

ON COMPLETELY DECOMPOSABLE DEFINING EQUATIONS OF POINTS IN GENERAL POSITION IN \mathbb{P}^n

JAEHEUN JUNG AND EUISUNG PARK

ABSTRACT. The study of the defining equations of a finite set in linearly general position has been actively attracted since it plays a significant role in understanding the defining equations of arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay varieties. In [T81], R. Treger proved that $I(\Gamma)$ is generated by forms of degree $\leq \lceil \frac{|\Gamma|}{n} \rceil$. Since then, Treger's result has been extended and improved in several papers.

The aim of this paper is to reprove and improve the above Treger's result from a new perspective. Our main result in this paper shows that $I(\Gamma)$ is generated by the union of $I(\Gamma)_{\leq \lceil \frac{|\Gamma|}{n} \rceil - 1}$ and the set of all completely decomposable forms of degree $\lceil \frac{|\Gamma|}{n} \rceil$ in $I(\Gamma)$. In particular, it holds that if $d \leq 2n$ then $I(\Gamma)$ is generated by quadratic equations of rank 2. This reproves Saint-Donats results in [St.D72a] and [St.D72b].

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be a nondegenerate irreducible projective variety defined over an algebraically closed field k of arbitrary characteristic. Let d and n denote respectively the degree and the codimension of X in \mathbb{P}^r . It is well known that if $\text{char}(k) = 0$ and $\Lambda = \mathbb{P}^n$ is a general n -dimensional subspace of \mathbb{P}^r , then the scheme-theoretic intersection $X \cap \Lambda \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is a reduced finite set of d points *in linearly general position*; that is, any $n + 1$ points of $X \cap \Lambda$ spans the whole space Λ . For this reason, finite sets of points in linearly general position have played a significant role in finding an upper bound for the degrees of the defining equations of $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ when it is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay. Keeping this approach in mind, let

$$\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^n$$

be a finite set of d points in linearly general position. Also let S and $I(\Gamma)$ be respectively the homogeneous coordinate ring $k[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$ of \mathbb{P}^n and the homogeneous ideal of Γ in S . Then one can easily show that $I(\Gamma)$ is generated by forms of degree $\leq m + 1$. Indeed, this comes from the fact that Γ is m -normal and hence $(m + 1)$ -regular in the sense of Castelnuovo-Mumford (cf. [GM84, Proposition 1.1]). Maybe the first result improving this elementary

Date: Seoul, July 15, 2020.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 14N05, 51N35, 14C17.

Key words and phrases. Defining equations of a finite set, Linearly general position, Completely decomposable form.

fact is due to B. Saint-Donat, who proved in [St.D72a] that $I(\Gamma)$ is generated by quadratic equations if $d \leq 2n$. Later, by using an old argument essentially due to K. Petri in [Pe23] and B. Saint-Donat, R. Treger in [T81] has shown that if $d \leq mn$, then $I(\Gamma)$ is generated by forms of degree $\leq m$. Since then, Treger's result have been extended and improved in several papers (cf. [MV84], [TV88], etc).

The aim of this paper is to reprove and improve the above Treger's result from a new perspective. To state our result precisely, we require some notation and definitions.

Definition 1.1. (1) A homogeneous polynomial in $S = k[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is said to be *completely decomposable* if it can be written as a product of linear forms. (2) Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a finite set and $\ell \geq 1$ an integer. Then we denote by $\Phi(\Gamma)_\ell$ the set of all completely decomposable polynomials in $I(\Gamma)_\ell$. That is,

$$\Phi(\Gamma)_\ell = I(\Gamma)_\ell \cap \{h_1 \cdots h_\ell \mid h_1, \dots, h_\ell \in S_1 - \{0\}\}.$$

Now, let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a finite set of d points in linearly general position. When $d \leq mn$, one can easily create the elements in $\Phi(\Gamma)_m$. Namely, let

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \cup \cdots \cup \Gamma_m$$

be a partition of Γ such that $|\Gamma_i| \leq n$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then

$$\{h_1 \cdots h_m \mid h_i \in I(\Gamma_i)_1 - \{0\} \text{ for each } 1 \leq i \leq m\}$$

is a nonempty subset of $\Phi(\Gamma)_m$. Any element in $\Phi(\Gamma)_m$ can be constructed by this method. Note that Γ is cut out by $\Phi(\Gamma)_m$ set-theoretically. For example, see the proof of [H95, Theorem 1.4] where it is shown that if $d \leq 2n$ then the common zero set of $\Phi(\Gamma)_2$ is exactly equal to Γ . Along this line, one can naturally ask whether Γ is ideal-theoretically cut out by $\Phi(\Gamma)_m$ and $I(\Gamma)_{\leq m-1}$. In [St.D72a] and [St.D72b], B. Saint-Donat proved that if $d \leq 2n$ then $I(\Gamma)$ is generated by $\Phi(\Gamma)_2$, or equivalently, $I(\Gamma)$ is generated by quadratic equations of rank 2. By applying this result to curves, he also proved that if $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ is a linearly normal projective integral curve of arithmetic genus g and degree $d \geq 2g + 2$ then $I(\mathcal{C})$ is generated by quadratic equations of rank 3 and 4.

Along this line, our main result in the present paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a finite set of d points in linearly general position. If $d \leq mn$, then*

$$I(\Gamma) = \langle I(\Gamma)_{\leq m-1}, \Phi(\Gamma)_m \rangle.$$

In particular, $I(\Gamma)$ is generated by forms of degree $\leq m$.

Obviously, this result reproves Saint-Donat's result and improves Treger's result. That is, if $m = 2$ then Theorem 1.2 says that $I(\Gamma)$ is generated by $\Phi(\Gamma)_2$. Thus Theorem 1.2 reproves Saint-Donat's result in [St.D72a] and [St.D72b]. Also for arbitrary $m \geq 2$, Theorem 1.2 says that $I(\Gamma)$ is generated by $I(\Gamma)_{\leq m}$ and some completely decomposable m -forms spans $I(\Gamma)_m$ as a k -vector space. Hence it improves Treger's result in [T81].

The proof of Theorem 1.2 uses a completely different idea from Saint-Donat's and Treger's approaches. More precisely, we first prove that $I(\Gamma)_m$ is generated by $\Phi(\Gamma)_m$ as a k -vector space by using some geometric properties of *the variety of completely decomposable m -forms*

$$\text{Split}_m(\mathbb{P}^n) \subset \mathbb{P}(S_m) = \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n+m}{n-1}}$$

(cf. [AB11] and [A14]). Indeed, regarding $\mathbb{P}(I(\Gamma)_m)$ as a subspace of $\mathbb{P}(S_m)$, the desired statement that $\Phi(\Gamma)_m$ generates $I(\Gamma)_m$ as a k -vector space is equivalent to that the intersection

$$[\Phi(\Gamma)_m] := \text{Split}_m(\mathbb{P}^n) \cap \mathbb{P}(I(\Gamma)_m) = \{[F] \mid F \in \Phi(\Gamma)_m\}$$

is a nondegenerate subset of $\mathbb{P}(I(\Gamma)_m)$. Briefly speaking, the second statement can be shown by using a few projective geometric properties of the split variety $\text{Split}_m(\mathbb{P}^n)$. For details, see § 2 and § 3. Then, in § 4 and § 5, we prove that $I(\Gamma)_{m+1}$ is equal to the k -vector space generated by the set

$$S_1\Phi(\Gamma)_m := \{hF \mid h \in S_1 \text{ and } F \in \Phi(\Gamma)_m\}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2 since we know already that Γ is $(m+1)$ -regular in the sense of Castelnuovo-Mumford.

Acknowledgement. The second named author was supported by the Korea Research Foundation Grant funded by the Korean Government (NRF-2016R1D1A1A09918462).

2. COMPLETELY DECOMPOSABLE EQUATIONS I : $d = mn$ CASE

Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a finite set of d points in linearly general position. Through this section and the next section, we will show that if $d \leq \ell n$ then $I(\Gamma)_\ell$ is, as a k -vector space, generated by its subset $\Phi(\Gamma)_\ell$ consisting of all completely decomposable ℓ -forms.

This section is devoted to giving a proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a finite set of $d = mn$ points in linearly general position for some $m \geq 2$. Then $\Phi(\Gamma)_m$ generates $I(\Gamma)_m$ as a k -vector space.*

To this aim, we begin with the following well-known fact. We will give a brief proof due to the lack of references.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ be a nondegenerate irreducible projective variety of degree d and codimension $c \geq 1$. Suppose that X is locally Cohen-Macaulay. If $\Lambda = \mathbb{P}^c$ is a subspace of \mathbb{P}^r such that $X \cap \Lambda$ is a finite set of d points, then $X \cap \Lambda$ spans Λ .*

Proof. Let $N = \mathbb{P}^{c-t}$ be the span in $\Lambda = \mathbb{P}^c$ of the finite set $X \cap \Lambda$. Suppose that $t \geq 1$ and choose a subspace $M = \mathbb{P}^{c-t-1}$ of N which does not meet with $X \cap \Lambda$. Consider the linear projection map

$$\pi_M : \mathbb{P}^r - M \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-c+t}$$

from M . This defines a finite morphism

$$f : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-c+t}.$$

Let $Y := f(X)$ be the image of X in \mathbb{P}^{r-c+t} . Then it holds that

$$d = \deg(f) \times \deg(Y)$$

and hence $\deg(f) \leq d$. On the other hand, note that $f(X \cap \Lambda)$ is a point. This implies that $\deg(f)$ is at least d since X is locally Cohen-Macaulay (cf. [E95, Exercise 18.17]). Thus it is shown that $\deg(f) = d$ and $\deg(Y) = 1$. In particular, $Y = \mathbb{P}^{r-c}$ is a linear subspace and hence X is contained in the proper linear subspace $\langle M, Y \rangle = \mathbb{P}^{r-t}$ of \mathbb{P}^r . Obviously, this is a contradiction since $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ is nondegenerate. In consequence, $t = 0$ and hence $X \cap \Lambda$ spans Λ . \square

Next, we will briefly recall the so-called *split variety* which is also called a *variety of completely decomposable forms*. A crucial connection between a split variety and the set $\Phi(\Gamma)_m$ is given in Lemma 2.4 below.

Notation and Remarks 2.3. Let n and ℓ be positive integers and let $S = k[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

- (1) Let $\mathbb{P}(S_\ell) = \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n+\ell}{n}-1}$ be the projective space which parameterizes hypersurfaces of degree ℓ in \mathbb{P}^n . The subset

$$\text{Split}_\ell(\mathbb{P}^n) = \{[F] \mid F \in \Phi_\ell\} \subset \mathbb{P}(S_\ell).$$

Due to [AB11], we call $\text{Split}_\ell(\mathbb{P}^n)$ a *split variety*. In [A14], this set is called *the variety of completely decomposable ℓ -forms*.

- (2) The split variety $\text{Split}_\ell(\mathbb{P}^n)$ is the image of ℓ copies of \mathbb{P}^n to $\mathbb{P}(S_\ell)$ under the morphism

$$\varphi : (\mathbb{P}^n)^\ell = (\mathbb{P}(S_1))^\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(S_\ell)$$

given by $\varphi([H_1], \dots, [H_\ell]) = [H_1 \cdots H_\ell]$. Indeed, $\text{Split}_\ell(\mathbb{P}^n)$ is the image of φ and

$$\varphi : (\mathbb{P}^n)^\ell \rightarrow \text{Split}_\ell(\mathbb{P}^n)$$

is a surjective finite morphism of degree $\ell!$. In consequence,

$$\text{Split}_\ell(\mathbb{P}^n) \subset \mathbb{P}(S_\ell)$$

is an ℓn -dimensional nondegenerate irreducible projective variety.

- (3) Let $\sigma((\mathbb{P}^n)^\ell) \subset \mathbb{P}^{(n+1)^\ell-1}$ denote the Segre embedding of $(\mathbb{P}^n)^\ell$. Then $\varphi : (\mathbb{P}^n)^\ell \rightarrow \text{Split}_\ell(\mathbb{P}^n)$ can be interpreted as the restriction of a linear projection

$$\pi_M : \mathbb{P}^{(n+1)^\ell-1} - M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(S_\ell)$$

to $\sigma((\mathbb{P}^n)^\ell)$ where M is subspace of $\mathbb{P}^{(n+1)^\ell-1}$ of dimension

$$p(n, \ell) := (n+1)^\ell - \binom{n+\ell}{n} - 1$$

which does not meet with $\sigma((\mathbb{P}^n)^\ell)$. Therefore it follows that

$$\deg(\text{Split}_\ell(\mathbb{P}^n)) = \frac{\deg((\mathbb{P}^n)^\ell)}{\ell!} = \frac{(\ell n)!}{(n!)^\ell \times (\ell!)}.$$

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be as Theorem 2.1 and consider the subset*

$$[\Phi(\Gamma)_m] := \{[F] \mid F \in \Phi(\Gamma)_m\}$$

of $\mathbb{P}(S_m)$ corresponding to $\Phi(\Gamma)_m \subset S_m$. Then

$$|[\Phi(\Gamma)_m]| = \deg(\text{Split}_m(\mathbb{P}^n)).$$

Proof. Since Γ is in linearly general position, each $F = H_1 \cdots H_m$ in $\Phi(\Gamma)_m$ defines the partition

$$\Gamma = (\Gamma \cap V(H_1)) \cup \cdots \cup (\Gamma \cap V(H_m))$$

of Γ such that $|\Gamma \cap V(H_i)| = n$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. Conversely, for a partition

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \cup \cdots \cup \Gamma_m$$

of Γ such that $|\Gamma_i| = n$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$, let $H_i \in S_1$ be an equation of the hyperplane $\langle \Gamma_i \rangle$ in \mathbb{P}^n . Then $[H_1 \cdots H_m]$ is an element of $[\Phi(\Gamma)_m]$. Therefore there is a one-to-one correspondence between $[\Phi(\Gamma)_m]$ and the set of partitions of Γ as the union of its m subsets having exactly n elements. This implies that

$$|[\Phi(\Gamma)_m]| = \frac{(mn)!}{(n!)^m \times (m!)}$$

and hence it is equal to $\deg(\text{Split}_m(\mathbb{P}^n))$ by Notation and Remarks 2.3(3). \square

Now we are ready to give a proof of Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Since $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is in linearly general position and $d = mn$, we know that Γ is m -normal. Thus, if we regard $\Pi := \mathbb{P}(I(\Gamma)_m)$ as a subspace of $\mathbb{P}(S_m)$, then

$$\Pi \cap \text{Split}_m(\mathbb{P}^n) = [\Phi(\Gamma)_m].$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \Pi &= h^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_\Gamma(m)) - 1 \\ &= \binom{m+n}{n} - mn - 1 \\ &= \text{codim}(\text{Split}_m(\mathbb{P}^n), \mathbb{P}(S_m)). \end{aligned}$$

Also $\Phi(\Gamma)_m$ generates $I(\Gamma)_m$ as a k -vector space if and only if the set $[\Phi(\Gamma)_m]$ spans Π . To show the second statement, we will use Notation and Remarks 2.3. Let $\Lambda := \langle \Pi, M \rangle$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \Lambda &= \dim \Pi + \dim M + 1 \\ &= \binom{m+n}{n} - mn - 1 + p(n, m) + 1 \\ &= (n+1)^m - mn - 1 \\ &= \text{codim}(\sigma((\mathbb{P}^n)^m), \mathbb{P}^{(n+1)^m-1}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Lambda \cap \sigma((\mathbb{P}^n)^m) = \varphi^{-1}([\Phi(\Gamma)_m]).$$

Also, $\varphi^{-1}([\Phi(\Gamma)_m])$ contains exactly $m! \times |[\Phi(\Gamma)_m]|$ elements since every element in $\Phi(\Gamma)_m$ is the product of m distinct linear forms. By Lemma 2.4, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} m! \times |[\Phi(\Gamma)_m]| &= m! \times \deg(\text{Split}_m(\mathbb{P}^n)) \\ &= \deg(\sigma((\mathbb{P}^n)^m)) \end{aligned}$$

In consequence, $\dim \Lambda$ and $|\sigma((\mathbb{P}^n)^m \cap \Lambda)|$ are respectively equal to the codimension and the degree of $\sigma((\mathbb{P}^n)^m)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{(n+1)^m-1}$. Since $\sigma((\mathbb{P}^n)^m)$ is locally Cohen-Macaulay, it follows by Proposition 2.2 that

$$\sigma((\mathbb{P}^n)^m) \cap \Lambda = \varphi^{-1}([\Phi(\Gamma)_m])$$

spans Λ . Obviously this can happen only when $[\Phi(\Gamma)_m]$ spans Π . This completes the proof that $\Phi(\Gamma)_m$ generates $I(\Gamma)_m$ as a k -vector space. \square

3. COMPLETELY DECOMPOSABLE EQUATIONS II : ARBITRARY CASE

As was mentioned in the beginning of § 2, our aim of this section is to verify that $\Phi(\Gamma)_\ell$ generates $I(\Gamma)_\ell$ as a k -vector space if $\ell n \geq d$. More precisely, our purpose in this section is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a finite set of d points in linearly general position. Then*

$$\Phi(\Gamma)_\ell \begin{cases} \text{is empty} & \text{if } \ell < \lceil \frac{d}{n} \rceil, \text{ and} \\ \text{generates } I(\Gamma)_\ell \text{ as a } k\text{-vector space} & \text{if } \ell \geq \lceil \frac{d}{n} \rceil. \end{cases}$$

(Here, $\lceil x \rceil$ denotes the smallest integer $\geq x$.)

The following elementary lemma allows one to deduce the proof of Theorem 3.1 from the special case where $d = mn$ and $\ell = m$ which was dealt with in Theorem 2.1.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\Gamma_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a finite set of $d + 2$ points in linearly general position. For two distinct points x and y in Γ_0 , let*

$$\Gamma_1 := \Gamma_0 - \{x\}, \quad \Gamma_2 := \Gamma_0 - \{y\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma := \Gamma_0 - \{x, y\}.$$

If $\ell \geq \lceil \frac{d+1}{n} \rceil$, then $I(\Gamma)_\ell = I(\Gamma_1)_\ell + I(\Gamma_2)_\ell$.

Proof. First note that $d + 1 \leq \ell n$ and hence $|\Gamma_0| = d + 2 \leq \ell n + 1$. So, all of $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$ and Γ satisfy the ℓ -normality. In particular, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_k I(\Gamma_1)_\ell &= \dim_k I(\Gamma_2)_\ell = \binom{n + \ell}{n} - (d + 1), \\ \dim_k I(\Gamma)_\ell &= \binom{n + \ell}{n} - d \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\dim_k I(\Gamma_0)_\ell = \binom{n+\ell}{n} - (d+2).$$

Also $I(\Gamma_1)_\ell \cap I(\Gamma_2)_\ell \subseteq I(\Gamma_0)_\ell$ because $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 = \Gamma_0$. Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_k I(\Gamma_1)_\ell + I(\Gamma_2)_\ell &= \dim_k I(\Gamma_1)_\ell + \dim_k I(\Gamma_2)_\ell - \dim_k (I(\Gamma_1)_\ell \cap I(\Gamma_2)_\ell) \\ &= \binom{n+\ell}{n} - d \\ &= \dim_k I(\Gamma)_\ell. \end{aligned}$$

This implies the desired equality

$$I(\Gamma)_\ell = I(\Gamma_1)_\ell + I(\Gamma_2)_\ell$$

since $I(\Gamma_1)_\ell + I(\Gamma_2)_\ell$ is a subspace of $I(\Gamma)_\ell$. \square

To simplify the proof of Theorem 3.1, we introduce a definition.

Definition 3.3. We will say that *property* $P(n, d, \ell)$ holds if for any finite set $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ of d points in linearly general position, $I(\Gamma)_\ell$ is generated by $\Phi(\Gamma)_\ell$ as a k -vector space.

For example, Theorem 2.1 says that property $P(n, mn, m)$ always holds.

Now, we give a proof of Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Put $m = \lceil \frac{d}{n} \rceil$. Thus $(m-1)n + 1 \leq d \leq mn$.

First, suppose that $\Phi(\Gamma)_\ell$ is nonempty and let $H_1 \cdots H_\ell$ be an element of $\Phi(\Gamma)_\ell$. Thus

$$\Gamma = (\Gamma \cap V(H_1)) \cup \cdots \cup (\Gamma \cap V(H_\ell)).$$

Also

$$|\Gamma \cap V(H_i)| \leq n \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq \ell$$

since Γ is in linearly general position. In particular, it holds that

$$d = |\Gamma| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} |\Gamma \cap V(H_i)| \leq \ell n,$$

or equivalently, $\ell \geq m$. This shows that $\Phi(\Gamma)_\ell$ is empty if $\ell < m$ and hence it proves the first part of our theorem.

Now, suppose that $\ell \geq m$. Write $d = \ell n - \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon \geq 0$. To show that property $P(n, d, \ell)$ holds, we will use the induction on $\epsilon \geq 0$.

If $\epsilon = 0$ and so $d = \ell n$, then property $P(n, d, \ell)$ holds by Theorem 2.1.

Next, we assume that ϵ is positive. Choose two distinct points $x, y \in \mathbb{P}^n - \Gamma$ such that the finite set

$$\Gamma_0 := \Gamma \cup \{x, y\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$$

is in linearly general position. For

$$\Gamma_1 := \Gamma \cup \{x\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_2 := \Gamma \cup \{y\},$$

we have

$$|\Gamma_1| = |\Gamma_2| = \ell n - (\epsilon - 1).$$

Thus, by induction hypothesis, it follows that $I(\Gamma_1)_m$ and $I(\Gamma_2)_m$ are respectively generated by $\Phi(\Gamma_1)_m$ and $\Phi(\Gamma_2)_m$ as k -vector spaces. Since

$$I(\Gamma)_\ell = I(\Gamma_1)_\ell + I(\Gamma_2)_\ell$$

by Lemma 3.2 and since $\Phi(\Gamma)_\ell$ contains the union $\Phi(\Gamma_1)_\ell \cup \Phi(\Gamma_2)_\ell$, we can conclude that $I(\Gamma)_\ell$ is generated by $\Phi(\Gamma)_\ell$ as a k -vector space. This completes the proof that property $P(n, d, \ell)$ holds. \square

4. COMPLETELY DECOMPOSABLE GENERATORS OF $I(\Gamma)$ WHEN $d = mn$

Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a finite set of d points in linearly general position. Through this section and the next section, we will prove the equality

$$I(\Gamma) = \langle I(\Gamma)_{\leq m-1}, \Phi(\Gamma)_m \rangle$$

mentioned in Theorem 1.2.

In this section we concentrate on verifying the following theorem about the case where $d = mn$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be as above such that $d = mn$ for some $m \geq 2$. Then $I(\Gamma)_{m+1}$ is generated by the set*

$$S_1 \Phi(\Gamma)_m := \{hF \mid h \in S_1 - \{0\}, F \in \Phi(\Gamma)_m\}$$

as a k -vector space.

To this aim, we need a few notation and definition.

Definition and Remark 4.2. Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be as a finite set of d points.

- (1) For a homogeneous polynomial $F \in S$, we define $V_\Gamma(F)$ and $\lambda_\Gamma(F)$ respectively as

$$V_\Gamma(F) := \Gamma \cap V(F) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_\Gamma(F) = |V_\Gamma(F)|.$$

Obviously, $\lambda_\Gamma(F) \leq d$ and equality is attained if and only if $F \in I(\Gamma)$.

- (2) Let F_1, \dots, F_ℓ be nonzero homogeneous polynomials in S . Then it holds that

$$V_\Gamma(F_1 \cdots F_\ell) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} V_\Gamma(F_i)$$

and hence

$$(4.1) \quad \lambda_\Gamma(F_1 \cdots F_\ell) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} |V_\Gamma(F_i)| = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_\Gamma(F_i).$$

- (3) Let F be an element of $\Phi(\Gamma)_{\ell+1}$. Thus $F = h_1 \cdots h_{\ell+1}$ for some nonzero linear forms $h_1, \dots, h_\ell, h_{\ell+1} \in S_1$. Then we define $\mu_\Gamma(F)$ and $\nu_{\Gamma, F}(h_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq \ell + 1$, respectively as

$$\mu_\Gamma(F) = \max \left\{ \lambda_\Gamma \left(\frac{F}{h_i} \right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq \ell + 1 \right\}$$

and

$$\nu_{\Gamma, F}(h_i) = \Gamma - V_{\Gamma} \left(\frac{F}{h_i} \right) \subseteq V_{\Gamma}(h_i).$$

Note that $\mu_{\Gamma}(F) \leq d$ and equality is attained if and only if $\frac{F}{h_i} \in \Phi(\Gamma)_{\ell}$ for some i . Also $\nu_{\Gamma, F}(h_1), \dots, \nu_{\Gamma, F}(h_{\ell+1})$ are pairwise disjoint and so it holds that

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\ell+1} |\nu_{\Gamma, F}(h_i)| = \left| \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell+1} \nu_{\Gamma, F}(h_i) \right| \leq \left| \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell+1} V_{\Gamma}(h_i) \right| = |V_{\Gamma}(F)| = |\Gamma| = d.$$

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a finite set of $2n$ points in linearly general position and let $F \in \Phi(\Gamma)_3$ be such that $\mu_{\Gamma}(F) = 2n - 1$. Then F is contained in the subspace of $I(\Gamma)_3$ generated by the subset*

$$S_1\Phi(\Gamma)_2 := \{hF \mid h \in S_1 - \{0\}, F \in \Phi(\Gamma)_2\}$$

as a k -vector space.

Proof. Let $\Gamma = \{P_1, \dots, P_n, Q_1, \dots, Q_n\}$ and write $F = h_1 h_2 h_3$ where h_1, h_2 and h_3 are nonzero linear forms. Without loss of generality, we may assume the following conditions:

- (i) $\Gamma - V_{\Gamma}(h_1 h_2) = \{Q_n\}$ and hence $\lambda_{\Gamma}(h_1 h_2) = 2n - 1$
- (ii) $V_{\Gamma}(h_1) = \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ and $V_{\Gamma}(h_2)$ is equal to either $\{P_n, Q_1, \dots, Q_{n-1}\}$ or else $\{Q_1, \dots, Q_{n-1}\}$

Case 1. Suppose that $V_{\Gamma}(h_2) = \{P_n, Q_1, \dots, Q_{n-1}\}$. We may assume that P_n, Q_1, \dots, Q_{n-1} are the first n coordinate points of \mathbb{P}^n in their order. Choose $h \in S_1$ such that $V_{\Gamma}(h) = \{P_1, \dots, P_{n-1}, Q_n\}$. Note that

$$hh_2 \in \Phi(\Gamma)_2 \quad \text{and} \quad h(P) \neq 0 \quad \text{for every} \quad P \in V_{\Gamma}(h_2).$$

Now, consider the linear form $h' = b_1 x_1 + \dots + b_{n-1} x_{n-1}$ where

$$b_i := \frac{h_1(Q_i) h_3(Q_i)}{h(Q_i)} \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Then one can easily check that $G := h_1 h_3 - hh'$ is an element of $I(\Gamma)_2$. Thus G is a k -linear combination of elements of $\Phi(\Gamma)_2$ by Theorem 2.1. Then

$$F = h_1 h_2 h_3 = h_2 G + hh_2 h'$$

is contained in the subspace generated by $S_1\Phi(\Gamma)_2$ since $hh_2 \in \Phi(\Gamma)_2$.

Case 2. Suppose that $V_{\Gamma}(h_2) = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_{n-1}\}$. Letting

$$A = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_{n-1}, P_1\} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_{n-1}, P_2\},$$

it holds by Lemma 3.2 that

$$I(\{Q_1, \dots, Q_{n-1}\})_1 = I(A)_1 + I(B)_1.$$

In particular, we can write h_2 as

$$h_2 = h_{2,1} + h_{2,2} \quad \text{for some } h_{2,1} \in I(A)_1 \quad \text{and } h_{2,2} \in I(B)_1.$$

Since both of $h_1 h_{2,1} h_3$ and $h_1 h_{2,2} h_3$ correspond to *Case 1*, we can conclude that

$$h_1 h_2 h_3 = h_1 h_{2,1} h_3 + h_1 h_{2,2} h_3$$

is contained in the subspace generated by $S_1 \Phi(\Gamma)_2$. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a finite set of mn points in linearly general position for some $m \geq 3$ and let $F \in \Phi(\Gamma)_{m+1}$ be such that $\mu(F) = mn - 1$. Then F is contained in the k -vector space generated by $S_1 \Phi(\Gamma)_m$.*

Proof. Write $F = h_1 \cdots h_{m+1}$ where h_1, \dots, h_m, h_{m+1} are nonzero linear forms. Since $\mu(F) = d - 1 = mn - 1$, we may assume that

$$\mu_\Gamma(F) = \lambda_\Gamma(h_1 \cdots h_m) = mn - 1.$$

Thus the set $\nu_{\Gamma, F}(h_{m+1}) = \Gamma - V_\Gamma(h_1 \cdots h_m)$ consists of a single point, say Q . Note that $\lambda_\Gamma(h_i) \leq n$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m + 1$ since Γ is in linearly general position. By using (4.1) we have

$$mn - 1 = \lambda_\Gamma(h_1 \cdots h_m) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_\Gamma(h_i) \leq mn.$$

If $\lambda_\Gamma(h_i) \leq n - 1$ for more than two i 's, then

$$\lambda_\Gamma(h_1 \cdots h_m) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_\Gamma(h_i) \leq mn - 2.$$

Therefore, at least $m - 1$ of $\lambda(h_1), \dots, \lambda(h_m)$ must be equal to n . Without loss of generality, we may assume the followings:

- (i) $\lambda_\Gamma(h_1) = \cdots = \lambda_\Gamma(h_{m-1}) = n$ and $n - 1 \leq \lambda_\Gamma(h_m) \leq n$;
- (ii) Either
 - (ii.1) $\lambda_\Gamma(h_m) = n - 1$ and $V_\Gamma(h_1), \dots, V_\Gamma(h_m)$ are pairwise disjoint or else
 - (ii.2) $\lambda(h_m) = n$, the intersection $V_\Gamma(h_{m-1}) \cap V_\Gamma(h_m)$ is exactly a point, say P , and the sets

$$V_\Gamma(h_1), \dots, V_\Gamma(h_{m-1}), V_\Gamma(h_m) - \{P\}$$

are pairwise disjoint.

Then, we have $\lambda_\Gamma(h_{m-1} h_m) = 2n - 1$. Note that the set

$$\Gamma_0 := V_\Gamma(h_{m-1} h_m) \cup \{Q\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$$

is a finite set of $2n$ points in linearly general position and hence

$$G := h_{m-1} h_m h_{m+1} \in \Phi(\Gamma_0)_3 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_{\Gamma_0}(G) = \lambda_{\Gamma_0}(h_{m-1} h_m) = 2n - 1.$$

Thus, it holds by Lemma 4.3 that G is contained in the k -vector space generated by $S_1\Phi(\Gamma_0)_2$. This shows that $F = h_1 \cdots h_{m-2} \times G$ is contained in the k -vector space generated by

$$h_1 \cdots h_{m-2} \times S_1\Phi(\Gamma_0)_2 := \{h_1 \cdots h_{m-2} \times g \mid g \in S_1\Phi(\Gamma_0)_2\}.$$

Also the set

$$h_1 \cdots h_{m-2} \times \Phi(\Gamma_0)_2 := \{h_1 \cdots h_{m-2} \times q \mid q \in \Phi(\Gamma_0)_2\}$$

is a subset of $\Phi(\Gamma)_m$ since Γ is equal to the union $\Gamma_0 \cup V_\Gamma(h_1 \cdots h_{m-2})$. In consequence, it is shown that F is contained in the k -vector space generated by $S_1\Phi(\Gamma)_m$. This completes the proof. \square

Now, we are ready to give a proof of Theorem 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Throughout the proof, we will denote by V the k -vector space generated by $S_1\Phi(\Gamma)_m$.

By Theorem 3.1, the set $\Phi(\Gamma)_{m+1}$ generates $I(\Gamma)_{m+1}$ as a k -vector space. Thus it is enough to show that every element F in $\Phi(\Gamma)_{m+1}$ is contained in V . To this aim, we use induction on the value

$$\epsilon_\Gamma(F) := mn - \mu_\Gamma(F) \geq 0.$$

Let F be an element of $\Phi(\Gamma)_{m+1}$. Thus $F = h_1 \cdots h_{m+1}$ for some nonzero linear forms $h_1, \dots, h_m, h_{m+1} \in S_1$. Note that if $\epsilon_\Gamma(F) = 0$ then F is already an element of $S_1\Phi(\Gamma)_m$. Also if $\epsilon_\Gamma(F) = 1$ and hence $\mu_\Gamma(F) = mn - 1$, then F is contained in V by Lemma 4.4.

Now, suppose that $\epsilon_\Gamma(F) \geq 2$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$\mu_\Gamma(F) = \lambda_\Gamma(h_1 \cdots h_m).$$

Let A denote the finite set $V_\Gamma(h_1 \cdots h_m)$. Thus $\mu_\Gamma(F) = |A| \leq mn - 2$. Then there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that

$$|\nu_{\Gamma, F}(h_i)| \leq n - 1$$

(cf. see (4.2)). We may assume that $i = m$. Let

$$\nu_{\Gamma, F}(h_m) = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_\delta\}$$

where $\delta = |\nu_{\Gamma, F}(h_i)| \leq n - 1$. Now, choose two distinct points P_1 and P_2 in $\Gamma - A$. Then

$$h_{m+1}(P_1) = h_{m+1}(P_2) = 0$$

since $F \in \Phi(\Gamma)_{m+1}$. Also, it holds by Lemma 3.2 that

$$I(\{Q_1, \dots, Q_\delta\})_1 = I(\{Q_1, \dots, Q_\delta, P_1\})_1 + I(\{Q_1, \dots, Q_\delta, P_2\})_1.$$

In particular, we can write $h_m = h_{m,1} + h_{m,2}$ for some $h_{m,j} \in I(\{Q_1, \dots, Q_\delta, P_j\})$. Then

$$F = G_1 + G_2$$

where $G_j := h_1 \cdots h_{m-1} h_{m,j} h_{m+1}$ for each $j = 1, 2$. Also one can easily check that G_1 and G_2 are contained in $\Phi(\Gamma)_{m+1}$. Furthermore, it holds that

$$\mu_\Gamma(G_j) \geq \lambda_\Gamma(h_1 \cdots h_{m-1} h_{m,j}) \geq \lambda_\Gamma(h_1 \cdots h_{m-1} h_m) + 1 = \mu_\Gamma(F) + 1$$

since $h_{m,j}(P_j) = 0$ while $h_m(P_j) \neq 0$. Now, by induction hypothesis it follows that G_1 and G_2 are contained in V . This implies that $F = G_1 + G_2$ is an element of V and hence V is equal to $I(\Gamma)_{m+1}$. \square

5. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM

This section is devoted to giving a proof of Theorem 1.2. We begin with the following lemma which says that in order to prove Theorem 1.2 it suffices to consider only the degree m and $m + 1$ pieces of $I(\Gamma)$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a finite set of d points in linearly general position. Suppose that $d \leq mn$. Then the following two statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $I(\Gamma)$ is generated by forms of degree $\leq m$.
- (ii) $\Phi(\Gamma)_{m+1}$ is contained in the k -vector space generated by the set

$$S_1 \Phi(\Gamma)_m := \{hF \mid h \in S_1 \text{ and } F \in \Phi(\Gamma)_m\}.$$

Proof. It holds by Theorem 3.1 that $I(\Gamma)_m$ and $I(\Gamma)_{m+1}$ are respectively generated by $\Phi(\Gamma)_m$ and $\Phi(\Gamma)_{m+1}$ as k -vector spaces.

$(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$: If $I(\Gamma)$ is generated by forms of degree $\leq m$ then the k -vector space generated by $S_1 I(\Gamma)_m$ is equal to $I(\Gamma)_{m+1}$. Therefore (i) implies (ii).

$(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$: Since $d \leq mn + 1$, we know that the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of Γ is at most $m + 1$ and hence $I(\Gamma)$ is generated by forms of degree $\leq m + 1$. So, it needs to show that $I(\Gamma)_{m+1}$ is spanned by the set

$$S_1 I(\Gamma)_m := \{hF \mid h \in S_1 \text{ and } F \in I(\Gamma)_m\}.$$

By our assumption (ii), it follows that $S_1 \Phi(\Gamma)_m$ generates $I(\Gamma)_{m+1}$ as a k -vector space. This completes the proof that (ii) implies (i). \square

Now, we give a proof of our main theorem in the present paper.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let V denote the k -vector space generated by $S_1 \Phi(\Gamma)_m$.

First we will show that $\Phi(\Gamma)_{m+1}$ is a subset of V . To this aim, let us write $d = mn - \epsilon$ where ϵ is a nonnegative integer. We use induction on ϵ . If $\epsilon = 0$ and so $d = mn$, then we are done by Theorem 4.1. Now, suppose that $\epsilon > 0$ and choose two distinct points $x, y \in \mathbb{P}^n - \Gamma$ such that $\Gamma_0 := \Gamma \cup \{x, y\}$ is in linearly general position. Let

$$\Gamma_1 := \Gamma \cup \{x\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_2 := \Gamma \cup \{y\}.$$

Then

$$|\Gamma_1| = |\Gamma_2| = d + 1 \leq mn$$

and hence it holds by induction hypothesis that $I(\Gamma_1)_{m+1}$ and $I(\Gamma_2)_{m+1}$ are respectively generated by $S_1\Phi(\Gamma_1)_m$ and $S_1\Phi(\Gamma_2)_m$. Also

$$I(\Gamma)_{m+1} = I(\Gamma_1)_{m+1} + I(\Gamma_2)_{m+1}$$

by Lemma 3.2. Therefore $I(\Gamma)_{m+1}$ is generated by the union of $S_1\Phi(\Gamma_1)_m$ and $S_1\Phi(\Gamma_2)_m$. Obviously, $S_1\Phi(\Gamma)_m$ contains the union

$$S_1\Phi(\Gamma_1)_m \cup S_1\Phi(\Gamma_2)_m$$

In consequence, it is shown that $I(\Gamma)_{m+1}$ is generated by $S_1\Phi(\Gamma)_m$. In particular, $\Phi(\Gamma)_{m+1}$ is contained in V , the k -vector space spanned by $S_1\Phi(\Gamma)_m$.

Now, by Lemma 5.1, it follows that $I(\Gamma)$ is generated by forms of degree $\leq m$ and hence

$$I(\Gamma) = \langle I(\Gamma)_{\leq m-1}, I(\Gamma)_m \rangle.$$

Since we know already that $I(\Gamma)_m$ is generated by $\Phi(\Gamma)_m$ as a k -vector space, it holds that $I(\Gamma) = \langle I(\Gamma)_{\leq m-1}, \Phi(\Gamma)_m \rangle$. This finishes the proof. \square

Remark 5.2. Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a finite set of d points in linearly general position such that

$$(m-1)n \leq d \leq mn \quad \text{for some } m \geq 2.$$

So, Theorem 1.2 says that

$$I(\Gamma) = \langle I(\Gamma)_{\leq m-1}, \Phi(\Gamma)_m \rangle.$$

Here we want to explain how to find an explicit finite generating set of $\Phi(\Gamma)_m$.

- (1) Suppose that $d = mn$. Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between $[\Phi(\Gamma)_m]$ and the set of partitions of Γ as the union of m subsets having exactly n elements. For details, see the proof of Lemma 2.4. So, we get a subset $\Sigma(\Gamma)$ of $\Phi(\Gamma)_m$ consisting of $\frac{(mn)!}{(n!)^m \times (m!)}$ completely decomposable m -forms (cf. Notation and Remarks 2.3(3)). Then it is shown in the proof of Theorem 2.1 that $\Sigma(\Gamma)$ generates $I(\Gamma)_m$.
- (2) Suppose that $d = mn - \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. Then choose distinct $(\epsilon+1)$ -points $x_1, \dots, x_{\epsilon+1}$ in $\mathbb{P}^n - \Gamma$ such that

$$\Gamma_0 := \Gamma \cup \{x_1, \dots, x_{\epsilon+1}\}$$

is in linearly general position. Now, let

$$\Gamma_j := \Gamma_0 - \{x_j\} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq \epsilon+1.$$

Then $|\Gamma_j| = mn$ for every $1 \leq j \leq \epsilon+1$. Also one can show that

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \cap \dots \cap \Gamma_\epsilon \cap \Gamma_{\epsilon+1}$$

and hence

$$I(\Gamma)_m = I(\Gamma_1)_m + \dots + I(\Gamma_\epsilon)_m + I(\Gamma_{\epsilon+1})_m$$

(cf. Lemma 3.2). Also, by (1), $I(\Gamma_j)_m$ is generated by $\Sigma(\Gamma_j)$ consisting of $\frac{(mn)!}{(n!)^m \times (m!)}$ completely decomposable m -forms for each $1 \leq j \leq \epsilon + 1$. In consequence, we have the set

$$\Sigma(\Gamma_1) \cup \cdots \cup \Sigma(\Gamma_\epsilon) \cup \Sigma(\Gamma_{\epsilon+1})$$

consisting of at most $(\epsilon + 1) \times \frac{(mn)!}{(n!)^m \times (m!)}$ completely decomposable m -forms which generates $I(\Gamma)_m$ as a k -vector space.

REFERENCES

- [A14] H. Abo, *Varieties of completely decomposable forms and their secants*, J. Algebra 403 (2014), 135–153.
- [AB11] E. Arrondo and A. Bernardi, *On the variety parameterizing completely decomposable polynomials*, J. Pure and Applied Algebra 215 (2011), 201–220.
- [E95] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative Algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Math., No. 150, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [GM84] A. V. Geramita and P. Maroscia, *The idea of forms vanishing at a finite set of points in \mathbb{P}^n* , J. Algebra 90 (1984), 528–555.
- [H95] J. Harris, *Algebraic Geometry. A First Course*, Graduate Texts in Math., No. 133, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [MV84] P. Maroscia and W. Vogel, *On the defining equations of points in general position in \mathbb{P}^n* , Math. Ann. 269 (1984), 183–189.
- [M70] D. Mumford, *Varieties defined by quadratic equations*, pp. 29–100 in Questions on Algebraic Varieties (C.I.M.E., III) (Ciclo, Varenna, 1969), Edizioni Cremonese, Rome, 1970.
- [Pe23] K. Petri, *Über die invariante Darstellung algebraischer Funktionen*, Math. Ann. 88 (1923), 243–289.
- [St.D72a] B. Saint-Donat, *Sur les équations définissant une courbe algébrique*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. A 274 (1972), 324–327.
- [St.D72b] B. Saint-Donat, *Sur les équations définissant une courbe algébrique*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. A 274 (1972), 487–489.
- [T81] R. Treger, *On equations defining arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay schemes, II*, Duke Math. Journal, 48 (1981), 35–47.
- [TV88] N. V. Trung and G. Valla, *Degree bounds for the defining equations of arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay varieties*, Math. Ann. 281 (1988), 209–218.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KOREA UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 136-701, KOREA
E-mail address: wodsos@korea.ac.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KOREA UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 136-701, KOREA
E-mail address: euisungpark@korea.ac.kr