

Distance functions with dense singular sets

Mario Santilli

September 15, 2020

Abstract

We characterize the denseness of the singular set of the distance function from a \mathcal{C}^1 -hypersurface in terms of an inner ball condition and we address the problem of the existence of viscosity solutions of the Eikonal equation whose singular set (i.e. set of non-differentiability points) is not no-where dense.

1 Introduction

The distance function δ_K from a closed subset $K \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$ is a viscosity solution of the Eikonal equation $|\nabla u|^2 = 1$ on $\mathbf{R}^n \sim K$ and it plays a central role in the theory of Hamilton-Jacobi equations. The function δ_K is locally semiconcave on $\mathbf{R}^n \sim K$ and it is continuously differentiable on $\mathbf{R}^n \sim (K \cup \overline{\Sigma(K)})$ with a locally Lipschitz gradient, where $\Sigma(K)$ is the set of non-differentiability points of δ_K . In view of these facts the topological and measure-theoretic properties of the sets $\Sigma(K)$ and $\overline{\Sigma(K)}$ have always been a central theme of research (see [IT01], [MM03], [LN05], [CM07], [ACNS13]). The set $\Sigma(K)$ can be covered, outside a set of \mathcal{H}^{n-1} measure zero, by the union of countably many \mathcal{C}^2 hypersurfaces (see [Zaj79]). Assuming at least that K is a closed \mathcal{C}^2 hypersurface, the Lebesgue measure of $\overline{\Sigma(K)}$ is zero and upper bounds on the Hausdorff dimension of the set $\overline{\Sigma(K)}$ are known ([IT01], [MM03], [LN05], [CM07]); see also [Miu16] for the case of $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ hypersurfaces that are almost \mathcal{C}^2 . On the other hand a well known example of Mantegazza and Mennucci in [MM03, pag. 10] describes a convex body C with $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ -boundary such that $\overline{\Sigma(\partial C)}$ is a no-where dense subset of C with positive Lebesgue measure. This example raises the natural question to understand if (and under which hypothesis) the set $\overline{\Sigma(K)}$ can have interior points. It is particularly interesting the case $K = \partial C$, where C is a convex body with $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ boundary, since if this example exists then one can construct by a well known procedure a viscosity solution of the Eikonal equation on all of \mathbf{R}^n whose singular set is not no-where dense. This question was addressed in [Rif08, Theorem 1, footnote pag. 520], which contains the assertion that every viscosity solution of the Eikonal equation on an open subset of \mathbf{R}^n must be differentiable outside a no-where dense set. Unfortunately the proof of this statement is invalid (see [Rif20]) and, as we show in this paper, it turns out that the statement is actually not true.

In this note we aim to establish the existence of a counterexample to the aforementioned assertion in [Rif08, Theorem 1] and to provide geometric conditions on \mathcal{C}^1 -hypersurfaces K that ensures that $\overline{\Sigma(K)}$ has non empty interior. Specifically we prove the following facts:

1. If Ω is an open subset with \mathcal{C}^1 boundary then $\Omega \sim \overline{\Sigma(\partial\Omega)} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if Ω satisfies an inner uniform ball condition on some open subset of $\partial\Omega$ (see 2.7-2.8).
2. If K is a closed and connected \mathcal{C}^1 hypersurface that is \mathcal{C}^2 unrectifiable, then $\overline{\Sigma(K)} = \mathbf{R}^n$ (see 2.9).
3. For most of the convex bodies C with \mathcal{C}^1 boundary (in the sense of Baire Category) the set $\Sigma(\partial C)$ is dense in C (see 3.1).
4. There exists a convex body C with $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ boundary such that $\overline{\Sigma(\partial C)}$ has interior points (see 3.3).
5. There exists viscosity solutions of the Eikonal equation $|\nabla u|^2 = 1$ on all of \mathbf{R}^n that are not differentiable on a set that is *not* no-where dense (see 3.3).

Acknowledgements. I wish to thank Professor Ludovic Rifford, who kindly points me out the flaw in the proof of [Rif08, Theorem 1]; see [Rif20].

2 Inner ball condition and dense singular sets

In this section for an open set Ω with \mathcal{C}^1 boundary K we characterize the denseness of the set of non differentiability points of δ_K in Ω in terms of an inner ball condition (see 2.8). We use then this result to show that closed \mathcal{C}^1 -hypersurfaces that are \mathcal{C}^2 -unrectifiable have a singular set dense in all of \mathbf{R}^n (see 2.9).

2.1 Definition. Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and $M \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$. We say that M is a $\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}$ -hypersurface if and only if for every $a \in M$ there exists an open subset U of \mathbf{R}^n , an $n - 1$ dimensional subspace Z of \mathbf{R}^n and a $\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}$ -diffeomorphism¹ $\sigma : U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ such that

$$\sigma(U \cap M) = Z \cap \sigma(U).$$

2.2 Definition. Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. A k -manifold is an Hausdorff space which is locally homeomorphic to an open subset of \mathbf{R}^k .

Let $K \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$ be a closed set and let $\xi_K : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{2}^K$ be the nearest point projection onto K :

$$\xi_K(x) = K \cap \{a : |x - a| = \delta_K(x)\}$$

¹This is a map $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}(U, \mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $\sigma(U)$ is an open subset of \mathbf{R}^n and $\sigma^{-1} \in \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}(\sigma(U), \mathbf{R}^n)$.

for every $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$. The singular set of δ_K is defined as

$$\Sigma(K) = (\mathbf{R}^n \sim K) \cap \{x : \delta_K \text{ is not differentiable at } x\}.$$

2.3 Remark. The reader might wonder what are the points in K where δ_K is not differentiable. In this regard one observes that if $x \in K$ and δ_K is differentiable at x then $\nabla \delta_K(x) = 0$. It follows that if $x \in K$ and the tangent cone (see [Fed59, 4.3]) of K at x is not equal to \mathbf{R}^n then δ_K is not differentiable at x . In particular if K is \mathcal{C}^1 hypersurface [resp. K is a convex body] δ_K is not differentiable at all points of K [resp. all points of ∂K].

2.4 Remark. It is well known that δ_K is locally semiconcave in $\mathbf{R}^n \sim K$, see [CS04, 2.2.2]. As a consequence of general structural results on the singular sets of convex functions (see [Zaj79]) we deduce that $\Sigma(K)$ can be covered, outside a set of \mathcal{H}^{n-1} measure zero, by the union of countably many \mathcal{C}^2 -hypersurfaces.

We recall from [CS04, 3.4.5] a well known characterization of $\Sigma(K)$.

2.5 Lemma. *Suppose $K \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$ is closed and $x \notin K$.*

Then $x \notin \Sigma(K)$ if and only if $\xi_K(x)$ is a singleton and

$$\nabla \delta_K(x) = \frac{x - \xi_K(x)}{\delta_K(x)}.$$

2.6 Definition. For $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and $r > 0$ we define

$$\mathbf{U}(x, r) = \mathbf{R}^n \cap \{y : |y - x| < r\}.$$

2.7 Definition. Suppose Ω is an open subset of \mathbf{R}^n and $S \subseteq \partial\Omega$. We say that Ω satisfies an *inner uniform ball condition on S* if and only if there exists $\rho > 0$ such that each $x \in S$ belongs to the boundary of an open ball B of radius ρ which is contained in Ω .

2.8 Theorem. *Let $K \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$ be a closed \mathcal{C}^1 -hypersurface and let Ω be an open subset of \mathbf{R}^n such that $\partial\Omega = K$.*

Then $\Omega \sim \overline{\Sigma(K)} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if Ω satisfies an inner uniform ball condition on a non-empty open subset of K .

Proof. Suppose $\Omega \sim \overline{\Sigma(K)} \neq \emptyset$. Choose $w \in \Omega \sim \overline{\Sigma(K)}$ and $0 < \epsilon < \delta_K(w)$ such that $\mathbf{U}(w, \epsilon) \subseteq \Omega \sim \overline{\Sigma(K)}$. Then define

$$S = \mathbf{U}(w, \epsilon) \cap \{x : \delta_K(x) = \delta_K(w)\}.$$

Since, by 2.5, the Lipschitz function δ_K is differentiable at each $x \in \mathbf{U}(w, \epsilon)$ and $|\nabla \delta_K(x)| = 1$, we apply the implicit function theorem of Clarke [Cla83, 7.11] to conclude that S is an $(n-1)$ -manifold. Moreover $\xi_K|_S$ is continuous by [Fed59, 4.8(4)]. We prove that $\xi_K|_S$ is an injective map. Suppose $x, y \in S$ such that $\xi_K(x) = \xi_K(y)$. Then

$$x - \xi_K(x) \in \text{Nor}(K, \xi_K(x)), \quad y - \xi_K(x) \in \text{Nor}(K, \xi_K(x))$$

and $|x - \xi_K(x)| = |y - \xi_K(x)| = \delta_K(w)$. Since $\dim \text{Nor}(K, \xi_K(x)) = 1$, it follows that either $x - \xi_K(x) = y - \xi_K(x)$ or $x - \xi_K(x) = \xi_K(x) - y$. The latter would imply that

$$|x - y| = |x - \xi_K(x) + \xi_K(x) - y| = 2|x - \xi_K(x)| = 2\delta_K(w)$$

which is clearly impossible, since $|x - y| < 2\epsilon < 2\delta_K(w)$. Henceforth $x = y$ and $\xi_K|_S$ is injective. Since S and $\partial\Omega$ are $(n - 1)$ -manifolds, we apply Brouwer's theorem on invariance of domain (see [Dol72, IV, 7.4]) to conclude that $\xi_K(S)$ is open in K . Noting that

$$\mathbf{U}(x, \delta_K(w)) \subseteq \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_K(x) \in \partial\mathbf{U}(x, \delta_K(w)),$$

for every $x \in S$, we conclude that Ω satisfies an inner uniform ball condition on $\xi_K(S)$.

Suppose $S \subseteq K$ is open in K and Ω satisfies an inner uniform ball condition on S . Let $\nu : K \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{n-1}$ be the inner unit normal of Ω . Our hypothesis implies that there exists $\rho > 0$ such that

$$\mathbf{U}(a + \rho\nu(a), \rho) \subseteq \Omega \quad \text{for every } a \in S.$$

Define $\phi : S \times (0, \rho) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ by $\phi(a, t) = a + t\nu(a)$ for $(a, t) \in S \times (0, \rho)$. Then $\phi[S \times (0, \rho)] \subseteq \Omega$. If we prove that $\phi[S \times (0, \rho)]$ is open in \mathbf{R}^n and $\xi_K(x)$ is a singleton for every $x \in \phi[S \times (0, \rho)]$ then it is clear by 2.5 that $\phi[S \times (0, \rho)]$ does not intersect $\overline{\Sigma(K)}$ and $\Omega \sim \overline{\Sigma(K)} \neq \emptyset$. To prove the two assertions above we first show that ϕ is injective. Let $(a, t), (b, s) \in S \times (0, \rho)$ such that $a + t\nu(a) = b + s\nu(b)$. We notice that

$$t = \delta_K(a + t\nu(a)) = \delta_K(b + s\nu(b)) = s,$$

$$|a + t\nu(a) - b| = t.$$

If $a \neq b$ then $|a + \rho\nu(a) - b| < \rho$ and $b \in \Omega$, which is a contradiction. Henceforth $a = b$ and ϕ is injective. If $b \in \xi_K(a + t\nu(a))$ for some $(a, t) \in S \times (0, \rho)$ then we notice that $\nu(b) = t^{-1}(a + t\nu(a) - b)$ and $a = b$ by the injectivity of ϕ . Therefore $\xi_K(x)$ is a singleton for every $x \in \phi[S \times (0, \rho)]$. Moreover, since $S \times (0, \rho)$ is an n -manifold, we conclude that $\phi[S \times (0, \rho)]$ is an open subset of \mathbf{R}^n by [Dol72, IV, 7.4]. \square

This corollary shows that every \mathcal{C}^1 -hypersurface that is \mathcal{C}^2 -unrectifiable generates a dense singular set.

2.9 Corollary. *Suppose K is a closed and connected \mathcal{C}^1 hypersurface such that $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(K \cap M) = 0$ whenever M is a \mathcal{C}^2 -hypersurface of \mathbf{R}^n .*

Then $\overline{\Sigma(K)} = \mathbf{R}^n$.

Proof. Let U and V the two connected open subsets of \mathbf{R}^n such that $\partial U = \partial V = K$, $U \cap V = \emptyset$ and $U \cup V \cup K = \mathbf{R}^n$. It follows from [MS19] that if S is a subset of K such that either U or V satisfies an inner uniform ball condition on S then $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S) = 0$. In particular neither U nor V can satisfy an inner uniform ball condition on some non empty open subset of K . Therefore we conclude from 2.8 that $\overline{\Sigma(K)} = \mathbf{R}^n$. \square

2.10 Remark. Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. It follows from [Koh77] that there exists a function $f : \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ whose graph K is a closed $\mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}$ -hypersurface such that $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(K \cap M) = 0$ for every \mathcal{C}^2 -hypersurface $M \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$.

2.11 Remark. It follows from the theory of sets of positive reach (see [Fed59, §4]) that if K is a closed $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ -hypersurface then there exists an open neighbourhood U of K such that $\overline{\Sigma(K)} \cap U = \emptyset$.

3 Convex sets

In this section we show that there exist many $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ convex hypersurfaces K such that $\overline{\Sigma(K)}$ has non empty interior. Consequently there exist many viscosity solutions of the Eikonal equation on \mathbf{R}^n such that the singular set is not nowhere dense.

Let \mathcal{K}_r^n be the space of all compact convex subsets in \mathbf{R}^n with non empty interior such that ∂C is a \mathcal{C}^1 hypersurface. We equip \mathcal{K}_r^n with the Hausdorff metric and we recall (see [Sch14, 2.7.1]) that it is a Baire space² (i.e. countable intersections of dense open subsets are dense). A subset of a metric space is called *meager* if and only if it is countable union of nowhere-dense sets and it is called *comeager* if and only if it is the complementary of a meager set. It is customary to call *typical* the elements of a comeager subset of a Baire space.

The next statement contains the observation that for a typical convex body $C \in \mathcal{K}_r^n$ the distance function from the boundary ∂C is not differentiable on a dense subset of C . This statement easily follows combining Theorem 2.8 with well known properties of the curvature of a typical convex body.

3.1 Theorem. *For all C in \mathcal{K}_r^n , except those belonging to a meager subset of \mathcal{K}_r^n ,*

$$C = \overline{\Sigma(\partial C)}.$$

Proof. By [Sch14, 2.7.4] there exists a comeager \mathcal{T} of \mathcal{K}_r^n such that if $C \in \mathcal{T}$ then $\text{Int}(C)$ does not satisfy an inner uniform ball condition on a comeager subset of ∂C . It follows from 2.8 that $C \subseteq \overline{\Sigma(\partial C)}$ for every $C \in \mathcal{T}$. On the other hand it is well known that $\delta_{\partial A} \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus A)$ for every convex body A (see for instance [Fed59, 4.8]) and the conclusion follows. \square

3.2 Lemma. *If C is a convex body then for every $\epsilon > 0$ the set*

$$C_\epsilon = \mathbf{R}^n \cap \{x : \delta_C(x) \leq \epsilon\}$$

is convex, ∂C_ϵ is a $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ -hypersurface and $\Sigma(\partial C) \subseteq \Sigma(\partial C_\epsilon)$.

Proof. Evidently C_ϵ is a convex body and is well known that ∂C_ϵ is a $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ hypersurface (see [Fed59, 4.8]). We observe that

$$\delta_{\partial C_\epsilon}(x) = \epsilon + \delta_{\partial C}(x) \quad \text{for } x \in C,$$

and we conclude that $\Sigma(\partial C) \subseteq \Sigma(\partial C_\epsilon)$. \square

²In fact \mathcal{K}_r^n is a comeager of the space of all convex bodies (with non empty interior) equipped with the Hausdorff metric.

3.3 Theorem. *There exists $C \in \mathcal{K}_r^n$ such that ∂C is a $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ -hypersurface and $\overline{\Sigma}(\partial C)$ has non empty interior. Moreover the function $u : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defined by*

$$u(x) = \delta_{\partial C}(x) \quad \text{for } x \in C \quad \text{and} \quad u(x) = -\delta_{\partial C}(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbf{R}^n \sim C$$

is a viscosity solution of the Eikonal equation on \mathbf{R}^n and the closure of the set of points where u is not differentiable has non empty interior.

Proof. The existence of a convex body C such that ∂C is a $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ hypersurface and $\overline{\Sigma}(\partial C)$ has non empty interior directly follows from 3.1 and 3.2.

It follows from [Fed59, 4.20] that ∂C has positive reach. Therefore one infers from [KP81, Theorem 2] that there exists an open neighborhood U of ∂C such that u is continuously differentiable on U . Since it is clear that u is continuously differentiable on $\mathbf{R}^n \sim C$, we conclude by 2.5 that

$$|\nabla u(x)|^2 = 1 \quad \text{for every } x \in (\mathbf{R}^n \sim C) \cup U.$$

Moreover u is locally semiconcave on the interior $\text{Int}(C)$ of C and $|\nabla u(x)|^2 = 1$ for \mathcal{L}^n a.e. $x \in \text{Int}(C)$. Henceforth, it follows from [CS04, 5.3.1] that $|\nabla u|^2 = 1$ in the viscosity sense in $\text{Int}(C)$. It is now evident that $|\nabla u|^2 = 1$ in the viscosity sense in \mathbf{R}^n . \square

References

- [ACNS13] P. Albano, P. Cannarsa, Khai T. Nguyen, and C. Sinestrari. Singular gradient flow of the distance function and homotopy equivalence. *Math. Ann.*, 356(1):23–43, 2013.
- [Cla83] Frank H. Clarke. *Optimization and nonsmooth analysis*. Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1983. A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
- [CM07] Graziano Crasta and Annalisa Malusa. The distance function from the boundary in a Minkowski space. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 359(12):5725–5759, 2007.
- [CS04] Piermarco Cannarsa and Carlo Sinestrari. *Semiconcave functions, Hamilton-Jacobi equations, and optimal control*, volume 58 of *Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2004.
- [Dol72] A. Dold. *Lectures on algebraic topology*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1972. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 200.
- [Fed59] Herbert Federer. Curvature measures. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 93:418–491, 1959.

- [IT01] Jin-ichi Itoh and Minoru Tanaka. The Lipschitz continuity of the distance function to the cut locus. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 353(1):21–40, 2001.
- [Koh77] Robert V. Kohn. An example concerning approximate differentiation. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 26(2):393–397, 1977.
- [KP81] Steven G. Krantz and Harold R. Parks. Distance to C^k hypersurfaces. *J. Differential Equations*, 40(1):116–120, 1981.
- [LN05] Yanyan Li and Louis Nirenberg. The distance function to the boundary, Finsler geometry, and the singular set of viscosity solutions of some Hamilton-Jacobi equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 58(1):85–146, 2005.
- [Miu16] Tatsuya Miura. A characterization of cut locus for C^1 hypersurfaces. *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, 23(6):Art. 60, 14, 2016.
- [MM03] Carlo Mantegazza and Andrea Carlo Mennucci. Hamilton-Jacobi equations and distance functions on Riemannian manifolds. *Appl. Math. Optim.*, 47(1):1–25, 2003.
- [MS19] Ulrich Menne and Mario Santilli. A geometric second-order-rectifiable stratification for closed subsets of Euclidean space. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*, 19(3):1185–1198, 2019.
- [Rif08] Ludovic Rifford. On viscosity solutions of certain Hamilton-Jacobi equations: regularity results and generalized Sard’s theorems. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 33(1-3):517–559, 2008.
- [Rif20] Ludovic Rifford. Erratum: On viscosity solutions of certain Hamilton-Jacobi equations: regularity results and generalized Sard’s theorems. *In preparation*.
- [Sch14] Rolf Schneider. *Convex bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski theory*, volume 151 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, expanded edition, 2014.
- [Zaj79] Luděk Zajíček. On the differentiation of convex functions in finite and infinite dimensional spaces. *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, 29(104)(3):340–348, 1979.

Institut für Mathematik, Universität Augsburg,
 Universitätsstr. 14, 86159, Augsburg, Germany,
 mario.santilli@math.uni-augsburg.de