

## ABSENCE OF TORSION IN ORBIT SPACE

SAMPAT SHARMA

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13C10, 19B10, 13H99

Keywords: Unimodular rows, Suslin matrices

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we prove that if  $R$  is a local ring of dimension  $d$ ,  $d \geq 2$  and  $\frac{1}{d!} \in R$  then the group  $\frac{Um_{d+1}(R[X])}{E_{d+1}(R[X])}$  has no  $k$ -torsion, provided  $k \in GL_1(R)$ . We also prove that if  $R$  is a regular ring of dimension  $d$ ,  $d \geq 2$  and  $\frac{1}{d!} \in R$  such that  $E_{d+1}(R)$  acts transitively on  $Um_{d+1}(R)$  then  $E_{d+1}(R[X])$  acts transitively on  $Um_{d+1}(R[X])$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In [14], J. Stienstera, using the ideas of S. Bloch in [2] showed that  $NK_1(R)$  is a  $W(R)$ -module where  $NK_1(R) = \text{Ker}(K_1(R[X]) \rightarrow K_1(R))$ ;  $X = 0$  and  $W(R)$  is the ring of big Witt vectors. Consequently, as noted by C. Weibel in [18],  $SK_1(R[X])$  has no  $k$ -torsion if  $k \in GL_1(R)$  and  $R$  is a commutative local ring. Note that  $SK_1(R[X])$  coincides with  $NK_1(R)$  for a commutative local ring  $R$ . In [1], R. Basu simplified J. Stienstera's approach of big Witt vector and proved that  $NK_1(R)$  has no  $k$ -torsion for an associative ring  $R$  with  $kR = R$ .

In ([3, Theorem 2.2]), J. Fasel proved that for a non-singular affine algebra  $R$  of dimension  $d \geq 3$  over a perfect field  $k$  of cohomological dimension at most 1, the universal Mennicke symbol  $MS_{d+1}(R)$  is uniquely  $p$  divisible for  $p$  prime to the characteristic of  $k$ . Using results of J. Fasel ([3, Theorem 2.1]), W. van der Kallen ([10, Theorem 4.1]), Ravi Rao and Selby Jose in [8] concluded that for a non-singular affine algebra  $R$  of dimension  $d \geq 3$  over a perfect field  $k$ ,  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ , of cohomological dimension at most 1, the orbit space  $\frac{Um_{d+1}(R)}{E_{d+1}(R)}$  is uniquely  $p$  divisible for  $p$  prime to the characteristic of  $k$ .

In ([19, Corollary 7.4]), L.N Vaserstein proves that if  $R$  is a local ring of dimension 2, in which 2 is invertible then  $\frac{Um_3(R[X])}{E_3(R[X])} \simeq W_E(R[X])$ . Using Karoubi's linearization technique, in [13], Rao-Swan proved that  $W_E(R[X]) \hookrightarrow SK_1(R[X])$  is an injective group homomorphism for a local ring  $R$  with  $2R = R$ . Using Weibel's result in [18], Ravi Rao and Richard Swan (for a proof see [8]), proved that  $\frac{Um_3(R[X])}{E_3(R[X])}$  has no 2-torsion for a local ring of dimension 2 with  $2R = R$ .

In this article, using the theory of Suslin matrices, we generalise the result of Ravi Rao and Richard Swan for any  $d$ -dimensional local ring,  $d \geq 2$ . We prove that :

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $R$  be a local ring of dimension  $d$  and let  $\frac{1}{d!} \in R$ , then the group  $\frac{Um_{d+1}(R[X])}{E_{d+1}(R[X])}$  has no*

$k$ -torsion, provided  $k \in GL_1(R)$ .

Using Ravi Rao's result ([12, Theorem 2.4]), we define a map  $\phi : \frac{Um_{d+1}(R[X])}{E_{d+1}(R[X])} \longrightarrow SK_1(R[X])$ . Weibel's result that  $SK_1(R[X])$  has no  $k$ -torsion for a local ring  $R$  with  $k \in GL_1(R)$ , ensures that the map  $\phi$  is well defined. Next we use a result of Anuradha Garge and Ravi Rao ([4, Corollary 2.4]), to establish that  $\phi$  is an injective map. Using the fact that  $[v] \mapsto [S_n(v, w)]$  is a Mennicke symbol, one proves that  $\phi$  is a group homomorphism.

As an application of the Theorem 1.1, we answer a question raised by Ravi Rao and Selby Jose in [8]. One can define an injective map from orbit space to the quotient group  $SO_{2(d+1)}(R[X])/EO_{2(d+1)}(R[X])$ . We prove that

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $R$  be a local ring of dimension  $d$ ,  $d = 2k$ ,  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{2k} \in R$ . Then the map*

$$\varphi : \frac{Um_{d+1}(R[X])}{E_{d+1}(R[X])} \longrightarrow \frac{SO_{2(d+1)}(R[X])}{EO_{2(d+1)}(R[X])}$$

*is injective.*

In [16], A. A. Suslin proved that for a noetherian ring of dimension  $d$ ,  $E_{d+2}(R[X])$  acts transitively on  $Um_{d+2}(R[X])$  for  $d \geq 1$ . As an application of Theorem 1.1, we improve this bound upto  $d + 1$  in certain rings. We prove that :

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $R$  be a regular ring of dimension  $d$ ,  $d \geq 2$  and  $\frac{1}{d!} \in R$  such that  $E_{d+1}(R)$  acts transitively on  $Um_{d+1}(R)$  then  $E_{d+1}(R[X])$  acts transitively on  $Um_{d+1}(R[X])$ .*

## 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

A row  $v = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_r) \in R^{r+1}$  is said to be unimodular if there is a  $w = (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_r) \in R^{r+1}$  with  $\langle v, w \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^r a_i b_i = 1$  and  $Um_{r+1}(R)$  will denote the set of unimodular rows (over  $R$ ) of length  $r + 1$ .

The group of elementary matrices is a subgroup of  $GL_{r+1}(R)$ , denoted by  $E_{r+1}(R)$ , and is generated by the matrices of the form  $e_{ij}(\lambda) = I_{r+1} + \lambda E_{ij}$ , where  $\lambda \in R$ ,  $i \neq j$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq r + 1$ ,  $E_{ij} \in M_{r+1}(R)$  whose  $ij^{th}$  entry is 1 and all other entries are zero. The elementary linear group  $E_{r+1}(R)$  acts on the rows of length  $r + 1$  by right multiplication. Moreover, this action takes unimodular rows to unimodular rows :  $\frac{Um_{r+1}(R)}{E_{r+1}(R)}$  will denote set of orbits of this action; and we shall denote by  $[v]$  the equivalence class of a row  $v$  under this equivalence relation.

**Definition 2.1. Essential dimension:** Let  $R$  be a ring whose maximal spectrum  $\text{Max}(R)$  is a finite union of subsets  $V_i$ , where each  $V_i$ , when endowed with the (topology induced from the) Zariski topology is a space of Krull dimension  $d$ . We shall say  $R$  is essentially of dimension  $d$  in such a case.

**Example 2.2.** Let  $R$  be a reduced local ring of dimension  $d \geq 1$ , then  $R[X]$  is essentially of dimension  $d$  as for any non-zero-divisor  $\pi \in R$ ,

$$\text{Spec}(R[X]) = \text{Spec}\left(\frac{R}{(\pi)}[X]\right) \cup \text{Spec}(R_\pi[X])$$

is a finite union of noetherian spaces of dimension  $d$ .

In ([9, Theorem 3.6]), W. van der Kallen derives an abelian group structure on  $\frac{Um_{d+1}(R)}{E_{d+1}(R)}$  when  $R$  is of essential dimension  $d$ , for all  $d \geq 2$ . We will denote the group operation in this group by  $*$ .

### 2.1. Abelian group structure on $\frac{Um_n(R)}{E_n(R)}$ for $\text{sdim}(R) \leq 2n - 4$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Stable range condition  $sr_n(R)$  : We shall say stable range condition  $sr_n(R)$  holds for  $R$  if for any  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1}) \in Um_{n+1}(R)$  there exists  $c_i \in R$  such that

$$(a_1 + c_1 a_{n+1}, a_2 + c_2 a_{n+1}, \dots, a_n + c_n a_{n+1}) \in Um_n(R).$$

**Definition 2.4.** Stable range  $sr(R)$ , Stable dimension  $\text{sdim}(R)$  : We shall define the stable range of  $R$  denoted by  $sr(R)$  to be the least integer  $n$  such that  $sr_n(R)$  holds. We shall define stable dimension of  $R$  by  $\text{sdim}(R) = sr(R) - 1$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Universal weak Mennicke symbol  $\text{WMS}_n(R)$ ,  $n \geq 2$  : We define the universal weak Mennicke symbol on  $\frac{Um_n(R)}{E_n(R)}$  by a set map  $wms : \frac{Um_n(R)}{E_n(R)} \rightarrow \text{WMS}_n(R)$ ,  $[v] \mapsto wms(v)$  to a group  $\text{WMS}_n(R)$ . The group  $\text{WMS}_n(R)$  is the free abelian group generated by  $wms(v)$ ,  $v \in Um_n(R)$  modulo the following relations

- $wms(v) = wms(v\varepsilon)$  if  $\varepsilon \in E_n(R)$ .
- If  $(q, v_2, \dots, v_n), (1 + q, v_2, \dots, v_n) \in Um_n(R)$  and  $r(1 + q) \equiv q \pmod{(v_2, \dots, v_n)}$ , then

$$wms(q, v_2, \dots, v_n) = wms(r, v_2, \dots, v_n)wms(1 + q, v_2, \dots, v_n).$$

We recall ([10, Theorem 4.1]).

**Theorem 2.6.** (W. van der Kallen) Let  $R$  be a ring of stable dimension  $d$ ,  $d \leq 2n - 4$  and  $n \geq 3$ . Then the universal weak Mennicke symbol  $wms : \frac{Um_n(R)}{E_n(R)} \rightarrow \text{WMS}_n(R)$  is bijective.

In view of above theorem  $\frac{Um_n(R)}{E_n(R)}$  gets a group structure for  $d \leq 2n - 4$ ,  $n \geq 3$ . We will denote group operation in  $\frac{Um_n(R)}{E_n(R)}$  by  $*$ . In ([10, Lemma 3.5]), W. van der Kallen proves various revealing formulae in this group. Let us recall some of these;

- $[x_1, v_2, \dots, v_n] * [v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n] = [(v_1(x_1 + w_1) - 1, (x_1 + w_1)v_2, \dots, v_n)]$ , where  $w_1$  is such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n v_i w_i = 1$ , for some  $w_i \in R$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . In particular  $[v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n]^{-1} = [(-w_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)]$ .
- $[x_1, v_2, \dots, v_n] * [v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n]^{-1} = [(1 - w_1(x_1 - v_1), (x_1 - v_1)v_2, \dots, v_n)]$ .
- $[(x_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)] * [(v_1^2, v_2, \dots, v_n)] = [(x_1 v_1^2, v_2, \dots, v_n)]$ .

**2.2. Mennicke–Newman Lemma.** We note Mennicke–Newman lemma proved by W. van der Kallen in ([11, Lemma 3.2]):

**Lemma 2.7.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with  $\text{sdim}(R) \leq 2n - 3$ . Let  $v, w \in Um_n(R)$ . Then there exists  $\varepsilon, \delta \in E_n(R)$  and  $x, y, a_i \in R$  such that

$$v\varepsilon = (x, a_2, \dots, a_n), w\delta = (y, a_2, \dots, a_n), x + y = 1.$$

**2.3. L.N Vaserstein's power operation  $\chi_k$  on  $\frac{Um_r(R)}{E_r(R)}$ , for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}, r \geq 3$ .** In [20], L.N. Vaserstein has shown that taking k-th power of a co-ordinate is a well defined operation  $\chi_k$  on  $\frac{Um_r(R)}{E_r(R)}$ ,  $r \geq 3$ , for any commutative ring  $R$ . Let  $\overset{E}{\sim}$  denote equivalence under the elementary group  $E_r(A)$ . Thus,

- $(v_1, \dots, v_i^k, \dots, v_r) \overset{E}{\sim} (v_1, \dots, v_j^k, \dots, v_r)$ , for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq r, k \geq 0$ .
- $(v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, w_i, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_r) \overset{E}{\sim} (v_1, \dots, v_{j-1}, w_j, v_{j+1}, \dots, v_r)$ , for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq r$ , where  $\sum_{i=1}^r v_i w_i = 1$ , for some  $w_i \in R$ .

**2.4. On the equality of  $\chi_k([v])$  with  $[v]^k$ .** W. van der Kallen in ([10, Section 4]), gives an example to illustrate that, in general,  $(v_1^k, v_2, \dots, v_n) \notin [v]^k$ , where  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ . A cause for this anomaly was pointed out by Ravi A. Rao in [12] – Antipodal unimodular rows may not coincide up to an elementary action. Following ([12, Lemma 1.3.1]), we prove the following :

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) \in Um_n(R)$ , where  $sdim(R) = d, d \leq 2n - 4$ . Let us assume that  $v \overset{E}{\sim} (-v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$  (equivalently,  $\chi_{-1}([v]) = [v]^{-1}$ ), then  $(v_1^k, v_2, \dots, v_n) \in [v]^k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

**Proof :** Let  $\sum_{i=1}^n v_i w_i = 1$ , for some  $w_i \in R, 1 \leq i \leq n$ . Note that we can then write  $v_1^2 w_1^2 + \sum_{i=2}^n v_i w'_i = 1$  for some  $w'_i \in R$ , for  $2 \leq i \leq n$ . Now

$$[(w_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)] = \chi_{-1}([v]) = \chi_{-1}([-v_1, \dots, v_n]) = [(-w_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)].$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} [v] &= [(-w_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)]^{-1} = [(v_1^2 w_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)] \\ &= [(w_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)] * [(v_1^2, v_2, \dots, v_n)] \\ &= [(-w_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)] * [(v_1^2, v_2, \dots, v_n)] \\ &= [v]^{-1} * [(v_1^2, v_2, \dots, v_n)]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $[v]^2 = [(v_1^2, v_2, \dots, v_n)]$ . It is now easy to check, via group identities in  $\frac{Um_n(R)}{E_n(R)}$ , that  $[(v_1^k, v_2, \dots, v_n)] = [v]^k$ , for all  $k > 2$ . □

**2.5. The elementary symplectic Witt group  $W_E(R)$ .** If  $\alpha \in M_r(R), \beta \in M_s(R)$  are matrices then  $\alpha \perp \beta$  denotes the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{bmatrix} \in M_{r+s}(R)$ .  $\psi_1$  will denote  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in E_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , and  $\psi_r$  is inductively defined by  $\psi_r = \psi_{r-1} \perp \psi_1 \in E_{2r}(\mathbb{Z})$ , for  $r \geq 2$ .

A skew-symmetric matrix whose diagonal elements are zero is called an alternating matrix. If  $\phi \in M_{2r}(R)$  is alternating then  $\det(\phi) = (\text{pf}(\phi))^2$  where pf is a polynomial (called the Pfaffian) in the matrix elements with coefficients  $\pm 1$ . Note that we need to fix a sign in the choice of pf; so we insist  $\text{pf}(\psi_r) = 1$  for all  $r$ . For any  $\alpha \in M_{2r}(R)$  and any alternating matrix  $\phi \in M_{2r}(R)$  we have  $\text{pf}(\alpha^t \phi \alpha) = \text{pf}(\phi) \det(\alpha)$ . For alternating matrices  $\phi, \psi$  it is easy to check that  $\text{pf}(\phi \perp \psi) = (\text{pf}(\phi))(\text{pf}(\psi))$ .

Two matrices  $\alpha \in M_{2r}(R), \beta \in M_{2s}(R)$  are said to be equivalent (w.r.t.  $E(R)$ ) if there exists a matrix  $\varepsilon \in SL_{2(r+s)}(R) \cap E(R)$ , such that  $\alpha \perp \psi_{s+l} = \varepsilon^t (\beta \perp \psi_{r+l}) \varepsilon$ , for some  $l$ . Denote this by  $\alpha \overset{E}{\sim} \beta$ . Thus  $\overset{E}{\sim}$  is an equivalence relation; denote by  $[\alpha]$  the orbit of  $\alpha$  under this relation.

It is easy to see ([19, p. 945]) that  $\perp$  induces the structure of an abelian group on the set of all equivalence classes of alternating matrices with Pfaffian 1; this group is called elementary symplectic Witt group and is denoted by  $W_E(R)$ .

**2.6. The Suslin matrices.** First recall the Suslin matrix  $S_r(v, w)$ . These were defined by Suslin in ([15, Section 5]). We recall his inductive process : Let  $v = (a_0, v_1), w = (b_0, w_1)$ , where  $v_1, w_1 \in M_{1,r}(R)$ . Set  $S_0(v, w) = a_0$  and set

$$S_r(v, w) = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 I_{2^{r-1}} & S_{r-1}(v_1, w_1) \\ -S_{r-1}(w_1, v_1)^T & b_0 I_{2^{r-1}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The process is reversible and given a Suslin matrix  $S_r(v, w)$  one can recover the associated rows  $v, w$ , i.e. the pair  $(v, w)$ .

In [17], Suslin proves that if  $\langle v, w \rangle = v \cdot w^T = 1$ , then by row and column operations one can reduce  $S_r(v, w)$  to a matrix  $\beta_r(v, w) \in SL_{r+1}(R)$ , whose first row is  $(a_0, a_1, a_2^2 \cdots, a_r^r)$ . This in particular proves that rows of such type can be completed to an invertible matrix of determinant one. We call any such  $\beta_r(v, w)$  to be a compressed Suslin matrix.

### 2.7. Relative elementary groups.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $I$  be an ideal of a ring  $R$ . A unimodular row  $v \in Um_n(R)$  which is congruent to  $e_1$  modulo  $I$  is called unimodular relative to ideal  $I$ . Set of unimodular rows relative to ideal  $I$  will be denoted by  $Um_n(R, I)$ .

Let  $I$  be an ideal of a ring  $R$ , we shall denote by  $GL_n(R, I)$  the kernel of the canonical mapping  $GL_n(R) \longrightarrow GL_n\left(\frac{R}{I}\right)$ . Let  $SL_n(R, I)$  denotes the subgroup of  $GL_n(R, I)$  consisting of elements of determinant 1.

**Definition 2.3. The relative groups  $E_n(I)$ ,  $E_n(R, I)$  :** Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . The elementary group  $E_n(I)$  is the subgroup of  $E_n(R)$  generated as a group by the elements  $e_{ij}(x)$ ,  $x \in I$ ,  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ . The relative elementary group  $E_n(R, I)$  is the normal closure of  $E_n(I)$  in  $E_n(R)$ .

**Definition 2.4. Excision ring :** Let  $R$  be a ring and  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . The excision ring  $R \oplus I$ , has coordinate wise addition and multiplication is given as follows:

$$(r, i).(s, j) = (rs, rj + si + ij), \text{ where } r, s \in R \text{ and } i, j \in I.$$

The multiplicative identity of this group is  $(1, 0)$  and the additive identity is  $(0, 0)$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** ([5, Lemma 4.3]) *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring. Then the excision ring  $R \oplus I$  with respect to a proper ideal  $I \subsetneq R$  is also a local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} \oplus I$ .*

**Definition 2.6.** We shall say a ring homomorphism  $\phi : B \twoheadrightarrow D$  has a section if there exists a ring homomorphism  $\gamma : D \hookrightarrow B$  so that  $\phi \circ \gamma$  is the identity on  $D$ . We shall also say  $D$  is a retract of  $B$ .

The following lemma is an easy consequence of ([6, Lemma 4.3, Chapter 3]) :

**Lemma 2.7.** (A. Suslin) *Let  $B, D$  be rings and  $\pi : B \twoheadrightarrow D$  have a section. If  $J = \ker(\pi)$ , then  $E_n(B, J) = E_n(B) \cap SL_n(B, J)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ .*

**Remark 2.8.** Let  $R$  be a ring and  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . There is a natural homomorphism  $\omega : R \oplus I \rightarrow R$  given by  $(x, i) \mapsto x + i \in R$ . Clearly  $\omega$  has a section. Let  $v = (1 + i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \in Um_n(R, I)$  where  $i_j$ 's are in  $I$ . Then we shall call  $\tilde{v} = ((1, i_1), (0, i_2), \dots, (0, i_n)) \in Um_n(R \oplus I, 0 \oplus I)$  to be a lift of  $v$ . Note that  $\omega$  sends  $\tilde{v}$  to  $v$ .

### 3. ABSENCE OF TORSION IN $\frac{Um_{d+1}(R[X])}{E_{d+1}(R[X])}$

In ([19, Corollary 7.4]), L.N Vaserstein proves that if  $R$  is a local ring of dimension 2, in which 2 is invertible then  $\frac{Um_3(R[X])}{E_3(R[X])} \simeq W_E(R[X])$ . Since,  $W_E(R[X]) \hookrightarrow SK_1(R[X])$  (See Corollary 3.3) for a local ring  $R$  with  $2R = R$ , one gets  $\frac{Um_3(R[X])}{E_3(R[X])}$  has no 2-torsion for a local ring of dimension 2. In this section we generalise this result for any  $d$ -dimension local ring,  $d \geq 2$ , via a different approach.

We first collect some known results which will be used in this section.

**Proposition 3.1.** ([18, Section 3]) *Let  $R$  be a local ring. Assume that  $mR = R$ . Then  $SK_1(R[X])$  has no  $m$ -torsion.*

Next we recall an observation of Rao-Swan in [13], about a result of Karoubi, and its consequence, whose proofs can be found in ([4, Lemma 4.2, Corollary 4.3]).

**Lemma 3.2. (Karoubi)** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with 1. Let  $\phi + nX$ , with  $\phi \in GL_{2k}(R)$ ,  $n \in M_{2k}(R)$ , be a linear invertible polynomial alternating matrix over  $R$ . If  $2R = R$ , then  $\phi + nX = W(X)^t(\phi^{-1})^t W(X)$ , where  $W(X) = \phi(1 + \phi^{-1}nX)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .*

**Corollary 3.3. (Rao-Swan)** *Let  $R$  be a local ring with 1, in which  $2R = R$ . Then the natural map  $W_E(R[X]) \longrightarrow SK_1(R[X])$  is an injective group homomorphism.*

**Proof :** For a proof see ([4, Corollary 4.3]). □

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $R$  be a local ring and  $mR = R$ . Then  $W_E(R[X])$  does not have any  $m$ -torsion.*

**Proof :** It follows from Proposition 3.1 and Corollary 3.3. □

**Theorem 3.5.** ([12, Theorem 2.4]) *Let  $R$  be a local ring of dimension  $d \geq 2$ . Let  $v \in Um_{d+1}(R[X])$ . If  $\frac{1}{d!} \in R$  then  $v \stackrel{E}{\sim} (w_0^{d!}, w_1, \dots, w_d)$  for some  $(w_0, \dots, w_d) \in Um_{d+1}(R[X])$ .*

**Remark 3.6.** In general, if  $R$  is a local ring of dimension  $d$ ,  $d \geq 2$ ,  $\frac{1}{2^k} \in R$  and  $v \in Um_{d+1}(R[X])$ . Then one can similarly prove that

$$v \stackrel{E_{d+1}(R[X])}{\sim} (u_1^{2k}, u_2, \dots, u_{d+1})$$

for some  $(u_1, \dots, u_{d+1}) \in Um_{d+1}(R[X])$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with 1,  $sdim(R) \leq 2n - 2$ ,  $\frac{1}{n!} \in R$  and  $n \geq 2$ . Assume that*

$$(1) \text{ For all } v \in Um_{n+1}(R), v \stackrel{E_{n+1}(R)}{\sim} (w_0^{n!}, w_1, \dots, w_n).$$

- (2) If  $\beta_n(v, w) \in SL_{n+1}(R) \cap E(R)$ , then  $[e_1\beta_n(v, w)] = [e_1]$ .  
 (3)  $SK_1(R)$  has no  $k$ -torsion, if  $\frac{1}{k} \in R$ .

Then, for some  $w$  with  $\langle e_1\sigma, w \rangle = 1$ , the map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \frac{Um_{n+1}(R)}{E_{n+1}(R)} &\longrightarrow SK_1(R) \\ [v] = [e_1\sigma]^{n!} &\longmapsto [S_n(e_1\sigma, w)] \end{aligned}$$

is a well-defined injective group homomorphism.

**Proof : Well-defined:** In view of the hypothesis (1), for any  $v \in Um_{n+1}(R)$ , there exists  $v' \in Um_{n+1}(R)$ , such that  $[v] = \chi_{n!}([v'])$ . Since every  $v' \in Um_{n+1}(R)$  is completable, so by Lemma 2.1,  $[v] = [e_1\sigma]^{n!}$ , for some  $\sigma \in SL_{n+1}(R)$ .

If  $v', v'' \in Um_{n+1}(R)$  be such that  $[v] = [v']^{n!} = [v'']^{n!}$ . By hypothesis (1), there exists  $\tau, \tau' \in SL_{n+1}(R)$  such that  $[v] = [e_1\tau]^{n!} = [e_1\tau']^{n!}$ . By ([7, Lemma 3.2]),  $[S_n(e_1\tau^{n!}, w')] = [S_n(e_1\tau'^{n!}, w'')]$ . Since  $[v] \longmapsto [S_n(v, w)]$  is a Mennicke symbol and using Lemma 2.1, we have  $[S_n(e_1\tau, w')]^{n!} = [S_n(e_1\tau', w'')]^{n!}$ . Since  $SK_1(R)$  does not have any  $(n!)$ -torsion, we have  $[S_n(e_1\tau, w')] = [S_n(e_1\tau', w'')]$ . Therefore the map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \frac{Um_{n+1}(R)}{E_{n+1}(R)} &\longrightarrow SK_1(R) \\ [v] = [e_1\sigma]^{n!} &\longmapsto [S_n(e_1\sigma, w)] \end{aligned}$$

for some  $w \in Um_{n+1}(R)$  with  $(e_1\sigma) \cdot w^t = 1$ , is well-defined.

**Injectivity:** Let  $v = [e_1\sigma]^{n!}$  be such that  $[S_n(e_1\sigma, w)] = 1$  in  $SK_1(R)$ . By ([21, Theorem 3.2]),  $\beta_n(e_1\sigma, w) \in SL_{n+1}(R) \cap E(R)$ . By hypothesis (2),  $[v] = [e_1\sigma]^{n!} = [e_1]$ . Thus  $\phi$  is injective.

**Homomorphism:** Let  $v, w \in Um_{n+1}(R)$  be such that  $[v] = [e_1\sigma]^{n!}$  and  $[w] = [e_1\tau]^{n!}$  for some matrices  $\sigma, \tau \in SL_{n+1}(R)$ . In view of Mennicke-Newman lemma (Lemma 2.7), we may assume that  $[e_1\sigma] = [a, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  and  $[e_1\tau] = [b, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ . Now, in view of Lemma 2.1, we have  $[e_1\sigma]^{n!} = [a^{n!}, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  and  $[e_1\tau]^{n!} = [b^{n!}, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ . Thus by ([9, Theorem 3.16(iii)]), we have  $[v] * [w] = [(ab)^{n!}, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ .

Now, by hypothesis (1), there exists  $\tau' \in SL_{n+1}(R)$  be such that  $[e_1\tau'] = [ab, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi([v] * [w]) &= [S_n(e_1\tau', w')] \\ &= [S_n((ab, x_1, \dots, x_n), w')]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $v \longmapsto S_n(v, w)$  is a Mennicke symbol, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi([v] * [w]) &= [S_n((ab, x_1, \dots, x_n), w')] \\ &= [S_n((a, x_1, \dots, x_n), w_1)][S_n((b, x_1, \dots, x_n), w_2)] \\ &= [S_n(e_1\sigma, w_1)][S_n(e_1\tau, w_2)] \\ &= \varphi([v])\varphi([w]). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 3.8.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with 1,  $sdim(R) \leq 2n - 2$  and  $n \geq 2$ . If

- (1) For all  $v \in Um_{n+1}(R)$ ,  $v \stackrel{E_{n+1}(R)}{\sim} (w_0^{n!}, w_1, \dots, w_n)$ .
- (2) If  $\beta_n(v, w) \in SL_{n+1}(R) \cap E(R)$ , then  $[e_1 \beta_n(v, w)] = [e_1]$ .
- (3)  $SK_1(R)$  has no  $k$ -torsion if  $\frac{1}{k} \in R$ .

Then the group  $\frac{Um_{n+1}(R)}{E_{n+1}(R)}$  has no  $k$ -torsion.

**Proof :** In view of Theorem 3.7,

$$\frac{Um_{n+1}(R)}{E_{n+1}(R)} \hookrightarrow SK_1(R)$$

is an injection. Since  $SK_1(R)$  does not have any  $k$ -torsion,  $\frac{Um_{n+1}(R)}{E_{n+1}(R)}$  has no  $k$ -torsion.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.9.** Let  $R$  be a local ring of dimension  $d$ ,  $d \geq 2$  and let  $\frac{1}{d!} \in R$ , then  $\frac{Um_{d+1}(R[X])}{E_{d+1}(R[X])}$  has no  $k$ -torsion, provided  $k \in GL_1(R)$ .

**Proof :** It follows from Corollary 3.8 as all the hypothesis are satisfied in view of Theorem 3.5, ([4, Corollary 2.2]), and Proposition 3.1 respectively.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.10.** Let  $R$  be a local ring of dimension  $d \geq 2$ ,  $\frac{1}{d!} \in R$  and  $I$  be a proper ideal in  $R$ . Let  $v \in Um_{d+1}(R[X], I[X])$ . Then  $\frac{Um_{d+1}(R[X], I[X])}{E_{d+1}(R[X], I[X])}$  has no  $k$ -torsion, provided  $k \in GL_1(R)$ .

**Proof :** Let us assume that  $[v]^k \stackrel{E_{d+1}(R[X], I[X])}{\sim} e_1$ . Let  $\tilde{v} \in Um_{d+1}((R \oplus I)[X], (0 \oplus I)[X])$ . In view of Lemma 2.5 and ([9, Lemma 3.19]),  $R \oplus I$  is a local ring of dimension  $d$ . By Corollary 3.9, the group  $\frac{Um_{d+1}((R \oplus I)[X])}{E_{d+1}((R \oplus I)[X])}$  has no  $k$ -torsion. Thus there exists  $\varepsilon \in E_{d+1}((R \oplus I)[X])$  such that  $\tilde{v}\varepsilon = e_1$ . Going modulo  $0 \oplus I$ , we have  $e_1 \bar{\varepsilon} = e_1$ ,  $\bar{\varepsilon} \in SL_{d+1}(R[X])$ . Now replacing  $\varepsilon$  by  $\varepsilon(\bar{\varepsilon})^{-1}$  and using Lemma 2.7, we may assume that  $\varepsilon \in E_{d+1}((R \oplus I)[X], (0 \oplus I)[X])$  satisfying  $\tilde{v}\varepsilon = e_1$ . Now applying  $\omega$  to last equation we get  $v\varepsilon_1 = e_1$  for some  $\varepsilon_1 \in E_{d+1}(R[X], I[X])$  which proves that  $\frac{Um_{d+1}(R[X], I[X])}{E_{d+1}(R[X], I[X])}$  has no  $k$ -torsion.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.11.** Let  $R$  be a local ring of dimension  $d$ ,  $d = 2k$ ,  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{d!} \in R$ . Then the map

$$\varphi : \frac{Um_{d+1}(R[X])}{E_{d+1}(R[X])} \longrightarrow \frac{SO_{2(d+1)}(R[X])}{EO_{2(d+1)}(R[X])}$$

is injective.

**Proof :** Let  $[v] \in \frac{Um_{d+1}(R[X])}{E_{d+1}(R[X])}$  such that  $\varphi([v]) \in EO_{2(d+1)}(R[X])$ . In view of ([8, Theorem 3.8]),  $\chi_2[v] = e_1$ . Now, we use Corollary 3.9 to conclude that  $[v] = e_1$ , i.e.  $\varphi$  is injective.  $\square$

#### 4. AN APPLICATION TO COMPLETION OF UNIMODULAR ROWS

In ([16, Theorem 2.6]), A. A. Suslin proved the following result:

**Theorem 4.1.** (A.A. Suslin) Let  $R$  be a noetherian ring of dimension  $d$ , then  $E_r(R[X])$  acts transitively on  $Um_r(R[X])$  for  $r \geq \text{Max}(3, d + 2)$ .

As an application of Theorem 3.7, we improve the bound to  $d + 1$  over regular local rings. We prove :



**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $R$  be a regular local ring of dimension  $d, d \geq 2$  and let  $\frac{1}{d!} \in R$ , then  $E_r(R[X])$  acts transitively on  $Um_r(R[X])$  for  $r \geq \text{Max}(3, d + 1)$ .*

**Proof :** If  $r \geq d + 2$  then it follows from Suslin's theorem. Let  $r = d + 1$ . In view of theorem 3.7, there is an injective group homomorphism

$$\varphi : \frac{Um_{d+1}(R[X])}{E_{d+1}(R[X])} \longrightarrow SK_1(R[X]).$$

Since  $R$  is a regular local ring  $SK_1(R[X]) = 0$ . Thus  $E_{d+1}(R[X])$  acts transitively on  $Um_{d+1}(R[X])$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $R$  be a regular ring of dimension  $d, d \geq 2, \frac{1}{d!} \in R$  such that  $E_{d+1}(R)$  acts transitively on  $Um_{d+1}(R)$ , then  $E_r(R[X])$  acts transitively on  $Um_r(R[X])$  for  $r \geq \text{Max}(3, d + 1)$ .*

**Proof :** If  $r \geq d + 2$  then it follows from Suslin's theorem. Let  $r = d + 1$ . For any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$ , in view of corollary 4.2,  $E_{d+1}(R_{\mathfrak{m}}[X])$  acts transitively on  $Um_{d+1}(R_{\mathfrak{m}}[X])$ . By Sulsin's local-global principle, we gets  $v(X) \underset{E_{d+1}(R[X])}{\sim} v(0)$  for every  $v(X) \in Um_{d+1}(R[X])$ . Now result follows from our assumption.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.4.** *Let  $R$  be a regular finitely generated ring over  $\mathbb{Z}$  of dimension  $d, d \geq 3, \frac{1}{d!} \in R$ . Then  $E_{d+1}(R[X])$  acts transitively on  $Um_{d+1}(R[X])$ .*

**Proof :** The result follows in view of ([19, Theorem 18.2]) and corollary 4.3.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.5.** *Let  $R$  be a regular finitely generated algebra over a field  $K$  of dimension  $d, d \geq 2, \frac{1}{d!} \in R$ . Then  $E_{d+1}(R[X])$  acts transitively on  $Um_{d+1}(R[X])$ .*

**Proof :** The result follows in view of ([19, Theorem 20.5]) and corollary 4.3.  $\square$

In ([16, Corollary 2.7]), A.A. Suslin proved the following result:

**Corollary 4.6.** (A.A. Suslin) *Let  $R$  be a noetherian ring of dimension  $d$ , then the canonical mapping*

$$\varphi : GL_r(R[X]) \longrightarrow K_1(R[X])$$

*is an epimorphism for  $r \geq d + 1$ .*

As an application of corollary 4.3, we prove :

**Corollary 4.7.** (A.A. Suslin) *Let  $R$  be a regular ring of dimension  $d, d \geq 2, \frac{1}{d!} \in R$  such that  $E_{d+1}(R)$  acts transitively on  $Um_{d+1}(R)$ , then the canonical mapping*

$$\varphi : GL_r(R[X]) \longrightarrow K_1(R[X])$$

*is an epimorphism for  $r \geq d + 1$ .*

**Proof :** The result is obvious in view of corollary 4.3.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. Basu; *Absence of torsion for  $NK_1(R)$  over associative rings*, Journal of Algebra and Its Applications, Vol. 10, No. 04 (2011), pp. 793–799.
- [2] S. Bloch; *Algebraic K-Theory and crystalline cohomology*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. 47 (1977), 187–268.
- [3] J. Fasel; *Mennicke symbols, K-cohomology and a BassKubota theorem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 367(1) (2015) 191208.
- [4] A. Garge, R.A. Rao; *A nice group structure on the orbit space of unimodular rows*, K-Theory 38 (2008), no. 2, 113–133.
- [5] A. Gupta, A. Garge, R.A. Rao; *A nice group structure on the orbit space of unimodular rows-II*, J. Algebra 407 (2014), 201–223.
- [6] S.K. Gupta, M.P. Murthy; *Suslin's work on linear groups over polynomial rings and Serre problem*, Indian Statistical Institute, New Delhi.
- [7] S. Jose, R.A. Rao; *A structure theorem for the elementary unimodular vector group*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 358 (2006), no. 7, 3097–3112.
- [8] S. Jose, R.A. Rao; *The orbit set and the orthogonal quotient*, J. Algebra Appl. 15 (2016), no. 6, 1650101, 14 pp.
- [9] W. van der Kallen; *A group structure on certain orbit sets of unimodular rows*, J. Algebra 82 (2) (1983), 363–397.
- [10] W. van der Kallen; *A module structure on certain orbit sets of unimodular rows*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 57 (1989), 657–663.
- [11] W. van der Kallen; *From Mennicke symbols to Euler class groups*, Algebra, arithmetic and geometry, Part I, II (Mumbai, 2000), 341–354, Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math., 16, Bombay, 2002.
- [12] R.A. Rao; *The Bass-Quillen conjecture in dimension three but characteristic  $\neq 2, 3$  via a question of A. Suslin*, Invent. Math. 93 (1988), no. 3, 609–618.
- [13] R.A. Rao, R.G. Swan; *On some actions of stably elementary matrices on alternating matrices*, see excerpts on the homepage of R.G. Swan at <http://www.math.uchicago.edu/~swan/>.
- [14] J. Stienstera; *Operation in the linear K-theory of endomorphisms*, Current Trends in Algebraic Topology, Conf. Proc. Can. Math. Soc. 2 (1982).
- [15] A.A. Suslin; *On stably free modules*, Math. USSR-Sb. 31 (1977), no. 4, 479–491.
- [16] A.A. Suslin; *On the structure of special linear group over polynomial rings*, Math. USSR. Izv. 11 (1977), 221–238.
- [17] A.A. Suslin; *K-theory and K-cohomology of certain group varieties*, Algebraic K-theory, 53–74, Adv. Soviet Math. 4 (1991), Providence, RI.
- [18] C.A. Weibel; *Mayer-Vietoris sequences and module structure on  $NK_0$* , Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Volume 854, Springer (1981), 466–498.
- [19] L.N. Vaserstein, A.A. Suslin; *Serre's problem on projective modules*, Math. USSR Izv. 10 (1976), no. 5, 937–1001.
- [20] L.N. Vaserstein; *Operations on orbits of unimodular vectors*, J. Algebra 100 (2) (1986), 456–461.
- [21] L.N. Vaserstein; *On the stabilization of the general linear group over a ring*, Mat. Sbornik (N.S.) 79 (121) 405–424 (Russian); English translation in Math. USSR Sbornik. 8 (1969), 383–400.

SAMPAT SHARMA, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH,  
 1, DR. HOMI BHABHA ROAD, MUMBAI 400005, INDIA  
*E-mail:* Sampat Sharma <sampat.iiserm@gmail.com; sampat@math.tifr.res.in>