

## 2-LOCAL DERIVATIONS ON THE JACOBSON-WITT ALGEBRAS IN PRIME CHARACTERISTIC

YUFENG YAO AND KAIMING ZHAO

ABSTRACT. This paper initiates the study of 2-local derivations on Lie algebras over fields of prime characteristic. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a simple Jacobson-Witt algebra  $W_n$  over a field of prime characteristic  $p$  with cardinality no less than  $p^n$ . In this paper, we study properties of 2-local derivations on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and show that every 2-local derivation on  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a derivation.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

As it is known, the derivation algebra of an algebra  $A$  plays an important role in the study of the structure of  $A$ . In the theory of Lie algebras, a well-known result due to H. Zassenhaus states that all derivations on a finite dimensional Lie algebra with nondegenerate Killing form are inner (cf. [6]). In particular, finite dimensional semisimple Lie algebras over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero admit only inner derivations. Hence, they are isomorphic to their derivation algebras.

As a generalization of derivation, Šemrl introduced the notion of 2-local derivation on algebras in [9]. The concept of 2-local derivation is actually an important and interesting property for an algebra. The main problem in this subject is to determine all 2-local derivations, and to see whether they are automatically (global) derivations. All 2-local derivations on several important classes of Lie algebras have been determined. In [2], it was shown that each 2-local derivation on a finite dimensional semisimple Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero is a derivation and each finite dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra with dimension larger than two admits a 2-local derivation which is not a derivation. Furthermore, the authors in [4] proved that all 2-local derivations on finite dimensional basic classical Lie superalgebras except  $A(n, n)$  over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero are derivations. Similar results on 2-local derivations on simple Leibniz algebras were obtained in [1]. All 2-local derivation on Witt algebras and some of their subalgebras were

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B05, 17B20, 17B40, 17B50, 17B70.

*Key words and phrases.* the Jacobson-Witt algebra, derivation, 2-local derivation, regular vector, centralizer.

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11771279, 11671138 and 11871190) and NSERC (311907-2015).

shown to be derivations in [16, 3]. Similar result was obtained quite recently for the  $W$ -algebra  $W(2, 2)$  in [14]. In the present paper, we initiate the study of 2-local derivations on finite dimensional Lie algebras over an infinite field of positive characteristic. The algebras we concern are the so-called Jacobson-Witt algebras, which are the modular version of some generalized Witt algebras. Let us briefly introduce them below.

Different from the situation of characteristic zero, besides classical simple Lie algebras, there is another variety of simple Lie algebras, the so-called simple Lie algebras of Cartan type, in the classification of finite dimensional simple Lie algebras over an algebraically closed field  $\mathbb{F}$  of prime characteristic  $p > 5$  (cf. [8]). The Lie algebras of Cartan type consist of four families  $W, S, H, K$  (cf. [13, 12]). The algebras we focus on in the present paper are the first series. The Jacobson-Witt algebra  $W_n$  is the derivation algebra of the truncated polynomial algebra  $\mathfrak{A}_n = \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(x_1^p, \dots, x_n^p)$ , where  $(x_1^p, \dots, x_n^p)$  is the ideal of  $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  generated by  $x_i^p$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then  $W_n$  is a simple Lie algebra unless  $n = 1$  and  $p = 2$ . Over the past decades, the representation theory of the Jacobson-Witt algebras was extensively studied (see [10, 5, 11]). The derivation algebra of  $W_n$  was completely determined for  $p > 2$  (see [13, 12]). This paper is devoted to studying 2-local derivations on  $W_n$ . Under a mild restriction on the size of the base field, we determine all 2-local derivations on the simple Jacobson-Witt algebras, and show that each 2-local derivation is a (global) derivation.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we recall the basic notations, definitions, structure and some important properties of the Jacobson-Witt algebras. Section 3 is devoted to studying 2-local derivations on the simple Jacobson-Witt algebras  $W_n$  over a field of prime characteristic  $p$  with cardinality no less than  $p^n$ . We present some properties of 2-local derivations, and show that every 2-local derivation on any simple Jacobson-Witt algebra is a derivation. Moreover, we give an example of the Jacobson-Witt algebra of rank 1, i.e. the so-called Witt algebra over a field of characteristic  $p = 2$ , in which there exists a 2-local derivation that is not a derivation.

Similar to the study on structure of simple Lie algebras of positive characteristic, the study on 2-local derivations of Lie algebras of positive characteristic is very different and more difficult than the case of characteristic 0. We have to establish new and different methods (Lemmas 3.3 and 3.14) to achieve our goal.

## 2. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

In this paper, we always assume that  $\mathbb{F}$  is a field of positive characteristic  $p$ , and let  $\mathbb{F}_p$  denote the prime subfield of  $\mathbb{F}$ , and  $\mathbb{F}^* = \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$ . Throughout this paper, all algebras and vector spaces are over  $\mathbb{F}$  and finite dimensional. We denote by  $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}_+$  the set of all integers,

nonnegative integers and positive integers respectively. For a set  $S$ , we use  $|S|$  to denote the cardinality of  $S$ .

**2.1. Derivations and 2-local derivations on a Lie algebra.** A **derivation** on a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a linear transformation  $D : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  such that the following Leibniz law holds:

$$D([x, y]) = [D(x), y] + [x, D(y)], \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

The set of all derivations of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is denoted by  $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{g})$ , which is a Lie algebra under the usual commutant operation. For each  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ , let

$$\text{adx} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}, \quad \text{adx}(y) = [x, y], \quad \forall y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Then  $\text{adx}$  is a derivation on  $\mathfrak{g}$  for any  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ , which is called an inner derivation. The set of all inner derivations of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is denoted by  $\text{Inn}(\mathfrak{g})$ , which is an ideal of  $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{g})$ .

A map  $\Delta : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  (not necessarily linear) is called a **2-local derivation** if for any  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ , there exists a derivation  $D_{xy} \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{g})$  (depending on  $x, y$ ) such that  $\Delta(x) = D_{xy}(x)$  and  $\Delta(y) = D_{xy}(y)$ . In particular, for any  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{F}$ , there exists  $D_{(kx)x} \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{g})$  such that

$$\Delta(kx) = D_{(kx)x}(kx) = kD_{(kx)x}(x) = k\Delta(x).$$

In particular,

$$(2.1) \quad \Delta(0) = 0.$$

Hence, a 2-local derivation  $\Delta$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a derivation if and only if  $\Delta$  is additive and satisfies the Leibniz law, i.e.,

$$\Delta(x + y) = \Delta(x) + \Delta(y), \quad \Delta([x, y]) = [\Delta(x), y] + [x, \Delta(y)], \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

**2.2. The Jacobson-Witt algebras.** In this subsection, we recall the basic definitions and properties of the Jacobson-Witt algebras which we concern in this paper. We use the terminology and notations in [13, 12]. For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , set

$$A_n = \{\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n : 0 \leq \alpha_i \leq p - 1, 1 \leq i \leq n\},$$

$$\tau = (p - 1, \dots, p - 1), \quad \epsilon_i = (\delta_{i1}, \dots, \delta_{in}) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

where

$$\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = j; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $\mathfrak{A}_n = \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(x_1^p, \dots, x_n^p)$  be the truncated polynomial algebra of  $n$  variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , where  $(x_1^p, \dots, x_n^p)$  denotes the ideal of  $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  generated by  $x_i^p, 1 \leq i \leq n$ .

For  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in A_n$ , set  $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$ , and use  $x^\alpha := x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$  to denote its canonical image in  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  for brevity. Then  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  has a basis  $\{x^\alpha : \alpha \in A_n\}$  with the multiplication subject to  $x^\alpha x^\beta = x^{\alpha+\beta}$  with the convention that  $x^{\alpha+\beta} = 0$  if  $\alpha + \beta \notin A_n$ . Moreover,  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  has a natural  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading

$$\mathfrak{A}_n = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n(p-1)} (\mathfrak{A}_n)_{[i]},$$

where  $(\mathfrak{A}_n)_{[i]} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{x^\alpha : |\alpha| = i\}$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , let  $D_i$  be the linear transformation on  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  with  $D_i(x^\alpha) = \alpha_i x^{\alpha - \epsilon_i}$  for any  $\alpha \in A_n$ . Then it is easy to see that  $D_i \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{A}_n)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . The **Jacobson-Witt algebra**  $W_n$  is defined as the derivation algebra of  $\mathfrak{A}_n$ , i.e.,  $W_n = \text{Der}(\mathfrak{A}_n)$ . Then by [13, § 4.2],  $W_n$  is a free  $\mathfrak{A}_n$ -module of rank  $n$  with a basis  $\{D_1, \dots, D_n\}$ . The Lie bracket in  $W_n$  is given by

$$[fD_i, gD_j] = f(D_i(g))D_j - g(D_j(f))D_i, \quad f, g \in \mathfrak{A}_n, 1 \leq i, j \leq n.$$

Moreover,  $W_n$  is a simple Lie algebra unless  $n = 1$  and  $p = 2$ . The natural  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading on  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  induces the corresponding  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading structure on  $W_n$ ,

$$W_n = \bigoplus_{i=-1}^{n(p-1)-1} (W_n)_{[i]},$$

where

$$(W_n)_{[i]} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{x^\alpha D_j : |\alpha| = i + 1, 1 \leq j \leq n\}.$$

Furthermore,  $W_n$  has a canonical torus  $T = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{F}x_i D_i \in (W_n)_{[0]}$ , and it has the following root space decomposition with respect to the torus  $T$ :

$$(2.2) \quad W_n = T \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (W_n)_\alpha \right),$$

where

$$(W_n)_\alpha = \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{x^{\alpha + \epsilon_j} D_j : 1 \leq j \leq n\},$$

and  $\Lambda = \{a_1 \epsilon_1 + \cdots + a_n \epsilon_n - \epsilon_i : 0 \leq a_1, \dots, a_n \leq p - 1, 1 \leq i \leq n\} \setminus \{0\}$  is the set of all roots.

We need the following result on the derivation algebras of the Jacobson-Witt algebras for later use.

**Lemma 2.1.** *We have  $\text{Der}(W_n) = \text{Inn}(W_n)$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ .*

*Proof.* When the base field  $\mathbb{F}$  is of characteristic  $p > 2$ , the assertion follows from [13, Theorems 8.5, Chapter 4] (also see [12, Theorem 7.1.2]).

In the following we assume that the base field  $\mathbb{F}$  is of characteristic  $p = 2$ . We will refine the proof of [13, Theorem 8.5, Chapter 4] to the case of characteristic  $p = 2$ . In this situation, we divide the discussion into the following two cases.

Case 1:  $n = 1$

In this case  $W_1 = \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{D_1, x_1D_1\}$ , and  $[W_1, W_1] = \mathbb{F}D_1$ . For any  $D \in \text{Der}(W_1)$ , we can assume  $D(D_1) = aD_1, D(x_1D_1) = bx_1D_1 + cD_1$  for  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}$ . Then

$$aD_1 = D(D_1) = D([D_1, x_1D_1]) = [D(D_1), x_1D_1] + [D_1, D(x_1D_1)] = (a + b)D_1.$$

This implies that  $b = 0$ , and  $D = \text{ad}(cD_1 - ax_1D_1)$ . Consequently,  $\text{Der}(W_1) = \text{Inn}(W_1)$ .

Case 2:  $n \geq 2$ .

In this case, let  $\mathfrak{g} = W_n$ , then  $\mathfrak{g} = \sum_{i=-1}^{n-1} \mathfrak{g}_{[i]}$ . To show the assertion, from the same arguments in the proof of [13, Theorem 8.5, Chapter 4], it suffices to prove that any derivation  $\varphi$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  of homogeneous degree  $t \leq -2$  is trivial. Indeed,  $\varphi(\mathfrak{g}_{[-1]} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{[0]}) = 0$  by definition. We claim that  $\varphi(\mathfrak{g}_{[1]}) = 0$ . Otherwise, without loss of generality we may assume that

$$\varphi(x_1x_2D_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_jD_j \neq 0, \text{ for some } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, a_j \in \mathbb{F} \text{ with } 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

We need to consider the following two subcases which may occur.

Subcase 2.1:  $i = 1$  or  $2$ .

Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $i = 1$ . In this subcase, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n a_jD_j &= \varphi(x_1x_2D_1) = \varphi([x_2D_2, x_1x_2D_1]) \\ &= [x_2D_2, \varphi(x_1x_2D_1)] = [x_2D_2, \sum_{j=1}^n a_jD_j] = -a_2D_2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $a_j = 0$  for any  $j \neq 2$ , i.e.,  $\varphi(x_1x_2D_1) = a_2D_2$ . Furthermore,

$$0 = \varphi([x_2D_1, x_1x_2D_1]) = [x_2D_1, \varphi(x_1x_2D_1)] = [x_2D_1, a_2D_2] = -a_2D_1.$$

It follows that  $a_2 = 0$ , i.e.,  $\varphi(x_1x_2D_1) = 0$ , a contradiction.

Subcase 2.2:  $i > 2$ .

In this subcase, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
-\sum_{j=1}^n a_j D_j &= -\varphi(x_1 x_2 D_i) = \varphi([x_i D_i, x_1 x_2 D_i]) \\
&= [x_i D_i, \varphi(x_1 x_2 D_i)] = [x_i D_i, \sum_{j=1}^n a_j D_j] = -a_i D_i.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\varphi(x_1 x_2 D_i) = a_i D_i$ . Hence,

$$a_i D_i = \varphi(x_1 x_2 D_i) = \varphi([x_1 D_1, x_1 x_2 D_i]) = [x_1 D_1, \varphi(x_1 x_2 D_i)] = [x_1 D_1, a_i D_i] = 0.$$

This implies that  $\varphi(x_1 x_2 D_i) = 0$ , a contradiction.

In conclusion,  $\varphi(\mathfrak{g}_{[1]}) = 0$ . Since  $\mathfrak{g}$  is generated by  $\mathfrak{g}_{[-1]}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{[1]}$  by [13, Lemma 7.1, Chapter 4], it follows that  $\varphi = 0$ , so that  $\text{Der}(W_n) = \text{Inn}(W_n)$ .  $\square$

By Lemma 2.1, we can reformulate the definition of 2-local derivation on the Jacobson-Witt algebra as follows. Let  $\mathfrak{g} = W_n$  be the Jacobson-Witt algebra. A map  $\Delta$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a 2-local derivation if for any two elements  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ , there exists an element  $a_{x,y} \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\Delta(x) = [a_{x,y}, x]$  and  $\Delta(y) = [a_{x,y}, y]$ .

### 3. 2-LOCAL DERIVATIONS ON THE JACOBSON-WITT ALGEBRAS

Throughout this section, we assume that  $\mathfrak{g} = W_n$  is the simple Jacobson-Witt algebra over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  of prime characteristic  $p$  with cardinality no less than  $p^n$ , that is, we exclude the case that  $\mathfrak{g} = W_1$  and  $p = 2$ . We shall determine all 2-local derivations on  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

In general, for an element  $x$  in a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{L}$ , the centralizer of  $x$  in  $\mathfrak{L}$  is defined as  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{L}}(x) = \{y \in \mathfrak{L} : [x, y] = 0\}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{L}}(x)$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{L}$  containing  $x$  itself. For  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n), \mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{F}^n$ , let  $(\lambda, \mu) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \mu_i$ .

**Definition 3.1.** A vector  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{F}^n$  is called **regular** if  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are  $\mathbb{F}_p$  linearly independent, that is, for  $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$ ,  $(\lambda, \mu) = 0$  if and only if  $\mu = (0, \dots, 0)$ .

**Remark 3.2.** The assumption on the cardinality of the base field  $\mathbb{F}$  assures the existence of regular vectors in  $\mathbb{F}^n$ .

For  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{F}^n$  and  $0 \leq k \leq p-1$ , let

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{(k)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i^k D_i.$$

For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , let

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{D}_i = D_i + \sum_{j=i}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{k=i}^j x_k^{p-1} \right) D_{j+1},$$

We need the following lemma for later use.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Keep notations as above, then  $\mathcal{D}_i = (-1)^{i-1} \mathcal{D}_1^{p^{i-1}}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* When the base field  $\mathbb{F}$  is of characteristic  $p > 2$ , the assertion follows from [7, Lemma 3(i)].

In the following, we assume that  $\mathbb{F}$  has characteristic  $p = 2$ . Since  $\mathcal{D}_1 \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{A}_n) = \mathfrak{g}$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{D}_1^{2^{i-1}} \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{A}_n) = \mathfrak{g}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . It is clear that  $\mathcal{D}_n^2 = D_n^2 = 0$ . To prove the assertion in the lemma, we only need to show that  $\mathcal{D}_i^2 = \mathcal{D}_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ .

Direct computations imply that

$$\mathcal{D}_i(x_j) = \begin{cases} \delta_{i,j}, & \text{if } j \leq i, \\ \prod_{k=i}^{j-1} x_k, & \text{if } i < j \leq n. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore,

$$\mathcal{D}_i^2(x_j) = \begin{cases} \delta_{i+1,j}, & \text{if } j \leq i+1, \\ \prod_{k=i+1}^{j-1} x_k, & \text{if } i+1 < j \leq n. \end{cases}$$

Consequently,  $\mathcal{D}_i^2 = \mathcal{D}_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ . We complete the proof.  $\square$

The following result on the structure of centralizers of some special elements in  $\mathfrak{g}$  is crucial to determine 2-local derivations on  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{F}^n$  be regular. Then the following statements hold.*

- (1)  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{(1)}) = T$ .
- (2) If  $p > 2$ , then  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 D_i) \cap T = 0$ .
- (3)  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}_1) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{F} \mathcal{D}_i$ .

*Proof.* (1) Take any  $D \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{(1)})$ . Thanks to (2.2), we can write  $D = D_0 + \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} D_{\alpha}$  with  $D_0 \in T$  and  $D_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$  for any  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . Then

$$0 = [\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{(1)}, D] = \left[ \mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{(1)}, D_0 + \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} D_{\alpha} \right] = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (\lambda, \alpha) D_{\alpha}.$$

Since  $\lambda$  is regular,  $(\lambda, \alpha) \neq 0$  for any  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . It follows that  $D_{\alpha} = 0$  for any  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . This implies that  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{(1)}) \subseteq T$ . On the other hand, it is obvious that  $T \subseteq \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{(1)})$ . Hence,  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{(1)}) = T$ .

(2) Take any  $E = \sum_{j=1}^n k_j x_j D_j \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 D_i) \cap T$  with  $k_j \in \mathbb{F}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , then

$$0 = \left[ \sum_{j=1}^n k_j x_j D_j, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 D_i \right] = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i x_i^2 D_i.$$

It follows that  $k_i = 0$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Consequently,  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 D_i) \cap T = 0$ .

(3) When  $p > 2$ , this follows from [7, Lemma 7(ii)]. Now we prove this for  $p = 2$ . It is obvious that  $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{F} \mathcal{D}_i \subset \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}_1)$  by Lemma 3.3. On the other hand, for any  $X \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}_1)$ , we write  $X = \sum_{i \geq r} X_i \in \mathfrak{g}$ , where  $X_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{[i]}$  for  $i \geq r$ . Then we may assume that  $r \geq 0$ . If  $X \neq 0$ , we may assume that  $X_r \neq 0$ . Since  $[X, \mathcal{D}_i] = 0$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq n$  by Lemma 3.3, we see that  $[X_r, D_i] = 0$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , which is impossible. Hence, the assertion follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{F}^n$  be regular, and  $\Delta$  be a 2-local derivation on  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\Delta(\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{(1)}) = 0$ . Then for any nonzero element  $X = \sum_{\alpha \in S} \sum_{i \in \Gamma_{\alpha}} c_{\alpha, i} x^{\alpha} D_i \in W_n$ , where  $S \subseteq A_n$  and  $\Gamma_{\alpha} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $c_{\alpha, i} \in \mathbb{F}^*$  for  $\alpha \in S, i \in \Gamma_{\alpha}$ , we have*

$$\Delta(X) \in \sum_{\alpha \in S} \sum_{i \in \Gamma_{\alpha}} \mathbb{F} x^{\alpha} D_i.$$

*Proof.* For  $\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{(1)}$  and  $X$ , there exists an element  $a \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\Delta(\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{(1)}) = [a, \mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{(1)}]$  and  $\Delta(X) = [a, X]$ . Since  $\Delta(\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{(1)}) = 0$ , it follows that  $a \in T$  by Lemma 3.4. Thus

$$\Delta(X) = \left[ a, \sum_{\alpha \in S} \sum_{i \in \Gamma_{\alpha}} c_{\alpha, i} x^{\alpha} D_i \right] \in \sum_{\alpha \in S} \sum_{i \in \Gamma_{\alpha}} \mathbb{F} x^{\alpha} D_i.$$

$\square$

**Proposition 3.6.** *Suppose the base field  $\mathbb{F}$  is of characteristic  $p > 2$ . Let  $\nu = (1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{F}^n$ , and  $X = \sum_{i \geq -1} X_i \in \mathfrak{g}$ , where  $X_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{[i]}$  for  $i \geq -1$ . Then the following statements hold.*

- (1) *If  $X \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 D_i)$ , then  $X_{-1} = X_0 = 0$ .*
- (2) *If  $X \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{D}_{\nu}^{(\frac{p+1}{2})})$ , then  $X_k = 0$  for all  $k < \frac{p-1}{2}$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Since  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 D_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{[1]}$  and

$$(3.2) \quad 0 = \left[ X, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 D_i \right] = \sum_{k=-1}^{n(p-1)-1} \left[ X_k, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 D_i \right],$$

it follows that

$$(3.3) \quad \left[ X_k, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 D_i \right] = 0, \quad -1 \leq k \leq n(p-1) - 1.$$



Write  $X_{-1} = \sum_{s=1}^n a_s D_s$  for  $a_s \in \mathbb{F}, 1 \leq s \leq n$ . Then

$$0 = \left[ X_{-1}, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 D_i \right] = \sum_{i=1}^n 2a_i x_i D_i,$$

which implies that  $a_s = 0, -1 \leq s \leq n$ . Hence,  $X_{-1} = 0$ .

Write

$$X_0 = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} a_{ij} x_i D_j, a_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n.$$

If there exists some  $s \neq t$  such that  $a_{st} \neq 0$ , then  $2a_{st} x_s x_t D_t$  appears as a summand in  $[X_0, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 D_i]$ , and can not be cancelled by other summands. This contradicts with (3.3) in the case  $k = 0$ . Hence,  $X_0 \in T$ . Then it follows from (3.3) in the case  $k = 0$  and Lemma 3.4(2) that  $X_0 = 0$ .

(2) Since  $\mathfrak{D}_\nu^{(\frac{p+1}{2})} \in \mathfrak{g}_{[\frac{p-1}{2}]}$  and

$$(3.4) \quad 0 = \left[ X, \mathfrak{D}_\nu^{(\frac{p+1}{2})} \right] = \sum_{k=-1}^{n(p-1)-1} \left[ X_k, \mathfrak{D}_\nu^{(\frac{p+1}{2})} \right],$$

it follows that

$$(3.5) \quad \left[ X_k, \mathfrak{D}_\nu^{(\frac{p+1}{2})} \right] = 0, \quad -1 \leq k \leq n(p-1) - 1.$$

Assume  $X_k = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i^{(k)} D_i$ , where  $f_i^{(k)} \in (\mathfrak{A}_n)_{[k+1]}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then

$$0 = \left[ X_k, \mathfrak{D}_\nu^{(\frac{p+1}{2})} \right] = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \left( \frac{p+1}{2} \right) x_i^{\frac{p-1}{2}} f_i^{(k)} - \left( \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^{\frac{p+1}{2}} D_j(f_i^{(k)}) \right) \right) D_i.$$

Hence,

$$\left( \frac{p+1}{2} \right) x_i^{\frac{p-1}{2}} f_i^{(k)} - \left( \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^{\frac{p+1}{2}} D_j(f_i^{(k)}) \right) = 0, \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

It follows that

$$f_i^{(k)} = 0 \text{ for any } -1 \leq k < \frac{p-1}{2}, 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

That is

$$X_k = 0 \text{ for } -1 \leq k < \frac{p-1}{2}.$$

□

As a direct consequence of Proposition 3.6, we have

**Corollary 3.7.** *Suppose the base field  $\mathbb{F}$  is of characteristic  $p > 2$  and  $\Delta$  is a 2-local derivation on  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\Delta(\mathfrak{D}_\lambda^{(1)}) = \Delta(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 D_i) = 0$  for some regular vector  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}^n$ . Then*

- (1)  $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}_{[k]}) = 0$  for any  $k$ .
- (2)  $\Delta(\sum_{k \geq r} \mathfrak{g}_{[k]}) \subseteq \sum_{k \geq r + \frac{p-1}{2}} \mathfrak{g}_{[k]}$  for any  $r$ .
- (3)  $\Delta(\mathcal{D}_i) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{[k]}$ . For  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 D_i$  and  $X$ , there exists  $Y = \sum_{i \geq -1} Y_i \in \mathfrak{g}$  with  $Y_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{[i]}$  for  $i \geq -1$  such that  $0 = \Delta(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 D_i) = [Y, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 D_i]$  and  $\Delta(X) = [Y, X]$ . It follows from Proposition 3.6 that  $Y_0 = 0$ . Since  $X, \Delta(X) \in \mathfrak{g}_{[k]}$ , we further obtain from Lemma 3.5 that

$$\Delta(X) = [Y, X] = [Y_0, X] = 0.$$

(2) Since  $\mathfrak{D}_\nu^{(\frac{p+1}{2})} \in \mathfrak{g}_{[\frac{p-1}{2}]}$  for  $\nu = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ , it follows from the statement (1) that  $\Delta(\mathfrak{D}_\nu^{(\frac{p+1}{2})}) = 0$ . Then for any  $X \in \sum_{k \geq r} \mathfrak{g}_{[k]}$ , there exists  $Y = \sum_{i \geq -1} Y_i \in \mathfrak{g}$  with  $Y_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{[i]}$  for  $i \geq -1$  such that

$$0 = \Delta(\mathfrak{D}_\nu^{(\frac{p+1}{2})}) = [Y, \mathfrak{D}_\nu^{(\frac{p+1}{2})}],$$

and  $\Delta(X) = [Y, X]$ . Note that  $Y_k = 0$  for  $k < \frac{p-1}{2}$  by Proposition 3.6, we further have

$$\Delta(X) = [Y, X] = \sum_{i \geq \frac{p-1}{2}} [Y_i, X] \in \sum_{k \geq r + \frac{p-1}{2}} \mathfrak{g}_{[k]}.$$

(3) By Lemma 3.5 and the statement (2), we see that

$$(3.6) \quad \Delta(\mathcal{D}_i) = \sum_{j=i}^{n-1} l_i^{(j+1)} \left( \prod_{k=i}^j x_k^{p-1} \right) D_{j+1},$$

where  $l_i^{(j)} \in \mathbb{F}$  for  $i < j \leq n$ .

On the other hand, for  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 D_i$  and  $\mathcal{D}_i$ , there exists  $b = \sum_{i \geq -1} b_i \in \mathfrak{g}$  with  $b_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{[i]}$  for  $i \geq -1$  such that  $0 = \Delta(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 D_i) = [b, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 D_i]$  and  $\Delta(\mathcal{D}_i) = [b, \mathcal{D}_i]$ . Then  $b_{-1} = b_0 = 0$  by Proposition 3.6. Hence

$$(3.7) \quad \Delta(\mathcal{D}_i) = [b, \mathcal{D}_i] = \sum_{k \geq 1} [b_k, D_i + \sum_{j=i}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{k=i}^j x_k^{p-1} \right) D_{j+1}].$$

By comparing the right hand sides of (3.6) and (3.7), we have

$$l_i^{(j+1)} \left( \prod_{k=i}^j x_k^{p-1} \right) D_{j+1} = [b_{(p-1)(j-i+1)}, D_i] + \sum_{s=i}^j [b_{(p-1)(j-s)}, \left( \prod_{k=i}^s x_k^{p-1} \right) D_{s+1}], \quad i < j \leq n-1.$$

The right-hand-side of this equation does not produce any term of the form  $(\prod_{k=i}^j x_k^{p-1}) D_{j+1}$  since  $b_0 = 0$ . This implies that  $l_i^{(j)} = 0$  for  $i < j \leq n$ , i.e.,  $\Delta(\mathcal{D}_i) = 0$ , as desired.  $\square$

We define the support of  $X = \sum_{\alpha \in A_n} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_{\alpha,i} x^\alpha D_i \in \mathfrak{g}$ , where  $a_{\alpha,i} \in F$ , as

$$\text{supp}(X) := \{(\alpha, i) : a_{\alpha,i} \neq 0\}.$$

In this section, from now on, we take a regular vector  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{F}^n$ , and let  $\Delta$  be a 2-local derivation on  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\Delta(\mathfrak{D}_\lambda^{(1)}) = \Delta(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 D_i) = 0$  if  $p > 2$ , and  $\Delta(\mathfrak{D}_\lambda^{(1)}) = \Delta(\mathcal{D}_1) = 0$  if  $p = 2$ .

We want to show that  $\Delta = 0$ . To the contrary, assume that there is  $X = \sum_{i=r}^{r+s} X_i \in \mathfrak{g}$  with  $X_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{[i]}$  for  $r \leq i \leq r+s$ ,  $X_r \neq 0$ ,  $X_{r+s} \neq 0$  such that  $\Delta(X) \neq 0$ . We need to deduce a contradiction. Thanks to Lemma 3.5, we can write

$$(3.8) \quad X' := \Delta(X) = \sum_{i=r}^{r+s} X'_i,$$

where  $X'_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{[i]}$  for  $r \leq i \leq r+s$ . We may choose such an  $X$  so that  $r+s$  is maximal and then  $s$  is minimal. We may further assume that  $|\text{supp}(X_{r+s})|$  and  $|\text{supp}(X_{r+s-1})|$  are minimal in the sense that, for any  $Y = \sum_{i=r}^{r+s} Y_i \in \mathfrak{g}$ , where  $Y_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{[i]}$  for any  $i \geq -1$ , if  $|\text{supp}(X_i)| = |\text{supp}(Y_i)|$  for  $i \in \{r+s-1, r+s\} \setminus \{k\}$  and  $|\text{supp}(X_k)| > |\text{supp}(Y_k)|$ , then  $\Delta(Y) = 0$ .

The following observation is elementary.

**Lemma 3.8.** *We have  $X'_i = 0$  if  $i < r+s-1$ , that is,  $X' = X'_{r+s} + X'_{r+s-1}$ .*

*Proof.* From the minimal conditions on  $X$ , we know that  $\Delta(X - X_{r+s}) = 0$ . There is an element  $a \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that

$$0 = \Delta(X - X_{r+s}) = [a, X - X_{r+s}], \quad X' = \Delta(X) = [a, X] = [a, X_{r+s}].$$

The statement follows from (3.8) and  $a = a_{-1} + a_0 + \dots + a_m$  with  $a_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{[i]}$  for  $-1 \leq i \leq m$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.9.** *If  $(\alpha, i) \in \text{supp}(X_{r+s})$ , then*

$$X'_{r+s} \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{x^\alpha D_i, x^{\alpha+\epsilon_k-\epsilon_j} D_i, x^\alpha D_k - \alpha_k x^{\alpha+\epsilon_i-\epsilon_k} D_i : k \neq i, 1 \leq j \leq n\},$$

and

$$X'_{r+s-1} \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{x^{\alpha-\epsilon_j} D_i : 1 \leq j \leq n\}.$$

*Proof.* From the minimal conditions on  $X$ , we know that  $\Delta(X - a_{\alpha,i} x^\alpha D_i) = 0$ . There is an element  $a \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that

$$0 = \Delta(X - a_{\alpha,i} x^\alpha D_i) = [a, X - a_{\alpha,i} x^\alpha D_i], \quad X' = \Delta(X) = [a, X] = [a, a_{\alpha,i} x^\alpha D_i].$$

The statement follows from  $X'_{r+s} \in [\mathfrak{g}_{[0]}, a_{\alpha,i} x^\alpha D_i]$  and  $X'_{r+s-1} \in [\mathfrak{g}_{[-1]}, a_{\alpha,i} x^\alpha D_i]$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.10.** *If  $(\alpha, 1), (\alpha + \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2, 1) \in \text{supp}(X_{r+s})$ , then*

$$\text{supp}(X'_{r+s}) \subset \{(\alpha + \epsilon_k - \epsilon_2, 1) : 2 \leq k \leq n\}.$$

*Proof.* The assertion follows directly from Lemma 3.9.  $\square$

As a consequence of Lemma 3.9 and Lemma 3.10, we have the following result on the structure of  $X_{r+s}$  when  $X'_{r+s} \neq 0$ , which is crucial to our further discussion.

**Corollary 3.11.** *If  $X'_{r+s} \neq 0$ , then there exist  $\alpha \in A_n$  and  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that*

$$(3.9) \quad \text{supp}(X_{r+s}) \subset \{(\beta, i) : \beta \in A_n\},$$

or

$$(3.10) \quad \text{supp}(X_{r+s}) \subset \{(\alpha, k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\Upsilon = \{1 \leq k \leq n : \exists \alpha \in A_n \text{ such that } (\alpha, k) \in \text{supp}(X_{r+s})\}$ . If  $|\Upsilon| = 1$ , then (3.9) holds. Now suppose that  $|\Upsilon| > 1$ .

In this case, we may assume  $(\alpha, 1), (\beta, 2) \in \text{supp}(X_{r+s})$  without loss of generality. If  $\beta \neq \alpha$ , then it follows from Lemma 3.9 that

$$X'_{r+s} \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{x^\alpha D_k - \alpha_k x^{\alpha+\epsilon_1-\epsilon_k} D_1 : k \neq 1\} \cap \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{x^\beta D_l - \beta_l x^{\beta+\epsilon_2-\epsilon_l} D_2 : l \neq 2\}.$$

This implies that

$$\beta = \alpha + \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2, \quad (\alpha_1 + 1)\alpha_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p},$$

and there exists some nonzero constant  $c \in \mathbb{F}$  such that

$$X'_{r+s} = c(x^\alpha D_2 - \alpha_2 x^{\alpha+\epsilon_1-\epsilon_2} D_1).$$

This implies that  $(\alpha + \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2, 1) \in \text{supp}(X_{r+s})$  and  $(\alpha, 2) \in \text{supp}(X'_{r+s})$  by Lemma 3.5. It contradicts with Lemma 3.10. Hence, (3.10) holds.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.12.** *If there exist some  $\alpha, \beta \in A_n$  and  $i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that*

$$\{(\alpha, i), (\beta, j)\} \subset \text{supp}(X_{r+s}),$$

then  $X'_{r+s-1} = 0$ .

*Proof.* The assertion follows directly from Lemma 3.9.  $\square$

Let

$$\mathcal{T}_1 := \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{I_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i D_i, h_j = x_j D_j + x_1 D_j : 2 \leq j \leq n\},$$

and when  $p > 2$ , for  $2 \leq k \leq n$ , set

$$\mathcal{T}_k := \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{I_k = x_k D_k + I_1, h_j = x_j D_j + x_1 D_j, \mathfrak{h}_k = x_k D_k + x_1^2 D_k : 2 \leq j \leq n, j \neq k\}.$$

**Lemma 3.13.** *We have  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{T}_k) = \mathcal{T}_k$  for any  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Moreover, each  $\mathcal{T}_k$  is a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .*

*Proof.* Define the following algebra isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1 : \mathfrak{A}_n &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}_n \\ x_i &\longmapsto x_i + (1 - \delta_{i1})x_1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \end{aligned}$$

and for  $2 \leq k \leq n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_k : \mathfrak{A}_n &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}_n \\ x_i &\longmapsto x_i + (1 - \delta_{i1})x_1^{1+\delta_{ik}}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows from [15, Theorem 2] that these algebra isomorphisms  $\psi_k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ) induce the following Lie algebra isomorphisms,

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\psi}_k : \mathfrak{g} = \text{Der}(\mathfrak{A}_n) &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} = \text{Der}(\mathfrak{A}_n) \\ E &\longmapsto \psi_k \circ E \circ \psi_k^{-1}, \quad \forall E \in \mathfrak{g}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from direct computation that

$$\psi_1(x_1 D_1) = x_1 \left( D_1 - \sum_{j=2}^n D_j \right), \quad \psi_1(x_l D_l) = h_l, \quad 2 \leq l \leq n,$$

and for  $2 \leq k \leq n$ ,

$$\psi_k(x_1 D_1) = x_1 \left( D_1 - \sum_{\substack{j=2 \\ j \neq k}}^n D_j \right) - 2x_1^2 D_k, \quad \psi_k(x_l D_l) = h_l, \quad 2 \leq l \leq n \text{ and } l \neq k, \quad \psi_k(x_k D_k) = h_k.$$

Therefore,  $\psi_k(T) = \mathcal{T}_k$  for any  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , so that these  $\mathcal{T}_k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ) are Cartan subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Moreover,

$$\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{T}_k) = \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\psi_k(T)) = \psi_k(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(T)) = \psi_k(T) = \mathcal{T}_k.$$

We complete the proof. □

**Lemma 3.14.** *Suppose that  $\text{supp}(X_{r+s}) \subset \{(\beta, 1) : \beta \in A_n\}$ . Then  $X' = c[I_1, X]$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{F}$ . In particular, if  $X' \neq 0$ , then  $X_l = 0$  for any  $l < r + s - 1$  with  $p \nmid l$ ; and if  $X'_l \neq 0$  then  $p \nmid l$ . Moreover, if  $p > 2$  and there exists  $(\beta, 1) \in \text{supp}(X_{r+s})$  with  $\beta_1 < p - 1$ , then there exists some  $c_k \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $X' = c_k[I_k, X]$ ,  $2 \leq k \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $1 \leq k \leq n$  and any regular vector  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}^n$ , let

$$h_\lambda = \begin{cases} \lambda_1 I_k + \sum_{j=2}^n \lambda_j h_j, & \text{if } k = 1, \\ \lambda_1 I_k + \sum_{\substack{j=2 \\ j \neq k}}^n \lambda_j h_j + \lambda_k \mathfrak{h}_k, & \text{if } 2 \leq k \leq n \text{ and } p > 2. \end{cases}$$

If  $p = 2$ , for  $h_\lambda$  and  $\mathcal{D}_1$ , there exists  $u = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathcal{D}_i \in \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{F} \mathcal{D}_i$  with  $a_i \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $\Delta(h_\lambda) = [u, h_\lambda]$  by Lemma 3.4(3). By Lemma 3.5 we see that

$$0 = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i D_i, h_\lambda \right] = a_1 (\lambda_1 D_1 + \sum_{j=2}^n \lambda_j D_j) + \sum_{j=2}^n a_j (\lambda_1 + \lambda_j) D_j.$$

Then it follows  $a_i = 0$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , i.e.,  $u = 0$ , and  $\Delta(h_\lambda) = 0$ .

If  $p > 2$ , it follows from Lemma 3.5 and Corollary 3.7(2) that  $\Delta(h_\lambda) = 0$ . For  $h_\lambda$  and  $X$ , there exists  $a \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $0 = \Delta(h_\lambda) = [a, h_\lambda]$  and  $X' = [a, X]$ . Then by Lemma 3.13, there exist  $a_i \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$  such that

$$a = \begin{cases} a_1 I_k + \sum_{j=2}^n a_j h_j, & \text{if } k = 1, \\ a_1 I_k + \sum_{\substack{j=2 \\ j \neq k}}^n a_j h_j + a_k \mathfrak{h}_k, & \text{if } 2 \leq k \leq n. \end{cases}$$

Note that  $\text{supp}(X'_{r+s}) \subseteq \text{supp}(X_{r+s})$  and  $X'_{r+s+1} = 0$  by Lemma 3.5. If  $a_j \neq 0$  for any  $j \neq 1$ , then  $[a, X]$  has a nonzero term  $-a_j a_{\beta,1} x^\beta D_j$  or  $-2a_j a_{\beta,1} x^{\beta+\epsilon_1} D_j$ . It follows that  $a_j = 0$  for  $2 \leq j \leq n$ , i.e.,  $a = a_1 I_k$ . Other assertions follow easily.  $\square$

**Remark 3.15.** The result in Lemma 3.14 does not need the assumption that  $s$  is minimal for  $X$ .

**Proposition 3.16.** *Suppose  $\text{supp}(X_{r+s}) \subset \{(\beta, 1) : \beta \in A_n\}$ . Then  $X' = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $X' \neq 0$ , we will deduce some contradictions in the following discussion.

Case 1:  $n = 1$ .

In this case, we have assumed that  $p > 2$ . It follows from Lemma 3.14 that  $X = X_0 + X_{r+s-1} + X_{r+s}$ . Since  $\Delta(D_1) = 0$  by Corollary 3.7(1) and  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(D_1) = \mathbb{F}D_1$ , there exists some  $c \in \mathbb{F}^*$  such that

$$X' = \Delta(X) = [cD_1, X].$$

This implies that  $X'_{r+s} = 0$  and  $X'_{r+s-1} \neq 0$ , so that  $X_{r+s-1} \neq 0$ . Again from Lemma 3.14 we see that  $p|r+s$  and  $p \nmid r+s-1 > 0$ . Consequently,  $X'_{r+s-2} \neq 0$  which contradicts Lemma 3.8.

Case 2:  $n \geq 2$ .

In this case, we first claim that  $r + s < n(p - 1) - 1$ . Indeed, if  $r + s = n(p - 1) - 1$ , then  $X_{r+s} = a_{\tau,1}x^\tau D_1$ , where  $0 \neq a_{\tau,1} \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $\tau = \sum_{j=1}^n (p-1)\epsilon_j$ . It follows from Lemma 3.14 that  $\Delta(D_j - X) = 0$  for any  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Then for  $X$  and  $D_j - X$ , there exists some  $a_j \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $0 = \Delta(D_j - X) = [a_j, D_j - X]$  and

$$X' = \Delta(X) = [a_j, X] = [a_j, D_j], \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

The right-hand-side can not produce the term  $x^\tau D_1$ , which implies that  $X'_{r+s} = 0$ . Hence,  $X'_{r+s-1} \neq 0$ . From Lemma 3.9 we know that  $X_{r+s-1}$  (also  $X'_{r+s-1}$  with different coefficient) has a term  $a_{\tau-\epsilon_i,1}x^{\tau-\epsilon_i}D_1 \neq 0$  for some  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Choose  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}$ . It follows from Lemma 3.14 that  $\Delta(D_j - X) = 0$ . Then for  $X$  and  $D_j - X$ , there exists some  $a_j \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $0 = \Delta(D_j - X) = [a_j, D_j - X]$  and

$$(3.11) \quad X' = \Delta(X) = [a_j, X] = [a_j, D_j].$$

The coefficient of the term  $x^{\tau-\epsilon_i}D_1$  on the right hand side of (3.11) is 0. This implies that  $X'_{r+s-1} = 0$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $r + s < n(p - 1) - 1$ .

According to the discussion above, and the assumption on  $X$  at the beginning, we have  $\Delta(X - x^\tau D_2) = 0$ . Then for  $X$  and  $X - x^\tau D_2$ , there exists some  $a \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that

$$0 = \Delta(X - x^\tau D_2) = [a, X - x^\tau D_2]$$

and

$$X' = \Delta(X) = [a, X] = [a, x^\tau D_2].$$

This implies that  $r + s = n(p - 1) - 2$ ,  $X' = X'_{r+s}$ , and  $\text{supp}(X') \subset \{(\tau - \epsilon_j, 2) : 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ . It contradicts with  $\text{supp}(X') \subset \text{supp}(X) \subset \{(\beta, 1) : \beta \in A_n\}$ .

In conclusion, we have shown that  $X' = 0$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.17.** *Suppose  $\text{supp}(X_{r+s}) \subset \{(\alpha, k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ . Then  $X' = 0$ .*

*Proof.* According to the assumption, we can write

$$X_{r+s} = c_1x^\alpha D_1 + c_2x^\alpha D_2 + \dots + c_nx^\alpha D_n = x^\alpha(c_1D_1 + c_2D_2 + \dots + c_nD_n),$$

where  $c_i \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . We can assume that  $c_1 \neq 0$  without loss of generality. Let  $\mathfrak{B}_n = \mathbb{F}[y_1, \dots, y_n]/(y_1^p, \dots, y_n^p)$  be the divided power algebra of  $n$  variables  $y_1, \dots, y_n$ , where  $(y_1^p, \dots, y_n^p)$  denotes the ideal of  $\mathbb{F}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$  generated by  $y_i^p$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Define the following algebra isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \mathfrak{A}_n &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}_n \\ x_i &\longmapsto c_i y_i + (1 - \delta_{i1}) y_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

Then it induces the following Lie algebra isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\varphi} : \mathfrak{g} = \text{Der}(\mathfrak{A}_n) &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{h} := \text{Der}(\mathfrak{B}_n) \\ E &\longmapsto \varphi \circ E \circ \varphi^{-1}, \quad \forall E \in \mathfrak{g}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows from a direct computation that for any  $\alpha \in A_n$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we have

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x^\alpha D_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{c_1} \prod_{j=1}^n (c_j y_1 + (1 - \delta_{j1}) y_j)^{\alpha_j} (\widetilde{D}_1 - \sum_{k=2}^n c_k \widetilde{D}_k), & \text{if } i = 1, \\ \prod_{j=1}^n (c_j y_1 + (1 - \delta_{j1}) y_j)^{\alpha_j} \widetilde{D}_i, & \text{if } 2 \leq i \leq n, \end{cases}$$

where  $\widetilde{D}_i$  is a derivation on  $\mathfrak{B}_n$  defined by  $\widetilde{D}_i(y_j) = \delta_{ij}$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ . The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a free  $\mathfrak{B}_n$ -module of rank  $n$  with basis  $\widetilde{D}_1, \dots, \widetilde{D}_n$ , and it has a natural  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading similar as the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . In particular,  $Y := \tilde{\varphi}(X) = Y_r + \dots + Y_{r+s}$  with  $Y_j \in \mathfrak{h}_{[j]}$  for  $r \leq j \leq r+s$ , and  $Y_r \neq 0, Y_{r+s} = \tilde{\varphi}(X_{r+s}) = \prod_{j=1}^n (c_j y_1 + (1 - \delta_{j1}) y_j)^{\alpha_j} \widetilde{D}_1 \neq 0$ .

Moreover, the above Lie algebra homomorphism  $\tilde{\varphi}$  and the 2-local derivation  $\Delta$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  induce a 2-local derivation  $\tilde{\Delta}$  on  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Precise speaking,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Delta} : \mathfrak{h} = \text{Der}(\mathfrak{B}_n) &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{h} = \text{Der}(\mathfrak{B}_n) \\ E &\longmapsto \tilde{\varphi}(\Delta(\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(E))), \quad \forall E \in \mathfrak{h}.\end{aligned}$$

Indeed, for any  $E, F \in \mathfrak{h}$ , we have  $\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(E), \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(F) \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Since  $\Delta$  is a 2-local derivation on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , there exists  $D \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that

$$\Delta(\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(E)) = [D, \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(E)], \quad \Delta(\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(F)) = [D, \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(F)].$$

Hence,

$$\tilde{\Delta}(E) = \tilde{\varphi}(\Delta(\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(E))) = [\tilde{\varphi}(D), E], \quad \tilde{\Delta}(F) = \tilde{\varphi}(\Delta(\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(F))) = [\tilde{\varphi}(D), F].$$

This implies that  $\tilde{\Delta}$  is a 2-local derivation on  $\mathfrak{h}$ .

Suppose  $X' = \Delta(X) \neq 0$ , then

$$0 \neq \tilde{\varphi}(X') = \tilde{\varphi}(\Delta(\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}\tilde{\varphi}(X))) = \tilde{\Delta}(\tilde{\varphi}(X)) = \tilde{\Delta}(Y).$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $Y$  satisfies the same assumption as  $X$ . Then it follows from Proposition 3.16 that  $\tilde{\Delta}(Y) = 0$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $X' = 0$ . We complete the proof.  $\square$



**Proposition 3.18.** *Suppose  $\Delta$  is a 2-local derivation on  $\mathfrak{g}$  over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  of prime characteristic  $p$  with cardinality no less than  $p^n$  such that*

$$\Delta(\mathfrak{D}_\lambda^{(1)}) = \Delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 D_i\right) = 0 \text{ if } p > 2,$$

and

$$\Delta(\mathfrak{D}_\lambda^{(1)}) = \Delta(\mathcal{D}_1) = 0 \text{ if } p = 2$$

for some regular vector  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}^n$ . Then  $\Delta = 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $X' := \Delta(X) \neq 0$  for some  $X = X_r + \cdots + X_{r+s} \in \mathfrak{g}$  satisfying the assumptions stated in the paragraph before Lemma 3.8, where  $X_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{[i]}$  for  $r \leq i \leq r+s$ ,  $X_r \neq 0$ ,  $X_{r+s} \neq 0$ . Then it follows from Lemma 3.8 that  $X' = X'_{r+s} + X'_{r+s-1}$  for  $X'_j \in \mathfrak{g}_{[j]}$  for  $j = r+s-1, r+s$ . We will deduce some contradictions in the following discussion.

Case 1:  $X'_{r+s} \neq 0$ .

In this case, without loss of generality, there exists some  $\alpha \in A_n$  such that one of the following two subcases may happen by Corollary 3.11.

Subcase 1.1:  $\text{supp}(X_{r+s}) \subset \{(\beta, 1) : \beta \in A_n\}$ .

In this subcase, it follows from Proposition 3.16 that  $X' = 0$ , a contradiction.

Subcase 1.2:  $\text{supp}(X_{r+s}) \subset \{(\alpha, k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ .

In this subcase, it follows from Proposition 3.17 that  $X' = 0$ , a contradiction.

Case 2:  $X'_{r+s} = 0$ .

In this case,  $X'_{r+s-1} \neq 0$ . Thanks to Corollary 3.12, without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$\text{supp}(X_{r+s}) \subset \{(\beta, 1) : \beta \in A_n\}.$$

Then it follows from Proposition 3.16 that  $X' = 0$ , a contradiction.

In conclusion, we have shown that  $\Delta = 0$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

We are now in the position to present the following main result in this section.

**Theorem 3.19.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g} = W_n$  be the simple Jacobson-Witt algebra over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  of prime characteristic  $p$  with cardinality no less than  $p^n$ . Then every 2-local derivation on  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a derivation.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Delta$  be a 2-local derivation on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Take a regular vector  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}^n$ . Then there exists an element  $a \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that

$$\Delta(\mathfrak{D}_\lambda^{(1)}) = [a, \mathfrak{D}_\lambda^{(1)}], \quad \Delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 D_i\right) = \left[a, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 D_i\right], \text{ if } p > 2,$$

and

$$\Delta(\mathfrak{D}_\lambda^{(1)}) = [a, \mathfrak{D}_\lambda^{(1)}], \quad \Delta(\mathcal{D}_1) = [a, \mathcal{D}_1], \quad \text{if } p = 2.$$

Set  $\Delta_1 = \Delta - \text{ada}$ . Then  $\Delta_1$  is a 2-local derivation on  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that

$$\Delta_1(\mathfrak{D}_\lambda^{(1)}) = \Delta_1\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 D_i\right) = 0, \quad \text{if } p > 2,$$

and

$$\Delta_1(\mathfrak{D}_\lambda^{(1)}) = \Delta_1(\mathcal{D}_1) = 0, \quad \text{if } p = 2.$$

It follows from Proposition 3.18 that  $\Delta_1 = 0$ . Thus  $\Delta = \text{ada}$  is a derivation. The proof is complete.  $\square$

The following example implies that the assumption on the simplicity of the Jacobson-Witt algebras in Theorem 3.19 is necessary.

**Example 3.20.** Let  $\mathfrak{g} = W_1$  be the Witt algebra over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  of characteristic  $p = 2$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a two dimensional solvable Lie algebra with a basis  $\{e_{-1}, e_0\}$  and subject to the relation  $[e_{-1}, e_0] = e_{-1}$ . Note that  $W_1$  in this case is not a Lie algebra in Sect.3 of [2].

For  $i = -1, 0$ , let  $\mathbb{D}_i = \text{ad}(e_i)$ , i.e.,  $\mathbb{D}_i(e_j) = \delta_{ij}e_{-1}$  for  $j = -1, 0$ . It follows from Lemma 2.1 that  $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{F}\mathbb{D}_{-1} \oplus \mathbb{F}\mathbb{D}_0$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta : \mathfrak{g} &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \\ k_{-1}e_{-1} + k_0e_0 &\longmapsto \begin{cases} k_0e_{-1}, & \text{if } k_{-1} \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } k_{-1} = 0, \end{cases} \quad \forall k_{-1}, k_0 \in \mathbb{F}. \end{aligned}$$

We will show that  $\Delta$  is a 2-local derivation on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , but not a derivation. For any  $x = a_{-1}e_{-1} + a_0e_0, y = b_{-1}e_{-1} + b_0e_0 \in \mathfrak{g}$ , we consider the following four cases.

Case 1:  $a_{-1} = b_{-1} = 0$ .

In this case, take  $D_{xy} = 0$ . Then

$$\Delta(x) = D_{xy}(x) = 0, \quad \Delta(y) = D_{xy}(y) = 0.$$

Case 2:  $a_{-1} \neq 0, b_{-1} = 0$ .

In this case, take  $D_{xy} = \frac{a_0}{a_{-1}}\mathbb{D}_{-1} \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{g})$ . Then

$$\Delta(x) = D_{xy}(x) = a_0e_{-1}, \quad \Delta(y) = D_{xy}(y) = 0.$$

Case 3:  $a_{-1} = 0, b_{-1} \neq 0$ .

In this case, take  $D_{xy} = \frac{b_0}{b_{-1}}\mathbb{D}_{-1} \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{g})$ . Then

$$\Delta(x) = D_{xy}(x) = 0, \quad \Delta(y) = D_{xy}(y) = b_0e_{-1}.$$

Case 4:  $a_{-1} \neq 0$  and  $b_{-1} \neq 0$ .

In this case, take  $D_{xy} = \mathbb{D}_0 \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{g})$ . Then

$$\Delta(x) = D_{xy}(x) = a_0 e_{-1}, \quad \Delta(y) = D_{xy}(y) = b_0 e_{-1}.$$

Therefore,  $\Delta$  is a 2-local derivation on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . However,

$$\Delta(e_{-1} + e_0) = e_{-1} \neq \Delta(e_{-1}) + \Delta(e_0).$$

Hence,  $\Delta$  is not a derivation.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Sh. A. Ayupov, K. K. Kudaybergenov and B. A. Omirov, *Local and 2-local derivations and automorphisms on simple Leibniz algebras*. Bull Malays Math Sci Soc., doi:10.1007/s40840-019-00799-5, 2019. [1](#)
- [2] Sh. A. Ayupov, K. K. Kudaybergenov and I. S. Rakhimov, *2-Local derivations on finite-dimensional Lie algebras*. Linear Algebra Appl. **474**, 1-11, 2015. [1](#), [18](#)
- [3] Sh. A. Ayupov, K. K. Kudaybergenov and B. B. Yusupov, *2-Local derivations on generalized Witt algebras*. Linear Multilinear Algebra, 10.1080/03081087.2019.1708846, 2019. [2](#)
- [4] Y. Wang, H. Chen and J. Nan, *2-Local superderivations on basic classical Lie superalgebras*. J Math Res Appl. **37**, 527-534, 2017. [1](#)
- [5] R. R. Holmes and C. Zhang, *Some simple modules for the restricted Cartan-type Lie algebras*. J. Pure and Appl. Algebra **173**, 135-165, 2002. [2](#)
- [6] N. Jacobson, *Lie algebras*. Interscience, New York, 1962. [1](#)
- [7] A. A. Premet, *The theorem on restriction of invariants and nilpotent elements in  $W_n$* . Math. USSR. Sbornik **73**, No. 1, 135-159, 1992. [7](#), [8](#)
- [8] A. A. Premet and H. Strade, *Classification of finite dimensional simple Lie algebras in prime characteristics*. Representations of algebraic groups, quantum groups, and Lie algebras, Contemp. Math. **413**, 185-214, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006. [2](#)
- [9] P. Šemrl, *Local automorphisms and derivations on  $B(H)$* . Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125**, 2677-2680, 1997. [1](#)
- [10] G. Shen, *Graded modules of graded Lie algebras of Cartan type. III. Irreducible modules*. Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B **9**, no. 4, 404-417, 1988. [2](#)
- [11] B. Shu and Y. Yao, *Irreducible representations of the generalized Jacobson-Witt algebras*. Algebra Colloq. **19**, no. 1, 53-72, 2012. [2](#)
- [12] H. Strade, *Simple Lie algebras over fields of positive characteristic I. Structure theory*. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2004. [2](#), [3](#), [4](#)
- [13] H. Strade and R. Farnsteiner, *Modular Lie algebras and their representations*. Pure and Applied Mathematics **116**, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1988. [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [5](#), [6](#)
- [14] X. Tang, *2-local derivations on the  $W$ -algebra  $W(2, 2)$* . arXiv:2003.05627, 2020. [2](#)
- [15] R. Wilson, *Automorphisms of graded Lie algebras of Cartan type*. Commun. Algebra **3**, no. 7, 591-613, 1975. [13](#)

- [16] Y. Zhao, Y. Chen and K. Zhao, *2-local derivations on Witt algebras*. J. Algebra Appl., doi: 10.1142/S0219498821500687, 2020. [2](#)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI MARITIME UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI, 201306, CHINA.  
*E-mail address:* yfyao@shmtu.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WILFRID LAURIER UNIVERSITY, WATERLOO, ON, CANADA N2L 3C5, AND SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, HEBEI NORMAL UNIVERSITY, SHIJIAZHUANG 050016, HEBEI, CHINA.

*E-mail address:* kzhao@wlu.ca