

ON THE IRREDUCIBLE FACTORS OF A POLYNOMIAL

LHOUSSAIN EL FADIL

ABSTRACT. Jakhar shown that for $f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$ ($a_0 \neq 0$) is a polynomial with rational coefficients, if there exists a prime integer p satisfying $\nu_p(a_n) = 0$ and $n\nu_p(a_i) \geq (n-i)\nu_p(a_0) > 0$ for every $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, then $f(x)$ has at most $\gcd(\nu_p(a_0), n)$ irreducible factors over the field \mathbb{Q} of rational numbers and each irreducible factor has degree at least $n/\gcd(\nu_p(a_0), n)$. The goal of this paper is to generalize this criterion in the following context: Let (K, ν) be a rank one discrete valued field, R_ν its valuation ring and \mathbb{F}_ν its residue field. Assume that $f(x) = \phi^n(x) + a_{n-1}(x)\phi^{n-1}(x) + \dots + a_0(x) \in R_\nu[x]$, with for every $i = 0, \dots, n-1$, $a_i(x) \in R_\nu[x]$, and $a_0(x) \neq 0$ for some monic polynomial $\phi \in R_\nu[x]$ with $\bar{\phi}$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_\nu[x]$. If for every $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, $n\nu_p(a_i) \geq (n-i)\nu_p(a_0) > 0$, then $f(x)$ has at most $\gcd(\nu_p(a_0(x)), n)$ irreducible factors over the field K^h and so over K and each irreducible factor has degree at least $n/\gcd(\nu_p(a_0), n)$, where K^h is the henselization of (K, ν) .

1. INTRODUCTION

Polynomial factorization over a field is very useful in algebraic number theory (prime ideal factorization), coding theory (generators of cyclic codes), Galois theory, extension of valuations, etc. Irreducible polynomials appear naturally in the study of polynomial factorization and the question of irreducibility of polynomials over henselian fields has been of interest to many mathematicians (cf. [3, 5, 8, 9, 10, 12]). In 1850, Eisenstein gave the most popular irreducibility criterion [3]. In 1906, Dumas gave a generalization to this criterion as follows if $f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$, with $a_0 \neq 0$ is a polynomial with rational coefficients and if there exists a prime integer p satisfying $\nu_p(a_n) = 0$ and $n\nu_p(a_i) \geq (n-i)\nu_p(a_0) > 0$ for every $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $\gcd(\nu_p(a_0), n) = 1$, then $f(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} [3]. In 2008, R. Brown [1] gave a simple proof of the most general version of Eisenstein-Schoëmann irreducibility Criterion. Namely, if $f(x) = \phi^n(x) + a_{n-1}(x)\phi^{n-1}(x) + \dots + a_0(x) \in R_\nu[x]$, with for every $i = 0, \dots, n-1$, $a_i(x) \in R_\nu[x]$, $\deg(a_i) < \deg(\phi)$, and $\gcd(\nu(a_0(x)), n) = 1$ for some monic polynomial $\phi \in R_\nu[x]$ with $\bar{\phi}$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_\nu[x]$, then $f(x)$ is irreducible over the field K . In 2020, Jakhar relaxes the condition $\gcd(\nu(a_0), n) = 1$, in the context of $\phi = x$, and he shown that for a polynomial $f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$, with rational coefficients and $a_0 \neq 0$, if there exists a prime integer p satisfying $\nu_p(a_n) = 0$ and $n\nu_p(a_i) \geq (n-i)\nu_p(a_0) > 0$ for every $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, then $f(x)$ has at most $\gcd(\nu_p(a_0), n)$ irreducible factors over the field over \mathbb{Q} (see [7]). In this paper, we extend both Anuj's and Eisenstein-Schoëmann's irreducibility criterion [1, 7], as follows that : Let (K, ν)

Date: July 16, 2020.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11R09, 12E05.

Key words and phrases. Irreducibility criterion, irreducible factors, Newton polygon techniques.

be a rank one discrete valued field, R_ν its valuation ring, M_ν its maximal ideal, and \mathbb{F}_ν its residue field. Assume that $f(x) = \phi^n(x) + a_{n-1}(x)\phi^{n-1}(x) + \dots + a_0(x) \in R_\nu[x]$, with for every $i = 0, \dots, n-1$, $a_i(x) \in R_\nu[x]$, and $a_0(x) \neq 0$ for some monic polynomial $\phi \in R_\nu[x]$ with $\overline{\phi}$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_\nu[x]$. If for every $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, $n\nu_p(a_i) \geq (n-i)\nu_p(a_0) > 0$, then $f(x)$ has at most $\gcd(\nu_p(a_0(x)), n)$ irreducible factors over the field K^h . In particular, let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be an irreducible polynomial over \mathbb{Q} and $L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ be the number field generated by a complex root α of $f(x)$. Assume that there exists a prime integer p , with $\overline{f(x)} = \overline{\phi(x)^n}$ in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ for some monic polynomial ϕ , whose reduction is irreducible over \mathbb{F}_p . Let $f(x) = \phi^n(x) + a_{n-1}(x)\phi^{n-1}(x) + \dots + a_0(x)$ be the ϕ -expansion of $f(x)$ satisfying for every $i = 0, \dots, n-1$, $\nu_p(a_i) \geq \nu_p(a_0) - i\lambda$, with $\lambda = \frac{\nu_p(a_0)}{n}$, then $f(x)$ has at most $\gcd(\nu_p(a_0(x)), n)$ irreducible factors over the field \mathbb{Q}_p . In particular, there are at most $\gcd(\nu_p(a_0(x)), n)$ prime ideal of \mathbb{Z}_K lying above p . Our results are illustrated by examples.

2. NOTATIONS

As our proof is based on Newton polygon's techniques, we recall some fundamental notations and techniques on Newton polygon. For any number field $L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ generated by a complex root α of a monic irreducible polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, in 1894, K. Hensel developed a powerful approach by showing that the prime ideals of \mathbb{Z}_L lying above a prime p are in one-to-one correspondence with irreducible factors of $f(x)$ in $\mathbb{Q}_p[x]$, where \mathbb{Z}_L is the ring of integers of L . For every prime ideal corresponding to any irreducible factor in $\mathbb{Q}_p[x]$, the ramification index and the residue degree together are the same as those of the local field defined by the irreducible factor [10]. This result was generalized in [11, Proposition 8.2] as follows for a valued field (K, ν) and $L = K(\alpha)$ a simple algebraic extension generated by $\alpha \in \overline{K}$ a root of a monic irreducible polynomial $f(x) \in R_\nu[x]$, the valuation of L extending ν are in one to one correspondence with irreducible factors of $f(x)$ in $K^h[x]$. So, in order to describe all valuations of L extending ν , we need to factorize the polynomial $f(x)$ into irreducible factors in $K^h[x]$. The first step of the factorization is based on Hensel's lemma. Unfortunately, the factors provided by Hensel's lemma are not necessarily irreducible over K^h . The Newton polygon techniques could refine the factorization. Namely, the theorem of the product, the theorem of the polygon and the theorem of the residual polynomial say that we can factorize any factor provided by Hensel's lemma, with as many sides of the polygon and as many irreducible factors of each residual polynomial. For more details, see [6, 12] for Newton polygons over p -adic numbers and [2, 4] for Newton polygons over rank one discrete valuations. For any monic polynomial $\phi \in R_\nu[x]$ whose reduction modulo M_ν is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_\nu[x]$, let \mathbb{F}_ϕ be the field $\frac{\mathbb{F}_\nu[x]}{(\phi)}$.

Let (K, ν) be a rank one discrete valued field, R_ν its valuation ring, M_ν its maximal ideal, \mathbb{F}_ν its residue field, and (K^h, ν^h) its henselization. By normalization, we can assume that $\nu(K^*) = \mathbb{Z}$ and so M_ν is a principal ideal of R_ν generated by an element $\pi \in K$ satisfying $\nu(\pi) = 1$. Let $f(x) \in R_\nu[x]$ be a monic polynomial and assume that $f(x)$ is a power of ϕ in $\mathbb{F}_\nu[x]$, with $\overline{\phi}$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_\nu[x]$. Upon to the Euclidean division by successive powers of ϕ , we can expand $f(x)$ as follows $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^l a_i(x)\phi(x)^i$,

called the ϕ -expansion of $f(x)$ (for every i , $\deg(a_i(x)) < \deg(\phi)$). The ϕ -Newton polygon of f , denoted by $N_\phi(f)$ is lower boundary convex envelop of the set of points $\{(i, \nu(a_i)), i = 0, \dots, n\}$ in the Euclidean plane. For every edge S_j , of the polygon, let $A_{j-1} = (i_{j-1}, \nu(a_{i_{j-1}}))$ its initial point and $A_j = (i_j, \nu(a_{i_j}))$ its final point. Let $l(S_j) = i_j - i_{j-1}$ be its length, $h(S_j) = \lambda_j l(S_j)$ its height, and $\lambda_j = \frac{\nu_p(a_{i_j}) - \nu_p(a_{i_{j-1}})}{i_j - i_{j-1}} \in \mathbb{Q}$, called the slope of S_j . Remark that $N_\phi(f)$ is the process of joining the obtained edges S_1, \dots, S_r ordered by the increasing slopes, which can be expressed as $N_\phi(f) = S_1 + \dots + S_r$. The segments S_1, \dots , and S_r are called the sides of $N_\phi(f)$. For every side S of the polygon $N_\phi(f)$, $l(S)$ is the length of its projection to the x -axis and $h(S)$ is the length of its projection to the y -axis.

For every side S of $N_\phi(f)$, with initial point (s, u_s) and length l , let $d = l/e$, called the degree of S . For every $0 \leq i \leq l$, we attach the following residual coefficient $c_i \in \mathbb{F}_\phi$:

$$c_i = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } (s+i, u_{s+i}) \text{ lies strictly above } S \text{ or } u_{s+i} = \infty, \\ \left(\frac{a_{s+i}(x)}{p^{u_{s+i}}} \right) \pmod{(\pi, \phi(x))}, & \text{if } (s+i, u_{s+i}) \text{ lies on } S. \end{cases}$$

where $(\pi, \phi(x))$ is the maximal ideal of $R_\nu[x]$ generated by π and ϕ . That means if $(s+i, u_{s+i})$ lies on S , then $c_i = \frac{\overline{a_{s+i}(\beta)}}{\pi^{u_{s+i}}}$, where β is a root of ϕ .

Let $\lambda = -h/e$ be the slope of S , where h and e are positive coprime integers, and let $d = l/e$ be the degree of S . Notice that, the points with integer coordinates lying in S are exactly $(s, u_s), (s+e, u_s+h), \dots, (s+de, u_s+dh)$. Thus, if i is not a multiple of e , then $(s+i, u_{s+i})$ does not lie in S , and so, $c_i = 0$. Let $f_S(y) = t_0 y^d + t_1 y^{d-1} + \dots + t_{d-1} y + t_d \in \mathbb{F}_\phi[y]$ be the residual polynomial of $f(x)$ associated to the side S , where for every $i = 0, \dots, d$, $t_i = c_{ie}$.

Remark. Note that if $\nu(a_{s+i}(x)) = 0$ and $\phi = x$, then $\mathbb{F}_\phi = \mathbb{F}_\nu$ and $c_i = \overline{a_{s+i}} \pmod{\pi}$. Thus this notion of residual coefficient generalizes the reduction modulo a maximal ideal. If $\lambda = 0$, then for every $i = 0, \dots, d$, $(s+i, u_{s+i})$ lies on S if and only if $\nu(a_{s+i}(x)) = 0$. Thus if $\lambda = 0$ and $\phi = x$, then $c_i = \overline{a_{s+i}} \pmod{\pi}$ and $f_S(y) \in \mathbb{F}_\nu[y]$ coincides with the reduction of $f(x)$ modulo the maximal ideal $M_\nu = (\pi)$.

The theorem of the product and theorem of the polygon play a key role in the proof (see [4, Lemma 3.1, 3.3, and Th. 3.5]).

3. MAIN RESULTS

Let (K, ν) be a rank one discrete valued field, R_ν its valuation ring, M_ν its maximal ideal, \mathbb{F}_ν its residue field, and (K^h, ν^h) its henselization. Let $f(x) \in R_\nu[x]$ be a monic polynomial and assume that $\overline{f(x)}$ is a power of $\overline{\phi}$ in $\mathbb{F}_\nu[x]$, with $\overline{\phi}$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_\nu[x]$.

According to notations and terminologies of section 2, Eisenstein-Schoïemann irreducibility Criterion and Jakhar's criterion could reformulated as follows:

Eisenstein-Schoïemann irreducibility Criterion:

Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be a polynomial. If for some prime integer p , $\overline{f(x)} = \overline{\phi}^l$ for some monic polynomial $\phi \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, whose reduction is irreducible over \mathbb{F}_p , and $N_\phi(f) = S$ has

a single side of degree $d = 1$, with respect to ϕ and $\nu = \nu_p$, then $f(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} .

Jakhar's criterion:

Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be a polynomial. If for some prime integer p , $N_\phi(f) = S$ has a single side, with respect to $\phi = x$ and $\nu = \nu_p$, then $f(x)$ has at most d monic irreducible factors in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, where d is the degree of S .

Eisenstein-Schoëmann irreducibility Criterion could be generalized as follows:

Theorem 3.1. ([4, Cor. 3.2])

Let $f(x) \in R_\nu[x]$ be a monic polynomial such that $\overline{f(x)}$ is a power of $\overline{\phi}$ in $\mathbb{F}_\nu[x]$, with $\overline{\phi}$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_\nu[x]$. Let $f(x) = \phi^n(x) + a_{n-1}(x)\phi^{n-1}(x) + \dots + a_0(x)$ be the ϕ -expansion of $f(x)$. If for every $i = 0, \dots, n-1$, $\nu(a_i) \geq \nu(a_0) - i\lambda$, with $\lambda = \frac{\nu(a_0)}{n}$ and $f_S(y)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{F}_ϕ , then $f(x)$ is irreducible over K^h .

Jakhar's irreducibility criterion could be generalized as follows (it relaxes $\phi = x$ required in Jakhar's criterion).

Theorem 3.2. Let $f(x) \in R_\nu[x]$ be a monic polynomial such that $\overline{f(x)}$ is a power of $\overline{\phi}$ in $\mathbb{F}_\nu[x]$, with $\overline{\phi}$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_\nu[x]$. Let $f(x) = \phi^n(x) + a_{n-1}(x)\phi^{n-1}(x) + \dots + a_0(x)$ be the ϕ -expansion of $f(x)$. If for every $i = 0, \dots, n-1$, $\nu(a_i) \geq \nu(a_0) - i\lambda$, with $\lambda = \frac{\nu(a_0)}{n}$, then $f(x)$ has at most $\gcd(\nu(a_0(x)), n)$ irreducible factors over the field K^h of degree at least em , where $e = n/d$ and $\deg(\phi) = m$.

Corollary 3.3. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 3.2, assume that $f(x)$ is irreducible over K and let $L = K(\alpha)$ be the simple extension generated by $\alpha \in \overline{K}$ a root of $f(x)$. If for every $i = 0, \dots, n-1$, $\nu(a_i) \geq \nu(a_0) - i\lambda$, with $\lambda = \frac{\nu(a_0)}{n}$, then L has at most $d = \gcd(\nu(a_0(x)), n)$ distinct valuation of L extending ν .

Corollary 3.4. Under the hypothesis of theorem 3.2, assume that $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} and let $L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ be the number field generated by α a complex root of $f(x)$ and $\overline{f(x)}$ is a power of $\overline{\phi}$ in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ for some prime integer p and a monic polynomial $\phi \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, whose reduction $\overline{\phi}$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$. If for every $i = 0, \dots, n-1$, $\nu(a_i) \geq \nu(a_0) - i\lambda$, with $\lambda = \frac{\nu(a_0)}{n}$, then \mathbb{Z}_L has at most $\gcd(\nu_p(a_0(x)), n)$ distinct prime ideals lying above p , where \mathbb{Z}_L is the ring of integers of L .

Theorem 3.5. Let $f(x) \in R_\nu[x]$ be a monic polynomial and let $\overline{f(x)} = \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{\phi_i}^{n_i}(x)$ be the factorization of $f(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_\nu[x]$, with every $\phi_i \in R_\nu[x]$ is a monic polynomial. For every $i = 1, \dots, r$, let $N_{\phi_i}(f) = S_{i1} + \dots + S_{ig_i}$ be the ϕ_i -Newton polygon of $f(x)$. For every $i = 1, \dots, r$ and $j = 1, \dots, g_i$, let $d_{ij} = \frac{l_{ij}}{e_{ij}}$ be the degree of S_{ij} , where l_{ij} is the length of S_{ij} , $-\frac{h_{ij}}{e_{ij}}$ is its slope, h_{ij} and e_{ij} are two positive coprime integers. Then $f(x)$ has at most $\sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^{g_i} d_{ij}$ irreducible factors over the field K^h and so, over K .

Corollary 3.6. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 3.5, assume that $f(x)$ is irreducible over K and let $L = K(\alpha)$, where $\alpha \in \overline{K}$ is a root of $f(x)$. Then there at most $\sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^{g_i} d_{ij}$ distinct valuations of L extending ν .

Corollary 3.7. *Let R be a Dedekind domain with quotient field K and \mathfrak{p} be a nonzero prime ideal of R . Let ν be the \mathfrak{p} -adic valuation of K and $\overline{f(x)} = \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{\phi_i}^{n_i}(x)$ be the factorization of $\overline{f(x)}$ in $\mathbb{F}_\nu[x]$, with every $\phi_i \in R_\nu[x]$ is a monic polynomial. Under the hypothesis of Corollary 3.5, assume that $f(x)$ is irreducible over K and let $L = K(\alpha)$, where $\alpha \in \overline{K}$ is a root of $f(x)$. Then there at most $\sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^{g_i} d_{ij}$ prime ideals of \mathbb{Z}_L lying above \mathfrak{p} , where \mathbb{Z}_L is the integral closure of R in L .*

4. PROOFS

Proof. of of Theorem 3.2. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 3.2, let $f(x) = f_1(x) \times \cdots \times f_t(x)$ be the factorization of $f(x)$ in $K^h[x]$, with every $f_i(x)$ is monic. By Gauss's lemma, every $f_i(x) \in R_\nu[x]$. Let $i = 1, \dots, t$. Since $\overline{f_i(x)}$ divides $\overline{f(x)}$, then $\overline{f_i(x)} = \overline{\phi^{l_i}(x)}$ for some natural integer l_i . The hypothesis of Theorem 3.2, implies that $N_\phi(f) = S$ has a single side of slope $-\lambda$. By the theorem of the product [4], for every $i = 1, \dots, t$, $N_\phi(f_i) = S_i$ has a single side of slope $-\lambda$, $S = S_1 + \cdots + S_t$, and $f_S(y) = \prod_{i=1}^t f_{iS_i}(y)$ up to multiply by a non zero element of \mathbb{F}_ϕ . Let $\lambda = h/e$, where h and e are two positive coprime integers. Since the length $l(S) = ed$ and $l(S) = n = \sum_{i=1}^t l(S_i) = e \times (d_1 + \cdots + d_t)$, then $d = d_1 + \cdots + d_t$. The fact that $d_i \geq 1$ for every $i = 1, \dots, t$, implies that $d \leq t$. Fix $i = 1, \dots, t$. As $N_\phi(f_i) = S_i$, then $f_i(x) = \phi^{l_i} + \cdots + b_{i0}$ is the ϕ -expansion of $f_i(x)$, with $l_i = d_i e$. Thus $\deg(f_i(x)) = m e d_i = m e_i \deg(f_{iS_i}(y))$. As $\deg(f_{iS_i}(y)) = d_i \geq 1$, $\deg(f_i(x)) \geq m e$ as desired. \square

Proof. of Theorem 3.5. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 3.5, by Gauss's lemma and Hensel's lemma, $f(x) = f_1(x) \times \cdots \times f_r(x)$ in $R_\nu^h[x]$ such that for every $i = 1, \dots, r$, $\overline{f_i(x)} = \overline{\phi^{l_i}(x)}$. Fix $i = 1, \dots, r$. By theorem of the product and theorem of the polygon [4], $f_i(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{g_i} f_{ij}(x)$ such that for every $j = 1, \dots, g_i$, $N_\phi(f_{ij}) = S_{ij}$ has single side of slope $-\lambda_{ij} = -h_{ij}/e_{ij}$, where h_{ij} and e_{ij} are two positive coprime integers. By Theorem 3.2, every f_{ij} has at most d_{ij} monic irreducible factor in $K^h[x]$, and finally we get the desired result. \square

5. EXAMPLES

- (1) Let $f(x) = \phi^6 + 24x\phi^4 + 24\phi^3 + 15(16x + 32)\phi + 48$ and $\phi \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be a monic polynomial whose reduction is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$. For $p = 2$, $N_\phi(f) = S$ has a single side of length $l = 6$, height $H = 4$, and so $d = 2$. By Theorem 3.2, $f(x)$ has at most 2 irreducible factors in $\mathbb{Q}_2[x]$.
As $f_S(y) = y^2 + y + 1$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$, then for $\phi = x + m$, with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $f_S(y)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_\phi \simeq \mathbb{F}_2$. Thus Theorem 3.1, $f(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q}_2 . Let $L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ and \mathbb{Z}_L its ring of integers, where α is a complex root of $f(x)$. Since $f(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q}_2 , there is a single prime ideal of \mathbb{Z}_L lying above 2.
- (2) Let $f(x) = \phi^6 + 24x\phi^3 + 9(16x + 32)\phi + 3(16x + 16)$ and $\phi = x^2 + x + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. For $p = 2$, $\overline{\phi}$ is irreducible over \mathbb{F}_2 , $N_\phi(f) = S$ has a single side of length $l = 6$, height $H = 4$, and $d = 2$. By Theorem 3.2, $f(x)$ has at most 2 irreducible factors in $\mathbb{Q}_2[x]$.

- (3) Let $f(x) = (\phi_1^5 + p^3)(\phi_2^4 + p^3)$, with for every $i = 1, 2$, ϕ_i is irreducible over \mathbb{F}_p , and $\overline{\phi_1} \neq \overline{\phi_2}$. Then $\overline{f(x)} = \overline{\phi_1^5 \phi_2^4}$ in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$. By combining Hensel's lemma and Theorem 3.5, $f(x)$ has exactly two distinct monic irreducible factors in $\mathbb{Q}_p[x]$.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. BROWN, *Roots of generalized Schönemann polynomials in henselian extension fields*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 39(5) (2008) 403–410
- [2] D. COHEN, A. MOVAHHEDI AND A. SALINIER, *Factorization over local fields and the irreducibility of generalized difference polynomials*, Mathematika, **47** (2000), 173–196
- [3] G. EISENSTEIN, *Über die Irreduzibilität und einige andere Eigenschaften der Gleichungen, von welcher die Theilung der ganzen Lemniscate abhängt*, J. reine angew. Math. 39 (1850) 160179.
- [4] L. EL FADIL, *On Newton polygons techniques and factorization of polynomial over henselian valued fields*, J. of Algebra and its Appl. (2020), doi: S0219498820501881
- [5] K. GIRSTMAIR, *On an irreducibility criterion of M. Ram Murty*, Amer. Math. Monthly 112 (2005) 269270
- [6] J. GUARDIA, J. MONTES, and E. NART, *Newton polygons of higher order in algebraic number theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364** (1) (2012) 361–416.
- [7] A. JAKHAR, *On the factors of a polynomial*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 52 (2020) 158160
- [8] M. R. MURTY, *Prime numbers and irreducible polynomials*, Amer. Math. Monthly 109 (2002) 452458.
- [9] S. H. WEINTRAUB, *A family of tests for irreducibility of polynomials*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 144 (2016) 33313332.
- [10] K. HENSEL, *Untersuchung der Fundamentalgleichung einer Gattung reiner reeller Primzahl als Modul und Bestimmung der Theiler ihrer Discriminante*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 113 (1894) 61–83.
- [11] J. NEUKIRCH, *Algebraic Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [12] O. ORE, *Newtonsche Polygone in der Theorie der algebraischen Körper*, Math. Ann., 99 (1928), 84–117

FACULTY OF SCIENCES DHAR EL MAHAZ, P.O. BOX 1874 ATLAS-FES , SIDI MOHAMED BEN ABDELALAH UNIVERSITY, MOROCCO

E-mail address: lhouelfadil2@gmail.com