

The higher Riemann-Hilbert correspondence and principal 2-bundles

Camilo Arias Abad* and Sebastián Vélez Vásquez†

July 17, 2020

Abstract

In this note we show that the holonomies for higher local systems provided by the higher Riemann-Hilbert correspondence coincide with those associated to principal 2-bundles where both formalisms meet.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Principal 2-bundles and parallel transport	3
3	Higher local systems	11
4	A comparison result	14

1 Introduction

The simplest version of the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence states that representations of the fundamental groupoid $\pi_1(M)$ of a manifold correspond naturally to flat vector bundles on M . This is an instance of Lie theory, the correspondence between representations of the groupoid $\pi_1(M)$, and those of its Lie algebroid TM . These structures are known as local systems, since they can be used as coefficients for cohomology. By fixing a base point $* \in M$, one can identify the representations of $\pi_1(M)$ with those of $\pi_1(M, *) \simeq \pi_0(\mathbf{\Omega}(M))$, the group of connected components of the based loop space of $\mathbf{\Omega}(M)$. There are natural identifications:

$$\mathrm{Rep}(\pi_1(M, *)) \simeq \mathrm{Rep}(\pi_0(\mathbf{\Omega}(M))) \simeq H_0(\mathbf{\Omega}(M))\text{-Mod.}$$

Therefore, one can equivalently think of local systems as modules over the first homology of the based loop space.

The theory of higher local systems is what arises when one replaces the fundamental groupoid π_1 by the infinity groupoid π_∞ . Each of the characterizations of local systems given above admit a natural *higher dimensional* generalization:

*Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Medellín. email: camiloariasabad@gmail.com

†Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Medellín. email: svelezv@unal.edu.co

- (A) Flat vector bundles are replaced by flat superconnections.
- (B) Representations of π_1 are replaced by representations of the infinity groupoid π_∞ .
- (C) Modules over $H_0(\Omega(M))$ are replaced by modules over the algebra of singular chains on the based loop space $C(\Omega(M))$.

Each of these notions can be organized as differential graded categories $\text{Loc}_\infty(M)$, $\text{Rep}(\pi_\infty(M))$ and $C(\Omega(M))\text{-Mod}$. It turns out that the corresponding notions of higher local system are equivalent.

Theorem. The differential graded categories $\text{Loc}_\infty(M)$, $\text{Rep}(\pi_\infty(M))$ and $C(\Omega(M))\text{-Mod}$ are A_∞ -equivalent.

The theorem above is the conjunction of several works, including [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6]. The equivalence between $\text{Loc}(X)$ and $\text{Rep}(\pi_\infty(M))$, proved by Block and Smith in [1], is known as the higher Riemann-Hilbert correspondence. This theorem amounts to constructing higher dimensional versions of holonomies for superconnections. This problem turns out to be related to de Rham's theorem. The natural integration map:

$$\psi : \Omega(M) \rightarrow C^\bullet(M), \quad \varphi(\eta)(\sigma) := \int_{\Delta} \sigma^*(\eta),$$

induces an isomorphism of algebras in cohomology, even though it is not an algebra map. A more satisfying explanation of this situation was provided by Gugenheim in [7], who proved the following.

Theorem. The map ψ can be naturally extended to an A_∞ equivalence $\bar{\psi} : \Omega(M) \rightarrow C^\bullet(M)$.

Gugenheim's A_∞ version of de Rham's theorem is proven by an explicit construction based on Chen's iterated integrals [8]. The holonomies required by the higher Riemann Hilbert correspondence can be constructed by pushing forward Maurer-Cartan elements along Gugenheim's A_∞ map. This ultimately provides explicit formulas for higher holonomies in terms of Chen's iterated integrals.

Ordinary gauge theory studies principal G -bundles, connections and holonomies. In higher gauge theory, groups are replaced by 2-groups, principal bundles by principal 2-bundles, and there are holonomies associated to two dimensional surfaces. There is a large literature on higher gauge theory, see for instance [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15], [16] and references therein. Both the formalism of higher local systems and that of principal 2-bundles deal with generalized, higher dimensional holonomies. We note that neither is more general than the other. On the one hand, the theory of higher local systems produces holonomies for simplices of all dimensions. On the other hand, the formalism of higher gauge theory allows for more general 2-groups.

The purpose of this note is to compare these two formalisms where they meet. We show that higher local systems provide examples of principal 2-bundles and the corresponding constructions for holonomies coincide. Our main result, which is a global version of [17], is as follows.

Theorem A. The map that associates a principal 2-bundle with connection to a higher local system is compatible with the construction of holonomies. More precisely, the following diagram

commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{Loc}_\infty^{(E,\partial)}(M) & \xrightarrow{K} & 2\text{-}\mathcal{B}un_{\mathcal{F}(E,\partial)}^f(M) \\
\mathcal{T} \downarrow & & \downarrow T \\
\mathrm{Rep}_{\leq 2}(M, (E, \partial)) & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & \mathrm{Rep}_{\leq 2}(M, \mathcal{F}(E, \partial))
\end{array}$$

Acknowledgements. We would like to acknowledge the support of Colciencias through their grant *Estructuras lineales en topología y geometría*, with contract number FP44842-013-2018. We also thank the Alexander von Humboldt foundation which supported our work through the Humboldt Institutspartnerschaftet *Representations of Gerbes and higher holonomies*. We thank Santiago Pineda for several conversations at early stages of this work, and Konrad Waldorf for his hospitality during our visits to Greifswald. This note was prepared for the proceedings of the meeting *Geometry in Algebra and Algebra in Geometry*, held in Medellín in 2019. We would like to thank the organizers for the good time we had, and for the invitation to speak.

2 Principal 2-bundles and parallel transport

In this subsection we review the formalism of connections on principal 2-bundles and the corresponding notions of connections and parallel transport. This presentation follows closely the work of Waldorf in [14] and [15].

Definition 2.1. *A strict Lie 2-group is a small 2-category with a single object in which the set of 1-morphisms and the set of 2-morphisms are Lie groups, and all structure maps are Lie group homomorphisms.*

Strict 2-groups can be conveniently described in terms of crossed modules.

Definition 2.2. *A Lie Crossed Module Γ is a tuple $\Gamma = (H, G, \tau, \alpha)$, where H, G are Lie groups, $\tau : H \rightarrow G$ is a Lie group homomorphism and $\alpha : G \times H \rightarrow H$ is an action such that $\tau(\alpha(g, h)) = g\tau(h)g^{-1}$ and $\alpha(\tau(h), h') = hh'h^{-1}$, for all $g \in G$ and $h, h' \in H$.*

There is natural correspondence between Lie crossed modules and strict 2-groups. Given a Lie crossed module $\Gamma = (H, G, \tau, \alpha)$, there is a Lie 2-group $\bar{\Gamma}$ which has G as the space of 1-morphisms and $H \rtimes G$ as the space of 2-morphisms. The source and target maps on $\bar{\Gamma}$ are given by $s(h, g) = g$ and $t(h, g) = \tau(h)g$, and the composition by the product on G . The correspondence $\Gamma \mapsto \bar{\Gamma}$ is bijective and we will abuse the notation and simply write Γ for both the Lie crossed module and the corresponding 2-group.

Definition 2.3. *A differential Lie crossed module γ is a tuple $\gamma = (\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}, t, a)$ where \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{g} are Lie algebras, $t : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism and $a : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathrm{Der}(\mathfrak{h})$ is an action by derivations such that $a(t(Y_1)(Y_2)) = [Y_1, Y_2]$ and $t((aX)(Y)) = [X, t(Y)]$ for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $Y_1, Y_2, Y \in \mathfrak{h}$.*

Clearly, by differentiating a Lie crossed module one obtains a differential Lie crossed module.

Let us now briefly recall some definitions regarding Lie groupoids and anafunctors between them. A right action of a Lie groupoid \mathcal{X} on a smooth manifold M is an anchor map $\alpha : M \rightarrow \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{X})$ together with an action map $\circ : M \times_{\alpha} \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow M$ that satisfy the following conditions

$$(x \circ f) \circ g = x \circ (f \circ g), \quad x \circ \mathrm{id}_{\alpha(x)} = x, \quad \alpha(x \circ g) = \alpha(x), \quad x \in M, \quad f, g \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{X}).$$

A left action is a right action of the opposite Lie groupoid. A principal \mathcal{X} -bundle over a manifold M is another manifold P with a surjective submersion $\pi : P \rightarrow M$ and a right action of \mathcal{X} on P that preserves the projection and such that the map $P_{\alpha \times t} \text{Mor}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow P \times_M P$ given by $(p, f) \mapsto (p, p \circ f)$ is a diffeomorphism. Given Lie groupoids \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} , an anafunctor $F : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a smooth manifold F called the total space, with a left action of \mathcal{X} and a right action of \mathcal{Y} such that the actions commute and the left anchor $\alpha_l : F \rightarrow \text{Obj}(\mathcal{X})$ with the right action of \mathcal{Y} is a principal \mathcal{Y} -bundle. Given anafunctors $F, F' : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, a transformation $f : F \Rightarrow F'$ is a smooth function $f : F \rightarrow F'$ that is \mathcal{X} -equivariant, \mathcal{Y} -equivariant and preserves the anchor maps.

Remark 2.4. Any smooth functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ may be used to define an anafunctor $F : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ with total space $F := \text{Obj}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathcal{F} \times t} \text{Mor}(\mathcal{Y})$, anchors $\alpha_l(x, f) := x$ and $\alpha_r(x, f) := s(f)$ and actions $g \circ (x, f) := (t(g), \mathcal{F}g \circ f)$ and $(x, f) \circ g := (x, f \circ g)$. Thus any smooth functor may be considered an anafunctor. Similarly, a smooth natural transformation $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}'$ induces a transformation between the respective anafunctors given by $f_{\mathcal{T}}(x, g) := (x, \mathcal{T}(x) \circ g)$.

Definition 2.5. A right action of a Lie 2-group Γ on a groupoid \mathcal{X} is a functor $R : \mathcal{X} \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ such that $R(p, 1) = p$ and $R(\rho, \text{id}_1) = \rho$, for all $p \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{X})$ and $\rho \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{X})$. Furthermore, if $m : \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ is the multiplication functor, then the following diagram must be commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X} \times \Gamma \times \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\mathcal{X}} \times m} & \mathcal{X} \times \Gamma \\ R \times \text{id}_{\Gamma} \downarrow & & \downarrow R \\ \mathcal{X} \times \Gamma & \xrightarrow{R} & \mathcal{X} \end{array}$$

If \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are groupoids with smooth right Γ -actions, a Γ -equivariant anafunctor $F : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is an anafunctor with a smooth action $\rho : F \times \text{Mor}(\Gamma) \rightarrow F$ that preserves the anchors, i.e. makes the following diagrams commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F \times \text{Mor}(\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & F & F \times \text{Mor}(\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & F \\ \alpha_l \times t \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_l & \alpha_r \times s \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_r \\ \text{Obj}(\mathcal{X}) \times \text{Obj}(\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{R} & \text{Obj}(\mathcal{X}) & \text{Obj}(\mathcal{Y}) \times \text{Obj}(\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{R} & \text{Obj}(\mathcal{Y}) \end{array}$$

Furthermore, ρ must be compatible with the actions of \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} , which means that

$$\rho(\chi \circ f \circ \eta, \gamma_l \circ \gamma \circ \gamma_r) = R(\chi, \gamma_l) \circ \rho(f, \gamma) \circ R(\eta, \gamma_r),$$

for $\chi \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{X})$, $\eta \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{Y})$, $f \in F$ and $\gamma_l, \gamma, \gamma_r \in \text{Mor}(\Gamma)$.

If $F, F' : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ are Γ -equivariant anafunctors, then a transformation $f : F \Rightarrow F'$ is called Γ -equivariant if the smooth map $f : F \rightarrow F'$ is $\text{Mor}(\Gamma)$ -equivariant.

Given a smooth manifold M we denote by M_{dis} the trivial groupoid where the objects are the points of M and the only morphisms are identities.

Definition 2.6. A Principal Γ -2-Bundle over M is a groupoid \mathcal{P} together with a right action $R : \mathcal{P} \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ and a functor $\pi : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow M_{dis}$ that is a surjective submersion at the level of objects. The action must preserve the functor π , and the functor

$$(pr_1, R) : \mathcal{P} \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \times_M \mathcal{P}$$

must be a weak equivalence.

Principal Γ -2-bundles over a fixed manifold M can be given the structure of a 2-category and, as such, can be classified by non-abelian cohomology. We will focus on an aspect of this classification which is how a principal Γ -2-bundle can be constructed from local data given by cocycles.

Definition 2.7. Let $\Gamma = \{H, G, \tau, \alpha\}$ be a Lie crossed module and $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ an open covering of a manifold M . A Γ -cocycle on the covering is comprised of the following data:

- 1) For each pair $i, j \in I$ a smooth map $g_{ij} : U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow G$.
- 2) for each triple $i, j, k \in I$ a smooth map $a_{ijk} : U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k \rightarrow H$.

The cocycle conditions for the maps are the following:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ik} &= (\tau \circ a_{ijk})g_{ij}g_{jk}, & \text{on } U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k \\ a_{ijl}a_{jkl} &= a_{ikl}\alpha(g_{kl}, a_{ijk}), & \text{on } U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k \cap U_l \end{aligned}$$

We denote a Γ -cocycle by (g, a) .

Lemma 2.8. Given a Γ -cocycle defined on an open covering of M , there is an associated principal Γ -2-bundle over M .

Proof. Let (g, a) be a Γ -cocycle defined on the open covering $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$. We define a Lie groupoid \mathcal{P} as follows

$$\text{Obj}(\mathcal{P}) := \bigsqcup_{i \in I} U_i \times G, \quad \text{Mor}(\mathcal{P}) := \bigsqcup_{i, j \in I} (U_i \cap U_j) \times H \times G.$$

Source and target maps are

$$s(i, j, x, h, g) := (j, x, g), \quad t(i, j, x, h, g) := (i, x, g_{ij}(x)^{-1}\tau(h)g).$$

The composition of morphisms is given by

$$(i, j, x, h_2, g_2) \circ (j, k, x, h_1, g_1) := (i, k, x, a_{ijk}(x)\alpha(g_{jk}(x), h_2)h_1, g_1).$$

The projection $\pi : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow M_{dis}$ is $\pi(i, x, g) := x$. The action is defined by

$$R((i, x, g), g') := (i, x, gg'), \quad R((i, j, x, h, g), (h', g')) := (i, j, x, h\alpha(g, h'), gg').$$

It is straight forward to check that $(\text{pr}_1, R) : \mathcal{P} \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \times_M \mathcal{P}$ is a weak equivalence. \square

As in the classical setting, a connection on a principal Γ -2-bundle is defined in terms of a differential form. If Γ is a Lie 2-group with differential Lie crossed module γ , then for any Lie groupoid \mathcal{P} there is a differential graded Lie algebra $\Omega(\mathcal{P}, \gamma)$. The details of the definition and properties of $\Omega(\mathcal{P}, \gamma)$ can be found in [14]. We will simply state that a differential form $\psi \in \Omega^k(\mathcal{P}, \gamma)$ has three components $\psi = (\psi^a, \psi^b, \psi^c)$ with

$$\psi^a \in \Omega^k(\text{Obj}(\mathcal{P}), \mathfrak{g}), \quad \psi^b \in \Omega^k(\text{Mor}(\mathcal{P}), \mathfrak{h}), \quad \psi^c \in \Omega^{k+1}(\text{Obj}(\mathcal{P}), \mathfrak{h}).$$

Example 2.9. Given a Lie 2-group $\Gamma = (H, G, \tau, \alpha)$ with differential Lie crossed module $\gamma = (\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}, t, a)$, there is a canonical Maurer-Cartan form $\Theta \in \Omega^1(\Gamma, \gamma)$. The form has two non-trivial components $\Theta^a \in \Omega^1(G, \mathfrak{g})$ and $\Theta^b \in \Omega^1(H \times G, \mathfrak{h})$ given by

$$\Theta^a := \theta^G \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta^b := (\alpha_{\text{pr}_G^{-1}})_*(\text{pr}_H^* \theta^H),$$

where θ^G and θ^H are the Maurer-Cartan forms of G and H respectively. The form Θ satisfies the Maurer-Cartan equation.

Definition 2.10. Let $F : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \Gamma$ be a smooth functor. Write $F_0 : \text{Obj}(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow G$ for the map at the level of objects, $F_G : \text{Mor}(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow G$ and $F_H : \text{Mor}(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow H$ for the projections onto G and H respectively of the map at the level of morphisms. There is a linear map $Ad_F : \Omega^k(\mathcal{P}, \gamma) \rightarrow \Omega^k(\mathcal{P}, \gamma)$ called the adjoint action defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Ad_F(\psi)^a &:= Ad_{F_0}(\psi^a) \\ Ad_F(\psi)^b &:= Ad_{F_H}((\alpha_{F_G})_*(\psi^b) + (\tilde{\alpha}_{F_H^{-1}})_*(Ad_{F_G}(s^*\psi^a))) \\ Ad_F(\psi)^c &:= (\alpha_{F_0})_*(\psi^c) \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.11. If \mathcal{P} is a principal Γ -2-bundle, then a connection on \mathcal{P} is a 1-form $\Omega \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{P}, \gamma)$ such that the following equation holds over $\mathcal{P} \times \Gamma$:

$$R^*\Omega = Ad_{pr_\Gamma}^{-1}(pr_{\mathcal{P}}^*\Omega) + pr_\Gamma^*\Theta.$$

Unraveling this definition we find that a connection is a triple of ordinary differential forms $\Omega = (\Omega^a, \Omega^b, \Omega^c)$ with $\Omega^a \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{P}_0, \mathfrak{g})$, $\Omega^b \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{P}_1, \mathfrak{h})$ and $\Omega^c \in \Omega^2(\mathcal{P}_0, \mathfrak{h})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} R^*\Omega^a &= Ad_g^{-1}(p^*\Omega^a) + g^*\Theta && \text{over } \text{Obj}(\mathcal{P}) \times \text{Obj}(\Gamma) \\ R^*\Omega^b &= (\alpha_{g^{-1}})_*(Ad_h^{-1}(p^*\Omega^b) + (\tilde{\alpha}_h)_*(p^*s^*\Omega^a) + h^*\Theta) && \text{over } \text{Mor}(\mathcal{P}) \times \text{Mor}(\Gamma) \\ R^*\Omega^c &= (\alpha_{g^{-1}})_*(p^*\Omega^c) && \text{over } \text{Obj}(\mathcal{P}) \times \text{Obj}(\Gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Here p, g and h are the projections to either $\text{Obj}(\mathcal{P})$ or $\text{Mor}(\mathcal{P})$, G and H , respectively.

The curvature of a connection is $curv(\Omega) = D\Omega + \frac{1}{2}[\Omega \wedge \Omega] \in \Omega^2(\mathcal{P}, \gamma)$. The connection is called flat if $curv(\Omega) = 0$.

Principal Γ -2-bundles over M with flat connection fit into a 2-category which we denote $2\text{-Bun}_\Gamma^f(M)$. The details of the bicategory structure can be found in [14]. Furthermore, if we fix a principal Γ -2-bundle \mathcal{P} , the category of flat connections defined over \mathcal{P} will be denoted $2\text{-Bun}_\mathcal{P}^f(M)$. The classification of principal Γ -2-bundles over M by non-abelian cohomology may be refined to classify bundles with flat connection. First we define a differential Γ -cocycle, which is a Γ -cocycle with the local data required to build a connection.

Definition 2.12. Let $\Gamma = (H, G, \tau, \alpha)$ be a Lie crossed module and $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ an open covering of a manifold M . A differential Γ -cocycle on the covering is comprised of the following data:

- 1) A Γ -cocycle (g, a) .
- 2) On every open set U_i a couple of forms (A_i, B_i) where $A_i \in \Omega^1(U_i, \mathfrak{g})$ and $B_i \in \Omega^2(U_i, \mathfrak{h})$.
- 3) For each pair $i, j \in I$, a form $\varphi_{ij} \in \Omega^1(U_i \cap U_j, \mathfrak{h})$.

The forms must satisfy the following conditions:

$$A_j + \tau_*(\varphi_{ij}) = Ad_{g_{ij}}(A_i) - g_{ij}^*\bar{\theta} \quad \text{on } U_i \cap U_j \quad (1)$$

$$(\alpha_{g_{ij}})_*(B_i) = B_j + d\varphi_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}[\varphi_{ij} \wedge \varphi_{ij}] + \alpha_*(A_j \wedge \varphi_{ij}) \quad \text{on } U_i \cap U_j \quad (2)$$

$$\varphi_{jk} + (\alpha_{g_{jk}})_*(\varphi_{ij}) - a_{ijk}^*\theta = Ad_{a_{ijk}}^{-1}(\varphi_{ik}) + (\tilde{\alpha}_{a_{ijk}})_*(A_k) \quad \text{on } U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k \quad (3)$$

A pair (A_i, B_i) satisfying the conditions stated above is called a Γ -connection. We denote differential Γ -cocycles by (g, a, A, B, φ) . A differential Γ -cocycle has two curvatures associated to it. The “3-curvature” is

$$\text{curv}(A_i, B_i) := dB_i + \alpha_*(A_i)(B_i) \in \Omega^3(U_i, \mathfrak{h}). \quad (4)$$

The “fake curvature” is

$$\text{fcurv}(A_i, B_i) := dA_i + \frac{1}{2}[A_i, A_i] - \tau_*(B_i) \in \Omega^2(U_i, \mathfrak{g}). \quad (5)$$

A Γ -cocycle is called flat if both curvatures vanish.

A flat differential Γ -cocycle encodes the necessary local data to construct a principal Γ -2-bundle with flat connection, that is the content of the following lemma:

Lemma 2.13. *Given a differential Γ -cocycle defined on an open covering of M , there is an associated principal Γ -2-bundle with a flat connection over M .*

Proof. Let \mathcal{P} be the bundle obtained from lemma 2.8. We define a connection on \mathcal{P} as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^a|_{U_i \times G} &:= \text{Ad}_{\text{pr}_G}^{-1}(A_i) + \text{pr}_G^* \theta \\ \Omega^b|_{(U_i \cap U_j) \times H \times G} &:= (\alpha_{\text{pr}_G^{-1}})_*(\text{Ad}_{\text{pr}_H}^{-1}(\varphi_{ij}) + (\tilde{\alpha}_{\text{pr}_H})_*(A_j) + \text{pr}_H^* \theta) \\ \Omega^c|_{U_i \times G} &:= -(\alpha_{\text{pr}_G^{-1}})_*(B_i). \end{aligned}$$

The forms defined above meet the criteria required of a flat connection on \mathcal{P} . \square

A flat connection on a principal 2-bundle over M can be used to define holonomies along paths and surfaces on M . The holonomies and their properties are better understood as a representation of the fundamental 2-groupoid of M . Let us fix our conventions regarding simplices.

Definition 2.14. *The simplex of dimension k for $k > 0$ is the set*

$$\Delta_k = \{(t_1, \dots, t_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k \mid 1 \geq t_1 \geq \dots \geq t_k \geq 0\}.$$

The zero-simplex Δ_0 is the single-point space.


The k -simplex Δ_k has $k+1$ vertices which we denote v_0, \dots, v_k . It is straight forward to check that $v_i = (1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ where the number of ones is equal to i . The edges of the simplex are the line segments connecting two different vertices, they will be denoted $[v_i, v_j]$ for $i \neq j$.

Definition 2.15. *The fundamental 2-groupoid of M , denoted $\pi_{\leq 2}(M)$, is a bicategory comprised of the sets $X_k = \text{Hom}_{PS}(\Delta_k, M)$ for $k \leq 2$ where PS stands for piecewise smooth. The bicategory structure is as follows:*

- The objects of $\pi_{\leq 2}(M)$ are the points of M , so $\text{Obj}(\pi_{\leq 2}(M)) = \text{Hom}_{PS}(\Delta_0, M)$.
- A 1-morphism between the points $x, y \in M$ is a path connecting x to y , therefore $1 - \text{Mor}(\pi_{\leq 2}(M)) = \text{Hom}_{PS}(\Delta_1, M)$. The composition of morphisms is the usual composition of paths.

- The 2-morphisms of $\pi_{\leq 2}(M)$ are fixed ends homotopies between paths modulo higher homotopies. A map $\sigma : \Delta_2 \rightarrow M$ is a fixed ends homotopy between the paths $\sigma([v_0, v_2])$ and $\sigma([v_0, v_1] * [v_1, v_2])$, thus $2 - \text{Mor}(\pi_{\leq 2}(M)) = \text{Hom}_{PS}(\Delta_2, M)$ with the usual vertical and horizontal compositions of homotopies.

Remark 2.16. To elaborate on how a mapping $\sigma : \Delta_2 \rightarrow M$ may be considered a homotopy, consider the maps $q : I^2 \rightarrow \Delta_2$ defined by $q(t, s) = (\max\{t, s\}, s)$, and $\lambda : I^2 \rightarrow I^2$ determined by the property that $\lambda(-, s)$ is the piecewise linear path running through the points $(s, 1) \rightarrow (s, 0) \rightarrow (0, 0)$ and arrives at $(s, 0)$ at time $t = 1/2$. Then we denote the composition $q \circ \lambda$ by Θ_2 . The map Θ_2 sends horizontal segments on I^2 into piecewise linear paths on Δ_2 .



Therefore, for a 2-simplex $\sigma : \Delta_2 \rightarrow M$, the composition $\sigma \circ \Theta_2 : I^2 \rightarrow M$ is a homotopy with fixed ends between the paths $\sigma([v_0, v_2])$ and $\sigma([v_0, v_1] * [v_1, v_2])$.

Definition 2.17. Let Γ be a Lie 2-group and \mathcal{P} a principal Γ -2-bundle over M . The Γ -torsor category of \mathcal{P} is the 2-category $\Gamma\text{-Tor}(\mathcal{P})$ such that

- The objects of $\Gamma\text{-Tor}(\mathcal{P})$ are the fibers \mathcal{P}_x for $x \in M$. These are called Γ -torsors.
- The 1-morphisms are Γ -equivariantanafunctors $\mathcal{P}_x \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}_y$.
- The 2-morphisms are Γ -equivariant transformations between anafunctors.

A 2-group representation of $\pi_{\leq 2}(M)$ on \mathcal{P} is a 2-functor $\mathcal{S} : \pi_{\leq 2}(M) \rightarrow \Gamma\text{-Tor}(\mathcal{P})$. The representations of $\pi_{\leq 2}(M)$ on \mathcal{P} will be denoted $\text{Rep}_{\leq 2}(M, \mathcal{P})$.

We will now summarize the construction, which appeared in [15], that assigns a representation of the fundamental 2-groupoid to a flat connection on a principal 2-bundle over M . We begin by defining the 2-group representation locally.

From lemma 2.13 we know that a principal Γ -2-bundle with flat connection is locally determined by a differential Γ -cocycle. So let us consider a 2 group $\Gamma = (H, G, \tau, \alpha)$ with differential Lie crossed module $\gamma = (\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}, \tau_*, \alpha_*)$, and the principal Γ -2-bundle $\mathcal{P} = M_{dis} \times \Gamma$. Let (A, B) be a flat Γ -connection over M , this is $A \in \Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{g})$, $B \in \Omega^2(M, \mathfrak{h})$ are forms such that the curvatures (4) and (5) vanish. A representation of $\pi_{\leq 2}(M)$ in Γ is defined as follows

- Let $\gamma : \Delta_1 \rightarrow M$ be a piecewise smooth path. Let $g_\gamma : \Delta_1 \rightarrow G$ be the path that satisfies the initial condition $g_\gamma(0) = \text{id}_G$ and the differential equation

$$\frac{dg_\gamma(t)}{dt} = - (R_{g_\gamma(t)})_* A \left(\frac{d\gamma}{dt} \right) \quad (6)$$

where $(R_g)_*$ is the differential at $\text{id}_G \in G$ of the map given by right multiplication with g . We define the smooth functor $\text{Hol}_\gamma : \mathcal{P}_{\gamma(0)} \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\gamma(1)}$ at the level of objects by $\text{Hol}_\gamma(\gamma(0), g) =$

$(\gamma(1), g_\gamma(1)g)$, which is clearly a G -equivariant map. If $(\text{id}_{\gamma(0)}, h, g) : (\gamma(0), g) \rightarrow (\gamma(0), \tau(h)g)$ is a morphism, we define $\text{Hol}_\gamma(\text{id}_{\gamma(0)}, h, g) = (\gamma(1), \alpha(g_\gamma(1), h), g_\gamma(1)g)$. This is indeed a morphism $g_\gamma(1)g \rightarrow g_\gamma(1)\tau(h)g$ since

$$\tau(\alpha(g_\gamma(1), h))g_\gamma(1)g = g_\gamma(1)\tau(h)g_\gamma(1)^{-1}g_\gamma(1)g = g_\gamma(1)\tau(h)g.$$

Thus Hol_γ is a Γ -equivariant functor.

- Let $\sigma : \Delta_2 \rightarrow M$ be a 2-simplex and $\Sigma := \sigma \circ \Theta_2 : I^2 \rightarrow M$. Denote by γ_0 and γ_1 the paths corresponding to $\sigma([v_0, v_1] * [v_1, v_2])$ and $\sigma([v_0, v_2])$ respectively. We write $\Sigma_s(t) = \Sigma(s, t)$, note that $\Sigma_0 = \gamma_0$ and $\Sigma_1 = \gamma_1$. The holonomy Hol_σ is a Γ -equivariant transformation $\text{Hol}_\sigma : \text{Hol}_{\gamma_0} \Rightarrow \text{Hol}_{\gamma_1}$ defined as follows: let $h_\sigma : [0, 1] \rightarrow H$ be the path that satisfies the initial condition $h_\sigma(0) = \text{id}_H$ and the differential equation

$$\frac{dh(s)}{ds} = (L_{h(s)})_* \left(\int_0^1 \alpha(g_{\Sigma_s(t)^{-1}})_* \left(B \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Sigma_s(t), \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \Sigma_s(t) \right) \right) dt \right), \quad (7)$$

where $(L_h)_*$ is the differential at $\text{id}_H \in H$ of the map given by left multiplication with h . The transformation is defined by $\text{Hol}_\sigma(\sigma(v_0), g) = (\sigma(v_2), h_\sigma(1), g_{\gamma_0}g) : (\sigma(v_2), g_{\gamma_0}g) \rightarrow (\sigma(v_2), g_{\gamma_1}g)$.

For the global case, we consider a principal Γ -2-bundle \mathcal{P} over M with flat connection Ω . For each path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ and each 2-simplex $\sigma : \gamma \Rightarrow \gamma'$ in M , we define an anafunctor $\text{Hol}_\gamma : \mathcal{P}_{\gamma(0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\gamma(1)}$ and a Γ -equivariant transformation $\text{Hol}_\sigma : \text{Hol}_\gamma \Rightarrow \text{Hol}_{\gamma'}$ respectively.

For paths $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ the idea is to define the set $\text{Hol}_\gamma(\lambda)$ with respect to a fixed subdivision λ of $[0, 1]$, then a smooth manifold Hol_γ is constructed by taking a direct limit over the possible subdivisions λ .

Definition 2.18. Let $\pi : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow M_{\text{dis}}$ be a principal Γ -bundle with a connection $\Omega = (\Omega^a, \Omega^b, \Omega^c)$.

- A path $\beta : [a, b] \rightarrow \text{Obj}(\mathcal{P})$ is horizontal, if $\Omega^a(\beta'(t)) = 0$ for all $t \in [a, b]$.
- A path $\rho : [a, b] \rightarrow \text{Mor}(\mathcal{P})$ is horizontal, if $\Omega^b(\rho'(t)) = 0$ for all $t \in [a, b]$.

Definition 2.19. For $0 < n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $T_n := \{(t_i)_{i=0}^n \mid 0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = 1\}$ be the set of possible n -fold subdivisions of the interval $[0, 1]$. For $\lambda \in T_n$ we define the set

$$\text{Hol}_\gamma(\lambda) := \{(\{\rho_i\}_{i=0}^n, \{\gamma_i\}_{i=1}^n) \mid \rho_i \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{P}), \gamma_i : [t_{i-1}, t_i] \rightarrow \text{Obj}(\mathcal{P}) \text{ are horizontal paths, } \pi \circ \gamma_i = \gamma|_{[t_{i-1}, t_i]}, t(\rho_i) = \gamma_{i+1}(t_i) \text{ and } s(\rho_i) = \gamma_i(t_i)\} / \sim.$$

We think about the elements of $\text{Hol}_\gamma(\lambda)$ as formal compositions of paths in $\text{Obj}(\mathcal{P})$ and morphisms in $\text{Mor}(\mathcal{P})$, using the notation $\xi = \rho_0 * \gamma_1 * \rho_1 * \dots * \gamma_n * \rho_n$ for a representative ξ of an element in $\text{Hol}_\gamma(\lambda)$. The relation \sim is the relation generated by $\{\sim_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq n}$ where

$$\rho_0 * \gamma_1 * \dots * \gamma_n * \rho_n \sim_j \rho'_0 * \gamma'_1 * \dots * \gamma'_n * \rho'_n$$

if there exist a horizontal path $\tilde{\rho} : [t_{j-1}, t_j] \rightarrow \text{Mor}(\mathcal{P})$ such that:

- $\gamma_j = s(\tilde{\rho})$, $\gamma'_j = t(\tilde{\rho})$ and $\gamma'_i = \gamma_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, $i \neq j$,
- $\rho'_{j-1} = \tilde{\rho}(t_{j-1}) \circ \rho_{j-1}$, $\rho'_j = \rho_j \circ \tilde{\rho}(t_j)^{-1}$ and $\rho'_i = \rho_i$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n$, $i \neq j, j-1$.

The anchor maps are given by:

$$\alpha_l : \text{Hol}_\alpha(\lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\gamma(0)} : \rho_0 * \gamma_1 * \cdots * \gamma_n * \rho_n \mapsto s(\rho_0),$$

$$\alpha_r : \text{Hol}_\alpha(\lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\gamma(1)} : \rho_0 * \gamma_1 * \cdots * \gamma_n * \rho_n \mapsto t(\rho_n).$$

The left $\mathcal{P}_{\gamma(0)}$ -action $\text{Mor}(\mathcal{P}_{\gamma(0)}) \times_{\alpha_l} \text{Hol}_\gamma(\lambda) \rightarrow \text{Hol}_\gamma(\lambda)$ and right $\mathcal{P}_{\gamma(1)}$ -action $\text{Hol}_\gamma(\lambda) \times_{\alpha_r} \text{Mor}(\mathcal{P}_{\gamma(1)}) \rightarrow \text{Hol}_\gamma(\lambda)$ on the set $\text{Hol}_\gamma(\lambda)$ are defined by

$$\rho \circ (\rho_0 * \gamma_1 * \cdots * \gamma_n * \rho_n) := (\rho \circ \rho^{-1}) * \gamma_1 * \cdots * \gamma_n * \rho_n,$$

$$(\rho_0 * \gamma_1 * \cdots * \gamma_n * \rho_n) \circ \rho := \rho_0 * \gamma_1 * \cdots * \gamma_n * (\rho^{-1} \circ \rho_n).$$

The $\text{Mor}(\Gamma)$ -action $\text{Hol}_\gamma(\lambda) \times \text{Mor}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Hol}_\gamma(\lambda)$ is given by

$$(\rho_0 * \gamma_1 * \cdots * \gamma_n * \rho_n) \cdot (h, g) := R(\rho_0, (h^{-1}, \tau(h)g)) * R(\gamma_1, g) * \cdots * R(\gamma_n, g) * R(\rho_n, g).$$

Finally, to define the smooth manifold Hol_γ consider the set $T := \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} T_n$ which is directed by inclusion, i.e. $\lambda \leq \lambda'$ if $\lambda \subset \lambda'$. If $\lambda \leq \lambda'$ then we have a map $f_{\lambda, \lambda'} : \text{Hol}_\gamma(\lambda) \rightarrow \text{Hol}_\gamma(\lambda')$ defined by adding identities $\rho_i = \text{id}$ and splitting γ_i in two parts, at all points of λ' that are not in λ . The anafunctor Hol_γ is the direct limit of the direct system of sets $\{\text{Hol}_\gamma(\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in T}$. The anchor maps and the actions defined on each $\text{Hol}_\gamma(\lambda)$ descend to Hol_γ .

Proposition 2.20. *The smooth manifold Hol_γ together with the anchor maps α_l and α_r , the actions $\text{Mor}(\mathcal{P}_{\gamma(0)}) \times_{\alpha_l} \text{Hol}_\gamma(\lambda) \rightarrow \text{Hol}_\gamma(\lambda)$, $\text{Hol}_\gamma(\lambda) \times_{\alpha_r} \text{Mor}(\mathcal{P}_{\gamma(1)}) \rightarrow \text{Hol}_\gamma(\lambda)$ and $\text{Hol}_\gamma(\lambda) \times \text{Mor}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Hol}_\gamma(\lambda)$, define a Γ -equivariant anafunctor $\text{Hol}_\gamma : \mathcal{P}_{\gamma(0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\gamma(1)}$.*

Before proceeding to the construction of the 2-dimensional holonomies we need the following definition:

Definition 2.21. *A smooth bigon in M is a smooth map $\Sigma : I^2 \rightarrow M$ such that $\Sigma(s, 0) = x$ and $\Sigma(s, 1) = y$ for all $s \in I$. In other words, a bigon is a fixed-ends homotopy between the paths $\gamma(t) = \Sigma(0, t)$ and $\gamma'(t) = \Sigma(1, t)$. In this case we write $\Sigma : \gamma \Rightarrow \gamma'$.*

A bigon $\Sigma : \gamma \Rightarrow \gamma'$ is called small, if there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda \in T_n$ and sections $\sigma_i : U_i \rightarrow \text{Obj}(\mathcal{P})$ defined on open sets U_i such that

$$\Sigma(\{(s, t) \mid t_{i-1} \leq t \leq t_i, 0 \leq s \leq 1\}) \subset U_i.$$

To define the holonomy along a 2-simplex $\sigma : \Delta_2 \rightarrow M$ we first consider the bigon $\Sigma = \sigma \circ \Theta_2 : \gamma \rightarrow \gamma'$. The idea is to subdivide Σ in small bigons Σ_i and then define a Γ -equivariant transformation $\varphi_{\Sigma_i}^{\text{small}} : \text{Hol}_{\gamma_{i-1}} \rightarrow \text{Hol}_{\gamma_i}$ between the parallel transports along γ_{i-1} and γ_i , for each small bigon Σ_i in the subdivision of Σ . Finally the Γ -equivariant transformation for Σ is defined as the composition $\varphi_\Sigma(s) := \varphi_{\Sigma_n}^{\text{small}} \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_{\Sigma_1}^{\text{small}}$.

Definition 2.22. *Let $\Sigma : \gamma \Rightarrow \gamma'$ be a bigon and $\xi \in \text{Hol}_\gamma$. A horizontal lift of Σ with source ξ is a tuple $(n, \lambda, \{\Phi_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{\rho_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{g_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ consisting of $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a subdivision $\lambda \in T_n$ and smooth maps*

- $\Phi_i : [0, 1] \times [t_{i-1}, t_i] \rightarrow \text{Obj}(\mathcal{P})$,
- $\rho_i : [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Mor}(\mathcal{P})$ with ρ_0 and ρ_n constant,

- $g_i : [0, 1] \rightarrow G$ with $g_i(0) = 1$,

such that the following conditions are satisfied:

1. Φ_i is a lift of Σ , i.e., $\pi \circ \Phi_i = \Sigma|_{[0,1] \times [t_{i-1}, t_i]}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.
2. $t(\rho_i(s)) = \Phi_{i+1}(s, t_i)$ for all $0 \leq i < n$ and $s(\rho_i(s)) = R(\Phi_i(s, t_i), g_i(s))$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.
3. The paths $\gamma'_i(t) := \Phi_i(1, t)$, $\nu_i(s) := \Phi_i(s, t_{i-1})$ and ρ_i are horizontal for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.
4. $\xi = \rho_n * \gamma_n * \dots * \gamma_1 * \rho_0$ with $\gamma_i(t) := \Phi_i(0, t)$ and $\rho_i := \rho_i(0)$.

Lemma 2.23. *For every small bigon $\Sigma : \gamma \Rightarrow \gamma'$ and every $\xi \in \text{Hol}_\gamma$ there exists a horizontal lift with source ξ .*

Finally, for an arbitrary bigon $\Sigma : \gamma \Rightarrow \gamma'$ there exists a subdivision $s \in T_n$ such that the pieces $\Sigma_i(s, t) := \Sigma((s_i - s_{i-1})s + s_{i-1}, t)$ are small. Then we define

$$\varphi_\Sigma(s) := \varphi_{\Sigma_n}^{\text{small}} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{\Sigma_1}^{\text{small}}.$$

The map $\varphi_\Sigma(s)$ is independent of the choice of s .

Proposition 2.24. *The map $\varphi_\Sigma : \text{Hol}_\gamma \rightarrow \text{Hol}_{\gamma'}$ is a Γ -equivariant transformation.*

Proposition 2.25. *Let \mathcal{P} be a principal Γ -2-bundle with flat connection Ω . Then the assignments $x \mapsto \mathcal{P}_x, [\gamma] \mapsto \text{Hol}_{[\gamma]}$, and $[\Sigma] \mapsto \varphi_{[\Sigma]}$ form a 2-functor*

$$\text{trap} : \pi_{\leq 2}(M) \rightarrow \Gamma\text{-Tor}(\mathcal{P}).$$

The equivalence between the local and global definitions of holonomies may be found in section 5 of [15]. The main observation is that the global construction of holonomies relies on lifting paths and surfaces horizontally, which is done locally by solving the differential equations (6) and (7).

The construction above gives a map $T : 2\text{-Bun}^f_{\mathcal{P}}(M) \rightarrow \text{Rep}_{\leq 2}(M, \mathcal{P})$ the map from flat principal 2-bundles to representations of the fundamental 2-groupoid of M .

3 Higher local systems

In this section we will review the higher Riemann Hilbert correspondence of [1]. We will follow the exposition in [5]. Let $E = \bigoplus_k E^k$ be a \mathbb{Z} -graded vector bundle over M . A form $\omega \in \Omega^n(M, E^k)$ has form-degree n and inner-degree k . The total degree of ω is the sum of its form and inner degrees and is denoted $|\omega| = n + k$.

Definition 3.1. *A \mathbb{Z} -graded connection on a graded vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ is a linear operator $D : \Omega(M, E) \rightarrow \Omega(M, E)$ that increases the total degree by one and satisfies the Leibniz rule*

$$D(\alpha \wedge \omega) = d\alpha \wedge \omega + (-1)^{|\alpha|} \alpha \wedge D(\omega),$$

for homogeneous $\alpha \in \Omega(M)$ and $\omega \in \Omega(M, E)$. The connection is flat if $D^2 = 0$.

An ∞ -local system over M is a graded vector bundle together with a flat \mathbb{Z} graded connection. There is a differential graded category $\text{Loc}_\infty(M)$ whose objects are ∞ -local systems and whose morphisms are the graded vector spaces:

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Loc}_\infty(M)}(E, E') = \Omega(M, \text{Hom}(E, E'))$$

with differential:

$$\overline{D}(\eta) = D' \circ \eta - (-1)^{|\eta|} \eta \circ D.$$

On an open subset $U \subseteq M$ where the bundle is trivial, a flat \mathbb{Z} -graded connection can be written as $d - \omega$ where $\omega \in \Omega(U, \text{End}(E))$ is a Maurer-Cartan form of total degree 1. This means that $\omega = \sum_i \omega_i$ with $\omega_i \in \Omega^i(U, \text{End}^{1-i}(E))$ and the Maurer-Cartan equation is satisfied $d\omega = \omega \wedge \omega$. Notice that in degree zero, the Maurer-Cartan equation reads $\omega_0 \wedge \omega_0 = 0$. Since $\omega_0 \in \Omega^0(U, \text{End}^1(E))$, this means that ω_0 endows the fibers of E with a cochain complex structure. Therefore we will write $\partial = \omega_0$.

Definition 3.2. *A cochain complex bundle is a graded vector bundle $p : E \rightarrow M$ with a morphism of bundles $\partial : E^\bullet \rightarrow E^{\bullet+1}$ such that $\partial^2 = 0$. The local trivializations are required to be isomorphisms of complexes of vector bundles on each fibre, i.e., there is a complex (V, ∂) such that if E is trivial over a certain open $U \subset M$, then the trivialization map $\varphi_U : p^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times V$ restricts to an isomorphism of complexes $\varphi_x : E_x \rightarrow (V, \partial)$.*

Lemma 3.3. *Let (E, D) be an ∞ -local system over a connected manifold M of dimension n with projection $\pi : E \rightarrow M$. Then E is a cochain complex bundle.*

Proof. For an arbitrary $x_0 \in M$, choose a neighbourhood $U \subset M$ over which E is trivial and a coordinate chart $\psi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ that maps x_0 to the origin. Over U the connection takes the form $D = d - \partial - \dots$, where $\partial \in \Omega^0(U, \text{End}^1(E|_U))$. Furthermore, since the connection is flat we have that $\partial^2 = 0$, which means that the fibers have a chain complex structure with differential ∂ . Now for any other $x \in U$ let γ_x be a path in U connecting x to x_0 such that $\psi \circ \gamma_x$ is a radial path connecting $\psi(x)$ to the origin. The parallel transport along these paths provides isomorphisms of cochain complexes $T_{\gamma_x} : E_x \rightarrow E_{x_0}$. A local trivialisation as a cochain complex bundle over U is defined by $\varphi : \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times E_{x_0}$, $\varphi(p) = (\pi(p), T_{\gamma_{\pi(p)}}(p))$. The fact that M is connected guarantees that all the fibers over M are isomorphic as cochain complexes. \square

For a fixed cochain complex bundle (E, ∂) we denote by $\text{Loc}_\infty^{(E, \partial)}(M)$ the category of ∞ -local systems over M with underlying cochain complex bundle (E, ∂) .

Definition 3.4. *Let (E, ∂) be a cochain complex bundle over M . The linear 2-groupoid of (E, ∂) is the 2-category $GL(E, \partial)$ such that*

- *The objects are the fibers (E_x, ∂_x) for $x \in M$.*
- *The 1-morphisms are cochain complex morphisms $(E_x, \partial_x) \rightarrow (E_y, \partial_y)$ with the usual composition.*
- *The 2-morphisms are (algebraic) homotopies between morphisms of complexes modulo exact maps. The horizontal and vertical compositions are the usual ones for homotopies.*

A linear representation of $\pi_{\leq 2}(M)$ on (E, ∂) is a 2-functor $\mathcal{R} : \pi_{\leq 2}(M) \rightarrow GL(E, \partial)$. Linear representations of $\pi_{\leq 2}(M)$ on (E, ∂) will be denoted $\text{Rep}_{\leq 2}(M, (E, \partial))$.

Recall that the infinity groupoid of a manifold M is the simplicial set

$$\pi_\infty(M) := \text{Sing}(M),$$

of smooth singular chains on M . So far we have defined infinitesimal higher local systems. The Riemann-Hilbert correspondence is about comparing this notion with a global one, representations of the infinity groupoid.

Given a simplicial set X_\bullet with face and degeneracy maps denoted by

$$d_i : X_k \rightarrow X_{k-1} \quad \text{and} \quad s_i : X_k \rightarrow X_{k+1},$$

respectively. We will use the notation

$$\begin{aligned} P_i &:= (d_0)^{k-i} : X_k \rightarrow X_i, \\ Q_i &:= d_{i+1} \circ \cdots \circ d_k : X_k \rightarrow X_i, \end{aligned}$$

for the maps that send a simplex to its i -th back and front face. The i -th vertex of a simplex $\sigma \in X_k$ will be denoted $v_i(\sigma)$, or simply v_i , when no confusion can arise. In terms of the above operations, one can write

$$v_i = (P_0 \circ Q_i)(\sigma).$$

A cochain F of degree k on X_\bullet with values in an algebra \mathbf{A} is a map

$$F : X_k \rightarrow \mathbf{A}.$$

As usual, the cup product of two cochains F, F' of degree i and j , respectively, is the cochain of degree $i + j$ defined by the formula:

$$(F \cup F')(\sigma) := F(Q_i(\sigma))F'(P_j(\sigma)).$$

A representation of X_\bullet consists of the following data:

1. A graded vector space $E_x = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} E_x^k$, for each zero simplex $x \in X_0$.
2. A sequence of operators $\{F_k\}_{k \geq 0}$, where F_k is a k -cochain that assigns to $\sigma \in X_k$ a linear map

$$F_k(\sigma) \in \text{Hom}^{1-k}(E_{v_k(\sigma)}, E_{v_0(\sigma)}).$$

These operators are required to satisfy the following equations:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (-1)^j F_{k-1}(d_j(\sigma)) + \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{j+1} (F_j \cup F_{k-j})(\sigma) = 0. \quad (8)$$

Given a manifold M , there is a differential graded category $\text{Rep}(\pi_\infty(M))$ whose objects are representations of the infinity groupoid of M . The higher Riemann-Hilbert correspondence proved in [1], is the following result.

Theorem 3.5. *There is an \mathbf{A}_∞ functor*

$$\mathcal{I} : \text{Loc}_\infty(M) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(\pi_\infty(M))$$

which is a quasi-equivalence of differential graded categories.

The theorem above is proved by providing an explicit formula for the generalized holonomies associated to simplices of all dimensions. In the local case, where the superconnection takes the form $D = d - \omega$, the holonomy $\text{hol}(\sigma)$ associated to a simplex $\sigma : \Delta_k \rightarrow M$ is given by:

$$\text{hol}(\sigma) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \int_{\Delta_n \times I^{k-1}} (\Theta_k)_{(n)}^* (p_1^*(\sigma^*(\omega)) \wedge \cdots \wedge p_n^*(\sigma^*(\omega))),$$


where $p_i : (\Delta_k)^n \rightarrow \Delta_k$ is the i -th projection, $\Theta_k : I^k \rightarrow \Delta_k$ is certain piecewise linear map folding the cube onto the simplex and $(\Theta_k)_{(n)} : \Delta_n \times I^{k-1} \rightarrow (\Delta_k)^n$ is given by:

$$(\Theta_k)_{(n)}(t_1, \dots, t_n, x_2, \dots, x_k) = (\Theta_k(t_1, x_2, \dots, x_k), \dots, \Theta_k(t_n, x_2, \dots, x_k)).$$

We observe that, for $k = 2$ the map Θ_2 coincides with the one defined in Remark 2.16.

Lemma 3.6. *There is a map $\mathcal{T} : \text{Loc}_{\infty}^{(E, \partial)}(M) \rightarrow \text{Rep}_{\leq 2}(M, (E, \partial))$ for any fixed cochain complex bundle (E, ∂) .*

Proof. Geometrically, this corresponds to the fact that the product of a 2-simplex with an interval is the union of three 3-simplices:



Given a local system $E \in \text{Loc}_{\infty}(M)$, Theorem 3.5 provides a representation $\mathcal{I}(E)$ of $\pi_{\infty}(M)$. This representation assigns holonomies $\text{hol}(\sigma)$ to simplices $\sigma : \Delta_k \rightarrow M$ of arbitrary dimensions. We define the functor $\mathcal{R} : \pi_{\leq 2}(M) \rightarrow GL(E, \partial)$ as follows. To a point $x \in M$ we associate the cochain complex (E_x, ∂) . To a path, the holonomy with respect to the connection. To the class of a simplex $\sigma : \Delta_2 \rightarrow M$ we associate the holonomy $\text{hol}(\sigma)$ given by $\mathcal{I}(E)$. We need to prove that up to exact terms, this does not depend on the representative of the class. Suppose $H : \Delta_2 \times I \rightarrow M$ is a homotopy with fixed ends between simplices σ and σ' . For $i = 1, 2, 3$ we define the simplices $\sigma_i : \Delta_3 \rightarrow M$ by the formulas:

$$\sigma_1(t_1, t_2, t_3) = H(t_2, t_3, t_1); \quad \sigma_2(t_1, t_2, t_3) = H(t_1, t_3, t_2); \quad \sigma_3(t_1, t_2, t_3) = H(t_1, t_2, t_3).$$

Using relations (8) for the simplices $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$, together with the fact that holonomies vanish on degenerate simplices (proved in Proposition 3.26 of [5]) one obtains that $\text{hol}(\sigma)$ coincides with $\text{hol}(\sigma')$ modulo exact terms. This proves that the map \mathcal{R} is well defined. The fact that it defines a functor follows from (8). \square

4 A comparison result

The first step towards a comparison is a procedure to construct a principal 2-bundle with flat connection out of an ∞ -local system. At the level of objects the construction is merely the frame bundle construction, which requires only the vector bundle structure of the ∞ -local system. To perform the construction at the level of morphisms we will rely on the cochain complex structure

of the fibers provided by the flat \mathbb{Z} -graded connection. It is worth noting that this construction yields a simple class of principal 2-bundles. By specializing the construction of the general linear 2-groupoid of a cochain complex bundle to the case where M is a point one obtains a Lie crossed module $\Gamma(V, \partial) = (H, G, \tau, \alpha)$ associated to a cochain complex (V, ∂) . The corresponding differential crossed module has been computed in [18].

Lemma 4.1. *Let (V, ∂) be a cochain complex. The differential Lie crossed module associated to $\Gamma(V, \partial)$ is $\gamma(V, \partial) = (\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}, \tau_*, \alpha_*)$ where:*

1. $\mathfrak{g} = gl^0(V)$ is the vector space of degree zero cochain maps $V \rightarrow V$, endowed with the commutator bracket.

2. $\mathfrak{h} = gl^{-1}(V)$, with

$$gl^{-1}(V) = \frac{End^{-1}(V)}{[\partial, End^{-2}(V)]}.$$

This quotient is endowed with the Lie bracket

$$[T, S] = S\partial T - T\partial S + ST\partial - TS\partial;$$

and $[\partial, End^{-2}(V)]$ is the ideal generated by elements of the form $\partial h - h\partial$.

3. $\tau_* : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is given by $\tau_*(S) := \tau S + S\tau$.

4. The action α_* is such that $\alpha_*(R)(S) = RS - SR$.

When we interpret the crossed module $\Gamma(V, \partial)$ as a Lie 2-group, we have that a pair $(g, h) : g \rightarrow g'$ is a morphism if $g' = \tau(h)g$. Unraveling this equation leads to $g' - g = [\partial, hg]$, which means that hg is a homotopy from g' to g .

Lemma 4.2. *Given a cochain complex bundle (E, ∂) , there is an associated principal 2-bundle $\mathcal{F}(E, \partial)$ which we call the frame 2-bundle.*

Proof. Consider a bundle of complexes $(E, \partial) \rightarrow M$ and a point $x_0 \in M$. We let $(V, \partial) = (E_{x_0}, \partial_{x_0})$ and $\Gamma = \Gamma(V, \partial) = (H, G, \tau, \alpha)$. We define a groupoid $\mathcal{F}(E, \partial)$ and an action $\mathcal{F}(E, \partial) \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(E, \partial)$ as follows:

1. For every $x \in M$, let

$$\text{Obj}(\mathcal{F}(E, \partial))_x := \{\rho : V \rightarrow E_x \mid \rho \text{ is an isomorphism of complexes of degree } 0\}.$$

We set $\text{Obj}(\mathcal{F}(E, \partial)) = \bigsqcup_{x \in M} \text{Obj}(\mathcal{F}(E, \partial))_x$. The projection $\pi : \text{Obj}(\mathcal{F}(E, \partial)) \rightarrow M$ is such that $\pi^{-1}(x) = \text{Obj}(\mathcal{F}(E, \partial))_x$.

2. Let $\rho, \rho' \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{F}(E, \partial))_x$. Define the set

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}(E, \partial)}(\rho, \rho') := \{\xi : V \rightarrow E_x \mid \partial_x \xi + \xi \partial = \rho - \rho'\} / \sim$$

where $\xi \sim \xi'$ if they are homotopic. So morphisms between ρ and ρ' are homotopy classes of homotopies between them. The fact that being homotopic is an equivalence relation provides the identity morphisms (reflexiveness), every homotopy is invertible (symmetry) and a way to compose homotopies (transitiveness). The source and target maps are denoted s and t respectively. Given a homotopy $\xi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}(E, \partial)}(\rho, \rho')$ for $\rho, \rho' \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{F}(E, \partial))_x$, we define $\pi(\xi) = \text{id}_x$.

Next we define an action $R : \mathcal{F}(E, \partial) \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(E, \partial)$. On objects it is defined by composition: $R : \text{Obj}(\mathcal{F}(E, \partial)) \times G \rightarrow \text{Obj}(\mathcal{F}(E, \partial))$ is such that $(\rho, g) \mapsto R(\rho, g) = \rho g$. At this level the action is clearly free and transitive along the fibres. Suppose $\xi : \rho \rightarrow \rho'$, then we have that $(\xi, (h, g)) : (\rho, g) \rightarrow (\rho', \tau(h)g)$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{F}(E, \partial) \times \Gamma$. We define $R : \text{Mor}(\mathcal{F}(E, \partial)) \times (H \times G) \rightarrow \text{Mor}(\mathcal{F}(E, \partial))$ as

$$(\xi, (h, g)) \mapsto R(\xi, (h, g)) = \xi\tau(h)g - s(\xi)hg.$$

The following equation shows that $R(\xi, (h, g))$ is indeed a morphism $\rho g \rightarrow \rho'\tau(h)g$:

$$\begin{aligned} [\partial, R(\xi, (h, g))] &= [\partial, \xi\tau(h)g - \rho hg] \\ &= [\partial, \xi]\tau(h)g - \rho[\partial, h]g \\ &= \rho g - \rho'\tau(h)g. \end{aligned}$$

Let us check that the action just defined makes $\mathcal{F}(E, \partial)$ a principal Γ -2-bundle, i.e. the functor $(\text{pr}, R) : \mathcal{F}(E, \partial) \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(E, \partial) \times_M \mathcal{F}(E, \partial)$ is a weak equivalence. Since the action is transitive along the fibres on objects, the functor (pr, R) is surjective. Next we check that the functor is fully faithful: consider objects (ρ, g) and (ρ', g') in $\mathcal{F}(E, \partial) \times \Gamma$. In order to have morphisms $(\rho, g) \rightarrow (\rho', g')$ there must be at least one $h \in H$ such that $\tau(h)g = g'$. If this is the case we have a function

$$(\text{pr}, R) : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}(E, \partial) \times \Gamma}((\rho, g), (\rho', g')) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}(E, \partial) \times_M \mathcal{F}(E, \partial)}((\rho, \rho g), (\rho', \rho' g')).$$

The function is injective: take morphisms $(\xi, (h, g))$ and $(\xi', (h', g))$, and suppose they map to the same morphism, this is $(\xi, \xi\tau(h)g - s(\xi)hg) = (\xi', \xi'\tau(h')g - s(\xi')h'g)$. Clearly we must have $\xi = \xi'$. The equation from the second component may be rewritten as

$$\xi(\tau(h) - \tau(h')) = s(\xi)(h - h')$$

Next we notice that, since $\tau(h)g = \tau(h')g$ we have $\tau(h) = \tau(h')$, therefore we conclude that $h = h'$ and the function is injective.

The function is surjective: take a pair of homotopies $\xi : \rho \rightarrow \rho'$ and $\sigma : \rho g \rightarrow \rho' g'$. Define $h = \rho^{-1}\xi g' g^{-1} - \rho^{-1}\sigma g^{-1}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(h)g &= [\partial, \rho^{-1}\xi g' - \rho^{-1}\sigma] + g \\ &= \rho^{-1}[\partial, \xi]g' - \rho^{-1}[\partial, \sigma] + g \\ &= \rho^{-1}(\rho - \rho')g' - \rho^{-1}(\rho g - \rho' g') + g = g'. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(\xi, (h, g)) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}(E, \partial) \times \Gamma}((\rho, g), (\rho', g'))$. Furthermore, we have

$$R(\xi, (h, g)) = \xi\tau(h)g - \rho hg = \xi g' - \xi g' + \sigma = \sigma,$$

proving surjectivity. □

Remark 4.3. As E is a cochain complex bundle, the usual cocycles g_{ij} defined from local trivialisations of the bundle take values in the group $G = GL^0(V)$. Setting $a_{ijk} = 0 \in H = GL^{-1}(V)$ is easy to check that we have a $\Gamma(V, \partial)$ -cocycle (g, a) . The principal 2-bundle built from this cocycle using Lemma 2.8 is precisely the frame 2-bundle $\mathcal{F}(E, \partial)$, making clear the statement that the frame 2-bundle construction yields only a simple class of principal 2-bundles.

From Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 4.2 we get that every ∞ -local system has an associated principal 2-bundle. Now we aim to build a flat connection on the frame 2-bundle from an ∞ -local system.

Theorem 4.4. *Let (E, D) be an ∞ -local system over a connected smooth manifold M . Then there is a flat connection Ω_D defined on the frame 2-bundle $\mathcal{F}(E, \partial)$.*

Proof. First let us fix a point $x_0 \in M$ and call $(V, \partial) = (E_{x_0}, \partial_{x_0})$, the fiber over x_0 with its cochain complex structure. We will define a differential $\Gamma(V, \partial)$ -cocycle from the \mathbb{Z} -graded connection D . Let $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an open covering of M such that the vector bundle E trivialises as a cochain complex bundle over each U_i with fiber (V, ∂) . Let (g, a) be $\Gamma(V, \partial)$ -cocycle defined in remark 4.3. For differential components of the cocycle consider the local form of D over U_i which is $D = d + \sum_{k \geq 0} \omega_i^k$ where $\beta_i^0 = \partial \in \Omega^0(U_i, \text{End}^1(V))$ and $\beta_i^k \in \Omega^k(U_i, \text{End}^{1-k}(V))$. Let $\text{pr} : \text{End}^{-1}(V) \rightarrow \text{End}^{-1}(V)/[\partial, \text{End}^{-2}(V)]$ be the natural projection to the quotient, then we define

$$A_i = \omega_i^1 \in \Omega^1(U_i, \text{End}^0(V)), \quad B_i = -\text{pr} \circ \omega_i^2 \in \Omega^2(U_i, \mathfrak{h}).$$

The Maurer-Cartan equation for $\omega_i = \sum_{k \geq 0} \omega_i^k$ has the following implications:

- The term of degree one of the equation is $\partial \omega_i^1 = \omega_i^1 \partial$, so ω_i^1 actually takes values in the algebra of cochain maps and $A_i = \omega_i^1 \in \Omega^1(U_i, \mathfrak{g})$.
- The term of degree two is $\partial \omega_i^2 + \omega_i^2 \partial = d\omega_i^1 + \omega_i^1 \wedge \omega_i^1$. Projecting to the quotient and rewriting appropriately yields $\tau_*(B_i) = dA_i + [A_i, A_i]/2$, which means that the fake curvature of the $\Gamma(V, \partial)$ -cocycle vanishes.
- The term of degree three is $d\omega_i^2 + \omega_i^1 \omega_i^2 - \omega_i^2 \omega_i^1 = \partial \omega_i^3 - \omega_i^3 \partial$. Projecting to the quotient and rewriting we get $dB_i + \alpha_*(A_i)(B_i) = 0$, thus the 3-curvature also vanishes.

The final component of the cocycle is the form $\varphi_{ij} \in \Omega^1(U_i \cap U_j, \mathfrak{h})$, this one we take to be zero $\varphi_{ij} = 0$. Now suppose that $U_i \cap U_j$ is non-empty, then the forms ω_i and ω_j are gauge equivalent via the cocycle g_{ij} , this is $\omega_i = g_{ij} \omega_j g_{ji} + g_{ij} dg_{ji}$. After projecting onto the quotient, the terms of degree one and two of the gauge equivalence equation may be written respectively as

$$A_i = g_{ij} A_j g_{ji} + g_{ij} dg_{ji}, \quad \text{and} \quad B_i = g_{ij} B_j g_{ji}.$$

The previous equations are the conditions (1) and (2) required of a differential cocycle. Condition (3) is satisfied trivially. The proof is completed by applying Lemma 2.13. \square

Fix a cochain complex bundle (E, ∂) . Let $\text{Loc}_\infty^{(E, \partial)}(M)$ denote the category of ∞ -local systems over M such that their cochain complex bundle is isomorphic to (E, ∂) . We have defined a map

$$K : \text{Loc}_\infty^{(E, \partial)}(M) \rightarrow 2\text{-Bun}_{\mathcal{F}(E, \partial)}^f(M). \\ (E, D) \mapsto (\mathcal{F}(E, \partial), \Omega_D)$$

Lemma 4.5. *Let (E, ∂) be a cochain complex bundle over M and fix a basepoint $* \in M$. Then there is a map $\mathcal{K} : \text{Rep}_{\leq 2}(M, (E, \partial)) \rightarrow \text{Rep}_{\leq 2}(M, \mathcal{F}(E, \partial))$.*

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{R} : \pi_{\leq 2}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{GL}(E, \partial)$ is a linear representation, we will define the functor

$$\mathcal{KR} : \pi_{\leq 2}(M) \rightarrow \Gamma\text{-Tor}(\mathcal{F}(E, \partial)).$$

For every point $x \in M$, the fiber $\mathcal{F}(E, \partial)_x$ is a Γ -torsor, so we set $\mathcal{KR}(E_x) = \mathcal{F}(E, \partial)_x$.

If $\rho : x \rightarrow y$ is a path connecting x to y , then $\mathcal{R}(\rho) : E_x \rightarrow E_y$ is a morphism of complexes. The push forward $\mathcal{R}(\rho)_* : \mathcal{F}(E, \partial)_x \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(E, \partial)_y$ given by composition to the left with $\mathcal{R}(\rho)$ is a Γ -equivariant functor, hence it induces a Γ -equivariant anafunctor and we define $\mathcal{KR}(\rho) = \mathcal{R}(\rho)_*$. It can be checked easily that the functor preserves composition of paths.

Finally take paths $\rho, \rho' : x \rightarrow y$ and let $\xi : \rho \rightarrow \rho'$ be a homotopy between them. Then $\mathcal{R}(\xi) : \mathcal{R}(\rho) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\rho')$ is an (algebraic) homotopy. The homotopy $\mathcal{R}(\xi)$ induces a natural transformation between the functors $\mathcal{R}(\rho)_*, \mathcal{R}(\rho')_* : \mathcal{F}(E, \partial)_x \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(E, \partial)_y$ as follows: let $\alpha : V \rightarrow E_x$ be an object in $\mathcal{F}(E, \partial)_x$, then $\mathcal{R}(\xi)_*(\alpha) = \mathcal{R}(\xi) \circ \alpha : \mathcal{R}(\rho)_*(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\rho')_*(\alpha)$ is a homotopy. Let us check that the push forward $\mathcal{R}(\xi)_*$ is indeed a natural transformation: consider a morphism $\mathcal{A} : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ in $\mathcal{F}(E, \partial)_x$, then we have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{R}(\rho)_*(\alpha) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}(\rho)_*(\mathcal{A})} & \mathcal{R}(\rho)_*(\beta) \\ \mathcal{R}(\xi)_*(\alpha) \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{R}(\xi)_*(\beta) \\ \mathcal{R}(\rho')_*(\alpha) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}(\rho')_*(\mathcal{A})} & \mathcal{R}(\rho')_*(\beta) \end{array}$$

A simple computation shows that

$$[\mathcal{R}(\xi)_*(\mathcal{A}), \partial] = (\mathcal{R}(\xi) \circ \alpha + \mathcal{R}(\rho') \circ \mathcal{A}) - (\mathcal{R}(\rho) \circ \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{R}(\xi) \circ \beta),$$

which shows that the diagram is commutative up to homotopy. Once again, since the natural transformation is defined by composition to the left, we can see that it is Γ -equivariant. We define $\mathcal{KR}(\xi) = \mathcal{R}(\xi)_*$. The fact that \mathcal{KR} preserves the horizontal and vertical composition of 2-morphisms derives from the same fact for \mathcal{R} and the properties of the push forward. \square

Lemma 4.6. *If $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow H$ is a solution to equation (7), then*

$$h(1) = \left(\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \alpha(g_{\Sigma_s}(t)^{-1})_* \left(B \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Sigma_s(t), \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \Sigma_s(t) \right) \right) \text{Hol}_{\Sigma_s}^{-1} dt ds \right) \text{Hol}_{\Sigma_1}.$$

Proof. Equation (7) may be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dh(s)}{ds} &= (L_{h(s)})_* \left(\int_0^1 \alpha(g_{\Sigma_s(t)^{-1}})_* \left(B \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Sigma_s(t), \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \Sigma_s(t) \right) \right) dt \right) \\ &= \int_0^1 \alpha(g_{\Sigma_s(t)^{-1}})_* \left(B \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Sigma_s(t), \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \Sigma_s(t) \right) \right) dt \\ &\quad + h(s) \left(\int_0^1 \text{Ad}_{g_{\Sigma_s(t)^{-1}} \tau_*} (B) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Sigma_s(t), \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \Sigma_s(t) \right) dt \right) \\ &= \int_0^1 \alpha(g_{\Sigma_s(t)^{-1}})_* \left(B \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Sigma_s(t), \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \Sigma_s(t) \right) \right) dt + h(s) \text{Hol}_{\Sigma_s}^{-1} \frac{d\text{Hol}_{\Sigma_s}}{ds}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get the following equation

$$\frac{d(h(s)\text{Hol}_{\Sigma_s}^{-1})}{ds} = \left(\int_0^1 \alpha(g_{\Sigma_s(t)^{-1}})_* \left(B \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Sigma_s(t), \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \Sigma_s(t) \right) \right) dt \right) \text{Hol}_{\Sigma_s}^{-1}.$$

Integrating the previous equation leads to the desired result. \square

Lemma 4.7. *Define*

$$a_s(t) := A_{\Sigma(s,t)} \left(\frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial t} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad b_s(t) := B_{\Sigma(s,t)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Sigma_s(t), \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \Sigma_s(t) \right).$$

Then the integral

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \alpha(g_{\Sigma_s}(t)^{-1})_* \left(B \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Sigma_s(t), \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \Sigma_s(t) \right) \right) \text{Hol}_{\Sigma_s}^{-1} dt ds \quad (9)$$

is equal the iterated integral

$$\sum_{m,n \geq 0} \int_{\Delta_{m+n+1} \times I} a_s(1-t_1) \cdots a_s(1-t_m) b_s(1-t_{m+1}) a_s(1-t_{m+2}) \cdots a_s(1-t_{m+n+1}) dt_1 \cdots dt_{m+n+1} ds.$$

Proof. A solution to equation (6) in terms of iterated integrals is

$$g_\gamma(t) = \text{id} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \cdots \int_0^{t_{n-1}} a(t_1) \cdots a(t_n) dt_1 \cdots dt_n.$$

Similarly we have

$$g_\gamma(t)^{-1} = \text{id} + \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^n \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \cdots \int_0^{t_{n-1}} a(t_1) \cdots a(t_n) dt_1 \cdots dt_n.$$

and

$$g_{\bar{\gamma}}(t) = \text{id} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \cdots \int_0^{t_{n-1}} a(1-t_1) \cdots a(1-t_n) dt_1 \cdots dt_n.$$

replacing the previous expressions in (9) yields the result. \square

Lemma 4.8. *The integral*

$$\sum_{m,n \geq 0} \int_{\Delta_{m+n+1} \times I} a_s(1-t_1) \cdots a_s(1-t_m) b_s(1-t_{m+1}) a_s(1-t_{m+2}) \cdots a_s(1-t_{m+n+1}) dt_1 \cdots dt_{m+n+1} ds.$$

is equal to $\text{hol}(\sigma)$.

Proof. The sum can be written as

$$\sum_{m,n \geq 0} (-1)^{m+n} \int_{\Delta_{m+n+1} \times I} \mu_{(m+n+1)}^* (p_1^* \Sigma^* \omega_1 \cdots p_m^* \Sigma^* \omega_1 p_{m+1}^* \Sigma^* (-\text{pr}(\omega_2)) p_{m+2}^* \Sigma^* \omega_1 \cdots p_{m+n+1}^* \Sigma^* \omega_1), \quad (10)$$

where $\mu_{(k)} : \Delta_k \times I \rightarrow (I^2)^{\times k}$ is defined by

$$\mu_{(k)}(t_1, \cdots, t_k, s) = ((1-t_1, s), \cdots, (1-t_k, s)).$$

Replacing $\Sigma = \sigma \circ \Theta_2$ in equation (10) we get

$$\sum_{m,n \geq 0} (-1)^{m+n+1} \int_{\Delta_{m+n+1} \times I} (\Theta_2)_{(m+n+1)}^* (p_1^*(\sigma^*(\omega_1)) \wedge \cdots \wedge p_{m+1}^*(\sigma^*(\omega_2)) \wedge \cdots \wedge p_{m+n+1}^*(\sigma^*(\omega_1))),$$

which, by degree reasons, is equal to

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \int_{\Delta_n \times I} (\Theta_2)_{(n)}^* (p_1^*(\omega) \wedge \cdots \wedge p_n^*(\omega_1)),$$

the expression for $\beta_2(\sigma)$. □

Theorem A. The map that associates a principal 2-bundle with connection to a higher local system is compatible with the construction of holonomies. More precisely, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Loc}_{\infty}^{(E, \partial)}(M) & \xrightarrow{K} & 2\text{-}\mathcal{B}un_{\mathcal{F}(E, \partial)}^f(M) \\ \tau \downarrow & & \downarrow T \\ \mathrm{Rep}_{\leq 2}(M, (E, \partial)) & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & \mathrm{Rep}_{\leq 2}(M, \mathcal{F}(E, \partial)) \end{array}$$

The results above imply our main result

Proof. We need to prove that two functors defined on the fundamental 2-groupoid of M coincide. On simplices of dimension one, both functors are defined by ordinary holonomies, so they are equal. On the other hand, the fact that the two functors match on 2-morphisms is implied by Lemma 4.8 □

References

- [1] Jonathan Block and Aaron M Smith. The higher Riemann Hilbert correspondance. *Advances in Mathematics*, 252:382–405, 2014.
- [2] Manuel Rivera and Mahmoud Zeinalian. The colimit of an infinity local system as a twisted tensor product. *Higher Structures*, 4(1), 2020.
- [3] Julian VS Holstein. Morita cohomology. *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 158(1):1–26, 2015.
- [4] Camilo Arias Abad and Florian Schtz. Flat \mathbb{Z} -graded Connections and Loop Spaces. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2018(4):961–1008, 12 2016.
- [5] Camilo Abad and F. Schatz. The a_{∞} de rham theorem and integration of representations up to homotopy. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2013, 07 2012.
- [6] Kiyoshi Igusa. Iterated integrals of superconnections, 2009.
- [7] V. Gugenheim. On chen’s iterated integrals. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, 21(3):703–715, 1977.
- [8] Kuo-Tsai Chen. Iterated path integrals. *Bulletin of The American Mathematical Society*, 83, 09 1977.
- [9] Urs Schreiber and Konrad Waldorf. Parallel transport and functors. *J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.*, pages 187–244, 2009.

- [10] Urs Schreiber, Konrad Waldorf, et al. Smooth functors vs. differential forms. *Homology, Homotopy and Applications*, 13(1):143–203, 2011.
- [11] Urs Schreiber and Konrad Waldorf. Local theory for 2-functors on path 2-groupoids. *Journal of Homotopy and Related Structures*, 12(3):617–658, 2017.
- [12] John C Baez and Urs Schreiber. Higher gauge theory. *Categories in Algebra, Geometry and Mathematical Physics, Contemporary Mathematics*, 431, 2007.
- [13] Toby Bartels. *2-bundles and higher gauge theory*. PhD thesis, University of California, Riverside, 2004.[arxiv: math/0410328], 2004.
- [14] Konrad Waldorf. A global perspective to connections on principal 2-bundles. *Forum Mathematicum*, 08 2016.
- [15] Konrad Waldorf. Parallel transport in principal 2-bundles. *Higher Structures*, 54:57–115., 2018.
- [16] João Faria Martins and Roger Picken. On two-dimensional holonomy. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 362, 11 2007.
- [17] Camilo Arias Abad and Florian Schaetz. Higher holonomies: Comparing two constructions. *Differential Geometry and its Applications*, 40, 04 2014.
- [18] João Faria Martins and Roger Picken. The fundamental gray 3-groupoid of a smooth manifold and local 3-dimensional holonomy based on a 2-crossed module. *Differential Geometry and its Applications*, 29, 07 2009.