

GEOMETRY OF COMPACT QUASI-EINSTEIN MANIFOLDS WITH BOUNDARY

RAFAEL DIÓGENES, TIAGO GADELHA, AND ERNANI RIBEIRO JR

ABSTRACT. In this article, we study the geometry of compact quasi-Einstein manifolds with boundary. We establish sharp boundary estimates for compact quasi-Einstein manifolds with boundary that improve some previous results. Moreover, we obtain a characterization theorem for such manifolds in terms of the surface gravity of the boundary components, which leads to a new sharp geometric inequality. In addition, we prove a boundary estimate for compact quasi-Einstein manifolds with (possibly disconnected) boundary in terms of the Brown-York mass.

1. INTRODUCTION

According to the approach used by Case, Shu and Wei [12], and He, Petersen and Wylie [20, 22], a complete Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) , $n \geq 2$, possibly with boundary ∂M , will be called *m-quasi-Einstein manifold*, or simply *quasi-Einstein manifold*, if there exists a smooth potential function u on M^n obeying the following system

$$\begin{cases} \nabla^2 u = \frac{u}{m}(Ric - \lambda g) & \text{in } M, \\ u > 0 & \text{on } \text{int}(M), \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial M, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

for some constants λ and $0 < m < \infty$. When $m = 1$ we make the additional condition $\Delta u = -\lambda u$ in order to recover the static equation

$$-(\Delta u)g + \nabla^2 u - uRic = 0. \quad (1.2)$$

Here, $\nabla^2 u$ stands for the Hessian of u and Ric is the Ricci tensor of g . We say that a quasi-Einstein manifold is *trivial* if its potential function u is constant, otherwise, we say that it is *nontrivial*.

Our motivation for studying such manifolds is that an m -quasi-Einstein manifold corresponds to a base of a warped product Einstein metric; for more details see [6, pg. 265] (cf. [20, 22]). Hence, it is directly related to the existence of Einstein metrics on a given manifold. Another motivation to investigate quasi-Einstein manifolds comes from the study of diffusion operators by Bakry and Émery [2], which is closely tied to the theory of smooth metric measure spaces. Moreover,

Date: August 5, 2021.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C20, 53C25; Secondary 53C65.

Key words and phrases. quasi-Einstein manifolds; boundary estimate; Einstein manifolds; Warped products.

T. Gadelha was partially supported by FUNCAP/Brazil.

E. Ribeiro was partially supported by CNPq/Brazil [Grants: 305410/2018-0 & 160002/2019-2] and CAPES/Brazil - Finance Code 001.

Corresponding Author: E. Ribeiro (ernani@mat.ufc.br).

1-quasi-Einstein manifolds are more commonly called *static spaces* and this terminology relies on the physical nature of the problem associated to the vacuum Einstein field equations; for more details, see [12, Remark 2.3]. Explicit examples of compact and noncompact quasi-Einstein manifolds can be found in, e.g., [6, 10–12, 20, 25, 28, 32, 37].

He, Petersen and Wylie [20–22] developed a successful study on quasi-Einstein manifolds with nonempty boundary. Among other things, they obtained a classification result for quasi-Einstein manifolds which are also Einstein. They also studied quasi-Einstein manifolds with constant scalar curvature. The locally conformally flat case was discussed in [13, 20]. In this article, we focus on nontrivial compact m -quasi-Einstein manifolds with boundary. Hence, by Theorem 4.1 of [20], they necessarily have $\lambda > 0$.

At this point, it is useful to highlight some examples. Let us start with the standard hemisphere \mathbb{S}_+^n .

Example 1 ([22]). *Let \mathbb{S}_+^n be a standard hemisphere with metric $g = dr^2 + \sin^2 r g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$ and potential function $u(r) = \cos r$, where r is a height function with $r \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$. Thus, \mathbb{S}_+^n is a compact m -quasi-Einstein manifold with boundary \mathbb{S}^{n-1} .*

The next example has disconnected boundary.

Example 2 ([17]). *Let $M = [0, \sqrt{m}\pi] \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ be a Riemannian product with metric $g = dt^2 + (n-2)g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$ and potential function $u(t, x) = \sin\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}t\right)$. Thus, M is a compact m -quasi-Einstein manifold with disconnected boundary. Notice that the boundary is the union of two copies of \mathbb{S}^{n-1} .*

We remark that if a nontrivial compact m -quasi-Einstein manifold with nonempty boundary has constant scalar curvature R , then $R < n\lambda$ (see [20, Corollary 4.3]). Specifically, Example 1 has $R - n\lambda = -mn$ and Example 2 satisfies $R - n\lambda = -1$.

Boundary estimates are classical objects of study in geometry and physics. Besides being interesting on their own, such estimates are useful in proving new classification results and discarding some possible new examples of special metrics on a given manifold. Among the contributions that motivated this work, we primarily mention the classical isoperimetric inequality and a result due to Shen [33] and Boucher, Gibbons and Horowitz [9] that asserts that the boundary ∂M of a compact three-dimensional oriented static space (i.e., a 1-quasi-Einstein manifold) with connected boundary and scalar curvature 6 must be a 2-sphere whose area satisfies the inequality $|\partial M| \leq 4\pi$, with equality if and only if M^3 is equivalent to the standard hemisphere. In the same spirit, boundary estimates for V -static metrics and static spaces were established in, e.g., [1, 3–5, 15, 16, 18, 23, 26]. In the recent work [17, Theorem 1], Diógenes and Gadelha proved an analogous boundary estimate for compact m -quasi-Einstein manifolds M^n with connected boundary ∂M by assuming the following conditions:

- (1) $Ric^{\partial M} \geq \frac{R^{\partial M}}{n-1} g_{\partial M}$,
- (2) $\inf_{\partial M} R^{\partial M} > 0$, and
- (3) $R \leq n\lambda$, where R stands for the scalar curvature of M^n .

In [19, Theorem 2], Freitas and Santos showed that the same boundary estimate holds by replacing the condition (3) by the assumption $\mathcal{L}_{\nabla u} R \geq 0$, where $\mathcal{L}_{\nabla u} R$

denotes the Lie derivative of the scalar curvature R in the direction of ∇u . Besides, since $Ric^{\partial M} - \frac{R^{\partial M}}{n-1}g_{\partial M}$ is trace-free, then the condition (1) is equivalent to the assumption that the boundary is Einstein with the induced metric.

In this article, we shall provide new sharp boundary estimates for compact quasi-Einstein manifolds with boundary. To start with, we establish a rigidity result for the boundary by removing the aforementioned conditions (2) and (3) considered in [17, Theorem 1] and [19, Theorem 2]. More precisely, we have the following result.

Theorem 1. *Let (M^n, g, u, λ) , $n \geq 3$, be a nontrivial compact, oriented m -quasi-Einstein manifold with connected boundary and $m > 1$. Then the following assertions hold:*

(1) *If $(\partial M, g_{\partial M})$ is Einstein, then*

$$|\partial M| \leq \left(\frac{m+n-1}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \omega_{n-1}, \quad (1.3)$$

where ω_{n-1} is the volume of the standard unitary sphere \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . Moreover, equality holds if and only if ∂M is isometric to the round sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{m+n-1}{\lambda}} \right)$.

(2) *If $n = 3$, then*

$$|\partial M| \leq \frac{(m+2)}{\lambda} 4\pi. \quad (1.4)$$

Moreover, equality holds if and only if ∂M is isometric to the round sphere $\mathbb{S}^2 \left(\sqrt{\frac{m+2}{\lambda}} \right)$.

One new feature is that no scalar curvature condition is assumed in Theorem 1. Notice further that (1.4) implies that the area of the boundary of a three-dimensional hemisphere is the maximum possible among all three-dimensional compact m -quasi-Einstein manifolds with connected boundary. It would be interesting to see if our estimates (1.3) and (1.4) imply the rigidity of the interior as well.

In order to introduce the next result, we need to fix a couple of notations. Given a compact Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) with (possibly disconnected) boundary ∂M and a smooth function u on M^n , we consider $u_{\max} = \max_M u$ and for a given connected component $\partial M_i \subset \partial M$ of the boundary we let

$$\kappa(\partial M_i) = \frac{|\nabla u|_{\partial M_i}}{u_{\max}}$$

be the so called *surface gravity* of ∂M_i . In the Newtonian case, the surface gravity of a rotationally symmetric massive body (e.g., a planet of the solar system) can be physically interpreted as the intensity of the gravitational field due to the body. For further insights about the physical meaning of this concept, see [36, Section 12.5] and [7, 8]. We also point out that the surface gravity is locally constant on m -quasi-Einstein manifolds.

In the sequel, we obtain a characterization of compact quasi-Einstein manifolds with (possibly disconnected) boundary in terms of the surface gravity of the connected components ∂M_i of ∂M .

Theorem 2. *Let (M^n, g, u, λ) , $n \geq 3$, be a nontrivial compact m -quasi-Einstein manifold with (possibly disconnected) boundary ∂M and constant scalar curvature.*

Then we have

$$\max_i \kappa(\partial M_i) \geq \sqrt{\frac{n\lambda - R}{mn}}.$$

Moreover, if equality holds, then M^n is homothetic to the standard hemisphere \mathbb{S}_+^n given by Example 1.

Observe that Theorem 2 implies that the standard hemisphere \mathbb{S}_+^n has the least possible surface gravity among all compact m -quasi-Einstein manifolds with connected boundary ∂M and constant scalar curvature. Moreover, it can be seen as a gradient estimate for the potential function u . Furthermore, since a 1-quasi-Einstein manifold is precisely a static space, which necessarily has constant scalar curvature $R = (n-1)\lambda$, Theorem 2 extends [7, Theorem 2.1] to the $m > 1$ case.

As a consequence of the proof of Theorem 2, we obtain the following sharp geometric inequality involving the area of the boundary and volume of a compact quasi-Einstein manifold with connected boundary, which can also be interpreted as an obstruction result.

Corollary 1. *Let (M^n, g, u, λ) , $n \geq 3$, be a nontrivial compact, oriented m -quasi-Einstein manifold with connected boundary, constant scalar curvature and $m > 1$. Then we have:*

$$|\partial M| \leq n \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{m+n-1}} \text{Vol}(M). \quad (1.5)$$

Moreover, equality holds if and only if M^n is homothetic to the standard hemisphere \mathbb{S}_+^n given by Example 1.

Before presenting our next result, let us recall the concept of *Brown-York mass* given by

$$\mathbf{m}_{BY}(\partial M, g) = \int_{\partial M} (H_0 - H_g) dS_g,$$

where H_g is the mean curvature of ∂M with respect to the metric g , dS_g is the volume element induced on ∂M by g and H_0 is the mean curvature of ∂M with respect to the outward unit normal when embedded in \mathbb{R}^n .

In our next result, we will obtain a boundary estimate for compact quasi-Einstein manifolds with (possibly disconnected) boundary in terms of the Brown-York mass. In particular, no scalar curvature condition will be assumed. To do so, we will make use of the positive mass theorem for Brown-York mass due to Shi-Tam [35], which is equivalent to the (higher dimensional) positive mass theorem for ADM mass by Schoen and Yau [29–31] and Lohkamp [24]. More precisely, we have the following result.

Theorem 3. *Let (M^n, g, u, λ) , $n \geq 3$, be a nontrivial compact m -quasi-Einstein manifold with (possibly disconnected) boundary $\partial M = \cup_{i=1}^k \partial M_i$ and $m > 1$. Suppose that each boundary component $(\partial M_i, g)$ can be isometrically embedded in \mathbb{R}^n as a convex hypersurface. Then we have*

$$|\partial M_i| \leq c \mathbf{m}_{BY}(\partial M_i, g), \quad (1.6)$$

where c is a positive constant. Moreover, equality holds for some component ∂M_i if and only if M^n is homothetic to the standard hemisphere \mathbb{S}_+^n given by Example 1.

Remark 1. *The isometrical embedding assumption in Theorem 3 was necessary to apply the positive mass theorem. However, according to the solution of the Weyl problem, the isometrical embedding assumption can be replaced by assumptions on sectional curvatures, as for instance, the Gaussian curvature of ∂M is positive when $n = 3$ (see [18, 34]).*

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we review a few preliminary facts about compact quasi-Einstein manifolds with boundary. In Section 3, we present the proof of Theorem 1. Theorem 2 and Corollary 1 are proved in Section 4. In Section 5, we present the proof of Theorem 3.

2. BACKGROUND

In this section, we will review some basic facts and key lemmas that will be useful for the establishment of the main results.

We start by remembering that the fundamental equation of an m -quasi-Einstein manifold (M^n, g, u, λ) , possibly with boundary, is given by

$$\nabla^2 u = \frac{u}{m}(Ric - \lambda g), \quad (2.1)$$

where $u > 0$ in the interior of M^n and $u = 0$ on ∂M . In particular, taking the trace of (2.1) we arrive at

$$\Delta u = \frac{u}{m}(R - \lambda n). \quad (2.2)$$

Plugging this into (2.1), one sees that

$$u \mathring{Ric} = m \mathring{\nabla}^2 u, \quad (2.3)$$

where $\mathring{T} = T - \frac{\text{tr}T}{n}g$ stands for the traceless part of T .

Since $u > 0$ in the interior of M^n and $u = 0$ on the boundary ∂M , one obtains that $N = -\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}$ is the outward unit normal vector. Besides, it follows from Propositions 2.2 and 2.3 in [20] that $|\nabla u| \neq 0$ is constant along ∂M .

From now on, we set an orthonormal frame given by

$$\left\{ e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}, e_n = -\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \right\}.$$

Hence, the second fundamental form at ∂M satisfies

$$h_{ij} = \langle \nabla_{e_i} N, e_j \rangle = -\frac{1}{|\nabla u|} \nabla_i \nabla_j u = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

for any $1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$. Thereby, ∂M is totally geodesic. By Gauss equation

$$R_{ijkl}^{\partial M} = R_{ijkl} - h_{il}h_{jk} + h_{ik}h_{jl},$$

we then infer

$$R_{ijkl}^{\partial M} = R_{ijkl}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$R_{ik}^{\partial M} = R_{ik} - R_{inkn} \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$R^{\partial M} = R - 2R_{nn}. \quad (2.7)$$

While the twice-contracted second Bianchi identity ($2\operatorname{div}Ric = \nabla R$) yields

$$\operatorname{div}\mathring{Ric} = \frac{n-2}{2n}\nabla R, \quad (2.8)$$

where \mathring{Ric} stands for the traceless Ricci tensor.

Next, it is important to recall that He, Petersen and Wylie [20, Remark 5.1] proved that the scalar curvature of a nontrivial compact quasi-Einstein manifold with boundary must satisfy

$$R \geq \frac{n(n-1)}{m+n-1}\lambda. \quad (2.9)$$

See also [12, Proposition 3.6].

3. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1

In this section, we shall present the proof of Theorem 1. To this end, we need to establish the following ingredient.

Lemma 1. *Let (M^n, g, u, λ) be a compact m -quasi-Einstein manifold with boundary ∂M and $m \neq 1$. Then we have:*

- (1) $Ric(\nabla u) = -\frac{R-(n-1)\lambda}{m-1}\nabla u$ on the boundary ∂M ;
- (2) $(m+1)R = (m-1)R^{\partial M} + 2(n-1)\lambda$ on the boundary ∂M .

Proof. By the twice-contracted second Bianchi identity ($2\operatorname{div}Ric = \nabla R$) and (2.1), one obtains that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}u\nabla R &= u\operatorname{div}Ric = \operatorname{div}(uRic) - Ric(\nabla u) \\ &= m\operatorname{div}(\nabla^2 u) + \lambda\nabla u - Ric(\nabla u). \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

On the other hand, recall that, in general, for any smooth function f on a Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) , we have

$$\operatorname{div}\nabla^2 f = Ric(\nabla f) + \nabla\Delta f.$$

Substituting this data jointly with (2.2) into (3.1), we infer

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}u\nabla R &= mRic(\nabla u) + m\nabla\Delta u + \lambda\nabla u - Ric(\nabla u) \\ &= (m-1)Ric(\nabla u) + u\nabla R + R\nabla u - n\lambda\nabla u + \lambda\nabla u, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$-\frac{1}{2}u\nabla R = (m-1)Ric(\nabla u) + (R - (n-1)\lambda)\nabla u. \quad (3.2)$$

Since u vanishes on the boundary, one concludes that

$$(m-1)Ric(\nabla u) = -(R - (n-1)\lambda)\nabla u \quad (3.3)$$

on the boundary ∂M . This proves the first assertion.

Next, we use (3.3) to infer

$$Ric(\nabla u, \nabla u) = -\frac{R - (n-1)\lambda}{m-1}|\nabla u|^2,$$

so that

$$R_{nn} = -\frac{R - (n-1)\lambda}{m-1}.$$

This combined with (2.7) gives

$$\frac{R - R^{\partial M}}{2} = -\frac{R - (n-1)\lambda}{m-1}$$

and hence,

$$(m+1)R = (m-1)R^{\partial M} + 2(n-1)\lambda$$

on the boundary ∂M , which proves the desired result. \square

Now, we are going to conclude the proof of Theorem 1.

3.1. Conclusion of the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof. To begin with, plugging (2.9) into the second item of Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (m-1)R^{\partial M} &= (m+1)R - 2(n-1)\lambda \\ &\geq \frac{(m+1)n(n-1)}{m+n-1}\lambda - 2(n-1)\lambda \\ &= \frac{(m-1)(n-1)(n-2)}{m+n-1}\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, taking into account that $m > 1$, we deduce

$$R^{\partial M} \geq \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{m+n-1}\lambda. \quad (3.4)$$

Since M^n is compact, we already know that $\lambda > 0$ (see [20, Theorem 4.1]) and therefore, (3.4) yields

$$\inf_{\partial M} R^{\partial M} > 0. \quad (3.5)$$

We now claim that

$$(n-1)(n-2)(\omega_{n-1})^{\frac{2}{n-1}} \geq R^{\partial M} |\partial M|^{\frac{2}{n-1}}. \quad (3.6)$$

Indeed, since $(\partial M, g_{\partial M})$ is Einstein, it follows from (3.5) that there exists a constant $\theta > 0$ such that $Ric^{\partial M} = (n-2)\theta$, where $\theta = \frac{R^{\partial M}}{(n-1)(n-2)}$. At the same time, by Bonnet-Myers theorem, we have $diam_{g_{\partial M}}(\partial M) \leq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\theta}}$. Moreover, by Bishop-Gromov comparison theorem one obtains that

$$|\partial M| \leq |B_{\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\theta}}}(p)| \leq |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|_{g_{\theta}} = \theta^{-\frac{(n-1)}{2}} \omega_{n-1}, \quad (3.7)$$

where $p \in \partial M$, $g_{\theta} = \theta^{-1}g_{can}$ and ω_{n-1} is the volume of the standard unitary sphere \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . Hence, (3.6) is in fact true.

Next, substituting (3.4) into (3.6) we arrive at

$$\lambda \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{m+n-1} |\partial M|^{\frac{2}{n-1}} \leq (n-1)(n-2)(\omega_{n-1})^{\frac{2}{n-1}},$$

so that

$$|\partial M| \leq \omega_{n-1} \left(\frac{m+n-1}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}, \quad (3.8)$$

which proves the desired estimate.

Proceeding, if equality holds in (3.8), then (3.7) also becomes equality. So, it follows from the equality case of the Bishop-Gromov theorem that ∂M is isometric to the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{m+n-1}{\lambda}}\right)$. The reciprocal statement is obviously true. This therefore finishes the proof of the first assertion.

We now prove the second one. Choosing $n = 3$ in Eq. (3.4), we obtain

$$R^{\partial M} \geq \frac{2}{m+2}\lambda. \quad (3.9)$$

From this, one sees that

$$\int_{\partial M} R^{\partial M} dS \geq \frac{2\lambda}{m+2}|\partial M|.$$

Consequently, it follows from the Gauss-Bonnet theorem that ∂M is a 2-sphere and

$$|\partial M| \leq \frac{4(m+2)\pi}{\lambda}.$$

In addition, if equality holds, we deduce from (3.9) that ∂M has constant Gaussian curvature and therefore, the result follows from Corollary 1 in [14]. The converse assertion is straightforward. So, the proof of the theorem is finished. \square

4. THE PROOFS OF THEOREM 2 AND COROLLARY 1

The proof of Theorem 2 is divided into two parts and takes a similar strategy to the one by Borghini-Mazzieri [7]. We first have to obtain a Robinson-Shen type identity for quasi-Einstein manifolds with constant scalar curvature in a fashion designed to perform an argument based on the Maximum Principle. Accordingly, throughout this section, we assume that (M^n, g, u, λ) is a quasi-Einstein manifold with constant scalar curvature.

To begin with, we claim that

$$\nabla\Psi = 2u\mathring{Ric}(\nabla u), \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$\Psi := m|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{(n\lambda - R)}{n}u^2.$$

To prove this, observe first that by (2.3),

$$\begin{aligned} u\mathring{Ric}(\nabla u) &= m\mathring{\nabla}^2 u(\nabla u) = m\nabla^2 u(\nabla u) - \frac{m\Delta u}{n}(\nabla u) \\ &= \frac{m}{2}\nabla|\nabla u|^2 - \frac{(R - n\lambda)}{n}u\nabla u, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (2.2). In view of this, it then follows that

$$u\mathring{Ric}(\nabla u) = \frac{1}{2}\nabla\left(m|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{n\lambda - R}{n}u^2\right) = \frac{1}{2}\nabla\Psi,$$

as asserted.

Proceeding, a direct computation shows

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\Psi &= \operatorname{div}\left(2u\mathring{\operatorname{Ric}}(\nabla u)\right) \\ &= 2u\operatorname{div}\mathring{\operatorname{Ric}}(\nabla u) + 2u\langle\mathring{\operatorname{Ric}},\nabla^2u\rangle + 2\mathring{\operatorname{Ric}}(\nabla u,\nabla u).\end{aligned}$$

Since M^n has constant scalar curvature, we use the twice-contracted second Bianchi identity, (4.1) and (2.3) to infer

$$\Delta\Psi - \frac{1}{u}\langle\nabla\Psi,\nabla u\rangle = \frac{2}{m}u^2|\mathring{\operatorname{Ric}}|^2. \quad (4.2)$$

This is precisely the desired Robinson-Shen type identity.

Now, we are ready to conclude the proof of Theorem 2.

4.1. Conclusion of the proof of Theorem 2.

Proof. Initially, we invoke (4.2) to infer

$$\Delta\Psi - \frac{1}{u}\langle\nabla\Psi,\nabla u\rangle \geq 0. \quad (4.3)$$

Observe, however, that the coefficient $1/u$ blows up at the boundary. Therefore, before using the Maximum Principle, we need to overcome this technical difficulty by performing the following computation. First, it follows from [20, Proposition 2.4] that g and u are real analytic in harmonic coordinates. Thereby, we can choose a positive number α such that, for $0 < \varepsilon \leq \alpha$, each level set $\{x \in M; u(x) = \varepsilon\}$ is regular. Considering $M_\varepsilon = \{u \geq \varepsilon\}$, one sees that the coefficient $1/u$ is bounded above by $1/\varepsilon$ in M_ε . Hence, applying the Maximum Principle, one concludes that

$$\max_{M_\varepsilon}\Psi \leq \max_{\partial M_\varepsilon}\Psi.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\max_{\partial M_\alpha}\Psi \leq \max_{\partial M_\varepsilon}\Psi,$$

for every $0 < \varepsilon \leq \alpha$, and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \max_{\partial M_\varepsilon}\Psi = m|\nabla u|_{\partial M}^2,$$

where $|\nabla u|_{\partial M}$ denotes $|\nabla u|$ restricted to the boundary ∂M .

From now on, suppose that

$$\frac{|\nabla u|_{\partial M}^2}{u_{\max}^2} \leq \frac{(n\lambda - R)}{mn} \quad \text{on } \partial M. \quad (4.4)$$

In view of this, one obtains that

$$\max_{\partial M_\alpha}\Psi \leq m|\nabla u|_{\partial M}^2 \leq \frac{(n\lambda - R)}{n}u_{\max}^2.$$

On the other hand, notice that $A = \{p \in M; u(p) = u_{\max}\} \subset M_\alpha$ and hence,

$$\max_A\Psi \leq \max_{M_\alpha}\Psi \leq \max_{\partial M_\alpha}\Psi \leq \frac{(n\lambda - R)}{n}u_{\max}^2.$$

But, taking into account that

$$\max_A \Psi = \frac{(n\lambda - R)}{n} u_{\max}^2,$$

we conclude that Ψ is constant and its value coincides with $\frac{(n\lambda - R)}{n} u_{\max}^2$ in M_α . Since $\alpha > 0$ can be chosen arbitrarily small, we then obtain that $\Psi = \frac{(n\lambda - R)}{n} u_{\max}^2$ on M^n . In particular, returning to (4.2), we conclude that M^n is Einstein. Thus, it suffices to use Proposition 2.4 of [22] to conclude that M^n is homothetic to the standard hemisphere \mathbb{S}_+^n .

Finally, since (4.4) implies that M^n is homothetic to the standard hemisphere \mathbb{S}_+^n , we therefore conclude that

$$\max_i \kappa(\partial M_i) \geq \sqrt{\frac{n\lambda - R}{mn}}$$

and this proves the requested result. \square

4.2. Proof of Corollary 1.

Proof. Since M^n has constant scalar curvature, we already know that $R < n\lambda$. Next, upon integrating (2.2) over M^n , we use the Stokes' formula to obtain

$$-|\nabla u|_{|\partial M}| |\partial M| = \frac{(R - n\lambda)}{m} \int_M u dM. \quad (4.5)$$

Rearranging terms, one sees that

$$|\partial M| \leq \frac{(n\lambda - R)}{m} \frac{u_{\max}}{|\nabla u|_{|\partial M}|} \text{Vol}(M), \quad (4.6)$$

where u_{\max} is the maximum value of u on M^n and $|\nabla u|_{|\partial M}|$ denotes $|\nabla u|$ restricted to the boundary ∂M .

In order to proceed, we have to use the following inequality

$$\frac{|\nabla u|_{|\partial M}|^2}{u_{\max}^2} \geq \frac{(n\lambda - R)}{mn}, \quad (4.7)$$

which was established in Theorem 2. Then, plugging (4.7) into (4.6) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial M| &\leq \frac{n\lambda - R}{m} \sqrt{\frac{mn}{n\lambda - R}} \text{Vol}(M) \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{n(n\lambda - R)}{m}} \text{Vol}(M). \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

On the other hand, it follows from (2.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} n\lambda - R &\leq n\lambda - \frac{n(n-1)}{m+n-1} \lambda \\ &= \frac{mn}{m+n-1} \lambda, \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

consequently,

$$\sqrt{\frac{n(n\lambda - R)}{m}} \leq n\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{m+n-1}},$$

which substituted into (4.8) yields

$$|\partial M| \leq n\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{m+n-1}} \text{Vol}(M). \quad (4.10)$$

This therefore gives the desired inequality.

Finally, if equality holds in (4.10), then (4.9) also becomes an equality. Hence, we use again (2.9) to infer

$$R = \frac{n(n-1)}{m+n-1}\lambda.$$

Then, it suffices to apply [20, Proposition 5.3] to deduce that M^n is Einstein and in this case, the result follows from Proposition 2.4 of [22]. The converse is obviously true. So, the proof is completed. \square

5. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 3

In this section, we are going to present the proof of Theorem 3. In the first part of the proof, we shall adapt some arguments outlined in [18, 23, 27, 34]. Initially, let (M^n, g, u, λ) , $n \geq 3$, be a nontrivial compact m -quasi-Einstein manifold. Furthermore, in order to simplify the notation used in the computation that follows, we set a function $h : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$h := (1 + \alpha u)^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}, \quad (5.1)$$

where

$$\alpha^{-1} := \max_M \left(u^2 + \frac{m+n-1}{\lambda} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Next, we consider the conformal metric \bar{g} given by

$$\bar{g} = h^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g.$$

In particular, it is well known that the scalar curvature of M^n with respect to \bar{g} satisfies

$$R_{\bar{g}} = h^{-\frac{(n+2)}{(n-2)}} \left(R_g h - 4 \frac{(n-1)}{(n-2)} \Delta_g h \right). \quad (5.2)$$

In the sequel, we shall prove the following key lemma.

Lemma 2. *Let (M^n, g, u, λ) , $n \geq 3$, be a nontrivial compact m -quasi-Einstein manifold. Then the scalar curvature $R_{\bar{g}}$ with respect to the conformal metric \bar{g} is nonnegative. Moreover, $R_{\bar{g}} = 0$ if and only if $R_g = \frac{n(n-1)}{m+n-1}\lambda$ and $u^2 + \frac{m+n-1}{\lambda} |\nabla u|^2$ is constant on M .*

Proof. A straightforward computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_g h &= \Delta_g (1 + \alpha u)^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \\
&= \operatorname{div} \left(\nabla (1 + \alpha u)^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \right) \\
&= \operatorname{div} \left(-\frac{n-2}{2} (1 + \alpha u)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \alpha \nabla u \right) \\
&= -\left(\frac{n-2}{2} \right) \alpha (1 + \alpha u)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \Delta u - \left(\frac{n-2}{2} \right) \left(-\frac{n}{2} \right) (1 + \alpha u)^{-\frac{n}{2}-1} \alpha^2 |\nabla u|^2 \\
&= -\left(\frac{n-2}{2} \right) \alpha (1 + \alpha u)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \Delta u + \frac{n(n-2)}{4} \alpha^2 (1 + \alpha u)^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} |\nabla u|^2. \quad (5.3)
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it follows from (2.9) and (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta u &= \frac{u}{m} (R - \lambda n) \\
&\geq \frac{u}{m} \left(\frac{n(n-1)\lambda}{m+n-1} - \lambda n \right) \\
&= \frac{u}{m} n \lambda \left(\frac{n-1-m-n+1}{m+n-1} \right) \\
&= -\frac{un\lambda}{m+n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Plugging this into (5.3), one sees that

$$\Delta_g h \leq \left(\frac{n-2}{2} \right) \alpha (1 + \alpha u)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \frac{un\lambda}{m+n-1} + \frac{n(n-2)}{4} \alpha^2 (1 + \alpha u)^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} |\nabla u|^2,$$

and rearranging the terms, we obtain

$$\Delta_g h \leq \frac{n(n-2)}{4} \alpha (1 + \alpha u)^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} \left[\frac{2\lambda}{(m+n-1)} (1 + \alpha u) u + \alpha |\nabla u|^2 \right]. \quad (5.4)$$

At the same time, it follows from (5.2) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_g h &= \frac{(n-2)}{4(n-1)} R_g h - \frac{(n-2)}{4(n-1)} h^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} R_{\bar{g}} \\
&= \frac{(n-2)}{4(n-1)} R_g (1 + \alpha u)^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} - \frac{(n-2)}{4(n-1)} \left((1 + \alpha u)^{-\frac{(n-2)}{2}} \right)^{\frac{(n+2)}{(n-2)}} R_{\bar{g}} \\
&= \frac{(n-2)}{4(n-1)} R_g (1 + \alpha u)^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} - \frac{(n-2)}{4(n-1)} (1 + \alpha u)^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} R_{\bar{g}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, substituting this data into (5.4) and simplifying the terms, we arrive at

$$R_g (1 + \alpha u)^2 - R_{\bar{g}} \leq n(n-1) \alpha \left[\frac{2\lambda}{m+n-1} (1 + \alpha u) u + \alpha |\nabla u|^2 \right],$$

which also can be rewritten as

$$R_{\bar{g}} \geq R_g (1 + \alpha u)^2 - n(n-1) \alpha \left[\frac{2\lambda}{m+n-1} (1 + \alpha u) u + \alpha |\nabla u|^2 \right]. \quad (5.5)$$

Next, using again (2.9), we can write the previous expression as

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\bar{g}} &\geq \frac{n(n-1)\lambda}{m+n-1}(1+\alpha u)^2 - n(n-1)\alpha \left[\frac{2\lambda}{m+n-1}(1+\alpha u)u + \alpha|\nabla u|^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{n(n-1)}{m+n-1}\lambda \left[(1+\alpha u)^2 - 2\alpha(1+\alpha u)u - \left(\frac{m+n-1}{\lambda} \right) \alpha^2 |\nabla u|^2 \right], \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$R_{\bar{g}} \geq \frac{n(n-1)}{m+n-1}\lambda \left[1 - \alpha^2 u^2 - \left(\frac{m+n-1}{\lambda} \right) \alpha^2 |\nabla u|^2 \right].$$

Finally, we obtain

$$R_{\bar{g}} \geq \frac{n(n-1)}{m+n-1}\lambda \left[1 - \alpha^2 \left(u^2 + \frac{m+n-1}{\lambda} |\nabla u|^2 \right) \right] \quad (5.6)$$

and therefore, our choice of α guarantees that $R_{\bar{g}} \geq 0$. Moreover, $R_{\bar{g}} = 0$ if and only if (2.9) becomes an equality. Hence, also from (5.6), $R_{\bar{g}} = 0$ if and only if $R_g = \frac{n(n-1)}{m+n-1}\lambda$ and $u^2 + \frac{m+n-1}{\lambda} |\nabla u|^2$ is constant on M . This finishes the proof of the lemma. \square

5.1. Conclusion of the proof of Theorem 3.

Proof. To begin with, we need to prove that the mean curvature $H_{\bar{g}}^i$ of ∂M_i with respect to \bar{g} is strictly positive. Indeed, since u vanishes on the boundary, it follows from (5.1) that $h = 1$ on ∂M_i . Therefore, $\bar{g} = g$ on ∂M_i and $(\partial M_i, \bar{g})$ is isometric to $(\partial M_i, g)$, which can be also isometrically embedded into \mathbb{R}^n as a convex hypersurface with the same mean curvature H_0^i (induced by the Euclidean metric). Now, we recall that the mean curvature of ∂M_i with respect to \bar{g} is given by

$$H_{\bar{g}}^i = h^{-\frac{2}{n-2}} \left(H_g^i + 2 \frac{(n-1)}{(n-2)} \partial_N \log h \right). \quad (5.7)$$

In particular, by recalling that $H_g^i = 0$, one sees that

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\bar{g}}^i &= 2 \frac{(n-1)}{(n-2)} \langle \nabla (1+\alpha u)^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}, N \rangle \\ &= (n-1)\alpha |\nabla u|_{|\partial M_i} \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

and therefore, $H_{\bar{g}}^i > 0$, as we wanted to prove.

Proceeding, by (5.8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}_{BY}(\partial M_i, \bar{g}) &= \int_{\partial M_i} (H_0^i - H_{\bar{g}}^i) dS_{\bar{g}} \\ &= \mathbf{m}_{BY}(\partial M_i, g) - (n-1)\alpha |\nabla u|_{|\partial M_i} |\partial M_i|. \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

Thus, we invoke Lemma 2 to deduce that $R_{\bar{g}} \geq 0$, and taking into account that $H_{\bar{g}}^i > 0$, we are in a position to apply the positive mass theorem for Brown-York

mass [31, 35] to conclude that $\mathfrak{m}_{BY}(\partial M_i, \bar{g}) \geq 0$. Hence, we obtain

$$|\partial M_i| \leq \frac{1}{(n-1)\alpha|\nabla u|_{\partial M_i}} \mathfrak{m}_{BY}(\partial M_i, g) = \frac{1}{(n-1)\alpha|\nabla u|_{\partial M_i}} \int_{\partial M_i} H_0^i dS_g. \quad (5.10)$$

This proves the desired estimate.

Finally, if equality holds in (5.10) for some component ∂M_{i_0} , then we necessarily have

$$\mathfrak{m}_{BY}(\partial M_{i_0}, \bar{g}) = 0.$$

In this situation, we may invoke the equality case of the positive mass theorem for Brown-York mass to conclude that the conformal metric \bar{g} is flat and (M, \bar{g}) is isometric to a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n . Therefore, it follows by Lemma 2 that $R_g = \frac{n(n-1)\lambda}{m+n-1}$. Now we can use [20, Proposition 5.3] to deduce that M^n is an Einstein manifold and hence, it follows from [22, Proposition 2.4] that (M^n, g) is homothetic to the standard hemisphere \mathbb{S}_+^n given by Example 1. Conversely, if (M^n, g) is homothetic to \mathbb{S}_+^n , then \bar{g} is a flat metric and the Brown-York mass $\mathfrak{m}_{BY}(\partial M, \bar{g})$ vanishes. Therefore, equality holds in (5.10). So, the proof is completed. \square

Acknowledgement. *The authors want to thank the referee for the careful reading, relevant remarks and valuable suggestions. The third named author would like to thank the Instituto de Matemática - Universidade Federal Fluminense, where part of this work was carried out, for the fruitful research environment. He is grateful to Detang Zhou for the warm hospitality and enlightening conversations on the related topics.*

REFERENCES

- [1] Lucas Ambrozio, *On static three-manifolds with positive scalar curvature*, J. Differential Geom. **107** (2017), no. 1, 1–45, DOI 10.4310/jdg/1505268028. MR3698233
- [2] Dominique Bakry and Michel Émery, *Diffusions hypercontractives*, Séminaire de probabilités, XIX, 1983/84, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1123, Springer, Berlin, 1985, pp. 177–206, DOI 10.1007/BFb0075847 (French). MR889476
- [3] Halyson Baltazar, Rafael Diógenes, and Ernani Ribeiro Jr., *Isoperimetric inequality and Weitzenböck type formula for critical metrics of the volume*, Israel J. Math. **234** (2019), no. 1, 309–329, DOI 10.1007/s11856-019-1930-2. MR4040829
- [4] Abdênago Barros and Adam da Silva, *Rigidity for critical metrics of the volume functional*, Math. Nachr. **292** (2019), no. 4, 709–719, DOI 10.1002/mana.201700240. MR3937611
- [5] Rondinelle Batista, Rafael Diógenes, Marcos Ranieri, and Ernani Ribeiro Jr., *Critical metrics of the volume functional on compact three-manifolds with smooth boundary*, J. Geom. Anal. **27** (2017), no. 2, 1530–1547, DOI 10.1007/s12220-016-9730-y. MR3625163
- [6] Arthur L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], vol. 10, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987. MR867684
- [7] Stefano Borghini and Lorenzo Mazzieri, *On the mass of static metrics with positive cosmological constant: I*, Classical Quantum Gravity **35** (2018), no. 12, 125001, 43, DOI 10.1088/1361-6382/aac081. MR3826161
- [8] ———, *On the mass of static metrics with positive cosmological constant - II*, Commun. Math. Phys., posted on 2020, DOI 10.1007/s00220-020-03739-8.
- [9] W. Boucher, G. W. Gibbons, and Gary T. Horowitz, *Uniqueness theorem for anti-de Sitter spacetime*, Phys. Rev. D (3) **30** (1984), no. 12, 2447–2451, DOI 10.1103/PhysRevD.30.2447. MR771446
- [10] Jeffrey S. Case, *The nonexistence of quasi-Einstein metrics*, Pacific J. Math. **248** (2010), no. 2, 277–284, DOI 10.2140/pjm.2010.248.277. MR2741248

- [11] ———, *Smooth metric measure spaces, quasi-Einstein metrics, and tractors*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. **10** (2012), no. 5, 1733–1762, DOI 10.2478/s11533-012-0091-x. MR2959681
- [12] Jeffrey Case, Yu-Jen Shu, and Guofang Wei, *Rigidity of quasi-Einstein metrics*, Differential Geom. Appl. **29** (2011), no. 1, 93–100, DOI 10.1016/j.difgeo.2010.11.003. MR2784291
- [13] Giovanni Catino, Carlo Mantegazza, Lorenzo Mazzieri, and Michele Rimoldi, *Locally conformally flat quasi-Einstein manifolds*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **675** (2013), 181–189, DOI 10.1515/crelle.2011.183. MR3021450
- [14] Shiing-shen Chern, *Some new characterizations of the Euclidean sphere*, Duke Math. J. **12** (1945), 279–290. MR12492
- [15] Piotr Chruściel, Gregory Galloway, and Yohan Potaux, *Uniqueness and energy bounds for static AdS metrics*, Phys. Rev. D **101** (2020), 064034, DOI 10.1103/PhysRevD.101.064034.
- [16] Justin Corvino, Michael Eichmair, and Pengzi Miao, *Deformation of scalar curvature and volume*, Math. Ann. **357** (2013), no. 2, 551–584, DOI 10.1007/s00208-013-0903-8. MR3096517
- [17] Rafael Diógenes and Tiago Gadelha, *Compact quasi-Einstein manifolds with boundary*, to appear in Math. Nachr. (2019), ArXiv:1911.10068 [math.DG].
- [18] Yi Fang and Wei Yuan, *Brown-York mass and positive scalar curvature II: Besse’s conjecture and related problems*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **56** (2019), no. 1, 1–15, DOI 10.1007/s10455-019-09653-0. MR3962023
- [19] Allan Freitas and Márcio Santos, *Boundary topology and rigidity results for generalized $(\lambda, n + m)$ -Einstein manifolds*, Annali di Matematica Pura Appl. **199** (2020), 2511–2520, DOI 10.1007/s10231-020-00978-3.
- [20] Chenxu He, Peter Petersen, and William Wylie, *On the classification of warped product Einstein metrics*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **20** (2012), no. 2, 271–311, DOI 10.4310/CAG.2012.v20.n2.a3. MR2928714
- [21] ———, *Warped product Einstein metrics on homogeneous spaces and homogeneous Ricci solitons*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **707** (2015), 217–245, DOI 10.1515/crelle-2013-0078. MR3403459
- [22] ———, *Warped product Einstein metrics over spaces with constant scalar curvature*, Asian J. Math. **18** (2014), no. 1, 159–189, DOI 10.4310/AJM.2014.v18.n1.a9. MR3215345
- [23] Oussama Hijazi, Sebastián Montiel, and Simon Raulot, *Uniqueness of the de Sitter spacetime among static vacua with positive cosmological constant*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **47** (2015), no. 2, 167–178, DOI 10.1007/s10455-014-9441-1. MR3313139
- [24] Joachim Lohkamp, *The higher dimensional positive mass theorem II*, arXiv:1612.07505 (2016).
- [25] H. Lü, Don N. Page, and C. N. Pope, *New inhomogeneous Einstein metrics on sphere bundles over Einstein-Kähler manifolds*, Phys. Lett. B **593** (2004), no. 1-4, 218–226, DOI 10.1016/j.physletb.2004.04.068. MR2076718
- [26] Pengzi Miao and Luen-Fai Tam, *On the volume functional of compact manifolds with boundary with constant scalar curvature*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **36** (2009), no. 2, 141–171, DOI 10.1007/s00526-008-0221-2. MR2546025
- [27] Jie Qing, *On the Uniqueness of AdS Space-Time in Higher Dimensions*, Annales Henri Poincaré **5** (2004), 245–260, DOI 10.1007/s00023-004-0168-6.
- [28] Ernani Ribeiro Jr. and Ketil Tenenblat, *Noncompact quasi-Einstein manifolds conformal to a Euclidean space*, Math. Nachr. **294** (2021), 32–144.
- [29] Richard Schoen and Shing-Tung Yau, *On the proof of positive mass conjecture in general relativity*, Commun. Math. Phys. **65** (1979), 45–76. MR526976
- [30] ———, *Proof of the positive mass theorem II*, Commun. Math. Phys. **79** (1981), 231–260. MR612249
- [31] ———, *Positive scalar curvature and minimal hypersurface singularities*, arXiv:1704.05490v1 (2017).
- [32] Michele Rimoldi, *A remark on Einstein warped products*, Pacific J. Math. **252** (2011), 207–218, DOI 10.2140/pjm.2011.252.207.
- [33] Ying Shen, *A note on Fischer-Marsden’s conjecture*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), no. 3, 901–905, DOI 10.1090/S0002-9939-97-03635-6. MR1353399
- [34] Wei Yuan, *Brown-York mass and positive scalar curvature I - First eigenvalue problem and its applications*, arXiv:1806.07798 [math.DG] (2018).

- [35] Yuguang Shi and Luen-Fai Tam, *Positive mass theorem and the boundary behaviors of compact manifolds with nonnegative scalar curvature*, J. Differential Geom. **62** (2002), no. 1, 79–125. MR1987378
- [36] Robert M. Wald, *General relativity*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1984. MR757180
- [37] Lin Feng Wang, *On noncompact τ -quasi-Einstein metrics*, Pacific J. Math. **254** (2011), no. 2, 449–464, DOI 10.2140/pjm.2011.254.449. MR2900025

(R. Diógenes) UNILAB, INSTITUTO DE CIÊNCIAS EXATAS E DA NATUREZA, RUA JOSÉ FRANCO DE OLIVEIRA, 62790-970, REDENÇÃO - CE, BRAZIL.

Email address: `rafaeldiogenes@unilab.edu.br`

(T. Gadelha) INSTITUTO FEDERAL DO CEARÁ - IFCE, CAMPUS MARACANAÚ, AV. PARQUE CENTRAL, 61939-140, MARACANAÚ - CE, BRAZIL.

Email address: `tiago.gadelha@ifce.edu.br`

(E. Ribeiro Jr) UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO CEARÁ - UFC, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, CAMPUS DO PICI, AV. HUMBERTO MONTE, 60455-760, FORTALEZA - CE, BRAZIL.

Email address, Corresponding author: `ernani@mat.ufc.br`