

PURELY INFINITE SIMPLE ULTRAGRAPH LEAVITT PATH ALGEBRAS

T. G. Nam¹ and N. D. Nam²

ABSTRACT. In this article, we give necessary and sufficient conditions under which the Leavitt path algebra $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ of an ultragraph \mathcal{G} over a field K is purely infinite simple and that it is von Neumann regular. Consequently, we obtain that every graded simple ultragraph Leavitt path algebra is either a locally matricial algebra, or a full matrix ring over $K[x, x^{-1}]$, or a purely infinite simple algebra.

Mathematics Subject Classifications 2020: 16S88, 16S99, 05C25

Key words: Ultragraph Leavitt path algebras; Purely infinite simplicity; Graded simplicity; von Neumann regularity.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of algebras associated to combinatorial objects has attracted a great deal of attention in the past years. Part of the interest in these algebras arise from the fact that many properties of the combinatorial object translate into algebraic properties of the associated algebras and their applications to symbolic dynamics. There have been interesting examples of algebras associated to combinatorial objects among which we mention, for example, the following ones: graph C^* -algebras, Leavitt path algebras, higher rank graph algebras, Kumjian-Pask algebras, ultragraph C^* -algebras (we refer the reader to [1] and [4] for a more comprehensive list).

Ultragraphs were defined by Mark Tomforde in [16] as an unifying approach to Exel-Laca and graph C^* -algebras. They have proved to be a key ingredient in the study of Morita equivalence of Exel-Laca and graph C^* -algebras [14]. Recently, Gonçalves and Royer have established nice connections between ultragraph C^* -algebras and the symbolic dynamics of shift spaces over infinite alphabets (see [8] and [11]).

The Leavitt path algebra associated to an ultragraph was defined by Imanfar, Pourabbas and Larki in [13], along with a study of graded ideal structures and a proof of a Cuntz-Krieger uniqueness type theorem. Furthermore, it was shown in

¹Institute of Mathematics, VAST, 18 Hoang Quoc Viet, Cau Giay, Hanoi, Vietnam. E-mail address: tgnam@math.ac.vn

²Faculty of Pedagogy, HaTinh University, Hatinh, Vietnam. E-mail address: nam.nguyendinh@htu.edu.vn

Acknowledgements: The first author was partially supported by the Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology grant CT0000.02/20-21.

[13] that these algebras provide examples of algebras that can not be realized as the Leavitt path algebra of a graph; that is, the class of ultragraph path algebras is strictly larger than the class of Leavitt path algebras of graphs. This raises the question of which results about Leavitt path algebras of graphs can be generalized to ultragraph Leavitt path algebras, and whether results from the C^* -algebraic setting can be proved in the algebraic level. Recently, a number of interesting results regarding this question have been obtained. We mention the following. Gonçalves and Royer [12] extended to Chen's construction to ultragraph Leavitt path algebras (see [7]) of irreducible representations of graph Leavitt path algebras; and Gonçalves and Royer [10] realized ultragraph Leavitt path algebras as partial skew group rings. Using this realization they characterized artinian ultragraph Leavitt path algebras and gave simplicity criteria for these algebras. The current article is a continuation of this direction. We give von Neumann regularity and purely infinite simplicity criteria for ultragraph Leavitt path algebras. Consequently, we provide the Trichotomy Principle for graded simple ultragraph Leavitt path algebras.

The article is organized as follows. In section 2, for the reader's convenience, we provide subsequently necessary notions and facts on ultragraphs and ultragraph Leavitt path algebras. We show that the ultragraph Leavitt path algebras arising from acyclic ultragraphs are precisely the von Neumann regular ultragraph Leavitt path algebras, and in this case they are exactly locally matricial algebras (Theorem 2.7). In section 3, we give necessary and sufficient conditions on an ultragraph \mathcal{G} so that $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ is purely infinite simple (Theorem 3.4). Using Theorems 2.7 and 3.4 and [13, Theorem 3.4], we obtain Theorem 3.6, showing that every graded simple ultragraph Leavitt path algebra is either a locally matricial algebra, or a full matrix ring over $K[x, x^{-1}]$, or a purely infinite simple algebra.

2. REGULARITY CONDITIONS FOR ULTRAGRAPH LEAVITT PATH ALGEBRAS

The main goal of this section is to establish the equivalence of the following conditions for an ultragraph \mathcal{G} and a field K (Theorem 2.7): (1) $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ is von Neumann regular. (2) \mathcal{G} is acyclic. (3) $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ is a locally matricial K -algebra.

We begin this section by recalling some notions and notes of ultragraph theory introduced by Tomforde in [16] and [17].

An *ultragraph* $\mathcal{G} = (G^0, \mathcal{G}^1, r, s)$ consists of a countable set of vertices G^0 , a countable set of edges \mathcal{G}^1 , and functions $s : \mathcal{G}^1 \rightarrow G^0$ and $r : \mathcal{G}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(G^0) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$, where $\mathcal{P}(G^0)$ denotes the set of all subsets of G^0 .

A vertex $v \in G^0$ is called a *sink* if $s^{-1}(v) = \emptyset$ and v is called an *infinite emitter* if $|s^{-1}(v)| = \infty$. A *singular vertex* is a vertex that is either a sink or an infinite emitter. The set of all singular vertices is denoted by $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{G})$. A vertex $v \in G^0$ is called a *regular vertex* if $0 < |s^{-1}(v)| < \infty$.

For an ultragraph $\mathcal{G} = (G^0, \mathcal{G}^1, r, s)$ we let \mathcal{G}^0 denote the smallest subset of $\mathcal{P}(G^0)$ that contains $\{v\}$ for all $v \in G^0$, contains $r(e)$ for all $e \in \mathcal{G}^1$, and is closed

under finite unions and finite intersections. The following lemma gives us another description of \mathcal{G}^0 .

Lemma 2.1 ([16, Lemma 2.12]). *If $\mathcal{G} := (G^0, \mathcal{G}^1, r, s)$ is an ultragraph, then $\mathcal{G}^0 = \{\bigcap_{e \in X_1} r(e) \cup \dots \cup \bigcap_{e \in X_n} r(e) \cup F \mid X_1, \dots, X_n \text{ are finite subsets of } \mathcal{G}^1 \text{ and } F \text{ is a finite subset of } G^0\}$. Furthermore, F may be chosen to be disjoint from $\bigcap_{e \in X_1} r(e) \cup \dots \cup \bigcap_{e \in X_n} r(e)$.*

A *finite path* in an ultragraph \mathcal{G} is either an element of \mathcal{G}^0 or a sequence $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n$ of edges with $s(\alpha_{i+1}) \in r(\alpha_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and we say that the path α has *length* $|\alpha| := n$. We consider the elements of \mathcal{G}^0 to be paths of length 0. We denote by \mathcal{G}^* the set of all finite paths in \mathcal{G} . The maps r and s extend naturally to \mathcal{G}^* . Note that when $A \in \mathcal{G}^0$ we define $s(A) = r(A) = A$. An *infinite path* in \mathcal{G} is a sequence $e_1 e_2 \dots e_n \dots$ of edges in \mathcal{G} such that $s(e_{i+1}) \in r(e_i)$ for all $i \geq 1$.

If \mathcal{G} is an ultragraph, then a *cycle* in \mathcal{G} is a path $\alpha = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_{|\alpha|} \in \mathcal{G}^*$ with $|\alpha| \geq 1$ and $s(\alpha) \in r(\alpha)$. An *exit* for a cycle α is one of the following:

- (1) an edge $e \in \mathcal{G}^1$ such that there exists an i for which $s(e) \in r(\alpha_i)$ but $e \neq \alpha_{i+1}$.
- (2) a sink w such that $w \in r(\alpha_i)$ for some i .

In [16] Mark Tomforde introduced the C^* -algebra of an ultragraph as an unifying approach to Exel-Laca and graph C^* -algebras. Imanfar, Pourabbas and Larki in [13], introduced the Leavitt path algebra of an ultragraph, along with a study of ideals and a proof of a Cuntz-Krieger uniqueness type theorem.

Definition 2.2 (cf. [16, Theorem 2.11] and [13, Definition 2.1]). Let \mathcal{G} be an ultragraph and K a field. The *Leavitt path algebra* $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ of \mathcal{G} with coefficients in K is the K -algebra generated by the set $\{s_e, s_e^* \mid e \in \mathcal{G}^1\} \cup \{p_A \mid A \in \mathcal{G}^0\}$, satisfying the following relations for all $A, B \in \mathcal{G}^0$ and $e, f \in \mathcal{G}^1$:

- (1) $p_\emptyset = 0, p_A p_B = p_{A \cap B}$ and $p_{A \cup B} = p_A + p_B - p_{A \cap B}$;
- (2) $p_{s(e)} s_e = s_e = s_e p_{r(e)}$ and $p_{r(e)} s_e^* = s_e^* = s_e^* p_{s(e)}$;
- (3) $s_e^* s_f = \delta_{e,f} p_{r(e)}$;
- (4) $p_v = \sum_{s(e)=v} s_e s_e^*$ for any regular vertex v ;

where p_v denotes $p_{\{v\}}$ and δ is the Kronecker delta.

We usually denote $s_A := p_A$ for $A \in \mathcal{G}^0$ and $s_\alpha := s_{e_1} \dots s_{e_n}$ for $\alpha = e_1 \dots e_n \in \mathcal{G}^*$. It is easy to see that the mappings given by $p_A \mapsto p_A$ for $A \in \mathcal{G}^0$, and $s_e \mapsto s_e^*, s_e^* \mapsto s_e$ for $e \in \mathcal{G}^1$, produce an involution on the algebra $L_K(\mathcal{G})$, and for any path $\alpha = \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n$ there exists $s_\alpha^* := s_{e_n}^* \dots s_{e_1}^*$. Also, $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ has the following *universal property*: if \mathcal{A} is a K -algebra generated by a family of elements $\{b_A, c_e, c_e^* \mid A \in \mathcal{G}^0, e \in \mathcal{G}^1\}$ satisfying the relations analogous to (1) - (4) in Definition 2.2, then there always exists a K -algebra homomorphism $\varphi : L_K(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ given by $\varphi(p_A) = b_A, \varphi(s_e) = c_e$ and $\varphi(s_e^*) = c_e^*$. Furthermore, we denote another useful properties as follows.

Lemma 2.3. *If \mathcal{G} is an ultragraph and K is a field, then the Leavitt path algebra $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ has the following properties:*

(1) ([13, Theorem 2.10] and [10, Theorem 3.10]) *All elements of the set $\{p_A, s_e, s_e^* \mid A \in \mathcal{G}^0, e \in \mathcal{G}^1\}$ are nonzero.*

(2) ([13, Theorem 2.9]) *$L_K(\mathcal{G})$ is of the form*

$$\text{Span}_K\{s_\alpha p_A s_\beta^* : \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{G}^*, A \in \mathcal{G}^0 \text{ and } r(\alpha) \cap A \cap r(\beta) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Furthermore, $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ is a \mathbb{Z} -graded K -algebra by the grading

$$L_K(\mathcal{G})_n = \text{Span}_K\{s_\alpha p_A s_\beta^* \mid \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{G}^*, A \in \mathcal{G}^0 \text{ and } |\alpha| - |\beta| = n\} \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

In the light of Lemma 2.3, an element $x \in L_K(\mathcal{G})_n$ is called a *homogeneous element of degree n* . Recall that a ring R is said to have *local units* if every finite subset of R is contained in a subring of the form eRe where $e = e^2 \in R$. The following lemma shows that every ultragraph Leavitt path algebra is an algebra with local units.

Lemma 2.4. *Let \mathcal{G} be an ultragraph and K a field. Then $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ is an algebra with local units (specifically, the set of local units of $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ is given by $\{p_A \mid A \in \mathcal{G}^0\}$). Moreover, $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ is unital if and only if $G^0 \in \mathcal{G}^0$; in this case the identity element is $1 = p_{G^0}$.*

Proof. Consider a finite subset $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^t$ of $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ and use Lemma 2.3 (2) to write

$$a_i = \sum_{s=1}^{n_i} k_s^i s_{p_s^i} p_{A_s^i} s_{q_s^i}^* \quad \text{where } k_s^i \in K \setminus \{0\}, \text{ and } p_s^i, A_s^i, q_s^i \in \mathcal{G}^*.$$

Let

$$A := \{s(p_s^i), s(q_s^i) \mid |p_s^i| \geq 1, |q_s^i| \geq 1\} \bigcup_{|p_s^i|=0=|q_s^i|} (s(p_s^i) \cup s(q_s^i)) \subseteq G^0.$$

We then have $A \in \mathcal{G}^0$ and $p_A a_i = a_i = a_i p_A$ for all i , and so $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ is an algebra with local units.

The remainder follows from [13, Lemma 2.12] and, just for the reader's convenience, we briefly sketch it here. Namely, assume that $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ is unital and write

$$1_{L_K(\mathcal{G})} = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i s_{p_i} p_{A_i} s_{q_i}^* \quad \text{where } k_i \in K \setminus \{0\}, \text{ and } p_i, A_i, q_i \in \mathcal{G}^*.$$

Let

$$B := \{s(p_i) \mid |p_i| \geq 1\} \bigcup_{|p_i|=0} (s(p_i)) \subseteq G^0.$$

It is obvious that $B \in \mathcal{G}^0$. If $G^0 \notin \mathcal{G}^0$, then there exists an element $v \in G^0 \setminus B$, and

$$p_v = p_v \cdot 1_{L_K(\mathcal{G})} = p_v \left(\sum_{i=1}^n k_i s_{p_i} p_{A_i} s_{q_i}^* \right) = 0,$$

a contradiction, which shows that $G^0 \in \mathcal{G}^0$. The converse is obvious, thus finishing the proof. \square

Lemma 2.5. *Let \mathcal{G} be an ultragraph and K a field. Then the algebra $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ is generated by $\{s_e, s_e^* \mid e \in \mathcal{G}^1\} \cup \{p_v \mid v \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{G})\}$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} be the K -subalgebra of $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ generated by $\{s_e, s_e^* \mid e \in \mathcal{G}^1\} \cup \{p_v \mid v \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{G})\}$. We claim that $L_K(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. To do so, it is sufficient to show that $p_A \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $A \in \mathcal{G}^0$. Take any $A \in \mathcal{G}^0$. By Lemma 2.1, there exist finite subsets X_1, \dots, X_n of \mathcal{G}^1 and finite subset F of \mathcal{G}^0 such that

$$A = \bigcap_{e \in X_1} r(e) \cup \dots \cup \bigcap_{e \in X_n} r(e) \cup F \text{ and } F \cap (\bigcap_{e \in X_1} r(e) \cup \dots \cup \bigcap_{e \in X_n} r(e)) = \emptyset.$$

Note that $p_v \in \mathcal{A}$ for every singular vertex v . Also, if v is a regular vertex, then $p_v = \sum_{e \in s^{-1}(v)} s_e s_e^* \in \mathcal{A}$. This implies that $p_F = \sum_{v \in F} p_v \in \mathcal{A}$.

For every $e \in \mathcal{G}^1$, we always have that $p_{r(e)} = s_e^* s_e \in \mathcal{A}$, and so

$$p_{A_i} = \prod_{e \in X_i} p_{r(e)} \in \mathcal{A}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, where $A_i := \bigcap_{e \in X_i} r(e)$. By induction on n we obtain that

$$p_{\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i} = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n} p_{A_{i_1} \cap A_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap A_{i_k}} \in \mathcal{A}.$$

This implies that $p_A = p_{\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i} + p_F \in \mathcal{A}$, and hence $L_K(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. The inverse inclusion is obvious, and so $L_K(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{A}$, thus finishing our proof. \square

Let $\mathcal{G} = (G^0, \mathcal{G}^1, r, s)$ be an ultragraph and let F be a finite subset of $\mathcal{G}^1 \cup \text{Sing}(\mathcal{G})$ (where we denote by $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{G})$ the set of all singular vertices of \mathcal{G}). Write $F^0 := F \cap \text{Sing}(\mathcal{G})$ and $F^1 := F \cap \mathcal{G}^1 = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$. Following [13], we construct a finite graph G_F as follows. For each $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n \setminus \{0^n\}$, we define

$$r(\omega) := \bigcap_{\omega_i=1} r(e_i) \setminus \bigcup_{\omega_j=0} r(e_j) \text{ and } R(\omega) := r(\omega) \setminus F^0.$$

Notice that $r(\omega) \cap r(\nu) = \emptyset$ for distinct $\omega, \nu \in \{0, 1\}^n \setminus \{0^n\}$. Let

$$\Gamma_0 := \{\omega \in \{0, 1\}^n \setminus \{0^n\} \mid \text{there are vertices } v_1, \dots, v_m \text{ such that}$$

$$R(\omega) = \{v_1, \dots, v_m\} \text{ and } \emptyset \neq s^{-1}(v_i) \subseteq F^1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq m\}$$

and

$$\Gamma_F := \{\omega \in \{0, 1\}^n \setminus \{0^n\} \mid R(\omega) \neq \emptyset \text{ and } \omega \notin \Gamma_0\}.$$

Now we define the finite graph $G_F = (G_F^0, G_F^1, r_F, s_F)$ as follows:

$$G_F^0 := F^0 \cup F^1 \cup \Gamma_F, \text{ and}$$

$$G_F^1 := \{(e, f) \in F^1 \times F^1 \mid s(f) \in r(e)\} \\ \cup \{(e, v) \in F^1 \times F^0 \mid v \in r(e)\} \\ \cup \{(e, \omega) \in F^1 \times \Gamma_F \mid \omega_i = 1 \text{ when } e = e_i\}$$

with

$$\begin{array}{lll} s_F((e, f)) = e & s_F((e, v)) = e & s_F((e, \omega)) = e \\ r_F((e, f)) = f & r_F((e, v)) = v & r_F((e, \omega)) = \omega. \end{array}$$

As usual, an ultragraph is called *acyclic* if it has no cycles. The following lemma gives us a criterion for acyclic ultragraphs.

Lemma 2.6. *An ultragraph \mathcal{G} is acyclic if and only if G_F is acyclic for every non-empty finite subset F of $\mathcal{G}^1 \cup \text{Sing}(\mathcal{G})$.*

Proof. (\implies) Assume that \mathcal{G} is an acyclic ultragraph and F is a finite subset of $\mathcal{G}^1 \cup \text{Sing}(\mathcal{G})$. We claim that the graph G_F is acyclic. Indeed, suppose G_F is not acyclic, that means, it has a cycle $c = c_1 c_2 \cdots c_m$, where $c_i \in G_F^1$ for all i . By the definition of G_F , we must have that $c_i \in \{(e, f) \in F^1 \times F^1 : s(f) \in r(e)\}$ for all i . So, without loss of generality, we may assume $c_i = (e_i, f_i) \in F^1 \times F^1$ with $s(f_i) \in r(e_i)$. Then, since $c = c_1 c_2 \cdots c_m$ is a cycle in G_F , we have that $e_1 f_1 e_2 f_2 \cdots e_m f_m$ is a cycle in \mathcal{G} , a contradiction, and hence G_F is acyclic.

(\impliedby) Assume that \mathcal{G} has a cycle $\alpha = e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n$, where $e_i \in \mathcal{G}^1$ for all i . Let $F := \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\} \subset \mathcal{G}^1$. Since α is a cycle in \mathcal{G} , $s(e_{i+1}) \in r(e_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, and $s(e_1) \in r(e_n)$. This implies that $(e_i, e_{i+1}) \in G_F^1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $(e_n, e_1) \in G_F^1$, and $(e_1, e_2)(e_2, e_3) \cdots (e_n, e_1)$ is a cycle in the graph G_F , thus finishing our proof. \square

A (not necessarily unital) ring R is called *von Neumann regular* in case for every $r \in R$ there exists $s \in R$ such that $r = rsr$. A *matricial K -algebra* is a finite direct sum of full finite dimensional matrix algebras over the field K . A *locally matricial K -algebra* is a direct limit of matricial K -algebras (with not necessarily-unital transition homomorphisms). In [5, Theorem 1] Abrams and Rangaswamy showed that the graph Leavitt path algebras arising from acyclic graphs are precisely the von Neumann regular Leavitt path algebras, and in this case they are exactly locally matricial algebras. The following theorem extends this result to ultragraph Leavitt path algebras.

Theorem 2.7. *Let \mathcal{G} be an ultragraph and K a field. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ is von Neumann regular;
- (2) \mathcal{G} is acyclic;
- (3) $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ is a locally matricial K -algebra, that is, $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ is a union of a chain of matricial K -subalgebras.

Proof. (1) \implies (2). The proof is essentially based on the ideas in the proof of (2) \implies (3) in [5, Theorem 1], by using Lemma 2.3.

Assume that $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ is von Neumann regular, and there exists a cycle c in \mathcal{G} ; denote $s(c)$ by v . Since $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ is von Neumann regular, there exists an element $\beta \in L_K(\mathcal{G})$ such that $p_v - s_c p_v = (p_v - s_c p_v)\beta(p_v - s_c p_v)$. Replacing β by

$p_v\beta p_v$ if necessary, there is no loss of generality in assuming that $\beta = p_v\beta p_v$. By Lemma 2.3, we may write β as a sum of homogeneous elements $\beta = \sum_{i=m}^n \beta_i$, where $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\beta_m \neq 0$, $\beta_n \neq 0$, and $\deg(\beta_i) = i$ for all nonzero β_i with $m \leq i \leq n$. Since $\deg(p_v) = 0$, we have $p_v\beta_i p_v = \beta_i$ for all i . Then

$$p_v - s_c p_v = (p_v - s_c p_v) \left(\sum_{i=m}^n \beta_i \right) (p_v - s_c p_v).$$

Equating the lowest degree terms on both sides, we obtain that $\beta_m = p_v$. Since $\deg(p_v) = 0$, we must have that $m = 0$ and $\beta_0 = p_v$. Thus $\beta = \sum_{i=0}^n \beta_i$. Let $\deg(s_c) = s > 0$. By again equating terms of like degree in the displayed equation, we see that $\beta_i = 0$ whenever i is nonzero and not a multiple of s , so that

$$\sum_{i=m}^n \beta_i = p_v + \sum_{t=1}^k \beta_{ts}.$$

We then have

$$p_v - s_c p_v = (p_v - s_c p_v) p_v (p_v - s_c p_v) + (p_v - s_c p_v) \left(\sum_{t=1}^k \beta_{ts} \right) (p_v - s_c p_v),$$

which shows that $0 = -p_v + (s_c p_v)^2 + (p_v - s_c p_v) \left(\sum_{t=1}^k \beta_{ts} \right) (p_v - s_c p_v)$. By equating the degree s components on both sides we obtain $\beta_s = s_c p_v$. Similarly, by equating the degree $2s$ components, we obtain $0 = (s_c p_v)^2 - (s_c p_v) \beta_s - \beta_s (s_c p_v) + \beta_{2s}$, so $\beta_{2s} = (s_c p_v)^2$, and continuing in this manner we get $\beta_{ts} = (s_c p_v)^t$ for all t . In particular, we conclude that every homogeneous component β_i of β commutes with $s_c p_v$ in $L_K(\mathcal{G})$. This yields that $(s_c p_v) \beta = \beta (s_c p_v)$. But then the equation $p_v - s_c p_v = (p_v - s_c p_v) \beta (p_v - s_c p_v)$ becomes

$$p_v - s_c p_v = \beta (p_v - s_c p_v)^2.$$

But this is not possible, as follows. Let i be maximal with the property that $\beta_i ((p_v - s_c p_v)^2) \neq 0$ (Such i exists, since $\beta_0 = p_v$ has this property.) Then the right hand side contains terms of degree $2s + i$ (namely, $\beta_i (s_c p_v)^2$), while the maximum degree of terms on the left hand side is s .

(2) \implies (3). Since G^0 and \mathcal{G}^1 are countable sets, we may rename the edges of \mathcal{G}^1 as a sequence $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ and rename the vertices of $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{G})$ a sequence $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by B_n the subalgebra of $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ generated by $\{s_{e_i}, s_{e_i}^*, p_{v_i} \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$. We then have that $B_n \subseteq B_{n+1}$ for all n , and by Lemma 2.5, $L_K(\mathcal{G}) = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty B_n$. By [13, Lemma 2.13], we have that $B_n \cong L_K(G_{F_n})$, where $F_n := \{e_1, \dots, e_n\} \cup \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. Since \mathcal{G} is acyclic, and by Lemma 2.6, the finite graph G_{F_n} is acyclic for all n . By [3, Proposition 3.5], $L_K(G_{F_n})$ is a matricial K -algebra. Therefore, $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ is a locally matricial K -algebra.

(3) \implies (1). It is well-known that every matricial K -algebra is a von Neumann regular ring, and hence easily so too is any direct union of such algebras, thus finishing our proof. \square

3. PURELY INFINITE SIMPLICITY

The main goal of this section is both to give a graph-theoretic criterion for purely infinite simple ultragraph Leavitt path algebras (Theorem 3.4) and provide a complete description of graded simple ultragraph Leavitt path algebras (Theorem 3.6).

Recall (see e.g. [6]) that an idempotent e in a ring R is called *infinite* if eR is isomorphic as a right R -module to a proper direct summand of itself. R is called *purely infinite* in case every nonzero right ideal of R contains an infinite idempotent. The following lemma provides us with a useful criterion for purely infinite simple rings with local units.

Lemma 3.1 ([2, Proposition 10]). *For any ring with local units R , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) R is purely infinite simple;
- (2) R is not a division ring, and R has the property that for every pair of nonzero elements $\alpha, \beta \in R$ there exist elements $a, b \in R$ such that $a\alpha b = \beta$.

We will use the above lemma to characterize the purely infinite simplicity of ultragraph Leavitt path algebras. Before doing so, we recall some notions and facts introduced by Tomforde in [17]. Let \mathcal{G} be an ultragraph. A subset $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{G}^0$ is called *hereditary* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) whenever e is an edge with $\{s(e)\} \in \mathcal{H}$, then $r(e) \in \mathcal{H}$;
- (2) $A \cup B \in \mathcal{H}$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{H}$;
- (3) $A \in \mathcal{H}, B \in \mathcal{G}^0$ and $B \subseteq A$, imply that $B \in \mathcal{H}$.

A subset $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{G}^0$ is called *saturated* if for any $v \in \mathcal{G}^0$ with $0 < |s^{-1}(v)| < \infty$, we have that

$$\{r(e) \mid e \in \mathcal{G}^1 \text{ and } s(e) = v\} \subseteq \mathcal{H} \text{ implies } \{v\} \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Note that \emptyset and \mathcal{G}^0 are two saturated hereditary subsets of \mathcal{G}^0 . We denote by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}}$ the set of all saturated hereditary subsets of \mathcal{G}^0 . For $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{G}^0$, we denote by $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ the smallest saturated hereditary subset of \mathcal{G}^0 containing \mathcal{H} . In [17, Lemma 3.12] Tomforde gave a useful description of $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ as follows.

Lemma 3.2 ([17, Lemma 3.12]). *Let $\mathcal{G} := (\mathcal{G}^0, \mathcal{G}^1, r, s)$ be an ultragraph and let $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{G}^0$ be a hereditary subset. Set $\mathcal{H}_0 := \mathcal{H}$ and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define*

$$\mathcal{H}_{n+1} := \{A \cup F \mid A \in \mathcal{H}_n \text{ and } F \text{ is a finite subset of } S_n\}$$

where $S_n := \{w \in \mathcal{G}^0 \mid 0 < |s^{-1}(w)| < \infty \text{ and } \{r(e) \mid s(e) = w\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_n\}$. Then $\overline{\mathcal{H}} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_i$ and every $X \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}$ has the form $X = A \cup F$ for some $A \in \mathcal{H}$ and for some finite set $F \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i$.

Following [17], if \mathcal{G} is an ultragraph and $v, w \in \mathcal{G}^0$, we write $w \geq v$ to mean that there exists a path $\alpha \in \mathcal{G}^*$ with $s(\alpha) = w$ and $v \in r(\alpha)$. Also, we write $\mathcal{G}^0 \geq \{v\}$ to mean that $w \geq v$ for all $w \in \mathcal{G}^0$. We say that a vertex w connects to

a cycle $\alpha = e_1 \cdots e_n$ if there exists a path $\beta \in \mathcal{G}^*$ with $s(\beta) = w$ and $s(e_i) \in r(\beta)$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. Note that if w is a sink on a cycle (i.e. $w \in r(e_i)$ for some i), then w does not connect to a cycle. We say that a vertex w connects to an infinite path $\alpha = e_1 \cdots e_n \cdots$ if there exists an $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $v \geq s(e_i)$.

If $v \in G^0$ and $A \subseteq G^0$, then we write $v \rightarrow A$ to mean that there exist a finite number of paths $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathcal{G}^*$ such that $s(\alpha_i) = v$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $A \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^n r(\alpha_i)$. Note that if $A = \{w\}$, then $v \rightarrow \{w\}$ if and only if $v \geq w$.

The following lemma provides us with a criterion for ultragraphs \mathcal{G} with $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}} = \{\emptyset, \mathcal{G}^0\}$. The proof given in the one of [17, Theorem 3.11] applies; and just for the reader's convenience, we reproduce it here.

Lemma 3.3. *For every ultragraph \mathcal{G} , $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}} = \{\emptyset, \mathcal{G}^0\}$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1) *Every vertex connects to every infinite path.*
- (2) *$G^0 \geq \{v\}$ for every singular vertex $v \in G^0$.*
- (3) *If $e \in \mathcal{G}^1$ is an edge for which the set $r(e)$ is infinite, then for every $w \in G^0$ there exists a set $A_w \subseteq r(e)$ for which $r(e) \setminus A_w$ is finite and $w \rightarrow A_w$.*

Proof. (\implies) Let $\alpha = e_1 e_2 \cdots$ be an infinite path and set $K := \{w \in G^0 \mid w \not\geq s(e_i) \text{ for all } i\}$. Let $\mathcal{H} := \{A \in \mathcal{G}^0 \mid A \subseteq K\}$. We then claim that \mathcal{H} is a saturated hereditary subset of \mathcal{G}^0 . Indeed, let $e \in \mathcal{G}^1$ with $\{s(e)\} \in \mathcal{H}$ (i.e. $s(e) \in K$). If $r(e) \notin \mathcal{H}$, then $r(e) \not\subseteq K$, and so there exist $w \in r(e)$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $w \geq s(e_i)$. This implies that $s(e) \geq w \geq s(e_i)$, that means, $s(e) \notin K$, a contradiction, and hence $r(e) \in \mathcal{H}$. It is also obvious that $A \cup B \in \mathcal{H}$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{H}$, and $B \in \mathcal{H}$ for all $B \subseteq A \in \mathcal{H}$. Therefore, \mathcal{H} is a hereditary subset of \mathcal{G}^0 .

Let $v \in G^0$ with $0 < |s^{-1}(v)| < \infty$ and $\{r(e) \mid e \in \mathcal{G}^1 \text{ and } s(e) = v\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$. Assume that $\{v\} \notin \mathcal{H}$. Then, there exists $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $v \geq s(e_i)$, that means, there exists a path $p = f_1 f_2 \cdots f_m \in \mathcal{G}^*$ such that $s(p) = s(f_1) = v$ and $s(e_i) \in r(p)$. This implies that $s(f_2) \geq s(e_i)$, and so $s(f_2) \notin K$. On the other hand, since $r(f_1) \subseteq K$ and $s(f_2) \in r(f_1)$, we must have that $s(f_2) \in K$, a contradiction, which shows that $\{v\} \in \mathcal{H}$. So \mathcal{H} is a saturated subset of \mathcal{G}^0 , thus proving the claim.

Since $\{s(e_1)\} \notin \mathcal{H}$, we have that $\mathcal{H} \neq \mathcal{G}^0$, and so $\mathcal{H} = \emptyset$, by our hypothesis that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}} = \{\emptyset, \mathcal{G}^0\}$. So, every vertex $v \in G^0$ there exists an $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $v \geq s(e_i)$, showing item (1).

Let $v \in G^0$ be a singular vertex. Fix any vertex $w \in G^0$ and definite $K := \{x \in G^0 \mid w \geq x\}$. Let $\mathcal{H} := \{A \in \mathcal{G}^0 \mid A \subseteq K\}$. Similar to the above case, we obtain that \mathcal{H} is a hereditary subset of \mathcal{G}^0 .

We denote by $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ the smallest saturated hereditary subset of \mathcal{G}^0 containing \mathcal{H} . Since $\{w\} \in \mathcal{H}$, $\overline{\mathcal{H}} \neq \emptyset$, and so $\overline{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{G}^0$. Using the notation of Lemma 3.2 and since v is a singular vertex, we get that $v \notin S_i$ for all i . By Lemma 3.2 and since

$\{v\} \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}$, we immediately get that $\{v\} \in \mathcal{H}$. This implies that $v \in K$ and $w \geq v$. Hence $G^0 \geq \{v\}$, proving item (2).

Let $e \in \mathcal{G}^1$ be an edge such that $r(e)$ is an infinite set. Fix $w \in G^0$ and set $\mathcal{H} := \{A \in \mathcal{G}^0 \mid w \rightarrow A\}$. We claim that \mathcal{H} is a hereditary subset of \mathcal{G}^0 . Indeed, if f is an edge with $\{s(f)\} \in \mathcal{H}$, then $w \rightarrow \{s(f)\}$, and hence $w \geq s(f)$. Thus, there exists a path $\beta \in \mathcal{G}^*$ such that $s(\beta) = w$ and $s(f) \in r(\beta)$. We then have that $w \rightarrow r(f)$ via the path βf . Thus, $r(f) \in \mathcal{H}$.

If $A, B \in \mathcal{H}$, then $w \rightarrow A$ and $w \rightarrow B$, and so there exist a finite number of paths $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathcal{G}^*$ such that $s(\alpha_i) = w$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n r(\alpha_i)$, and there exist a finite number of paths $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in \mathcal{G}^*$ such that $s(\beta_j) = w$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$ and $B \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^m r(\beta_j)$. It is obvious that $A \cup B \subseteq (\bigcup_{i=1}^n r(\alpha_i)) \cup (\bigcup_{j=1}^m r(\beta_j))$, and so $w \rightarrow A \cup B$. Therefore, $A \cup B \in \mathcal{H}$.

If $A \in \mathcal{H}, B \in \mathcal{G}^0$, and $B \subseteq A$, then we have that $w \rightarrow A$, and so there exist a finite number of paths $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathcal{G}^*$ such that $s(\alpha_i) = w$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n r(\alpha_i)$. This implies that $B \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n r(\alpha_i)$, and hence $B \in \mathcal{H}$, thus proving the claim.

Since $\{w\} \in \mathcal{H}$, \mathcal{H} is nonempty, and hence $\overline{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{G}^0$. Thus $r(e) \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}$. By Lemma 3.2, $r(e) = A_w \cup F$ for some $A_w \in \mathcal{H}$ and some finite set $F \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i$. Then $w \rightarrow A_w$ and $r(e) \setminus A_w$ is finite, showing item (3).

(\Leftarrow) Let \mathcal{H} be a nonempty saturated hereditary subset of \mathcal{G}^0 . We first claim that for every $w \in \mathcal{G}^0$ with $\{w\} \notin \mathcal{H}$, there exists an edge $e \in \mathcal{G}^1$ such that $s(e) = w$ and $r(e)$ contains a vertex w' for which $\{w'\} \notin \mathcal{H}$. Indeed, since $\{w\} \notin \mathcal{H}$ and $G^0 \geq \{v\}$ for every singular vertex v , w is a regular vertex. For otherwise, if w is a singular then $G^0 \geq \{w\}$, but since $\mathcal{H} \neq \emptyset$ so that there exists a vertex $v \in G^0$ such that $\{v\} \in \mathcal{H}$ and $v \geq w$. Since \mathcal{H} is hereditary, we must have that $\{w\} \in \mathcal{H}$, a contradiction.

Now, since \mathcal{H} is saturated, there exists an edge $e \in \mathcal{G}^1$ such that $s(e) = w$ and $r(e) \notin \mathcal{H}$. If $r(e)$ is finite, then since \mathcal{H} is closed under unions, there must exist a vertex $w' \in r(e)$ such that $\{w'\} \notin \mathcal{H}$, as desired. Consider the case that $r(e)$ is infinite. If $\{v\} \notin \mathcal{H}$ every vertex $v \in r(e)$, then the claim is obvious. If there exists a vertex $x \in G^0$ such that $\{x\} \in \mathcal{H}$, then there exists $A_x \subseteq r(e)$ such that $w \rightarrow A_x$ and $r(e) \setminus A_x$ is a finite set. Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathcal{G}^*$ be paths with $s(\alpha_i) = x$ and $A_x \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n r(\alpha_i)$. Since $\{x\} \in \mathcal{H}$ and \mathcal{H} is hereditary, $r(\alpha_i) \in \mathcal{H}$ for all i , and so $\bigcup_{i=1}^n r(\alpha_i) \in \mathcal{H}$. If $r(e) \setminus A_x \in \mathcal{H}$, then $\bigcup_{i=1}^n r(\alpha_i) \cup (r(e) \setminus A_x)$ is an element in \mathcal{H} containing $r(e)$. Then, since \mathcal{H} is hereditary, we immediately get that $r(e) \in \mathcal{H}$, a contradiction. Therefore, we must have that $r(e) \setminus A_x$ is not in \mathcal{H} , that means, $\{w'\} \notin \mathcal{H}$ for some $w' \in r(e) \setminus A_x$, as desired.

Now suppose that $\mathcal{H} \neq \mathcal{G}^0$. Then, there exists $\{w_1\} \notin \mathcal{H}$. By the above claim, there exist an edge e_1 and a vertex w_2 such that $s(e_1) = w_1, w_2 \in r(e_1)$, and $\{w_2\} \notin \mathcal{H}$. Continuing inductively, we create an infinite path $e_1 e_2 e_3 \dots$ with $\{s(e_i)\} \notin \mathcal{H}$ for all i . Since $\mathcal{H} \neq \emptyset$, there exists a vertex $v \in G^0$ with $\{v\} \in \mathcal{H}$. By condition (1), we obtain that $v \geq s(e_n)$ for some n . Then, since \mathcal{H} is hereditary

and $\{v\} \in \mathcal{H}$, we must have that $\{s(e_n)\} \in \mathcal{H}$, a contradiction. It implies that $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{G}^0$, thus finishing the proof. \square

We now have all the necessary ingredients in hand to prove the first main result of this section, which both characterizes the purely infinite simple Leavitt path algebras in terms of properties of the associated graph and extends Abrams and Aranda-Pino's result [2, Theorem 11] to ultragraph Leavitt path algebras.

Theorem 3.4. *Let \mathcal{G} be an ultragraph and K a field. Then $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ is purely infinite simple if and only if the following three conditions are satisfied:*

- (1) *The only hereditary and saturated subsets of \mathcal{G}^0 are \emptyset and \mathcal{G}^0 ;*
- (2) *Every cycle in \mathcal{G} has an exit;*
- (3) *Every vertex connects to a cycle.*

Equivalently, (3) may be replaced by:

- (3') *\mathcal{G} contains at least one cycle.*

Proof. (\implies) Assume that $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ is purely infinite simple. By [10, Theorem 4.7] we have (1) and (2). If \mathcal{G} has no cycles, i.e. \mathcal{G} is acyclic, then by Theorem 2.7, $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ is a locally matricial K -algebra, that is, it is a direct union of matricial K -subalgebras. Since every matricial K -algebra is finite dimensional, and by [2, Lemma 8], $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ is not purely infinite, a contradiction. Hence, \mathcal{G} must contain at least one cycle c . We then have an infinite path $c^\infty := cc \cdots c \cdots$ in \mathcal{G} . By Lemma 3.3, every vertex connects to c^∞ ; equivalently, every vertex connects to c , proving (3). Notice that the above argument shows that conditions (3) and (3') are equivalent in the presence of conditions (1) and (2).

(\impliedby) Assume that (1), (2) and (3) hold. By [10, Theorem 4.7] we immediately obtain that $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ is simple. By Lemmas 2.4 and 3.1, it suffice to show that $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ is not a division ring, and that for every pair of nonzero elements α, β in $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ there exist elements a, b in $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ such that $a\alpha b = \beta$. Condition (3) implies that there exists a cycle $c = e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n$ with $n \geq 1$. By condition (2), c has an exit, that is, there exists $f \in \mathcal{G}^1$ such that $s(f) \in r(e_i)$ and $f \neq e_{i+1}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$ (where $e_{n+1} := e_1$), or $r(e_j)$ contains a sink v for some j . If the first case occurs, then we have that $s_j^* s_{e_{i+1}} = 0$. If the second one occurs, then we have that $p_v s_{e_j} = p_v p_{s(e_j)} s_{e_j} = 0 \cdot s_{e_j} = 0$. This implies that $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ has zero divisors, and thus $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ is not a division ring.

We now apply the Reduction Theorem [9, Theorem 3.2] to find $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in L_K(\mathcal{G})$ such that either $\bar{a}\alpha\bar{b} = p_A$ for some nonempty set $A \in \mathcal{G}^0$, or $\bar{a}\alpha\bar{b} = \sum_{i=1}^m k_i s_c^i$, where c is a cycle without exit. By condition (2), the later can not happen, and so we must obtain that $\bar{a}\alpha\bar{b} = p_A$. Take any $w \in A$. We then have

$$p_w \bar{a}\alpha\bar{b} = p_w p_A = p_w.$$

By condition (3), w connects to a cycle $c' = e'_1 e'_2 \cdots e'_n$, and so there exists path $p \in \mathcal{G}^*$ with $s(p) = w$ and $s(e'_j) \in r(p)$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n$. We note that

$s_p^* p_w s_p = s_p^* s_p = p_{r(p)}$. Let $v := s(e'_j)$, $a' := s_p^*$ and $b' := s_p p_v$. We have that

$$a' p_w b' = s_p^* p_w s_p p_v = p_{r(p)} p_v = p_v.$$

Since $\mathcal{H}_G = \{\emptyset, \mathcal{G}^0\}$ and by [15, Lemma 6.1], there exist two distinct cycles p, q with $v := s(p) = s(q)$, p is not a subpath of q , and q is also not a subpath of p . For any $m \geq 1$ let c_m denote the path $p^{m-1}q$, where $p^0 := p_v$. We then have that $s_{c_m}^* s_{c_n} = \delta_{m,n} p_{r(q)}$ for every $m, n \geq 1$, where δ is the Kronecker delta, and so $s_{c_m}^* s_{c_n} p_v = \delta_{m,n} p_{r(q)} p_v = \delta_{m,n} p_v$ for every $m, n \geq 1$ (since $v \in r(q)$).

Since $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ is simple and $p_v \neq 0$, there exist $\{a_i, b_i \in L_K(\mathcal{G}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq t\}$ such that $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^t a_i p_v b_i$. Let $a_\beta := \sum_{i=1}^t a_i s_{c_i}^*$ and $b_\beta := \sum_{j=1}^t s_{c_j} b_j$. We then obtain that

$$a_\beta p_v b_\beta = \left(\sum_{i=1}^t a_i s_{c_i}^* \right) p_v \left(\sum_{j=1}^t s_{c_j} b_j \right) = \sum_{i=1}^t a_i p_v b_i = \beta.$$

Finally, letting $a = a_\beta a' p_w \bar{a}$ and $b = \bar{b} b_\beta$, we immediately get that $a \alpha b = \beta$, as desired, thus finishing the proof. \square

For an ultragraph \mathcal{G} and subset $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$, we denote by $I(\mathcal{H})$ the ideal of $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ generated by the idempotents $\{p_A \mid A \in \mathcal{H}\}$. If $\mathcal{H} = \{v\}$ with $v \in \mathcal{G}^0$, then we denote $I(\mathcal{H})$ by $I(v)$. Let $c = e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n$ be a cycle without exits in \mathcal{G} . We then have that $s(e_i) \neq s(e_j)$ for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, and $r(e_i) = \{s(e_{i+1})\}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ (where $e_{n+1} := e_1$). We denote by c^0 the set of all subsets of $\{s(e_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. It is obvious that c^0 is a hereditary subset of \mathcal{G}^0 . We say that a path p ends at a vertex v if $r(p) = \{v\}$. The following lemma provides us with a complete description of the ideal $I(c^0)$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let \mathcal{G} be an ultragraph, K a field, and c a cycle without exits. Let $v := s(c)$, and let Λ be the (possibly infinite) set of all finite paths in \mathcal{G} which end at v , but which do not contain all the edges of c . Then*

$$I(\bar{c}^0) = I(c^0) = I(v) \cong M_\Lambda(K[x, x^{-1}]),$$

where \bar{c}^0 is the smallest saturated hereditary subset of \mathcal{G}^0 containing c^0 .

Proof. We first claim that

$$I(v) = \text{Span}_K \{s_\alpha s_\beta^* \mid \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{G}^*, r(\alpha) = \{v\} = r(\beta)\}.$$

Indeed, we denote by J the right-hand side of the above equality. We note that for every $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{G}^* \setminus \mathcal{G}^0$ and every $A, B \in \mathcal{G}^0$, we have

$$(s_\alpha p_A s_\beta^*) (s_\mu p_B s_\nu^*) = \begin{cases} s_{\alpha\mu'} p_B s_\nu^* & \text{if } \mu = \beta\mu', s(\mu') \in A \cap r(\alpha) \text{ and } |\mu'| \geq 1, \\ s_\alpha p_{A \cap r(\beta) \cap B} s_\nu^* & \text{if } \mu = \beta, \\ s_\alpha p_A s_{\nu\beta'}^* & \text{if } \beta = \mu\beta', s(\beta') \in B \cap r(\nu) \text{ and } |\beta'| \geq 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By this note and Lemma 2.3 (2), we immediately get that J is an ideal of $L_K(G)$. Moreover, we have $p_v = p_v p_v \in J$, i.e. J is an ideal of $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ containing p_v , and so $I(v) \subseteq J$. For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{G}^*$ with $r(\alpha) = \{v\} = r(\beta)$, we obtain that $s_\alpha s_\beta^* = s_\alpha p_v s_\beta^* \in I(v)$, and hence $J \subseteq I(v)$, thus proving the claim.

It is obvious that $I(v) \subseteq I(c^0) \subseteq I(\overline{c^0})$. To show the inverse inclusions, we write $c = e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n$ ($n \geq 1$) and $v_i := s(e_{i+1})$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ (note that $v = s(c) = s(e_1)$). For $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, there exists a path $p := e_1 \cdots e_i$ with $s(p) = v$ and $r(p) = \{v_i\}$, and so $p_{v_i} = s_p^* s_p = s_p^* p_v s_p \in I(v)$. Take any a non-empty set $A \in c^0$. We then have that A is a subset of $\{v, v_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$, and $p_A = \sum_{w \in A} p_w \in I(v)$. This implies that $I(c^0) \subseteq I(v)$, and so $I(v) = I(c^0)$.

In order to see that $I(c^0) = I(\overline{c^0})$, it is sufficient to prove that

$$p_B \in I(c^0) \quad \text{for all } B \in \overline{c^0}.$$

We first note that since c^0 is a hereditary subset of \mathcal{G}^0 and by Lemma 3.2, we have that

$$\overline{c^0} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_i,$$

where $\mathcal{H}_0 := c^0$ and

$$\mathcal{H}_{n+1} := \{A \cup F \mid A \in \mathcal{H}_n \text{ and } F \text{ is a finite subset of } S_n\},$$

$$S_n := \{w \in G^0 \mid 0 < |s^{-1}(w)| < \infty \text{ and } \{r(e) \mid s(e) = w\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_n\}.$$

We shall claim by proving inductively that $p_B \in I(c^0)$ for all $B \in \mathcal{H}_n$. Indeed, if $n = 0$, then $B \in c^0$, and so $p_B \in I(c^0)$. Now we proceed inductively. For $n > 1$, we have that $B = A \cup F$ for some $A \in \mathcal{H}_{n-1}$ and for some finite subset $F \subseteq S_{n-1}$. As is shown in the proof of [17, Lemma 3.12] that \mathcal{H}_i is hereditary for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. By this fact and the induction hypothesis, we get that $p_A, p_{(A \cap F)} \in I(c^0)$, and $p_{r(e)} \in I(c^0)$ for all $e \in \mathcal{G}^1$ with $r(e) \in \mathcal{H}_{n-1}$.

Write $F = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k\} \subseteq S_{n-1}$. Then, for $1 \leq i \leq k$, w_i is a regular vertex and $r(e) \in \mathcal{H}_{n-1}$ for all $e \in s^{-1}(w_i)$. This implies that

$$p_{w_i} = \sum_{e \in s^{-1}(w_i)} s_e s_e^* = \sum_{e \in s^{-1}(w_i)} s_e p_{r(e)} s_e^* \in I(c^0),$$

so $p_F = \sum_{i=1}^k p_{w_i} \in I(c^0)$ and $p_B = p_{A \cup F} = p_A + p_F - p_{A \cap F} \in I(c^0)$, showing the claim. Using this claim and the above note, we immediately get that $p_B \in I(c^0)$ for all $B \in \overline{c^0}$, and so $I(c^0) = I(\overline{c^0})$.

Consider the family

$$\mathcal{B} := \{s_\alpha s_c^k s_\beta^* \mid \alpha, \beta \in \Lambda, k \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

where as usual s_c^0 denotes p_v and c^k denotes $(s_c^*)^{-k}$ for $k < 0$.

We note that since c is a cycle without exits, we have $s_c s_c^* = p_v$. Also, for $\alpha \in \mathcal{G}^*$ with $r(\alpha) = \{v\}$, it may be written in the form: $\alpha = p c^m$ for some $p \in \Lambda$

and for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ (where $c^0 := v$). Using this note, we immediately obtain that for all $p, q \in \mathcal{G}^*$ with $r(p) = \{v\} = r(q)$, $s_p s_q^* = s_\alpha s_c^k s_\beta^*$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$ and for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. This implies that \mathcal{B} generates $I(v)$.

We next claim that \mathcal{B} is a K -linearly independent set. Consider the equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n k_i s_{\alpha_i} s_c^{m_i} s_{\beta_i}^* = 0 \quad (*)$$

where $k_i, m_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \Lambda$. By induction on n we prove that $k_i = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. If $n = 1$, then we have $k_1 s_{\alpha_1} s_c^{m_1} s_{\beta_1}^* = 0$, and so $k_1 p_v = k_1 (s_c^*)^{m_1} s_{\alpha_1}^* s_{\alpha_1} s_c^{m_1} s_{\beta_1}^* s_{\beta_1} = 0$. By Lemma 2.3 (1), $p_v \neq 0$, and hence $k_1 = 0$. Now we proceed inductively. For $n > 1$, if $s(\alpha_1) \neq s(\alpha_j)$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n$, then we have

$$\sum_{i=2}^n k_i p_{s(\alpha_j)} s_{\alpha_i} s_c^{m_i} s_{\beta_i}^* = p_{s(\alpha_j)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n k_i s_{\alpha_i} s_c^{m_i} s_{\beta_i}^* \right) = 0. \quad (**)$$

Using equations (*) and (**), and the induction hypothesis, we immediately get that $k_i = 0$ for all i . If $s(\beta_1) \neq s(\beta_j)$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n$, then we have

$$\sum_{i=2}^n k_i s_{\alpha_i} s_c^{m_i} s_{\beta_i}^* p_{s(\beta_j)} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n k_i s_{\alpha_i} s_c^{m_i} s_{\beta_i}^* \right) p_{s(\beta_j)} = 0. \quad (***)$$

Using equations (*) and (** *), and the induction hypothesis, we obtain that $k_i = 0$ for all i .

Consider the case that $s(\alpha_1) = s(\alpha_i)$ and $s(\beta_1) = s(\beta_i)$ for all i . Then, since $r(\alpha_i) = \{v\} = r(\beta_i)$ for all i , we have that

$$s_{\alpha_1}^* s_{\alpha_i} = \delta_{\alpha_1, \alpha_i} p_{r(\alpha_1)} = \delta_{\alpha_1, \alpha_i} p_v \quad \text{and} \quad s_{\beta_i}^* s_{\beta_1} = \delta_{\beta_i, \beta_1} p_{r(\beta_1)} = \delta_{\beta_i, \beta_1} p_v$$

for all i (where δ is the Kronecker delta), and

$$0 = s_{\alpha_1}^* \left(\sum_{i=1}^n k_i s_{\alpha_i} s_c^{m_i} s_{\beta_i}^* \right) s_{\beta_1} = \sum_{j=1}^r k_{i_j} s_c^{m_{i_j}}$$

where $1 \leq r \leq n$, $\{i_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq r\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $\alpha_1 = \alpha_{i_j}$, $\beta_1 = \beta_{i_j}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq r$, and m_{i_j} 's are distinct. Now the grading in $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ (see Lemma 2.3 (2)) shows that $k_{i_j} = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq r$. From this observation and equation (*), and by the induction hypothesis, we obtain that $k_i = 0$ for all i , showing the claim. Therefore \mathcal{B} is a K -basis for $I(v)$.

We define $\varphi : I(v) \rightarrow M_\Lambda(K[x, x^{-1}])$ by setting $\varphi(s_\alpha s_c^k s_\beta^*) = x^k E_{\alpha, \beta}$ for each $s_\alpha s_c^k s_\beta^* \in \mathcal{B}$, where $x^k E_{\alpha, \beta}$ denotes the element of $M_\Lambda(K[x, x^{-1}])$ which is x^k in the (α, β) entry, and zero otherwise. Then we easily check that φ is a K -algebra isomorphism, thus finishing the proof. \square

We now have all the tools necessary to generalize [4, Theorem 3.1.14] which the authors of [4] call the Trichotomy Principle for graded simple Leavitt path algebras of graphs. We prove this principle for graded simple ultragraph Leavitt path algebras.

Theorem 3.6. *Let \mathcal{G} be an ultragraph and K a field. If $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ is graded simple, then exactly one of the following occurs:*

- (1) $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ is locally matricial, or
- (2) $L_K(\mathcal{G}) \cong M_\Lambda(K[x, x^{-1}])$ for some set Λ , or
- (3) $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ is purely infinite simple.

Proof. By [13, Theorem 3.4], the graded simplicity of $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ is equivalent to that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}} = \{\emptyset, \mathcal{G}^0\}$. The three possibilities given in the statement correspond precisely to whether: (1) \mathcal{G} contains no cycles; resp., (2) contains exactly one cycle; resp., (3) contains at least two cycles.

If \mathcal{G} contains no cycle then (1) follows from Theorem 2.7. Consider the case that \mathcal{G} contains least two cycles. Let $c_1 = e_1 \cdots e_n$ and $c_2 = f_1 \cdots f_m$ be two distinct cycles in \mathcal{G} . We then have two infinite paths $(c_1)^\infty = c_1 c_1 \cdots c_1 \cdots$ and $(c_2)^\infty = c_2 c_2 \cdots c_2 \cdots$. Applying Lemma 3.3 (1), we immediately get that every vertex $v \in \mathcal{G}^0$ connects to both $(c_1)^\infty$ and $(c_2)^\infty$; equivalently, v connects to both c_1 and c_2 . Consequently, every cycle in \mathcal{G} has an exit. Then, by Theorem 3.4, we have that $L_K(\mathcal{G})$ is purely infinite simple.

Now suppose that \mathcal{G} contains exactly one cycle $c = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_n$. If c has exits then there exists $f \in \mathcal{G}^1$ such that $s(f) \in r(e_i)$ and $f \neq e_{i+1}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$ (where $e_{n+1} := e_1$), or $r(e_j)$ contains a sink v for some j . If the first case occurs, then by Lemma 3.3 (1), every vertex in $r(f)$ connects to c , and so \mathcal{G} has at least two cycles, a contradiction. If the second one occurs, then by Lemma 3.3 (1), v connects to the infinite path $c^\infty := c \cdots c \cdots$, and so v is not a sink, a contradiction. Therefore, c is a cycle without exits. Now, by Lemma 3.5, $I(\overline{c^0}) \cong M_\Lambda(K[x, x^{-1}])$, where Λ is the set of all finite paths in \mathcal{G} which end at v , but which do not contain all the edges of c . Since $\overline{c^0}$ is a non-empty saturated hereditary subset of \mathcal{G}^0 and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}} = \{\emptyset, \mathcal{G}^0\}$, we must have that $\overline{c^0} = \mathcal{G}^0$, and so $I(\overline{c^0}) = L_K(\mathcal{G})$ by Lemma 2.4. This implies that $L_K(\mathcal{G}) \cong M_\Lambda(K[x, x^{-1}])$, thus finishing our proof. □

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Abrams, Leavitt path algebras: the first decade, *Bull. Math. Sci.* **5** (2015), 59–120.
- [2] G. Abrams and G. Aranda Pino, Purely infinite simple Leavitt path algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **207** (2006), 553–563.
- [3] G. Abrams and G. Aranda Pino, Finite-dimensional Leavitt path algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **209** (2007), 753–762.
- [4] G. Abrams, P. Ara, and M. Siles Molina, *Leavitt path algebras*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics series, Vol. 2191, Springer-Verlag Inc., 2017.
- [5] G. Abrams and K. M. Rangaswamy, Regularity conditions for arbitrary Leavitt path algebras, *Algebr. Represent. Theory* **13** (2010), 319–334.
- [6] P. Ara, K. Goodearl, and E. Pardo, K_0 of purely infinite simple regular rings, *K-Theory* **26** (2002), 69–100.

- [7] X.W. Chen, Irreducible representations of Leavitt path algebras, *Forum Math.* **27** (2015), 549–574.
- [8] D. Gonçalves and D. Royer, Ultragraphs and shift spaces over infinite alphabets, *Bull. Sci. Math.* **141** (2017), 25–45.
- [9] D. Gonçalves and D. Royer, Representation and the reduction theorem for ultragraph Leavitt path algebras, to appear in *Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics* (see, also, arXiv:1902.00013v1).
- [10] D. Gonçalves and D. Royer, Simplicity and chain conditions for ultragraph Leavitt path algebras via partial skew group ring theory, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* (2019), DOI 10.1017/S144678871900020X.
- [11] D. Gonçalves and D. Royer, Infinite alphabet edge shift spaces via ultragraphs and their C^* -algebras, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2019, no. 7, 2177–2203.
- [12] D. Gonçalves and D. Royer, Irreducible and permutative representations of ultragraph Leavitt path algebras, *Forum Math.* **32** (2020), 417–431.
- [13] M. Imanfar, A. Pourabbas and H. Larki, The Leavitt path algebras of ultragraphs, *KYUNG-POOK Math. J.* **60** (2020), 21–43.
- [14] T. Katsura, P. S. Muhly, A. Sims and M. Tomforde, Graph algebras, Exel-Laca algebras, and ultragraph algebras coincide up to Morita equivalence, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **640** (2010), 135–165.
- [15] H. Larki, Primitive ideals and pure infiniteness of ultragraph C^* -algebras, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **56** (2019), 1–23
- [16] M. Tomforde, A unified approach to exel-laca algebras and C^* -algebras associated to graphs, *J. Operator Theory* **50** (2003), 345–368.
- [17] M. Tomforde, Simplicity of ultragraph algebras, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **52** (2003), 901–925.