

Weak Counterexamples to L^2 Curvature Estimates for Minimizing Surfaces

Zhenhua Liu

July 16, 2020

1 Introduction

We will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *There exists a sequence of metrics g_k on \mathbb{R}^4 with $\|g_k - \delta\|_{C^4} \rightarrow 0$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$, where δ is the standard Euclidean metric, and a sequence of smooth 2-d surfaces Σ_k in a cylinder $B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0) \times \mathbb{R}^2$, minimizing area with respect to g_k , so that $\mathcal{H}_{g_k}^2(\Sigma_k)$ is uniformly bounded but $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Sigma_k} \|A\|^2 = \infty$.*

This provides a weak counterexample to a direct analog of the L^2 curvature estimates for stable minimal hypersurfaces established by Schoen and Simon in [4].

The idea is that L^2 norm of $\|A\|$ is essentially controlled by genus, so if we can produce a sequence of minimizing 2-D surfaces with genus going to infinity yet bounded area, then we have proven the theorem. We use the ingenious construction of [1] and [2] to achieve this.

Remarks

To the author's knowledge, this is the first written proof of a weak counterexamples to L^2 second fundamental form bounds for minimizing currents of higher codimension. However, the author believes that potential examples must have appeared before. Indeed, Professor De Lellis has pointed out to the author that the infinite genus example in [2] should have infinite L^2 second fundamental form. Details will appear later.

For mod 2 area-minimizing currents, it is straightforward to use [3] to prove uniform interior weak L^2 bounds. However, the author doesn't know whether this is sharp, as there are relatively few examples of minimizing currents in mod 2. The examples in this paper are not minimizing mod 2. (If so, they would converge to a mod 2 minimizing current. However, they converge to a double copy of the disk, which is 0 mod 2.)

The motivation to investigate this kind of curvature estimates lies in its broad applications. For examples, in codimension 1, the regularity part of recently popular Almgren-Pitts min-max theory is based on various curvature estimates for stable minimal hypersurfaces, including [4]. In higher codimension, the lack of such curvature bounds is a huge obstacle to establishing corresponding regularity theories.

Finally, for clarity of presentation, the constants C involved in this manuscript will not be numbered and thus possibly be different for each line, but they will be uniformly bounded.

2 The geometric picture

First let's explain the geometric picture. By Gauss-Bonnet, for any two dimensional surface Σ of genus g with smooth connected boundary γ , we have $\chi(\Sigma) = 2 - 2g - 1$, and thus

$$\int_{\gamma} \kappa d\mathcal{H}^1 + \int_{\Sigma} K d\mathcal{H}^2 = 2\pi\chi(\Sigma) = 2\pi - 4\pi g,$$

where κ is the mean curvature or equivalently the geodesic curvature of γ in Σ , and K is the Gauss curvature of Σ .

Suppose Σ is embedded in M^n . We will use e_1, e_2 do denote local frames that span the tangent space of Σ . Let A denote the second fundamental form of Σ in M^n , H the mean curvature of $\Sigma \subset M$, and R the Riemannian curvature tensor of M . Then by Gauss equations, we have

$$2K = 2R(e_1, e_2, e_1, e_2) + \|H\|^2 - \|A\|^2,$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the norm on tensors induced by the metric on Σ . If we further assume the mean curvature H of Σ in M^n is 0, then we have

$$2K = 2R(e_1, e_2, e_1, e_2) - \|A\|^2.$$

Collecting this into Gauss-Bonnet, we have

$$\int_{\Sigma} \|A\|^2 d\mathcal{H}^2 = 4\pi g - 2\pi + \int_{\gamma} \kappa d\mathcal{H}^1 + 2 \int_{\Sigma} R(T_p \Sigma) d\mathcal{H}^2(p).$$

Thus, if we have control on $\mathcal{H}^2(\Sigma)$, $\|R\|$, $|\kappa|$, and $\mathcal{H}^1(\gamma)$. Then L^2 norm of $\|A\|$ is dominated by the genus of Σ .

3 The construction of the candidate surfaces

Let $a_{k,j} = \frac{j}{3k}$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $-k \leq j \leq k$. Use D to denote $B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)$, the ball of radius $\frac{1}{2}$ around 0 on $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}^2$. Consider the following sequence of polynomials $\{p_k\}$ defined on D ,

$$p_k(z) = \prod_{j=-k}^k (z - a_{k,j}).$$

We have $|p_k| \leq (\frac{5}{6})^{2k+1}$, $|p'_k| \leq (2k+1)(\frac{5}{6})^{2k}$, and $|p''_k| \leq (2k+1)2k(\frac{5}{6})^{2k-1}$. Note that as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we have that $|p_k|, |p'_k|, |p''_k|$ all uniformly goes to 0.

Consider the following sequence of maps G_k from $D = B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0) \subset \mathbb{C}$ to $D \times \mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^4$,

$$G_k(z) = (z^2, p_k(z)).$$

We claim the following

1. the current $(G_k)_{\#}[[D]]$ is area minimizing in \mathbb{C}^2 , with boundary $\gamma_k = (G_k)_{\#}[[\partial B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)]]$
2. $(G_k)_{\#}[[D]]$ a smooth immersed surface with boundary,
3. $(G_k)_{\#}[[D]]$ is embedded outside of the transverse intersection points $(a_{k,j}^2, 0)$,
4. we have $|z| \leq \|DG_k\|_{\delta} \leq C_0, \|D^2G_k\|_{\delta} \leq C_0$, with C_0 independent of k .

Claim 1 is direct consequence of Wirtinger's inequality. z^2 has nonzero derivative away from zero, while $p_k(z) = z \prod_{j \neq 0} (z - a_{k,j})$ has nonzero derivative only at 0. Thus, by constant rank theorem we can verify

claim 2. For claim 3, note that the immersed points are the noninjective points. If $z^2 = w^2$, then $z = \pm w$. However, the solutions to $p_k(w) = p_k(-w) = -p_k(w)$ are precisely $a_{k,j}$. To see that the intersection is transverse, note that $(G_k)_\#[[D]]$ can be represented by the restriction to $D \times \mathbb{C}$ of the complex algebraic variety

$$v^2 = u \prod_{j=1}^k (u - a_{k,j}^2)^2, \quad (1)$$

in the (u, v) -plane. (Let $u = z^2$ and $v = p_k$. Then it's clear that equation (1) follows.) We can use this to show that the tangent cones to $(G_k)_\#[[D]]$ at $(a_{k,j}^2, 0)$ are precisely

$$v = \pm C_{k,j}(u - a_{k,j}^2),$$

where $C_{k,j} = \sqrt{\prod_{1 \leq l \leq k, l \neq j} (a_{k,j}^2 - a_{k,l}^2)} \neq 0$. This verifies claim 3. Claim 4 obviously follows from the estimates on $|p'_k|, |p''_k|$ we have established, and using Cauchy-Riemann equation repetitively to represent holomorphic derivative in real partial derivatives.

4 Modifying the candidate surfaces and constructing the metrics

Now, at every nonzero $(a_{k,j}^2, 0)$, we substitute $(G_k)_\#[[D]]$ in each small balls $B_{r_{k,j}}((a_{k,j}^2, 0))$ with a smooth neck

$$(v + C_{k,j}(u - a_{k,j}^2))(v - C_{k,j}(u - a_{k,j}^2)) = \eta_{k,j}.$$

Then we use Proposition 4.4 in [1] as in Section 5 of [1] to glue each neck to $(G_k)_\#[[D]]$ in $B_{10r_{k,j}}$ to form a surface Σ_k coincide with $(G_k)_\#[[D]]$ outside of $\cup_{j \neq 0} B_{10r_{k,j}}(a_{k,j}^2, 0)$ and coincide with $(v + C_{k,j}(u - a_{k,j}^2))(v - C_{k,j}(u - a_{k,j}^2)) = \eta_{k,j}$ inside $\cup_{j \neq 0} B_{r_{k,j}}(a_{k,j}^2, 0)$. By construction, Σ_k is a smooth genus $2k$ surface with same boundary γ_k as $(G_k)_\#[[D]]$. Moreover, Σ_k is the unique area minimizing surface bounded by γ_k in some g_k , so that g_k with coincide with δ outside of $\cup_{j \neq 0} B_{10r_{k,j}}(a_{k,j}^2, 0) \setminus \cup_{j \neq 0} B_{r_{k,j}}(a_{k,j}^2, 0)$. By requiring $\eta_{k,j} < \frac{1}{100k}$ small enough, we can impose the conditions $\|g_k - \delta\|_{C^4} < \frac{1}{k}$.

We have $\|R_{g_k}\|_{g_k} \leq \frac{C_1}{k}$, $\|DG_k\|_{g_k} \leq C_1 \|DG_k\|_\delta$, $\|D^2G_k\|_{g_k} \leq C_1 \|D^2G_k\|_\delta$, with C_1 independent of k . By the area formula, we immediately deduce that $\mathcal{H}_{g_k}^2((G_k)_\#[[D]]) \leq C_2$, and $\mathcal{H}_{g_k}^1(\gamma_k) \leq C_2$, with C_2 independent of k . Moreover, at every point on Γ , we have $|\kappa_k|_{g_k} \leq |H_{\gamma_k \subset \mathbb{R}^4, g_k}|$. However, $H_{\gamma_k \subset \mathbb{R}^4, g_k}$ is bounded by $\|DG_k\|^{-1} \|D^2G_k\|$, which is bounded by $|z|^{-1}C$. Since γ_k is always image of constant radius circles, this implies that we always have $\int_\gamma \kappa_{g_k} d\mathcal{H}_{g_k}^1 < C$, with C independent of k . By minimality of Σ_k , we deduce that $\mathcal{H}_{g_k}^2(\Sigma_k) \leq \mathcal{H}_{g_k}^2((G_k)_\#[[D]])$. Collecting all these estimates, we have $\int_{\gamma_k} \kappa_k d\mathcal{H}_k^1 < C$ and $\int_\Sigma R_k(T_p \Sigma_k) d\mathcal{H}_k^2(p) < \frac{C}{k}$ with C independent of k . By letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, we deduce that

$$\int_{\Sigma_k} \|A_k\|_{g_k}^2 d\mathcal{H}_k^2 \geq 8\pi k + C_0 \rightarrow \infty$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. This proves the theorem.

Acknowledgements

I would first like to thank my advisor Professor Camillo De Lellis for his unwavering support during the last year, when I have been recovering from illness. I would also like to than him for suggesting this topic to me.

References

- [1] C. De Lellis; G. De Philippis; J. Hirsch, *Nonclassical minimizing surfaces with smooth boundary*, available at <https://www.math.ias.edu/delellis/node/313>,

- [2] C. De Lellis; G. De Philippis; J. Hirsch; A. Massaccesi, *On the boundary behavior of mass-minimizing integral currents*, available at <https://www.math.ias.edu/delellis/node/148>,
- [3] Aaron Naber, Daniele Valtorta, *The Singular Structure and Regularity of Stationary and Minimizing Varifolds*, available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1505.03428>,
- [4] Richard Schoen, Leon Simon *Regularity of stable minimal hypersurfaces*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 34 (1981), no. 6,