

DISCRETIZATION OF INVERSE SCATTERING ON A HALF LINE

EVGENY L. KOROTYAEV

ABSTRACT. We solve inverse scattering problem for Schrödinger operators with compactly supported potentials on the half line. We discretize S-matrix: we take the value of the S-matrix on some infinite sequence of positive real numbers. Using this sequence obtained from S-matrix we recover uniquely the potential by a new explicit formula, without the Gelfand-Levitan-Marchenko equation.

Dedicated to Sergei Kuksin (Paris and Moscow) on the occasion of his 65-th birthday

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

1.1. Introduction. We consider Schrödinger operators $T_q y = -y'' + q(x)y$, $y(0) = 0$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. We assume that the potential q belongs to the real classes \mathcal{L}^α or \mathcal{P}^α defined by

$$\mathcal{L}^\alpha = \left\{ f \in L_{real}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+) : \text{supp } f \subset [0, 1] \right\}, \quad \mathcal{P}^\alpha = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{L}^\alpha : \sup \text{supp } f = 1 \right\}, \quad \alpha \geq 1.$$

It is well-known (see [4], [7], [26] and references therein) that the operator T_q has only purely absolutely continuous spectrum $[0, \infty)$ plus a finite number $m \geq 0$ of negative eigenvalues $E_1 < \dots < E_m < 0$. We introduce the Jost solutions $f_+(x, k)$ of the equation

$$-f_+'' + qf_+ = k^2 f_+, \quad (x, k) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \quad (1.1)$$

under the conditions $f_+(x, k) = e^{ixk}$, $x \geq 1$, and the Jost function $\psi(k) = f_+(0, k)$. The Jost function ψ is entire and satisfies

$$\psi(k) = 1 + O(1/k) \quad \text{as } |k| \rightarrow \infty, \quad k \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}_+, \quad (1.2)$$

uniformly in $\arg k \in [0, \pi]$. The function $\psi(k)$ has exactly m simple zeros in \mathbb{C}_+ given by

$$k_j = i|E_j|^{\frac{1}{2}} \in i\mathbb{R}_+, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_m = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}, \quad (1.3)$$

possibly one simple zero at 0, and an infinite number of zeros (so-called resonances) $0 \leq |k_{m+1}| \leq |k_{m+2}| \leq \dots$ in \mathbb{C}_- . The S-matrix is defined by

$$S(k) = \frac{\overline{\psi(k)}}{\psi(k)} = \frac{\psi(-k)}{\psi(k)} = e^{-i2\xi(k)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \quad (1.4)$$

where the function $\xi(k)$ is the phase shift. Recall that the function ξ is odd and is continuous on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and satisfies: $\xi(k) = O(1/k)$ as $k \rightarrow \pm\infty$ and $\xi(\pm 0) = \mp\pi(m + \frac{n_o(\psi)}{2})$. Here $n_o(f)$ is the multiplicity of 0 as a zero of a function f and note that $n_o(\psi) \leq 1$.

Date: October 8, 2020.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 81Q10 (34L40 47E05 47N50).

Key words and phrases. inverse scattering, Schrödinger operators .

There are a lot of results about an inverse scattering problem: to determine the potential q using the given phase shifts $\xi(k)$ defined on all $k > 0$, see [26], [7], [4], [24] and references therein. Marchenko [26] proved that the mapping $q \rightarrow \text{spectral data} = \{\xi + \text{eigenvalues} + \text{norming constants}\}$ is a bijection between a specific class of potentials and spectral data (see also Krein [24], [25]). We discuss the case of compactly supported potentials, which associated with resonances. Zworski obtained first results about the characterization problem (Proposition 8, p.293, Corollary p.295 in [32]) on the real line. He gave necessary and sufficient conditions for some function to be a transmission coefficient for some compactly supported potential q . But unfortunately, it is shown in [17] that this statement is not correct. Inverse problems (characterization, recovering, uniqueness) for compactly supported potentials in terms of resonances were solved by Korotyaev for a Schrödinger operator with a compactly supported potential on the real line [17] and the half-line [15], see also Zworski [31], Brown-Knowles-Weikard [3] concerning the uniqueness. Moreover, there are other results about perturbations of the following model (unperturbed) potentials by compactly supported potentials: step potentials [5], periodic potentials [20], and linear potentials (corresponding to one-dimensional Stark operators) [21]. We mention also that inverse resonance scattering (characterization, recovering, uniqueness) were discussed by Korotyaev and Mokeev for a Dirac operator and for canonical systems on the half-line [22] and the real line [23].

We consider the following inverse problem: to recover the compactly supported potential when the phase shifts are given at some increasing sequence of energy. We give the physical motivation. When conducting an experiment (scattering of a particle by a potential) the phase shift is measured in a discrete set of points of energy. By this discrete set of phase shift values (+ proper eigenvalues and norming constants) a potential is restored using numerical methods. Our main goal is to solve the inverse scattering problem on the half-line according to the values of the phase shift in a discrete set of points only.

Introduce the set \mathcal{J}_α of all possible Jost functions for $q \in \mathcal{P}^\alpha$:

Definition J. By $\mathcal{J}_\alpha, \alpha \in [1, \infty)$ we mean the class of all entire functions f having the form

$$f(k) = 1 + \frac{\hat{F}(k) - \hat{F}(0)}{2ik}, \quad k \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (1.5)$$

where $\hat{F}(k) = \int_0^1 F(x)e^{2ixk} dx$ and $F \in \mathcal{P}^\alpha$. The zeros of f satisfies:

- i) The set of zeros (counted with multiplicity) of f is symmetric with respect to the imaginary line, $f(k) \neq 0$ for any $k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and f has possibly a simple zero at $k = 0$.
- ii) All zeros $k_1, \dots, k_m, m \geq 0$ of the function f in \mathbb{C}_+ are simple, belong to $i\mathbb{R}_+$ and if they are labeled by $|k_1| > |k_2| > \dots > |k_m| > 0$, then they satisfy

$$(-1)^j f(-k_j) > 0, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}_m := \{1, 2, \dots, m\}, \quad (1.6)$$

where (1.6) is equivalent to the following condition:

$f(-k_j) \neq 0, j \in \mathbb{N}_m$ and the function f has an odd number ≥ 1 of zeros on any interval $(-k_j, -k_{j+1}), j \in \mathbb{N}_{m-1}$ and an even number ≥ 0 of zeros on the interval $(-k_m, 0]$.

Introduce the set \mathcal{S}_α of all possible S-matrices:

$$\mathcal{S}_\alpha = \left\{ S(k) = \frac{\psi(-k)}{\psi(k)}, k \in \mathbb{R} : \psi \in \mathcal{J}_\alpha \right\}, \quad \alpha \geq 1.$$

We sometimes write $\psi(k, q), k_n(q), \dots$ instead of $\psi(k), k_n, \dots$ when several potentials are being dealt with. In [15] it was shown that the mapping $q \rightarrow \psi(k, q)$ is the bijection between \mathcal{P}^1 and \mathcal{J}_1 . We improve this result, including the characterization.

Theorem 1.1. *i) A mapping $q \rightarrow \psi(k, q)$ is a bijection between \mathcal{P}^α and \mathcal{J}_α for any $\alpha \in [1, 2]$. Moreover, there exists an algorithm to recover the potential $q \in \mathcal{P}^\alpha$ in terms of its eigenvalues and resonances.*

ii) Each mapping $S : \mathcal{P}^\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\alpha, \alpha \in [1, 2]$ given by $q \rightarrow S(k, q)$ is a bijection.

iii) Let a sequence $r_n > 0, n \geq 1$ satisfy $|r_n - \frac{\pi}{2}n| < \frac{\pi}{8}$ for all $n \geq 1$. If $S(r_n, q_1) = S(r_n, q_2)$ for some $q_1, q_2 \in \mathcal{L}^1$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then we have $q_1 = q_2$.

Remarks. 1) In the inverse theory of Marchenko [26] one needs the phase shift plus eigenvalues and norming constants. In iii) we need only $S(r_n, q_1), n \geq 1$.

2) In Section 3 we have additional results about the mapping $q \rightarrow (S(r_n, q))_1^\infty$. In Theorem 3.6 we discuss the local isomorphism and an injection.

1.2. **Main results.** Define sets of potentials:

$$\mathcal{L}_+^\alpha = \left\{ q \in \mathcal{L}^\alpha : \psi(k, q) \neq 0, \forall k \in \mathbb{C}_+ \right\}, \quad \mathcal{P}_+^\alpha = \mathcal{L}_+^\alpha \cap \mathcal{P}^\alpha, \quad \alpha \geq 1.$$

Let $q_* \in \mathcal{L}^\alpha$. Consider a Jost function $\psi_* = \psi(k, q_*)$ with zeros $k_j, j = 1, \dots, m$. We define a new function $F = B(k)\psi_*(k)$ using the Blaschke product B given by

$$B(k) = \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{k + k_j}{k - k_j}, \quad k \in \mathbb{C}_+, \quad (1.7)$$

Results from [15] (see Theorem 2.1 below) give that $F \in \mathcal{J}_1$ and $F = \psi(k, q)$ is the Jost function for a unique potential $q \in \mathcal{L}_+^1$. Note that there are more results about zeros of Jost functions in [15], [16], [28]. Thus we have two steps to solve the following inverse problem:

- To recover the potential q_* , when $q \in \mathcal{L}_+^1$ and $B(k)$ are given and $\psi(k, q_*), \psi(k, q) \in \mathcal{J}_1$.
- The inverse problem for functions $\psi(\cdot, q) \in \mathcal{J}_1$, when $q \in \mathcal{L}_+^1$.

We consider **the first step**: to recover q_* , when $q \in \mathcal{L}_+^1$ and all zeros $k_j, j = 1, \dots, m$ of ψ_* in \mathbb{C}_+ are given. Jost and Kohn [11] adapted the Gelfand-Levitán method [9] to determine a potential q_* from the function q and the zeros of $\psi(k, q_*)$ in \mathbb{C}_+ . We describe their results for our case, when a potential $q \in \mathcal{P}_+^1$ be given and for simplicity we assume that $m = 1$. For any $k_* = ir, r > 0$ and any constant $\mathfrak{c} > 0$ the function $\psi_*(k) = \psi(k, q) \frac{k - k_*}{k + k_*}$ is a Jost function for a potential $q_* \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ given by

$$q_* = q + q_o, \quad q_o = -(\log A)'' , \quad (1.8)$$

where the function $A_x = 1 + \mathfrak{c} \int_0^x \varphi^2(s, k_*, q) ds$ and $\varphi(x, k, q)$ is the solutions of the equation $-\varphi'' + q\varphi = k^2\varphi$ under the conditions: $\varphi(0, k, q) = 0$ and $\varphi'(0, k, q) = 1$. Note that $q_o, q'_o \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Moreover, $q_o, q'_o \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\mathfrak{c} = \int_0^\infty \varphi^2(x, k_*, q_*) dy > 0$ is the normalizing constant, which can be any positive number. It is important that, they determine asymptotics:

$$q_o = -\frac{2}{\mathfrak{c}}(2r)^5 e^{-2rx}(1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.9)$$

Recall results from [15]: Let $q \in \mathcal{P}^1$ and let $\psi_* = \psi(k, q) \frac{k - k_*}{k + k_*} \in \mathcal{J}_1$ for some $k_* \in i\mathbb{R}_+$. Then $\psi_* = \psi(k, q_*)$ is the Jost function for a unique potential $q_* \in \mathcal{P}^1$. In case we have $q_o \in \mathcal{L}^1$.

Thus asymptotics (1.9) of Jost and Kohn [11] is not correct for specific cases. Then the identity (1.8) of Jost and Kohn [11] jointly with the remark from [15] solve the first step. Note that if we take $\psi \in \mathcal{J}_\alpha$ for some $q \in \mathcal{P}^\alpha, \alpha \in [1, 2]$, and $\psi_* \in \mathcal{J}_1$, then (1.8) gives that $q_* \in \mathcal{P}^\alpha$. We describe more the first step in Section 4.

We consider **the second step**, which is the main and the more important. Introduce the real space $\ell^2 = \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ of all sequences $f = (f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ equipped with the norm $\|f\|^2 = \sum_{n \geq 1} |f_n|^2 < \infty$.

Consider two Sturm-Liouville problems for $q \in L^1(0, 1)$:

$$-y'' + qy = \lambda y, \quad y(0) = y(1) = 0, \quad (1.10)$$

$$-y'' + qy = \lambda y, \quad y(0) = y'(1) = 0. \quad (1.11)$$

Let μ_n and $\tau_n, n \geq 1$ be eigenvalues of the problem (1.10) and (1.11) respectively. It is well known that these eigenvalues are simple, interlace $\tau_1 < \mu_1 < \tau_2 < \mu_2 < \dots$ and satisfy:

$$\begin{cases} \tau_n = \pi^2(n - \frac{1}{2})^2 + \sigma_o + \tilde{\tau}_n, & n \geq 1, \quad \tilde{\tau}_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \\ \mu_n = (\pi n)^2 + \sigma_o + \tilde{\mu}_n, & n \geq 1, \quad \tilde{\mu}_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{cases}, \quad (1.12)$$

and $\sigma_o = \int_0^1 q dx$, see [27]. Moreover, if $q \in L^2(0, 1)$, then we have $(\tilde{\tau}_n)_1^\infty \in \ell^2$ and $(\tilde{\mu}_n)_1^\infty \in \ell^2$. In our consideration we use the following crucial fact: $q \in \mathcal{L}_+^2$ iff the first eigenvalue $\tau_1 \geq 0$ of (1.11), i.e.,

$$q \in \mathcal{L}_+^2 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \tau_1 \geq 0, \quad (1.13)$$

see e.g., [18]. We fix model (unperturbed) sequences (corresponding to potential $q = 0$) by

$$p^o = (p_n^o)_1^\infty, \quad p_n^o = \frac{\pi}{2}n, \quad \gamma^o = (\gamma_n^o)_1^\infty, \quad \gamma_n^o = (p_n^o)^2.$$

Proposition 1.2. *Let $q \in \mathcal{L}_+^1$. Then the function $k - \xi(k)$, is strongly increasing in $k \in [0, \infty)$ and $1 - \xi' > 0$. Moreover, for each $n \geq 1$ the equation $k - \xi(k) = p_n^o$ has a unique solution $p_n \geq 0$ such that*

$$p_n = p_n^o + \xi(p_n), \quad \xi(p_n) = \frac{\sigma_o + \sigma_n}{2p_n^o}, \quad \sigma_o = \int_0^1 q dx, \quad (1.14)$$

$$\mu_n = p_{2n}^2, \quad \tau_n = p_{2n-1}^2 \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad (1.15)$$

where μ_n, τ_n are eigenvalues of the problem (1.10) and (1.11) respectively. If in addition $q \in \mathcal{L}_+^2$, then we have $(\sigma_n)_1^\infty \in \ell^2$.

For a potential $q \in \mathcal{L}_+^2$ due to Proposition 1.2 we have an increasing sequence $0 \leq p_1 < p_2 < \dots$, where $p_n = p_n^o + \frac{\sigma_o + \sigma_n}{2p_n^o}$ and $(\sigma_n)_1^\infty \in \ell^2$. We define the spectral data \mathfrak{S}_o by

$$\mathfrak{S}_o = \left\{ (\sigma_o, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \oplus \ell^2 : 0 \leq p_1 < p_2 < p_3 < \dots, p_n = p_n^o + \frac{\sigma_o + \sigma_n}{2p_n^o}, n \geq 1, \sigma = (\sigma_n)_1^\infty \right\}.$$

Using asymptotics (1.14) with $\xi(p_n) = \frac{\sigma_o + \sigma_n}{2p_n^o}$ we define the **phase mapping** $\phi : \mathcal{L}_+^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_o$ by:

$$q \rightarrow \phi = (\sigma_o, \sigma), \quad \sigma_o = q_o := \int_0^1 q dx, \quad \sigma = (\sigma_n)_1^\infty. \quad (1.16)$$

We formulate our main result about the inverse problem.

Theorem 1.3. *The phase mapping $\phi : \mathcal{L}_+^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_o$ is a bijection between \mathcal{L}_+^2 and \mathfrak{S}_o . Moreover, for any $\phi \in \mathfrak{S}_o$ the corresponding potential $q \in \mathcal{L}_+^2$ has the form:*

$$q(x) = \sigma_o - 2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \log \left(\Gamma_\gamma \det \Omega(x, p) \right), \quad x \in (0, 1), \quad (1.17)$$

where $\Omega(x, p), x \in (0, 1)$ is the infinite matrix whose elements $\Omega_{n,j}$ are given by

$$\Omega_{n,j}(x, p) = \frac{\gamma_n - \gamma_n^o}{\gamma_n - \gamma_j^o} \left\{ \cos \sqrt{\gamma_n} x + \frac{(-1)^n - \cos 2\sqrt{\gamma_n}}{\sin 2\sqrt{\gamma_n}} \sin \sqrt{\gamma_n} x, \frac{\sin p_j^o x}{p_j^o} \right\}_w, \quad (1.18)$$

$$\gamma_n = p_n^2 - \sigma_o = \gamma_n^o + \tilde{\gamma}_n, \quad \text{where} \quad \gamma_n^o = (p_n^o)^2 = (\pi n)^2/4, \quad (\tilde{\gamma}_n)_1^\infty \in \ell^2,$$

where $\{u, v\}_w = uv' - u'v$ and

$$\Gamma_\gamma = \prod_{j>n \geq 1} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_n - \gamma_n^o & \gamma_n^o - \gamma_j \\ \gamma_n - \gamma_j & \gamma_n^o - \gamma_j^o \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.19)$$

Remarks. 1) Here $\Omega - I$ is the trace class operator and $\det \Omega(x, p)$ is well defined. The proof is based on the recovering identity for Sturm-Liouville problem on the unit interval from [19], which was adopted from the case of even potentials, see page 117 in [30].

2) Recovering similar to (1.17) is well known due to Jost and Kohn [11]. In addition similar formulas are used for reflectionless potentials on the line, see e.g., [1], [6], [7], where only eigenvalues and norming constants are used. In (1.17) we use only the phase shift.

3) We would like to note that there is a paper [2], where the authors consider the inverse scattering problem for Schrödinger operators H_q for $q \in \mathcal{L}^1$. They also use the GLM equation as in [15]. Unfortunately, they do not mention the previous works about it such that [3],[15], [31].

Example. We compute q with one parameter, when $\gamma_n, n \geq 1$ have the form: the first $\gamma_1 = p_1^2, 0 < p_1 < \pi$ is free and all other $\gamma_n = (p_n^o)^2, n \geq 2$ are frozen. Let

$$v = \sin \frac{\pi}{2} x, \quad u = \cos \sqrt{\gamma_1} x - \frac{1 + \cos 2\sqrt{\gamma_1}}{\sin 2\sqrt{\gamma_1}} \sin \sqrt{\gamma_1} x = C \sin \sqrt{\gamma_1} (1 - x),$$

where $C = \text{ctg } \gamma_1$. They satisfy

$$u'' = -\gamma_1 u, \quad v'' = -(\pi/2)^2 v, \quad w = uv' - u'v, \quad w' = uv'' - u''v = Euv, \quad E = \gamma_1 - \gamma_1^o.$$

Then from Theorem 1.3 we determine the corresponding potential q by

$$q = -2(\ln w)'' = -2\left(\frac{w'}{w}\right)' = -2\frac{(w')^2 - ww''}{w^2}.$$

In this case Ω is a scalar. If we take the potential q with \mathbf{m} free parameters $0 < \gamma_1 < \dots < \gamma_N < (p_{N+1}^o)^2$ and all other $\gamma_n = (p_n^o)^2, n \geq N + 1$ are frozen, then Ω is the $N \times N$ matrix.

1.3. Smooth potentials. In order to discuss smooth potentials we define the Sobolev space W_α and the class W_α^+ by

$$W_\alpha = \{q, q^{(\alpha)} \in L^2(0, 1)\}, \quad W_\alpha^+ = \{q \in \mathcal{L}_+^2 : q|_{(0,1)} \in W_\alpha\}, \quad \alpha \geq 0.$$

Recall results from [27]: if $q \in W_\alpha$, then eigenvalues μ_n and $\tau_n, n \geq 1$ of the problem (1.10) and (1.11) have the asymptotics

$$\sqrt{\mu_n} = \sqrt{\mu_n^o} + \frac{\sigma_0}{2\sqrt{\mu_n^o}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_n^o}} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \frac{\alpha+1}{2}} \frac{a_j}{(4\mu_n^o)^j} + \frac{u_n}{n^{\alpha+1}}, \quad (1.20)$$

$$\sqrt{\tau_n} = \sqrt{\tau_n^o} + \frac{\sigma_0}{2\sqrt{\tau_n^o}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_n^o}} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \frac{\alpha+1}{2}} \frac{b_j}{(4\tau_n^o)^j} + \frac{v_n}{n^{\alpha+1}}, \quad (1.21)$$

for some real a_j, b_j and $(u_n)_1^\infty, (v_n)_1^\infty \in \ell^2$. If $q \in W_\alpha^+$, then due to (1.15) the sequences $\tau_n = p_{2n-1}^2$, $\mu_n = p_{2n}^2$, $n \geq 1$ satisfy (1.20)-(1.21). We define the spectral data \mathfrak{S}_α , $\alpha \geq 1$ (similar to the case $\alpha = 0$) for $q \in W_\alpha^+$ by

$$\mathfrak{S}_\alpha = \left\{ (\sigma_0, a, b, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2\alpha} \oplus \ell^2 : a = (a_j)_1^\alpha, (b_j)_1^\alpha, \sigma = (\sigma_n)_1^\infty, \quad 0 \leq p_1 < p_2 < p_3 < \dots, \right. \\ \left. p_n = p_n^o + \frac{\sigma_o + \tilde{p}_n}{2p_n^o}, \quad \tilde{p}_n = \sum_{2 \leq 2j \leq \alpha} \frac{a_j}{(2p_j^o)^{2j}} + \frac{\sigma_s}{(2p_j^o)^{\alpha+1}}, \quad n = 2s, \right. \\ \left. \tilde{p}_n = \sum_{2 \leq 2j \leq \alpha} \frac{b_j}{(2p_j^o)^{2j}} + \frac{\sigma_s}{(2p_j^o)^{\alpha+1}}, \quad n = 2s + 1 \right\}.$$

If $q \in W_\alpha^+$ for some $\alpha \geq 1$, then the sequence $p_{2n-1} = \sqrt{\tau_n}$, $p_{2n} = \sqrt{\mu_n}$, $n \geq 1$ has the asymptotics (1.20), (1.21). Thus we have the mapping from W_α^+ into \mathfrak{S}_α given by

$$\phi_\alpha : q \rightarrow \{\sigma_o, a, b, \sigma\}, \quad \sigma_o = q_o. \quad (1.22)$$

Corollary 1.4. *Each mapping $\phi_\alpha : W_\alpha^+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_\alpha$, $\alpha \geq 1$ is a bijection between W_α^+ and \mathfrak{S}_α .*

The plan of this paper is as follows. In Sect. 2 we prove the main results. In Sect. 3 we consider the mapping $q \rightarrow S(r_n, q)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for some increasing sequence $r_n > 0$, $n \geq 1$. In Sect. 4 we discuss results of Jost and Kohn.

2. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREMS

2.1. Preliminaries. We recall some well known facts about entire functions (see e.g., [14]). An entire function $f(z)$ is said to be of *exponential type* if there is a constant A such that $|f(z)| \leq \text{const. } e^{A|z|}$ everywhere. The function f is said to belong to the Cartwright class \mathcal{E}_{Cart} , if $f(z)$ is entire, of exponential type, and satisfies:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\log(1 + |f(x)|) dx}{1 + x^2} < \infty, \quad \rho_+(f) = 0, \quad \rho_-(f) = 2,$$

where the types $\rho_\pm(f)$ are given by $\rho_\pm(f) = \limsup_{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |f(\pm iy)|}{y}$. Let $f(z)$ belong to the Cartwright class \mathcal{E}_{Cart} and denote by $(z_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ the sequence of its zeros $\neq 0$ (counted with multiplicity), so arranged that $0 < |z_1| \leq |z_2| \leq \dots$. Then we have the Hadamard factorization:

$$f(z) = f(0)e^{iz} \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \prod_{|z_n| \leq r} \left(1 - \frac{z}{z_n}\right), \quad (2.1)$$

uniformly in every bounded disk and

$$\sum_{z_n \neq 0} \frac{|\text{Im } z_n|}{|z_n|^2} < \infty. \quad (2.2)$$

We discuss Jost functions. It is well known that the Jost solution $f_+(x, k)$ satisfies the equation

$$f_+(x, k) = e^{ixk} - \int_x^1 \frac{\sin k(x-t)}{k} q(t) f_+(t, k) dt, \quad x \in [0, 1], \quad (2.3)$$

for all $(k, q) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{P}^1$. Note that the Jost function $\psi(k) = f_+(0, k)$ is entire. The Jost function $\psi(k)$ is real on the imaginary line and then satisfies $\overline{\psi(k)} = \psi(-\bar{k})$ for all $k \in \mathbb{C}$.

Introduce the solutions $\varphi(x, k), \vartheta(x, k)$ of the equation $-y'' + qy = k^2y$ under the conditions: $\varphi(0, k) = \vartheta'(0, k) = 0$ and $\varphi'(0, k) = \vartheta(0, k) = 1$. Note that the function φ has the form

$$\varphi(x, k) = \frac{f_+(0, k)}{2ik} \left(f_+(x, k)S(k) - f_+(x, -k) \right) \quad \forall (x, k) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.4)$$

From (2.4) we obtain the well known identity for any $k > 0, x \geq 1$:

$$\varphi(x, k) = \frac{|\psi(k)|}{k} \sin(kx - \xi(k)). \quad (2.5)$$

We need standard results about the Jost function for $q \in \mathcal{P}^1$ (see e.g. [15], [17]).

- The Jost function is expressed in terms of the fundamental solutions φ, ϑ for all $k \in \mathbb{C}$ by

$$e^{-ik} f_+(0, k) = \varphi'(1, k) - ik\varphi(1, k), \quad e^{-ik} f'_+(0, k) = ik\vartheta(1, k) - \vartheta'(1, k). \quad (2.6)$$

- Recall that $\hat{q}(k) = \int_0^1 q(x)e^{2ixk} dx$. The Jost function $\psi(k) = f_+(0, k), q \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ satisfies

$$|\psi(k) - 1| \leq \omega e^{|\nu| - \nu + \omega}, \quad \nu = \text{Im } k, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\psi(\cdot) = 1 + \psi_1 + \psi_2, \quad \psi_1(k) = \frac{\hat{q}(k) - \hat{q}(0)}{2ik}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$|\psi_2(k)| \leq \omega^2 e^{|\nu| - \nu + \omega},$$

where $|k|_1 = \max\{1, |k|\}$ and $\omega = \min\{\|q\|, \frac{\|q\|}{|k|}\}$ and $\|q\| = \int_0^1 |q(x)| dx$.

- The Jost function satisfies the following asymptotics

$$\log \psi(k) = -\psi_1(k) + \frac{O(1)}{k^2}, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\xi(k, q) = \frac{\hat{q}(0) - \hat{q}_c(k)}{2k} + \frac{O(1)}{k^2}, \quad (2.10)$$

as $|k| \rightarrow \infty, k \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}_+$, and uniformly with respect to $\arg k \in [0, \pi]$ and $\hat{q}_c(k) = \int_0^1 q(x) \cos 2kx dx$.

Below we need the following result.

Theorem 2.1. *i) The mapping $q \rightarrow \psi(k, q)$ acting from \mathcal{P}^1 to \mathcal{J}_1 is a bijection.*

ii) Let $\tilde{\psi} = B(k)\psi(k)$, where $B(k) = \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{k+k_j}{k-k_j}$. Then $\tilde{\psi}$ is the Jost function $\psi(k, \tilde{q})$ for an unique potential $\tilde{q} \in \mathcal{L}^1$ and $\psi(k, \tilde{q})$ has not zeros in \mathbb{C}_+ .

iii) Let $q \in \mathcal{P}^1$. Then $\varrho_+(\psi) = 0$ and $\varrho_-(\psi) = 2$ and the Jost function $\psi(k, q)$ is given by

$$\psi(k) = k^{n_o} \psi^{(n_o)}(0) e^{ik} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{|k_n| \leq r, k_n \neq 0} \left(1 - \frac{k}{k_n} \right), \quad k \in \mathbb{C}, \quad n_o(\psi) \in \{0, 1\}, \quad (2.11)$$

uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{C} and the shift function ξ has the form

$$\xi(k) = -\pi \left(m + \frac{n_o}{2} \right) + \int_0^k \xi'(t) dt, \quad \xi'(k) = 1 + \sum_{n=1, k_n \neq 0}^{\infty} \frac{\text{Im } k_n}{|k - k_n|^2}, \quad k \geq 0, \quad (2.12)$$

where $n_o = n_o(\psi)$ and norming constants $\mathbf{n}_j := \int_0^{\infty} f_+^2(x, k_j) dx$ satisfy

$$\mathbf{n}_j = -i \frac{\psi'(k_j)}{\psi(-k_j)} \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}_m. \quad (2.13)$$

Moreover, we can recover the potential q in terms of its eigenvalues and resonances.

iv) The following trace formula holds true:

$$-2k \operatorname{Tr} \left(R(k) - R_0(k) \right) = \frac{n_o}{k} + i + \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k_n \neq 0, |k_n| < r} \frac{1}{k - k_n}, \quad (2.14)$$

Proof of i)-iii) was given in [15]. We show (2.14). Define the Fredholm determinant

$$D(k) = \det(I + Y(k)), \quad k \in \mathbb{C}_+, \quad \text{where} \quad Y(k) = |q|^{\frac{1}{2}} R_0(k^2) |q|^{\frac{1}{2}} \operatorname{sign} q.$$

It is well known that $Y(k)$ is the trace class operator and thus the determinant is well defined. Recall the known fact, see e.g., [10]. Let an operator-valued function $\Omega : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_1$ be analytic for some domain $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $(I + \Omega(z))^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}$ for any $z \in \mathcal{D}$. Then for the function $F(z) = \det(I + \Omega(z))$ we have

$$F'(z) = F(z) \operatorname{Tr} (I + \Omega(z))^{-1} \Omega'(z). \quad (2.15)$$

Thus due to (2.15) and the identity $R = R_0 - RqR_0$ we obtain the known identity

$$\frac{D'(k)}{D(k)} = 2k \operatorname{Tr} (I + Y(k))^{-1} Y'(k) = -2k \operatorname{Tr} (R(k^2) - R_0(k^2)).$$

The Hadamard factorization (2.11) yields the derivative of the Jost function

$$\frac{\psi'(k)}{\psi(k)} = \frac{n_o}{k} + i + \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k_n \neq 0, |k_n| < r} \frac{1}{k - k_n}.$$

Using a known result of Jost–Pais [12], that the Jost function is a Fredholm determinant, $\psi = D$, we obtain (2.14). ■

Remark. Due to (2.1) the series in (2.12) converges absolutely.

2.2. Proof of main results. We are ready to prove main theorems.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We omit the proof of i), since it repeats the proof of Theorem 2.1.

ii) We will prove that the mapping $S : \mathcal{P}^\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\alpha$ given by $q \rightarrow S(k, q)$ is an injection. Let $\psi_j(k)$ be the Jost function for potentials $q_j, j = 1, 2$ and let $S_j = \frac{\psi_j(-k)}{\psi_j(k)}$ be the S-matrix. We assume that $S_1 = S_2$. We show that $q_1 = q_2$. The properties of the class \mathcal{J}_α give that the functions ψ_1 and ψ_2 have the same zeros $\neq 0$. Note that $n_o(\psi_2) = n_o(\psi_1) \leq 1$, since the functions ψ_1, ψ_2 have the same even number of zeros on the interval $(-k_m, 0]$. This and (2.11) yield $\psi_1 = \psi_2$ and i) implies $q_1 = q_2$.

We show that the mapping $S : \mathcal{P}^\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\alpha$ is onto (the characterization). Let $S = \frac{f(-k)}{f(k)}$ for some $f \in \mathcal{J}_\alpha$. Then due to i) there exists a unique $q \in \mathcal{P}^\alpha$ such that $f = \psi(k, q)$ and then we obtain $S = \frac{f(-k)}{f(k)} = \frac{\psi(-k, q)}{\psi(k, q)}$.

iii) Let ψ_j be the Jost function for the potential $q_j, j = 1, 2$. Define the function

$$F(k) = \psi_1(k)\psi_2(-k) - \psi_2(k)\psi_1(-k), \quad k \in \mathbb{C}.$$

The entire function F has the following properties:

- 1) $F \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, since $F(k) = O(1/k)$ as $k \rightarrow \pm\infty$.
- 2) $F(\pm r_n) = 0$ for all $r_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $F(0) = 0$.
- 3) The function F has a type ≤ 2 .

Then Paley-Wiener Theorem (see p. 30 in [14]) gives $F(k) = \int_{-2}^2 g(x) e^{-2ikx} dx$ for some $g \in L^2(-2, 2)$. Recall the Kadets Theorem [13]: If a sequence of real numbers $z_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies $\sup_n |z_n - n| < \frac{1}{4}$, then $e^{iz_n t}$ is a Riesz basis of $L^2(-\pi, \pi)$. Thus the Kadets Theorem

and the properties 1)-3) yield $F = 0$ and then $\frac{\psi_1(-k)}{\psi_1(k)} = \frac{\psi_2(-k)}{\psi_2(k)}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{C}$. Then i) of theorem implies that $q_1 = q_2$. ■

In order to prove main results we discuss the properties of the sequence $p_n, n \geq 1$.

Proof of Proposition 1.2. Let $q \in \mathcal{L}_+^1$. Then from (2.12) we obtain that $1 - \xi'(k) > 0$ for all $k \geq 0$ and $k - \xi(k) = \frac{\pi}{2}n_o + \int_0^k (1 - \xi'(t))dt > 0$. Asymptotics (2.10) yields that $k - \xi(k) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. Thus for each $n \geq 1$ the equation $k - \xi(k) = p_n^o$ has a unique solution $p_n \geq 0$ such that due to (2.10) we have

$$p_n = p_n^o + \frac{\sigma_o + \sigma_n}{2p_n^o}, \quad \sigma_o = q_o = \int_0^1 q dx \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.16)$$

Due to (2.5) the function $\varphi(1, k) = \frac{|\psi(k)|}{k} \sin(k - \xi(k)), k > 0$ has the following zeros $p_{2n}, n \geq 1$. Thus each $p_{2n}^2 = \mu_n, n \geq 1$ is the eigenvalues of the problem $-y'' + qy = \lambda y, y(0) = y(1) = 0$.

The function $\varphi'(1, k) = |\psi(k)| \cos(k - \xi(k)), k > 0$ has the following zeros $p_{2n-1}, n \geq 1$. Thus each $p_{2n-1}^2 = \tau_n, n \geq 1$ is the eigenvalues of the problem $-y'' + qy = \lambda y, y(0) = y'(1) = 0$.

Let $q \in \mathcal{L}_+^2$. Then from (2.10) and (2.16) we have $p_n = p_n^o + \frac{\sigma_o + \sigma_n}{2p_n^o}$, where $(\sigma_n)_1^\infty \in \ell^2$. ■

Proof of Theorems 1.3. Recall that the sequence $\gamma^o = (\gamma_n^o)_1^\infty, \gamma_n^o = \frac{(\pi n)^2}{4}$. We define the domain (spectral data) $\Gamma = \left\{ (\varepsilon_n)_1^\infty \in \ell^2 : \gamma_1^o + \varepsilon_1 < \gamma_2^o + \varepsilon_2 < \dots \right\} \subset \ell^2$. Recall Corollary 1.2 from [19]: *Let $\mathcal{H} = \{q \in L^2(0, 1) : \int_0^1 q dx = 0\}$. For $q \in \mathcal{H}$ we define the sequence $\gamma = (\gamma_n)_1^\infty$ by $\gamma_{2n-1} = \tau_n, \gamma_{2n} = \mu_n, n \geq 1$. The mapping $q \mapsto \gamma(q)$ from \mathcal{H} to Γ is a real-analytic isomorphism between \mathcal{H} and Γ . Moreover, the potential q has the form (1.17).*

Due to Proposition 1.2 for each $q \in \mathcal{L}_+^2$ there exist a sequence $p = (p_n)_1^\infty$ such that

- $p_{2n}^2 = \mu_n, n \geq 1$ is the eigenvalues of the problem $-y'' + qy = \lambda y, y(0) = y(1) = 0$.
- $p_{2n-1}^2 = \tau_n, n \geq 1$ is the eigenvalues of the problem $-y'' + qy = \lambda y, y(0) = y'(1) = 0$.

For the sequence $p_n = p_n^o + \frac{\sigma_o + \sigma_n}{2p_n^o}$, where $(\sigma_o, \sigma) \in \mathfrak{S}_o$ we define a new sequence $\gamma \in \Gamma$ by

$$\gamma = (\gamma_n)_1^\infty, \quad \gamma_n = p_n^2 - \sigma_o = \gamma_n^o + \tilde{\gamma}_n, \quad (\tilde{\gamma}_n)_1^\infty \in \ell^2.$$

Then using Corollary 1.2 from [19] we obtain the proof of Theorems 1.3. ■

Proof of Corollary 1.4. Due to Theorem 1.2 the mapping $\phi_0 : \mathcal{L}_+^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_o$ is a bijection. Recall that (1.15) implies $\tau_n = p_{2n-1}^2, \mu_n = p_{2n}^2$, for all $n \geq 1$. Recall results from [27]:

The potential $q \in W_\alpha$ for some $\alpha \geq 0$ iff the eigenvalues τ_n and $\mu_n, n \geq 1$ (corresponding to the problem (1.10) and (1.11)) have the following asymptotics estimates (1.20)-(1.21).

Then from this result we deduce that the mapping $\phi_\alpha : W_\alpha^+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_\alpha$ is a bijection between W_α^+ and \mathfrak{S}_α for any $\alpha \geq 0$. ■

2.3. Even potentials. Recall that $\mu_n = \mu_n(q), n \geq 1$ are the Dirichlet eigenvalues of the problem (1.10) and $\tau_n = \tau_n(q), n \geq 1$ are the mixed eigenvalues of the problem (1.11) on the unite interval corresponding to q and they satisfy $\tau_1 < \mu_1 < \tau_2 < \mu_2 < \dots$. We need to recall results from [18], which will be crucial for us:

$$q \in \mathcal{L}_+^2 \Leftrightarrow \tau_1(q) \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow \mu_1(q) > 0, \quad \varphi'(1, 0, q) \geq 0. \quad (2.17)$$

We discuss the inverse problem for the case of even potentials $q \in \mathcal{L}_{+,e}^2$, where the set of even potentials is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_{+,e}^2 = \left\{ q \in \mathcal{L}_+^2 : q(x) = q(1-x), x \in (0, 1) \right\}.$$

The condition, when $q \in \mathcal{L}_{+,e}^2$ are formulated in terms of $\tau_1 \geq 0$. In Theorem 1.3 we have used $\tau_n, \mu_n, n \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and the condition (2.17) was very natural. But for even potentials we plan to use only the Dirichlet eigenvalues $\mu_n, n \geq 1$. Thus we have to rewrite conditions $q \in \mathcal{L}_{+,e}^2$ only in terms of Dirichlet eigenvalues (2.20).

Lemma 2.2. *Let $q \in \mathcal{L}_{+,e}^2$. Then the function $\varphi'(1, \lambda)$ has the form*

$$\varphi'(1, \lambda) = \cos \sqrt{\lambda} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^n - \cos \sqrt{\mu_n}}{(\lambda - \mu_n) \dot{\varphi}(1, \mu_n)} \varphi(1, \lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (2.18)$$

where $\dot{u} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} u$ and the series converges uniformly on compact sets in \mathbb{C} , in particular,

$$\varphi'(1, 0) = 1 - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1 - \cos(\sqrt{\mu_n} - \pi n)}{\mu_n (-1)^n \dot{\varphi}(1, \mu_n)} \varphi(1, 0), \quad (2.19)$$

where $(-1)^n \dot{\varphi}(1, \mu_n) > 0$ and $\varphi(1, 0) > 0$. Moreover, we have

$$q \in \mathcal{L}_{+,e}^2 \Leftrightarrow \mu_1(q) > 0, \quad \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1 - \cos(\sqrt{\mu_n} - \pi n)}{\mu_n (-1)^n \dot{\varphi}(1, \mu_n)} \varphi(1, 0) \leq 1. \quad (2.20)$$

Proof. We need the interpolation formula from McKean-Trubowitz's paper [29] (see Theorem 2, p. 174): let $F = \varphi'(1, \lambda) - \cos \sqrt{\lambda}$, then the following identity

$$F(\lambda) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{F(\mu_n) \varphi(1, \lambda)}{(\lambda - \mu_n) \dot{\varphi}(1, \mu_n)}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (2.21)$$

holds true, where the series converges uniformly on compact sets in \mathbb{C} . It is well known that if $q \in \mathcal{L}_{+,e}^2$, then $\varphi'(1, \mu_n) = (-1)^n$ for all $n \geq 1$, see e.g., [8], which yields $F(\mu_n) = (-1)^n - \cos \sqrt{\mu_n}$ and (2.18), (2.19). From (2.19), (2.17) we obtain (2.20). ■

For $q \in \mathcal{L}_+^2$ due to Proposition 1.2 we have an increasing sequence $(p_n)_1^\infty$ such that

$$0 \leq p_1 < p_2 < \dots, \text{ where } p_n = p_n^o + \xi(p_n), \quad \xi(p_n) = \frac{\sigma_o + \sigma_n}{2p_n^o}, \quad (\sigma_n)_1^\infty \in \ell^2,$$

and $\sigma_o = \int_0^1 q dx$. We consider even potentials $q \in \mathcal{L}_{+,e}^2$, then we need less spectral data and we take only even sequences $p_{2n} = \mu_n, n \geq 1$. Moreover, in this case the Dirichlet eigenvalues μ_n have to satisfy additional condition (2.20). Thus using these conditions we define the spectral data $\mathfrak{S}_{o,e}$ for even potentials by

$$\mathfrak{S}_{o,e} = \begin{cases} (\sigma_o, \sigma_e) \in \mathbb{R} \oplus \ell^2 : 0 < p_2 < p_4 < \dots, p_{2n} = p_{2n}^o + \frac{\sigma_o + \sigma_{2n}}{2p_{2n}^o}, n \geq 1, \quad \sigma_e = (\sigma_{2n})_1^\infty, \\ \text{the sequence } (p_{2n})_1^\infty \text{ satisfies (2.22)} \end{cases}$$

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^n - \cos p_{2n}}{\mu_n v'(\mu_n)} \leq 1, \quad \text{where } v(\lambda) = \prod_{j \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\mu_j}\right), \quad \mu_j = p_{2j}^2. \quad (2.22)$$

The additional condition (2.22) for potentials from $q \in \mathcal{L}_{+,e}^2$ corresponds to the fact that the eigenvalue $\tau_1 \geq 0$, see (2.20). Using asymptotics (1.14) with $\xi(p_j) = \frac{\sigma_o + \sigma_j}{2p_j^o}$ for even $j = 2n$, similar to the phase mapping $\phi : \mathcal{L}_+^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_o$ we define the **even phase mapping** $\phi_e : \mathcal{L}_{+,e}^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{o,e}$ by:

$$q \rightarrow \phi_e = (\sigma_o, \sigma_e), \quad \sigma_o = q_o = \int_0^1 q dx, \quad \sigma_e = (\sigma_{2n})_1^\infty. \quad (2.23)$$

We formulate our result about the inverse problem for even potentials. For this case we use only Dirichlet eigenvalues $\mu_n = p_{2n}^2$ and do not use eigenvalues $\tau_n = p_{2n-1}^2, n \in \mathbb{N}$ for mixed boundary conditions. In order to recover the potential $q \in \mathcal{L}_{+,e}^2$ in (2.24) we need simple modification of Dirichlet eigenvalues $\mu_n = p_{2n}^2$ and we define the sequences of shifted Dirichlet eigenvalues $\mathbf{m}_n = \mu_n - \sigma_o, n \geq 1$, such that $(\mathbf{m}_n - (\pi n)^2)_1^\infty \in \ell^2$.

Theorem 2.3. *The even phase mapping $\phi_e : \mathcal{L}_{+,e}^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{o,e}$ is a bijection between $\mathcal{L}_{+,e}^2$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{o,e}$. Moreover, for any $\phi_e(q) = (\sigma_o, \sigma_e) \in \mathfrak{S}_{o,e}$ the corresponding potential $q \in \mathcal{L}_{+,e}^2$ has the form:*

$$q(x) = \sigma_o - 2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \log \left(G_{\mathbf{m}} \det \Omega(x, \mathbf{m}) \right), \quad x \in (0, 1), \quad (2.24)$$

$$\mathbf{m}_n = \mu_n - \sigma_o = (\pi n)^2 + \tilde{\mathbf{m}}_n, \quad \text{where} \quad (\tilde{\mathbf{m}}_n)_1^\infty \in \ell^2,$$

where $\Omega(x, \mathbf{m})$ is the infinite matrix whose elements $\Omega_{n,j}$ are given by

$$\Omega_{n,j}(x, \mathbf{m}) = \frac{\mathbf{m}_n - (\pi n)^2}{\mathbf{m}_n - (\pi j)^2} \left\{ \cos \sqrt{\mathbf{m}_n} x + \frac{(-1)^n - \cos \sqrt{\mathbf{m}_n}}{\sin \sqrt{\mathbf{m}_n}} \sin \sqrt{\mathbf{m}_n} x, \frac{\sin \pi j x}{\pi j} \right\}_w, \quad (2.25)$$

where $\{u, v\}_w = uv' - u'v$ and $G_{\mathbf{m}} = \prod_{j>n \geq 1} \left(\frac{\mathbf{m}_n - (\pi n)^2}{\mathbf{m}_n - \mathbf{m}_j} \cdot \frac{(\pi n)^2 - \mathbf{m}_j}{(\pi n)^2 - (\pi j)^2} \right)$.

Proof. The proof for even potentials is similar to the generic case of Theorem 1.3, but the formulas (2.24), (2.25) for even potentials are taken from p. 117 of [30]. There is a small difference between (1.17) and (2.24).

Let $q \in \mathcal{L}_{+,e}^2$. Then due to Theorem 1.3 and (2.20) we deduce that $\phi_e(q) = (\sigma_o, \sigma_e) \in \mathfrak{S}_{o,e}$. Recall the results from [30]. Define the space of even potentials

$$\mathcal{H}_e = \left\{ p \in L^2(0, 1) : \int_0^1 p dx = 0, \quad p(x) = p(1-x) \quad \forall x \in (0, 1) \right\}.$$

Following to the book of Pöschel and Trubowitz [30] we define the set \mathfrak{J} of all real, strictly increasing sequences by

$$\mathfrak{J} = \left\{ s = (s_n)_1^\infty : s_1 < s_2 < \dots, \quad s_n = (\pi n)^2 + \tilde{s}_n, \quad \tilde{s} = (\tilde{s}_n)_1^\infty \in \ell^2 \right\}$$

Let $\mathbf{m}(p), n \geq 1$ be the Dirichlet eigenvalues for $p \in \mathcal{H}_e$. The mapping $p \rightarrow (\mathbf{m}(p))_1^\infty$ acting from \mathcal{H}_e to the set \mathfrak{J} is a real analytic isomorphism between \mathcal{H}_e and \mathfrak{J} . Moreover, the potential p is recovered by the identity (2.24) ($p := q - \sigma_o$) in terms of its eigenvalues $(\mathbf{m}(p))_1^\infty$.

Let $q \in \mathcal{L}_{+,e}^2$. Then due to Theorem 1.3 the sequence $\mu_n = p_{2n}^2$ are the Dirichlet eigenvalues for $q \in \mathcal{H}_e$. Due to Lemma 2.2 the sequence $(\sigma_o, \sigma_e) \in \mathfrak{S}_{o,e}$ and the above results from [30] give that the mapping $\phi_e : \mathcal{L}_{+,e}^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{o,e}$ is an injection.

Let we have $(\sigma_o, \sigma_e) \in \mathfrak{S}_{o,e}$. Then we have an increasing sequence $\mu_n = p_{2n}^2, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and the above results from [30] give that μ_n are the Dirichlet eigenvalues for $q \in \mathcal{H}_e$ such that condition (2.22) holds true, which jointly with (2.20) gives $q \in \mathcal{L}_{+,e}^2$. Thus the even phase mapping $\phi_e : \mathcal{L}_{+,e}^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{o,e}$ is a bijection between $\mathcal{L}_{+,e}^2$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{o,e}$. Moreover, the above results from [30] gives (2.24). ■

3. ANALYTIC MAPPING

3.1. Analytic properties of S-matrix. Recall that $\varphi(x, k)$ is the solutions of the equation $-\varphi'' + q\varphi = k^2\varphi$ under the conditions: $\varphi(0, k) = 0, \varphi'(0, k) = 1$. We need properties of

the fundamental solution $\varphi(x, k) = \varphi(x, k, q)$, see e.g. [30]. Firstly we recall the following standard estimates:

$$|\varphi(x, k, q)| \leq \frac{e^{x|\operatorname{Im} k| + \omega}}{|k|_1}, \quad |\varphi(x, k, q) - \varphi_0(x, k)| \leq \frac{\omega e^{x|\operatorname{Im} k| + \omega}}{2|k|_1}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\text{where } |k|_1 = \max\{1, |k|\}, \quad \omega = \min\{\|q\|, \frac{\|q\|}{|k|}\}, \quad \|q\| = \int_0^1 |q(x)| dx,$$

where $\varphi_0(x, k) = \frac{\sin kx}{k}$. Secondly we recall the well-known analytic properties.

Lemma 3.1. *The functions $\varphi(1, k, q)$, $\varphi'(1, k, q)$ are entire on $(k, q) \in \mathbb{C} \times L_{\mathbb{C}}^2(0, 1)$. Their gradients are given by*

$$\frac{\partial \varphi(1, k, q)}{\partial q(x)} = (A\varphi)(x, k, q), \quad (3.2)$$

$$\frac{\partial \varphi'(1, k, q)}{\partial q(x)} = (B\varphi)(x, k, q), \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$A(x, \lambda, q) = \varphi(1)\vartheta(x) - \varphi(x)\vartheta(1), \quad B(x, \lambda, q) = \varphi'(1)\vartheta(x) - \varphi(x)\vartheta'(1). \quad (3.4)$$

here $\varphi(x) = \varphi(x, k, q)$, $\vartheta(x) = \vartheta(x, k, q)$, ..., for shortness.

We need properties of the Jost function as a function of a potential from the Hilbert space.

Lemma 3.2. *i) The function $\psi(k, q)$ is entire on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ and its gradient is given by*

$$\frac{\partial \psi(k, q)}{\partial q(x)} = \varphi(x, k, q) f_+(x, k, q). \quad (3.5)$$

ii) For each $q \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ there exists $p \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ such that the following identities hold true:

$$\psi(k, q) = 1 + \hat{g}(k) = 1 + \frac{\hat{p}(k) - \hat{p}(0)}{2ik}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (3.6)$$

where the function $g(t) = \int_t^1 p(x) dx$.

Proof. i) Lemma 3.1 and (2.6) imply that the function $\psi(k, q)$ is entire on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$. Let for shortness $f_+(x) = f_+(x, k, q)$, $\varphi(x) = \varphi(x, k, q)$, ... Using (2.6) and Lemma 3.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \psi(k)}{\partial q(x)} &= e^{ik} \left(\frac{\partial \varphi'(1)}{\partial q(x)} - ik \frac{\partial \varphi(1)}{\partial q(x)} \right) \\ &= e^{ik} \varphi(x) \left(\left[\vartheta(x) \varphi'(1) - \varphi(x) \vartheta'(1) \right] - ik \left[\vartheta(x) \varphi(1) - \varphi(x) \vartheta(1) \right] \right) \\ &= e^{ik} \varphi(x) \left(\vartheta(x) \left[\varphi'(1) - ik \varphi(1) \right] + \varphi(x) \left[-\vartheta'(1) + ik \vartheta(1) \right] \right) \\ &= \varphi(x) \left(\vartheta(x) \psi(0) + \varphi(x) \psi'(0) \right) = \varphi(x) f_+(x). \end{aligned}$$

The statement ii) was proved in [15] for real q . The proof for complex case is similar. ■

From these two lemmas we obtain properties of functions $S(k, q)$.

Lemma 3.3. *Each function $S(k, q)$ for any fixed $k > 0$ is real analytic on \mathcal{L}^2 and its gradient is given by*

$$\frac{\partial S(k, q)}{\partial q(x)} = -2ik \frac{\varphi^2(x, k, q)}{\psi^2(k, q)}. \quad (3.7)$$

Moreover, let in addition, $b > 0$. Then the following asymptotics holds true:

$$\begin{aligned} S(k, q) &= 1 + \frac{q_0 - \widehat{q}_c(k)}{ik} + \frac{O(\|q\|^2)}{k^2}, \\ \frac{\partial S(k, q)}{\partial q(x)} &= -\frac{2i}{k} \left(\sin kx + \frac{O(\|q\|)}{k} \right)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

as $|k| \rightarrow \infty$, $\text{Im } k \in [-b, b]$, uniformly on the bounded subsets of $[0, 1] \times \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$.

Proof. Let for shortness $f_+(x, k) = f_+(x, k, q)$, $\varphi(x, k) = \varphi(x, k, q), \dots$. Due to the definition $S(k) = \frac{\psi(-k)}{\psi(k)}$ and using (2.6) and (3.5) (2.4) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial S(k)}{\partial q(x)} &= \frac{1}{\psi(k)} \frac{\partial \psi(-k)}{\partial q(x)} - \mathcal{S}_n(q) \frac{1}{\psi(k)} \frac{\partial \psi(k)}{\partial q(x)} \\ &= \varphi(x, -k) \frac{f_+(x, -k)}{\psi(k)} - S(k) \varphi(x, k) \frac{f_+(x, k)}{\psi(k)} \\ &= \frac{\varphi(x, k)}{\psi(k)} \left(f_+(x, -k) - S(k) f_+(x, k) \right) = -2ik \frac{\varphi^2(x, k)}{\psi^2(k)}. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.7), (2.8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S(k, q) &= \frac{\psi(-k)}{\psi(k)} = \left(1 + \frac{q_0 - \widehat{q}(-k)}{2ik} + \psi_2(-k) \right) \left(1 - \frac{q_0 - \widehat{q}(k)}{2ik} + \psi_2(k) \right)^{-1} \\ &= 1 + \frac{q_0 - \widehat{q}_c(k)}{ik} + \frac{O(\|q\|^2)}{k^2}. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.7), (3.1) and (2.7), (2.8) we obtain

$$\frac{\partial S(k)}{\partial q(x)} = -2ik \frac{\varphi^2(x, k)}{\psi^2(k)} = -\frac{2i}{k} \left(\sin kx + \frac{O(\|q\|)}{k} \right)^2 \left(1 + \frac{O(\|q\|)}{k} \right)^{-2}$$

which yields (3.8). ■

3.2. Inverse problem. We consider the following inverse problem: to recover the potential when the S-matrix $S(r, q)$ is given for some increasing sequence of energy. Define the class of positive sequences $\mathcal{R} = \{(r_n)_1^\infty : r_n > 0, |r_n - \frac{\pi}{2}n| < \frac{\pi}{8} \quad \forall n \geq 1\}$. For a fixed sequence $(r_n)_1^\infty \in \mathcal{R}$ we define the mapping $\Psi : q \rightarrow (s_0, s)$ by

$$\Psi : q \rightarrow (s_0, s), \quad s_0 = q_0, \quad s = (s_n)_1^\infty, \quad s_n = q_0 - ir_n (S(r_n, q) - 1), \quad n \geq 1. \quad (3.9)$$

Lemma 3.4. *Let $r \in \mathcal{R}$. Then each $s_n(q) = q_0 - ir_n(S(r_n, q) - 1)$, $n \geq 1$ is real analytic on \mathcal{L}^2 . Moreover, its gradient is given by*

$$\frac{\partial s_n(q)}{\partial q(x)} = 1 - 2r_n^2 \frac{\varphi^2(x, r_n)}{\psi^2(r_n)}, \quad (3.10)$$

and the following asymptotics hold true:

$$\begin{aligned} s_n(q) &= \widehat{q}_c(r_n) + \frac{O(\|q\|^2)}{n}, \\ \frac{\partial s_n(q)}{\partial q(x)} &= \cos 2r_n x + \frac{O(\|q\|)}{n}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly on the bounded subsets of $[0, 1] \times \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$.

Proof. Lemma 3.3 gives that each $s_n(q)$, $n \geq 1$ is real analytic on \mathcal{L}^2 . Using (3.7) we obtain (3.10). Substituting (3.8) into $s_n = q_0 - ir_n(S(r_n, q) - 1)$ we obtain (3.11). ■

Lemma 3.5. *Let $q \in L^2(0, 1)$. Then functions $\varphi^2(x, \sqrt{\mu_n})$ and $\varphi^2(x, \sqrt{\tau_n})$, $n \geq 1$ form the basis in $L^2(0, 1)$.*

Proof. Define the space $L_{ev}^2(0, 2) = \{p \in L^2(0, 2) : p(x) = p(2-x), x \in (0, 2)\}$ of even functions. For $q \in L^2(0, 1)$ we define a even potential $\tilde{q} \in L_{ev}^2(0, 2)$ by $\tilde{q}(x) = \begin{cases} q(x), & x \in (0, 1) \\ q(2-x), & x \in (1, 2) \end{cases}$.

We consider the Schrödinger operator \tilde{H}_D with the Dirichlet boundary conditions on the interval $[0, 2]$ given by $\tilde{H}_D f = -f'' + \tilde{q}f$, $f(0) = f(2) = 0$. Let $\tilde{\mu}_n$ and \tilde{f}_n , $n \geq 1$ be eigenvalues and the corresponding eigenfunctions of \tilde{H}_D . The eigenvalues τ_n, μ_n , $n \geq 1$ and the corresponding eigenfunctions $g_n = \varphi(x, \sqrt{\tau_n})$, $f_n = \varphi^2(x, \sqrt{\mu_n})$ for q on $[0, 1]$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} -g_n'' + qg_n &= \tau_n(q)g_n, & g_n(0) &= g_n'(1) = 0, \\ -f_n'' + qf_n &= \mu_n f_n, & f_n(0) &= f_n(1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Direct calculations (see e.g. [19]) give that the eigenfunctions \tilde{f}_n and the eigenvalues $\tilde{\mu}_n$, $n \geq 1$ satisfy $\tilde{\mu}_{2n-1} = \tau_n(q)$ and $\tilde{\mu}_{2n} = \mu_n(q)$ and

$$f_{2n-1}(x) = \begin{cases} g_n(x), & x \in (0, 1) \\ g_n(2-x), & x \in (1, 2) \end{cases}, \quad f_{2n}(x) = \begin{cases} f_n(x), & x \in (0, 1) \\ -f_n(2-x), & x \in (1, 2) \end{cases}.$$

Recall that $\frac{\partial \tilde{\mu}_n}{\partial q} = f_n^2(x)$ and the sequence of functions $f_n^2(x)$, $n \geq 1$ forms a Riesz basis in $L^2(0, 1)$ (see e.g. [30]). Thus functions $\varphi^2(x, \sqrt{\mu_n})$ and $\varphi^2(x, \sqrt{\tau_n})$, $n \geq 1$ form a Riesz basis in $L^2(0, 1)$. ■

Theorem 3.6. *Let a sequence $(r_n)_1^\infty \in \mathcal{R}$. Then*

i) *The mapping $\Psi : q \rightarrow (s_0, s)$, defined by (3.9) acting from \mathcal{L}^2 into $\mathbb{R} \oplus \ell^2$ is real analytic and is an injection.*

ii) *The mapping $\Psi'(0) = \frac{\partial \Psi(q)}{\partial q}|_{q=0} : \mathcal{L}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \oplus \ell^2$ has the form*

$$\Psi'(0) = \Phi : \mathcal{L}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \oplus \ell^2 \quad (3.12)$$

and is a linear isomorphism between \mathcal{L}^2 and $\mathbb{R} \oplus \ell^2$, where Φ is the Fourier transformation $\Phi : \mathcal{L}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \oplus \ell^2$ defined by

$$(\Phi h)_0 = \int_0^1 h(x) dx, \quad (\Phi h)_n = \int_0^1 h(x) \cos 2r_n x dx, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (3.13)$$

iii) *For each $q \in \mathcal{L}^2$ the mapping $\frac{\partial \Psi(q)}{\partial q} : \mathcal{L}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \oplus \ell^2$ has an inverse.*

Proof. i) We recall the following result (see e.g. [30]):

Let $f : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow H$ be a mapping from an open subset \mathcal{D} of a complex Hilbert space into a Hilbert space H with orthogonal basis $e_n, n \geq 1$. Then f is analytic on \mathcal{D} if and only if f is locally bounded, and each coordinate function $f_n = (f, e_n) : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic on \mathcal{D} . Moreover, the derivative of f is given by the derivatives of its coordinate function:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial q}(h) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial q}(h) e_n. \quad (3.14)$$

Due to this result and Lemmas 3.4 the mapping $q \rightarrow \Psi(q)$ from \mathcal{L}^2 to $\mathbb{R} \oplus \ell^2$ is real analytic. Theorem 1.1, iii) yields that this mapping is an injection.

ii) Consider the case $q = 0$ and let $s'_n(q) = \frac{\partial s_n(q)}{\partial q(x)}$. From (3.10) we obtain

$$s'_0(q) = 1, \quad s'_n(q)|_{q=0} = 1 - 2(r_n)^2 \frac{\varphi^2(x, r_n, 0)}{\psi^2(r_n, 0)} = \cos 2r_n x. \quad (3.15)$$

This yields that $\Psi'(0)$ has the form (3.12). The Kadets Theorem (see the proof of Theorem 1.1) imply that $1, \cos 2r_n x, n \geq 1$ forms a Riesz basis in $L^2(0, 1)$.

iii) Let $q \in \mathcal{L}^2$. Using the asymptotic estimate (3.11), we see that Ψ' is the sum of the Fourier transform $\Psi'(0) = \Phi$ and a compact operator K for all $q \in \mathcal{L}^2$. That is

$$\Psi'(q)h = (h_0, (s'_n h)_1^\infty) = \Phi h + Kh, \quad h \in \mathcal{L}^2,$$

where K is a compact operator. Consequently, Ψ' is a Fredholm operator. We show now that the operator Ψ' is invertible by contradiction. Let $h \in \mathcal{L}^2$ be a solution of the equation

$$\Psi'(q)(h) = 0, \quad \text{or} \quad \left\{ (s'_n(q), h) = 0, n \geq 0 \right\}, \quad (3.16)$$

for some fixed $q \in \mathcal{L}^2$. In order to prove $h = 0$ we introduce the function

$$g(k) = k \int_0^1 h(x) \varphi^2(x, k) dx, \quad k \in \mathbb{C},$$

which is even and entire, where $\varphi(x, k) = \varphi(x, k, q)$. From (3.16), (3.10) we deduce that

$$g(\pm r_n) = \pm r_n \int_0^1 h(x) \varphi^2(x, \pm r_n) dx = 0, \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

The entire function g has the following properties:

- 1) $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, since $\varphi(x, k) = \frac{\sin kx + O(1/k)}{k}$ as $k \rightarrow \pm\infty$.
- 2) $g(\pm r_n) = 0$ for all $r_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $g(0) = 0$.
- 3) The function g has a type ≤ 2 .

Then Paley-Wiener Theorem (see p. 30 in [14]) gives $g(k) = \int_{-1}^1 \widehat{g}(x) e^{-2ikx} dx$. Then the properties 1)-3) and the Kadets Theorem (see the proof of Theorem 1.1) imply that $g = 0$.

We need to show $h = 0$. Due to Lemma 3.5 the sequence $\varphi^2(x, \sqrt{\mu_n})$ and $\varphi^2(x, \sqrt{\tau_n}), n \geq 1$ forms a Riesz basis in $L^2(0, 1)$. Then $\int_0^1 h(x) \varphi^2(x, k) dx = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{C}$ we obtain $h = 0$. ■

4. JOST-KOHN'S IDENTITIES

We discuss the solution of Jost and Kohn [11] for specific cases. Our goal is to show that at some conditions on $k_*, q \in \mathcal{P}^1$ there exists a solution to the Gelfand-Levitan equation, which gives the compactly supported function $q_* - q$ and the norming constant \mathfrak{c} is uniquely determined by k_*, q .

Let $\#(E)$ be the number of zeros of ψ (counted with multiplicity) in the set $E \subset \mathbb{C}$.

Definition K. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{J}_1$. By $\mathcal{K}(\psi)$ we mean the class of all $k_* \in i\mathbb{R}_+$ such that

i) $\psi(-k_*) = 0$ and $\psi(k_*) \neq 0$.

ii) If $k_* \in (k_1, +i\infty)$, then the number $\#(-k_*, -k_1) \geq 1$ is odd.

iii) If $k_* \in (k_j, k_{j+1})$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}_{m-1}$, then $\#(-k_j, -k_*) \geq 1$ is odd.

iv) If $k_* \in (0, k_m)$, then $\#(-k_m, -k_*) \geq 1$ is odd.

Note that $\#(-k_j, -k_{j+1}) \geq 1$ can be any odd number for a Jost function $\psi(k, q)$ with a specific potential q , see [18]. We describe potentials q_* corresponding the Jost function $\psi_*(k) = \psi(k) \frac{k-k_*}{k+k_*}$. Note that the formula (4.11) was obtained by Jost and Kohn [11] for large class of potentials. They obtained similar results for the case $\psi_*(k) = \psi(k)B(k)$, where $B(k) = \prod_1^m \frac{k+k_{*j}}{k-k_{*j}}$, $k \in \mathbb{C}_+$ is the Blaschke product and the norming constants $\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_m$. This result is discussed in many papers and books, see e.g., [7] and [4].

We determine $\varphi(x, k)$ for $x > 1, k = ir \in i\mathbb{R}_+$. We have

$$\varphi(x, k) = c_1 e^{r(x-1)} + c_2 e^{-r(x-1)}, \quad x > 1, \quad (4.1)$$

for some real c_1, c_2 . Using (2.6) we obtain at $x = 1$:

$$\begin{cases} \varphi(1, k) = c_1 + c_2, \\ \varphi'(1, k) = -ikc_1 + ikc_2 \end{cases}, \quad \begin{cases} c_1 = \frac{ik\varphi(1, k) - \varphi'_1(1, k)}{2ik} = -e^{-ik} \frac{\psi(k)}{2ik} \\ c_2 = \frac{ik\varphi(1, k) + \varphi'_1(1, k)}{2ik} = e^{ik} \frac{\psi(-k)}{2ik} \end{cases}. \quad (4.2)$$

Lemma 4.1. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{J}_1$ for some $q \in \mathcal{P}^1$ and let $\psi(-k_*) = 0$ at $k_* = ir, r > 0$. Then

$$\varphi(x, k_*) = -\frac{\psi(k_*)}{2r} e^{rx}, \quad \forall x \geq 1, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\varphi^2(1, k_*) - 2r \int_0^1 \varphi^2(t, k_*) dt = ie^{ik_*} \psi'(-k_*) \varphi(1, k_*). \quad (4.4)$$

i) If in addition $k_* \in \mathcal{K}(\psi)$, then $\psi_*(k) = \psi(k) \frac{k-k_*}{k+k_*}$ belongs to \mathcal{J}_1 and

$$i\psi'(-k_*) \varphi(1, k_*) > 0. \quad (4.5)$$

ii) If in addition $k_* \notin \mathcal{K}(\psi)$, then $\psi_*(k) = \psi(k) \frac{k-k_*}{k+k_*} \notin \mathcal{J}$ and

$$i\psi'(-k_*) \varphi(1, k_*) < 0. \quad (4.6)$$

Proof. From (4.2), (2.6) and $\psi(-k_*) = 0$ we obtain (4.3).

Differentiating the equation $-\varphi'' + q\varphi = k^2\varphi$ with respect to k yields

$$-\dot{\varphi}'' + q\dot{\varphi} = 2k\varphi + k^2\dot{\varphi}.$$

Multiplying this equation by φ and the equation $-\varphi'' + q\varphi = \lambda\varphi$ by $\dot{\varphi}$ and taking the difference, we obtain

$$2k\varphi^2 = \varphi''\dot{\varphi} - \dot{\varphi}''\varphi = \{\dot{\varphi}, \varphi\}',$$

where $\{u, v\} = uv' - u'v$. Then we have the standard identity

$$2k \int_0^1 \varphi^2(t, k) dt = \{\dot{\varphi}, \varphi\}(t, k) \Big|_0^1 = (\dot{\varphi}\varphi' - \dot{\varphi}'\varphi)(1, k) = -\varphi(ik\dot{\varphi} + \dot{\varphi}')(1, k), \quad (4.7)$$

since $\varphi(0, k) = 0$ and $\varphi'(0, k) = 1$ for all k and $\varphi'(x, k) = -ik\varphi(x, k)$ for $x \geq 1$.

Due to $\psi(-k_*) = 0$ we obtain from (2.6) at $k = -k_*$:

$$\begin{aligned} (e^{-ik}\psi(k))'_k &= e^{-ik}\dot{\psi}(k) = (\dot{\varphi}' - i\varphi - ik\dot{\varphi})(1, k), \\ -e^{ik_*}\dot{\psi}(-k_*) &= (i\varphi - \dot{\varphi}' - ik_*\dot{\varphi})(1, -k_*) = (i\varphi + \dot{\varphi}' + ik_*\dot{\varphi})(1, k_*), \\ i\varphi(1, k) + e^{ik}\dot{\psi}(-k) &= -(ik\dot{\varphi} + \dot{\varphi}')(1, k), \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

since $\varphi(1, -k) = \varphi(1, k)$ for all k . Then from (4.7), (4.8) we obtain at $k = k_*$:

$$2r \int_0^1 \varphi^2(t, k) dt = \frac{(i\varphi(1, k) + e^{ik}\dot{\psi}(-k))\varphi(1, k)}{i} = \varphi^2(1, k) - ie^{ik}\dot{\psi}(-k)\varphi(1, k), \quad (4.9)$$

which yields (4.4).

i) Recall the needed result from [15]: *Let $\psi \in \mathcal{J}_1$ and let $\psi(z) = 0$ for some $z \in i\mathbb{R}$. Then the function $\Psi = B\psi$, $B(k) = \frac{k+z}{k-z}$ is entire. Assume that the zeros of Ψ satisfy i) and ii) in Definition J. Then the function $\Psi \in \mathcal{J}_1$.* Using this result and our conditions on the $\psi \in \mathcal{J}_1$ and $k_* \in i\mathbb{R}_+$ we deduce that $\psi_* \in \mathcal{J}_1$.

We show (4.5) for $k_* \in (k_j, k_{j+1}) \subset i\mathbb{R}_+$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}_{m-1}$, where $\#(-k_j, -k_*) \geq 1$ is odd. The proof of other cases is similar. Differentiating $\psi(k) = \psi_*(k) \frac{k+k_*}{k-k_*}$ at $k = -k_*$ we have

$$i\dot{\psi}(k) = \frac{i\psi_*(k)}{k - k_*} = \frac{i\psi_*(k)}{-2k_*} = \frac{\psi_*(k)}{-2|k_*|} = \frac{(-1)^{j+1}\psi_*(-k_*)}{(-1)^j 2|k_*|}, \quad (-1)^{j+1}\psi_*(-k_*) > 0. \quad (4.10)$$

We need the following fact from [18]: if $k_* \in (k_j, k_{j+1})$, then $k_*^2 \in (\mu_j, \mu_{j+1})$, which yields $(-1)^j \varphi(1, k_*) > 0$. Here $(\mu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an increasing sequence of eigenvalues of the problem $-y'' + qy = \lambda y$, $y(0) = y(1) = 0$ on the unit interval $[0, 1]$. This jointly with (4.10) gives (4.5).

Similar arguments imply ii) and, in particular, (4.6). ■

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\psi \in \mathcal{J}_1$ for some $q \in \mathcal{P}^1$ and let $k_* \in i\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{k_1, \dots, k_m\}$ and a norming constant $\mathbf{c} > 0$. Then $\psi_* = \psi(k) \frac{k-k_*}{k+k_*}$ is the Jost function for a unique potential q_* given by*

$$q_* = q + q_o, \quad q_o = -(\log A)'' , \quad (4.11)$$

where $A_x = 1 + \mathbf{c} \int_0^x \varphi^2(s, k_*, q) ds$ and $q_o, q'_o \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Moreover, there are two cases:

i) Let $k_* \in \mathcal{K}(\psi)$. Then $\psi_* \in \mathcal{J}$ and $\mathbf{c}_* = \frac{2|k_*|e^{|k_*|}}{i\psi'(-k_*)\varphi(1, k_*)} > 0$. Moreover, we have $q_* \in \mathcal{P}^1$ if $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c}_*$ and q_* is not compactly supported if $\mathbf{c} \neq \mathbf{c}_*$.

ii) Let $k_* \notin \mathcal{K}(\psi)$. Then $\psi_* \notin \mathcal{J}_1$ and q_* is not compactly supported for any $\mathbf{c} > 0$.

Proof. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{J}_1$ for some $q \in \mathcal{P}^1$ and let $k_* \in i\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{k_1, \dots, k_m\}$. We recall the Jost-Kohn result [11]: for the function $\psi_*(k) = \psi(k) \frac{k-k_*}{k+k_*}$ and any norming constant $\mathbf{c} > 0$ there exists a unique potential q_* given by (4.11). In order to determine the potential q_* the Gel'fand-Levitan equation is used, here the perturbed operator T_* has the additional eigenvalue $E_* = k_*^2$, see e.g. [7] or [4]. The Gel'fand-Levitan equation in this case is given by

$$\begin{aligned} G(x, t) &= -G_0(x, t) - \int_0^x G_0(t, s)G(x, s)ds, \quad t \geq x, \\ G_0(x, t) &= \mathbf{c}\varphi(x)\varphi(t), \quad \varphi(x) = \varphi(x, k_*). \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

This equation has a degenerate kernel and easily solved, since we can rewrite G in the form

$$G(x, t) = g(x)\varphi(t), \quad (4.13)$$

where g is an unknown function. Then the Gel'fand-Levitan equation becomes

$$\left(g(x) + \mathbf{c}\varphi(x) + g(x)\mathbf{c} \int_0^x \varphi^2(s)ds\right)\varphi(t) = 0, \quad (4.14)$$

which has the solution $g(x)A_x = -\mathbf{c}\varphi(x)$, $A_x = 1 + \mathbf{c} \int_0^x \varphi^2(s)ds$, and then

$$g(x) = -\mathbf{c}\frac{\varphi(x)}{A_x}, \quad G(x, t) = -\mathbf{c}\frac{\varphi(x)\varphi(t)}{A_x}. \quad (4.15)$$

Thus the potential q_* for the perturbed operator T_{q_*} has the form $q_* = q + q_o$, where q_o is given by

$$q_o = -(\log A)'' = \frac{(A')^2}{A^2} - \frac{A''}{A} = \frac{A'}{A^2}Q, \quad Q = A' - \frac{A''A}{A'}, \quad (4.16)$$

which yields (4.11) and it is the well-known Jost-Kohn identity (see [11]).

i) Let $k_* \in \mathcal{K}(\psi)$ and let $a = -\frac{\psi(k_*)}{2r}$. Then from (4.3), (4.16) we obtain for $x > 1$:

$$\varphi(x, k_*) = ae^{rx}, \quad A' = \mathbf{c}\varphi^2(x), \quad A'' = 2rA', \quad Q = A' - 2rA, \quad Q' = 0. \quad (4.17)$$

The function $Q = A' - 2rA$ is continuous on \mathbb{R}_+ and a constant on $x \geq 1$. Then due to (4.4),

$$Q|_{x=1} = \mathbf{c}\varphi^2(1) - 2rA_1 = \mathbf{c}\left(\varphi^2(1) - 2r \int_0^1 \varphi^2(t)dt\right) - 2r = \mathbf{c}ie^{ik_*}\dot{\psi}(-k)\varphi(1) - 2r, \quad (4.18)$$

since $A_1 = 1 + \mathbf{c} \int_0^1 \varphi^2(t, k)dt$. From (4.18) we deduce that $Q = 0$ if we take $\mathbf{c} = \frac{2re^r}{i\dot{\psi}(-k_*)\varphi(1, k_*)}$ and then $q_o(x) = 0$ for all $x > 1$.

ii) Let $k_* \notin \mathcal{K}(\psi)$. Then Lemma 4.1 implies that $\psi_* \notin \mathcal{J}_1$, since its zeros do not satisfy conditions i) and ii) in Definition J. We show that q_* is not compactly supported. Assume that q_* is compactly supported. Then due to Theorem A, the zeros of ψ_* have to satisfy conditions i) and ii) in Definition J. ■

Acknowledgments. Our study was supported by the RSF grant No 18-11-00032.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ablowitz, M.; Segur, H. Solitons and the inverse scattering transform. SIAM Studies in Applied Mathematics, 4. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, Pa., 1981.
- [2] Aktosun, T.; Sacks, P.; Unlu, M. Inverse problems for selfadjoint Schrödinger operators on the half line with compactly supported potentials. J. Math. Phys. 56 (2015), no. 2, 022106, 33 pp.
- [3] Brown, B.; Knowles, I.; Weikard, R. On the inverse resonance problem, J. London Math. Soc. 68 (2003), no. 2, 383–401.
- [4] Chadan, K.; Sabatier, P. 1989 Inverse problems in quantum scattering theory, 2nd edn (New York: Springer).
- [5] T. Christiansen, Resonances for steplike potentials, forward and inverse results. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 358(2006), no. 5, 2071–2089.
- [6] Deift, P.; Trubowitz, E. Inverse scattering on the line. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 32(1979), no. 2, 121–251.
- [7] Faddeev, L. The inverse problem in the quantum theory of scattering. J. Math. Phys. 4,1(1963), 72–104, translated from Usp. Mat. Nauk (1959).
- [8] Garnett, J.; Trubowitz, E. Gaps and bands of one dimensional periodic Schrödinger operators. Comment. Math. Helv. 59(1984), 258–312.
- [9] Gel'fand, I. M.; Levitan, B. M. On the determination of a differential equation by its spectral function. (Russian) Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) 77, (1951), 557–560.
- [10] I. Gohberg; M. Krein, Introduction to the theory of linear nonselfadjoint operators. Translated from the Russian, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 18 AMS, Providence, R.I. 1969.

- [11] Jost, R.; Kohn, W. On the relation between phase shift energy levels and the potential. *Danske Vid. Selsk. Mat.-Fys. Medd.* 27, (1953). no. 9, 19 pp.
- [12] Jost, R.; Pais, A. On the scattering of a particle by a static potential. *Phys. Rev.* (2) 82 (1951), 840–851.
- [13] Kadets, M. I. The exact value of the Paley-Wiener constant. (Russian) *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 155 (1964), 1253–1254.
- [14] Koosis, P. *The logarithmic integral I*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, London, New York 1988.
- [15] Korotyaev, E. Inverse resonance scattering on the half line. *Asymptot. Anal.* 37 (2004), no. 3-4, 215–226.
- [16] Korotyaev, E. Stability for inverse resonance problem. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 2004, no. 73, 3927–3936.
- [17] Korotyaev, E. Inverse resonance scattering on the real line. *Inverse Problems* 21(2005), no. 1, 325–341.
- [18] Korotyaev, E. Eigenvalues of Schrödinger operators on finite and infinite intervals, to be published in *Math. Nachr.*
- [19] Korotyaev, E. Inverse Sturm-Liouville problems for non-Borg conditions, *J. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl.*, 27(2019), No 3, 445–452.
- [20] Korotyaev, E. Resonance theory for perturbed Hill operator, *Asymp. Anal.* 74(2011), No 3-4, 199–227.
- [21] Korotyaev, E. Resonances for 1d Stark operators, *Journal of Spectral Theory.* 7(2017), No 3, 699–732.
- [22] Korotyaev, E.; Mokeev, D. Inverse resonance scattering for Dirac operators on the half-line, arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.12502
- [23] Korotyaev, E.; Mokeev, D. Inverse resonance scattering for massless Dirac operators on the real line, arXiv preprint, arXiv:2009.07031.
- [24] Krein, M. G. On the transfer function of a one-dimensional boundary problem of the second order. (Russian) *Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.)* 88, (1953). 405–408.
- [25] Krein, M. G. On integral equations generating differential equations of 2nd order. (Russian) *Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.)*, 97, (1954). 21–24.
- [26] Marchenko, V. *Sturm-Liouville operator and applications*, Basel, Birkhäuser, 1986.
- [27] Marchenko, V.; Ostrovski, I. A characterization of the spectrum of the Hill operator. *Mat. Sb.* 97(139), (1975), 540–606.
- [28] M. Marletta, R. Shterenberg and R. Weikard, On the inverse resonance problem for Schrödinger operators. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 295(2010), no. 2, 465–484.
- [29] McKean, H. P., and Trubowitz, E., Hill’s operator and hyperelliptic function theory in the presence of infinitely many branch points, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, Vol. 29(1976), 1–96.
- [30] Pöschel, P.; Trubowitz E. *Inverse spectral theory*, Boston, Academic Press, 1987.
- [31] Zworski, M. *SIAM, J. Math. Analysis*, A remark on isopolar potentials, 82(2002), no. 6, 1823–1826.
- [32] Zworski M. Distribution of poles for scattering on the real line, *J. Funct. Anal.* 73(1987), 277–296.

DEPARTMENT OF ANALYSIS, SAINT-PETERSBURG STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITetskAYA NAB. 7/9,
ST. PETERSBURG, 199034, RUSSIA, KOROTYAEV@GMAIL.COM, E.KOROTYAEV@SPBU.RU