The maximum spectral radius of wheel-free graphs

Yanhua Zhao, Xueyi Huang^{*} and Huiqiu Lin

Department of Mathematics, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai 200237, P.R. China

Abstract A wheel graph is a graph formed by connecting a single vertex to all vertices of a cycle. A graph is called wheel-free if it does not contain any wheel graph as a subgraph. In 2010, Nikiforov proposed a Brualdi-Solheid-Turán type problem: what is the maximum spectral radius of a graph of order n that does not contain subgraphs of particular kind. In this paper, we study the Brualdi-Solheid-Turán type problem for wheel-free graphs, and we determine the maximum (signless Laplacian) spectral radius of a wheel-free graph of order n. Furthermore, we characterize the extremal graphs.

Keywords: Wheel-free graph; Spectral radius; Extremal graph; Quotient matrix.

AMS Classification: 05C50

1 Introduction

Let G be an undirected simple graph with vertex set V(G) and edge set E(G)(denote by e(G) = |E(G)|). For any $v \in V(G)$, let $N_k(v)$ denote the set of vertices at distance k from v in G. In particular, the vertex subset $N(v) = N_1(v)$ is called the *neighborhood* of v, and $d_v = |N(v)|$ is called the *degree* of v. The *adjacency matrix* of G is defined as $A(G) = (a_{u,v})_{u,v \in V(G)}$, where $a_{u,v} = 1$ if $uv \in E(G)$, and $a_{u,v} = 0$ otherwise. Let $D(G) = \text{diag}(d_v : v \in V(G))$ denote the diagonal matrix of vertex degrees of G. Then Q(G) = D(G) + A(G) is called the *signless Laplacian matrix* of G. The (adjacency) spectral radius $\rho_A(G)$ and the *signless Laplacian spectral radius* $\rho_Q(G)$ of G are the largest eigenvalues of A(G) and Q(G), respectively. In addition, for any $n \times n$ matrix M with only real eigenvalues, we always arrange its eigenvalues in a non-increasing order: $\lambda_1(M) \geq \lambda_2(M) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n(M)$.

^{*}Corresponding author.

Email address: yhua030@163.com (Y. Zhao), huangxymath@163.com (X. Huang), huiqi-ulin@126.com (H. Lin).

For any $S, T \subseteq V(G)$ with $S \cap T = \emptyset$, let E(S, T) denote the set of edges between S and T in G (denote by e(S, T) = |E(S, T)|), and let G[S] denote the subgraph of G induced by S. For any $e \in E(G)$, let G - e denote the graph obtained by deleting e from G. Given two graphs G and H, let $G \nabla H$ denote the graph obtained from the disjoint union $G \cup H$ by adding all edges between G and H. For any nonnegative integer k, let kG denote the disjoint union of k copies of G. As usual, we denote by K_n , P_n , C_n and $W_n = K_1 \nabla C_{n-1}$ the complete graph, the path, the cycle and the wheel graph on n vertices, respectively. Also, we denote by B_k the book graph with k-pages, F_k the graph on 2k + 1 vertices consisting of k triangles which intersect in exactly one common vertex, and $K_{s,t}$ the complete bipartite graph with two parts of size s and t.

Let \mathcal{H} be a family of graphs. A graph G is called \mathcal{H} -free if it does not contain any graph of \mathcal{H} as a subgraph. The *Turán number* of \mathcal{H} , denoted by $ex(n, \mathcal{H})$, is the maximum number of edges in an \mathcal{H} -free graph of order n. Let $Ex(n, \mathcal{H})$ denote the set of \mathcal{H} -free graphs of order n with $ex(n, \mathcal{H})$ edges. To determine $ex(n, \mathcal{H})$ and characterize the graphs in $Ex(n, \mathcal{H})$ for various kinds of \mathcal{H} is a basic problem in extremal graph theory (see [6, 17, 19] for surveys). In particular, for $\mathcal{H} = \{W_{2k}\}$, the Simonovits's theorem (see [20, Theorem 1, p. 285]) implies that $ex(n, \{W_{2k}\}) =$ $ex(n, \{K_4\}) = \lfloor \frac{n^2}{3} \rfloor$ and $Ex(n, \{W_{2k}\}) = \{T_{n,3}\}$ for sufficiently large n, where $T_{n,3}$ is the complete 3-partite graph of order n with part sizes as equal as possible. In 2013, Dzido [7] improved this result to $n \ge 6k - 10$ for $k \ge 3$. For $\mathcal{H} = \{W_{2k+1}\}$, Dzido and Jastrzębski [8] proved that $ex(n, \{W_5\}) = \lfloor \frac{n^2}{4} + \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, $ex(n, \{W_7\}) = \lfloor \frac{n^2}{4} + \frac{n}{2} + 1 \rfloor$, and $ex(n, \{W_{2k+1}\}) \ge \lfloor \frac{n^2}{4} + \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ for all values of n and k. Very recently, Yuan [24] established that $ex(n, \{W_{2k+1}\}) = \max\{n_0n_1 + \lfloor \frac{(k-1)n_0}{2} \rfloor + 2 : n_0 + n_1 = n\}$ for $k \ge 3$ and sufficiently large n.

In spectral graph theory, the well-known Brualdi-Solheid problem (see [3]) asks for the maximum spectral radius of a graph belonging to a specified class of graphs and the characterization of the extremal graphs. Up to now, this problem has been studied for many classes of graphs, and readers are referred to [22] for systematic results. As the blending of the Brualdi-Solheid problem and the general Turán type problem, Nikiforov [15] proposed a Brualdi-Solheid-Turán type problem:

Problem 1. What is the maximum spectral radius of an \mathcal{H} -free graph of order n?

In the past few decades, much attention has been paid to Problem 1 for various families of graphs \mathcal{H} such as $\mathcal{H} = \{K_s\}$ [13,23], $\{K_{s,t}\}$ [1,13,16], $\{B_{k+1}, K_{2,l+1}\}$ [18], $\{F_k\}$ [5] $\{P_s\}$ [15], $\{C_{2k+1}\}$ [14], $\{C_3, C_4\}$ [12], $\{C_4\}$ [13,26], $\{C_5, C_6\}$ [25], $\{W_5, C_6\}$ [27], $\{C_6\}$ [28], $\{\bigcup_{i=1}^k P_{s_i}\}$ [4], $\{C_l : l \ge 2k+1\}$ and $\{C_l : l \ge 2k+2\}$ [10]. For more results on extremal spectral graph theory, we refer the reader to [17].

Motived by the general Turán type problem, it is natural to consider the Brualdi-Solheid-Turán type problem for $\{W_\ell\}$ -free graphs, where l is any fixed integer. However, it seems difficult to determine the maximum spectral radius of a $\{W_\ell\}$ -free graph of order n. In this paper, we consider a closely related problem:



Figure 1: The graph F.

Problem 2. What is the maximal spectral radius of a wheel-free (i.e., $\{W_{\ell} : \ell \geq 4\}$ -free) graph of order n?

Let H_n be defined as

$$H_{n} = \begin{cases} \frac{n-1}{4} K_{2} \nabla \frac{n+1}{2} K_{1} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \mod 4, \\ \frac{n+1}{4} K_{2} \nabla \frac{n-1}{2} K_{1} & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \mod 4, \\ \frac{n}{4} K_{2} \nabla \frac{n}{2} K_{1} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \mod 4, \\ (\frac{n-2}{4} K_{2} \cup K_{1}) \nabla \frac{n}{2} K_{1} & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \mod 4, \end{cases}$$
(1)

and let F be the complement of C_7 shown in Figure 1. Notice that both H_n and F are wheel-free. As an answer to Problem 2, we prove that

Theorem 1. Let G be a wheel-free graph of order $n \ge 4$. Then

 $\rho_A(G) \le \rho_A(H_n),$

with equality holding if and only if $G = H_n$ for $n \neq 7$ and $G = H_7$ or F for n = 7.

Furthermore, we consider the same problem for the signless Laplacian spectral radius of wheel-free graphs. Surprisingly, the following result shows that the extremal graphs are not the same as that of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. Let G be a wheel-free graph of order $n \ge 4$. Then

$$\rho_Q(G) \le \rho_Q(K_2 \nabla (n-2)K_1) = \frac{n+2+\sqrt{(n+2)^2-16}}{2},$$

with equality holding if and only if $G = K_2 \nabla(n-2) K_1$.

2 Some lemmas

Let M be a real symmetric matrix of order n, and let $[n] = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Given a partition $\Pi : [n] = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup \cdots \cup X_k$, the matrix M can be written as

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} M_{1,1} & M_{1,2} & \cdots & M_{1,k} \\ M_{2,1} & M_{2,2} & \cdots & M_{2,k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ M_{k,1} & M_{k,2} & \cdots & M_{k,k} \end{bmatrix}$$

If $M_{i,j}$ has constant row sum $b_{i,j}$ for all $i, j \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$, then Π is called an *equitable partition* of M, and the matrix $B_{\Pi} = (b_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^{k}$ is called an *equitable quotient* matrix of M.

Lemma 3. (Brouwer and Haemers [2, p. 30]; Godsil and Royle [11, pp. 196–198].) Let M be a real symmetric matrix, and let B_{Π} be an equitable quotient matrix of M. Then the eigenvalues of B_{Π} are also eigenvalues of M. Furthermore, if M is nonnegative and irreducible, then

$$\lambda_1(M) = \lambda_1(B_{\Pi}).$$

The following result is straightforward, and one can find a short proof in [9].

Lemma 4. Let M be a real symmetric matrix with row sums R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_n . Let $\lambda(M)$ be an eigenvalue of M with an eigenvector x all of whose entries are nonnegative. Then

$$\min_{1 \le i \le n} R_i \le \lambda(M) \le \max_{1 \le i \le n} R_i.$$

Moreover, if all entries of x are positive, then either of the equalities holds if and only if the row sums of M are all equal.

Lemma 5. Let H_n be the graph defined in (1) with $n \ge 4$. Let λ_1 denote the largest root of $x^3 - x^2 - \frac{n^2}{4}x + \frac{n}{2} = 0$. Then

$$\rho_A(H_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \mod 4, \\ \frac{n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \mod 4, \\ \frac{\sqrt{n^2+1}+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \mod 4, \\ \lambda_1 > \frac{\sqrt{n^2-3}+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \mod 4. \end{cases}$$

Proof. If $n \equiv 1 \mod 4$, we see that $A(H_n)$ has the equitable quotient matrix

$$B_{\Pi} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{n+1}{2} \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, by Lemma 3, we have $\rho_A(H_n) = \lambda_1(B_{\Pi}) = \frac{n+1}{2}$. Similarly, for $n \equiv 3 \mod 4$ and $n \equiv 0 \mod 4$, we have $\rho_A(H_n) = \frac{n+1}{2}$ and $\rho_A(H_n) = \frac{\sqrt{n^2+1}+1}{2}$, respectively. For $n \equiv 2 \mod 4$, observe that $A(H_n)$ has the equitable quotient matrix

$$B_{\Pi} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \frac{n}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{n}{2} \\ \frac{n}{2} - 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

By a simple calculation, the characteristic polynomial of B_{Π} is equal to

$$\varphi(B_{\Pi}, x) = x^3 - x^2 - \frac{n^2}{4}x + \frac{n}{2}.$$

Since $\varphi(B_{\Pi}, \frac{\sqrt{n^2-3}+1}{2}) = \frac{n-\sqrt{n^2-3}-1}{2} < 0$ due to $n \ge 4$, we have $\lambda_1(B_{\Pi}) > \frac{\sqrt{n^2-3}+1}{2}$, which gives that $\rho_A(H_n) = \lambda_1(B_{\Pi}) > \frac{\sqrt{n^2-3}+1}{2}$ again by Lemma 3.

$$\rho_Q(K_2\nabla(n-2)K_1) = \frac{n+2+\sqrt{(n+2)^2-16}}{2}$$

Proof. Notice that $Q(K_2\nabla(n-2)K_1)$ has the equitable quotient matrix

$$B_{\Pi} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} n & n-2\\ 2 & 2 \end{array}\right).$$

Then, by Lemma 3, we have

$$\rho_Q(K_2\nabla(n-2)K_1) = \lambda_1(B_{\Pi}) = \frac{n+2+\sqrt{(n+2)^2-16}}{2}$$

as required.

3 Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2

For a wheel-free graph G, the following two facts are obvious.

Fact 1. For any $v \in V(G)$, G[N(v)] is a forest.

Fact 2. For any two distinct $u, v \in V(G)$, $G[N(u) \cap N(v)]$ is P_3 -free. Furthermore, if $uv \in E(G)$ then $G[N(u) \cap N(v)]$ is K_2 -free.

First we shall give the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Notice that both H_n and F are wheel-free. By using Sagemath v9.1¹ [21], we find that, for $n \leq 10$ and $n \neq 7$, H_n is the unique graph attaining the maximum spectral radius among all wheel-free graphs of order n, and for n = 7, there is another extremal graph F (see Figure 1), which satisfies $\rho_A(F) = \rho_A(H_7) = 4$. From now on, we take $n \geq 11$ and assume that G is a wheel-free graph of order n with maximum spectral radius. We assert that G is connected. If not, suppose that G_1, \ldots, G_{ω} are the components of G. Then we can add $\omega - 1$ edges to G so that the obtained graph G^* is connected and wheel-free. By using the Rayleigh quotient and the Perron-Frobenius theorem, we can deduce that $\rho_A(G^*) > \rho_A(G)$, contrary to the assumption.

For any $v \in V(G)$, we denote by ω_v the number of components in G[N(v)], $\overline{d}_v = |N_2(v)|$ the number of vertices at distance 2 from v, and R_v the row sum of $A(G)^2$ corresponding to v. Notice that R_v is exactly the number of walks of length 2 originating at v. Thus

$$R_v = d_v + 2e(G[N(v)]) + e(N(v), N_2(v))$$
(2)

for any $v \in V(G)$. Take $u \in V(G)$ such that $R_u = \max_{v \in V(G)} R_v$. We have the following three claims.

¹The code was uploaded to https://github.com/XueyiHuang/Wheel-free-Graph.git.

Claim 1. $R_u \ge \frac{(n+1)^2 - 1}{4}$.

Proof. By assumption, we find that $\rho_A(G) \ge \rho_A(H_n)$. If $n \not\equiv 2 \mod 4$, from the Perron-Frobenius theorem, Lemma 4 and Lemma 5 we immediately deduce that

$$R_u \ge \rho_A^2(G) \ge \rho_A^2(H_n) \ge \frac{(n+1)^2}{4}.$$

Similarly, for $n \equiv 2 \mod 4$, i.e., n = 4k + 2 with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$R_u > \frac{(\sqrt{n^2 - 3} + 1)^2}{4} > \frac{(n+1)^2}{4} - 1 = 4k^2 + 6k + \frac{5}{4}$$

Since R_u is an integer, we conclude that

$$R_u \ge 4k^2 + 6k + 2 = \frac{(n+1)^2 - 1}{4}$$

This proves Claim 1.

Claim 2.
$$\overline{d}_u = n - 1 - d_u$$
, or equivalently, $V(G) = \{u\} \cup N(u) \cup N_2(u)$.

Proof. Notice that $e(G[N(u)]) = d_u - \omega_u$ by Fact 1. According to (2), we have

$$R_u \le d_u + 2(d_u - \omega_u) + d_u \bar{d}_u \le d_u(\bar{d}_u + 3) - 2 \le \frac{(d_u + \bar{d}_u + 3)^2}{4} - 2.$$

Combining this with Claim 1, we get

$$(n+1)^2 < (d_u + \bar{d}_u + 3)^2 \le (n+2)^2,$$

which implies that $d_u + \bar{d}_u = n - 1$ because $d_u + \bar{d}_u$ is an integer.

Claim 3. Let p_u be the number of vertex-disjoint copies of P_3 in G[N(u)]. We have $p_u \leq 1$.

Proof. By Fact 2, each vertex (if any) of $N_2(u)$ is adjacent to at most two vertices of any P_3 of G[N(u)]. Thus, if $p_u \ge 2$, we have

$$R_u \le d_u + 2(d_u - \omega_u) + (d_u - p_u)\bar{d}_u$$

$$\le d_u + 2(d_u - 1) + (d_u - 2)\bar{d}_u$$

$$= -d_u^2 + (n+4)d_u - 2n$$

by (2) and Claim 2. Combining the above inequality with Claim 1 yields that

$$d_u^2 - (n+4)d_u + 2n + \frac{(n+1)^2 - 1}{4} \le 0,$$

which is impossible because $\Delta = (n+4)^2 - 4(2n + \frac{(n+1)^2-1}{4}) = 16 - 2n < 0$. Thus we must have $p_u \leq 1$.



Figure 2: The graph G(a, b).

By Claim 3, it suffices to consider the following two cases.

Case 1. $p_u = 1$.

In this case, we see that G has the following six properties:

- (P1) $d_u \in \{\frac{n+1}{2}, \frac{n+3}{2}, \frac{n+5}{2}\}$ when *n* is odd, and $d_u \in \{\frac{n+2}{2}, \frac{n+4}{2}\}$ when *n* is even;
- (P2) G[N(u)] = G(a, b) (see Figure 2), where $a, b \ge 0$ and $a + 2b + 1 = d_u \ge 6$;
- (P3) $G[N_2(u)] = cK_2 \cup dK_1$, where $c, d \ge 0$ and $2c + d = \bar{d}_u$;
- (P4) $e(N(u), N_2(u)) = d_u \bar{d}_u \bar{d}_u$ or $d_u \bar{d}_u \bar{d}_u 1;$
- (P5) for any $v \in N_2(u)$ and $P_3 \subseteq G[N(u)]$, we have $|N(v) \cap V(P_3)| = 1$ or 2, and for any fixed $P_3 \subseteq G[N(u)]$, there is at most one $v \in N_2(u)$ such that $|N(v) \cap V(P_3)| = 1$;
- (P6) each vertex of $N_2(u)$ is not adjacent to u_0 , where u_0 is the central vertex of G[N(u)] = G(a, b) shown in Figure 2.

For (P1), by Fact 1, Fact 2 and Claim 2, we get

$$R_u = d_u + 2e(G[N(u)]) + e(N(u), N_2(u))$$

$$\leq d_u + 2(d_u - 1) + d_u \bar{d}_u - \bar{d}_u$$

$$= -d_u^2 + (n+3)d_u - n - 1,$$

Combining this with Claim 1, we obtain $\frac{n+3-\sqrt{5}}{2} \leq d_u \leq \frac{n+3+\sqrt{5}}{2}$. Considering that d_u is an integer, we may conclude that $d_u \in \{\frac{n+1}{2}, \frac{n+3}{2}, \frac{n+5}{2}\}$ when n is odd, and $d_u \in \{\frac{n+2}{2}, \frac{n+4}{2}\}$ when n is even. For (P2), if G[N(u)] is disconnected, i.e., $\omega_u \geq 2$, we have

$$R_u = d_u + 2(d_u - \omega_u) + e(N(u), N_2(u))$$

$$\leq d_u + 2(d_u - 2) + d_u \bar{d}_u - \bar{d}_u$$

$$= -d_u^2 + (n+3)d_u - n - 3.$$

Combining this with Claim 1 yields that

$$d_u^2 - (n+3)d_u + n + 3 + \frac{(n+1)^2 - 1}{4} \le 0,$$

which is impossible because $\Delta = (n+3)^2 - 4(n+3+\frac{(n+1)^2-1}{4}) = -3 < 0$. Thus G[N(u)] is a tree by Fact 1. Furthermore, since G[N(u)] has exactly one vertexdisjoint copy of P_3 , we immediately deduce that G[N(u)] = G(a,b) (see Figure 2), where a, b are nonnegative integers such that $a + 2b + 1 = d_u$. Notice that $d_u \ge 6$ follows from (P1) and $n \ge 11$. For (P3), it suffices to prove that $G[N_2(u)]$ is P_3 -free. By contradiction, assume that there exists some copy of P_3 (say $v_1v_2v_3$) in $G[N_2(u)]$. As $p_u = 1$, we also can take a copy of P_3 (say $u_1u_2u_3$) in G[N(u)]. By Fact 2, each vertex of $N_2(u)$ is adjacent to at most two vertices of $\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$, and there is at most one $w \in N(u) \setminus \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ such that $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\} \subseteq N(w)$. Thus we have $e(N(u), N_2(u)) \le d_u \bar{d}_u - \bar{d}_u - (d_u - 4)$, and

$$R_u \le d_u + 2(d_u - 1) + d_u \bar{d}_u - \bar{d}_u - (d_u - 4) = -d_u^2 + (n+2)d_u - n + 3d_u - 3$$

by Fact 1 and Claim 2. Combining this with Claim 1 yields that

$$d_u^2 - (n+2)d_u + n - 3 + \frac{(n+1)^2 - 1}{4} \le 0,$$

which is impossible because $\Delta = (n+2)^2 - 4(n-3 + \frac{(n+1)^2-1}{4}) = -2n + 16 < 0$. This proves (P3). For (P4), if $e(N(u), N_2(u)) \leq d_u \bar{d}_u - \bar{d}_u - 2$, as in (P2), we also can deduce a contradiction. Thus the result follows because we have known that $e(N(u), N_2(u)) \leq d_u \bar{d}_u - \bar{d}_u$ by Fact 2. For (P5), it is clear that $|N(v) \cap V(P_3)| \leq 2$ by Fact 2. Also, if $|N(v) \cap V(P_3)| = 0$ or there are two vertices $v_1, v_2 \in V(N_2(u))$ such that $|N(v_1) \cap V(P_3)| = |N(v_2) \cap V(P_3)| = 1$, then $e(N(u), N_2(u)) \leq d_u \bar{d}_u - \bar{d}_u - 2$, which contradicts (P4). For (P6), suppose to the contrary that there exists some $v \in N_2(u)$ such that $u_0 \in N(v)$. If a = 0, then $b = \frac{d_u-1}{2}$, and we have $|N(v) \cap N(u)| \leq b + 1 = \frac{d_u+1}{2}$ by Fact 2. Hence,

$$e(N(u), N_2(u)) \le d_u \bar{d}_u - (\bar{d}_u - 1) - (d_u - |N(v) \cap N(u)|)$$

$$\le d_u \bar{d}_u - \bar{d}_u - \frac{1}{2}(d_u - 3)$$

$$< d_u \bar{d}_u - \bar{d}_u - 1,$$

contrary to (P4). Similarly, if $a \ge 1$, then $|N(v) \cap N(u)| \le b + 2 \le \frac{d_u}{2} + 1$ and

$$e(N(u), N_2(u)) \le d_u \bar{d}_u - (\bar{d}_u - 1) - (d_u - |N(v) \cap N(u)|) \le d_u \bar{d}_u - \bar{d}_u - \frac{d_u}{2} + 2.$$

Thus we have

$$R_u \le d_u + 2(d_u - 1) + d_u \bar{d}_u - \bar{d}_u - \frac{d_u}{2} + 2 = -d_u^2 + \frac{2n+5}{2}d_u - n + 1.$$



Figure 3: The graph G(a, b, c, d), where the thickest line represents the connection of all edges between $N(u) \setminus \{u_0\}$ and $N_2(u)$.

Combining this with Claim 1, we obtain

$$d_u^2 - \frac{2n+5}{2}d_u + n - 1 + \frac{(n+1)^2 - 1}{4} \le 0,$$

which is impossible because $\Delta = (\frac{2n+5}{2})^2 - 4(n-1+\frac{(n+1)^2-1}{4}) = \frac{41}{4} - n < 0$ due to $n \ge 11$. This proves (P6).

According to (P2)–(P6), we see that G must be of the form G(a, b, c, d) or G(a, b, c, d) - e, where G(a, b, c, d) is shown in Figure 3, and e is some edge between N(u) and $N_2(u)$ in G(a, b, c, d). Notice that $\rho_A(G(a, b, c, d)) > \rho_A(G(a, b, c, d) - e)$. We consider the following three situations.

Subcase 1.1. b = 0.

In this situation, we have $G = G(d_u - 1, 0, c, d)$ or $G(d_u - 1, 0, c, d) - e$, which are both wheel-free. Thus we conclude that $G = G(d_u - 1, 0, c, d)$ by considering the fact that G has the maximum spectral radius among all wheel-free graphs of order n. For the same reason, we assert that $G = G(d_u - 1, 0, \lfloor \frac{\bar{d}_u}{2} \rfloor, \bar{d}_u - 2\lfloor \frac{\bar{d}_u}{2} \rfloor) =$ $(\lfloor \frac{\bar{d}_u + 2}{2} \rfloor K_2 \cup (\bar{d}_u - 2\lfloor \frac{\bar{d}_u}{2} \rfloor) K_1) \nabla (d_u - 1) K_1$. Recall that $\bar{d}_u = n - 1 - d_u$. Then, by (P1), we can determine all possible forms of G, which are listed in Table 1.

For $n \equiv 1 \mod 4$, we shall prove that

$$\begin{cases} \rho_A\left(\left(\frac{n-1}{4}K_2 \cup K_1\right)\nabla\frac{n-1}{2}K_1\right) < \rho_A(H_n),\\ \rho_A\left(\left(\frac{n-5}{4}K_2 \cup K_1\right)\nabla\frac{n+3}{2}K_1\right) < \rho_A(H_n). \end{cases}$$
(3)

$n \mod 4$	d_u	\bar{d}_u	G
1	$\frac{n+1}{2}$	$\frac{n-3}{2}$	$\left(\frac{n-1}{4}K_2 \cup K_1\right) \nabla \frac{n-1}{2}K_1$
1	$\frac{n+3}{2}$	$\frac{n-5}{2}$	$\frac{n-1}{4}K_2\nabla\frac{n+1}{2}K_1 = H_n$
1	$\frac{n+5}{2}$	$\frac{n-7}{2}$	$(\frac{n-5}{4}K_2\cup K_1)\nabla \frac{n+3}{2}K_1$
3	$\frac{n+1}{2}$	$\frac{n-3}{2}$	$\frac{n+1}{4}K_2\nabla\frac{n-1}{2}K_1 = H_n$
3	$\frac{n+3}{2}$	$\frac{n-5}{2}$	$(\frac{n-3}{4}K_2 \cup \tilde{K}_1)\nabla \frac{n+1}{2}K_1$
3	$\frac{n+5}{2}$	$\frac{n-7}{2}$	$\frac{n-3}{4}K_2 abla \frac{n+3}{2}K_1$
0	$\frac{n+2}{2}$	$\frac{n-4}{2}$	$\frac{n}{4}K_2\nabla \frac{n}{2}K_1 = H_n$
0	$\frac{n+4}{2}$	$\frac{n-6}{2}$	$(\frac{n-4}{4}K_2\cup K_1)\nabla \frac{n+2}{2}K_1$
2	$\frac{n+2}{2}$	$\frac{n-4}{2}$	$\left(\frac{n-2}{4}K_2 \cup K_1\right)\nabla \frac{n}{2}K_1 = H_n$
2	$\frac{n+4}{2}$	$\frac{n-6}{2}$	$\frac{n-2}{4}K_2\nabla\frac{n+2}{2}K_1$

Table 1: All possible forms of $G = (\lfloor \frac{\bar{d}_u + 2}{2} \rfloor K_2 \cup (\bar{d}_u - 2 \lfloor \frac{\bar{d}_u}{2} \rfloor) K_1) \nabla (d_u - 1) K_1.$

Observe that $A((\frac{n-1}{4}K_2 \cup K_1)\nabla \frac{n-1}{2}K_1)$ has the equitable quotient matrix

$$B_{\Pi} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \frac{n-1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{n-1}{2} \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

,

of which the characteristic polynomial is equal to

$$\varphi(B_{\Pi}, x) = x^3 - x^2 - \frac{n^2 - 1}{4}x + \frac{n - 1}{2}.$$

As $\varphi(B_{\Pi}, \frac{n+1}{2}) = \frac{n-1}{2} > 0$, we have $\lambda_1(B_{\Pi}) < \frac{n+1}{2}$ or $\lambda_2(B_{\Pi}) > \frac{n+1}{2}$. We claim that the later case cannot occur, since otherwise we have $\lambda_3(B_{\Pi}) < -n$ by considering the trace of B_{Π} , which is impossible because $\varphi(B_{\Pi}, -n) = -\frac{3}{4}n^3 - n^2 + \frac{1}{4}n - \frac{1}{2} < 0$. It follows that $\rho_A((\frac{n-1}{4}K_2 \cup K_1)\nabla \frac{n-1}{2}K_1) = \lambda_1(B_{\Pi}) < \frac{n+1}{2} = \rho_A(H_n)$ by Lemma 5. Similarly, one can verify that $\rho_A((\frac{n-5}{4}K_2 \cup K_1)\nabla \frac{n+3}{2}K_1) < \rho_A(H_n)$. Thus (3) holds, and $G = H_n$ by the maximality of $\rho_A(G)$. For $n \neq 1 \mod 4$, by using a similar method, we find that H_n always has the maximum spectral radius. Therefore, we conclude that $G = H_n$ in this situation.

Subcase 1.2. b = 1.

In this situation, we have $G = G(d_u - 3, 1, c, d)$ or $G(d_u - 3, 1, c, d) - e$, where e is some edge between N(u) and $N_2(u)$ in $G(d_u - 3, 1, c, d)$. Because G is wheel-free, we must have $c \leq 1$.

If c = 0, since both $G(d_u - 3, 1, c, d)$ and $G(d_u - 3, 1, c, d) - e$ are wheel-free, we conclude that $G = G(d_u - 3, 1, 0, \overline{d_u}) = G(d_u - 3, 1, 0, n - 1 - d_u)$ by the maximality

of $\rho_A(G)$. Observe that A(G) has the equitable quotient matrix

$$B_{\Pi} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & d_u - 3 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & d_u - 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & n - 1 - d_u \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & n - 1 - d_u \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & n - 1 - d_u \\ 0 & 0 & d_u - 3 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \{u\} \\ \{u_0\} \\ \{u_1, \dots, u_{d_u - 3}\} \\ \{v_1\} \\ \{v_1\} \\ \{z_1, \dots, z_{n-1-d_u}\} \end{bmatrix}$$

By a simple computation, the characteristic polynomial of B_{Π} is equal to

$$\varphi(B_{\Pi}, x, d_u) = x^6 + (d_u^2 - (n+2)d_u + n)x^4 + (4-2n)x^3 - (3d_u^2 - (3n+6)d_u + 6n + 3)x^2 + (2n-8)x + d_u^2 - (n+2)d_u + 3n - 3.$$

Notice that

$$\varphi\Big(B_{\Pi}, \frac{2n+1}{4}, d_u\Big) = \alpha(n) \cdot d_u^2 + \beta(n) \cdot d_u + \gamma(n),$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \alpha(n) = \frac{1}{16}n^4 + \frac{1}{8}n^3 - \frac{21}{32}n^2 - \frac{21}{32}n + \frac{209}{256}, \\ \beta(n) = -\frac{1}{16}n^5 - \frac{1}{4}n^4 + \frac{13}{32}n^3 + \frac{65}{32}n^2 + \frac{159}{256}n - \frac{209}{128}, \\ \gamma(n) = \frac{1}{64}n^6 + \frac{7}{64}n^5 - \frac{17}{256}n^4 - \frac{159}{128}n^3 - \frac{657}{1024}n^2 - \frac{1305}{1024}n - \frac{20991}{4096} \end{cases}$$

Since $\alpha(n) > 0$ due to $n \ge 11$, and $-\beta(n)/(2\alpha(n)) = \frac{n+2}{2}$, we obtain

$$\varphi\left(B_{\Pi}, \frac{2n+1}{4}, d_{u}\right) \geq \varphi\left(B_{\Pi}, \frac{2n+1}{4}, \frac{n+2}{2}\right)$$

= $\frac{1}{64}n^{5} - \frac{23}{256}n^{4} - \frac{17}{32}n^{3} + \frac{271}{512}n^{2} - \frac{1405}{1024}n - \frac{24335}{4096}$
> 0,

where the last inequality follows from $n \geq 11$. Thus we have $\lambda_1(B_{\Pi}) < \frac{2n+1}{4}$ or $\lambda_2(B_{\Pi}) > \frac{2n+1}{4}$. We shall prove that the later case cannot occur. Let $D = \text{diag}(1, 1, d_u - 3, 1, 1, n - 1 - d_u)$. Then

$$\tilde{B}_{\Pi} = D^{\frac{1}{2}} B_{\Pi} D^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \sqrt{d_u - 3} & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \sqrt{d_u - 3} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{d_u - 3} & \sqrt{d_u - 3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{(d_u - 3)(n - 1 - d_u)} \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \sqrt{n - 1 - d_u} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \sqrt{n - 1 - d_u} \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{(d_u - 3)(n - 1 - d_u)} & \sqrt{n - 1 - d_u} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is symmetric, and has the same eigenvalues as B_{Π} . Let \tilde{B}'_{Π} be the matrix obtained by deleting the third row and column from \tilde{B}_{Π} . By the Cauchy interlacing theorem and Lemma 4, we have

$$\lambda_2(B_{\Pi}) = \lambda_2(\tilde{B}_{\Pi}) \le \lambda_1(\tilde{B}'_{\Pi})$$
$$\le \max\left\{\sqrt{n-1-d_u} + 3, 2\sqrt{n-1-d_u}\right\}$$
$$\le \frac{2n+1}{4}$$

because $d_u \in \{\frac{n+1}{2}, \frac{n+2}{2}, \frac{n+3}{2}, \frac{n+4}{2}, \frac{n+5}{2}\}$ by (P1) and $n \ge 11$, as required. Therefore, we conclude that $\rho_A(G) = \lambda_1(B_{\Pi}) < \frac{2n+1}{4} < \frac{\sqrt{n^2-3}+1}{2} < \rho_A(H_n)$ by Lemma 5, contrary to our assumption.

If c = 1, since G is wheel-free, we must have $G = G(d_u - 3, 1, 1, \overline{d_u} - 2) - e = G(d_u - 3, 1, 1, n - 3 - d_u) - e$, where e is an edge between $\{v_1, w_1\}$ and $\{x_1, y_1\}$ in $G(d_u - 3, 1, 1, n - 3 - d_u)$. By symmetry, we may assume that $e = v_1 x_1$ or $w_1 y_1$. If $e = v_1 x_1$, we see that A(G) has the equitable quotient matrix

$$B_{\Pi} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & d_u - 3 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & d_u - 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & n - 3 - d_u \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & n - 3 - d_u \\ 0 & 0 & d_u - 3 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \{u, y_1\} \\ \{u_0, x_1\} \\ \{u_1, \dots, u_{d_u - 3}\} \\ \{v_1, w_1\} \\ \{z_1, \dots, z_{n - 3 - d_u}\} \end{bmatrix}$$

As above, we can deduce that $\lambda_1(B_{\Pi}) < \frac{2n+1}{4}$ or $\lambda_2(B_{\Pi}) > \frac{2n+1}{4}$. Again, we claim that the later case cannot occur. In fact, if n = 11, we can directly verify the result because we have known that $d_u \in \{6, 7, 8\}$ by (P1). For $n \ge 12$, let

$$\tilde{B}_{\Pi} = D^{\frac{1}{2}} B_{\Pi} D^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \sqrt{2(d_u - 3)} & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \sqrt{2(d_u - 3)} & 1 & 0 \\ \sqrt{2(d_u - 3)} & \sqrt{2(d_u - 3)} & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{(d_u - 3)(n - 3 - d_u)} \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \sqrt{2(n - 3 - d_u)} \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{(d_u - 3)(n - 3 - d_u)} & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $D = \text{diag}(2, 2, d_u - 3, 2, n - 3 - d_u)$, and let \tilde{B}'_{Π} denote the matrix obtained by deleting the third row and column from \tilde{B}_{Π} . Then we have

$$\lambda_2(B_{\Pi}) = \lambda_2(B_{\Pi}) \le \lambda_1(B'_{\Pi})$$
$$\le \sqrt{2(n-3-d_u)} + 4$$
$$\le \frac{2n+1}{4}$$

because $d_u \in \{\frac{n+1}{2}, \frac{n+2}{2}, \frac{n+3}{2}, \frac{n+4}{2}, \frac{n+5}{2}\}$ and $n \ge 12$, as required. Thus $\rho_A(G) = \lambda_1(B_{\Pi}) < \frac{2n+1}{4} < \frac{\sqrt{n^2-3}+1}{2} < \rho_A(H_n)$ by Lemma 5, a contradiction. If $e = w_1y_1$,

then A(G) has the equitable quotient matrix

$$B_{\Pi} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & d_u - 3 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & d_u - 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & n - 3 - d_u \\ 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & n - 3 - d_u \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & n - 3 - d_u \\ 0 & 0 & d_u - 3 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \{u, x_1\} \\ \{u_0, y_1\} \\ \{u_1, \dots, u_{d_u - 3}\} \\ \{v_1\} \\ \{v_1\} \\ \{z_1, \dots, z_{n-3-d_u}\} \end{bmatrix}$$

By using a similar analysis as above, we can deduce that $\lambda_1(B_{\Pi}) < \frac{2n+1}{4}$, and so $\rho_A(G) = \lambda_1(B_{\Pi}) < \frac{\sqrt{n^2-3}+1}{2} < \rho_A(H_n)$, contrary to the assumption.

Subcase 1.3.
$$2 \le b \le \frac{d_u - 1}{2}$$
.

In this situation, we have $G = G(d_u - 2b - 1, b, c, d)$ or $G(d_u - 2b - 1, b, c, d) - e$, where e is some edge between N(u) and $N_2(u)$ in $G(d_u - 2b - 1, b, c, d)$. Since G is wheel-free, we must have c = 0. Notice that both $G = G(d_u - 2b - 1, b, 0, d)$ and $G(d_u - 2b - 1, b, 0, d) - e$ are wheel-free. Thus we conclude that $G = G(d_u - 2b - 1, b, 0, d)$ $1, b, 0, d) = G(d_u - 2b - 1, b, 0, \bar{d}_u) = G(d_u - 2b - 1, b, 0, n - 1 - d_u).$

If $b \neq \frac{d_u-1}{2}$, then $b \leq \frac{d_u-2}{2}$, and we see that A(G) has the equitable quotient matrix

$$B_{\Pi} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & d_u - 2b - 1 & b & b & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & d_u - 2b - 1 & b & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & n - 1 - d_u \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & n - 1 - d_u \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & n - 1 - d_u \\ 0 & 0 & d_u - 2b - 1 & b & b & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \{u\} \\ \{u_0\} \\ \{u_1, \dots, u_{d_u - 2b - 1}\} \\ \{v_1, \dots, v_b\} \\ \{w_1, \dots, w_b\} \\ \{z_1, \dots, z_{n-1-d_u}\} \end{bmatrix}$$

By a simple calculation, the characteristic polynomial of B_{Π} is

$$\varphi(B_{\Pi}, x, d_u, b) = x^6 + (d_u^2 - (n+2)d_u + n + b - 1)x^4 + ((2b-2)d_u + 2b + 2 - 2bn)x^3 - ((b+2)d_u^2 - (b^2 + (b+2)n + 3b + 2)d_u + (b+1)(b+2)n + 4b - 1)x^2 + ((2-2b)d_u + (2n-6)b - 2)x + d_u^2 - (n+2b)d_u + (2b+1)(n-1).$$

We shall prove that $\lambda_1(B_{\Pi}) < \frac{2n+1}{4}$. It is easy to see that $\varphi(B_{\Pi}, \frac{2n+1}{4}, d_u, b)$ can be expressed as

$$\varphi\Big(B_{\Pi}, \frac{2n+1}{4}, d_u, b\Big) = \alpha(d_u, n) \cdot b^2 + \beta(d_u, n) \cdot b + \gamma(d_u, n),$$

where $\alpha(d_u, n) = -\frac{1}{16}(2n+1)^2(n-d_u)$, $\beta(d_u, n)$ and $\gamma(d_u, n)$ are the functions of d_n and n. Since $\alpha(d_u, n) < 0$, we must have

$$\varphi\Big(B_{\Pi}, \frac{2n+1}{4}, d_u, b\Big) \ge \min\left\{\varphi\Big(B_{\Pi}, \frac{2n+1}{4}, d_u, 2\Big), \varphi\Big(B_{\Pi}, \frac{2n+1}{4}, d_u, \frac{d_u-2}{2}\Big)\right\}.$$

Recall that $d_u \in \{\frac{n+1}{2}, \frac{n+2}{2}, \frac{n+3}{2}, \frac{n+4}{2}, \frac{n+5}{2}\}$ by (P1). If $d_u = \frac{n+1}{2}$, by a simple computation, we obtain

$$\varphi\Big(B_{\Pi}, \frac{2n+1}{4}, d_u, 2\Big) = \frac{1}{64}n^5 - \frac{19}{256}n^4 - \frac{7}{8}n^3 + \frac{195}{512}n^2 - \frac{4101}{1024}n - \frac{43067}{4096} > 0$$

and

$$\varphi\Big(B_{\Pi}, \frac{2n+1}{4}, d_u, \frac{d_u-2}{2}\Big) = \frac{1}{128}n^5 - \frac{7}{256}n^4 - \frac{223}{512}n^3 - \frac{97}{256}n^2 + \frac{1005}{512}n - \frac{11361}{4096} > 0$$

by considering that $n \ge 11$. For other values of d_u , one can verify that the above two inequalities also hold. Thus $\varphi(B_{\Pi}, \frac{2n+1}{4}, d_u, b) > 0$, which leads to $\lambda_1(B_{\Pi}) < \frac{2n+1}{4}$ or $\lambda_2(B_{\Pi}) > \frac{2n+1}{4}$. Again, the later case cannot occur. Let

$$\tilde{B}_{\Pi} = D^{\frac{1}{2}} B_{\Pi} D^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \sqrt{d_u - 2b - 1} & \sqrt{b} & \sqrt{b} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \sqrt{d_u - 2b - 1} & \sqrt{b} & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{d_u - 2b - 1} & \sqrt{d_u - 2b - 1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{(d_u - 2b - 1)(n - 1 - d_u)} \\ \sqrt{b} & \sqrt{b} & 0 & 0 & 1 & \sqrt{b(n - 1 - d_u)} \\ \sqrt{b} & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \sqrt{b(n - 1 - d_u)} \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{(d_u - 2b - 1)(n - 1 - d_u)} & \sqrt{b(n - 1 - d_u)} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $D = \text{diag}(1, 1, d_u - 2b - 1, b, b, n - 1 - d_u)$, and let \tilde{B}'_{Π} be the matrix obtained by deleting the last row and column from \tilde{B}_{Π} . As above, we have

$$\lambda_2(B_{\Pi}) = \lambda_2(\tilde{B}_{\Pi}) \le \lambda_1(\tilde{B}'_{\Pi}) \le \max\left\{\sqrt{d_u - 2b - 1} + 2\sqrt{b} + 1, 2\sqrt{d_u - 2b - 1}\right\}.$$

Notice that

$$(\sqrt{d_u - 2b - 1} + 2\sqrt{b})^2 = d_u + 2b - 1 + 4\sqrt{(d_u - 2b - 1)b} \le 3d_u - 3$$

Combining this with $d_u \in \{\frac{n+1}{2}, \frac{n+2}{2}, \frac{n+3}{2}, \frac{n+4}{2}, \frac{n+5}{2}\}$ and $n \geq 11$, we can deduce that $\sqrt{d_u - 2b - 1} + 2\sqrt{b} + 1 \leq \frac{2n+1}{4}$. Also, one can verify that $2\sqrt{d_u - 2b - 1} \leq 2\sqrt{d_u - 5} \leq \frac{2n+1}{4}$. Thus $\lambda_2(B_{\Pi}) \leq \frac{2n+1}{4}$, as required. Therefore, we have $\rho_A(G) = \lambda_1(B_{\Pi}) < \frac{2n+1}{4} < \frac{\sqrt{n^2 - 3} + 1}{2} < \rho_A(H_n)$, which is impossible. If $b = \frac{d_u - 1}{2}$, then $G = G(0, \frac{d_u - 1}{2}, 0, n - 1 - d_u)$, and A(G) has the equitable

quotient matrix

$$B_{\Pi} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \frac{d_u - 1}{2} & \frac{d_u - 1}{2} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \frac{d_u - 1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & n - 1 - d_u \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & n - 1 - d_u \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{d_u - 1}{2} & \frac{d_u - 1}{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \{u\} \\ \{u_0\} \\ \{v_1, \dots, v_{\frac{d_u - 1}{2}}\} \\ \{w_1, \dots, w_{\frac{d_u - 1}{2}}\} \\ \{z_1, \dots, z_{n-1-d_u}\} \end{bmatrix}$$

By using a similar method, we also obtain $\rho_A(G) = \lambda_1(B_{\Pi}) < \frac{2n+1}{4} < \frac{\sqrt{n^2-3}+1}{2} < \frac{\sqrt{n^2-3}+1}{2}$ $\rho_A(H_n)$, contrary to the assumption.

$n \mod 4$	d_u	G
1	$\frac{n+1}{2}$	$\left(\frac{n-1}{4}K_2 \cup K_1\right) \nabla \frac{n-1}{2}K_1$
3	$\frac{n+1}{2}$	$\frac{n+1}{4}K_2\nabla\frac{n-1}{2}K_1 = H_n$
0	$\frac{n}{2}$	$\frac{n}{4}K_2\nabla\frac{n}{2}K_1 = H_n$
0	$\frac{n+2}{2}$	$(\frac{n}{4}K_2 \cup K_1)\nabla \frac{n-2}{2}K_1$
2	$\frac{n}{2}$	$\left(\frac{n-2}{4}K_2 \cup K_1\right)\nabla\frac{n}{2}K_1 = H_n$
2	$\frac{n+2}{2}$	$\frac{n+2}{4}K_2 abla \frac{n-2}{2}K_1$

Table 2: All possible forms of $G = (\lfloor \frac{d_u}{2} \rfloor K_2 \cup (d_u - 2 \lfloor \frac{d_u}{2} \rfloor) K_1) \nabla (n - d_u) K_1.$

Case 2. $p_u = 0$.

In this case, since G[N(u)] is P_3 -free, we have $G[N(u)] = aK_2 \cup bK_1$ with $a, b \ge 0$ and $2a + b = d_u$. First we shall prove that a > 0. In fact, if a = 0, i.e., G[N(u)] is an empty graph, then

$$R_u = d_u + 2e(G[N(u)]) + e(N(u), N_2(u)) \le d_u + d_u \bar{d}_u = -d_u^2 + nd_u \bar{d}_u$$

By Claim 1, we deduce that

$$d_u^2 - nd_u + \frac{(n+1)^2 - 1}{4} \le 0,$$

which is impossible because $\Delta = n^2 - ((n+1)^2 - 1) = -2n < 0$. Next we claim that $G[N_2(u)]$ is also P_3 -free. If not, since G[N(u)] contains at least two vertices due to a > 0, we have $e(N(u), N_2(u)) \le d_u \bar{d}_u - 1$ by Fact 2, and so

$$R_u = d_u + 2(d_u - \omega_u) + e(N(u), N_2(u))$$

$$\leq d_u + 2(d_u - \frac{d_u}{2}) + d_u \bar{d}_u - 1$$

$$= -d_u^2 + (n+1)d_u - 1.$$

Combining this with Claim 1 yields that

$$d_u^2 - (n+1)d_u + 1 + \frac{(n+1)^2 - 1}{4} \le 0,$$

which is impossible because $\Delta = (n+1)^2 - 4(1 + \frac{(n+1)^2-1}{4}) = -3 < 0$. Hence, we can suppose $G[N_2(u)] = cK_2 \cup dK_1$, where $c, d \ge 0$ and $2c + d = \bar{d}_u = n - 1 - d_u$. Then, again by Fact 2, we have $e(N(u), N_2(u)) \le d_u \bar{d}_u - ac$, and so

$$R_u \le d_u + 2\left(d_u - \frac{d_u}{2}\right) + d_u \bar{d}_u - ac = -d_u^2 + (n+1)d_u - ac.$$

Combining this with Claim 1, we obtain

$$d_u^2 - (n+1)d_u + ac + \frac{(n+1)^2 - 1}{4} \le 0,$$
(4)

which implies that c = 0 because a > 0 and $\Delta = 1 - 4ac \ge 0$. Putting c = 0 in (4), we obtain $d_u = \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n+1}{2}$ or $\frac{n+2}{2}$. Furthermore, according to the above discussions, we must have $e(N(u), e(N_2(u))) = d_u \bar{d}_u$. Concluding these results, we obtain $G = (aK_2 \cup bK_1)\nabla(\bar{d}_u + 1)K_1 = (aK_2 \cup bK_1)\nabla(n - d_u)K_1$, where a > 0 and $2a + b = d_u \in \{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n+1}{2}, \frac{n+2}{2}\}$. Notice that $(aK_2 \cup bK_1)\nabla(n - d_u)K_1$ is always wheelfree. By considering the maximality of $\rho_A(G)$, we conclude that $G = (\lfloor \frac{d_u}{2} \rfloor K_2 \cup (d_u - 2\lfloor \frac{d_u}{2} \rfloor)K_1)\nabla(n - d_u)K_1$ with $d_u \in \{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n+1}{2}, \frac{n+2}{2}\}$. In Table 2, we list all possible forms of G. If $n \equiv 1 \mod 4$, then $G = (\frac{n-1}{4}K_2 \cup K_1)\nabla \frac{n-1}{2}K_1$. According to the analysis of Subcase 1.1, we have $\rho_A(G) = \rho_A((\frac{n-1}{4}K_2 \cup K_1)\nabla \frac{n-1}{2}K_1) < \rho_A(H_n)$, contrary to our assumption. If $n \equiv 3 \mod 4$, then $G = H_n$, as required. For $n \equiv 0 \mod 4$ and $n \equiv 2 \mod 4$, as in Subcase 1.1, we can verify that the graph H_n always has the maximum spectral radius.

We complete the proof.

Now we give the proof of Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. Assume that G is a graph attaining the maximum signless Laplacian spectral radius among all wheel-free graphs of order n. As in the proof of Theorem 1, we claim that G is connected. Since $K_2\nabla(n-2)K_1$ is wheel-free, we have

$$\rho_Q(G) \ge \rho_Q(K_2 \nabla (n-2)K_1) = \frac{n+2 + \sqrt{(n+2)^2 - 16}}{2}$$

by Lemma 6, which gives that

$$\rho_Q^2(G) - (n+2)\rho_Q(G) + 4 \ge 0.$$
(5)

Let $Q^*(G) = Q^2(G) - (n+2)Q(G) + 4I_n$. Clearly, $\rho_Q^2(G) - (n+2)\rho_Q(G) + 4$ is an eigenvalue of $Q^*(G)$ with an eigenvector all of whose entries are nonnegative. Let R_v^* be the row sum of $Q^*(G)$ corresponding to $v \in V(G)$, and let $R_u^* = \max_{v \in V(G)} R_v^*$. By Lemma 4 and (5), we have

$$R_u^* \ge \rho_Q^2(G) - (n+2)\rho_Q(G) + 4 \ge 0.$$
(6)

On the other hand, we see that

$$R_{u}^{*} = 2d_{u}^{2} + 2[d_{u} + 2e(G[N(u)]) + e(N(u), N_{2}(u))] - 2(n+2)d_{u} + 4$$

$$\leq 2d_{u}^{2} + 2[d_{u} + 2(d_{u} - \omega_{u}) + d_{u}\bar{d}_{u}] - 2(n+2)d_{u} + 4$$

$$\leq 2d_{u}^{2} + 2[d_{u} + 2(d_{u} - 1) + d_{u}(n-1-d_{u})] - 2(n+2)d_{u} + 4$$

$$= 0,$$
(7)

where $\bar{d}_u = |N_2(u)|$ and ω_u are defined as in the proof of Theorem 1. Combining (6) and (7), we obtain $\omega_u = 1$ (i.e., G[N(u)] is a tree), $\bar{d}_u = n - 1 - d_u$ (i.e., $V(G) = \{u\} \cup N(u) \cup N_2(u)$) and $e(N(u), N_2(u)) = d_u \bar{d}_u$ (that is, the edges between N(u) and $N_2(u)$ form a complete bipartite graph). We consider the following two cases.

Case 1. $N_2(u) = \emptyset$.

In this situation, from the above arguments we obtain $G = K_1 \nabla G[N(u)]$, where G[N(u)] is a tree of order n-1. If $G(N[u]) = K_{1,n-2}$, then $G = K_2 \nabla (n-2)K_1$, as required. Now suppose $G(N[u]) \neq K_{1,n-2}$. Let **x** be the unique unit positive eigenvector (or Perron vector) of Q(G) corresponding to $\rho_Q(G)$, and let $\mathbf{x}_{v_0} = \max_{v \in N(u)} \mathbf{x}_v$. Let ℓ denote the diameter of G[N(u)]. Notice that $\ell \geq 3$ because G(N[u]) is a tree but $G(N[u]) \neq K_{1,n-2}$. For $1 \leq k \leq \ell$, let $N_k^*(v_0)$ denote the set of vertices at distance k from v_0 in G[N(u)]. Since G[N(u)] is a tree, we observe that $N_k^*(v_0)$ is an independent set of G[N(u)], and each vertex of $N_k^*(v_0)$ has exactly one neighbor in $N_{k-1}^*(v_0)$. Let G' be the graph obtained from G by deleting those edges not incident with v_0 and connecting v_0 with all the resulting isolated vertices in G[N(u)]. It is clear that $G' = K_2 \nabla (n-2)K_1$. Then we have

$$\begin{split} \rho_Q(G) &= \mathbf{x}^T Q(G) \mathbf{x} \\ &= \sum_{vw \in E(G)} (\mathbf{x}_v + \mathbf{x}_w)^2 \\ &= \sum_{v \in N(u)} (\mathbf{x}_u + \mathbf{x}_v)^2 + \sum_{vw \in E(G[N(u)])} (\mathbf{x}_v + \mathbf{x}_w)^2 \\ &= \sum_{v \in N(u)} (\mathbf{x}_u + \mathbf{x}_v)^2 + \sum_{w \in N(v_0)} (\mathbf{x}_{v_0} + \mathbf{x}_w)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{v_i v_{i+1} \in E(N_i^*(v_0), N_{i+1}^*(v_0))} (\mathbf{x}_{v_i} + \mathbf{x}_{v_{i+1}})^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{v \in N(u)} (\mathbf{x}_u + \mathbf{x}_v)^2 + \sum_{w \in N(v_0)} (\mathbf{x}_{v_0} + \mathbf{x}_w)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{v_i v_{i+1} \in E(N_i^*(v_0), N_{i+1}^*(v_0))} (\mathbf{x}_v + \mathbf{x}_{v_{i+1}})^2 \\ &= \sum_{v \in N(u)} (\mathbf{x}_u + \mathbf{x}_v)^2 + \sum_{w \in N(v_0)} (\mathbf{x}_{v_0} + \mathbf{x}_w)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{v_{i+1} \in N_{i+1}^*(v_0)} (\mathbf{x}_{v_0} + \mathbf{x}_{v_{i+1}})^2 \\ &= \sum_{v \in N(u)} (\mathbf{x}_u + \mathbf{x}_v)^2 + \sum_{w \in E(G'[N(u)])} (\mathbf{x}_v + \mathbf{x}_w)^2 \\ &= \sum_{v \in N(u)} (\mathbf{x}_v + \mathbf{x}_w)^2 \\ &= \sum_{v \in E(G')} (\mathbf{x}_v + \mathbf{x}_w)^2 \\ &= \mathbf{x}^T Q(G') \mathbf{x} \\ &\leq \rho_O(G'). \end{split}$$

We claim that $\rho_Q(G) < \rho_Q(G') = \rho_Q(K_2 \nabla(n-2)K_1)$. In fact, if $\rho_Q(G) = \rho_Q(G')$, then from the above inequality and the Perron-Frobenius theorem we see that **x** is an eigenvector of Q(G') corresponding to $\rho_Q(G')$ and $\mathbf{x}_v = \mathbf{x}_{v_0}$ for any non-pendant vertex v of G[N(u)]. Let v be a non-pendant neighbor of v_0 in G[N(v)] (such a vertex exists because $\ell \geq 3$). Notice that v is of degree 1 in G'[N(u)]. By considering the eigenvalue-eigenvector equation of $\rho_Q(G')$ and **x** at v_0 and v, we have

$$\begin{cases} \rho_Q(G')\mathbf{x}_{v_0} = (n-1)\mathbf{x}_{v_0} + \sum_{w \in N(u) \setminus \{v_0\}} \mathbf{x}_w + x_u, \\ \rho_Q(G')\mathbf{x}_v = 2\mathbf{x}_v + \mathbf{x}_{v_0} + x_u, \end{cases}$$

which is impossible because $n \ge 4$, $v \in N(u) \setminus \{v_0\}$ and $\mathbf{x}_v = \mathbf{x}_{v_0}$. Therefore, in this situation, we conclude that $G = K_2 \nabla (n-2) K_1$.

Case 2. $N_2(u) \neq \emptyset$.

In this situation, we see that G[N(u)] is P_3 -free because G is wheel-free. Thus $G[N(u)] = K_1$ or K_2 by the above arguments. If $G[N(u)] = K_1$, then $d_u = 1$. Let v_0 be the unique neighbor of u. Then $d_{v_0} = n - 1$. Since $G[N(v_0)]$ must be a forest (with at least two components), according to the proof of Case 1, we conclude that $\rho_Q(G) < \rho_Q(K_2\nabla(n-2)K_1)$. If $G[N(u)] = K_2$, then $N_2(u)$ must be an independent set by Fact 2, and so we obtain $G = K_2\nabla(n-2)K_1$.

We complete the proof.

Acknowledgements

The authors are indebted to S.M. Cioabă, Zhiwen Wang and Zhenzhen Lou for many helpful suggestions. This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11901540, 11671344 and 11771141).

References

- L. Babai, B. Guiduli, Spectral extrema for graphs: the Zarankiewicz problem, Electron. J. Combin. 16 (2009) #R123.
- [2] A.E. Brouwer, W.H. Haemers, Spectra of Graphs, Springer, Berlin, 2011.
- [3] R.A. Brualdi, E.S. Solheid, On the spectral radius of complementary acyclic matrices of zeros and ones, SIAM J. Algebraic Discr. Methods 7 (1986) 265– 272.
- [4] M.Z. Chen, A.M. Liu, X.D. Zhang, Spectral extremal results with forbidding linear forests, Graphs Combin. 35 (2019) 335–351.
- [5] S.M. Cioabă, L. Feng, M. Tait, X.D. Zhang, The spectral radius of graphs with no intersecting triangles, 2019, arXiv: 1911.13082.
- [6] D. Conlon, J. Fox, Graph removal lemmas, Surveys in combinatorics 2013, 1–49, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 409, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2013.
- [7] T. Dzido, A note on Turán numbers for even wheels, Graphs Combin. 29 (2013) 1305–1309.

- [8] T. Dzido, A. Jastrzębski, Turán numbers for odd wheels, Discrete Math. 341
 (4) (2018) 1150–1154.
- [9] M.N. Ellingham, X. Zha, The spectral radius of graphs on surfaces, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 78 (2000) 45–56.
- [10] J. Gao, X. Hou, The spectral radius of graphs without long cycles, Linear Algebra Appl. 566 (2019) 17–33.
- [11] C. Godsil, G. Royle, Algebraic Graph Theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 207, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [12] M. Lu, H. Liu, F. Tian, A new upper bound for the spectral radius of graphs with girth at least 5, Linear Algebra Appl. 414 (2006) 512–516.
- [13] V. Nikiforov, Bounds on graph eigenvalues II, Linear Algebra Appl. 427 (2007) 183–189.
- [14] V. Nikiforov, A spectral condition for odd cycles in graphs, Linear Algebra Appl. 428 (2008) 14921498.
- [15] V. Nikiforov, The spectral radius of graphs without paths and cycles of specified length, Linear Algebra Appl. 432 (2010) 2243–2256.
- [16] V. Nikiforov, A contribution to the Zarankiewicz problem, Linear Algebra Appl. 432 (2010) 1405–1411.
- [17] V. Nikiforov, Some new results in extremal graph theory, Surveys in Combinatorics 2011, 141–181, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 392, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2011.
- [18] L. Shi, Z. Song, Upper bounds on the spectral radius of book-free and/or $K_{2,r+1}$ -free graphs, Linear Algebra Appl. 420 (2007) 526–529.
- [19] A. Sidoreno, What we know and what we do not know about Turán numbers, Graphs Combin. 11 (2) (1995) 179–199.
- [20] M. Simonovits, A method for solving extremal problems in graph theory, stability problems, Theory of Graphs (Proc. Colloq., Tihany, 1966) (1968) 279–319.
- [21] W.A. Stein et al., SageMath, The Sage Mathematics Software System (Version 9.1), 2020. http://www.sagemath.org.
- [22] D. Stevanović, Spectral Radius of Graphs, Academic Press, London, 2015.
- [23] H. Wilf, Spectral bounds for the clique and independence numbers of graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 40 (1986) 113–117.
- [24] L.T. Yuan, Extremal graphs for wheels, 2020, arXiv: 2001.02628.
- [25] W. Yuan, B. Wang, M. Zhai, On the spectral radii of graphs without given cycles, Electron. J. Linear Algebra 23 (2012) 599–606.
- [26] M. Zhai, B. Wang, Proof of a conjecture on the spectral radius of C_4 -free graphs, Linear Algebra Appl. 437 (2012) 1641–1647.

- [27] M. Zhai, B. Wang, L. Fang, The spectral Turán problem about graphs with no 6-cycle, Linear Algebra Appl. 590 (2020), 22–31.
- [28] M. Zhai, H. Lin, Spectral extrema of graphs: Forbidden hexagon, Discrete Math. 343 (10) (2020) 112028.