

# STABILITY RESULTS OF A SINGULAR LOCAL INTERACTION ELASTIC/VISCOELASTIC COUPLED WAVE EQUATIONS WITH TIME DELAY

MOHAMMAD AKIL<sup>1</sup>, HAIDAR BADAWI<sup>2</sup>, AND ALI WEHBE<sup>3</sup>

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to investigate the stabilization of a one-dimensional coupled wave equations with non smooth localized viscoelastic damping of Kelvin-Voigt type and localized time delay. Using a general criteria of Arendt-Batty, we show the strong stability of our system in the absence of the compactness of the resolvent. Finally, using frequency domain approach combining with a multiplier method, we prove a polynomial energy decay rate of order  $t^{-1}$ .

## CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	2
1.1.	Description of the paper	2
1.2.	Previous Literature	2
1.2.1.	Coupled wave equations with Kelvin-Voigt damping and without time delay	3
1.2.2.	Wave equations with time delay and without Kelvin-Voigt damping	3
1.2.3.	Wave equations with Kelvin-Voigt damping and time delay	4
2.	Well-posedness of the System	5
3.	Strong Stability	9
4.	Polynomial Stability	19
5.	Conclusion	28
	Appendix A. Some notions and theorems of stability has been used	28
	Acknowledgments	29
	References	29

---

<sup>1</sup> UNIVERSITÉ SAVOIE MONT BLANC, LABORATOIRE LAMA, CHAMBÉRY-FRANCE

<sup>2</sup> UNIVERSITÉ POLYTECHNIQUE HAUTS-DE-FRANCE VALENCIENNES, LAMAV, FRANCE

<sup>3</sup> LEBANESE UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF SCIENCES 1, KHAWARIZMI LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS AND APPLICATIONS-KALMA, HADATH-BEIRUT, LEBANON.

*E-mail addresses:* mohamadakil1@hotmail.com, Haidar.Badawi@etu.uphf.fr, ali.wehbe@ul.edu.lb.

*Key words and phrases.* Coupled wave equation; Kelvin-Voigt damping; Time delay; Strong stability; Polynomial stability; Frequency domain approach.

---

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. Description of the paper.** In this paper, we investigate the stability of local coupled wave equations with singular localized viscoelastic damping of Kelvin-Voigt type and localized time delay. More precisely, we consider the following System:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} u_{tt} - [au_x + b(x)(\kappa_1 u_{tx} + \kappa_2 u_{tx}(x, t - \tau))]_x + c(x)y_t = 0, & (x, t) \in (0, L) \times (0, \infty), \\ y_{tt} - y_{xx} - c(x)u_t = 0, & (x, t) \in (0, L) \times (0, \infty), \\ u(0, t) = u(L, t) = y(0, t) = y(L, t) = 0, & t > 0, \\ (u(x, 0), u_t(x, 0)) = (u_0(x), u_1(x)), & x \in (0, L), \\ (y(x, 0), y_t(x, 0)) = (y_0(x), y_1(x)), & x \in (0, L), \\ u_t(x, t) = f_0(x, t), & (x, t) \in (0, L) \times (-\tau, 0), \end{cases}$$

where  $L, \tau, a$  and  $\kappa_1$  are positive real numbers,  $\kappa_2$  is a non-zero real number and  $(u_0, u_1, y_0, y_1, f_0)$  belongs to a suitable space. We suppose that there exists  $0 < \alpha < \beta < \gamma < L$  and a positive constant  $c_0$ , such that

$$b(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in (0, \beta), \\ 0, & x \in (\beta, L), \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad c(x) = \begin{cases} c_0, & x \in (\alpha, \gamma), \\ 0, & x \in (0, \alpha) \cup (\gamma, L). \end{cases}$$

The Figure 1 describes system (1.1)




FIGURE 1. Local Kelvin-Voigt damping and Local time delay feedback.

System (1.1) consists of two wave equations with only one singular viscoelastic damping acting on the first equation, the second one is indirectly damped via a singular coupling between the two equations. The notion of indirect damping mechanisms has been introduced by Russell in [49] and since then, it has attracted the attention of many authors (see for instance [3], [5], [6], [9], [16], [24], [37] and [54]). The study of such systems is also motivated by several physical considerations like Timoshenko and Bresse systems (see for instance [1], [2], [40] and [42]). In fact, there are few results concerning the stability of coupled wave equations with local Kelvin-Voigt damping without time delay, especially in the absence of smoothness of the damping and coupling coefficients (see Subsection 1.2.1). The last motivates our interest to study the stabilization of system (1.1) in the present paper.

**1.2. Previous Literature.** The wave is created when a vibrating source disturbs the medium. In order to restrain those vibrations, several damping can be added such as Kelvin-Voigt damping which is originated from the extension or compression of the vibrating particles. This damping is a viscoelastic structure having properties of both elasticity and viscosity. In the recent years, many researchers showed interest in problems involving this kind of damping where different types of stability, depend on the smoothness of the damping coefficients, has been showed (see [7], [8], [27], [28], [31], [35], [38], [45] and [48]). However, time delays have been used in several applications such as in physical, chemical, biological, thermal phenomenas not only depend

---

on the present state but also on some past occurrences (see [23],[33]) . In the last years, the control of partial differential equations with time delays have become popular among scientists. In many cases the time delay induce some instabilities see [17, 19, 20, 22].

However, let us recall briefly some systems of wave equations with Kelvin-Voigt damping and time delay represented in previous literature.

1.2.1. *Coupled wave equations with Kelvin-Voigt damping and without time delay.* In 2019, Hassine and Souayeh in [29] studied the behavior of a system with coupled wave equations with a partial Kelvin-Voigt damping, by considering the following system

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} u_{tt} - (u_x + b_2(x)u_{tx})_x + v_t = 0, & (x, t) \in (-1, 1) \times (0, \infty), \\ v_{tt} - cv_{xx} - u_t = 0, & (x, t) \in (-1, 1) \times (0, \infty), \\ u(0, t) = v(0, t) = 0, u(1, t) = v(1, t) = 0, & t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), & x \in (-1, 1), \\ v(x, 0) = v_0(x), v_t(x, 0) = v_1(x), & x \in (-1, 1), \end{cases}$$

where  $c > 0$ , and  $b_2 \in L^\infty(-1, 1)$  is a non-negative function. They assumed that the damping coefficient is piecewise function in particular they supposed that  $b_2(x) = d\mathbb{1}_{[0,1]}(x)$ , where  $d$  is a strictly positive constant. So, they took the damping coefficient to be near the boundary with a global coupling coefficient. They showed the lack of exponential stability and that the semigroup loses speed and it decays polynomially with a rate as  $t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . In 2020, Akil, Issa and Wehbe in [4] studied the localized coupled wave equations, by considering the following system:

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - (au_x + b(x)u_{tx})_x + c(x)y_t = 0, & (x, t) \in (0, L) \times (0, \infty), \\ y_{tt} - y_{xx} - c(x)u_t = 0, & (x, t) \in (0, L) \times (0, \infty), \\ u(0, t) = u(L, t) = y(0, t) = y(L, t) = 0, & t > 0, \\ (u(x, 0), u_t(x, 0)) = (u_0(x), u_1(x)), & x \in (0, L), \\ (y(x, 0), y_t(x, 0)) = (y_0(x), y_1(x)), & x \in (0, L) \end{cases}$$

where

$$b(x) = \begin{cases} b_0, & x \in (\alpha_1, \alpha_3), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad c(x) = \begin{cases} c_0, & x \in (\alpha_2, \alpha_4), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and where  $a > 0$ ,  $b_0 > 0$ ,  $c_0 > 0$  and  $0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \alpha_3 < \alpha_4 < L$ . They generalized the results of Hassine and Souayeh in [29] by establishing a polynomial decay rate of type  $t^{-1}$ . In the same year, Hayek *et al.* in [30] studied the stabilization of a multi-dimensional system of weakly coupled wave equations with one or two locally Kelvin-Voigt damping and non-smooth coefficient at the interface. They established different stability results.

1.2.2. *Wave equations with time delay and without Kelvin-Voigt damping.* The delay equations of hyperbolic type is given by

$$(1.3) \quad u_{tt} - \Delta u(x, t - \tau) = 0.$$

with a delay parameter  $\tau > 0$ . This system is not well posed since there exists a sequence of solutions tending to infinity for any fixed  $t > 0$  while the norm of the initial data remain bounded (see Theorem 1.1 in [22]). In 2006, Nicaise and Pignotti in [43] studied the multidimensional wave equation considering two cases. The first

---

case concerns a wave equation with boundary feedback and a delay term at the boundary

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{cases} u_{tt}(x, t) - \Delta u(x, t) = 0, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, t) = 0, & (x, t) \in \Gamma_D \times (0, \infty), \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x, t) = -\mu_1 u_t(x, t) - \mu_2 u_t(x, t - \tau), & (x, t) \in \Gamma_N \times (0, \infty), \\ (u(x, 0), u_t(x, 0)) = (u_0(x), u_1(x)), & x \in \Omega, \\ u_t(x, t) = f_0(x, t), & (x, t) \in \Gamma_N \times (-\tau, 0). \end{cases}$$

The second case concerns a wave equation with an internal feedback and a delayed velocity term (*i.e.* an internal delay) and a mixed Dirichlet-Neumann boundary condition

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{cases} u_{tt}(x, t) - \Delta u(x, t) + \mu_1 u_t(x, t) + \mu_2 u_t(x, t - \tau) = 0, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, t) = 0, & (x, t) \in \Gamma_D \times (0, \infty), \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x, t) = 0, & (x, t) \in \Gamma_N \times (0, \infty), \\ (u(x, 0), u_t(x, 0)) = (u_0(x), u_1(x)), & x \in \Omega, \\ u_t(x, t) = f_0(x, t), & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (-\tau, 0), \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega$  is an open bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with a boundary  $\Gamma$  of class  $C^2$  and  $\Gamma = \Gamma_D \cup \Gamma_N$ , such that  $\Gamma_D \cap \Gamma_N = \emptyset$ . Under the assumption  $\mu_2 < \mu_1$ , an exponential decay achieved for the both systems (1.4)-(1.5). If this assumption does not hold, they found a sequences of delays  $\{\tau_k\}_k$ ,  $\tau_k \rightarrow 0$ , for which the corresponding solutions have increasing energy. Furthermore, we refer to [14] for the Problem (1.5) in more general abstract setting. In 2010, Ammari *et al.* (see [10]) studied the wave equation with interior delay damping and dissipative undelayed boundary condition in an open domain  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq 2$ . The system is described by:

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{cases} u_{tt}(x, t) - \Delta u(x, t) + a u_t(x, t - \tau) = 0, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, t) = 0, & (x, t) \in \Gamma_0 \times (0, \infty), \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x, t) = -\kappa u_t(x, t), & (x, t) \in \Gamma_1 \times (0, \infty), \\ (u(x, 0), u_t(x, 0)) = (u_0(x), u_1(x)), & x \in \Omega, \\ u_t(x, t) = f_0(x, t), & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (-\tau, 0), \end{cases}$$

where  $\tau > 0$ ,  $a > 0$  and  $\kappa > 0$ . Under the condition that  $\Gamma_1$  satisfies the  $\Gamma$ -condition introduced in [34], they proved that system (1.6) is uniformly asymptotically stable whenever the delay coefficient is sufficiently small. In 2012, Pignotti in [47] considered the wave equation with internal distributed time delay and local damping in a bounded and smooth domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq 1$ . The considered system is given by the following:

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{cases} u_{tt}(x, t) - \Delta u(x, t) + a \chi_\omega u_t(x, t) + \kappa u_t(x, t - \tau) = 0, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, t) = 0, & (x, t) \in \Gamma \times (0, \infty), \\ (u(x, 0), u_t(x, 0)) = (u_0(x), u_1(x)), & x \in \Omega, \\ u_t(x, t) = f(x, t), & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (-\tau, 0), \end{cases}$$

where  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\tau > 0$ ,  $a > 0$  and  $\omega$  is the intersection between an open neighborhood of the set  $\Gamma_0 = \{x \in \Omega; (x - x_0) \cdot \nu(x) > 0\}$  and  $\Omega$ . Moreover,  $\chi_\omega$  is the characteristic function of  $\omega$ . We remark that the damping is localized and it acts on a neighborhood of a part of  $\Omega$ . She showed an exponential stability results if the coefficients of the delay terms satisfy the following assumption  $|k| < k_0 < a$ .

Several researches was done on wave equation with time delay acting on the boundary see ([20],[18], [53], [26], [25], [50], [52], [51]) and different type of stability has been proved.

1.2.3. *Wave equations with Kelvin-Voigt damping and time delay.* In 2016, Messaoudi *et al.* in [41] considered the stabilization of the following wave equation with strong time delay

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt}(x, t) - \Delta u(x, t) - \mu_1 \Delta u_t(x, t) - \mu_2 \Delta u_t(x, t - \tau) = 0, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, t) = 0, & (x, t) \in \Gamma \times (0, \infty), \\ (u(x, 0), u_t(x, 0)) = (u_0(x), u_1(x)), & x \in \Omega, \\ u_t(x, t) = f_0(x, t), & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (-\tau, 0), \end{cases}$$

where  $\mu_1 > 0$  and  $\mu_2$  is a non zero real number. Under the assumption that  $|\mu_2| < \mu_1$ , they obtained an exponential stability result. In 2016, Nicaise *et al.* in [44] studied the multidimensional wave equation with localized Kelvin-Voigt damping and mixed boundary condition with time delay

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{cases} u_{tt}(x, t) - \Delta u(x, t) - \operatorname{div}(a(x)\nabla u_t) = 0, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, t) = 0, & (x, t) \in \Gamma_0 \times (0, \infty), \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x, t) = -a(x)\frac{\partial u_t}{\partial \nu}(x, t) - \kappa u_t(x, t - \tau), & (x, t) \in \Gamma_1 \times (0, \infty), \\ (u(x, 0), u_t(x, 0)) = (u_0(x), u_1(x)), & x \in \Omega, \\ u_t(x, t) = f_0(x, t), & (x, t) \in \Gamma_1 \times (-\tau, 0), \end{cases}$$

where  $\tau > 0$ ,  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a(x) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  and  $a(x) \geq a_0 > 0$  on  $\omega$  such that  $\omega \subset \Omega$  is an open neighborhood of  $\Gamma_1$ . Under an appropriate geometric condition on  $\Gamma_1$  and assuming that  $a \in C^{1,1}(\overline{\Omega})$ ,  $\Delta a \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ , they proved an exponential decay of the energy of system (1.8). In 2019, Anikushyn *and al.* in [21] considered an initial boundary value problem for a viscoelastic wave equation subjected to a strong time localized delay in a Kelvin-Voigt type. The system is given by the following:

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt}(x, t) - c_1 \Delta u(x, t) - c_2 \Delta u(x, t - \tau) - d_1 \Delta u_t(x, t) - d_2 \Delta u_t(x, t - \tau) = 0, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, t) = 0, & (x, t) \in \Gamma_0 \times (0, \infty), \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x, t) = 0, & (x, t) \in \Gamma_1 \times (0, \infty), \\ (u(x, 0), u_t(x, 0)) = (u_0(x), u_1(x)), & x \in \Omega, \\ u(x, t) = f_0(x, t), & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (-\tau, 0). \end{cases}$$

Under appropriate conditions on the coefficients, a global exponential decay rate is obtained. In 2015, Ammari *and al.* in [11] considered the stabilization problem for an abstract equation with delay and a Kelvin-Voigt damping. The system is given by the following:

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt}(t) + a\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}^*u_t(t) + \mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}^*u(t - \tau), & t \in (0, \infty), \\ (u(0), u_t(0)) = (u_0, u_1), \\ \mathcal{B}^*u(t) = f_0(t), & t \in (-\tau, 0), \end{cases}$$

for an appropriate class of operator  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $a > 0$ . Using the frequency domain approach, they obtained an exponential stability result.

Thus, to the best of our knowledge, it seems to us that there is no result in the existing literature concerning the case of coupled wave equations with localized Kelvin-Voigt damping and localized time delay, especially in the absence of smoothness of the damping and coupling coefficients. The goal of the present paper is to fill this gap by studying the stability of system (1.1).

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we prove the well-posedness of our system by using semigroup approach. In Section 3, by using a general criteria of Arendt Batty, we show the strong stability of our system in the absence of the compactness of the resolvent. Next, in Section 4, by using frequency domain approach combining with a specific multiplier method, we prove a polynomial energy decay rate of order  $t^{-1}$ .

## 2. WELL-POSEDNESS OF THE SYSTEM

In this section, we will establish the well-posedness of system (1.1) by using semigroup approach. For this aim, as in [43], we introduce the following auxiliary change of variable

$$(2.1) \quad \eta(x, \rho, t) := u_t(x, t - \rho\tau), \quad x \in (0, L), \rho \in (0, 1), t > 0.$$

Then, system (1.1) becomes

$$(2.2) \quad u_{tt} - [au_x + b(x)(\kappa_1 u_{tx} + \kappa_2 \eta_x(x, 1, t))]_x + c(x)y_t = 0, \quad (x, t) \in (0, L) \times (0, \infty),$$

$$(2.3) \quad y_{tt} - y_{xx} - c(x)u_t = 0, \quad (x, t) \in (0, L) \times (0, \infty),$$

$$(2.4) \quad \tau\eta_t(x, \rho, t) + \eta_\rho(x, \rho, t) = 0, \quad (x, \rho, t) \in (0, L) \times (0, 1) \times (0, \infty),$$

with the following boundary conditions

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{cases} u(0, t) = u(L, t) = y(0, t) = y(L, t) = 0, & t > 0, \\ \eta(0, \rho, t) = 0, & (\rho, t) \in (0, 1) \times (0, \infty), \end{cases}$$

and the following initial conditions

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{cases} u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), & x \in (0, L), \\ y(x, 0) = y_0(x), & y_t(x, 0) = y_1(x), & x \in (0, L), \\ \eta(x, \rho, 0) = f_0(x, -\rho\tau), & & (x, \rho) \in (0, L) \times (0, 1). \end{cases}$$

The energy of system (2.2)-(2.6) is given by

$$(2.7) \quad E(t) = E_1(t) + E_2(t) + E_3(t),$$

where

$$E_1(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L (|u_t|^2 + a|u_x|^2) dx, \quad E_2(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L (|y_t|^2 + |y_x|^2) dx \quad \text{and} \quad E_3(t) = \frac{\tau|\kappa_2|}{2} \int_0^\beta \int_0^1 |\eta_x(\cdot, \rho, t)|^2 d\rho dx.$$

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $U = (u, u_t, y, y_t, \eta)$  be a regular solution of system (2.2)-(2.6). Then, the energy  $E(t)$  satisfies the following estimation

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{d}{dt} E(t) \leq -(\kappa_1 - |\kappa_2|) \int_0^\beta |\eta_x(\cdot, 0, t)|^2 dx.$$

**Proof.** First, multiplying (2.2) by  $\overline{u_t}$ , integrating over  $(0, L)$ , using integration by parts with (2.5), then using the definition of  $b(\cdot)$ ,  $c(\cdot)$  and taking the real part, we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad \frac{d}{dt} E_1(t) = -\kappa_1 \int_0^\beta |\eta_x(\cdot, 0, t)|^2 dx - \Re \left\{ \kappa_2 \int_0^\beta \eta_x(\cdot, 1, t) \overline{\eta_x(\cdot, 0, t)} dx \right\} - \Re \left\{ c_0 \int_\alpha^\gamma y_t \overline{u_t} dx \right\}.$$

Using Young's inequality in (2.9), we get

$$(2.10) \quad \frac{d}{dt} E_1(t) \leq -\left( \kappa_1 - \frac{|\kappa_2|}{2} \right) \int_0^\beta |\eta_x(\cdot, 0, t)|^2 dx + \frac{|\kappa_2|}{2} \int_0^\beta |\eta_x(\cdot, 1, t)|^2 dx - \Re \left\{ c_0 \int_\alpha^\gamma y_t \overline{u_t} dx \right\}.$$

Now, multiplying (2.3) by  $\overline{y_t}$ , integrating over  $(0, L)$ , using the definition of  $c(\cdot)$ , then taking the real part, we get

$$(2.11) \quad \frac{d}{dt} E_2(t) = \Re \left\{ c_0 \int_\alpha^\gamma u_t \overline{y_t} dx \right\}.$$

Deriving (2.4) with respect to  $x$ , we obtain

$$(2.12) \quad \tau \eta_{xt}(\cdot, \rho, t) + \eta_{x\rho}(\cdot, \rho, t) = 0.$$

Multiplying (2.12) by  $|\kappa_2| \overline{\eta_x(\cdot, \rho, t)}$ , integrating over  $(0, \beta) \times (0, 1)$ , then taking the real part, we get

$$(2.13) \quad \frac{d}{dt} E_3(t) = -\frac{|\kappa_2|}{2} \int_0^\beta (|\eta_x(\cdot, 1, t)|^2 - |\eta_x(\cdot, 0, t)|^2) dx.$$

Finally, by adding (2.10), (2.11) and (2.13), we obtain (2.8). The proof is thus complete.  $\square$

In the sequel, the assumption on  $\kappa_1$  and  $\kappa_2$  will ensure that

$$(H) \quad \kappa_1 > 0, \quad \kappa_2 \in \mathbb{R}^* \quad \text{and} \quad |\kappa_2| < \kappa_1.$$

Under the hypothesis (H) and from Lemma 2.1, the system (2.2)-(2.6) is dissipative in the sense that its energy is non-increasing with respect to time (i.e.  $E'(t) \leq 0$ ). Let us define the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  by

$$\mathcal{H} := (H_0^1(0, L) \times L^2(0, L))^2 \times \mathcal{W},$$

where

$$\mathcal{W} := L^2((0, 1); H_L^1(0, \beta)) \quad \text{and} \quad H_L^1(0, \beta) := \{\tilde{\eta} \in H^1(0, \beta) \mid \tilde{\eta}(0) = 0\}.$$

The space  $\mathcal{W}$  is an Hilbert space of  $H_L^1(0, \beta)$ -valued functions on  $(0, 1)$ , equipped with the following inner product

$$(\eta^1, \eta^2)_{\mathcal{W}} := \int_0^\beta \int_0^1 \eta_x^1 \overline{\eta_x^2} d\rho dx, \quad \forall \eta^1, \eta^2 \in \mathcal{W}.$$

The Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is equipped with the following inner product

$$(2.14) \quad (U, U^1)_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_0^L \left( au_x \overline{u_x^1} + v \overline{v^1} + y_x \overline{y_x^1} + z \overline{z^1} \right) dx + \tau |\kappa_2| \int_0^\beta \int_0^1 \eta_x(\cdot, \rho) \overline{\eta_x^1(\cdot, \rho)} d\rho dx,$$

where  $U = (u, v, y, z, \eta(\cdot, \rho))^\top$ ,  $U^1 = (u^1, v^1, y^1, z^1, \eta^1(\cdot, \rho))^\top \in \mathcal{H}$ . Now, we define the linear unbounded operator  $\mathcal{A} : D(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{H} \mapsto \mathcal{H}$  by:

$$(2.15) \quad D(\mathcal{A}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} U = (u, v, y, z, \eta(\cdot, \rho))^\top \in \mathcal{H} \mid y \in H^2(0, L) \cap H_0^1(0, L), \quad v, z \in H_0^1(0, L) \\ (S_b(u, v, \eta))_x \in L^2(0, L), \quad \eta_\rho(\cdot, \rho) \in \mathcal{W}, \quad \eta(\cdot, 0) = v(\cdot) \end{array} \right\}$$

and

$$(2.16) \quad \mathcal{A} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ y \\ z \\ \eta(\cdot, \rho) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v \\ (S_b(u, v, \eta))_x - c(\cdot)z \\ z \\ y_{xx} + c(\cdot)v \\ -\tau^{-1} \eta_\rho(\cdot, \rho) \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $S_b(u, v, \eta) := au_x + b(\cdot)(\kappa_1 v_x + \kappa_2 \eta_x(\cdot, 1))$ . Moreover, from the definition of  $b(\cdot)$ , we have

$$(2.17) \quad S_b(u, v, \eta) = \begin{cases} S_1(u, v, \eta), & x \in (0, \beta), \\ au_x, & x \in (\beta, L), \end{cases}$$

where  $S_1(u, v, \eta) := au_x + \kappa_1 v_x + \kappa_2 \eta_x(\cdot, 1)$ . Now, if  $U = (u, u_t, y, y_t, \eta(\cdot, \rho))^\top$ , then system (2.2)-(2.6) can be written as the following first order evolution equation

$$(2.18) \quad U_t = \mathcal{A}U, \quad U(0) = U_0,$$

where  $U_0 = (u_0, u_1, y_0, y_1, f_0(\cdot, -\rho\tau))^\top \in \mathcal{H}$ .

**Remark 2.1.** The linear unbounded operator  $\mathcal{A}$  is injective (i.e.  $\ker(\mathcal{A}) = \{0\}$ ). Indeed, if  $U \in D(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $\mathcal{A}U = 0$ , then  $v = z = \eta_\rho(\cdot, \rho) = 0$  and since  $\eta(\cdot, 0) = v(\cdot)$ , we get  $\eta(\cdot, \rho) = 0$ . Consequently,  $(S_b(u, v, \eta))_x = u_{xx} = 0$  and  $y_{xx} = 0$ . Finally, since  $u(0) = u(L) = y(0) = y(L) = 0$ , then  $u = y = 0$ . Thus,  $U = (u, v, y, z, \eta(\cdot, \rho))^\top = 0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.1.** Under the hypothesis (H), the unbounded linear operator  $\mathcal{A}$  is m-dissipative in the energy space  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Proof.** For all  $U = (u, v, y, z, \eta(\cdot, \rho))^\top \in D(\mathcal{A})$ , from (2.14) and (2.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Re(\mathcal{A}U, U)_{\mathcal{H}} &= \Re \left\{ \int_0^L av_x \overline{u_x} dx \right\} + \Re \left\{ \int_0^L (S_b(u, v, \eta))_x \overline{v} dx \right\} + \Re \left\{ \int_0^L z_x \overline{y_x} dx \right\} + \Re \left\{ \int_0^L y_{xx} \overline{z} dx \right\} \\ &\quad - \Re \left\{ |\kappa_2| \int_0^\beta \int_0^1 \eta_{x\rho}(\cdot, \rho) \overline{\eta_x(\cdot, \rho)} d\rho dx \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using integration by parts to the second and fourth terms in the above equation, then using the definition of  $S_b(u, v, \eta)$  and the fact that  $U \in D(\mathcal{A})$ , we get

$$\Re(\mathcal{A}U, U)_{\mathcal{H}} = -\kappa_1 \int_0^\beta |v_x|^2 dx - \Re \left\{ \kappa_2 \int_0^\beta \eta_x(\cdot, 1) \overline{v_x} dx \right\} - \frac{|\kappa_2|}{2} \int_0^\beta \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\rho} |\eta_x(\cdot, \rho)|^2 d\rho dx,$$

the fact that  $\eta(\cdot, 0) = v(\cdot)$ , implies that

$$\Re(\mathcal{A}U, U)_{\mathcal{H}} = - \left( \kappa_1 - \frac{|\kappa_2|}{2} \right) \int_0^\beta |v_x|^2 dx - \frac{|\kappa_2|}{2} \int_0^\beta |\eta_x(\cdot, 1)|^2 dx - \Re \left\{ \kappa_2 \int_0^\beta \eta_x(\cdot, 1) \overline{v_x} dx \right\}.$$

Using Young's inequality in the above equation and the hypothesis (H), we obtain

$$(2.19) \quad \Re(\mathcal{A}U, U)_{\mathcal{H}} \leq -(\kappa_1 - |\kappa_2|) \int_0^\beta |v_x|^2 dx \leq 0,$$

which implies that  $\mathcal{A}$  is dissipative. Now, let us prove that  $\mathcal{A}$  is maximal. For this aim, let  $F = (f^1, f^2, f^3, f^4, f^5(\cdot, \rho))^\top \in \mathcal{H}$ , we look for  $U = (u, v, y, z, \eta(\cdot, \rho))^\top \in D(\mathcal{A})$  unique solution of

$$(2.20) \quad -\mathcal{A}U = F.$$

Equivalently, we have the following system

$$(2.21) \quad -v = f^1,$$

$$(2.22) \quad -(S_b(u, v, \eta))_x + c(\cdot)z = f^2,$$

$$(2.23) \quad -z = f^3,$$

$$(2.24) \quad -y_{xx} - c(\cdot)v = f^4,$$

$$(2.25) \quad \tau^{-1}\eta_\rho(\cdot, \rho) = f^5(\cdot, \rho),$$

with the following boundary conditions

$$(2.26) \quad u(0) = u(L) = y(0) = y(L) = 0, \quad \eta(0, \rho) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \eta(\cdot, 0) = v(\cdot).$$

From (2.21), (2.25) and (2.26), we get

$$(2.27) \quad \eta(x, \rho) = \tau \int_0^\rho f^5(x, s) ds - f^1, \quad (x, \rho) \in (0, L) \times (0, 1).$$

Since,  $f^1 \in H_0^1(0, L)$  and  $f^5(\cdot, \rho) \in \mathcal{W}$ . Then, from (2.25) and (2.27), we get  $\eta_\rho(\cdot, \rho), \eta(\cdot, \rho) \in \mathcal{W}$ . Now, see the definition of  $S_b(u, v, \eta)$ , substituting (2.21), (2.23) and (2.27) in (2.22) and (2.24), we get the following system

$$(2.28) \quad \left[ au_x + b(\cdot) \left( -\kappa_1 f_x^1 + \tau \kappa_2 \int_0^1 f_x^5(\cdot, s) ds - \kappa_2 f_x^1 \right) \right]_x + c(\cdot) f^3 = -f^2,$$

$$(2.29) \quad y_{xx} - c(\cdot) f^1 = -f^4,$$

$$(2.30) \quad u(0) = u(L) = y(0) = y(L) = 0.$$

Let  $(\phi, \psi) \in H_0^1(0, L) \times H_0^1(0, L)$ . Multiplying (2.28) and (2.29) by  $\bar{\phi}$  and  $\bar{\psi}$  respectively, integrating over  $(0, L)$ , then using integrations by parts, we obtain

$$(2.31) \quad a \int_0^L u_x \bar{\phi}_x dx = \int_0^L f^2 \bar{\phi} dx + c_0 \int_\alpha^\gamma f^3 \bar{\phi} dx + (\kappa_1 + \kappa_2) \int_0^\beta f_x^1 \bar{\phi}_x dx - \tau \kappa_2 \int_0^\beta \left( \int_0^1 f_x^5(\cdot, s) ds \right) \bar{\phi}_x dx$$

and

$$(2.32) \quad \int_0^L y_x \bar{\psi}_x dx = \int_0^L f^4 \bar{\psi} dx - c_0 \int_\alpha^\gamma f^1 \bar{\psi} dx.$$

Adding (2.31) and (2.32), we obtain

$$(2.33) \quad \mathcal{B}((u, y), (\phi, \psi)) = \mathcal{L}(\phi, \psi), \quad \forall (\phi, \psi) \in H_0^1(0, L) \times H_0^1(0, L),$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}((u, y), (\phi, \psi)) = a \int_0^L u_x \bar{\phi}_x dx + \int_0^L y_x \bar{\psi}_x dx$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi, \psi) = \int_0^L (f^2 \bar{\phi} + f^4 \bar{\psi}) dx + c_0 \int_\alpha^\gamma (f^3 \bar{\phi} - f^1 \bar{\psi}) dx - \tau \kappa_2 \int_0^\beta \left( \int_0^1 f_x^5(\cdot, s) ds \right) \bar{\phi}_x dx + (\kappa_1 + \kappa_2) \int_0^\beta f_x^1 \bar{\phi}_x dx.$$

It is easy to see that,  $\mathcal{B}$  is a sesquilinear, continuous and coercive form on  $(H_0^1(0, L) \times H_0^1(0, L))^2$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  is a linear and continuous form on  $H_0^1(0, L) \times H_0^1(0, L)$ . Then, it follows by Lax-Milgram theorem that (2.33) admits a unique solution  $(u, y) \in H_0^1(0, L) \times H_0^1(0, L)$ . By using the classical elliptic regularity, we deduce that system (2.28)-(2.30) admits a unique solution  $(u, y) \in H_0^1(0, L) \times (H^2(0, L) \cap H_0^1(0, L))$  such that  $(S_b(u, v, \eta))_x \in L^2(0, L)$  and since  $\ker(\mathcal{A}) = \{0\}$  (see Remark 2.1), we get  $U = \left( u, -f^1, y, -f^3, \tau \int_0^\rho f^5(\cdot, s) ds - f^1 \right)^\top \in D(\mathcal{A})$



is a unique solution of (2.20). Then,  $\mathcal{A}$  is an isomorphism and since  $\rho(\mathcal{A})$  is open set of  $\mathbb{C}$  (see Theorem 6.7 (Chapter III) in [32]), we easily get  $R(\lambda I - \mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{H}$  for a sufficiently small  $\lambda > 0$ . This, together with the dissipativeness of  $\mathcal{A}$ , imply that  $D(\mathcal{A})$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}$  and that  $\mathcal{A}$  is m-dissipative in  $\mathcal{H}$  (see Theorems 4.5, 4.6 in [46]). The proof is thus complete.  $\square$

According to Lumer-Philips theorem (see [46]), Proposition 2.1 implies that the operator  $\mathcal{A}$  generates a  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions  $e^{t\mathcal{A}}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  which gives the well-posedness of (2.18). Then, we have the following result:

**Theorem 2.1.** Under hypothesis (H), for all  $U_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ , System (2.18) admits a unique weak solution

$$U(x, \rho, t) = e^{t\mathcal{A}}U_0(x, \rho) \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathcal{H}).$$

Moreover, if  $U_0 \in D(\mathcal{A})$ , then the system (2.18) admits a unique strong solution

$$U(x, \rho, t) = e^{t\mathcal{A}}U_0(x, \rho) \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^+, D(\mathcal{A})) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathcal{H}).$$

### 3. STRONG STABILITY

In this section, we will prove the strong stability of system (2.2)-(2.6). The main result of this section is the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** Assume that (H) is true. Then, the  $C_0$ -semigroup of contraction  $(e^{t\mathcal{A}})_{t \geq 0}$  is strongly stable in  $\mathcal{H}$ ; i.e., for all  $U_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ , the solution of (2.18) satisfies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|e^{t\mathcal{A}}U_0\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0.$$

According to Theorem A.2, to prove Theorem 3.1, we need to prove that the operator  $\mathcal{A}$  has no pure imaginary eigenvalues and  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \cap i\mathbb{R}$  is countable. The proof of Theorem 3.1 will be achieved from the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.1.** Under the hypothesis (H), we have

$$(3.1) \quad i\mathbb{R} \subset \rho(\mathcal{A}).$$

We will prove Proposition 3.1 by contradiction argument. Remark that, it has been proved in Proposition 2.1 that  $0 \in \rho(\mathcal{A})$ . Now, suppose that (3.1) is false, then there exists  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^*$  such that  $i\omega \notin \rho(\mathcal{A})$ . According to Remark A.3, let  $\{(\lambda^n, U^n := (u^n, v^n, y^n, z^n, \eta^n(\cdot, \rho))^\top)\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathbb{R}^* \times D(\mathcal{A})$ , with

$$(3.2) \quad \lambda^n \rightarrow \omega \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } |\lambda^n| < |\omega|$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad \|U^n\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|(u^n, v^n, y^n, z^n, \eta^n(\cdot, \rho))^\top\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 1,$$

such that

$$(3.4) \quad (i\lambda^n I - \mathcal{A})U^n = F^n := (f^{1,n}, f^{2,n}, f^{3,n}, f^{4,n}, f^{5,n}(\cdot, \rho))^\top \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{H}.$$

Equivalently, we have

$$(3.5) \quad i\lambda^n u^n - v^n = f^{1,n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } H_0^1(0, L),$$

$$(3.6) \quad i\lambda^n v^n - (S_b(u^n, v^n, \eta^n))_x + c(\cdot)z^n = f^{2,n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^2(0, L),$$

$$(3.7) \quad i\lambda^n y^n - z^n = f^{3,n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } H_0^1(0, L),$$

$$(3.8) \quad i\lambda^n z^n - y_{xx}^n - c(\cdot)v^n = f^{4,n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^2(0, L),$$

$$(3.9) \quad i\lambda^n \eta^n(\cdot, \rho) + \tau^{-1} \eta_\rho^n(\cdot, \rho) = f^{5,n}(\cdot, \rho) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{W}.$$

Then, we will proof condition (3.1) by finding a contradiction with (3.3) such as  $\|U^n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow 0$ . The proof of proposition 3.1 has been divided into several Lemmas.

---

**Lemma 3.1.** Under the hypothesis (H), the solution  $U^n = (u^n, v^n, y^n, z^n, \eta^n(\cdot, \rho))^\top \in D(\mathcal{A})$  of system (3.5)-(3.9) satisfies the following limits

$$(3.10) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\beta |v_x^n|^2 dx = 0,$$

$$(3.11) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\beta |v^n|^2 dx = 0,$$

$$(3.12) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\beta |u_x^n|^2 dx = 0,$$

$$(3.13) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\beta \int_0^1 |\eta_x^n(\cdot, \rho)|^2 d\rho dx = 0,$$

$$(3.14) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\beta |\eta_x^n(\cdot, 1)|^2 dx = 0,$$

$$(3.15) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\beta |S_1(u^n, v^n, \eta^n)|^2 dx = 0.$$

**Proof.** First, taking the inner product of (3.4) with  $U^n$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  and using (2.19) with the help of hypothesis (H), we obtain

$$(3.16) \quad \int_0^\beta |v_x^n|^2 dx \leq -\frac{1}{\kappa_1 - |\kappa_2|} \Re(\mathcal{A}U^n, U^n)_{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{1}{\kappa_1 - |\kappa_2|} \Re(F^n, U^n)_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \frac{1}{\kappa_1 - |\kappa_2|} \|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|U^n\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Then, by passing to the limit in (3.16) and by using the fact that  $\|U^n\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 1$  and  $\|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain (3.10). Now, since  $v^n \in H_0^1(0, L)$ , then it follows from Poincaré inequality that there exists a constant  $C_p > 0$  such that

$$(3.17) \quad \|v^n\|_{L^2(0, \beta)} \leq C_p \|v_x^n\|_{L^2(0, \beta)}.$$

Thus, From (3.10) and (3.17), we obtain (3.11). Next, from (3.5) and the fact that  $\int_0^\beta |f_x^{1, n}|^2 dx \leq \int_0^L |f_x^{1, n}|^2 dx \leq a^{-1} \|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$ , we deduce that

$$(3.18) \quad \int_0^\beta |u_x^n|^2 dx \leq \frac{2}{(\lambda^n)^2} \int_0^\beta |v_x^n|^2 dx + \frac{2}{(\lambda^n)^2} \int_0^\beta |f_x^{1, n}|^2 dx \leq \frac{2}{(\lambda^n)^2} \int_0^\beta |v_x^n|^2 dx + \frac{2}{a(\lambda^n)^2} \|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2.$$

Therefore, by passing to the limit in (3.18) and by using (3.2), (3.10) and the fact that  $\|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain (3.12). Moreover, from (3.9) and the fact that  $\eta^n(\cdot, 0) = v^n(\cdot)$ , we deduce that

$$(3.19) \quad \eta^n(x, \rho) = v^n e^{-i\lambda^n \tau \rho} + \tau \int_0^\rho e^{i\lambda^n \tau(s-\rho)} f_x^{5, n}(x, s) ds, \quad (x, \rho) \in (0, L) \times (0, 1).$$

From (3.19) and the fact that  $\int_0^\beta \int_0^1 |f_x^{5, n}(\cdot, s)|^2 ds dx \leq \tau^{-1} |\kappa_2|^{-1} \|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$ , we obtain

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^\beta \int_0^1 |\eta_x^n(\cdot, \rho)|^2 d\rho dx &\leq 2 \int_0^\beta |v_x^n|^2 dx + 2\tau^2 \int_0^\beta \int_0^1 \rho |f_x^{5, n}(\cdot, s)|^2 d\rho ds dx \\ &\leq 2 \int_0^\beta |v_x^n|^2 dx + \tau^2 \int_0^\beta \int_0^1 |f_x^{5, n}(\cdot, s)|^2 ds dx \\ &\leq 2 \int_0^\beta |v_x^n|^2 dx + \tau |\kappa_2|^{-1} \|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by passing to the limit in (3.20) and by using (3.10) with the fact that  $\|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain (3.13). On the other hand, from (3.19), we have

$$\eta_x^n(\cdot, 1) = v_x^n e^{-i\lambda^n \tau} + \tau \int_0^1 e^{i\lambda^n \tau(s-1)} f_x^{5, n}(\cdot, s) ds,$$

consequently, by using the same argument as proof of (3.13), we obtain (3.14). Next, it is clear to see that

$$\int_0^\beta |S_1(u^n, v^n, \eta^n)|^2 dx = \int_0^\beta |au_x^n + \kappa_1 v_x^n + \kappa_2 \eta_x^n(\cdot, 1)|^2 dx \leq 3a^2 \int_0^\beta |u_x^n|^2 dx + 3\kappa_1^2 \int_0^\beta |v_x^n|^2 dx + 3\kappa_2^2 \int_0^\beta |\eta_x^n(\cdot, 1)|^2 dx.$$

Finally, passing to the limit in the above estimation, then using (3.10), (3.12) and (3.14), we obtain (3.15). The proof is thus complete.  $\square$

Now we fix a function  $g \in C^1([\alpha, \beta])$  such that

$$(3.21) \quad g(\alpha) = -g(\beta) = 1 \quad \text{and set} \quad \max_{x \in [\alpha, \beta]} |g(x)| = M_g \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{x \in [\alpha, \beta]} |g'(x)| = M_{g'}.$$

**Remark 3.1.** To prove the existence of a function  $g$ , we need to find an example. For this aim, we can take  $g(x) = 1 + \frac{2(\alpha - x)}{\beta - \alpha}$ , then  $g \in C^1([\alpha, \beta])$ ,  $g(\alpha) = -g(\beta) = 1$ ,  $M_g = 1$  and  $M_{g'} = \frac{2}{\beta - \alpha}$ . Also, we can take  $g(x) = \cos\left(\frac{(\alpha - x)\pi}{\alpha - \beta}\right)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** Under the hypothesis (H), the solution  $U^n = (u^n, v^n, y^n, z^n, \eta^n(\cdot, \rho))^\top \in D(\mathcal{A})$  of system (3.5)-(3.9) satisfies the following inequalities

$$(3.22) \quad |z^n(\beta)|^2 + |z^n(\alpha)|^2 \leq M_{g'} \int_\alpha^\beta |z^n|^2 dx + 2|\lambda^n| M_g \left( \int_\alpha^\beta |z^n|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2M_g \|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}},$$

$$(3.23) \quad |y_x^n(\beta)|^2 + |y_x^n(\alpha)|^2 \leq M_{g'} \int_\alpha^\beta |y_x^n|^2 dx + 2(|\lambda^n| + c_0) M_g \left( \int_\alpha^\beta |y_x^n|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2M_g \|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}}$$

and the following limits

$$(3.24) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|v^n(\beta)|^2 + |v^n(\alpha)|^2) = 0,$$

$$(3.25) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|(S_1(u^n, v^n, \eta^n))(\beta^-)|^2 + |(S_1(u^n, v^n, \eta^n))(\alpha)|^2) = 0.$$

**Proof.** First, from (3.7), we deduce that

$$(3.26) \quad i\lambda^n y_x^n - z_x^n = f_x^{3,n}.$$

Multiplying (3.26) and (3.8) by  $2g\overline{z^n}$  and  $2g\overline{y_x^n}$  respectively, integrating over  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , using the definition of  $c(\cdot)$ , then taking the real part, we get

$$(3.27) \quad \Re \left\{ 2i\lambda^n \int_\alpha^\beta g y_x^n \overline{z^n} dx \right\} - \int_\alpha^\beta g (|z^n|^2)_x dx = \Re \left\{ 2 \int_\alpha^\beta g f_x^{3,n} \overline{z^n} dx \right\}$$

and

$$(3.28) \quad \Re \left\{ 2i\lambda^n \int_\alpha^\beta g z^n \overline{y_x^n} dx \right\} - \int_\alpha^\beta g (|y_x^n|^2)_x dx - \Re \left\{ 2c_0 \int_\alpha^\beta g v^n \overline{y_x^n} dx \right\} = \Re \left\{ 2 \int_\alpha^\beta g f_x^{4,n} \overline{y_x^n} dx \right\}.$$

Using integration by parts in (3.27) and (3.28), we obtain

$$\left[ -g |z^n|^2 \right]_\alpha^\beta = - \int_\alpha^\beta g' |z^n|^2 dx - \Re \left\{ 2i\lambda^n \int_\alpha^\beta g y_x^n \overline{z^n} dx \right\} + \Re \left\{ 2 \int_\alpha^\beta g f_x^{3,n} \overline{z^n} dx \right\}$$

and

$$\left[ -g |y_x^n|^2 \right]_\alpha^\beta = - \int_\alpha^\beta g' |y_x^n|^2 dx - \Re \left\{ 2i\lambda^n \int_\alpha^\beta g z^n \overline{y_x^n} dx \right\} + \Re \left\{ 2c_0 \int_\alpha^\beta g v^n \overline{y_x^n} dx \right\} + \Re \left\{ 2 \int_\alpha^\beta g f_x^{4,n} \overline{y_x^n} dx \right\}.$$

Using the definition of  $g$  and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in the above equations, we obtain

$$(3.29) \quad \begin{aligned} |z^n(\beta)|^2 + |z^n(\alpha)|^2 &\leq M_{g'} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |z^n|^2 dx + 2|\lambda^n| M_g \left( \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |y_x^n|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |z^n|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + 2M_g \left( \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |f_x^{3,n}|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |z^n|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.30) \quad \begin{aligned} |y_x^n(\beta)|^2 + |y_x^n(\alpha)|^2 &\leq M_{g'} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |y_x^n|^2 dx + 2|\lambda^n| M_g \left( \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |y_x^n|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |z^n|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + 2c_0 M_g \left( \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |y_x^n|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |v^n|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + 2M_g \left( \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |f_x^{4,n}|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |y_x^n|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (3.29), (3.30) and the fact that  $\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |\xi_1^n|^2 dx \leq \int_0^L |\xi_1^n|^2 dx \leq \|U^n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = 1$  with  $\xi_1^n \in \{v^n, y_x^n, z^n\}$  and  $\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |\xi_2^n|^2 dx \leq \int_0^L |\xi_2^n|^2 dx \leq \|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$  with  $\xi_2^n \in \{f_x^{3,n}, f_x^{4,n}\}$ , we obtain (3.22) and (3.23). On the other hand, from (3.5), we deduce that

$$(3.31) \quad i\lambda^n u_x^n - v_x^n = f_x^{1,n}.$$

Multiplying (3.31) and (3.6) by  $2g\overline{v^n}$  and  $2g\overline{S_1}(u^n, v^n, \eta^n)$  respectively, integrating over  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , using the definition of  $c(\cdot)$  and  $S_b(u^n, v^n, \eta^n)$ , then taking the real part, we get

$$(3.32) \quad \Re \left\{ 2i\lambda^n \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} g u_x^n \overline{v^n} dx \right\} - \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} g (|v^n|^2)_x dx = \Re \left\{ 2 \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} g f_x^{1,n} \overline{v^n} dx \right\}$$

and

$$(3.33) \quad \begin{aligned} &\Re \left\{ 2i\lambda^n \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} g v^n \overline{S_1}(u^n, v^n, \eta^n) dx \right\} - \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} g (|S_1(u^n, v^n, \eta^n)|^2)_x dx \\ &+ \Re \left\{ 2c_0 \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} g z^n \overline{S_1}(u^n, v^n, \eta^n) dx \right\} = \Re \left\{ 2 \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} g f_x^{2,n} \overline{S_1}(u^n, v^n, \eta^n) dx \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using integration by parts in (3.32) and (3.33), we get

$$\left[ -g |v^n|^2 \right]_{\alpha}^{\beta} = - \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} g' |v^n|^2 dx - \Re \left\{ 2i\lambda^n \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} g u_x^n \overline{v^n} dx \right\} + \Re \left\{ 2 \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} g f_x^{1,n} \overline{v^n} dx \right\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ -g |S_1(u^n, v^n, \eta^n)|^2 \right]_{\alpha}^{\beta} &= - \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} g' |S_1(u^n, v^n, \eta^n)|^2 dx - \Re \left\{ 2i\lambda^n \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} g v^n \overline{S_1}(u^n, v^n, \eta^n) dx \right\} \\ &\quad - \Re \left\{ 2c_0 \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} g z^n \overline{S_1}(u^n, v^n, \eta^n) dx \right\} + \Re \left\{ 2 \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} g f_x^{2,n} \overline{S_1}(u^n, v^n, \eta^n) dx \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the definition of  $g$  and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in the above equations, then using the fact that

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |z^n|^2 dx \leq \int_0^L |z^n|^2 dx \leq \|U^n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = 1, & \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |f_x^{1,n}|^2 dx \leq \int_0^L |f_x^{1,n}|^2 dx \leq a^{-1} \|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\ \text{and } \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |f^{2,n}|^2 dx \leq \int_0^L |f^{2,n}|^2 dx \leq \|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2, \end{cases}$$

we obtain

$$(3.34) \quad \begin{aligned} |v^n(\beta)|^2 + |v^n(\alpha)|^2 &\leq M_{g'} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |v^n|^2 dx + 2|\lambda^n| M_g \left( \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |u_x^n|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |v^n|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{\sqrt{a}} M_g \left( \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |v^n|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.35) \quad \begin{aligned} |(S_1(u^n, v^n, \eta^n))(\beta^-)|^2 + |(S_1(u^n, v^n, \eta^n))(\alpha)|^2 &\leq M_{g'} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |S_1(u^n, v^n, \eta^n)|^2 dx \\ &\quad + 2|\lambda^n| M_g \left( \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |S_1(u^n, v^n, \eta^n)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |v^n|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + 2c_0 M_g \left( \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |S_1(u^n, v^n, \eta^n)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2M_g \left( \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |S_1(u^n, v^n, \eta^n)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, passing to limit in (3.34) and (3.35), then using (3.2), Lemma 3.1 and the fact that  $\|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain (3.24) and (3.25). The proof is thus complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** Under the hypothesis (H), the solution  $U^n = (u^n, v^n, y^n, z^n, \eta^n(\cdot, \rho))^{\top} \in D(\mathcal{A})$  of system (3.5)-(3.8) satisfies the following limits

$$(3.36) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |z^n|^2 dx = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |y_x^n|^2 dx = 0.$$

**Proof.** First, multiplying (3.6) by  $\overline{z^n}$ , integrating over  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , using the definition of  $c(\cdot)$  and  $S_b(u^n, v^n, \eta^n)$ , then taking the real part, we get

$$(3.37) \quad \Re \left\{ i\lambda^n \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} v^n \overline{z^n} dx \right\} - \Re \left\{ \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} (S_1(u^n, v^n, \eta^n))_x \overline{z^n} dx \right\} + c_0 \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |z^n|^2 dx = \Re \left\{ \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f^{2,n} \overline{z^n} dx \right\}.$$

From (3.7), we deduce that

$$(3.38) \quad \overline{z_x^n} = -i\lambda^n \overline{y_x^n} - \overline{f_x^{3,n}}.$$

Using integration by parts to the second term in (3.37), then using (3.38), we get

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |z^n|^2 dx &= \Re \left\{ i\lambda^n \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} S_1(u^n, v^n, \eta^n) \overline{y_x^n} dx \right\} + \Re \left\{ \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} S_1(u^n, v^n, \eta^n) \overline{f_x^{3,n}} dx \right\} \\ &\quad + \Re \left\{ [S_1(u^n, v^n, \eta^n) \overline{z^n}]_{\alpha}^{\beta} \right\} + \Re \left\{ \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f^{2,n} \overline{z^n} dx \right\} - \Re \left\{ i\lambda^n \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} v^n \overline{z^n} dx \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in the above equation and the fact that  $\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |\xi_1^n|^2 dx \leq \int_0^L |\xi_1^n|^2 dx \leq \|U^n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = 1$  with  $\xi_1^n \in \{y_x^n, z^n\}$  and  $\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |\xi_2^n|^2 dx \leq \int_0^L |\xi_2^n|^2 dx \leq \|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$  with  $\xi_2^n \in \{f^{2,n}, f_x^{3,n}\}$ , we obtain

$$(3.39) \quad c_0 \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |z^n|^2 dx \leq (|\lambda^n| + \|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}}) \left( \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |S_1(u^n, v^n, \eta^n)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + |\lambda^n| \left( \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |v^n|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ + |(S_1(u^n, v^n, \eta^n))(\beta^-)| |z^n(\beta)| + |(S_1(u^n, v^n, \eta^n))(\alpha)| |z^n(\alpha)|.$$

Now, using the fact that  $\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |z^n|^2 dx \leq \int_0^L |z^n|^2 dx \leq \|U^n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = 1$  in (3.22), we get

$$(3.40) \quad |z^n(x)| \leq (M_{g'} + 2|\lambda^n| M_g + 2M_g \|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}})^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{for } x \in \{\alpha, \beta\}.$$

Inserting (3.40) in (3.39), we obtain

$$c_0 \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |z^n|^2 dx \leq (|\lambda^n| + \|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}}) \left( \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |S_1(u^n, v^n, \eta^n)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + |\lambda^n| \left( \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |v^n|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ + (M_{g'} + 2|\lambda^n| M_g + 2M_g \|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}})^{\frac{1}{2}} (|(S_1(u^n, v^n, \eta^n))(\beta^-)| + |(S_1(u^n, v^n, \eta^n))(\alpha)|).$$

Therefore, by passing to the limit in the above inequality and by using (3.2), (3.25), Lemma 3.1 and the fact that  $\|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain the first limit in (3.36). On the other hand, multiplying (3.8) by  $-\overline{z^n}(\lambda^n)^{-1}$ , integrating over  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , using the definition of  $c(\cdot)$ , then taking the imaginary part, we get

$$-\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |z^n|^2 dx + \Im \left\{ (\lambda^n)^{-1} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} y_{xx}^n \overline{z^n} dx \right\} + \Im \left\{ c_0 (\lambda^n)^{-1} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} v^n \overline{z^n} dx \right\} = -\Im \left\{ (\lambda^n)^{-1} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f^{4,n} \overline{z^n} dx \right\}.$$

Using integration by parts to the second term in the above equation, then using (3.38), we obtain

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |y_x^n|^2 dx = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |z^n|^2 dx - \Im \left\{ (\lambda^n)^{-1} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \overline{f_x^{3,n}} y_x^n dx \right\} - \Im \left\{ (\lambda^n)^{-1} [y_x^n \overline{z^n}]_{\alpha}^{\beta} \right\} \\ - \Im \left\{ c_0 (\lambda^n)^{-1} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} v^n \overline{z^n} dx \right\} - \Im \left\{ (\lambda^n)^{-1} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f^{4,n} \overline{z^n} dx \right\}.$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in the above equation and the fact that  $\|U^n\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 1$ , we get

$$(3.41) \quad \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |y_x^n|^2 dx \leq \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |z^n|^2 dx + c_0 |\lambda^n|^{-1} \left( \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |v^n|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2|\lambda^n|^{-1} \|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ + |\lambda^n|^{-1} |y_x^n(\beta)| |z^n(\beta)| + |\lambda^n|^{-1} |y_x^n(\alpha)| |z^n(\alpha)|.$$

Moreover, using the fact that  $\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |y_x^n|^2 dx \leq \int_0^L |y_x^n|^2 dx \leq \|U^n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = 1$  in (3.23), we get

$$(3.42) \quad |y_x^n(x)| \leq (M_{g'} + 2(|\lambda^n| + c_0) M_g + 2M_g \|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}})^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{for } x \in \{\alpha, \beta\}.$$

Inserting (3.42) in (3.41), we obtain

$$(3.43) \quad \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |y_x^n|^2 dx \leq \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |z^n|^2 dx + c_0 |\lambda^n|^{-1} \left( \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |v^n|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2|\lambda^n|^{-1} \|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ + |\lambda^n|^{-1} (M_{g'} + 2(|\lambda^n| + c_0) M_g + 2M_g \|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}})^{\frac{1}{2}} (|z^n(\beta)| + |z^n(\alpha)|).$$

Now, passing to the limit in inequality (3.22), then using (3.2), the first limit in (3.36) and the fact that  $\|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow 0$ , we get

$$(3.44) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|z^n(\beta)|^2 + |z^n(\alpha)|^2) = 0.$$

Finally, passing to the limit in (3.43), then using (3.2), (3.11), the first limit in (3.36), (3.44) and the fact that  $\|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain the second limit in (3.36). The proof is thus complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** Under the hypothesis (H), the solution  $U^n = (u^n, v^n, y^n, z^n, \eta^n(\cdot, \rho))^\top \in D(\mathcal{A})$  of system (3.5)-(3.9) satisfies the following estimations

$$(3.45) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |u^n(\beta)|^2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |y^n(\beta)|^2 = 0,$$

$$(3.46) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |u_x^n(\beta^+)|^2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |y_x^n(\beta)|^2 = 0,$$

$$(3.47) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_{\beta}^{\gamma} |u^n|^2 dx + \int_{\beta}^{\gamma} |u_x^n|^2 dx + \int_{\beta}^{\gamma} |y^n|^2 dx + \int_{\beta}^{\gamma} |y_x^n|^2 dx \right) = 0,$$

$$(3.48) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\beta}^{\gamma} |v^n|^2 dx = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\beta}^{\gamma} |z^n|^2 dx = 0.$$

**Proof.** First, from (3.5) and (3.7), we get

$$|u^n(\beta)|^2 \leq 2(\lambda^n)^{-2} |v^n(\beta)|^2 + 2(\lambda^n)^{-2} |f^{1,n}(\beta)|^2$$

and

$$|y^n(\beta)|^2 \leq 2(\lambda^n)^{-2} |z^n(\beta)|^2 + 2(\lambda^n)^{-2} |f^{3,n}(\beta)|^2.$$

Using the fact that  $|f^{1,n}(\beta)|^2 \leq \beta \int_0^{\beta} |f_x^{1,n}|^2 dx \leq \beta a^{-1} \|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$  and  $|f^{3,n}(\beta)|^2 \leq \beta \int_0^{\beta} |f_x^{3,n}|^2 dx \leq \beta \|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$  in the above inequalities, we obtain

$$|u^n(\beta)|^2 \leq 2(\lambda^n)^{-2} |v^n(\beta)|^2 + 2\beta a^{-1} (\lambda^n)^{-2} \|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$$

and

$$|y^n(\beta)|^2 \leq 2(\lambda^n)^{-2} |z^n(\beta)|^2 + 2\beta (\lambda^n)^{-2} \|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2.$$

Passing to the limit in the above inequalities, then using (3.2), (3.24), (3.44) and the fact that  $\|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain (3.45). Second, since  $S_b(u^n, v^n, \eta^n) \in H^1(0, L) \subset C([0, L])$ , then we deduce that

$$(3.49) \quad |(S_1(u^n, v^n, \eta^n))(\beta^-)|^2 = |au_x^n(\beta^+)|^2.$$

Thus, from (3.25) and (3.49), we obtain the first limit in (3.46). Moreover, passing to the limit in inequality (3.23), then using (3.2), the second limit in (3.36) and the fact that  $\|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain the second limit in (3.46). On the other hand, (3.5)-(3.8) can be written in  $(\beta, \gamma)$  as the following form

$$(3.50) \quad (\lambda^n)^2 u^n + au_{xx}^n - i\lambda^n c_0 y^n = G^{1,n} \quad \text{in } (\beta, \gamma),$$

$$(3.51) \quad (\lambda^n)^2 y^n + y_{xx}^n + i\lambda^n c_0 u^n = G^{2,n} \quad \text{in } (\beta, \gamma),$$

where

$$(3.52) \quad G^{1,n} = -f^{2,n} - i\lambda^n f^{1,n} - c_0 f^{3,n} \quad \text{and} \quad G^{2,n} = -f^{4,n} - i\lambda^n f^{3,n} + c_0 f^{1,n}.$$

Let  $V^n = (u^n, u_x^n, y^n, y_x^n)^\top$ , then (3.50)-(3.51) can be written as the following

$$(3.53) \quad V_x^n = B^n V^n + G^n,$$

where

$$B^n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -a^{-1}(\lambda^n)^2 & 0 & a^{-1}i\lambda^n c_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -i\lambda^n c_0 & 0 & -(\lambda^n)^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = (b_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4} \quad \text{and} \quad G^n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ a^{-1}G^{1,n} \\ 0 \\ G^{2,n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The solution of the differential equation (3.53) is given by

$$(3.54) \quad V^n(x) = e^{B^n(x-\beta)} V^n(\beta^+) + \int_{\beta}^x e^{B^n(s-x)} G^n(s) ds,$$

where  $e^{B^n(x-\beta)} = (c_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4}$  and  $e^{B^n(s-x)} = (d_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4}$  are denoted by the exponential of the matrices  $B^n(x-\beta)$  and  $B^n(s-x)$  respectively. Now, from (3.2), the entries  $b_{ij}$  are bounded for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq 4$  and

consequently, the entries  $b_{ij}(x - \beta)$  and  $b_{ij}(s - x)$  are bounded. In addition, from the definition of the exponential of a square matrix, we obtain

$$e^{B^n \zeta} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(B^n \zeta)^k}{k!} \quad \text{for } \zeta \in \{x - \beta, s - x\}.$$

Therefore, the entries  $c_{ij}$  and  $d_{ij}$  are also bounded for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq 4$  and consequently,  $e^{B^n(x-\beta)}$  and  $e^{B^n(s-x)}$  are two bounded matrices. From (3.45) and (3.46), we directly obtain

$$(3.55) \quad V^n(\beta^+) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } (L^2(\beta, \gamma))^4, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Moreover, from (3.52), we deduce that

$$(3.56) \quad \int_{\beta}^{\gamma} |G^{1,n}|^2 dx \leq 3 \int_0^L |f^{2,n}|^2 dx + 3(\lambda^n)^2 \int_0^L |f^{1,n}|^2 dx + 3c_0^2 \int_0^L |f^{3,n}|^2 dx$$

and

$$(3.57) \quad \int_{\beta}^{\gamma} |G^{2,n}|^2 dx \leq 3 \int_0^L |f^{4,n}|^2 dx + 3(\lambda^n)^2 \int_0^L |f^{3,n}|^2 dx + 3c_0^2 \int_0^L |f^{1,n}|^2 dx.$$

Now, since  $f^{1,n}, f^{3,n} \in H_0^1(0, L)$ , then it follows by Poincaré inequality that there exist two constants  $C_1 > 0$  and  $C_2 > 0$  such that

$$(3.58) \quad \|f^{1,n}\|_{L^2(0,L)} \leq C_1 \|f_x^{1,n}\|_{L^2(0,L)} \quad \text{and} \quad \|f^{3,n}\|_{L^2(0,L)} \leq C_2 \|f_x^{3,n}\|_{L^2(0,L)}.$$

Consequently, from (3.56), (3.57) and (3.58), we get

$$(3.59) \quad \int_{\beta}^{\gamma} |G^{1,n}|^2 dx \leq 3(1 + a^{-1}(\lambda^n C_1)^2 + (c_0 C_2)^2) \|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2,$$

and

$$(3.60) \quad \int_{\beta}^{\gamma} |G^{2,n}|^2 dx \leq 3(1 + (\lambda^n C_1)^2 + a^{-1}(c_0 C_2)^2) \|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2.$$

Hence, from (3.2), (3.59), (3.60) and the fact that  $\|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain

$$(3.61) \quad G^n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } (L^2(\beta, \gamma))^4, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, from (3.54), (3.55), (3.61) and as  $e^{B^n(x-\beta)}$ ,  $e^{B^n(s-x)}$  are two bounded matrices, we get  $V^n \rightarrow 0$  in  $(L^2(\beta, \gamma))^4$  and consequently, we obtain (3.47). Next, from (3.5), (3.7) and (3.58), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\beta}^{\gamma} |v^n|^2 dx &\leq 2(\lambda^n)^2 \int_{\beta}^{\gamma} |u^n|^2 dx + 2 \int_{\beta}^{\gamma} |f^{1,n}|^2 dx \leq 2(\lambda^n)^2 \int_{\beta}^{\gamma} |u^n|^2 dx + 2C_1 a^{-1} \|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2, \\ \int_{\beta}^{\gamma} |z^n|^2 dx &\leq 2(\lambda^n)^2 \int_{\beta}^{\gamma} |y^n|^2 dx + 2 \int_{\beta}^{\gamma} |f^{3,n}|^2 dx \leq 2(\lambda^n)^2 \int_{\beta}^{\gamma} |y^n|^2 dx + 2C_2 \|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, passing to the limit in the above inequalities, then using (3.2), (3.47) and the fact that  $\|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain (3.48). The proof is thus complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** Let  $h \in C^1([0, L])$  be a function. Under the hypothesis (H), the solution  $U^n = (u^n, v^n, y^n, z^n, \eta^n(\cdot, \rho))^{\top} \in D(\mathcal{A})$  of system (3.5)-(3.9) satisfies the following estimation

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^L h' \left( a^{-1} |S_b(u^n, v^n, \eta^n)|^2 + |v^n|^2 + |z^n|^2 + |y_x^n|^2 \right) dx - \left[ h \left( a^{-1} |S_b(u^n, v^n, \eta^n)|^2 + |y_x^n|^2 \right) \right]_0^L \\ &- \Re \left\{ 2 \int_0^L c(\cdot) h v^n \overline{y_x^n} dx \right\} + \Re \left\{ \frac{2}{a} \int_0^L c(\cdot) h z^n \overline{S_b}(u^n, v^n, \eta^n) dx \right\} + \Re \left\{ \frac{2i\lambda^n}{a} \int_0^L b(\cdot) h v^n (\kappa_1 \overline{v_x^n} + \kappa_2 \overline{\eta_x^n}(\cdot, 1)) dx \right\} \\ &= \Re \left\{ 2 \int_0^L h \overline{f_x^{1,n}} v^n dx \right\} + \Re \left\{ \frac{2}{a} \int_0^L h f^{2,n} \overline{S_b}(u^n, v^n, \eta^n) dx \right\} + \Re \left\{ 2 \int_0^L h \overline{f_x^{3,n}} z^n dx \right\} + \Re \left\{ 2 \int_0^L h f^{4,n} \overline{y_x^n} dx \right\}. \end{aligned}$$



**Proof.** First, multiplying (3.6) and (3.8) by  $2a^{-1}h\overline{S_b}(u^n, v^n, \eta^n)$  and  $2h\overline{y_x^n}$  respectively, integrating over  $(0, L)$ , then taking the real part, we get

$$(3.62) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Re \left\{ \frac{2i\lambda^n}{a} \int_0^L h v^n \overline{S_b}(u^n, v^n, \eta^n) dx \right\} - a^{-1} \int_0^L h \left( |S_b(u^n, v^n, \eta^n)|^2 \right)_x dx \\ & + \Re \left\{ \frac{2}{a} \int_0^L c(\cdot) h z^n \overline{S_b}(u^n, v^n, \eta^n) dx \right\} = \Re \left\{ \frac{2}{a} \int_0^L h f^{2,n} \overline{S_b}(u^n, v^n, \eta^n) dx \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.63) \quad \Re \left\{ 2i\lambda^n \int_0^L h z^n \overline{y_x^n} dx \right\} - \int_0^L h \left( |y_x^n|^2 \right)_x dx - \Re \left\{ 2 \int_0^L c(\cdot) h v^n \overline{y_x^n} dx \right\} = \Re \left\{ 2 \int_0^L h f^{4,n} \overline{y_x^n} dx \right\}.$$

From (3.5) and (3.7), we deduce that

$$(3.64) \quad i\lambda^n \overline{u_x^n} = -\overline{v_x^n} - \overline{f_x^{1,n}},$$

$$(3.65) \quad i\lambda^n \overline{y_x^n} = -\overline{z_x^n} - \overline{f_x^{3,n}}.$$

Consequently, from (3.64) and the definition  $S_b(u^n, v^n, \eta^n)$ , we have

$$(3.66) \quad i\lambda \overline{S_b}(u^n, v^n, \eta^n) = -a \left( \overline{v_x^n} + \overline{f_x^{1,n}} \right) + i\lambda b(\cdot) (\kappa_1 \overline{v_x^n} + \kappa_2 \overline{\eta_x^n}(\cdot, 1)).$$

Substituting (3.66) and (3.65) in (3.62) and (3.63) respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_0^L h \left( |v^n|^2 + a^{-1} |S_b(u^n, v^n, \eta^n)|^2 \right)_x dx + \Re \left\{ \frac{2i\lambda^n}{a} \int_0^L b(\cdot) h v^n (\kappa_1 \overline{v_x^n} + \kappa_2 \overline{\eta_x^n}(\cdot, 1)) dx \right\} \\ & + \Re \left\{ \frac{2}{a} \int_0^L c(\cdot) h z^n \overline{S_b}(u^n, v^n, \eta^n) dx \right\} = \Re \left\{ 2 \int_0^L h \overline{f_x^{1,n}} v^n dx \right\} + \Re \left\{ \frac{2}{a} \int_0^L h f^{2,n} \overline{S_b}(u^n, v^n, \eta^n) dx \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$- \int_0^L h \left( |z^n|^2 + |y_x^n|^2 \right)_x dx - \Re \left\{ 2 \int_0^L c(\cdot) h v^n \overline{y_x^n} dx \right\} = \Re \left\{ 2 \int_0^L h f^{4,n} \overline{y_x^n} dx \right\} + \Re \left\{ 2 \int_0^L h \overline{f_x^{3,n}} z^n dx \right\}.$$

Finally, adding the above equations, then using integration by parts and the fact that  $v^n(0) = v^n(L) = 0$  and  $z^n(0) = z^n(L) = 0$ , we obtain the desired result. The proof is thus complete.  $\square$

Now, we fix the cut-off functions  $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in C^1([0, L])$  (see Figure 2) such that  $0 \leq \chi_1(x) \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq \chi_2(x) \leq 1$ , for all  $x \in [0, L]$  and

$$\chi_1(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in [0, \alpha], \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in [\beta, L], \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_2(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in [0, \beta], \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in [\gamma, L], \end{cases}$$

and set  $\max_{x \in [0, L]} |\chi_1'(x)| = M_{\chi_1}$  and  $\max_{x \in [0, L]} |\chi_2'(x)| = M_{\chi_2}$ ,




FIGURE 2. Geometric description of the functions  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** Under the hypothesis (H), the solution  $U^n = (u^n, v^n, y^n, z^n, \eta^n(\cdot, \rho))^\top \in D(\mathcal{A})$  of system (3.5)-(3.9) satisfies the following limits

$$(3.67) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_0^\alpha |y_x^n|^2 dx + \int_0^\alpha |z^n|^2 dx \right) = 0,$$

$$(3.68) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( a \int_\gamma^L |u_x^n|^2 dx + \int_\gamma^L |v^n|^2 dx + \int_\gamma^L |y_x^n|^2 dx + \int_\gamma^L |z^n|^2 dx \right) = 0.$$

**Proof.** First, using the result of Lemma 3.5 with  $h = x\chi_1$ , then using the definition of  $b(\cdot)$ ,  $c(\cdot)$ ,  $S_b(u^n, v^n, \eta^n)$  and  $\chi_1$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\alpha |y_x^n|^2 dx + \int_0^\alpha |z^n|^2 dx = - \int_0^\alpha |v^n|^2 dx - a^{-1} \int_0^\alpha |S_1(u^n, v^n, \eta^n)|^2 dx \\ & - \int_\alpha^\beta (\chi_1 + x\chi_1') \left( a^{-1} |S_1(u^n, v^n, \eta^n)|^2 + |v^n|^2 + |y_x^n|^2 + |z^n|^2 \right) dx - \Re \left\{ \frac{2c_0}{a} \int_\alpha^\beta x\chi_1 z^n \overline{S_1(u^n, v^n, \eta^n)} dx \right\} \\ & + \Re \left\{ 2c_0 \int_\alpha^\beta x\chi_1 v^n \overline{y_x^n} dx \right\} - \Re \left\{ \frac{2i\lambda^n}{a} \int_0^\beta x\chi_1 v^n (\kappa_1 \overline{v_x^n} + \kappa_2 \overline{\eta_x^n(\cdot, 1)}) dx \right\} + \Re \left\{ \frac{2}{a} \int_0^\beta x\chi_1 f^{2,n} (\kappa_1 \overline{v_x^n} + \kappa_2 \overline{\eta_x^n(\cdot, 1)}) dx \right\} \\ & + \Re \left\{ 2 \int_0^L x\chi_1 \left( \overline{f_x^{1,n}} v^n + f^{2,n} \overline{v_x^n} + \overline{f_x^{3,n}} z^n + f^{4,n} \overline{y_x^n} \right) dx \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in the above Equation and the fact that  $\|U^n\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|(u^n, v^n, y^n, z^n, \eta^n(\cdot, \rho))^\top\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\alpha |y_x^n|^2 dx + \int_0^\alpha |z^n|^2 dx \leq \int_0^\alpha |v^n|^2 dx + a^{-1} \int_0^\alpha |S_1(u^n, v^n, \eta^n)|^2 dx \\ & + (1 + \beta M_{\chi_1'}) \int_\alpha^\beta \left( a^{-1} |S_1(u^n, v^n, \eta^n)|^2 + |v^n|^2 + |z^n|^2 + |y_x^n|^2 \right) dx + \frac{2c_0\beta}{a} \left( \int_\alpha^\beta |S_1(u^n, v^n, \eta^n)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & + 2c_0\beta \left( \int_\alpha^\beta |v^n|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{2\beta}{a} (|\lambda^n| + \|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}}) \left[ \kappa_1 \left( \int_0^\beta |v_x^n|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + |\kappa_2| \left( \int_0^\beta |\eta_x^n(\cdot, 1)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\ & + 4L \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} + 1 \right) \|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by passing to the limit in the above inequality and by using (3.2), Lemmas 3.1, 3.3 and the fact that  $\|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain (3.67). On the other hand, using the result of Lemma 3.5 with  $h = (x - L)\chi_2$ , then using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the fact that  $\|U^n\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 1$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & a \int_\gamma^L |u_x^n|^2 + \int_\gamma^L |v^n|^2 dx + \int_\gamma^L |y_x^n|^2 dx + \int_\gamma^L |z^n|^2 dx \\ & \leq (1 + (L - \beta)M_{\chi_2'}) \int_\beta^\gamma \left( a|u_x^n|^2 + |v^n|^2 + |y_x^n|^2 + |z^n|^2 \right) dx + 2c_0(L - \beta) \left( \int_\beta^\gamma |v^n|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_\beta^\gamma |y_x^n|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & + 2c_0(L - \beta) \left( \int_\beta^\gamma |z^n|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_\beta^\gamma |u_x^n|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 4L \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} + 1 \right) \|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, passing to the limit in the above inequality, then using Lemma 3.4 and the fact that  $\|F^n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain (3.68). The proof is thus complete.  $\square$

**Proof of Proposition 3.1.** From Lemmas 3.1-3.6, we obtain  $\|U^n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  which contradicts  $\|U^n\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 1$ . Thus, (3.1) is holds true. The proof is thus complete.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** From proposition 3.1, we have  $i\mathbb{R} \subset \rho(\mathcal{A})$  and consequently  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \cap i\mathbb{R} = \emptyset$ . Therefore, according to Theorem A.2, we get that the  $C_0$ -semigroup of contraction  $(e^{t\mathcal{A}})_{t \geq 0}$  is strongly stable. The proof is thus complete.  $\square$

#### 4. POLYNOMIAL STABILITY

In this section, we will prove the polynomial stability of system (2.2)-(2.6). The main result of this section is the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** Under the hypothesis (H), for all  $U_0 \in D(\mathcal{A})$ , there exists a constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $U_0$  such that the energy of system (2.2)-(2.6) satisfies the following estimation

$$E(t) \leq \frac{C}{t} \|U_0\|_{D(\mathcal{A})}^2, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

According to Theorem A.4, to prove Theorem 4.1, we will prove the following two conditions

$$(4.1) \quad i\mathbb{R} \subset \rho(\mathcal{A})$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{|\lambda|^2} \|(i\lambda I - \mathcal{A})^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})} < +\infty.$$

From proposition 3.1, we obtain condition (4.1). Next, we will prove condition (4.2) by a contradiction argument. For this purpose, suppose that (4.2) is false, then there exists  $\{(\lambda^n, U^n := (u^n, v^n, y^n, z^n, \eta^n(\cdot, \rho))^\top)\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathbb{R}^* \times D(\mathcal{A})$  with

$$(4.3) \quad |\lambda^n| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \|U^n\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|(u^n, v^n, y^n, z^n, \eta^n(\cdot, \rho))^\top\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 1,$$

such that

$$(4.4) \quad (\lambda^n)^2 (i\lambda^n I - \mathcal{A})U^n = F^n := (f^{1,n}, f^{2,n}, f^{3,n}, f^{4,n}, f^{5,n}(\cdot, \rho))^\top \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{H}.$$

For simplicity, we drop the index  $n$ . Equivalently, from (4.4), we have

$$(4.5) \quad i\lambda u - v = \lambda^{-2} f^1 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in} \quad H_0^1(0, L),$$

$$(4.6) \quad i\lambda v - (S_b(u, v, \eta))_x + c(\cdot)z = \lambda^{-2} f^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(0, L),$$

$$(4.7) \quad i\lambda y - z = \lambda^{-2} f^3 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in} \quad H_0^1(0, L),$$

$$(4.8) \quad i\lambda z - y_{xx} - c(\cdot)v = \lambda^{-2} f^4 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(0, L),$$

$$(4.9) \quad i\lambda \eta(\cdot, \rho) + \tau^{-1} \eta_\rho(\cdot, \rho) = \lambda^{-2} f^5(\cdot, \rho) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{W}.$$

Here we will check the condition (4.2) by finding a contradiction with (4.3) such as  $\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}} = o(1)$ . For clarity, we divide the proof into several Lemmas.

**Lemma 4.1.** Under the hypothesis (H), the solution  $U = (u, v, y, z, \eta(\cdot, \rho))^\top \in D(\mathcal{A})$  of system (4.5)-(4.9) satisfies the following estimations

$$(4.10) \quad \int_0^\beta |v_x|^2 dx = o(\lambda^{-2}),$$

$$(4.11) \quad \int_0^\beta |u_x|^2 dx = o(\lambda^{-4}),$$

$$(4.12) \quad \int_0^\beta \int_0^1 |\eta_x(\cdot, \rho)|^2 d\rho dx = o(\lambda^{-2}),$$

$$(4.13) \quad \int_0^\beta |\eta_x(\cdot, 1)|^2 dx = o(\lambda^{-2}),$$

$$(4.14) \quad \int_0^\beta |S_1(u, v, \eta)|^2 dx = o(\lambda^{-2}).$$

**Proof.** First, taking the inner product of (4.4) with  $U$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  and using (2.19) with the help of hypothesis (H), we obtain

$$(4.15) \quad \int_0^\beta |v_x|^2 dx \leq -\frac{1}{\kappa_1 - |\kappa_2|} \Re(\mathcal{A}U, U)_{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{\lambda^{-2}}{\kappa_1 - |\kappa_2|} \Re(F, U)_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \frac{\lambda^{-2}}{\kappa_1 - |\kappa_2|} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Thus, from (4.15) and the fact that  $\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}} = o(1)$  and  $\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 1$ , we obtain (4.10). Now, from (4.5), we deduce that

$$(4.16) \quad \int_0^\beta |u_x|^2 dx \leq 2\lambda^{-2} \int_0^\beta |v_x|^2 dx + 2\lambda^{-4} \int_0^\beta |f_x^1|^2 dx \leq 2\lambda^{-2} \int_0^\beta |v_x|^2 dx + 2\lambda^{-4} \int_0^L |f_x^1|^2 dx.$$

Therefore, from (4.10), (4.16) and the fact that  $\|f_x^1\|_{L^2(0,L)} = o(1)$ , we obtain (4.11). Next, from (4.9) and the fact that  $\eta(\cdot, 0) = v(\cdot)$ , we get

$$(4.17) \quad \eta(x, \rho) = v e^{-i\lambda\tau\rho} + \tau\lambda^{-2} \int_0^\rho e^{i\lambda\tau(s-\rho)} f^5(x, s) ds, \quad (x, \rho) \in (0, L) \times (0, 1).$$

From (4.17), we deduce that

$$(4.18) \quad \int_0^\beta \int_0^1 |\eta_x(\cdot, \rho)|^2 d\rho dx \leq 2 \int_0^\beta |v_x|^2 dx + \tau^2 \lambda^{-4} \int_0^\beta \int_0^1 |f_x^5(\cdot, s)|^2 ds dx.$$

Thus, from (4.10), (4.18) and the fact that  $f^5(\cdot, \rho) \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{W}$ , we obtain (4.12). On the other hand, from (4.17), we have

$$\eta_x(\cdot, 1) = v_x e^{-i\lambda\tau} + \tau\lambda^{-2} \int_0^1 e^{i\lambda\tau(s-1)} f_x^5(\cdot, s) ds,$$

consequently, similar to the previous proof, we obtain (4.13). Next, it is clear to see that

$$\int_0^\beta |S_1(u, v, \eta)|^2 dx = \int_0^\beta |au_x + \kappa_1 v_x + \kappa_2 \eta_x(\cdot, 1)|^2 dx \leq 3a^2 \int_0^\beta |u_x|^2 dx + 3\kappa_1^2 \int_0^\beta |v_x|^2 dx + 3\kappa_2^2 \int_0^\beta |\eta_x(\cdot, 1)|^2 dx.$$

Finally, from (4.10), (4.11), (4.13) and the above estimation, we obtain (4.14). The proof is thus complete.  $\square$




FIGURE 3. Geometric description of the functions  $\theta_1$ ,  $\theta_2$  and  $\theta_3$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $0 < \varepsilon < \min\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}, \frac{\beta-\alpha}{4}\right)$ . Under the hypothesis (H), the solution  $U = (u, v, y, z, \eta(\cdot, \rho))^\top \in D(\mathcal{A})$  of system (4.5)-(4.9) satisfies the following estimation

$$(4.19) \quad \int_\varepsilon^{\beta-\varepsilon} |v|^2 dx = o(1).$$

**Proof.** First, we fix a cut-off function  $\theta_1 \in C^1([0, L])$  (see Figure 3) such that  $0 \leq \theta_1(x) \leq 1$ , for all  $x \in [0, L]$  and

$$\theta_1(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in [\varepsilon, \beta - \varepsilon], \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \{0\} \cup [\beta, L], \end{cases}$$

and set

$$\max_{x \in [0, L]} |\theta_1'(x)| = M_{\theta_1}.$$

Multiplying (4.6) by  $\lambda^{-1}\theta_1\bar{v}$ , integrating over  $(0, L)$ , then taking the imaginary part, we obtain

$$\int_0^L \theta_1 |v|^2 dx - \Im \left\{ \lambda^{-1} \int_0^L \theta_1 (S_b(u, v, \eta))_x \bar{v} dx \right\} + \Im \left\{ \lambda^{-1} \int_0^L c(\cdot) \theta_1 z \bar{v} dx \right\} = \Im \left\{ \lambda^{-3} \int_0^L \theta_1 f^2 \bar{v} dx \right\}.$$

Using integration by parts in the above equation and the fact that  $v(0) = v(L) = 0$ , we get

$$(4.20) \quad \int_0^L \theta_1 |v|^2 dx = -\Im \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^L (\theta_1' \bar{v} + \theta_1 \bar{v}_x) S_b(u, v, \eta) dx \right\} - \Im \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^L c(\cdot) \theta_1 z \bar{v} dx \right\} + \Im \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda^3} \int_0^L \theta_1 f^2 \bar{v} dx \right\}.$$

Using the definition of  $c(\cdot)$ ,  $S_b(u, v, \eta)$  and  $\theta_1$ , then, using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \Im \left\{ \lambda^{-1} \int_0^L (\theta_1' \bar{v} + \theta_1 \bar{v}_x) S_b(u, v, \eta) dx \right\} \right| &= \left| \Im \left\{ \lambda^{-1} \int_0^\beta (\theta_1' \bar{v} + \theta_1 \bar{v}_x) S_1(u, v, \eta) dx \right\} \right| \\ &\leq |\lambda|^{-1} \left[ M_{\theta_1'} \left( \int_0^\beta |v|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left( \int_0^\beta |v_x|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \left( \int_0^\beta |S_1(u, v, \eta)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left| \Im \left\{ \lambda^{-1} \int_0^L c(\cdot) \theta_1 z \bar{v} dx \right\} \right| = \left| \Im \left\{ c_0 \lambda^{-1} \int_\alpha^\beta \theta_1 z \bar{v} dx \right\} \right| \leq c_0 |\lambda|^{-1} \left( \int_\alpha^\beta |z|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_\alpha^\beta |v|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Thus, from the above inequalities, Lemma 4.1 and the fact that  $v$  and  $z$  are uniformly bounded in  $L^2(0, L)$ , we obtain

$$(4.21) \quad -\Im \left\{ \lambda^{-1} \int_0^L (\theta_1' \bar{v} + \theta_1 \bar{v}_x) S_b(u, v, \eta) dx \right\} = o(\lambda^{-2}) \quad \text{and} \quad -\Im \left\{ \lambda^{-1} \int_0^L c(\cdot) \theta_1 z \bar{v} dx \right\} = O(|\lambda|^{-1}) = o(1).$$

Inserting (4.21) in (4.20), then using the fact that  $v$  is uniformly bounded in  $L^2(0, L)$  and  $\|f^2\|_{L^2(0, L)} = o(1)$ , we obtain

$$\int_0^L \theta_1 |v|^2 dx = o(1).$$

Finally, from the above estimation and the definition of  $\theta_1$ , we obtain (4.19). The proof is thus complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** Let  $0 < \varepsilon < \min\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}, \frac{\beta-\alpha}{4}\right)$ . Under the hypothesis (H), the solution  $U = (u, v, y, z, \eta(\cdot, \rho))^\top \in D(\mathcal{A})$  of system (4.5)-(4.9) satisfies the following estimations

$$(4.22) \quad \int_\alpha^{\beta-2\varepsilon} |z|^2 dx = o(1) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\alpha+\varepsilon}^{\beta-3\varepsilon} |y_x|^2 dx = o(1).$$

**Proof.** First, we fix a cut-off function  $\theta_2 \in C^1([0, L])$  (see figure 3) such that  $0 \leq \theta_2(x) \leq 1$ , for all  $x \in [0, L]$  and

$$\theta_2(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in [0, \varepsilon] \cup [\beta - \varepsilon, L], \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in [2\varepsilon, \beta - 2\varepsilon], \end{cases}$$

and set

$$\max_{x \in [0, L]} |\theta_2'(x)| = M_{\theta_2'}.$$

Multiplying (4.6) and (4.8) by  $\theta_2 \bar{z}$  and  $\theta_2 \bar{v}$  respectively, integrating over  $(0, L)$ , then taking the real part, we obtain

$$(4.23) \quad \Re \left\{ i\lambda \int_0^L \theta_2 v \bar{z} dx \right\} - \Re \left\{ \int_0^L \theta_2 (S_b(u, v, \eta))_x \bar{z} dx \right\} + \int_0^L c(\cdot) \theta_2 |z|^2 dx = \Re \left\{ \lambda^{-2} \int_0^L \theta_2 f^2 \bar{z} dx \right\}$$

and

$$(4.24) \quad \Re \left\{ i\lambda \int_0^L \theta_2 z \bar{v} dx \right\} - \Re \left\{ \int_0^L \theta_2 y_{xx} \bar{v} dx \right\} - \int_0^L c(\cdot) \theta_2 |v|^2 dx = \Re \left\{ \lambda^{-2} \int_0^L \theta_2 f^4 \bar{v} dx \right\}.$$

Adding (4.23) and (4.24), then using integration by parts and the fact that  $v(0) = v(L) = 0$  and  $z(0) = z(L) = 0$ , we get

$$(4.25) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^L c(\cdot)\theta_2|z|^2 dx &= \int_0^L c(\cdot)\theta_2|v|^2 dx - \Re \left\{ \int_0^L (\theta_2' \bar{z} + \theta_2 \bar{z}_x) S_b(u, v, \eta) dx \right\} \\ &- \Re \left\{ \int_0^L (\theta_2' \bar{v} + \theta_2 \bar{v}_x) y_x dx \right\} + \Re \left\{ \lambda^{-2} \int_0^L \theta_2 f^2 \bar{z} dx \right\} + \Re \left\{ \lambda^{-2} \int_0^L \theta_2 f^4 \bar{v} dx \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

From (4.7), we deduce that

$$(4.26) \quad \bar{z}_x = -i\lambda \bar{y}_x - \lambda^{-2} \bar{f}_x^3.$$

Using (4.26) and the definition of  $S_b(u, v, \eta)$  and  $\theta_2$ , then using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \Re \left\{ \int_0^L (\theta_2' \bar{z} + \theta_2 \bar{z}_x) S_b(u, v, \eta) dx \right\} \right| &= \left| \Re \left\{ \int_\varepsilon^{\beta-\varepsilon} [\theta_2' \bar{z} + \theta_2 (-i\lambda \bar{y}_x - \lambda^{-2} \bar{f}_x^3)] S_1(u, v, \eta) dx \right\} \right| \\ &\leq \left[ M_{\theta_2'} \left( \int_\varepsilon^{\beta-\varepsilon} |z|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + |\lambda| \left( \int_\varepsilon^{\beta-\varepsilon} |y_x|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \lambda^{-2} \left( \int_\varepsilon^{\beta-\varepsilon} |f_x^3|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \left( \int_\varepsilon^{\beta-\varepsilon} |S_1(u, v, \eta)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \Re \left\{ \int_0^L (\theta_2' \bar{v} + \theta_2 \bar{v}_x) y_x dx \right\} \right| &= \left| \Re \left\{ \int_\varepsilon^{\beta-\varepsilon} (\theta_2' \bar{v} + \theta_2 \bar{v}_x) y_x dx \right\} \right| \\ &\leq \left[ M_{\theta_2'} \left( \int_\varepsilon^{\beta-\varepsilon} |v|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left( \int_\varepsilon^{\beta-\varepsilon} |v_x|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \left( \int_\varepsilon^{\beta-\varepsilon} |y_x|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from the above inequalities, Lemmas 4.1, 4.2 and the fact that  $y_x, z$  are uniformly bounded in  $L^2(0, L)$  and  $\|f_x^3\|_{L^2(0, L)} = o(1)$ , we obtain

$$(4.27) \quad -\Re \left\{ \int_0^L (\theta_2' \bar{z} + \theta_2 \bar{z}_x) S_b(u, v, \eta) dx \right\} = o(1) \quad \text{and} \quad -\Re \left\{ \int_0^L (\theta_2' \bar{v} + \theta_2 \bar{v}_x) y_x dx \right\} = o(1).$$

Inserting (4.27) in (4.25), then using the fact that  $v, z$  are uniformly bounded in  $L^2(0, L)$  and  $\|f^2\|_{L^2(0, L)} = o(1)$ ,  $\|f^4\|_{L^2(0, L)} = o(1)$ , we obtain

$$\int_0^L c(\cdot)\theta_2|z|^2 dx = \int_0^L c(\cdot)\theta_2|v|^2 dx + o(1).$$

Therefore, from the above estimation, Lemma 4.2 and the definition of  $c(\cdot)$  and  $\theta_2$ , we obtain the first estimation in (4.22). On the other hand, let us fix a cut-off function  $\theta_3 \in C^1([0, L])$  (see Figure 3) such that  $0 \leq \theta_3(x) \leq 1$ , for all  $x \in [0, L]$  and

$$\theta_3(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in [0, \alpha] \cup [\beta - 2\varepsilon, L], \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in [\alpha + \varepsilon, \beta - 3\varepsilon], \end{cases}$$

Now, multiplying (4.8) by  $-\lambda^{-1}\theta_3 \bar{z}$ , integrating over  $(0, L)$ , then taking the imaginary part, we obtain

$$-\int_0^L \theta_3 |z|^2 dx + \Im \left\{ \lambda^{-1} \int_0^L \theta_3 y_{xx} \bar{z} dx \right\} + \Im \left\{ \lambda^{-1} \int_0^L c(\cdot) \theta_3 v \bar{z} dx \right\} = -\Im \left\{ \lambda^{-3} \int_0^L \theta_3 f^4 \bar{z} dx \right\}.$$

Using integration by parts in the above equation and the fact that  $z(0) = z(L) = 0$ , then using (4.26), we get

$$(4.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^L \theta_3 |y_x|^2 dx &= \int_0^L \theta_3 |z|^2 dx + \Im \left\{ \lambda^{-1} \int_0^L \theta_3' y_x \bar{z} dx \right\} - \Im \left\{ \lambda^{-1} \int_0^L c(\cdot) \theta_3 v \bar{z} dx \right\} \\ &- \Im \left\{ \lambda^{-3} \int_0^L \theta_3 \bar{f}_x^3 y_x dx \right\} - \Im \left\{ \lambda^{-3} \int_0^L \theta_3 f^4 \bar{z} dx \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of  $c(\cdot)$  and  $\theta_3$ , the first estimation of (4.22) and the fact that  $v$  and  $y_x$  are uniformly bounded in  $L^2(0, L)$ , we obtain

$$(4.29) \quad \begin{cases} \Im \left\{ \lambda^{-1} \int_0^L \theta'_3 y_x \bar{z} dx \right\} = \Im \left\{ \lambda^{-1} \int_\alpha^{\beta-2\varepsilon} \theta'_3 y_x \bar{z} dx \right\} = o(|\lambda|^{-1}), \\ -\Im \left\{ \lambda^{-1} \int_0^L c(\cdot) \theta_3 v \bar{z} dx \right\} = -\Im \left\{ c_0 \lambda^{-1} \int_\alpha^{\beta-2\varepsilon} \theta_3 v \bar{z} dx \right\} = o(|\lambda|^{-1}). \end{cases}$$

Inserting (4.29) in (4.28), then using the fact that  $y_x, z$  are uniformly bounded in  $L^2(0, L)$  and  $\|f_x^3\|_{L^2(0, L)} = o(1)$ ,  $\|f^4\|_{L^2(0, L)} = o(1)$ , we get

$$\int_0^L \theta_3 |y_x|^2 dx = \int_0^L \theta_3 |z|^2 dx + o(|\lambda|^{-1}).$$

Finally, from the above estimation, the first estimation of (4.22) and the definition of  $\theta_3$ , we obtain the second estimation in (4.22). The proof is thus complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.**  $0 < \varepsilon < \min\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}, \frac{\beta-\alpha}{4}\right)$ . Under the hypothesis (H), the solution  $U = (u, v, y, z, \eta(\cdot, \rho))^\top \in D(\mathcal{A})$  of system (4.5)-(4.9) satisfies the following estimations

$$(4.30) \quad |v(\gamma)|^2 + |v(\beta - 3\varepsilon)|^2 + a|u_x(\gamma)|^2 + a^{-1} |S_1(u, v, \eta)|(\beta - 3\varepsilon)|^2 = O(1),$$

$$(4.31) \quad |z(\gamma)|^2 + |z(\beta - 3\varepsilon)|^2 + |y_x(\gamma)|^2 + |y_x(\beta - 3\varepsilon)|^2 = O(1).$$

**Proof.** First, we fix a function  $g_2 \in C^1([\beta - 3\varepsilon, \gamma])$  such that

$$g_2(\beta - 3\varepsilon) = -g_2(\gamma) = 1 \quad \text{and set} \quad \max_{x \in [\beta - 3\varepsilon, \gamma]} |g_2(x)| = M_{g_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{x \in [\beta - 3\varepsilon, \gamma]} |g'_2(x)| = M_{g'_2}.$$

From (4.5), we deduce that

$$(4.32) \quad i\lambda u_x - v_x = \lambda^{-2} f_x^1.$$

Multiplying (4.32) and (4.6) by  $2g_2 \bar{v}$  and  $2a^{-1} g_2 \overline{S_b}(u, v, \eta)$  respectively, integrating over  $(\beta - 3\varepsilon, \gamma)$ , using the definition of  $c(\cdot)$  and  $S_b(u, v, \eta)$ , then taking the real part, we obtain

$$\Re \left\{ 2i\lambda \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^\gamma g_2 u_x \bar{v} dx \right\} - \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^\gamma g_2 \left( |v|^2 \right)_x dx = \Re \left\{ 2\lambda^{-2} \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^\gamma g_2 f_x^1 \bar{v} dx \right\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re \left\{ 2i\lambda \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^\gamma g_2 v \overline{u_x} dx \right\} + \Re \left\{ \frac{2i\lambda}{a} \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^\beta g_2 v (\kappa_1 \bar{v}_x + \kappa_2 \overline{\eta_x}(\cdot, 1)) dx \right\} - a^{-1} \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^\beta g_2 \left( |S_1(u, v, \eta)|^2 \right)_x dx \\ & - a \int_\beta^\gamma g_2 \left( |u_x|^2 \right)_x dx + \Re \left\{ \frac{2c_0}{a} \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^\beta g_2 z \overline{S_1}(u, v, \eta) dx \right\} + \Re \left\{ 2c_0 \int_\beta^\gamma g_2 z \overline{u_x} dx \right\} \\ & = \Re \left\{ \frac{2}{a\lambda^2} \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^\beta g_2 f^2 \overline{S_1}(u, v, \eta) dx \right\} + \Re \left\{ \frac{2}{\lambda^2} \int_\beta^\gamma g_2 f^2 \overline{u_x} dx \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Adding the above Equations, then using integration by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ -g_2 |v|^2 \right]_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^\gamma + \left[ -a^{-1} g_2 |S_1(u, v, \eta)|^2 \right]_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^\beta + \left[ -a g_2 |u_x|^2 \right]_\beta^\gamma = - \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^\gamma g'_2 |v|^2 dx - a^{-1} \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^\beta g'_2 |S_1(u, v, \eta)|^2 dx \\ & - a \int_\beta^\gamma g'_2 |u_x|^2 dx - \Re \left\{ \frac{2i\lambda}{a} \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^\beta g_2 v (\kappa_1 \bar{v}_x + \kappa_2 \overline{\eta_x}(\cdot, 1)) dx \right\} - \Re \left\{ \frac{2c_0}{a} \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^\beta g_2 z \overline{S_1}(u, v, \eta) dx \right\} \\ & - \Re \left\{ 2c_0 \int_\beta^\gamma g_2 z \overline{u_x} dx \right\} + \Re \left\{ \frac{2}{\lambda^2} \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^\gamma g_2 f_x^1 \bar{v} dx \right\} + \Re \left\{ \frac{2}{a\lambda^2} \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^\beta g_2 f^2 \overline{S_1}(u, v, \eta) dx \right\} + \Re \left\{ \frac{2}{\lambda^2} \int_\beta^\gamma g_2 f^2 \overline{u_x} dx \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the definition of  $g_2$  and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in the above Equation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& |v(\gamma)|^2 + |v(\beta - 3\varepsilon)|^2 + a|u_x(\gamma)|^2 + a^{-1} |(S_1(u, v, \eta))(\beta - 3\varepsilon)|^2 + \mathcal{K}(\beta) \\
& \leq M_{g_2}' \left[ \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^{\gamma} |v|^2 dx + a^{-1} \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^{\beta} |S_1(u, v, \eta)|^2 dx + a \int_{\beta}^{\gamma} |u_x|^2 dx \right] \\
& \quad + \frac{2|\lambda|M_{g_2}}{a} \left[ \kappa_1 \left( \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^{\beta} |v_x|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + |\kappa_2| \left( \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^{\beta} |\eta_x(\cdot, 1)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \left( \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^{\beta} |v|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad + \frac{2c_0M_{g_2}}{a} \left( \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^{\beta} |S_1(u, v, \eta)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^{\beta} |z|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2c_0M_{g_2} \left( \int_{\beta}^{\gamma} |z|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\beta}^{\gamma} |u_x|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad + \frac{2M_{g_2}}{\lambda^2} \left( \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^{\gamma} |f_x^1|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^{\gamma} |v|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{2M_{g_2}}{a\lambda^2} \left( \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^{\beta} |f^2|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^{\beta} |S_1(u, v, \eta)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad + \frac{2M_{g_2}}{\lambda^2} \left( \int_{\beta}^{\gamma} |f^2|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\beta}^{\gamma} |u_x|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{K}(\beta) = g_2(\beta) (a|u_x(\beta^+)|^2 - a^{-1} |(S_1(u, v, \eta))(\beta^-)|^2)$ . Moreover, since  $S_b(u, v, \eta) \in H^1(0, L) \subset C([0, L])$ , then we obtain

$$(4.33) \quad |(S_1(u, v, \eta))(\beta^-)|^2 = |au_x(\beta^+)|^2 \quad \text{and consequently} \quad \mathcal{K}(\beta) = 0.$$

Inserting (4.33) in the above inequality, then using Lemma 4.1 and the fact that  $u_x, v, z$  are uniformly bounded in  $L^2(0, L)$  and  $\|f_x^1\|_{L^2(0, L)} = o(1)$ ,  $\|f^2\|_{L^2(0, L)} = o(1)$ , we obtain (4.30). Next, from (4.7), we deduce that

$$(4.34) \quad i\lambda y_x - z_x = \lambda^{-2} f_x^3.$$

Multiplying Equations (4.34) and (4.8) by  $2g_2\bar{z}$  and  $2g_2\bar{y}_x$  respectively, integrating over  $(\beta - 3\varepsilon, \gamma)$ , using the definition of  $c(\cdot)$ , then taking the real part, we obtain

$$(4.35) \quad \Re \left\{ 2i\lambda \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^{\gamma} g_2 y_x \bar{z} dx \right\} - \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^{\gamma} g_2 (|z|^2)_x dx = \Re \left\{ 2\lambda^{-2} \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^{\gamma} g_2 f_x^3 \bar{z} dx \right\}$$

and

$$(4.36) \quad \Re \left\{ 2i\lambda \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^{\gamma} g_2 z \bar{y}_x dx \right\} - \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^{\gamma} g_2 (|y_x|^2)_x dx - \Re \left\{ 2c_0 \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^{\gamma} g_2 v \bar{y}_x dx \right\} = \Re \left\{ 2\lambda^{-2} \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^{\gamma} g_2 f^4 \bar{y}_x dx \right\}.$$

Adding Equations (4.35) and (4.36), then using integration by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[ -g_2 (|z|^2 + |y_x|^2) \right]_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^{\gamma} = - \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^{\gamma} g_2' (|z|^2 + |y_x|^2) dx + \Re \left\{ 2c_0 \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^{\gamma} g v \bar{y}_x dx \right\} + \Re \left\{ 2\lambda^{-2} \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^{\gamma} g_2 f_x^3 \bar{z} dx \right\} \\
& \quad + \Re \left\{ 2\lambda^{-2} \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^{\gamma} g_2 f^4 \bar{y}_x dx \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the definition of  $g_2$  and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in the above Equation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& |z(\gamma)|^2 + |z(\beta - 3\varepsilon)|^2 + |y_x(\gamma)|^2 + |y_x(\beta - 3\varepsilon)|^2 \\
& \leq M_{g_2}' \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^{\gamma} (|z|^2 + |y_x|^2) dx + 2c_0M_{g_2} \left( \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^{\gamma} |v|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^{\gamma} |y_x|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad + 2\lambda^{-2}M_{g_2} \left[ \left( \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^{\gamma} |f_x^3|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^{\gamma} |z|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left( \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^{\gamma} |f^4|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^{\gamma} |y_x|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, from the above inequality, the fact that  $v, y_x, z$  are uniformly bounded in  $L^2(0, L)$  and  $\|f_x^3\|_{L^2(0, L)} = o(1)$ ,  $\|f^4\|_{L^2(0, L)} = o(1)$ , we obtain (4.31). The proof is thus complete.  $\square$



**Lemma 4.5.** Let  $h_2 \in C^1([0, L])$  be a function. Under the hypothesis (H), the solution  $U = (u, v, y, z, \eta(\cdot, \rho))^\top \in D(\mathcal{A})$  of system (4.5)-(4.9) satisfies the following estimation

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^L h_2' \left( a^{-1} |S_b(u, v, \eta)|^2 + |v|^2 + |z|^2 + |y_x|^2 \right) dx - \left[ h_2 \left( a^{-1} |S_b(u, v, \eta)|^2 + |y_x|^2 \right) \right]_0^L \\ & - \Re \left\{ 2 \int_0^L c(\cdot) h_2 v \overline{y_x} dx \right\} + \Re \left\{ \frac{2}{a} \int_0^L c(\cdot) h_2 z \overline{S_b}(u, v, \eta) dx \right\} + \Re \left\{ \frac{2i\lambda}{a} \int_0^L b(\cdot) h v^n (\kappa_1 \overline{v_x} + \kappa_2 \overline{\eta_x}(\cdot, 1)) dx \right\} \\ & = \Re \left\{ \frac{2}{\lambda^2} \int_0^L h_2 \overline{f_x^1} v dx \right\} + \Re \left\{ \frac{2}{a\lambda^2} \int_0^L h_2 f^2 \overline{S_b}(u, v, \eta) dx \right\} + \Re \left\{ \frac{2}{\lambda^2} \int_0^L h_2 \overline{f_x^3} z dx \right\} + \Re \left\{ \frac{2}{\lambda^2} \int_0^L h_2 f^4 \overline{y_x} dx \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** See the proof of Lemma 3.5.  $\square$

Let  $0 < \varepsilon < \min\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}, \frac{\beta-\alpha}{4}\right)$ , we fix the cut-off functions  $\theta_4, \theta_5 \in C^1([0, L])$  (see Figure 4) such that  $0 \leq \theta_4(x) \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq \theta_5(x) \leq 1$ , for all  $x \in [0, L]$  and

$$\theta_4(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in [0, \alpha + \varepsilon], \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in [\beta - 3\varepsilon, L], \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_5(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in [0, \alpha + \varepsilon], \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in [\beta - 3\varepsilon, L], \end{cases}$$




FIGURE 4. Geometric description of the functions  $\theta_4$  and  $\theta_5$ .

**Lemma 4.6.** Let  $0 < \varepsilon < \min\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}, \frac{\beta-\alpha}{4}\right)$ . Under the hypothesis (H), the solution  $U = (u, v, y, z, \eta(\cdot, \rho))^\top \in D(\mathcal{A})$  of the System (4.5)-(4.9) satisfies the following estimations

$$(4.37) \quad \int_0^{\alpha+\varepsilon} |v|^2 dx + \int_0^{\alpha+\varepsilon} |y_x|^2 dx + \int_0^{\alpha+\varepsilon} |z|^2 dx = o(1),$$

$$(4.38) \quad a \int_\beta^L |u_x|^2 dx + \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^L |v|^2 dx + \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^L |y_x|^2 dx + \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^L |z|^2 dx = o(1).$$

**Proof.** First, using the result of Lemma 4.5 with  $h_2 = x\theta_4$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\alpha+\varepsilon} |v|^2 dx + \int_0^{\alpha+\varepsilon} |y_x|^2 dx + \int_0^{\alpha+\varepsilon} |z|^2 dx = -a^{-1} \int_0^{\alpha+\varepsilon} |S_1(u, v, \eta)|^2 dx \\ & - \int_{\alpha+\varepsilon}^{\beta-3\varepsilon} (\theta_4 + x\theta_4') \left( a^{-1} |S_1(u, v, \eta)|^2 + |v|^2 + |y_x|^2 + |z|^2 \right) dx + \Re \left\{ 2 \int_0^L xc(\cdot) \theta_4 v \overline{y_x} dx \right\} \\ & - \Re \left\{ \frac{2}{a} \int_0^L xc(\cdot) \theta_4 z \overline{S_b}(u, v, \eta) dx \right\} - \Re \left\{ \frac{2i\lambda}{a} \int_0^L xb(\cdot) \theta_4 v (\kappa_1 \overline{v_x} + \kappa_2 \overline{\eta_x}(\cdot, 1)) dx \right\} + \Re \left\{ \frac{2}{\lambda^2} \int_0^L x \theta_4 \overline{f_x^1} v dx \right\} \\ & + \Re \left\{ \frac{2}{a\lambda^2} \int_0^L x \theta_4 f^2 \overline{S_b}(u, v, \eta) dx \right\} + \Re \left\{ \frac{2}{\lambda^2} \int_0^L x \theta_4 \overline{f_x^3} z dx \right\} + \Re \left\{ \frac{2}{\lambda^2} \int_0^L x \theta_4 f^4 \overline{y_x} dx \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

From the above Equation and by using Lemmas 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 with the fact that  $v, y_x, z$  are uniformly bounded in  $L^2(0, L)$  and  $\|f_x^1\|_{L^2(0,L)} = o(1)$ ,  $\|f_x^3\|_{L^2(0,L)} = o(1)$ ,  $\|f^4\|_{L^2(0,L)} = o(1)$ , we obtain

$$(4.39) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\alpha+\varepsilon} |v|^2 dx + \int_0^{\alpha+\varepsilon} |y_x|^2 dx + \int_0^{\alpha+\varepsilon} |z|^2 dx = \Re \left\{ 2 \int_0^L xc(\cdot)\theta_4 v \overline{y_x} dx \right\} \\ & - \Re \left\{ \frac{2}{a} \int_0^L xc(\cdot)\theta_4 z \overline{S_b}(u, v, \eta) dx \right\} + \Re \left\{ \frac{2}{a\lambda^2} \int_0^L x\theta_4 f^2 \overline{S_b}(u, v, \eta) dx \right\} \\ & - \Re \left\{ \frac{2i\lambda}{a} \int_0^L xb(\cdot)\theta_4 v (\kappa_1 \overline{v_x} + \kappa_2 \overline{\eta_x}(\cdot, 1)) dx \right\} + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Using the definition of  $b(\cdot)$ ,  $c(\cdot)$ ,  $S_b(u, v, \eta)$ ,  $\theta_4$ , then using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} & \left| \Re \left\{ 2 \int_0^L xc(\cdot)\theta_4 v \overline{y_x} dx \right\} \right| = \left| \Re \left\{ 2c_0 \int_\alpha^{\beta-3\varepsilon} x\theta_4 v \overline{y_x} dx \right\} \right| \leq 2c_0(\beta-3\varepsilon) \left( \int_\alpha^{\beta-3\varepsilon} |v|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_\alpha^{\beta-3\varepsilon} |y_x|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ & \left| \Re \left\{ \frac{2}{a} \int_0^L xc(\cdot)\theta_4 z \overline{S_b}(u, v, \eta) dx \right\} \right| = \left| \Re \left\{ \frac{2c_0}{a} \int_\alpha^{\beta-3\varepsilon} x\theta_4 z \overline{S_1}(u, v, \eta) dx \right\} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{2c_0}{a}(\beta-3\varepsilon) \left( \int_\alpha^{\beta-3\varepsilon} |z|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_\alpha^{\beta-3\varepsilon} |S_1(u, v, \eta)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ & \left| \Re \left\{ \frac{2}{a\lambda^2} \int_0^L x\theta_4 f^2 \overline{S_b}(u, v, \eta) dx \right\} \right| = \left| \Re \left\{ \frac{2}{a\lambda^2} \int_0^{\beta-3\varepsilon} x\theta_4 f^2 \overline{S_1}(u, v, \eta) dx \right\} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{2(\beta-3\varepsilon)}{a\lambda^2} \left( \int_0^{\beta-3\varepsilon} |f^2|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_0^{\beta-3\varepsilon} |S_1(u, v, \eta)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ & \left| \Re \left\{ \frac{2i\lambda}{a} \int_0^L xb(\cdot)\theta_4 v (\kappa_1 \overline{v_x} + \kappa_2 \overline{\eta_x}(\cdot, 1)) dx \right\} \right| = \left| \Re \left\{ \frac{2i\lambda}{a} \int_0^{\beta-3\varepsilon} x\theta_4 v (\kappa_1 \overline{v_x} + \kappa_2 \overline{\eta_x}(\cdot, 1)) dx \right\} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{2|\lambda|(\beta-3\varepsilon)}{a} \left[ \kappa_1 \left( \int_0^{\beta-3\varepsilon} |v_x|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + |\kappa_2| \left( \int_0^{\beta-3\varepsilon} |\eta_x(\cdot, 1)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \left( \int_0^{\beta-3\varepsilon} |v|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \right.$$

Thus, from the above inequalities, Lemmas 4.1, 4.2 and the fact that  $u_x, v, y_x, z$  are uniformly bounded in  $L^2(0, L)$  and  $\|f^2\|_{L^2(0,L)} = o(1)$ , we obtain

$$(4.40) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Re \left\{ 2 \int_0^L xc(\cdot)\theta_4 v \overline{y_x} dx \right\} = o(1), \quad -\Re \left\{ \frac{2}{a} \int_0^L xc(\cdot)\theta_4 z \overline{S_b}(u, v, \eta) dx \right\} = o(|\lambda|^{-1}), \\ & \Re \left\{ \frac{2}{a\lambda^2} \int_0^L x\theta_4 f^2 \overline{S_b}(u, v, \eta) dx \right\} = o(\lambda^{-2}), \quad -\Re \left\{ \frac{2i\lambda}{a} \int_0^L xb(\cdot)\theta_4 v (\kappa_1 \overline{v_x} + \kappa_2 \overline{\eta_x}(\cdot, 1)) dx \right\} = o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by inserting (4.40) in (4.39), we obtain (4.37). On the other hand, using the result of Lemma 4.5 with  $h = (x-L)\theta_5$ , then using the definition of  $b(\cdot)$ ,  $S_b$ ,  $\theta_5$  and Lemmas 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 with the fact that  $u_x, v, y_x, z$  are uniformly bounded in  $L^2(0, L)$  and  $\|f_x^1\|_{L^2(0,L)} = o(1)$ ,  $\|f^2\|_{L^2(0,L)} = o(1)$ ,  $\|f_x^3\|_{L^2(0,L)} = o(1)$ ,  $\|f^4\|_{L^2(0,L)} = o(1)$ , we obtain

$$(4.41) \quad \begin{aligned} & a \int_\beta^L |u_x|^2 dx + \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^L |v|^2 dx + \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^L |y_x|^2 dx + \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^L |z|^2 dx \\ & = \Re \left\{ 2 \int_0^L (x-L)c(\cdot)\theta_5 v \overline{y_x} dx \right\} - \Re \left\{ 2a^{-1} \int_0^L (x-L)c(\cdot)\theta_5 z \overline{S_b} dx \right\} + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, from the definition of  $c(\cdot)$ ,  $S_b$ ,  $\theta_5$  and by using Lemmas 4.1, 4.2 with the fact that  $y_x, z$  are uniformly bounded in  $L^2(0, L)$ , we obtain

$$(4.42) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Re \left\{ 2 \int_0^L (x-L)c(\cdot)\theta_5 v \overline{y_x} dx \right\} - \Re \left\{ 2a^{-1} \int_0^L (x-L)c(\cdot)\theta_5 z \overline{S_b} dx \right\} \\ &= \Re \left\{ 2c_0 \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^\gamma (x-L)v \overline{y_x} dx \right\} - \Re \left\{ 2c_0 \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^\gamma (x-L)z \overline{u_x} dx \right\} + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

From (4.5) and (4.7), we deduce that

$$(4.43) \quad \overline{u_x} = i\lambda^{-1}\overline{v_x} + i\lambda^{-3}\overline{f_x^1} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{y_x} = i\lambda^{-1}\overline{z_x} + i\lambda^{-3}\overline{f_x^3}.$$

Substituting (4.43) in the right hand side of (4.42), then using the fact that  $v, z$  are uniformly bounded in  $L^2(0, L)$  and  $\|f_x^1\|_{L^2(0,L)} = o(1)$ ,  $\|f_x^3\|_{L^2(0,L)} = o(1)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re \left\{ 2 \int_0^L (x-L)c(\cdot)\theta_5 v \overline{y_x} dx \right\} - \Re \left\{ 2a^{-1} \int_0^L (x-L)c(\cdot)\theta_5 z \overline{S_b} dx \right\} \\ &= \Re \left\{ \frac{2c_0 i}{\lambda} \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^\gamma (x-L)v \overline{z_x} dx \right\} - \Re \left\{ \frac{2c_0 i}{\lambda} \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^\gamma (x-L)z \overline{v_x} dx \right\} + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Using integration by parts to the second integral in the right hand side of the above equation, we obtain

$$(4.44) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Re \left\{ 2 \int_0^L (x-L)c(\cdot)\theta_5 v \overline{y_x} dx \right\} - \Re \left\{ 2a^{-1} \int_0^L (x-L)c(\cdot)\theta_5 z \overline{S_b} dx \right\} \\ &= \Re \left\{ \frac{2c_0 i}{\lambda} \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^\gamma z \overline{v} dx \right\} - \Re \left\{ \frac{2c_0 i}{\lambda} [(x-L)z \overline{v}]_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^\gamma \right\} + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$(4.45) \quad \left| \Re \left\{ \frac{2c_0 i}{\lambda} \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^\gamma z \overline{v} dx \right\} \right| \leq 2c_0 |\lambda|^{-1} \left( \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^\gamma |z|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^\gamma |v|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and

$$(4.46) \quad \left| \Re \left\{ \frac{2c_0 i}{\lambda} [(x-L)z \overline{v}]_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^\gamma \right\} \right| \leq 2c_0 |\lambda|^{-1} [(L-\gamma)|z(\gamma)||v(\gamma)| + (L-\beta+3\varepsilon)|z(\beta-3\varepsilon)||v(\beta-3\varepsilon)|].$$

From Lemma 4.4, we deduce that

$$(4.47) \quad |v(\beta-3\varepsilon)| = O(1), \quad |v(\gamma)| = O(1), \quad |z(\beta-3\varepsilon)| = O(1) \quad \text{and} \quad |z(\gamma)| = O(1).$$

Using the fact that  $v, z$  are uniformly bounded in  $L^2(0, L)$  in (4.45) and inserting (4.47) in (4.46), we obtain

$$(4.48) \quad \Re \left\{ \frac{2c_0 i}{\lambda} \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^\gamma z \overline{v} dx \right\} = O(|\lambda|^{-1}) = o(1) \quad \text{and} \quad -\Re \left\{ \frac{2c_0 i}{\lambda} [(x-L)z \overline{v}]_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^\gamma \right\} = O(|\lambda|^{-1}) = o(1).$$

Inserting (4.48) in (4.44), we get

$$(4.49) \quad \Re \left\{ 2 \int_0^L (x-L)c(\cdot)\theta_5 v \overline{y_x} dx \right\} - \Re \left\{ 2a^{-1} \int_0^L (x-L)c(\cdot)\theta_5 z \overline{S_b} dx \right\} = o(1).$$

Finally, inserting (4.49) in (4.41), we obtain (4.38). The proof is thus complete.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 4.1.** The proof of Theorem is divided into three steps.

**Step 1.** From Lemmas 4.1-4.3, we obtain

$$(4.50) \quad \begin{cases} \int_0^\beta |u_x|^2 dx = o(\lambda^{-4}), & \int_0^\beta \int_0^1 |\eta_x(\cdot, \rho)|^2 d\rho dx = o(\lambda^{-2}), & \int_\varepsilon^{\beta-\varepsilon} |v|^2 dx = o(1), \\ \int_\alpha^{\beta-2\varepsilon} |z|^2 dx = o(1) \quad \text{and} & \int_{\alpha+\varepsilon}^{\beta-3\varepsilon} |y_x|^2 dx = o(1). \end{cases}$$

---

**Step 2.** From Lemma 4.6 and (4.50), we deduce that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \int_0^\varepsilon |v|^2 dx = o(1), \int_0^{\alpha+\varepsilon} |y_x|^2 dx = o(1), \int_0^\alpha |z|^2 dx = o(1), \\ \int_\beta^L |u_x|^2 dx = o(1), \int_{\beta-\varepsilon}^L |v|^2 dx = o(1), \int_{\beta-3\varepsilon}^L |y_x|^2 dx = o(1) \text{ and } \int_{\beta-2\varepsilon}^L |z|^2 dx = o(1). \end{array} \right.$$

According to **Step 1** and **Step 2**, we obtain  $\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}} = o(1)$  in  $(0, L)$ , which contradicts (4.3). Thus, (4.2) is holds true. Next, since the conditions (4.1) and (4.2) are proved, then according to Theorem A.4, the proof of Theorem 4.1 is achieved. The proof is thus complete.  $\square$

## 5. CONCLUSION

We have studied the stabilization of a one-dimensional coupled wave equations with non smooth localized viscoelastic damping of Kelvin-Voigt type and localized time delay. We proved the strong stability of the system by using Arendt-Batty criteria. Finally, we established a polynomial energy decay rate of order  $t^{-1}$ .

### APPENDIX A. SOME NOTIONS AND THEOREMS OF STABILITY HAS BEEN USED

In order to make this paper more self-contained, we have introduced this short appendix that brings up the notions of stability that we encounter in this work.

**Definition A.1.** Assume that  $A$  is the generator of  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions  $(e^{tA})_{t \geq 0}$  on a Hilbert space  $H$ . The  $C_0$ -semigroup  $(e^{tA})_{t \geq 0}$  is said to be

- (1) Strongly stable if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|e^{tA} x_0\|_H = 0, \quad \forall x_0 \in H.$$

- (2) Exponentially (or uniformly) stable if there exists two positive constants  $M$  and  $\varepsilon$  such that

$$\|e^{tA} x_0\|_H \leq M e^{-\varepsilon t} \|x_0\|_H, \quad \forall t > 0, \forall x_0 \in H.$$

- (3) Polynomially stable if there exists two positive constants  $C$  and  $\alpha$  such that

$$\|e^{tA} x_0\|_H \leq C t^{-\alpha} \|Ax_0\|_H, \quad \forall t > 0, \forall x_0 \in D(A).$$

$\square$

For proving the strong stability of the  $C_0$ -semigroup  $(e^{tA})_{t \geq 0}$ , we will recall the result obtained by Arendt and Batty in [12].

**Theorem A.2** (Arendt and Batty in [12]). Assume that  $A$  is the generator of a  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions  $(e^{tA})_{t \geq 0}$  on a Hilbert space  $H$ . If  $A$  has no pure imaginary eigenvalues and  $\sigma(A) \cap i\mathbb{R}$  is countable, where  $\sigma(A)$  denotes the spectrum of  $A$ , then the  $C_0$ -semigroup  $(e^{tA})_{t \geq 0}$  is strongly stable.  $\square$

There exist a second classical method based on Arendt and Batty theorem and the contradiction argument (see page 25 in [39]).

**Remark A.3.** Assume that the unbounded linear operator  $A : D(A) \subset H \mapsto H$  is the generator of a  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions  $(e^{tA})_{t \geq 0}$  on a Hilbert space  $H$  and suppose that  $0 \in \rho(A)$ . According to (page 25 in [39]), in order to prove that

$$(A.1) \quad i\mathbb{R} \equiv \{i\lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\} \subseteq \rho(A),$$

we need the following steps:

- (i) It follows from the fact that  $0 \in \rho(A)$  and the contraction mapping theorem that for any real number  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| < \|A^{-1}\|^{-1}$ , the operator  $i\lambda I - A = A(i\lambda A^{-1} - I)$  is invertible. Furthermore,  $\|(i\lambda I - A)^{-1}\|$  is a continuous function of  $\lambda$  in the interval  $(-\|A^{-1}\|^{-1}, \|A^{-1}\|^{-1})$ .

(ii) If  $\sup \{ \|(i\lambda I - A)^{-1}\| \mid |\lambda| < \|A^{-1}\|^{-1} \} = M < \infty$ , then by the contraction mapping theorem, the operator  $i\lambda I - A = (i\lambda_0 I - A)(I + i(\lambda - \lambda_0)(i\lambda_0 I - A)^{-1})$  with  $|\lambda_0| < \|A^{-1}\|^{-1}$  is invertible for  $|\lambda - \lambda_0| < M^{-1}$ . It turns out that by choosing  $|\lambda_0|$  as close to  $\|A^{-1}\|^{-1}$  as we can, we conclude that  $\{ \lambda \mid |\lambda| < \|A^{-1}\|^{-1} + M^{-1} \} \subset \rho(A)$  and  $\|(i\lambda I - A)^{-1}\|$  is a continuous function of  $\lambda$  in the interval  $(-\|A^{-1}\|^{-1} - M^{-1}, \|A^{-1}\|^{-1} + M^{-1})$ .

(iii) Thus it follows from the argument in (ii) that if (A.1) is false, then there is  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $\|A^{-1}\|^{-1} \leq |\omega| < \infty$  such that  $\{i\lambda \mid |\lambda| < |\omega|\} \subset \rho(A)$  and  $\sup \{ \|(i\lambda - A)^{-1}\| \mid |\lambda| < |\omega| \} = \infty$ . It turns out that there exists a sequence  $\{(\lambda_n, U_n)\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathbb{R} \times D(A)$ , with  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \omega$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $|\lambda_n| < |\omega|$  and  $\|U_n\|_H = 1$ , such that

$$(i\lambda_n I - A)U_n = F_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } H, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then, we will prove (A.1) by finding a contradiction with  $\|U_n\|_H = 1$  such as  $\|U_n\|_H \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

Concerning the characterization of polynomial stability stability of a  $C_0$ -semigroup of contraction  $(e^{tA})_{t \geq 0}$ , we rely on the following result due to Borichev and Tomilov [15] (see also [13] and [36]).

**Theorem A.4.** Assume that  $A$  is the generator of a strongly continuous semigroup of contractions  $(e^{tA})_{t \geq 0}$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ . If  $i\mathbb{R} \subset \rho(A)$ , then for a fixed  $\ell > 0$  the following conditions are equivalent

$$(A.2) \quad \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| (i\lambda I - A)^{-1} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})} = O(|\lambda|^\ell),$$

$$(A.3) \quad \|e^{tA}U_0\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq \frac{C}{t^\ell} \|U_0\|_{D(A)}^2, \quad \forall t > 0, U_0 \in D(A), \text{ for some } C > 0.$$

$\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thanks professor Serge Nicaise for his valuable discussions and comments.

Mohammad Akil would like to thank the Lebanese University for its support.

Haidar Badawi would like to thank the LAMAV laboratory of Mathematics of the Université polytechnique Hauts-De-France Valenciennes for its support.

Ali Wehbe would like to thank the CNRS for its support.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] F. Abdallah, M. Ghader, and A. Wehbe. Stability results of a distributed problem involving bresse system with history and/or cattaneo law under fully dirichlet or mixed boundary conditions. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, 41(5):1876–1907, 2018.
- [2] M. Akil, Y. Chitour, M. Ghader, and A. Wehbe. Stability and exact controllability of a timoshenko system with only one fractional damping on the boundary. *Asymptotic Analysis*, pages 1–60, 10 2019.
- [3] M. Akil, M. Ghader, and A. Wehbe. The influence of the coefficients of a system of coupled wave equations with fractional damping on its stabilization, 2018.
- [4] M. Akil, I. Issa, and A. Wehbe. Stability results of an elastic/viscoelastic transmission problem of locally coupled waves with non smooth coefficients. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2004.06758, Apr. 2020.
- [5] F. Alabau. [Stabilisation frontière indirecte de systèmes faiblement couplés](#). *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences - Series I - Mathematics*, 328(11):1015–1020, June 1999.
- [6] F. Alabau, P. Cannarsa, and V. Komornik. [Indirect internal stabilization of weakly coupled evolution equations](#). *Journal of Evolution Equations*, 2(2):127–150, May 2002.
- [7] M. Alves, J. M. Rivera, M. Sepúlveda, and O. V. Villagrán. [The Lack of Exponential Stability in Certain Transmission Problems with Localized Kelvin–Voigt Dissipation](#). *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, 74(2):345–365, Jan. 2014.
- [8] M. Alves, J. M. Rivera, M. Sepúlveda, O. V. Villagrán, and M. Z. Garay. [The asymptotic behavior of the linear transmission problem in viscoelasticity](#). *Mathematische Nachrichten*, 287(5-6):483–497, Oct. 2013.
- [9] K. Ammari and M. Mehrenberger. [Stabilization of coupled systems](#). *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 123(1-2):1–10, 2009.
- [10] K. Ammari, S. Nicaise, and C. Pignotti. [Feedback boundary stabilization of wave equations with interior delay](#). *Systems & Control Letters*, 59(10):623–628, Oct. 2010.

- 
- [11] K. Ammari, S. Nicaise, and C. Pignotti. [Stability of an abstract-wave equation with delay and a Kelvin–Voigt damping](#). *Asymptotic Analysis*, 95(1-2):21–38, Oct. 2015.
- [12] W. Arendt and C. J. K. Batty. [Tauberian theorems and stability of one-parameter semigroups](#). *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 306(2):837–852, 1988.
- [13] C. J. K. Batty and T. Duyckaerts. [Non-uniform stability for bounded semi-groups on Banach spaces](#). *J. Evol. Equ.*, 8(4):765–780, 2008.
- [14] E. M. A. Benhassi, K. Ammari, S. Boulite, and L. Maniar. [Feedback stabilization of a class of evolution equations with delay](#). *Journal of Evolution Equations*, 9(1):103–121, Feb. 2009.
- [15] A. Borichev and Y. Tomilov. [Optimal polynomial decay of functions and operator semigroups](#). *Math. Ann.*, 347(2):455–478, 2010.
- [16] Y. Cui and Z. Wang. [Asymptotic stability of wave equations coupled by velocities](#). *Mathematical Control and Related Fields*, 6, 09 2015.
- [17] R. Datko. [Not all feedback stabilized hyperbolic systems are robust with respect to small time delays in their feedbacks](#). *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, 26(3):697–713, 1988.
- [18] R. Datko. [Two questions concerning the boundary control of certain elastic systems](#). *Journal of Differential Equations*, 92(1):27–44, July 1991.
- [19] R. Datko. [Two examples of ill-posedness with respect to time delays revisited](#). *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 42(4):511–515, April 1997.
- [20] R. Datko, J. Lagnese, and M. Polis. [An example of the effect of time delays in boundary feedback stabilization of wave equations](#). In *1985 24th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*. IEEE, Dec. 1985.
- [21] H. Demchenko, A. Anikushyn, and M. Pokojovy. [On a Kelvin-Voigt viscoelastic wave equation with strong delay](#). *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 51(6):4382–4412, 2019.
- [22] M. Dreher, R. Quintanilla, and R. Racke. [Ill-posed problems in thermomechanics](#). *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 22(9):1374–1379, Sept. 2009.
- [23] U. Ernst, K. Pawelzik, and T. Geisel. [Delay-induced multistable synchronization of biological oscillators](#). *Phys. Rev. E*, 57:2150–2162, Feb 1998.
- [24] M. G. Farah Abdallah, Yacine Chitour and A. Wehbe. [Optimal indirect stability of a weakly damped elastic abstract system of second order equations coupled by velocities](#). *Communications on Pure & Applied Analysis*, 18(5):2789–2818, 2019.
- [25] M. Gugat. [Boundary feedback stabilization by time delay for one-dimensional wave equations](#). *IMA Journal of Mathematical Control and Information*, 27(2):189–203, Apr. 2010.
- [26] B.-Z. GUO and C.-Z. XU. [Boundary Output Feedback Stabilization of A One-Dimensional Wave Equation System With Time Delay](#). *IFAC Proceedings Volumes*, 41(2):8755–8760, 2008.
- [27] F. Hassine. [Stability of elastic transmission systems with a local Kelvin–Voigt damping](#). *European Journal of Control*, 23:84–93, May 2015.
- [28] F. Hassine. [Energy decay estimates of elastic transmission wave/beam systems with a local Kelvin–Voigt damping](#). *International Journal of Control*, 89(10):1933–1950, June 2016.
- [29] F. Hassine and N. Souayah. [Stability for coupled waves with locally disturbed kelvin-voigt damping](#), 2019.
- [30] A. Hayek, S. Nicaise, Z. Salloum, and A. Wehbe. [A transmission problem of a system of weakly coupled wave equations with kelvinvoigt dampings and non-smooth coefficient at the interface](#). *SeMA Journal*, 06 2020.
- [31] F. Huang. [On the Mathematical Model for Linear Elastic Systems with Analytic Damping](#). *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, 26(3):714–724, may 1988.
- [32] T. Kato. *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1995.
- [33] V. Kolmanovskii and A. Mishkis. *Introduction of the Theory and Applications of Functional and Differential Equations*. Dordrecht, 199.
- [34] J.-L. Lions. *Contrôlabilité exacte, perturbations et stabilisation de systèmes distribués. Tome 1*, volume 8 of *Recherches en Mathématiques Appliquées*. Masson, Paris, 1988.
- [35] K. Liu, S. Chen, and Z. Liu. [Spectrum and Stability for Elastic Systems with Global or Local Kelvin–Voigt Damping](#). *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, 59(2):651–668, jan 1998.
- [36] Z. Liu and B. Rao. [Characterization of polynomial decay rate for the solution of linear evolution equation](#). *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, 56(4):630–644, 2005.
- [37] Z. Liu and B. Rao. [Frequency domain approach for the polynomial stability of a system of partially damped wave equations](#). *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 335(2):860–881, 2007.
- [38] Z. Liu and Q. Zhang. [Stability of a String with Local Kelvin–Voigt Damping and Nonsmooth Coefficient at Interface](#). *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, 54(4):1859–1871, Jan. 2016.
- [39] Z. Liu and S. Zheng. *Semigroups associated with dissipative systems*, volume 398 of *Chapman & Hall/CRC Research Notes in Mathematics*. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 1999.
- [40] D. Mercier and V. Rgnier. [Decay rate of the timoshenko system with one boundary damping](#). *Evolution Equations and Control Theory*, 8:423–445, 06 2019.
- [41] S. A. Messaoudi, A. Fareh, and N. Doudi. [Well posedness and exponential stability in a wave equation with a strong damping and a strong delay](#). *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 57(11):111501, Nov. 2016.
- [42] N. Najdi and A. Wehbe. [Weakly locally thermal stabilization of bresse systems](#). *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, 2014, 08 2014.
- [43] S. Nicaise and C. Pignotti. [Stability and Instability Results of the Wave Equation with a Delay Term in the Boundary or Internal Feedbacks](#). *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, 45(5):1561–1585, Jan. 2006.
-

- 
- [44] S. Nicaise and C. Pignotti. [Stability of the wave equation with localized Kelvin–Voigt damping and boundary delay feedback](#). *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems - Series S*, 9(3):791–813, Apr. 2016.
- [45] H. P. Oquendo. [Frictional versus Kelvin–Voigt damping in a transmission problem](#). *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, 40(18):7026–7032, July 2017.
- [46] A. Pazy. *Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations*, volume 44 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [47] C. Pignotti. [A note on stabilization of locally damped wave equations with time delay](#). *Systems & Control Letters*, 61(1):92–97, Jan. 2012.
- [48] J. E. M. Rivera, O. V. Villagran, and M. Sepulveda. [Stability to localized viscoelastic transmission problem](#). *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 43(5):821–838, May 2018.
- [49] D. L. Russell. [A general framework for the study of indirect damping mechanisms in elastic systems](#). *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 173(2):339–358, 1993.
- [50] J.-M. Wang, B.-Z. Guo, and M. Krstic. [Wave Equation Stabilization by Delays Equal to Even Multiples of the Wave Propagation Time](#). *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, 49(2):517–554, Jan. 2011.
- [51] Y. Xie, , and G. Xu. 10(3):557–579, 2017.
- [52] Y. Xie, , and G. Xu. [Exponential stability of 1-d wave equation with the boundary time delay based on the interior control](#). *Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems - S*, 10(3):557–579, 2017.
- [53] G. Q. Xu, S. P. Yung, and L. K. Li. [Stabilization of wave systems with input delay in the boundary control](#). *ESAIM: Control, Optimisation and Calculus of Variations*, 12(4):770–785, Oct. 2006.
- [54] X. Zhang and E. Zuazua. [Polynomial decay and control of a  \$1 - d\$  hyperbolic-parabolic coupled system](#). *J. Differential Equations*, 204(2):380–438, 2004.