

EXTENDING QUASI-ALTERNATING LINKS

NAFAA CHBILI AND KIRANDEEP KAUR

ABSTRACT. Champanerkar and Kofman [1] introduced an interesting way to construct new examples of quasi-alternating links from existing ones. Actually, they proved that replacing a quasi-alternating crossing c in a quasi-alternating link by a rational tangle of same type yields a new quasi-alternating link. This construction has been extended to alternating algebraic tangles and applied to characterize all quasi-alternating Montesinos links. In this paper, we extend this technique to any alternating tangle of same type as c . As an application, we give new examples of quasi-alternating knots of 13 and 14 crossings. Moreover, we prove that the Jones polynomial of a quasi-alternating link that is obtained in this way has no gap if the original link has no gap in its Jones polynomial. This supports a conjecture introduced in [2], which states that the Jones polynomial of any prime quasi-alternating link except $(2, n)$ -torus link has no gap.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let L be a non-split alternating link in the three-sphere S^3 and $\Sigma(L)$ be the branched double-cover of S^3 along L . Ozsváth and Szabó [8] proved that the Heegaard Floer homology of $\Sigma(L)$ is determined entirely by the determinant of the link, $\det(L)$. Furthermore, they noticed that this interesting homological property extends to a wider family of links that they called quasi-alternating. These links are defined in the following recursive way.

Definition 1.1. *The set \mathcal{Q} of quasi-alternating links is the smallest set satisfying the following properties:*

- *The unknot is in \mathcal{Q} .*
- *If a link L has a diagram containing a crossing c such that*
 - (1) *both smoothings of the diagram of L at the crossing c , L_0 and L_∞ , as in Fig. 2, belong to \mathcal{Q} ,*
 - (2) *$\det(L_0), \det(L_\infty) \geq 1$ and $\det(L) = \det(L_0) + \det(L_\infty)$;**then L is in \mathcal{Q} and we say that L is quasi-alternating at the crossing c .*

With an elementary induction on the determinant of the link, this definition can be used to prove that non-split alternating links belong to \mathcal{Q} [8]. The knots 8_{20} and 8_{21} are the first examples, in the knot table, of non-alternating quasi-alternating knots. In general, it is not easy to decide whether a given link is quasi-alternating only by using this recursive definition. Throughout the past years, several obstruction criteria for a link to be quasi-alternating have been introduced. For instance,

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classifications.* 57M25

Key words and phrases. Quasi-alternating links, Jones polynomial, Alternating tangles.

This research was funded by United Arab Emirates University, UPAR grant #G00002650.

quasi-alternating links are proved to be homologically-thin in both Khovanov homology and link Floer homology [6]. In addition, the reduced odd Khovanov homology group of any quasi-alternating link is thin and torsion-free [9]. On the other hand, the branched double-cover of any quasi-alternating link is an L -space [8], and the signature of an oriented quasi-alternating link L equals minus four times the Heegaard Floer correction term of $(\Sigma(L), t_0)$ [5]. The behavior of polynomial invariants of quasi-alternating links has been also investigated leading to obstruction criteria involving the Q -polynomial and the two-variable Kauffman polynomial [10, 12, 13].

In [1], Champanerkar and Kofman introduced an interesting way to construct new quasi-alternating links from existing ones. They proved that a new quasi-alternating link can be obtained by replacing a quasi-alternating crossing by an alternating rational tangle of same type. This construction has been generalized to algebraic tangles in [11] and applied to the classification of quasi-alternating Montesinos links.

In this paper, we prove that if a quasi-alternating crossing is replaced by any alternating connected tangle of same type, whether algebraic or non-algebraic, then the resulting link is quasi-alternating at every new non-nugatory crossing. This construction is illustrated by the example in Fig. 1 where the quasi-alternating crossing c in the diagram of pretzel knot $P(2, 1, -3)$ is replaced by a non algebraic tangle to obtain a new quasi-alternating link $L10n16$. This extends the previous work of Champanerkar-Kofman[1] and its generalization in [11]. As an application of this construction, we list few quasi-alternating knots of 13 and 14 crossings, see Section 3.




FIGURE 1. A link obtained from pretzel knot $P(2, 1, -3) = 5_2$ by extending quasi-alternating crossing c to a non-algebraic tangle

On the other hand, it is well-known that the Jones polynomial of an alternating link satisfies interesting properties that have been applied to solve some challenging conjectures in classical knot theory [4, 7, 14]. More precisely, the Jones polynomial of any prime alternating link which is not a $(2, n)$ -torus link is alternating and has no gap. In other words, if $V_L(t) = \sum_{i=n}^m c_i t^i$, then its coefficients satisfy $c_i c_{i+1} < 0$ for $n \leq i \leq m - 1$. In the case of a quasi-alternating link, the Jones polynomial is alternating as a consequence of that the reduced Khovanov homology group of any quasi-alternating link is thin [6]. Further, in [2] Chbili and Qazaqzeh studied the behavior of the Jones polynomial in case of quasi-alternating links and suggested the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1.1. *If L is a prime quasi-alternating link which is not a $(2, n)$ -torus link, then the coefficients of the Jones polynomial of L satisfy $c_i c_{i+1} < 0$ for all $n \leq i \leq m - 1$.*

This conjecture has been confirmed for all quasi-alternating links of braid index 3 and for all quasi-alternating Montesinos links. It was also proved that if a quasi-alternating link satisfies this conjecture then any link obtained by Champernikar-Kofman twisting construction will also satisfy the conjecture. In this paper, we prove that the coefficients of the Jones polynomial of any quasi-alternating link that is obtained by replacing a quasi-alternating crossing by an alternating tangle, satisfies the condition given in Conjecture 1.1 whenever the Jones polynomial of the original quasi-alternating link has no gap.

The present paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some background necessary for the rest of the paper. In Section 3, we prove our main result, Theorem 3.1, and illustrate that by listing some examples of quasi-alternating knots. Section 4 will be devoted to confirm that Conjecture 1.1 holds for links obtained by our construction.

2. BACKGROUND AND NOTATIONS

At the beginning of this section, we shall recall some basic definitions and results about quasi-alternating links and Jones polynomials which will be needed in the rest of this paper. The Jones polynomial [3] is an invariant of ambient isotopy of oriented links in S^3 . It is a Laurent polynomial with integral coefficients which can be defined in several equivalent ways. In this paper, we shall use the Kauffman state model definition of the Jones polynomial [4]. The Kauffman bracket polynomial $\langle L \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}[A, A^{-1}]$ is an invariant of regular isotopy of links which can be defined on link diagrams by the following relations:

- (1) $\langle O \rangle = 1$ and $\langle O \cup L \rangle = (-A^2 - A^{-2}) \langle L \rangle$;
- (2) If L , L_0 and L_∞ are 3 link diagrams which differ only in a local region where they look as illustrated in Fig. 2, then

$$\langle L \rangle = A \langle L_0 \rangle + A^{-1} \langle L_\infty \rangle .$$




FIGURE 2. A link L and its smoothings at crossing c , L_0 and L_∞ .

Kauffman proved that the bracket polynomial of a link diagram D can be expressed as a state summation. A state S of a link diagram D is a way to perform smoothings at each crossing of D . If $\alpha(S)$ and $\beta(S)$ are the number of A and A^{-1} -smoothings in the state S , then the bracket polynomial is given by

$$\langle D \rangle = \sum_S A^{\alpha(S)} A^{\beta(S)} (-A^2 - A^{-2})^{\#(S)-1},$$

where the summation is taken through all the states of D and $\sharp(S)$ is the number of circles obtained after smoothing all the crossings.

The Jones Polynomial $V_L(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\frac{1}{2}}, t^{-\frac{1}{2}}]$, of an oriented link L is defined by

$$V_L(t) = (-A^3)^{-w(L)} \langle L \rangle |_{A^4=t^{-1}},$$

where $w(L)$ is the writhe of L defined as the algebraic sum of signs of the crossings of L , and $\langle L \rangle$ denotes the bracket polynomial of the link obtained by forgetting the orientation of L .

Obviously, there is no gap in the Jones polynomial if and only if there is a gap of exactly four between any two consecutive powers of A in the Kauffman bracket polynomial. For simplicity, we call a polynomial $f(A)$ alternating if powers of A in $f(A)$ differ by multiples of four and coefficients of consecutive terms are of opposite signs. Moreover, we say that $f(A)$ is strictly alternating if the gap between any two consecutive terms is exactly four.

A crossing c in a link diagram L is said to be *nugatory* if it looks as pictured in Fig. 3.




FIGURE 3. Nugatory crossing

Remark 2.1. *Let c be a nugatory crossing of a link diagram L . Then $\langle L_0 \rangle = (-A^2 - A^{-2}) \langle L_\infty \rangle$ if L_0 is a split link and $\langle L_\infty \rangle = (-A^2 - A^{-2}) \langle L_0 \rangle$ if L_∞ is a split link.*

A tangle is a proper embedding of disjoint union of 2 arcs and possibly loops into a three-ball B^3 such that the end points of these arcs lay on 4 fixed points of the boundary of B^3 . Tangles are represented by their planar diagrams and are considered up to isotopy of B^3 keeping the boundary fixed. We say that a tangle is connected if it is represented by a connected diagram. Throughout this paper, unless otherwise stated, we are only considering connected tangles. Given a tangle T , one can join the end points of T by simple arcs to define the numerator closure $N(T)$ and the denominator closure $D(T)$. We call a crossing c of a tangle T nugatory in T if it is nugatory in both the closures of T . While a non-nugatory crossing in a tangle T is said to be trivial if it is a nugatory crossing either in the numerator closure $N(T)$ or in the denominator closure $D(T)$ of T . For example, the crossing denoted by $*$ in Fig 4(a) is a nugatory crossing. However, the crossing in Fig 4(b) is a trivial crossing. The crossings in a tangle which are neither nugatory nor trivial are called non-trivial crossing.

Remark 2.2. *Non-trivial crossings of an alternating tangle T are quasi-alternating in both the closures of T . A trivial crossing of an alternating tangle T is quasi-alternating in one of the closures of T .*

The determinant of a link L , $\det(L)$, is a well-known numerical invariant of links that can be defined from the Jones polynomial by $\det(L) = |V_L(-1)|$. This invariant




FIGURE 4. Nugatory crossing and trivial crossing of a tangle diagram



FIGURE 5

can be computed by considering the number of spanning trees in a checkerboard graph of a link projection. Let us now recall this computation method.

A Tait graph, $\mathcal{G}(L)$, of a connected link diagram L is a graph which is obtained by coloring the diagram in checkerboard fashion, followed by assigning a vertex to every shaded region and an edge to every crossing with a sign as illustrated in Fig. 5. Then, the determinant of the link L is given as follows:

Lemma 2.1 ([1]). *For any spanning tree T of $\mathcal{G}(L)$, let $v(\mathsf{T})$ be the number of positive edges in T . Let $s_v(L) = \#\{\text{spanning trees } \mathsf{T} \text{ of } \mathcal{G}(L) \mid v(\mathsf{T}) = v\}$. Then*

$$\det(L) = \left| \sum_v (-1)^v s_v(L) \right|.$$

Remark 2.3. *Consider a quasi-alternating link L and a quasi-alternating crossing c of L . If e is the corresponding edge in $\mathcal{G}(L)$, then there is one-to-one correspondence between spanning trees of $\mathcal{G}(L)$ that contain e (respectively, does not contain e) and spanning trees of $\mathcal{G}(L_0)$ (respectively, $\mathcal{G}(L_\infty)$). Therefore,*

$$\begin{aligned} s_v(L_\infty) &= \#\{\text{spanning trees } \mathsf{T} \text{ of } \mathcal{G}(L) \mid e \notin \mathsf{T} \text{ and } v(\mathsf{T}) = v\} \\ s_{v-1}(L_0) &= \#\{\text{spanning trees } \mathsf{T} \text{ of } \mathcal{G}(L) \mid e \in \mathsf{T} \text{ and } v(\mathsf{T}) = v\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1) \quad \det(L) = \left| \sum_v (-1)^v s_v(L) \right| = \left| \sum_v (-1)^v s_{v-1}(L_0) + \sum_v (-1)^v s_v(L_\infty) \right|.$$

By definition of a quasi-alternating crossing, $\det(L) = \det(L_0) + \det(L_\infty)$ and hence from equation (1) above we have

$$\left(\sum_v (-1)^v s_{v-1}(L_0) \right) \cdot \left(\sum_v (-1)^v s_v(L_\infty) \right) > 0.$$

3. THE MAIN THEOREM

Before stating the main result in this section, let us introduce some basic definitions and notations. A spanning tree of a connected planar graph \mathcal{G} , is a connected, acyclic sub-graph that contains all vertices of \mathcal{G} . Given a graph \mathcal{G} , we denote by $\tau(\mathcal{G})$ the number of spanning trees in \mathcal{G} .

Definition 3.1. A sub-graph \mathcal{H} of a connected planar graph \mathcal{G} is said to be almost spanning tree with respect to vertices u_1 and u_2 , if there is no path between u_1 and u_2 , and by adding one edge it becomes a spanning tree of \mathcal{G} .

Definition 3.2. An alternating tangle T is said to be positive (respectively, negative) if the edges of Tait graph $\mathcal{G}(T)$, with induced checkerboard coloring as shown in Fig. 5, are positive (respectively, negative).

Let e be the edge corresponding to a crossing c with checkerboard coloring as illustrated in Fig. 5. We say that an alternating tangle T extends the crossing c (or a tangle of same type as c), if T is positive whenever e is positive and negative whenever e is negative. The tangle shown in Fig. 6 is a positive tangle.



FIGURE 6. A tangle extending the crossing c

Lemma 3.1. Let L' be a link obtained from a quasi-alternating link diagram L by replacing a quasi-alternating crossing c by a reduced alternating tangle T extending c . Then at every crossing of T in L' we have,

$$\det(L') = \det(L'_0) + \det(L'_\infty).$$

Proof. Let c be a quasi-alternating crossing of a link diagram L and e be its corresponding edge in $\mathcal{G}(L)$. Let T be a tangle which extends crossing c and L' be the link obtained from L by replacing c by tangle T .

Choose the checkerboard coloring of L such that the edge $e(= u_1u_2)$ in $\mathcal{G}(L)$ is positive. Let $\mathcal{G}(L')$ be the Tait graph of L' with the induced checkerboard coloring, and $\mathcal{G}(T)$ be the sub-graph $\mathcal{G}(L')|_T$ of $\mathcal{G}(L')$. Then all the edges of $\mathcal{G}(T)$ are positive. Assume that $\mathcal{G}(T)$ has $n + 2$ vertices, and let $x = \tau(\mathcal{G}(T))$ and y be the number of almost spanning trees of $\mathcal{G}(T)$ with respect to u_1 and u_2 .

Let c' be a crossing of L' that belongs to T and e' be its corresponding edge in $\mathcal{G}(T)$. Suppose that $x_{e'}$ and $y_{e'}$ are the number of spanning trees and almost spanning trees of $\mathcal{G}(T)$ which contains edge e' , respectively.

Then, for each spanning tree \mathbb{T} of $\mathcal{G}(L)$ such that $e \in \mathbb{T}$, there exists $x s_{v(\mathbb{T})-1}(L_0)$ spanning trees in $\mathcal{G}(L')$ such that u_1 and u_2 are connected through sub-graph $\mathcal{G}(T)$ of $\mathcal{G}(L')$, more precisely, through spanning trees of $\mathcal{G}(T)$. Out of these, there are $x_{e'} s_{v(\mathbb{T})-1}(L_0)$ spanning trees of $\mathcal{G}(L')$ which contain edge e' .

Since $\mathcal{G}(T)$ has $n + 2$ vertices, every spanning tree of $\mathcal{G}(T)$ has $n + 1$ edges. Therefore, every spanning tree of $\mathcal{G}(L')$ corresponding to a spanning tree $e \in \mathbb{T}$ of $\mathcal{G}(L)$ has $v(\mathbb{T}) + n$ positive edges.

Similarly, for each spanning tree \mathbb{T} of $\mathcal{G}(L)$ such that $e \notin \mathbb{T}$, there exists $y s_{v(\mathbb{T})}(L_\infty)$ spanning trees in $\mathcal{G}(L')$ such that u_1 and u_2 are not connected through sub-graph $\mathcal{G}(T)$ of $\mathcal{G}(L')$. More precisely, every spanning tree of $\mathcal{G}(L')$ that corresponds to a spanning tree $e \notin \mathbb{T}$ of $\mathcal{G}(L)$ is a union of \mathbb{T} with an almost spanning tree of $\mathcal{G}(T)$

with respect to u_1 and u_2 . Out of these, there are $y_{e'} s_{v(\Gamma)}(L_\infty)$ spanning trees of $\mathcal{G}(L')$ which contain edge e' .

Since a spanning tree of $\mathcal{G}(T)$ has $n + 1$ edges, an almost spanning tree of $\mathcal{G}(T)$ has n edges. Therefore, every spanning tree of $\mathcal{G}(L')$ that corresponds to a spanning tree $e \notin \Gamma$ of $\mathcal{G}(L)$ has $v(\Gamma) + n$ positive edges.

Hence, for every v' such that $v' = v + n$, we have

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} s_{v'}(L') &= x s_{v-1}(L_0) + y s_v(L_\infty) \\ \left| \sum_{v'} (-1)^{v'} s_{v'}(L') \right| &= \left| \sum_{v'} (-1)^{v'} (x s_{v-1}(L_0) + y s_v(L_\infty)) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_v (-1)^v (x s_{v-1}(L_0) + y s_v(L_\infty)) \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} s_{v'-1}(L'_0) &= x_{e'} s_{v-1}(L_0) + y_{e'} s_v(L_\infty) \\ \left| \sum_{v'} (-1)^{v'-1} s_{v'-1}(L'_0) \right| &= \left| \sum_{v'} (-1)^{v'-1} (x_{e'} s_{v-1}(L_0) + y_{e'} s_v(L_\infty)) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_v (-1)^v (x_{e'} s_{v-1}(L_0) + y_{e'} s_v(L_\infty)) \right|, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} s_{v'}(L'_\infty) &= (x - x_{e'}) s_{v-1}(L_0) + (y - y_{e'}) s_v(L_\infty) \\ \left| \sum_{v'} (-1)^{v'} s_{v'}(L'_\infty) \right| &= \left| \sum_{v'} (-1)^{v'} ((x - x_{e'}) s_{v-1}(L_0) + (y - y_{e'}) s_v(L_\infty)) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_v (-1)^v ((x - x_{e'}) s_{v-1}(L_0) + (y - y_{e'}) s_v(L_\infty)) \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where L'_0 and L'_∞ are links obtained from L' by smoothing crossing c' .

Since L is quasi-alternating at c , $\sum_v (-1)^v s_{v-1}(L_0) \cdot \sum_v (-1)^v s_v(L_\infty) > 0$.

Thus from equations (2), (3) and (4) above, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \det(L') &= \left| \sum_{v'} (-1)^{v'} s_{v'}(L') \right| = x \det(L_0) + y \det(L_\infty), \\ \det(L'_0) &= \left| \sum_{v'} (-1)^{v'-1} s_{v'-1}(L'_0) \right| = x_{e'} \det(L_0) + y_{e'} \det(L_\infty), \\ \det(L'_\infty) &= \left| \sum_{v'} (-1)^{v'} s_{v'}(L'_\infty) \right| = (x - x_{e'}) \det(L_0) + (y - y_{e'}) \det(L_\infty), \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\det(L') = \det(L'_0) + \det(L'_\infty)$. \square

Now, we state the following lemma which will be needed in the proof of Theorem 3.1. The result follows directly from Lemma 2.3 of [1].

Lemma 3.2. *If L_1 and L_2 are two quasi-alternating links, then any connected sum of L_1 and L_2 is quasi-alternating.*

Our main result in this paper can be stated as follows.

Theorem 3.1. *Let L be a quasi-alternating link diagram at a crossing c . Let L' be the link obtained from L by replacing c by a reduced alternating tangle T that extends c . Then L' is quasi-alternating at every crossing of T .*

Before proving Theorem 3.1, we illustrate our result by the following example. More examples are to be listed at the end of this section.

Example 3.1. *The knot 13n695 can be obtained from 8_{20} by replacing the quasi-alternating crossing c by the non-algebraic tangle T in Fig. 7. By Theorem 3.1, 13n695 is quasi-alternating.*



FIGURE 7. A non-algebraic tangle T and a diagram of 8_{20} knot.

Proof. We prove this result by induction on the number of crossings in the tangle T . If T has only one crossing, then link L' is nothing other than L and the result is obvious. Assume now that the result is true for every tangle with less than n crossings which extends c .

Let T be a tangle with n crossings which extends c . If T is a twisted tangle, then the result is true by Theorem 2.1 of [1]. Otherwise, let c' be an arbitrary crossing of T . By Lemma 3.1, the determinant condition is satisfied at crossing c' , i.e.,

$$\det(L') = \det(L'_0) + \det(L'_\infty).$$

Notice that links L'_0 and L'_∞ are obtained from L by replacing crossing c by tangles T_0 and T_∞ , where T_0 and T_∞ are the tangles obtained from T by smoothing crossing c' . Reduce these tangles by applying Reidemeister move RI , if needed, to obtain reduced tangles T'_0 and T'_∞ .

It is easy to observe that if c' is a non-trivial crossing, then T'_0 and T'_∞ are extended tangles of crossing c . Therefore, L'_0 and L'_∞ are equivalent to link diagrams which are obtained from L by replacing crossing c by tangles T'_0 and T'_∞ , respectively. As numbers of crossings in T'_0 and T'_∞ are both less than n , then links L'_0 and L'_∞ are quasi-alternating.

If c' is a trivial crossing, then either T'_0 or T'_∞ is not a connected tangle and the other one is an extended tangle of c with less than n crossings. If the tangle is an extended tangle of crossing c , then the corresponding link is obtained from L by replacing crossing c by a tangle having less than n crossings. Otherwise, the link is a connected sum of L_0 if T'_0 is not connected or L_∞ if T'_∞ is not connected, with two alternating link diagrams. Using Lemma 3.2 and induction hypothesis, L'_0 and L'_∞ are quasi-alternating links in both cases. Hence, L' is a quasi-alternating link. Since c' is an arbitrary crossing of T , L' is quasi-alternating at every crossing of tangle T . This ends the proof of Theorem 3.1. \square

Example 3.2. *With the help of the construction in Theorem 3.1, we list few quasi-alternating knots of 13 and 14 crossings. Let $K(T)_c$ be the knot which is obtained from a knot diagram K by replacing a crossing c by a tangle T . For $p, q, r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$, consider the non-algebraic tangles T_{pqr} and \hat{T}_{pqr} displayed in Fig. 8. By applying*




FIGURE 8. Non-algebraic tangle diagrams.




FIGURE 9. Quasi-alternating diagrams of the knots 8_{21} and the mirror image of the knot 9_{47} .

Theorem 3.1 to quasi-alternating diagrams of the knots 8_{21} and 9_{47} at the indicated crossings as shown in Fig. 9, we obtain the following table of quasi-alternating knots.

| Knot listed in knot atlas | Quasi-alternating diagram |
|---------------------------|--|
| 13n329 | $8_{21}(T)_c$, where $T = \widehat{T}_{1112}$ |
| 13n351 | $8_{21}(T)_c$, where $T = \widehat{T}_{2111}$ |
| 13n513 | $8_{21}(T)_c$, where $T = \widehat{T}_{1121}$ |
| 13n525 | $8_{21}(T)_c$, where $T = \widehat{T}_{1211}$ |
| 13n344 | $8_{21}(T)_c$, where $T = T_{1112}$ |
| 13n357 | $8_{21}(T)_c$, where $T = T_{2111}$ |
| 13n520 | $8_{21}(T)_c$, where $T = T_{1121}$ |
| 13n530 | $8_{21}(T)_c$, where $T = \widehat{T}_{1211}$ |
| 14n20077 | $(9_{47})^*(T)_c$, where $T = \widehat{T}_{1211}$ |
| 14n20087 | $(9_{47})^*(T)_c$, where $T = T_{1211}$ |
| 14n20088 | $(9_{47})^*(T)_c$, where $T = \widehat{T}_{1121}$ |
| 14n20090 | $(9_{47})^*(T)_c$, where $T = T_{1121}$ |

4. THE JONES POLYNOMIAL OF QUASI-ALTERNATING LINKS

One of the most successful applications of quantum invariants of links was obtained by using the Jones polynomial of alternating links to prove long-lasting conjectures in classical knot theory [4, 7, 14]. Indeed, the coefficients of the Jones polynomial of any prime alternating link except $(2, n)$ -torus links are alternating in sign. Moreover, the span of the polynomial is equal to the crossing number of the link and the polynomial has no gaps [14]. In this section, we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. *Let L' be a link obtained from a quasi-alternating link diagram L by replacing a quasi-alternating crossing c by a reduced alternating tangle T of same type. If $V_L(t)$ has no gaps, then so is $V_{L'}(t)$.*

We start by proving the following proposition, where $[0]$ and $[\infty]$ denote the elementary trivial tangles in Fig 10.

Proposition 4.1. *Let c be a non-trivial crossing of an alternating tangle T (not twisted tangle), and T_0 and T_∞ be the tangles obtained from T by smoothing crossing c as shown in Fig. 2(b) and 2(c), respectively. If $\langle T_0 \rangle = f_1(A) \langle [0] \rangle + g_1(A) \langle [\infty] \rangle$ and $\langle T_\infty \rangle = f_2(A) \langle [0] \rangle + g_2(A) \langle [\infty] \rangle$, then the gap between $f_1(A)$ and $f_2(A)$, and $g_1(A)$ and $g_2(A)$ is either two or six.*



FIGURE 10. Trivial tangles

Proof. First, notice that if T is not reduced, then we have $\langle T \rangle = (-A^3)^w \langle T_1 \rangle$ for some integer w and a reduced alternating tangle T_1 . Thus it would be enough to prove the result for reduced alternating tangles.

We will prove the result for positive tangles and similar arguments can be used for negative tangles. It is clear that in the case of a positive tangle, the unbounded region in the numerator closure $N(T)$, is obtained by performing an A -smoothing at every crossing that is adjacent to the unbounded region. Since T is not a twisted tangle, there exists a non-trivial crossing, say c , in T . Let T_0 and T_∞ be the tangles obtained from T by smoothing c as illustrated in Fig. 2(b) and 2(c), respectively. Then, both T_0 and T_∞ are alternating and connected as T is alternating and c is non-trivial. Hence, links obtained by closing T_0 and T_∞ are quasi-alternating, but the tangles need not be in their reduced forms. There are two cases to be considered.

Case 1. Suppose that T_0 and T_∞ are reduced. Then the maximum and minimum degrees of $\langle N(T_0) \rangle$ and $\langle N(T_\infty) \rangle$ are given by their states with all A -smoothings and A^{-1} -smoothings, respectively. Let S_0 (respectively, S_∞) and S'_0 (respectively, S'_∞) be the states of T_0 (respectively, T_∞) with all A -smoothings and A^{-1} -smoothings, respectively. As T_0 is obtained from T by A -smoothing and T_∞ is obtained by A^{-1} -smoothing, then

$$|S_\infty| = |S_0| - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |S'_0| = |S'_\infty| - 1.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence, } \max \deg \langle N(T_0) \rangle &= (n-1) + 2|S_0| - 2 \\ &= (n-1) + 2|S_\infty| - 2 + 2 = \max \deg \langle N(T_\infty) \rangle + 2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and } \min \deg \langle N(T_0) \rangle &= -(n-1) - 2|S'_0| + 2 \\ &= -(n-1) - 2|S'_\infty| + 2 + 2 = \min \deg \langle N(T_\infty) \rangle + 2. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to observe that the contribution of states S_0 and S_∞ are in $f_1(A) \langle [0] \rangle$ and $f_2(A) \langle [0] \rangle$, while the contributions of S'_0 and S'_∞ are in $g_1(A) \langle [\infty] \rangle$ and $g_2(A) \langle [\infty] \rangle$, respectively. Therefore, the gap between $f_1(A)$ and $f_2(A)$, and between $g_1(A)$ and $g_2(A)$ is two.

Case 2. If T_0 or T_∞ is reducible, then there exists two half twists in T and crossing c is one of these. Let T' be the tangle obtained from T by contracting these two twists to a crossing c' , and let T'_0 and T'_∞ be the tangles obtained from T' by smoothing c' . We divide this case into the following subcases:

Subcase 2a. If T has a horizontal twist, then we have

$$\langle T_0 \rangle = -A^3 \langle T'_0 \rangle \text{ and } \langle T_\infty \rangle = A \langle T'_0 \rangle + A^{-1} \langle T'_\infty \rangle.$$

If c' is a nugatory crossing in T' , then either T'_0 or T'_∞ is a split tangle. Since the determinant of a split link is zero and the determinant of a quasi-alternating link is ≥ 1 , T'_0 should not be a split tangle. Therefore by Remark 2.1, we have

$$\langle T_\infty \rangle = (A + (-A^2 - A^{-2})A^{-1}) \langle T'_0 \rangle = -A^{-3} \langle T'_0 \rangle = A^{-6} \langle T_0 \rangle.$$

Thus terms of $\langle T_0 \rangle$ are shifted by A^{-6} in $\langle T_\infty \rangle$.

If c' is not a nugatory crossing, then it should be a quasi-alternating crossing in one of the closures of T' . Therefore, there is no cancellation between $A \langle T'_0 \rangle$ and $A^{-1} \langle T'_\infty \rangle$. This implies that there is no cancellation between $-A^{-2} \langle T_0 \rangle$ and $A^{-1} \langle T'_\infty \rangle$, and the terms of $\langle T_0 \rangle$ are shifted by $(-A^{-2})$ in $\langle T_\infty \rangle$.

Hence, the result is true in this case.

Subcase 2b. If T has a vertical twist, then we have

$$\langle T_0 \rangle = A \langle T'_0 \rangle + A^{-1} \langle T'_\infty \rangle \text{ and } \langle T_\infty \rangle = -A^{-3} \langle T'_\infty \rangle.$$

If c' is a nugatory crossing in T' , then either T'_0 or T'_∞ is a split tangle. Since the determinant of a split link is zero while the determinant of a quasi-alternating link is ≥ 1 , T'_∞ should not be a split tangle. Therefore, by Remark 2.1, we have

$$\langle T_0 \rangle = ((-A^2 - A^{-2})A + A^{-1}) \langle T'_\infty \rangle = -A^3 \langle T'_\infty \rangle = A^6 \langle T_\infty \rangle.$$

Thus, the result is true in this case.

If c' is not a nugatory crossing, then one can use similar arguments to those in *subcase 2a*. This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Lemma 4.1. *Let T be a reduced alternating positive tangle with*

$$\langle T \rangle = Af(A) \langle [0] \rangle + A^{-1}g(A) \langle [\infty] \rangle.$$

Then, $f(A)$ and $g(A)$ are alternating polynomials with same sign of coefficients, and they have one nonzero term in common. Moreover, the length of any gap in $f(A)$ and $g(A)$ is at most eight.

Proof. We prove this result by induction on the number of crossings n of the tangle T . If $n = 1$, then $f(A) = g(A) = 1$ and the result is trivial. For $n = 2$, T is simply a twisted tangle. If T is a vertically twisted tangle, then $f(A) = A$ and $g(A) = -A^{-3} + A$. Otherwise, $f(A) = -A^3 + A^{-1}$ and $g(A) = A^{-1}$. In both cases the result is true. Suppose that the result is true for all reduced alternating positive tangles with less than n crossings. Let T be a reduced alternating positive tangle with n crossings. We know that either T is a twisted tangle or it has a non-trivial crossing. If T is horizontally twisted, then we have $g(A) = A^{-n+1}$ and

$$f(A) = A^{-n+1} - A^{-n+5} + A^{-n+9} \dots + (-1)^{n-2} A^{3n-7} + (-1)^{n-1} A^{3n-3}.$$

If T is vertically twisted, then we have $f(A) = A^{n-1}$ and

$$g(A) = A^{n-1} - A^{n-5} \dots + (-1)^{n-2} A^{-3n+7} + (-1)^{n-1} A^{-3n+3}.$$

If T is not a twisted tangle, then it has a non-trivial crossing, say c , and

$$\langle T \rangle = A \langle T_1 \rangle + A^{-1} \langle T_2 \rangle = Af(A) \langle [0] \rangle + A^{-1}g(A) \langle [\infty] \rangle,$$

where T_1 and T_2 are the tangles obtained from T by performing A -smoothing and A^{-1} -smoothing, respectively at crossing c . For $i = 1, 2$, let T'_i be the reduced diagram of T_i . For some integers w_1 and w_2 , we have:

$$\langle T_i \rangle = (-A^3)^{w_i} \langle T'_i \rangle = Af_i(A) \langle [0] \rangle + A^{-1}g_i(A) \langle [\infty] \rangle.$$

Then $f(A) = Af_1(A) + A^{-1}f_2(A)$ and $g(A) = Ag_1(A) + A^{-1}g_2(A)$. Moreover, the result holds for T_i as it is true for T'_i by induction hypothesis. Hence, $f_i(A)$ and $g_i(A)$ are alternating, with same sign of coefficients, they have gaps of length at most eight and have one nonzero term in common.

Since $f_i(A)$ and $g_i(A)$ are alternating and c is a non-trivial crossing, it is easy to observe from the proof of Proposition 4.1 that the coefficients of $Af_1(A)$ (respectively, $Ag_1(A)$) and $A^{-1}f_2(A)$ (respectively, $A^{-1}g_2(A)$) are of same signs.

By combining all these, we can see that $Af_1(A) + A^{-1}f_2(A)$ and $Ag_1(A) + A^{-1}g_2(A)$ are alternating with same sign of coefficients and have one nonzero term in common.

At the end, there is no gap of length more than eight between $Af_1(A)$ (resp, $Ag_1(A)$) and $A^{-1}f_2(A)$ (resp, $A^{-1}g_2(A)$) as a result of Proposition 4.1. Hence, $f(A)$ and $g(A)$ satisfy the conditions stated in Lemma 4.1. \square

Now, we shall prove Theorem 4.1. Let L be a quasi-alternating link diagram with no gap in its Jones polynomial and let T be an extended tangle of a quasi-alternating crossing c of L . We will assume that crossing c is positive as the case of negative crossing can be proved similarly. Then, we have

$$\langle T \rangle = Af(A) \langle [0] \rangle + A^{-1}g(A) \langle [\infty] \rangle,$$

where $f(A)$ and $g(A)$ are polynomials in A . Thus, one can write

$$\langle L' \rangle = f(A)(A \langle L_0 \rangle) + g(A)(A^{-1} \langle L_\infty \rangle).$$

Since $V_L(t)$ has no gap and c is a quasi-alternating crossing in L , the gap between $A \langle L_0 \rangle$ and $A^{-1} \langle L_\infty \rangle$ is at most 4 and both terms are alternating with the same sign of coefficients. By Lemma 4.1, $f(A)$ and $g(A)$ are alternating with same sign of coefficients and have one nonzero term in common. Therefore, $f(A)(A \langle L_0 \rangle)$ and $g(A)(A^{-1} \langle L_\infty \rangle)$ are alternating with same sign of coefficients and the gap between them is no more than four.

The idea now is to show that any gap of length more than four in $f(A)(A < L_0 >)$ can be filled by $g(A)(A^{-1} < L_\infty >)$ and vice-versa. Let us write

$$A < L_0 > = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} f_i(A) \quad \text{and} \quad A^{-1} < L_\infty > = \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} g_i(A),$$

where each of $f_i(A)$ and $g_i(A)$ are strictly alternating with

$$(\min \deg f_{i+1}(A) - \max \deg f_i(A)) > 4 \quad \text{and} \quad (\min \deg g_{i+1}(A) - \max \deg g_i(A)) > 4.$$

Then, for every $f_i(A)$ and $f_{i+1}(A)$, there is a $g_j(A)$ such that $f_i(A) + g_j(A) + f_{i+1}(A)$ is strictly alternating. Similarly, for every $g_i(A)$ and $g_{i+1}(A)$, there is a $f_j(A)$ such that $g_i(A) + f_j(A) + g_{i+1}(A)$ is strictly alternating. We know that $f_i(A)$ and $g_i(A)$ are strictly alternating, and $f(A)$ and $g(A)$ are alternating with gap of length at most eight. Therefore, it can be easily seen that $f(A)f_i(A)$ and $g(A)g_j(A)$ are strictly alternating with same sign of coefficients.

Any gap of length more than four in $f(A)(A < L_0 >)$, implies the existence of some i such that the gap between $f(A)f_i(A)$ and $f(A)f_{i+1}(A)$ is more than four. We know that for any $f_i(A)$ and $f_{i+1}(A)$, there exists some $g_j(A)$ such that $f_i(A) + g_j(A) + f_{i+1}(A)$ is strictly alternating. Since $f(A)$ and $g(A)$ have one nonzero common term and $f_i(A) + g_j(A) + f_{i+1}(A)$ has no gap of length more than four, $f(A)f_i(A) + g(A)g_j(A) + f(A)f_{i+1}(A)$ has no gap of length more than four and is strictly alternating.

Similarly, we can show that every gap between $g(A)g_i(A)$ and $g(A)g_{i+1}(A)$ is filled by some $f(A)f_j(A)$. Hence, there is no gap in the bracket polynomial of L' of length more than four. Consequently, the Jones polynomial of L' has no gaps. This ends the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Corollary 4.1. *Let L be a quasi-alternating link with a quasi-alternating crossing c and T be an extended tangle of c . If length of any gap in $V_L(t)$ is at most k , then the Jones polynomial of the link that is obtained from L by extending crossing c to T has no gap of length more than k .*

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Champanerkar and I. Kofman, *Twisting quasi-alternating links*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137(7) (2009) 2451-2458.
- [2] N. Chbili and K. Qazaqzeh, *On the Jones polynomial of quasi-alternating links*, Topology Appl. 264 (2019) 1-11.
- [3] V. F. R. Jones, *A polynomial invariant for knots via von Neumann algebras*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 12 (1) (1985), 103-111.
- [4] L. H. Kauffman, *State models and the Jones polynomial*, Topology 26 (3) (1987) 395-407.
- [5] P. Lisca and B. Owens, *Signatures, Heegaard Floer correction terms and quasialternating links*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 143 (2) (2015) 907-914.
- [6] C. Manolescu and P. Ozsváth, *On the Khovanov and knot Floer homologies of quasi-alternating links*, In Proceedings of Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference (2007), 60-81. Gökova Geometry/Topology Conference (GGT), Gökova, 2008.
- [7] K. Murasugi, *Jones polynomials and classical conjectures in knot theory*, Topology 26 (2) (1987) 187-194.
- [8] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, *On the Heegaard Floer homology of branched double-covers*, Adv. Math. 194 (1) (2005) 1-33.
- [9] P. Ozsváth, J. Rasmussen, and Z. Szabó, *Odd Khovanov homology*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 13 (3) (2013) 1465-1488.
- [10] K. Qazaqzeh and N. Chbili, *A new obstruction of quasi-alternating links*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 15 (3) (2015) 1847-1862.

- [11] K. Qazaqzeh, N. Chbili and B. Qublan, *Characterization of quasi-alternating Montesinos links*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 24 (1) (2015) 1550002 13 pp.
- [12] M. Teragaito, *Quasi-alternating links and Q-polynomials*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 23 (12) (2014) 1450068 6 pp.
- [13] M. Teragaito, *Quasi-alternating links and Kauffman polynomials*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 24 (7)(2015) 1550038 17 pp.
- [14] M. Thistlethwaite, *A spanning tree expansion of the Jones polynomial*, Topology 26 (3) (1987) 297-309.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE UAE UNIVERSITY, 15551 AL AIN, U.A.E.

E-mail address: `nafaachbili@uaeu.ac.ae`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE UAE UNIVERSITY, 15551 AL AIN, U.A.E.

E-mail address: `kirandeep@uaeu.ac.ae`