/a, ez/a, hz/a, kz/a; q, a^3q^2/bcdehk], \end{aligned} \quad (1.12)$$

where

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{(b^2q/a; q)_\infty}{(q, aq, q/a; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(q, aq; b, c, d, e, h, k)}{\Omega(aq/b, bq; c, d, e, h, k)} \frac{\theta(bz/a, z/b)}{\theta(z/a, z)}, \quad (1.13a)$$

$$\mu_1 = \frac{(b^2q/a; q)_\infty}{(q, z^2q/a, aq/z^2; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(aq/z, zq; b, c, d, e, h, k)}{\Omega(aq/b, bq; c, d, e, h, k)} \frac{\theta(a/b, b)}{\theta(a/z, z)}. \quad (1.13b)$$

By means of these two lemmas and Weierstrass' theta identity, we will establish the following two new transformation formulas which can be regarded as generalizations of Bailey's VWP ${}_6\psi_6$ summation formula and of Weierstrass' theta identity. They are the main results of this paper.

Theorem 1.5. *For complex numbers a, b, c, d, e, f, g and z with $z = bcde/aq$, such that $|a^2q/fgz| < 1$, $|aq/fg| < 1$, there holds*

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_8\psi_8 [a; b, c, d, e, f, g; q, a^2q/fgz] \\ &= (1 - 1/z) \frac{(aq, q/a; q)_\infty}{\Omega(q; f, g)} \frac{\Omega(aq; bc, bd, be, cd, ce, de, fg, fz, gz)}{\Omega(aq; b, c, d, e)\Omega(1/z; 1/b, 1/c, 1/d, 1/e, fg/a^2q)} \\ &\times {}_8\psi_8 [z; b, c, d, e, fz/a, gz/a; q, aq/fg] \\ &+ \frac{1}{z} \frac{(aq, q/a; q)_\infty}{(aq/z^2, z^2q/a; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(aq/z, zq; f, g)}{\Omega(q, aq; f, g)} \frac{(b, c, d, e; q)_\infty \Omega(aq/z; b, c, d, e)}{\Omega(1/z; 1/b, 1/c, 1/d, 1/e)\Omega(aq; b, c, d, e)} \\ &\times {}_8\psi_8 [z^2/a; bz/a, cz/a, dz/a, ez/a, fz/a, gz/a; q, a^2q/fgz]. \end{aligned} \quad (1.14)$$

Theorem 1.6. *With the same conditions as Theorem 1.5. Then there holds*

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_8W_7 [b^2/a; bc/a, bd/a, be/a, bf/a, bg/a; q, a^2q/fgz] = (1 - 1/z) \frac{\theta(bz/a, z/b)}{\theta(z/a, z)} \\ &\times \frac{(b^2q/a; q)_\infty}{\Omega(aq/b, bq; f, g)} \frac{\Omega(q; b, c, d, e)\Omega(aq; f, g, cd, ce, de, fg, fz, gz)}{\Omega(bq; b, c, d, e)\Omega(1/z; 1/b, 1/c, 1/d, 1/e, fg/a^2q)} \\ &\times {}_8\psi_8 [z; b, c, d, e, fz/a, gz/a; q, aq/fg] \\ &+ \frac{(zq/b; q)_\infty}{(z^2q/a; q)_\infty} \frac{\theta(1/b)}{\theta(z/a)} \frac{\Omega(b/a; 1/bq, 1/c, 1/d, 1/e)\Omega(zq; f, g)}{\Omega(1/z; z/aq, 1/c, 1/d, 1/e)\Omega(aq/b; f, g)} \frac{\Omega(aq/z; b, c, d, e, f, g)}{\Omega(bq; b, c, d, e, f, g)} \\ &\times {}_8\psi_8 [z^2/a; bz/a, cz/a, dz/a, ez/a, fz/a, gz/a; q, a^2q/fgz]. \end{aligned} \quad (1.15)$$

Our paper is planned as follows. In the next section, we will show how to derive Lemma 1.4 from Slater's general transformation which seems to have not previously known. Section 3 is devoted to the proofs of Theorems 1.5 and 1.6. Their relations with some well-known results such as Bailey's VWP ${}_6\psi_6$ summation formula, Jackson's ${}_8\phi_7$ summation formula, and Weierstrass' theta identity, are also presented in Section 4.

2. The proof of Lemma 1.4

Lemma 1.4 comes from the special case $r = 5$ of Slater's general transformation (cf. [14] or [5, Eq. (5.5.2)]) for VWP ${}_{2r}\psi_{2r}$ series. To make this clear, we first need to establish

Lemma 2.1 (Slater's transformation with $r = 5$). For $x, z \neq 0$ and $|a^4 q^3 / bcdefghk| < 1$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} & d_0 {}_{10}W_9 [g^2/a; bg/a, cg/a, dg/a, eg/a, fg/a, gh/a, gk/a; q, a^4 q^3 / bcdefghk] \\ &= d_1 {}_{10}\psi_{10} [a; b, c, d, e, f, g, h, k; q, a^4 q^3 / bcdefghk] \\ &+ d_2 {}_{10}\psi_{10} [b^2 x^2/a; b^2 x/a, bcx/a, bdx/a, bex/a, bfx/a, bgx/a, bhx/a, b kx/a; q, a^4 q^3 / bcdefghk] \\ &+ d_3 {}_{10}\psi_{10} [x^2 z^2/a; bxz/a, cxz/a, dxz/a, exz/a, fxz/a, gxz/a, hxz/a, kxz/a; q, a^4 q^3 / bcdefghk], \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where the coefficients

$$d_0 = \frac{1}{(g^2 q/a, aq/g^2; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(gq, aq/g; b, c, d, e, f, g, h, k)}{\theta(g, bgx/a, gxz/a, a/g, bx/g, xz/g)}, \quad (2.2a)$$

$$d_1 = \frac{1}{(aq, q/a; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(q, aq; b, c, d, e, f, g, h, k)}{\theta(g, a/g, bx/a, xz/a, bx, xz)}, \quad (2.2b)$$

$$d_2 = \frac{1}{(b^2 x^2 q/a, aq/b^2 x^2; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(aq/bx, bq; b, c, d, e, f, g, h, k)}{\theta(bgx/a, bx/g, a/bx, z/b, bx, bx^2 z/a)}, \quad (2.2c)$$

$$d_3 = \frac{1}{(x^2 z^2 q/a, aq/x^2 z^2; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(xzq, aq/xz; b, c, d, e, f, g, h, k)}{\theta(gxz/a, xz/g, b/z, a/xz, bx^2 z/a, xz)}. \quad (2.2d)$$

Proof. It suffices to set $r = 5$ and $b_3 = a$ in Slater's transformation [5, Eq. (5.5.2)]. Then it is easy to compute that

$$\begin{aligned} & d_0 {}_{10}W_9 [a; b_4, \dots, b_{10}; q, (aq)^3 / b_4 \cdots b_{10}] \\ &= d_1 {}_{10}\psi_{10} [a_3^2/a; a_3, a_3 b_4/a, \dots, a_3 b_{10}/a; q, (aq)^3 / b_4 \cdots b_{10}] \\ &+ d_2 {}_{10}\psi_{10} [a_4^2/a; a_4, a_4 b_4/a, \dots, a_4 b_{10}/a; q, (aq)^3 / b_4 \cdots b_{10}] \\ &+ d_3 {}_{10}\psi_{10} [a_5^2/a; a_5, a_5 b_4/a, \dots, a_5 b_{10}/a; q, (aq)^3 / b_4 \cdots b_{10}] \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

with the corresponding coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} d_0 &= \frac{(q, q/b_4, \dots, q/b_{10}, aq/b_4, \dots, aq/b_{10}; q)_\infty}{(aq; q)_\infty \theta(a_3, a_4, a_5, a_3/a, a_4/a, a_5/a)}, \\ d_1 &= \frac{(q/a_3, a_3 q/a, a_3 q/b_4, \dots, a_3 q/b_{10}, aq/a_3 b_4, \dots, aq/a_3 b_{10}; q)_\infty}{(qa_3^2/a, aq/a_3^2; q)_\infty \theta(a_3, a_3/a, a_4/a_3, a_5/a_3, a_3 a_4/a, a_3 a_5/a)}, \\ d_2 &= \frac{(q/a_4, a_4 q/a, a_4 q/b_4, \dots, a_4 q/b_{10}, aq/a_4 b_4, \dots, aq/a_4 b_{10}; q)_\infty}{(qa_4^2/a, aq/a_4^2; q)_\infty \theta(a_4, a_4/a, a_3/a_4, a_5/a_4, a_4 a_3/a, a_4 a_5/a)}, \\ d_3 &= \frac{(q/a_5, a_5 q/a, a_5 q/b_4, \dots, a_5 q/b_{10}, aq/a_5 b_4, \dots, aq/a_5 b_{10}; q)_\infty}{(qa_5^2/a, aq/a_5^2; q)_\infty \theta(a_5, a_5/a, a_4/a_5, a_3/a_5, a_5 a_4/a, a_5 a_3/a)}. \end{aligned}$$

By making the simultaneous substitution

$$\begin{aligned} & (a, b_4, b_5, b_6, b_7, b_8, b_9, b_{10}, a_3, a_4, a_5) \\ & \rightarrow (g^2/a, bg/a, cg/a, dg/a, eg/a, fg/a, gh/a, gk/a, g, bgx/a, gxz/a) \end{aligned}$$

in (2.3), then we obtain (2.1) with the corresponding coefficients given by (2.2). All verifications can be carried by *Mathematica* and left to the interested reader. \square

Once specializing Lemma 2.1 to the case $aq = fg$, we come to

Proposition 2.2 (Contiguous relation for three VWP ${}_8\psi_8$ series). *With the same notation as Lemma 2.1. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\Omega(q, aq; b, c, d, e, h, k)}{\theta(bx/a, xz/a, bx, xz)} \times {}_8\psi_8[a; b, c, d, e, h, k; q, a^3q^2/bcdehk] \\
&= \frac{(aq, q/a; q)_\infty}{(b^2x^2q/a, aq/b^2x^2; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(aq/bx, bqx; b, c, d, e, h, k)}{\theta(z/b, bx, bx/a, bx^2z/a)} \\
&\quad \times {}_8\psi_8[b^2x^2/a; b^2x/a, bcx/a, bdx/a, bex/a, bhx/a, bkb/a; q, a^3q^2/bcdehk] \\
&+ \frac{(aq, q/a; q)_\infty}{(x^2z^2q/a, aq/x^2z^2; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(xzq, aq/xz; b, c, d, e, h, k)}{\theta(b/z, xz/a, bx^2z/a, xz)} \\
&\quad \times {}_8\psi_8[x^2z^2/a; bxz/a, cxz/a, dxz/a, exz/a, hxz/a, kxz/a; q, a^3q^2/bcdehk].
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. Note that $d_0 = 0$ in (2.2a) when $aq = fg$. In this case, all coefficients given by (2.2) can be further simplified. In details, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d_1 &= \frac{1}{(aq, q/a; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(q, aq; b, c, d, e, f, g, h, k)}{\theta(g, a/g, bx/a, xz/a, bx, xz)} \\
&= \frac{\Omega(q, aq; f, g)}{\theta(g, a/g)(aq, q/a; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(q, aq; b, c, d, e, h, k)}{\theta(bx/a, xz/a, bx, xz)} \\
&= \frac{-g/a}{(aq, q/a; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(q, aq; b, c, d, e, h, k)}{\theta(bx/a, xz/a, bx, xz)}.
\end{aligned}$$

By carrying out the same computation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
d_2 &= \frac{1}{(b^2x^2q/a, aq/b^2x^2; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(aq/bx, bqx; b, c, d, e, f, g, h, k)}{\theta(bgx/a, bx/g, a/bx, z/b, bx, bx^2z/a)}, \\
&= \frac{-g/bx}{(b^2x^2q/a, aq/b^2x^2; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(aq/bx, bqx; b, c, d, e, h, k)}{\theta(a/bx, z/b, bx, bx^2z/a)}, \\
d_3 &= \frac{1}{(x^2z^2q/a, aq/x^2z^2; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(xzq, aq/xz; b, c, d, e, f, g, h, k)}{\theta(gxz/a, xz/g, b/z, a/xz, bx^2z/a, xz)} \\
&= \frac{-g/xz}{(x^2z^2q/a, aq/x^2z^2; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(xzq, aq/xz; b, c, d, e, h, k)}{\theta(b/z, a/xz, bx^2z/a, xz)}.
\end{aligned}$$

By substituting all these into (2.1) and making a bit simplification, we obtain (2.4). \square

Having prepared Proposition 2.2, we are ready to prove Lemma 1.4.

The proof of Lemma 1.4. It suffices to take $x = 1$ in (2.4). We thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\Omega(q, aq; b, c, d, e, h, k)}{\theta(b/a, z/a, b, z)} {}_8\psi_8[a; b, c, d, e, h, k; q, a^3q^2/bcdehk] \\
&= \frac{(aq, q/a; q)_\infty}{(b^2q/a, aq/b^2; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(aq/b, bq; b, c, d, e, h, k)}{\theta(z/b, b, b/a, bz/a)} \\
&\quad \times {}_8\psi_8[b^2/a; b^2/a, bc/a, bd/a, be/a, bh/a, bk/a; q, a^3q^2/bcdehk] \\
&+ \frac{(aq, q/a; q)_\infty}{(z^2q/a, aq/z^2; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(zq, aq/z; b, c, d, e, h, k)}{\theta(b/z, z/a, bz/a, z)} \\
&\quad \times {}_8\psi_8[z^2/a; bz/a, cz/a, dz/a, ez/a, hz/a, kz/a; q, a^3q^2/bcdehk].
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that in such case, the series

$${}_8\psi_8[b^2/a; b^2/a, bc/a, bd/a, be/a, bh/a, bk/a; q, a^3q^2/bcdehk]$$

reduces to

$${}_8W_7[b^2/a; bc/a, bd/a, be/a, bh/a, bk/a; q, a^3q^2/bcdehk].$$

Hence, we can express the ${}_8W_7$ series in terms of two VWP ${}_8\psi_8$ series via the use of the preceding identity. The computation is carried out as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(aq, q/a; q)_\infty}{(b^2q/a, aq/b^2; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(aq/b, bq; b, c, d, e, h, k)}{\theta(z/b, b, b/a, bz/a)} \\ & \times {}_8W_7[b^2/a; bc/a, bd/a, be/a, bh/a, bk/a; q, a^3q^2/bcdehk] \\ & = \frac{\Omega(q, aq; b, c, d, e, h, k)}{\theta(b/a, z/a, b, z)} \times {}_8\psi_8[a; b, c, d, e, h, k; q, a^3q^2/bcdehk] \\ & - \frac{(aq, q/a; q)_\infty}{(z^2q/a, aq/z^2; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(zq, aq/z; b, c, d, e, h, k)}{\theta(b/z, z/a, bz/a, z)} \\ & \times {}_8\psi_8[z^2/a; bz/a, cz/a, dz/a, ez/a, hz/a, kz/a; q, a^3q^2/bcdehk]. \end{aligned}$$

It is, after simplified by the basic relation of $\theta(x) = -x\theta(1/x)$, in agreement with (1.12). \square

3. Proofs of Theorems 1.5 and 1.6

In this section, we proceed to the proofs of our main results.

3.1. The proof of Theorem 1.5

The argument for Theorem 1.5 is entirely based on Lemmas 1.1, 1.3, and 1.4.

The proof of Theorem 1.5. Actually, by replacing (h, k) with (f, g) in (1.12) and combining with (1.10), we can come to a system of linear equations in X and Y

$$\begin{cases} {}_8\psi_8 - \kappa_1 {}_8W_7 = \kappa_0 X \\ -\lambda_1 {}_8\psi_8 + {}_8W_7 = \mu_1 Y. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

For clarity of notation, we now employ the abbreviated notation ${}_8\psi_8$ and ${}_8W_7$ respectively for

$$\begin{aligned} {}_8\psi_8 & := {}_8\psi_8[a; b, c, d, e, f, g; q, a^3q^2/bcdefg], \\ {}_8W_7 & := {}_8W_7[b^2/a; bc/a, bd/a, be/a, bf/a, bg/a; q, a^3q^2/bcdefg] \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

while X and Y stand, respectively, for

$$\begin{aligned} X & := {}_8\psi_8[t; b, c, d, e, tf/a, tg/a; q, aq/fg], \\ Y & := {}_8\psi_8[z^2/a; bz/a, cz/a, dz/a, ez/a, fz/a, gz/a; q, a^3q^2/bcdefg]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Here the coefficients κ_0 and κ_1 are the same as in (1.11), λ_1 and μ_1 are given by (1.13). In what follows, we assume

$$z = t,$$

since it is under this condition that we can find a closed-form expression for the determinant of the system of linear equations (3.1). The detailed process is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \kappa_1\lambda_1 & = 1 - \frac{\theta(c, d, e, b^2cde/a^2q)}{\theta(bc/a, bd/a, be/a, z)} \\ & = \frac{\theta(bc/a, bd/a, be/a, z) - \theta(c, d, e, b^2cde/a^2q)}{\theta(bc/a, bd/a, be/a, z)} \\ & = -\frac{\theta(c, d, e, (aq)^2/b^2cde) - \theta(aq/bc, aq/bd, aq/be, z)}{\theta(bc/a, bd/a, be/a, z)}. \end{aligned}$$

In the sequel, we are lucky enough to compute by appealing the Weierstrass theta function identity (1.8) the numerator

$$\theta(c, d, e, (aq)^2/b^2cde) - \theta(aq/bc, aq/bd, aq/be, z) = \frac{aq}{bd} \theta(aq/b, bcd/aq, bde/aq, aq/bce).$$

Thus we get

$$1 - \kappa_1 \lambda_1 = -\frac{aq}{bd} \frac{\theta(aq/b, bcd/aq, bde/aq, aq/bce)}{\theta(bc/a, bd/a, be/a, z)},$$

by which we can solve (3.1) for ${}_8\psi_8$. That is

$${}_8\psi_8 = \frac{\kappa_0}{1 - \kappa_1 \lambda_1} X + \frac{\kappa_1 \mu_1}{1 - \kappa_1 \lambda_1} Y. \quad (3.4)$$

It remains only to simplify the coefficients. As is expected, we evaluate under the condition $z = t$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\kappa_0}{1 - \kappa_1 \lambda_1} &= \frac{-bd}{aq} \frac{\theta(bc/a, bd/a, be/a, z)}{\theta(aq/b, bcd/aq, bde/aq, aq/bce)} \\ &\times \frac{(aq, q/a, aq/cd, aq/ce, aq/de, aq/fg, b/a, tq/c, tq/d, tq/e, aq/tf, aq/tg; q)_\infty}{(q/f, q/g, aq/c, aq/d, aq/e, bc/a, bd/a, be/a, b/t, tq, q/t, a^2q/tfg; q)_\infty} \\ &= \frac{bd(t-1)}{aq} \frac{\theta(c/t, d/t, e/t)}{\theta(t/c, d/t, t/e)} \\ &\times \frac{(aq, q/a, aq/bc, aq/bd, aq/be, aq/cd, aq/ce, aq/de, aq/fg, aq/tf, aq/tg; q)_\infty}{(q/f, q/g, aq/b, aq/c, aq/d, aq/e, b/t, c/t, d/t, e/t, a^2q/tfg; q)_\infty} \\ &\stackrel{t=z}{=} \frac{z-1}{z} \frac{(aq, q/a, aq/bc, aq/bd, aq/be, aq/cd, aq/ce, aq/de, aq/fg, aq/fz, aq/gz; q)_\infty}{(q/f, q/g, aq/b, aq/c, aq/d, aq/e, b/z, c/z, d/z, e/z, a^2q/fgz; q)_\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we evaluate directly

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\kappa_1 \mu_1}{1 - \kappa_1 \lambda_1} &= \frac{\theta(z/a)}{\theta(z/b)} \\ &\times \frac{(q, aq, q/a, c, d, e, bq/c, bq/d, bq/e, bq/f, bq/g, aq/bf, aq/bg; q)_\infty}{(q/f, q/g, aq/b, aq/c, aq/d, aq/e, aq/f, aq/g, bc/a, bd/a, be/a, q/b, b^2q/a; q)_\infty} \\ &\times \frac{(b^2q/a; q)_\infty}{(q, z^2q/a, aq/z^2; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(aq/z, zq; b, c, d, e, f, g)}{\Omega(aq/b, bq; c, d, e, f, g)} \frac{\theta(a/b, b)}{\theta(a/z, z)} \times \left(-\frac{bd}{aq} \right) \frac{\theta(bc/a, bd/a, be/a, z)}{\theta(b/a, z/c, z/e, d/z)} \\ &= \frac{(aq, q/a, b, c, d, e; q)_\infty}{(q/f, q/g, z^2q/a, aq/z^2; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(aq/z; b, c, d, e, f, g) \Omega(zq; f, g)}{\Omega(aq; b, c, d, e, f, g) (b/z, c/z, d/z, e/z; q)_\infty} \\ &\quad \times \left(-\frac{dz}{aq} \right) \frac{\theta(b/z, c/z, d/z, e/z)}{\theta(z/b, z/c, d/z, z/e)} \\ &= \frac{1}{z} \frac{(zq/f, zq/g, aq, q/a, b, c, d, e; q)_\infty}{(q/f, q/g, aq/z^2, z^2q/a, b/z, c/z, d/z, e/z; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(aq/z; b, c, d, e, f, g)}{\Omega(aq; b, c, d, e, f, g)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the theorem is proved. \square

3.2. The proof of Theorem 1.6

With the help of (3.1), we can proceed to the proof of Theorem 1.6.

The proof of Theorem 1.6. Performing as before, we can derive from (3.1), under the same condition $z = t$, that

$${}_8W_7 = \frac{\lambda_1 \kappa_0}{1 - \kappa_1 \lambda_1} X + \frac{\mu_1}{1 - \kappa_1 \lambda_1} Y, \quad (3.5)$$

where the coefficient

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda_1 \kappa_0}{1 - \kappa_1 \lambda_1} &= \frac{t-1}{t} \\ &\times \frac{(aq, q/a, aq/bc, aq/bd, aq/be, aq/cd, aq/ce, aq/de, aq/fg, aq/fg, aq/tf, aq/tg; q)_\infty}{(q/f, q/g, aq/b, aq/c, aq/d, aq/e, b/t, c/t, d/t, e/t, a^2q/tfg; q)_\infty} \\ &\times \frac{(b^2q/a; q)_\infty}{(q, aq, q/a; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(q, aq; b, c, d, e, f, g)}{\Omega(aq/b, bq; c, d, e, f, g)} \frac{\theta(bz/a, z/b)}{\theta(z/a, z)} \stackrel{t=z}{=} \frac{z-1}{z} \times \frac{\theta(bz/a, z/b)}{\theta(z/a, z)} \\ &\times \frac{(b^2q/a, q/b, q/c, q/d, q/e, aq/f, aq/g, aq/cd, aq/ce, aq/de, aq/fg, aq/fz, aq/gz; q)_\infty}{(q, aq/bf, aq/bg, bq/c, bq/d, bq/e, bq/f, bq/g, b/z, c/z, d/z, e/z, a^2q/fgz; q)_\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

In the meantime, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu_1}{1 - \kappa_1 \lambda_1} &= -\frac{bd \theta(bc/a, bd/a, be/a, z)}{aq \theta(b/a, z/c, z/e, d/z)} \\ &\times \frac{(b^2q/a; q)_\infty}{(q, z^2q/a, aq/z^2; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(aq/z, zq; b, c, d, e, f, g)}{\Omega(aq/b, bq; c, d, e, f, g)} \frac{\theta(a/b, b)}{\theta(a/z, z)} \\ &= \frac{(b^2q/a; q)_\infty}{(q, z^2q/a, aq/z^2; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(aq/z, zq; b, c, d, e, f, g)}{\Omega(aq/b, bq; c, d, e, f, g)} \frac{\theta(b)}{\theta(a/z)} \times \frac{d \theta(bc/a, bd/a, be/a)}{q \theta(z/c, z/e, d/z)} \\ &= \frac{(b^2q/a; q)_\infty \Omega(zq; b, f, g)}{(q, z^2q/a, aq/z^2; q)_\infty \Omega(aq/b; f, g)} \frac{\Omega(aq/z; b, c, d, e, f, g)}{\Omega(bq; c, d, e, f, g)} \frac{\theta(b)}{\theta(a/z)} \\ &\quad \times \frac{d}{q} \frac{\Omega(zq; c, d, e)}{\theta(z/c, z/e, d/z)} \times \frac{\theta(bc/a, bd/a, be/a)}{\Omega(aq/b; c, d, e)}. \end{aligned}$$

Further simplification yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu_1}{1 - \kappa_1 \lambda_1} &= \frac{(b^2q/a, bc/a, bd/a, be/a; q)_\infty \Omega(zq; b, f, g)}{(q, z^2q/a, aq/z^2, c/z, d/z, e/z; q)_\infty \Omega(aq/b; f, g)} \frac{\Omega(aq/z; b, c, d, e, f, g)}{\Omega(bq; c, d, e, f, g)} \frac{\theta(b)}{\theta(a/z)} \\ &\quad \times \frac{d \theta(c/z, d/z, e/z)}{q \theta(z/c, z/e, d/z)} \times \frac{\theta(bc/a, bd/a, be/a)}{\theta(bc/a, bd/a, be/a)} \\ &= \frac{(b^2q/a, bc/a, bd/a, be/a; q)_\infty \Omega(zq; b, f, g)}{(z^2q/a, aq/z^2, c/z, d/z, e/z; q)_\infty \Omega(aq/b; f, g)} \frac{\Omega(aq/z; b, c, d, e, f, g)}{\Omega(bq; b, c, d, e, f, g)} \frac{\theta(1/b)}{\theta(z/a)}. \end{aligned}$$

It gives the complete proof of the theorem. \square

4. Applications

As a first interesting application, we can deduce an equivalent version of Bailey's VWP ${}_6\psi_6$ summation formula from (1.15) of Theorem 1.6 directly.

Corollary 4.1. *For any nonzero complex numbers a, b, c, d, e, f, g with $z = de/q$ and $a = bc$, such that $|aq/fg| < 1$, there holds*

$$\begin{aligned} &{}_6\psi_6[z; b, c, fz/a, gz/a; q, aq/fg] \\ &= \frac{(q, de, q^2/de, de/bc, cq/f, cq/g, bq/f, bq/g, (bcq)^2/de, fg; q)_\infty}{(q/b, q/c, aq/f, aq/g, de/b, de/c, aq/fg, aq^2/de, aq^2/de, g; q)_\infty}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. It suffices to take in (1.15) that $a = bc$, i.e., $zq = de$. As is easily seen, $(bc/a; q)_\infty = 0$ and

$${}_8W_7[b^2/a; bc/a, bd/a, be/a, bf/a, bg/a; q, a^2q/fgz] = 1.$$

Also, from the definitions of $\theta(x)$ and ${}_r\psi_r$, it is not difficult to check that

$$\frac{\theta(z/a, z)}{\theta(bz/a, z/b)} = \frac{\theta(a/z, 1/z)}{\theta(a/bz, b/z)}$$

and

$${}_8\psi_8[z; b, c, d, e, fz/a, gz/a; q, aq/fg] = {}_6\psi_6[z; b, c, fz/a, gz/a; q, aq/fg].$$

All reduces (1.15) to

$$\begin{aligned} {}_6\psi_6[z; b, c, fz/a, gz/a; q, aq/fg] &= \frac{1}{1-q/de} \times \frac{\theta(bcq/de, q/de)}{\theta(cq/de, bq/de)} \\ &\times \frac{(q, cq/f, cq/g, bq/c, bq/d, bq/e, bq/f, bq/g, bq/de, cq/de, q/e, q/d, (bcq)^2/defg; q)_\infty}{(bq/c, q/b, q/c, q/d, q/e, bcq/f, bcq/g, bq/d, bq/e, bcq/de, bcq/fg, bcq^2/def, bcq^2/deg; q)_\infty} \\ &= \frac{(q, de, q^2/de, de/bc, cq/f, cq/g, bq/f, bq/g, (bcq)^2/defg; q)_\infty}{(q/b, q/c, bcq/f, bcq/g, de/b, de/c, bcq/fg, bcq^2/def, bcq^2/deg; q)_\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (4.1) is proved. \square

We remark that under the substitution $(z, b, c, f, g) \rightarrow (a, b, c, bcd/a, bce/a)$, (4.1) turns out to be (1.9). As demonstrated in the last section, Weierstrass' theta identity (1.3) plays a very crucial role in the establishments of both Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.6. Especially noteworthy that Theorem 1.5 covers this famous identity conversely.

Corollary 4.2 (Equivalent form of Lemma 1.1). *For any nonzero complex numbers a, b, c, d, e with $a^2q = bcde$, there holds*

$$\theta(b, c, d, e) - \theta(a, bc/a, bd/a, be/a) = a\theta(b/a, c/a, d/a, e/a). \quad (4.2)$$

Proof. It suffices to take $z = a$ in (1.14) of Theorem 1.5. As a result, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &{}_8\psi_8[a; b, c, d, e, f, g; q, aq/fg] \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{a}\right) \frac{(aq, q/a, aq/bc, aq/bd, aq/be, aq/cd, aq/ce, aq/de, aq/fg, q/f, q/g; q)_\infty}{(q/f, q/g, aq/b, aq/c, aq/d, aq/e, b/a, c/a, d/a, e/a, aq/fg; q)_\infty} \\ &\times {}_8\psi_8[a; b, c, d, e, f, g; q, aq/fg] \\ &+ \frac{1}{a} \frac{(b, c, d, e, q/b, q/c, q/d, q/e; q)_\infty}{(b/a, c/a, d/a, e/a, aq/b, aq/c, aq/d, aq/e; q)_\infty} \times {}_8\psi_8[a; b, c, d, e, f, g; q, aq/fg]. \end{aligned}$$

On canceling the common factor ${}_8\psi_8[a; b, c, d, e, f, g; q, aq/fg]$ from both sides, we get

$$1 = \frac{(aq, 1/a, aq/bc, aq/bd, aq/be, aq/cd, aq/ce, aq/de; q)_\infty}{\theta(b/a, c/a, d/a, e/a)} + \frac{1}{a} \frac{\theta(b, c, d, e)}{\theta(b/a, c/a, d/a, e/a)}. \quad (4.3)$$

For $bcde = a^2q$, it is easy to check that

$$(aq, 1/a, aq/bc, aq/bd, aq/be, aq/cd, aq/ce, aq/de; q)_\infty = \theta(1/a, bc/a, bd/a, be/a).$$

Then (4.2) follows from (4.3) immediately. \square

Beyond this, we also find a general version of Weierstrass' theta identity from Theorem 1.5.

Theorem 4.3 (Generalized Weierstrass theta identity). *For $z = bcde/aq$ such that $|aq/z| < 1$, there holds*

$$\begin{aligned}
& {}_8\psi_8 [a; b, c, d, e, a/z, z; q, aq/z] \\
&= \left(1 - \frac{1}{z}\right) \frac{(q, q, aq, q/a; q)_\infty}{(zq/a, q/z, aq/z; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(aq; bc, bd, be, cd, ce, de, z^2)}{\Omega(aq; b, c, d, e)\Omega(1/z; 1/b, 1/c, 1/d, 1/e)} \\
&\quad \times {}_8W_7[1/z; b/z, c/z, d/z, e/z, z/a; q, q] \\
&+ \frac{1}{z} \frac{(q, q, aq, q/a, b, c, d, e; q)_\infty}{(q/z, zq/a, zq, aq/z; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(aq/z; b, c, d, e)}{\Omega(aq; b, c, d, e)\Omega(1/z; 1/b, 1/c, 1/d, 1/e)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. To establish (4.4), it suffices to set $f = a/z, g = z$ in (1.14) of Theorem 1.5 and note that

$${}_8\psi_8 [z^2/a; bz/a, cz/a, dz/a, ez/a, 1, z^2/a; q, aq/z] = 1.$$

That we obtain is

$$\begin{aligned}
& {}_8\psi_8 [a; b, c, d, e, a/z, z; q, aq/z] \\
&= \left(1 - \frac{1}{z}\right) \frac{(q, q, aq, q/a; q)_\infty}{(zq/a, q/z, aq/z; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(aq; bc, bd, be, cd, ce, de, z^2)}{\Omega(aq; b, c, d, e)\Omega(1/z; 1/b, 1/c, 1/d, 1/e)} \\
&\quad \times {}_8\psi_8 [z; b, c, d, e, 1, z^2/a; q, q] \\
&+ \frac{1}{z} \frac{(q, q, aq, q/a, b, c, d, e; q)_\infty}{(q/z, zq/a, zq, aq/z; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(aq/z; b, c, d, e)}{\Omega(aq; b, c, d, e)\Omega(1/z; 1/b, 1/c, 1/d, 1/e)}.
\end{aligned}$$

On account of the fact

$${}_8\psi_8 [z; b, c, d, e, 1, z^2/a; q, q] = {}_8W_7[1/z; b/z, c/z, d/z, e/z, z/a; q, q],$$

thus (4.4) follows. \square

Remark 4.4. *As mentioned earlier, (4.4) covers Weierstrass' theta identity as the special case $z = a$. The explanation goes as follows. When $z = a$, the condition becomes $bcde = a^2q$. Thus (4.4) is specialized to*

$$\begin{aligned}
& {}_8\psi_8 [a; b, c, d, e, 1, a; q, q] \\
&= \left(1 - \frac{1}{a}\right) \frac{(q, q, aq, q/a, q/a; q)_\infty}{(q, q, q/a; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(aq; bc, bd, be, cd, ce, de)}{\Omega(aq; b, c, d, e)\Omega(1/a; 1/b, 1/c, 1/d, 1/e)} \\
&\quad \times {}_8W_7[1/a; b/a, c/a, d/a, e/a, 1; q, q] \\
&+ \frac{1}{a} \frac{(q, q, aq, q/a, b, c, d, e; q)_\infty}{(q/a, q, aq, q; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(q; b, c, d, e)}{\Omega(aq; b, c, d, e)\Omega(1/a; 1/b, 1/c, 1/d, 1/e)}.
\end{aligned}$$

A bit simplification yields

$$1 = \frac{\theta(1/a, aq/bc, aq/bd, aq/be)}{\theta(b/a, c/a, d/a, e/a)} + \frac{1}{a} \frac{\theta(b, c, d, e)}{\theta(b/a, c/a, d/a, e/a)},$$

which is identified to be (4.2).

Beside Theorem 4.3, it deserves to consider other particular choices of f and g , in order to find more transformation formulas.

Corollary 4.5. For $z = bcde/aq$, $|aq/z| < 1$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(aq, 1/z; q)_\infty \Omega(aq; bc, bd, be, cd, ce, de)}{(b, c, d, e; q)_\infty \Omega(aq/z; b, c, d, e)} \times {}_8W_7[z; b, c, d, e, z/a; q, q] \\
& + \frac{1}{z} \frac{(q/z, zq, zq/a, aq/z; q)_\infty}{(q, q, aq/z^2, z^2q/a; q)_\infty} \times {}_8\psi_8[z^2/a; bz/a, cz/a, dz/a, ez/a, z/a, z; q, aq/z] \\
& = \frac{\Omega(aq; b, c, d, e) \Omega(1/z; 1/b, 1/c, 1/d, 1/e)}{(b, c, d, e; q)_\infty \Omega(aq/z; b, c, d, e)}. \tag{4.5}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. To show (4.5), we only need to take $f = 1$ and $g = a$ in (1.14) of Theorem 1.5. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& {}_8\psi_8[a; b, c, d, e, 1, a; q, aq/z] \\
& = \left(1 - \frac{1}{z}\right) \frac{(aq, q/a; q)_\infty}{\Omega(q; 1, a)} \frac{\Omega(aq; bc, bd, be, cd, ce, de, a, z, az)}{\Omega(aq; b, c, d, e) \Omega(1/z; 1/b, 1/c, 1/d, 1/e, 1/aq)} \\
& \times {}_8\psi_8[z; b, c, d, e, z/a, z; q, q] \\
& + \frac{1}{z} \frac{(aq, q/a; q)_\infty}{z (aq/z^2, z^2q/a; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(aq/z, zq; 1, a)}{\Omega(q, aq; 1, a)} \frac{(b, c, d, e; q)_\infty \Omega(aq/z; b, c, d, e)}{\Omega(aq; b, c, d, e) \Omega(1/z; 1/b, 1/c, 1/d, 1/e)} \\
& \times {}_8\psi_8[z^2/a; bz/a, cz/a, dz/a, ez/a, z/a, z; q, aq/z]. \tag{4.6}
\end{aligned}$$

By multiplying both sides of (4.6) with $\Omega(1/z; 1/b, 1/c, 1/d, 1/e) \Omega(aq; b, c, d, e)$ and then dividing by $(b, c, d, e; q)_\infty \Omega(aq/z; b, c, d, e)$ together some simplifications, we finally obtain (4.5). \square

As a good application of Corollary 4.5, we can give a new proof of Jackson's q -analogue of Dougall's ${}_7F_6$ sum [5, (II. 22)].

Proposition 4.6. For integer $n \geq 0$ and complex numbers a, b, c, d, z with $azq^{n+1} = bcd$, there holds

$${}_8W_7[z; b, c, d, z/a, q^{-n}; q, q] = \frac{(zq, zq/bc, zq/bd, zq/cd; q)_n}{(zq/b, zq/c, zq/d, zq/bcd; q)_n}. \tag{4.7}$$

Proof. Observe that (4.5) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
& {}_8W_7[z; b, c, d, e, z/a; q, q] \\
& = \frac{1}{z} \frac{(q/z, zq, zq/a, aq/z; q)_\infty}{(aq, q, aq/z^2, z^2q/a; q)_\infty} \times \frac{(b, c, d, e; q)_\infty \Omega(aq/z; b, c, d, e)}{(aq, 1/z; q)_\infty \Omega(aq; bc, bd, be, cd, ce, de)} \\
& \times {}_8\psi_8[z^2/a; bz/a, cz/a, dz/a, ez/a, z/a, z; q, aq/z] \\
& + \frac{\Omega(aq; b, c, d, e) \Omega(1/z; 1/b, 1/c, 1/d, 1/e)}{(aq, 1/z; q)_\infty \Omega(aq; bc, bd, be, cd, ce, de)}. \tag{4.8}
\end{aligned}$$

In this form, we only need to set $e = q^{-n}$ in (4.8). Note that $(e; q)_\infty = 0$ and the condition

for Corollary 4.5 becomes $azq^{n+1} = bcd$. Consequently, (4.8) can be simplified as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& {}_8W_7[z; b, c, d, q^{-n}, z/a; q, q] \\
&= \frac{\Omega(bcdq^{-n}/z; b, c, d, q^{-n})\Omega(1/z; 1/b, 1/c, 1/d, q^n)}{(bcdq^{-n}/z, 1/z; q)_\infty \Omega(bcdq^{-n}/z; bc, bd, cd, bq^{-n}, cq^{-n}, dq^{-n})} \\
&= \frac{(cdq^{-n}/z, bdq^{-n}/z, bcq^{-n}/z, bcd/z; q)_\infty (b/z, c/z, d/z, q^{-n}/z; q)_\infty}{(bcdq^{-n}/z, 1/z; q)_\infty (bq^{-n}/z, cq^{-n}/z, dq^{-n}/z, bc/z, bd/z, cd/z; q)_\infty} \\
&= \frac{(zq, zq/cd, zq/bd, zq/bc; q)_n}{(zq/b, zq/c, zq/d, zq/bcd; q)_n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence (4.7) is proved. \square

Continuing like above, we have

Corollary 4.7. *For $a = de$ and $bc = zq$, there holds*

$${}_8\psi_8 [a; b, c, d, e, a/z, z; q, aq/z] = \frac{(q, q, aq, q/a, aq/dz, aq/ez; q)_\infty \Delta}{(q/z, zq/a; q)_\infty \Omega(1/z; 1/b, 1/c, 1/d, 1/e) \Omega(aq; b, c, d, e)}, \quad (4.9)$$

where the factor

$$\Delta := \frac{\theta(d, e)(b, c, aq/bz, aq/cz; q)_\infty - \theta(z, a/z)(aq/bd, aq/be, aq/cd, aq/ce; q)_\infty}{z(q/d, q/e, zq, aq/z; q)_\infty}.$$

Proof. To obtain (4.9), we only need to put $bc = zq$ (thus, $a = de$) in (4.4) of Theorem 4.3.

In this case, it is evident that

$${}_8W_7[1/z; b/z, c/z, d/z, e/z, z/a; q, q] = {}_6W_5[1/z; d/z, e/z, z/a; q, q],$$

which can be evaluated by Rogers' summation formula (II. 20) of [5] in closed-form

$${}_6W_5[1/z; d/z, e/z, z/a; q, q] = \frac{(q/z, zq/de, aq/dz, aq/ez; q)_\infty}{(q/d, q/e, aq/de, aq/z^2; q)_\infty}. \quad (4.10)$$

A direct substitution of this into (4.4) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& {}_8\psi_8 [a; b, c, d, e, a/z, z; q, aq/z] \\
&= \left(1 - \frac{1}{z}\right) \frac{(q, q, aq, q/a; q)_\infty}{(q/z, zq/a, aq/z; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(aq; bc, bd, be, cd, ce, de, z^2)}{\Omega(aq; b, c, d, e) \Omega(1/z; 1/b, 1/c, 1/d, 1/e)} \\
&\quad \times \frac{(q/z, zq/de, aq/dz, aq/ez; q)_\infty}{(aq/de, q/d, q/e, aq/z^2; q)_\infty} \\
&+ \frac{1}{z} \frac{(q, q, aq, q/a, b, c, d, e; q)_\infty}{(q/z, zq/a, zq, aq/z; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(aq/z; b, c, d, e)}{\Omega(aq; b, c, d, e) \Omega(1/z; 1/b, 1/c, 1/d, 1/e)} \\
&= \frac{(q, q, aq, q/a, aq/dz, aq/ez; q)_\infty \Delta}{(q/z, zq/a; q)_\infty \Omega(1/z; 1/b, 1/c, 1/d, 1/e) \Omega(aq; b, c, d, e)},
\end{aligned}$$

where the factor

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta &:= \frac{(1 - 1/z)(1 - a/z)(q/z, zq/de; q)_\infty \Omega(aq; bd, be, cd, ce)}{(q/d, q/e; q)_\infty} + \frac{\Omega(aq/z; b, c, d, e; q)_\infty}{z(zq, aq/z; q)_\infty} \\
&= \frac{\theta(d, e)(b, c, aq/bz, aq/cz; q)_\infty - \theta(z, a/z; q)(aq/bd, aq/be, aq/cd, aq/ce; q)_\infty}{z(q/d, q/e, zq, aq/z; q)_\infty}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence (4.9) is proved. \square

Remark 4.8. It should be pointed out that Corollary 4.7 is different with Shukla's VWP ${}_8\psi_8$ summation [12, Eq. (4.1)], namely,

$$\begin{aligned} {}_8\psi_8 [a; b, c, d, e, z, aq^2/z; q, a^2/bcde] &= \frac{(q, aq, q/a; q)_\infty \Omega(aq; bc, bd, be, cd, ce, de)}{(a^2q/bcde; q)_\infty \Omega(q, aq; b, c, d, e)} \\ &\times \left(1 - \frac{(1 - bc/a)(1 - bd/a)(1 - be/a)}{(1 - bq/z)(1 - bz/aq)(1 - bcde/a^2)} \right) \frac{(1 - z/bq)(1 - bz/aq)}{(1 - z/aq)(1 - z/q)}, \end{aligned}$$

because that in (4.9), the product of any two upper-parameters does not equal to aq^2 .

Corollary 4.9. For $zq = cde$ and $|aq/z| < 1$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} &{}_8W_7 [a; c, d, e, a/z, z; q, aq/z] \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{z} \right) \frac{(q, aq, q/a, aq/z^2; q)_\infty}{\theta(a/z)(q/z; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(q; c, d, e)\Omega(aq; cd, ce, de)}{\Omega(aq; c, d, e, z)\Omega(1/z; 1/c, 1/d, 1/e)} \\ &\times {}_8W_7 [1/z; a/z, c/z, d/z, e/z, z/a; q, q] \\ &+ \frac{1}{z} \frac{(q, aq, q/a, a; q)_\infty}{\theta(a/z)(zq; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(1; 1/c, 1/d, 1/e)\Omega(aq/z; c, d, e)}{\Omega(1/z; 1/c, 1/d, 1/e)\Omega(aq; c, d, e, z)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Proof. It suffices to take $b = a$ (thus $zq = cde$) in (4.4), yielding

$$\begin{aligned} &{}_8W_7 [a; c, d, e, a/z, z; q, aq/z] \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{z} \right) \frac{(q, q, aq, q/a; q)_\infty}{(zq/a, q/z, aq/z; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(aq; ac, ad, ae, cd, ce, de, z^2)}{\Omega(aq; a, c, d, e)\Omega(1/z; 1/a, 1/c, 1/d, 1/e)} \\ &\times {}_8W_7 [1/z; a/z, c/z, d/z, e/z, z/a; q, q] \\ &+ \frac{1}{z} \frac{(q, q, aq, q/a, a, c, d, e; q)_\infty}{(q/z, zq/a, zq, aq/z; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(aq/z; a, c, d, e)}{\Omega(1/z; 1/a, 1/c, 1/d, 1/e)\Omega(aq; a, c, d, e)}. \end{aligned}$$

That is we wanted. \square

It is of interest to see that Corollary 4.9 covers a special relation for ${}_8W_7$ and VWP ${}_8\psi_8$ series deserving our attention.

Proposition 4.10. For complex numbers c, d, e and $z = cde/q$, such that $|q/z| < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &{}_8W_7 [1/z; c/z, d/z, e/z, 1/z, z; q, q] \\ &= \frac{(zq; q)_\infty}{(q/z^2; q)_\infty} \frac{(q/z; q)_\infty^3}{(q; q)_\infty^3} \lim_{a \rightarrow 1} {}_8\psi_8 [a; \sqrt{a}, c, d, e, 1/z, z; q, q/z]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Proof. It only needs to take $a = 1$ in (4.11). Note that $zq = cde$ and $(1; q)_\infty = 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\theta(1/z) {}_8W_7 [1; c, d, e, 1/z, z; q, q/z] \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{z} \right) \frac{(q, q, q, q/z^2; q)_\infty}{(q/z; q)_\infty} \frac{\Omega(q; c, d, e)\Omega(q; cd, ce, de)}{\Omega(q; c, d, e, z)\Omega(1/z; 1/c, 1/d, 1/e)} \\ &\times {}_8W_7 [1/z; 1/z, c/z, d/z, e/z, z; q, q] \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{z} \right) \frac{(q/z^2; q)_\infty (q; q)_\infty^3}{(q/z; q)_\infty^2} \times {}_8W_7 [1/z; 1/z, c/z, d/z, e/z, z; q, q]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Moreover, it is easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_8W_7[1; c, d, e, 1/z, z; q, q/z] \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1+q^n) \frac{(c, d, e, 1/z, z; q)_n}{(q/c, q/d, q/e, zq, q/z; q)_n} (q/z)^n \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= 1 + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} (1+1/q^n) \frac{(c, d, e, 1/z, z; q)_{-n}}{(q/c, q/d, q/e, zq, q/z; q)_{-n}} (z/q)^n \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} (1+q^n) \frac{(c, d, e, 1/z, z; q)_n}{(q/c, q/d, q/e, zq, q/z; q)_n} \left(\frac{q^5}{(cde)^2} \right)^n (z/q^2)^n \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} (1+q^n) \frac{(c, d, e, 1/z, z; q)_n}{(q/c, q/d, q/e, zq, q/z; q)_n} (q/z)^n. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Therefore, by adding (4.14) and (4.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2{}_8W_7[1; c, d, e, 1/z, z; q, q/z] \\ &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (1+q^n) \frac{(c, d, e, 1/z, z; q)_n}{(q/c, q/d, q/e, zq, q/z; q)_n} (q/z)^n, \end{aligned}$$

which amounts to

$${}_8W_7[1; c, d, e, 1/z, z; q, q/z] = \lim_{a \rightarrow 1} {}_8\psi_8[a; \sqrt{a}, c, d, e, 1/z, z; q, q/z].$$

Substituting this into (4.13) we obtain (4.12) at once. \square

We end our paper with a concrete transformation arising from Theorem 1.6.

Corollary 4.11. *For any nonzero complex numbers b, c, d, e, f, g such that $|bc/fg| < 1$ and $|(bc)^2/defgq| < 1$, there holds*

$$\begin{aligned} & \Omega(bq, c; f, g) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - bq^{2n+1}/c) \frac{(dq/c, eq/c, fq/c, gq/c; q)_n}{(bq/d, bq/e, bq/f, bq/g; q)_n} \left(\frac{(bc)^2}{defgq} \right)^n \\ &= \kappa_2(b) \frac{\theta(deq/c, de/b)}{\theta(deq/bc, de)} \frac{\Omega(q; b, c) \Omega(bc; f, g, de, fg, def, deg)}{\Omega(1/de; 1/b, 1/c, fgq/(bc)^2)} \\ & \quad \times {}_8\psi_8[de; b, c, d, e, defq/bc, degq/bc; q, bc/fg] \\ &+ \frac{\theta(1/b, deq/b)}{\theta(1/d, 1/e)} \frac{\Omega(q; c; 1/c, 1/d, 1/e) \Omega(bc; df, dg, ef, eg, fg)}{\Omega(1/de; 1/bdq, 1/beq, bc/(de)^2q, fgq/(bc)^2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

where

$$\kappa_2(b) := \frac{(1-b/d)(1-b/e)(1-1/de)}{(1-1/d)(1-1/e)(q; q)_{\infty}}.$$

Proof. Obviously, when $bc = aq$ and $de = z$, the series

$$\begin{aligned}
& {}_8W_7 [b^2/a; bc/a, bd/a, be/a, bf/a, bg/a; q, a^2q/fgz] \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - bq^{2n+1}/c}{1 - bq/c} \frac{(dq/c, eq/c, fq/c, gq/c; q)_n}{(bq/d, bq/e, bq/f, bq/g; q)_n} ((bc)^2/fgzq)^n; \\
& {}_8\psi_8 [z^2/a; bz/a, cz/a, dz/a, ez/a, fz/a, gz/a; q, a^2q/fgz] \\
&= {}_6\psi_6 [z^2/a; dz/a, ez/a, fz/a, gz/a; q, a^2q/fgz] \\
&= \frac{(q, z^2q/a, aq/z^2, aq/de, aq/df, aq/dg, aq/ef, aq/eg, aq/fg; q)_{\infty}}{(dq, eq, zq/f, zq/g, aq/dz, aq/ez, aq/fz, aq/gz, a^2q/fgz; q)_{\infty}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The last equality is given by Bailey's VWP ${}_6\psi_6$ formula. All these reduces (1.15) of Theorem 1.6 to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - bq^{2n+1}/c}{1 - bq/c} \frac{(dq/c, eq/c, fq/c, gq/c; q)_n}{(bq/d, bq/e, bq/f, bq/g; q)_n} ((bc)^2/fgzq)^n \\
&= (1 - 1/z) \frac{\theta(bz/a, z/b)}{\theta(z/a, z)} \frac{(b^2q/a; q)_{\infty}}{\Omega(aq/b, bq; f, g)} \frac{\Omega(q; b, c, d, e)\Omega(aq; f, g, cd, ce, de, fg, fz, gz)}{\Omega(bq; b, c, d, e)\Omega(1/z; 1/b, 1/c, 1/d, 1/e, fg/a^2q)} \\
&\quad \times {}_8\psi_8 [z; b, c, d, e, fz/a, gz/a; q, aq/fg] + \mathbf{S},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{S} &:= \frac{(zq/b; q)_{\infty}}{(z^2q/a; q)_{\infty}} \frac{\theta(1/b)}{\theta(z/a)} \frac{\Omega(b/a; 1/bq, 1/c, 1/d, 1/e)\Omega(zq; f, g)}{\Omega(1/z; z/aq, 1/c, 1/d, 1/e)\Omega(aq/b; f, g)} \frac{\Omega(aq/z; b, c, d, e, f, g)}{\Omega(bq; b, c, d, e, f, g)} \\
&\quad \times \frac{(q, z^2q/a, aq/z^2, aq/de, aq/df, aq/dg, aq/ef, aq/eg, aq/fg; q)_{\infty}}{(dq, eq, zq/f, zq/g, aq/dz, aq/ez, aq/fz, aq/gz, a^2q/fgz; q)_{\infty}}.
\end{aligned}$$

All remains to simplify \mathbf{S} by the conditions $bc = aq$ and $z = de$. As a result, we achieve

$$\mathbf{S} = \frac{\theta(1/b, deq/b)}{(1 - bq/c)\theta(1/d, 1/e)} \frac{\Omega(q/c; 1/d, 1/e)}{\Omega(c; f, g)\Omega(bq; d, e, f, g)} \frac{(q, bc/df, bc/dg, bc/ef, bc/eg, bc/fg; q)_{\infty}}{(deq/bc, (bc)^2/defgq; q)_{\infty}}.$$

Finally we are led to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - bq^{2n+1}/c}{1 - bq/c} \frac{(dq/c, eq/c, fq/c, gq/c; q)_n}{(bq/d, bq/e, bq/f, bq/g; q)_n} ((bc)^2/defgq)^n \\
&= (1 - 1/de) \frac{\theta(deq/c, de/b)}{\theta(deq/bc, de)} \times \frac{(bq^2/c; q)_{\infty}}{\Omega(bq, c; f, g)} \frac{\Omega(q; b, c, d, e)\Omega(bc; f, g, cd, ce, de, fg, def, deg)}{\Omega(bq; b, c, d, e)\Omega(1/de; 1/b, 1/c, 1/d, 1/e, fgq/(bc)^2)} \\
&\quad \times {}_8\psi_8 [de; b, c, d, e, defq/bc, degq/bc; q, bc/fg] \tag{4.17} \\
&+ \frac{\theta(1/b, deq/b)}{(1 - bq/c)\theta(1/d, 1/e)} \frac{\Omega(q/c; 1/c, 1/d, 1/e)}{\Omega(bq, c; f, g)} \frac{(bc/df, bc/dg, bc/ef, bc/eg, bc/fg; q)_{\infty}}{(bq/d, bq/e, deq/bc, (bc)^2/defgq; q)_{\infty}}.
\end{aligned}$$

By multiplying both sides of (4.17) with $(1 - bq/c)\Omega(bq, c; f, g)$ and simplifying the resulted, we obtain (4.16). \square

Remark 4.12. *It is worth mentioning that the special case $b = f$ of (4.16) recovers our results in the paper [16, Thm. 6 and Thm. 7], claiming that Bailey's VWP ${}_6\psi_6$ summation formula (1.9), Rogers' VWP ${}_6W_5$ summation formula [5, (II.20)], and Weierstrass' theta identity (1.8) are closely related to each others.*

References

- [1] R. Askey, The very-well-poised ${}_6\psi_6$ II, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 90 (1984) 575-579.
- [2] R. Askey and M. E. H. Ismail, The very-well-poised ${}_6\psi_6$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 77 (1979) 218-222.
- [3] W. N. Bailey, Series of hypergeometric type which are infinite in both directions, Quart. J. Math. (Oxford) 7 (1936) 105-115.
- [4] W. C. Chu, Bailey's very-well-poised ${}_6\psi_6$ -series identity, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 113 (2006) 966-979.
- [5] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, Basic Hypergeometric Series (second edition), Encyclopedia Math .Appl., Vol. 35, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [6] M. Jackson, On well-poised bilateral hypergeometric series of the type ${}_8\psi_8$, Quart. J. Math. (Ser. 2, Oxford)1 (1950) 63-68.
- [7] F. Jouhet and M. Schlosser, Another proof of Bailey's ${}_6\psi_6$ summation, Aequationes Math.70 (1) (2005) 43-50.
- [8] T. H. Koornwinder, On the equivalence of two fundamental theta identities, Anal. Appl. (Singap.) 12 (2014) 711-725.
- [9] F. W. J. Olver, D. W. Lozier, R. F. Boisvert, C. W. Clark (editors), NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions. Cambridge University Press, New York, 2010.
- [10] M. Schlosser, A simple proof of Bailey's very-well-poised ${}_6\psi_6$ summation, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 130 (2002) 1113-1123.
- [11] M. Schlosser, Elementary derivations of identities for bilateral basic hypergeometric series, Sel. Math., New Ser. 9 (2003) 119-159.
- [12] H. S. Shukla, A note on the sums of certain bilateral hypergeometric series, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 55 (1959) 262-266.
- [13] L. J. Slater and L. Lakin, Two proofs of the ${}_6\psi_6$ summation theorem, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) 9 (1953-1957) 116-121.
- [14] L. J. Slater, General transformations of bilateral series, Quart. J. Math. (Oxford)(2) 3 (1952) 73-99.
- [15] J. Wang, A new elliptic interpolation formula via the (f, g) -inversion, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 148 (2020) 3457-3471.

- [16] J. Wang and X. R. Ma, An equivalency of Bailey's very-well-poised ${}_6\psi_6$ summation and Weierstrass' theta function identity, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 147 (2019) 2953-2961.
- [17] C. A. Wei, A new transformation formula involving two ${}_8\Psi_8$ series and a ${}_8\phi_7$ series, arXiv:1905.01821v3.