

THERE ARE NO NONTRIVIAL TWO-SIDED MULTIPLICATIVE (GENERALIZED)-SKEW DERIVATIONS IN PRIME RINGS

JOSE BROX

ABSTRACT. As originally defined by Ashraf and Mozumder ([2]), multiplicative (generalized)-skew derivations must satisfy two identities. In this short note we show that, as a consequence of the simultaneous satisfaction of both identities, a multiplicative (generalized)-skew derivation of a prime ring is either a multiplicative (generalized) derivation (i.e., not skew), or a generalized skew derivation (i.e., additive). Therefore only one of the identities should be taken in the definition of multiplicative (generalized)-skew derivations in order to get a new class of derivations in prime rings.

1. INTRODUCTION

The fundamental concept of *derivation* of an associative ring R , an additive map $d : R \rightarrow R$ such that $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$, has been progressively generalized in recent literature: by a twisting by an automorphism or a secondary derivation of the ring, by dropping the additivity assumption, by combining both previous ideas, and by repeating the process on the secondary derivation when present ([5, 3, 14, 6, 8, 2]). One of the main purposes of these generalizations is to extend to more sophisticated maps the classic results on derivations in the tradition of Herstein's theory of rings ([17]), in which strong knowledge is gained about the map or the ring through some special (and a priori weaker) property of the map. The main focus is on prime and semiprime rings, or on rings with well-behaved idempotents, which provide a context

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16N60, 16W25, 16W20.

Key words and phrases. Prime rings, derivations, generalized derivations, skew derivations.

This work was partially supported by the Centre for Mathematics of the University of Coimbra - UIDB/00324/2020, funded by the Portuguese Government through FCT/MCTES. The author was supported by the Portuguese Government through the FCT grant SFRH/BPD/118665/2016.

rich enough for the theory to be satisfactorily developed. For example one tries to extend to a more general setting Posner's second theorem for derivations of prime rings ([18, Theorem 2]), which states that a prime ring R is commutative when it has a derivation $d \neq 0$ such that $xd(x) - d(x)x$ is central for every $x \in R$. These efforts have generated literature in abundance (e.g. [1, 4, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13, 15, 19]).

Definitions 1.1. Let R be a ring.

- a) A *skew derivation* ([12, page 170]) is an additive map $d : R \rightarrow R$ together with an automorphism $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$ such that $d(xy) = d(x)y + \alpha(x)d(y)$.
- b) A *multiplicative derivation* ([5]) is a map $d : R \rightarrow R$, not necessarily additive, such that $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$.
- c) We define a *multiplicative skew derivation* as a not necessarily additive map $d : R \rightarrow R$ together with an automorphism $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$ such that $d(xy) = d(x)y + \alpha(x)d(y)$.
- d) A *generalized derivation* ([3]) is an additive map $F : R \rightarrow R$ together with a derivation $d : R \rightarrow R$ such that $F(xy) = F(x)y + xd(y)$.
- e) A *generalized skew derivation* ([14]) is an additive map $F : R \rightarrow R$ together with an automorphism $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$ and a skew derivation $d : R \rightarrow R$ for α such that $F(xy) = F(x)y + \alpha(x)d(y)$.
- f) A *multiplicative generalized derivation* ([6]) is a map $F : R \rightarrow R$, not necessarily additive, together with a derivation $d : R \rightarrow R$ such that $F(xy) = F(x)y + xd(y)$.
- g) A *multiplicative (generalized) derivation* ([8]) is a map $F : R \rightarrow R$, not necessarily additive, together with a map (not necessarily additive nor a derivation) $d : R \rightarrow R$ such that $F(xy) = F(x)y + xd(y)$.

As defined in [2] (see also [16]), a *multiplicative (generalized)-skew derivation* (M(G)S derivation) is a not necessarily additive map $F : R \rightarrow R$, together with a not necessarily additive map $d : R \rightarrow R$ and an automorphism $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$, satisfying the identities

$$F(xy) = F(x)y + \alpha(x)d(y) \quad \text{(Identity 1)}$$

$$F(xy) = F(x)\alpha(y) + xd(y) \quad \text{(Identity 2)}$$

Since in this case we get two different identities in the definition, accordingly we will call these maps *two-sided M(G)S derivations*. We will say that a map is a *M(G)S derivation of type 1* (resp. *M(G)S derivation of type 2*) when it satisfies Identity 1 (resp. Identity 2).

2. MAIN THEOREM

In what follows we show that in prime rings there are no nontrivial two-sided M(G)S derivations, since each of them is either a multiplicative (generalized) derivation (i.e., not skew) or a generalized skew derivation (i.e., additive with additive derivation). Therefore, in prime rings the only interesting M(G)S derivations are either of type 1 or of type 2.

Lemma 2.1. *If R is a semiprime ring and F is a M(G)S derivation of type 1 (resp. type 2) with map $d : R \rightarrow R$ and automorphism $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$ then d is in fact a multiplicative skew derivation with α as automorphism (resp. satisfies $d(xy) = d(x)\alpha(y) + xd(y)$).*

Proof. For type 1 this is [19, Lemma 2.1], reproduced here for convenience. If $F(xy) = F(x)y + \alpha(x)d(y)$ (1) then on the one hand

$$F(xyz) = F(x)yz + \alpha(x)d(yz)$$

for all $x, y, z \in R$, while on the other

$$F(xyz) = F(xy)z + \alpha(xy)d(z) \stackrel{(1)}{=} F(x)yz + \alpha(x)d(y)z + \alpha(x)\alpha(y)d(z),$$

hence $\alpha(x)d(yz) = \alpha(x)d(y)z + \alpha(x)\alpha(y)d(z)$ for all $x, y, z \in R$, and

$$\alpha(x)(d(yz) - d(y)z - \alpha(y)d(z)) = 0.$$

Since α is surjective we get $R(d(yz) - d(y)z - \alpha(y)d(z)) = 0$, so by semiprimeness $d(yz) = d(y)z + \alpha(y)d(z)$ for all $y, z \in R$. For type 2 the proof is analogous. \square

Theorem 2.2. *Let R be a prime ring and F be a two-sided M(G)S derivation with map $d : R \rightarrow R$ and automorphism $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$. Then*

- i) $\alpha = \text{id}_R$, so F is a multiplicative (generalized) derivation, or
- ii) F and d are additive, so F is a generalized skew derivation.

Proof. From Identities 1 and 2, $F(x)y + \alpha(x)d(y) = F(xy) = F(x)\alpha(y) + xd(y)$ for every $x, y \in R$, so

$$F(x)(y - \alpha(y)) = (x - \alpha(x))d(y).$$

Since the expression $(x - \alpha(x))d(y)$ is linear in x for each y , so is $F(x)(y - \alpha(y))$. Hence

$$F(x + y)(z - \alpha(z)) = F(x)(z - \alpha(z)) + F(y)(z - \alpha(z)).$$

So $(F(x + y) - F(x) - F(y))(z - \alpha(z)) = 0$ for every $x, y, z \in R$. Put $G(x, y) := F(x + y) - F(x) - F(y)$. We have, for every $x, y, z \in R$,

$$G(x, y)z = G(x, y)\alpha(z). \tag{1}$$

Therefore, for every $w \in R$,

$$G(x, y)wz \stackrel{(1)}{=} G(x, y)\alpha(wz) = (G(x, y)\alpha(w))\alpha(z) \stackrel{(1)}{=} (G(x, y)w)\alpha(z),$$

hence $G(x, y)w(z - \alpha(z)) = 0$ for every $x, y, z, w \in R$. Since R is prime, either $\alpha(z) = z$ for every $z \in R$ or $G(x, y) = 0$ for every $x, y \in R$. In the first case $\alpha = \text{id}_R$ and F is a multiplicative (generalized) derivation. In the second case we get $\alpha \neq \text{id}_R$ and $F(x + y) = F(x) + F(y)$ for every $x, y \in R$, so F is additive. Now, by Lemma 2.1 above d is another M(G)S derivation associated to $\alpha \neq \text{id}_R$, so analogously d is additive, whence it is a skew derivation and F is a generalized skew derivation. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Ali, A., Muthana N., Bano A. (2018). Multiplicative (generalized)-derivations and left multipliers in semiprime rings. *Palest. J. Math.* 7, Special Issue I:170–178.
- [2] Ashraf, M., Mozumder, M.R. (2015). On multiplicative (generalized)-skew derivations over semiprime rings. *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Pol. Torino* 73/2(3-4):261–268.
- [3] Brešar, M. (1991). On the distance of the composition of two derivations to the generalized derivations. *Glasgow Math. J.* 33:89–93.
- [4] Chang, J.-C. (2010). Generalized skew derivations with nilpotent values on Lie ideals. *Monatsh. Math.* 161(2):155–160.
- [5] Daif, M.N. (1991). When is a multiplicative derivation additive? *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 14(3):615–618.

- [6] Daif, M.N., Tammam El-Sayiad, M.S. (2007). Multiplicative generalized derivations which are additive. *East-West J. Math.* 9(1):31–37.
- [7] De Filippis, V., Rehman, N., Raza, M.A. (2018). Strong commutativity preserving skew derivations in semiprime rings. *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* 41(4):1819–1834.
- [8] Dhara, B., Ali, S. (2013). On multiplicative (generalized)-derivations in prime and semiprime rings. *Aequationes Math.* 86(12):65–79.
- [9] Dhara, B., Pradhan, K.G. (2016). A note on multiplicative (generalized) derivations with annihilator conditions. *Georgian Math. J.* 23(2):191–198.
- [10] Huang, S. (2018). Commuting multiplicative generalized derivations on Lie ideals of semiprime rings. *Gulf J. Math.* 6(3):25–32.
- [11] Hvala, B. (1998). Generalized derivations in rings. *Comm. Algebra* 26(4):1147–1166.
- [12] Jacobson, N. (1964). *Structure of Rings*. Providence: American Mathematical Society. Revised edition.
- [13] Koç, E., Gölbaşı, Ö. (2018). Some results on ideals of semiprime rings with multiplicative generalized derivations. *Comm. Algebra* 46(11):4905–4913.
- [14] Lee, T.K. (2004). Generalized skew derivations characterized by acting on zero products. *Pacific J. Math.* 216(2):293–301.
- [15] Lee, T.K., Shiue, W.-K. (2001). Identities with generalized derivations. *Comm. Algebra* 29(10):4437–4450.
- [16] Mozumder, M.R., Dhara, B. (2016). Multiplicative (generalized)-skew derivations on semiprime rings. In: *International conference on semigroups, algebras and applications*.
- [17] Martindale III, W.S., Miers, C.R. (1986). Herstein’s Lie theory revisited. *J. Algebra* 98(1):14–37.
- [18] Posner, E.C. (1957). Derivations in prime rings. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 8:1093–1100.
- [19] Rehman, N., Khan, M.S. (2018). A note on multiplicative (generalized)-skew derivation on semiprime rings. *Journal of Taibah University for Science* 12(4):450–454.

UNIVERSITY OF COIMBRA, CMUC, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 3004-504 COIMBRA, PORTUGAL
E-mail address: josebrox@mat.uc.pt