

ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOUR OF SINGULAR SOLUTION OF THE FAST DIFFUSION EQUATION IN THE PUNCTURED EUCLIDEAN SPACE

KIN MING HUI AND JINWAN PARK

ABSTRACT. For $n \geq 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $\beta < 0$ and $\alpha = \frac{2\beta}{1-m}$, we prove the existence, uniqueness and asymptotics near the origin of the singular eternal self-similar solutions of the fast diffusion equation in $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{R}$ of the form $U_\lambda(x, t) = e^{-\alpha t} f_\lambda(e^{-\beta t} x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where f_λ is a radially symmetric function satisfying

$$\frac{n-1}{m} \Delta f^m + \alpha f + \beta x \cdot \nabla f = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\},$$

with $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{r^2 f(r)^{1-m}}{\log r^{-1}} = \frac{2(n-1)(n-2-nm)}{\beta(1-m)}$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{\frac{n-2}{m}} f(r) = \lambda^{\frac{2}{1-m} - \frac{n-2}{m}}$, for some constant $\lambda > 0$.

As a consequence we prove the existence and uniqueness of solutions of Cauchy problem for the fast diffusion equation $u_t = \frac{n-1}{m} \Delta u^m$ in $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, \infty)$ with initial value u_0 satisfying $f_{\lambda_1}(x) \leq u_0(x) \leq f_{\lambda_2}(x)$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, which satisfies $U_{\lambda_1}(x, t) \leq u(x, t) \leq U_{\lambda_2}(x, t)$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, $t \geq 0$, for some constants $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > 0$.

We also prove the asymptotic behaviour of such singular solution u of the fast diffusion equation as $t \rightarrow \infty$ when $n = 3, 4$ and $\frac{n-2}{n+2} \leq m < \frac{n-2}{n}$ holds. Asymptotic behaviour of such singular solution u of the fast diffusion equation as $t \rightarrow \infty$ is also obtained when $3 \leq n < 8$, $1 - \sqrt{2/n} \leq m < \min(\frac{2(n-2)}{3n}, \frac{n-2}{n+2})$, and $u(x, t)$ is radially symmetric in $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ for any $t > 0$ under appropriate conditions on the initial value u_0 .

1. INTRODUCTION

Recently there is a lot of study on the equation

$$u_t = \frac{n-1}{m} \Delta u^m, \quad u > 0, \quad (1.1)$$

in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)$, $T > 0$, by D.G. Aronson [A], P. Daskalopoulos, J. King, M. del Pino, N. Sesum, M. Sáez, [DKS], [DPS], [DS1], [DS2], [PS], S.Y. Hsu [Hs1], [Hs2], [Hs3], K.M. Hui [H1], [H2], [H3], M. Fila, M. Winkler, E. Yanagida, J.L. Vazquez [FVWY], [FW1], [FW2], [FW3], [VW], [V1], etc. We refer the readers to the survey paper [A] and the books [DK], [V2] on the recent results of (1.1).

For $m > 1$, (1.1) arises in the flow of gases through porous media or oil passing through sand, etc., and it is called the porous medium equation. For $m = 1$, (1.1) is the heat equation. For $0 < m < 1$, (1.1) is called the fast diffusion equation. If $g = u^{\frac{4}{n+2}} dx^2$ is a metric on \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$, then g satisfies the Yamabe flow,

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} = -Rg \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T),$$

if and only if u satisfies (1.1) in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)$ with $m = \frac{n-2}{n+2}$.

Date: January 11, 2021.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 35B40, 35B44, 35K55, 35K65.

Key words and phrases. asymptotic behaviour of solutions, blow-up, fast diffusion equation, singular solution, L^1 -contraction, radially symmetric self-similar solution.

As observed by J.L. Vazquez [V1] and others there is a considerable difference in the behaviour of the solutions of (1.1) for the cases $0 < m < \frac{(n-2)_+}{n}$, $\frac{(n-2)_+}{n} < m < 1$, and $m > 1$. For example when $m > 1$, if the initial value $0 \leq u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has compact support, then the solution u of

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \frac{n-1}{m} \Delta u^m & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T) \\ u(\cdot, 0) = u_0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

will have compact support for any $0 < t < T$ [A]. On the other hand when $0 < m < 1$ and $0 \leq u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has compact support, M.A. Herrero and M. Pierre [HP] proved that the solution u of (1.2) is positive on $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)$. M.A. Herrero and M. Pierre [HP] also proved that when $\frac{n-2}{n} < m < 1$ and $0 \leq u_0 \not\equiv 0$, there exists a unique global positive solution of (1.2). On the other hand when $0 < m < \frac{(n-2)_+}{n}$, it is known that there exist solutions of (1.2) which extinct in a finite time. For example the Barenblatt solution [DS1],

$$B_k(x, t) = (T - t)^{\frac{n}{n-2-nm}} \left(\frac{C^*}{k + (T - t)^{\frac{2}{n-2-nm}} |x|^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-m}}, \quad k > 0,$$

where

$$C^* = \frac{2(n-1)(n-2-nm)}{1-m}$$

is a positive solution of (1.2) with $u_0 = B_k(x, 0)$ which vanishes identically at $t = T$.

There is a lot of research on (1.1) for the case $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$ and $n \geq 3$ recently by P. Daskalopoulos, J. King, M. del Pino, N. Sesum [DKS], [DPS], S.Y. Hsu [Hs1], [Hs2], [Hs3], K.M. Hui [H1], [H2], [H3], J.L. Vazquez [V1], etc. On the other hand various singular solutions of (1.1) in the Euclidean space minus a finite number of points which blow up either at the origin or at a finite number of points for the case $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $n \geq 3$, were studied by K.M. Hui, Soojung Kim, Sunghoon Kim, T. Jin and J. Xiong, etc. [HK], [HKs], [JX].

In this paper, for $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$ and $n \geq 3$, we study the existence and uniqueness of eternal self-similar solutions of (1.1) which blow up at the origin for all time $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with specific growth rate at 0. Moreover, we study the existence, uniqueness, and asymptotic large time behaviour of the singular solution to the Cauchy problem,

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \frac{n-1}{m} \Delta u^m & \text{in } (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, \infty) \\ u(\cdot, 0) = u_0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

which lying between two singular self-similar solutions.

The main difficulty of the theory is to find appropriate *weighted L^1 -contraction*, the weighted L^1 -norm of the difference of two solutions is nonincreasing with respect to the time variable. The property is mainly used in the proof of the uniqueness and asymptotic large time behaviour of the solution of (1.3).

In the study of the L^1 -contraction, we found out that the growth rate of solutions at the origin is relatively high to have appropriate L^1 -contraction for the uniqueness and large time asymptotic behaviour, if we take $|x|^{-\mu}$ ($0 < \mu \leq n - 2/(1 - m)$) by the weight function and estimate the difference of two solutions by a self-similar solution as in [HK].

Thus, in Section 3, for the first step, we suggest a more accurate estimate on the difference of two self-similar solutions, Corollary 3.12, by using the higher order asymptotic of self-similar solutions, Theorem 1.3. Then, we have L^1 -contraction with weight function $|x|^{-\mu}$, Theorem 1.4 and have the uniqueness of the solution of (1.3) lying between two singular self-similar solutions, Theorem 1.5.

For the asymptotic behaviour of the solution, we need more strong property on the weighted L^1 -contraction that the weighted L^1 -norm of the difference of two rescaled solutions vanishes as time goes to infinity. However, the property is not obtained by the L^1 -contraction with weight function $|x|^{-\mu}$.

Therefore, for $n = 3, 4$, $\frac{n-2}{n+2} \leq m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, we introduce the L^1 -contraction with a power of self-similar solution as the weight function, which implies the vanishing property and the asymptotic large time behaviour of the solution, Theorem 1.7 and Theorem 1.8. Furthermore, if the initial value of the solution is radially symmetric, then we have the asymptotic large time behaviour of the solution in higher dimension $3 \leq n < 8$, $1 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} \leq m \leq \min\left\{\frac{2(n-2)}{3n}, \frac{n-2}{n+2}\right\}$, Theorem 1.9.

1.1. Contents and methodology. In section 2, for $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$ and $n \geq 3$, we will study the existence and uniqueness of radially symmetric eternal self-similar solutions of (1.1) in $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{R}$ of the form

$$U(x, t) := e^{-\alpha t} f(e^{-\beta t} x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, t \in \mathbb{R}$$

that blow up at $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}$, where f is a radially symmetric function satisfying

$$\frac{n-1}{m} \Delta f^m + \alpha f + \beta x \cdot \nabla f = 0, \quad f > 0, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, \quad (1.4)$$

or equivalently,

$$\frac{n-1}{m} \left((f^m)_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r} (f^m)_r \right) + \alpha f + \beta r f_r = 0, \quad f > 0, \quad \forall r > 0 \quad (1.5)$$

with

$$\beta < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = \frac{2\beta}{1-m} \quad (1.6)$$

and f blows up at the origin, Theorem 1.1. Furthermore, we prove that such function f satisfies

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{r^2 f(r)^{1-m}}{\log r^{-1}} = \frac{2(n-1)(n-2-nm)}{(1-m)|\beta|} \quad (1.7)$$

and

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{\frac{n-2}{m}} f(r) = A \quad (1.8)$$

for some constant $A > 0$, Theorem 1.3.

We note that for $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$ and $n \geq 3$, forward self-similar solutions of (1.1) which blow up at the origin for $t > 0$ are constructed by K.M. Hui and Soojung Kim in [HK]. The self-similar solutions constructed in [HK] are of the form,

$$U(x, t) = t^{-\alpha} f(t^{-\beta} x) \quad \forall 0 \neq x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0,$$

where f is a radially symmetric solution of (1.4) with α, β satisfying

$$\alpha = \frac{2\beta - 1}{1-m}, \quad \beta = \frac{1}{2 - \gamma(1-m)}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{2}{1-m} < \gamma < \frac{n-2}{m}. \quad (1.9)$$

It is proved in [HK] that such function f with α, β satisfying (1.9) satisfies

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r^{\alpha/\beta} f(r) = A \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{\frac{n-2}{m}} f(r) = D_A$$

for some constant $A > 0$ and some constant $D_A > 0$ depending on A . Thus the behaviour of the solution f of (1.5) with α, β satisfying (1.6) that blows up at the origin is completely different from the behaviour of the solution f of (1.5) with α, β satisfying (1.9) that blows up at the origin.

In section 3, we prove the higher order asymptotics of the eternal self-similar solutions near the origin, Theorem 1.3, by using modifications of the proofs in [Hs4].

In section 4, as a consequence, we will prove the existence, uniqueness, and asymptotic large time behaviour of the singular solutions of (1.3).

Precisely, by using Theorem 1.3, we have L^1 -contraction with weight $|x|^{-\mu}$ ($0 < \mu \leq n - 2/(1 - m)$), Theorem 1.5. Therefore, we prove the existence and uniqueness of the singular solution to the Cauchy problem (1.3), which blows up at the origin for all time $t > 0$ when $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $n \geq 3$, and the initial value u_0 lies between two solutions of (1.5) which blow up at the origin with α, β satisfying (1.6).

Furthermore, for $n = 3, 4$, $\frac{n-2}{n+2} \leq m < \frac{n-2}{n}$ and α, β given by (1.6), we prove L^1 -contraction with a positive power of the self-similar function f_λ as the weight function, Theorem 1.7. Therefore, we have the asymptotic large time behaviour of the singular solution of (1.3), under some appropriate conditions on the initial value u_0 , Theorem 1.8. More precisely, if u is the solution of (1.3), then under appropriate condition on the initial value u_0 , we proved that the rescaled function

$$\tilde{u}(x, t) = e^{\alpha t} u(e^{\beta t} x, t) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, t \geq 0 \quad (1.10)$$

converges uniformly on every compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ to some radially symmetric function f which satisfies (1.5) and blows up at the origin as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Note that the rescaled function \tilde{u} satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{u}_t = \frac{n-1}{m} \Delta \tilde{u}^m + \alpha \tilde{u} + \beta y \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} & \text{in } (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, \infty), \\ \tilde{u}(\cdot, 0) = u_0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}. \end{cases} \quad (1.11)$$

In section 5, we prove the asymptotic large time behaviour of the radially symmetric singular solutions of (1.3), Theorem 1.9. If u is a radially symmetric solution of (1.1), then the function

$$\bar{u}(x, t) = |x|^{-\frac{n-2}{m}} u(r^{-1}, t) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, r = |x| > 0, t > 0, \quad (1.12)$$

satisfies

$$\bar{u}_t = \frac{n-1}{m} |x|^{n+2-\frac{n-2}{m}} \Delta \bar{u}^m \quad \text{in } (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, \infty). \quad (1.13)$$

Hence if u is a radially symmetric solution of (1.3), then the function \bar{u} given by (1.12) satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \bar{u}_t = \frac{n-1}{m} |x|^{n+2-\frac{n-2}{m}} \Delta \bar{u}^m & \text{in } (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, \infty) \\ \bar{u}(\cdot, 0) = r^{-\frac{n-2}{m}} u_0(r^{-1}) =: \bar{u}_0(r) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}. \end{cases} \quad (1.14)$$

By studying the asymptotic large time behaviour of the radially symmetric solution \bar{u} of (1.14), we obtain the asymptotic large time behaviour of the radially symmetric solution u of (1.3), which blow up at the origin with initial value u_0 lying between two solutions of (1.5) that blow up at the origin for some constants α, β satisfying (1.6) for the case $3 \leq n < 8$ and $1 - \sqrt{2/n} \leq m < \min\left(\frac{2(n-2)}{3n}, \frac{n-2}{n+2}\right)$.

We note that in section 2, the inversion technique of K.M. Hui and Soojung Kim [HK] is exploited to study the existence and uniqueness of the solution of (1.5) with α, β satisfying (1.6). More precisely, if f is a solution of (1.5), then as proved by K.M. Hui and Soojung Kim [HK] the function g given by

$$g(r) := r^{-\frac{n-2}{m}} f(r^{-1}) \quad \forall r > 0, \quad (1.15)$$

satisfies

$$\frac{n-1}{m} \left((g^m)_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r} (g^m)_r \right) + r^{\frac{n-2}{m}-n-2} (\tilde{\alpha}g + \tilde{\beta}rg_r) = 0, \quad g > 0, \quad \text{in } (0, \infty), \quad (1.16)$$

where

$$\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha - \frac{n-2}{m}\beta \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\beta} = -\beta. \quad (1.17)$$

Conversely, if g is a solution of (1.16) with $\alpha, \beta, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ satisfying (1.6) and (1.17) respectively, then the function f given by

$$f(r) := r^{-\frac{n-2}{m}} g(r^{-1}) \quad \forall r > 0, \quad (1.18)$$

satisfies (1.5) with α, β satisfying (1.6). Hence, the existence of a solution f of (1.5) which blows up at the origin follows from the existence of a solution g of (1.16) which was proved in [HK]. We will also use a modification of the proof of S.Y. Hsu [Hs1] to prove the growth rate of the solution g of (1.16) at infinity which by (1.18) then implies the blow up rate of f at the origin.

In the rest of the paper, unless otherwise stated, we will let $n \geq 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$ and let $\alpha, \beta, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ be as given by (1.6) and (1.17), respectively. If u is the solution of (1.1), we will let \tilde{u} and \bar{u} be as given by (1.10) and (1.12), respectively. Note that by (1.6) and (1.17),

$$\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}} = -\frac{2}{1-m} + \frac{n-2}{m} \in \left(0, \frac{n-2}{m} \right), \quad \tilde{\beta} > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\alpha} > 0. \quad (1.19)$$

1.2. Definitions. For any function $k : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, let

$$L^1(k; \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) := \left\{ h \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |h(x)|k(x) dx < \infty \right\}$$

with the norm

$$\|h\|_{L^1(k; \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |h(x)|k(x) dx.$$

For any $0 \leq u_0 \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$, we say that u is a solution of (1.3) if $u > 0$ in $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, \infty)$ satisfies (1.1) in $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, \infty)$ in the classical sense and

$$\|u(\cdot, t) - u_0\|_{L^1(K)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0$$

for any compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

For any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $R > 0$, we let $B_R(x_0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - x_0| < R\}$ and $B_R = B_R(0)$. For any $R > 1$, let $A_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 1/R < |x| < R\}$. For any set E we let χ_E be the characteristic function of E . We also let ω_n denote the surface area of $S^{n-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| = 1\}$.

1.3. Main theorems. The main results are the following.

Theorem 1.1 (Existence of self-similar profile). *Let $n \geq 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, and α, β be as given by (1.6). Then for any constant $A > 0$ there exists a unique solution $f = f_{\beta, A}$ of (1.5) which satisfies (1.8). Moreover, f satisfies (1.7) and*

$$\alpha f(r) + \beta r f_r(r) > 0 \quad \forall r > 0. \quad (1.20)$$

Let f_1 be the unique radially symmetric solution of (1.4) which satisfies (1.8) with $A = 1$ given by Theorem 1.1,

$$f_\lambda(x) = \lambda^{\frac{2}{1-m}} f_1(\lambda x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, \lambda > 0, \quad (1.21)$$

$$g_\lambda(r) = r^{-\frac{n-2}{m}} f_\lambda(r^{-1}) \quad \forall r > 0, \lambda > 0, \quad (1.22)$$

$$U_\lambda(x, t) = e^{-\alpha t} f_\lambda(e^{-\beta t} x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, t \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda > 0, \quad (1.23)$$

and

$$\overline{U}_\lambda(r, t) = e^{-\tilde{\alpha}t} g_\lambda(e^{-\tilde{\beta}t} r) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, t \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda > 0, \quad (1.24)$$

for the rest of the paper. Note that by (1.22), (1.23) and (1.24).

$$\overline{U}_\lambda(r, t) = r^{-\frac{n-2}{m}} U_\lambda(r^{-1}, t) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, t \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda > 0. \quad (1.25)$$

Hence the definition (1.24) is consistent with the definition (1.12).

Remark 1.2. *The function f_λ satisfies (1.5) with*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{r^2 f_\lambda(r)^{1-m}}{\log r^{-1}} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{(\lambda r)^2 f_1(\lambda r)^{1-m}}{\log \lambda - \log(\lambda r)} = \frac{2(n-1)(n-2-nm)}{|\beta|(1-m)} \quad (1.26)$$

and

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{\frac{n-2}{m}} f_\lambda(r) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{\frac{2}{1-m} - \frac{n-2}{m}} (\lambda r)^{\frac{n-2}{m}} f_1(\lambda r) = \lambda^{\frac{2}{1-m} - \frac{n-2}{m}}. \quad (1.27)$$

Hence by Theorem 1.1, $f_\lambda = f_{\beta, A}$ is the unique solution of (1.5) which satisfies (1.7) and (1.8) with $A = \lambda^{-\gamma_1}$, where

$$\gamma_1 = \frac{n-2}{m} - \frac{2}{1-m} = \frac{n-2-nm}{m(1-m)} > 0. \quad (1.28)$$

Note that since f_1 satisfies (1.8) with $A = 1$, there exists a constant $r_1 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq r^{\frac{n-2}{m}} f_1(r) \leq \frac{3}{2} \quad \forall r \geq r_1.$$

Hence, by (1.21),

$$\frac{1}{2} \lambda^{\frac{2}{1-m} - \frac{n-2}{m}} \leq r^{\frac{n-2}{m}} f_\lambda(r) \leq \frac{3}{2} \lambda^{\frac{2}{1-m} - \frac{n-2}{m}} \quad \forall r \geq r_1/\lambda.$$

Moreover, by (1.26), there exist constants $c_2 > c_1 > 0$ such that for any $\lambda > 0$, there exists a constant $r_2 = r_2(\lambda) > 0$ such that

$$c_1 \left(\frac{\log r^{-1}}{r^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-m}} \leq f_\lambda(r) \leq c_2 \left(\frac{\log r^{-1}}{r^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-m}} \quad \forall 0 < r < r_2(\lambda).$$

Theorem 1.3 (Higher order asymptotic of self-similar solutions near the origin). *Let $n \geq 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $\lambda > 0$, $A > 0$, and $\alpha, \beta, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \gamma_1$ be as given in (1.6), (1.17), and (1.28) respectively. Let*

$$a_1 := \frac{(n-2-(n+2)m)^2}{4(n-2-nm)^2} - \frac{(1-m)^2 a_2(1,1)}{4(n-1)(n-2-nm)^2} \quad (1.29)$$

and

$$K_0 = \frac{(1-m)K(1,1)}{2(n-1)(n-2-nm)}, \quad (1.30)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_2(\eta, \tilde{\beta}) &= \frac{2(1-2m)(n-1)(n-2-nm)}{(1-m)^2} + \frac{(n-1)(n-2-(n+2)m)^2}{(1-m)^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{(n-2-(n+2)m)}{(1-m)} K(\eta, \tilde{\beta}) \tilde{\beta} \end{aligned} \quad (1.31)$$

and $K(\eta, \tilde{\beta})$ is given by (3.23) for $m \neq \frac{n-2}{n+2}$ and by (3.26) for $m = \frac{n-2}{n+2}$ respectively. Let

$$a_3(A, \tilde{\beta}) = a_1 + \frac{(n-2-(n+2)m)}{2(n-2-nm)\gamma_1} \log(A \tilde{\beta}^{\frac{1}{1-m}}). \quad (1.32)$$

Let $f_{\beta,A}$ be the unique solution of (1.5) which satisfies (1.8) and let $f_\lambda(r)$ be as given by (1.21). Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\beta,A}^{1-m}(r) = & \frac{2(n-1)(n-2-nm)}{(1-m)|\beta|r^2} \left\{ \log r^{-1} + \frac{(n-2-(n+2)m)}{2(n-2-nm)} \log(\log r^{-1}) \right. \\ & + K_0 + \frac{1}{\gamma_1} \log A + \frac{m}{n-2-nm} \log |\beta| + \frac{a_3(A, \tilde{\beta})}{\log r^{-1}} \\ & \left. + \frac{(n-2-(n+2)m)^2}{4(n-2-nm)^2} \cdot \frac{\log(\log r^{-1})}{\log r^{-1}} + o\left(\frac{1}{\log r^{-1}}\right) \right\} \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0 \quad (1.33) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f_\lambda^{1-m}(r) = & \frac{2(n-1)(n-2-nm)}{(1-m)|\beta|r^2} \left\{ \log r^{-1} + \frac{(n-2-(n+2)m)}{2(n-2-nm)} \log(\log r^{-1}) \right. \\ & + K_0 - \log \lambda + \frac{m}{n-2-nm} \log |\beta| + \frac{a_3(\lambda^{-\gamma_1}, \tilde{\beta})}{\log r^{-1}} \\ & \left. + \frac{(n-2-(n+2)m)^2}{4(n-2-nm)^2} \cdot \frac{\log(\log r^{-1})}{\log r^{-1}} + o\left(\frac{1}{\log r^{-1}}\right) \right\} \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0. \quad (1.34) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.4 (L^1 -contraction with weight $|x|^{-\mu}$). Let $n \geq 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > 0$, and α, β be as given by (1.6). Let

$$\mu_1 = n - \frac{2}{1-m}$$

and $f_{\lambda_i}, U_{\lambda_i}, i = 1, 2$ be as given by (1.21) and (1.23) respectively with $\lambda = \lambda_1, \lambda_2$. Let $u_{0,1}, u_{0,2}$ satisfy

$$f_{\lambda_1}(x) \leq u_{0,i}(x) \leq f_{\lambda_2}(x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \quad \forall i = 1, 2 \quad (1.35)$$

and let u_1, u_2 be the solutions of (1.3) with initial values $u_{0,1}, u_{0,2}$, respectively which satisfy

$$U_{\lambda_1}(x, t) \leq u_i(x, t) \leq U_{\lambda_2}(x, t) \quad \text{in } (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, \infty) \quad \forall i = 1, 2. \quad (1.36)$$

Suppose that

$$u_{0,1} - u_{0,2} \in L^1(|x|^{-\mu}; \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \quad (1.37)$$

holds for some constant $\mu \in (0, \mu_1]$. Then for

$$\mu < \mu_1 \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} \mu = \mu_1 \\ 0 < m < \min\left(\frac{n-2}{n}, \frac{1}{2}\right), \end{cases} \quad (1.38)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |u_1 - u_2|(x, t) |x|^{-\mu} dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |u_{0,1} - u_{0,2}|(x) |x|^{-\mu} dx \quad \forall t > 0 \quad (1.39)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} (u_1 - u_2)_+(x, t) |x|^{-\mu} dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} (u_{0,1} - u_{0,2})_+(x) |x|^{-\mu} dx \quad \forall t > 0 \quad (1.40)$$

hold.

Theorem 1.5 (Existence and uniqueness of solution). Let $n \geq 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, and α, β be as given by (1.6). Let $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > 0$ and $f_{\lambda_i}, U_{\lambda_i}, i = 1, 2$ be as given by (1.21) and (1.23) respectively with $\lambda = \lambda_1, \lambda_2$. Suppose u_0 satisfy

$$f_{\lambda_1} \leq u_0 \leq f_{\lambda_2} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}. \quad (1.41)$$

Then (1.3) has a unique solution u which satisfies

$$u_t \leq \frac{u}{(1-m)t} \quad \text{in } (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, \infty) \quad (1.42)$$

and

$$U_{\lambda_1}(x, t) \leq u(x, t) \leq U_{\lambda_2}(x, t) \quad \text{in } (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, \infty). \quad (1.43)$$

Hence if u_0 is radially symmetric, then for any $t > 0$, $u(x, t)$ is radially symmetric in $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

Remark 1.6. If $u_{0,1}$, $u_{0,2}$, u_1 , u_2 , and μ are as given by Theorem 1.4, then by Theorem 1.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |\bar{u}_1 - \bar{u}_2|(x, t)|x|^{-\mu} dx &= e^{(\alpha - \beta n + \beta \mu)t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |u_1 - u_2|(y, t)|y|^{-\mu} dy \quad \forall t > 0, 0 < \mu \leq \mu_1 \\ &\leq e^{(\alpha - \beta n + \beta \mu)t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |\bar{u}_{0,1} - \bar{u}_{0,2}|(x)|x|^{-\mu} dx \quad \forall t > 0, 0 < \mu \leq \mu_1. \end{aligned} \quad (1.44)$$

Since $\mu \in (0, \mu_1]$, $\alpha - \beta n + \beta \mu \geq 0$. Hence one does not know whether the left hand side of (1.44) will converge to zero as t goes to infinity. Thus for the asymptotic large time behaviour of the solutions of (1.3), we need another L^1 -contraction result for the solutions of (1.3) with weight $f_\lambda^{m\gamma}$ for some constant $\gamma > 0$ that will imply the difference of the rescaled solutions of (1.3) in the weighted L^1 -norm converges to zero as t goes to infinity.

Theorem 1.7. Let $n = 3, 4$, $\frac{n-2}{n+2} \leq m < \frac{n-2}{n}$,

$$\gamma_2 := \frac{1-m}{2m} \left(n - \frac{2}{1-m} \right), \quad (1.45)$$

and α, β be as given by (1.6). Let $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > 0$, $\lambda_3 > 0$, and $f_\lambda, U_{\lambda_i}, i = 1, 2, 3$, be as given by (1.21) and (1.23) respectively with $\lambda = \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$. Let $u_{0,1}, u_{0,2}$ satisfy (1.35),

$$u_{0,1} - u_{0,2} \in L^1 \left(f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_2}; \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \right) \quad (1.46)$$

and let u_1, u_2 be the solutions of (1.3) with initial values $u_{0,1}, u_{0,2}$, respectively which satisfy (1.36). Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |u_1 - u_2|(x, t) f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_2}(x) dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |u_{0,1} - u_{0,2}|(x) f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_2}(x) dx \quad \forall t > 0. \quad (1.47)$$

We note that when $\frac{n-2}{n+2} \leq m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, (1.47) implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |\bar{u}_1 - \bar{u}_2|(y, t) f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_2}(y) dy \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |u_{0,1}(y) - u_{0,2}(y)| f_{e^{-\beta t} \lambda_3}^{m\gamma_2}(y) dy \quad \forall t > 0$$

and the right hand side converges to zero as t goes to infinity, since by Remark 2.7 $f_\lambda(x)$ converges to zero for any $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 1.8 (Asymptotic behaviour of solutions). Let $n = 3, 4$, $\frac{n-2}{n+2} \leq m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, and α, β, γ_2 be as given by (1.6) and (1.45) respectively. Let $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_0 \geq \lambda_2 > 0$, $\lambda_3 > 0$, and $f_{\lambda_i}, i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ be as given by (1.21) with $\lambda = \lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$. Let u_0 satisfy (1.41) and

$$u_0 - f_{\lambda_0} \in L^1 \left(f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma}; \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \right). \quad (1.48)$$

Let u be the solution of (1.3) which satisfies (1.43) and let \bar{u} be given by (1.10). Then $\bar{u}(\cdot, t)$ converges uniformly in $C^2(K)$ for any compact subset K of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ to f_{λ_0} as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\bar{u}(\cdot, t) \rightarrow f_{\lambda_0} \quad \text{in } L^1 \left(f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma}; \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \right) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.49)$$

Theorem 1.9 (Asymptotic behaviour of radially symmetric solutions). *Let*

$$3 \leq n < 8 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} \leq m < \min\left(\frac{2(n-2)}{3n}, \frac{n-2}{n+2}\right), \quad (1.50)$$

and $\alpha, \beta, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ be as given by (1.6) and (1.17) respectively and

$$\gamma_3 := \frac{1}{m} \left(\frac{n\tilde{\beta}}{\tilde{\alpha}} - 1 \right) = \frac{n(1-m)}{n-2-nm} - \frac{1}{m} \quad (1.51)$$

Let $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_0 \geq \lambda_2 > 0$, $\lambda_3 > 0$, and f_{λ_i} , $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ be as given by (1.21) with $\lambda = \lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$. Let u_0 be a radially symmetric function that satisfy (1.41) and

$$u_0 - f_{\lambda_0} \in L^1\left(|x|^{\frac{n-2}{m}+(n-2)\gamma_3-2n} f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_3}; \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}\right). \quad (1.52)$$

Let u be the solution of (1.3) which satisfies (1.43) and let \tilde{u} be given by (1.10). Then $\tilde{u}(\cdot, t)$ converges uniformly in $C^2(K)$ for any compact subset $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ to f_{λ_0} as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\tilde{u}(\cdot, t) \rightarrow f_{\lambda_0} \quad \text{in } L^1\left(|x|^{\frac{n-2}{m}+(n-2)\gamma_3-2n} f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_3}; \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}\right) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.53)$$

2. EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF RADIALLY SYMMETRIC ETERNAL SELF-SIMILAR SOLUTIONS

In this section, by using the inversion method of K.M. Hui and Soojung Kim [HK], we will prove the existence of solution f of (1.5) which blow up at the origin with α, β as given by (1.6). We will also prove the growth estimates (1.7) and (1.8) for such solution f and the uniqueness of such solution. We first recall a result of [HK].

Theorem 2.1 (Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.4 of [HK]). *Let $n \geq 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $\tilde{\alpha} > 0$, $\tilde{\beta} > 0$, $\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}} \leq \frac{n-2}{m}$, and $\eta > 0$.*

(a) *If $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n+1}$, then there exists a unique solution $g \in C^1([0, \infty); \mathbb{R}) \cap C^2((0, \infty); \mathbb{R})$ of (1.16) which satisfies*

$$g(0) = \eta \quad \text{and} \quad g_r(0) = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

(b) *If $\frac{n-2}{n+1} \leq m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, then there exists a unique solution $g \in C^{0,\delta_0}([0, \infty); \mathbb{R}) \cap C^2((0, \infty); \mathbb{R})$ of (1.16) which satisfies*

$$g(0) = \eta \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} r^{\delta_1} g_r(r) = -\frac{\tilde{\alpha}\eta^{2-m}}{n-2-2m}, \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\delta_1 = 1 - \frac{n-2-nm}{m} \in [0, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_0 = \frac{1-\delta_1}{2} = \frac{n-2-nm}{2m} \in (0, 1/2]. \quad (2.3)$$

Moreover

$$g(r) + \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{\tilde{\alpha}} r g_r(r) > 0 \quad \forall r > 0 \quad (2.4)$$

and hence

$$(g^m)_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r} (g^m)_r < 0 \quad \forall r > 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Unless otherwise stated, we will use $g = g_{\tilde{\beta}, \eta}(r)$ to denote the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{n-1}{m} \left((g^m)_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r} (g^m)_r \right) + r^{\frac{n-2}{m}-n-2} (\tilde{\alpha}g + \tilde{\beta}r g_r) = 0, & g > 0, \quad \text{in } (0, \infty), \\ g(0) = \eta, \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

for some constant $\eta > 0$ given by Theorem 2.1 and let

$$w(r) := r^{(1-m)\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}}} g(r)^{1-m}. \quad (2.7)$$

By abuse of notation, we will also let $g(x)$ denote the radially symmetric function on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ with value $g(|x|)$.

Lemma 2.2.

$$w_r(r) > 0 \quad \forall r > 0.$$

Proof. By (2.4),

$$w_r(r) = (1-m)\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}} r^{\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}}(1-m)-1} g(r)^{-m} \left(g(r) + \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{\tilde{\alpha}} r g_r(r) \right) > 0 \quad \forall r > 0 \quad (2.8)$$

and the lemma follows. \square

Let $q(r) = r^{\tilde{\alpha}/\tilde{\beta}} g(r)$, $s = \log r$, and $\tilde{q}(s) = q(r)$. Then

$$r^2 (q^m)_{rr} + \left(n-1 - \frac{2m\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}} \right) r (q^m)_r - \frac{m\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}} \left(n-2 - \frac{m\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}} \right) q^m + \frac{m\tilde{\beta}}{n-1} r q_r = 0 \quad \forall r > 0$$

and

$$(\tilde{q}^m)_{ss} + \left(n-2 - \frac{2m\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}} \right) (\tilde{q}^m)_s - \frac{m\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}} \left(n-2 - \frac{m\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}} \right) \tilde{q}^m + \frac{m\tilde{\beta}}{n-1} \tilde{q}_s = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.9)$$

Let $\tilde{w}(s) = \tilde{q}^{1-m}(s)$. Then $w(r) = \tilde{w}(s)$ and

$$\tilde{w}_{ss} = \frac{1-2m}{1-m} \cdot \frac{\tilde{w}_s^2}{\tilde{w}} - \frac{(n+2)m - (n-2)}{1-m} \tilde{w}_s + \frac{2(n-2-nm)}{1-m} \tilde{w} - \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{n-1} \tilde{w}\tilde{w}_s \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R} \quad (2.10)$$

Hence

$$w_{rr} + \left(n-1 - \frac{2m\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}} \right) \frac{w_r}{r} - \frac{1-2m}{1-m} \frac{w_r^2}{w} + \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{n-1} \frac{w w_r}{r} - \frac{2(n-2-nm)}{1-m} \frac{w}{r^2} = 0 \quad \forall r > 0. \quad (2.11)$$

Let

$$b_0 = \left(n-2 - \frac{2\tilde{\alpha}m}{\tilde{\beta}} \right) = \frac{(n+2)m - (n-2)}{1-m} \quad \text{and} \quad b_1 = \frac{2(n-2-nm)}{1-m}. \quad (2.12)$$

Since (2.10) and (2.11) are of the same form as (3.7) and (3.8) of [Hs1], by the same argument as the proof of Lemma 3.2 of [Hs1] but with (2.10) and (2.11) replacing (3.7), (3.8) in the proof there, the following result is obtained. We briefly introduce the proof of the following lemma, for the reader's convenience.

Lemma 2.3. *There exist positive constants C_1, C_2 and C_3 such that*

$$\frac{r w_r(r)}{w(r)} \leq C_1, \quad \forall r \geq 0 \quad (2.13)$$

and

$$C_2 \leq r w_r(r) \leq C_3, \quad \forall r \geq 1. \quad (2.14)$$

Moreover

$$w(r) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.15)$$

Proof. First, we note that $\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} q(s) = 0$, $\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \tilde{q}_s(-\infty) = 0$ and by Lemma 2.2, $\tilde{q}_s > 0$ on $(-\infty, \infty)$. If $b_0 \geq 0$, then by (2.9),

$$(\tilde{q}^m)_{ss} - \hat{b}_1 \tilde{q}^m \leq 0 \Rightarrow (\tilde{q}^m)_s \leq b_1 \tilde{q}^m \Rightarrow \frac{\tilde{q}_s}{\tilde{q}} \leq \frac{b_1}{m} \Rightarrow \frac{rw_s}{w} \leq \frac{(1-m)b_1}{m}, \quad \forall r \geq 0$$

and (2.13) follows.

If $b_0 < 0$, by (2.9),

$$(\tilde{q}^m)_{ss} + b_0(\tilde{q}^m)_s - \hat{b}_1 q^m \leq 0. \quad (2.16)$$

Let $p = \frac{(\tilde{q}^m)'}{\tilde{q}^m}$. Then by (2.16),

$$p' = \frac{(\tilde{q}^m)''}{\tilde{q}^m} - \frac{((\tilde{q}^m)')^2}{\tilde{q}^m} \leq |b_0|p + \hat{b}_1 - p^2 = -(p - (|b_0|/2))^2 + \hat{b}_1 + (b_0^2/4). \quad (2.17)$$

Let

$$b_2 = \max\left(\frac{3m}{2} \frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}}, \sqrt{\hat{b}_1 + b_0^2} + |b_0|\right).$$

We claim that

$$p(s) \leq b_2 \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.18)$$

Suppose (2.18) does not hold. Then there exists $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $p(s_0) > b_2$. Since

$$p(s) = m \frac{\tilde{q}'}{\tilde{q}} = \frac{m}{1-m} \frac{\tilde{w}'}{\tilde{w}} = \frac{m}{1-m} \frac{rw'}{w} = m \frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}} \left(1 + \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{\tilde{\alpha}} \frac{rg'}{g}\right), \quad (2.19)$$

$p(s) \rightarrow m \frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}}$ as $s \rightarrow -\infty$. Let $s_1 = \inf\{s' < s_0 : p(s) > b_2, \forall s' \leq s \leq s_0\}$. Then, we know that $-\infty < s_1 < s_0$, $p(s) > b_2$ for all $s \in (s_1, s_0)$ and $p(s_1) = b_2$. By (2.17), $p' < 0$ for all $s \in (s_1, s_0)$. Hence $p(s_0) \leq p(s_1) = b_2$. Thus contradiction arises and (2.18) follows. Then by (2.18) and (2.19), (2.13) holds with $C_1 = b_2 \frac{1-m}{m}$.

The inequalities (2.14) and the limit of w (2.15) are proved exactly the same argument as the proof of Lemma 3.2 of [Hs1], with constants $a_1 = \frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}}(1-m) \left(n - 2 - \frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}}m\right) = \frac{m}{1-m}b_1 > 0$, $a_2 = \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{(n-1)a_1}$, $a_3 = a_1^{-1} \max(|b_0|, \frac{|1-2m|}{|1-m|w(1)})$, $C_2' = \min\left(1, (2a_2)^{-1}, \tilde{w}(1)/(8a_3), \sqrt{\tilde{w}(1)/(8a_3)}\right)$, $a_4 = \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{3(n-1)}$, and $a_5 = \frac{\tilde{\beta}(1-m)}{3(n-1)}$. Thus, we refer to [Hs1] for the rest of the proof. \square

Theorem 2.4.

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{w}(s)}{s} = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{w(r)}{\log r} = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} rw_r = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{w}_s(s) = \frac{2(n-1)(n-2-nm)}{(1-m)\tilde{\beta}}. \quad (2.20)$$

Proof. We will use a modification of the proof of Theorem 1.3 of [Hs1] to prove this theorem. Let b_0 and b_1 be as given by (2.12) and let $v(r) = rw_r(r)$

$$a_0 = \frac{n-1}{\tilde{\beta}}b_1, \text{ and } v_1(r) = v(r) - a_0. \quad (2.21)$$

By (2.11) and a direct computation,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(r^{b_0} v(r) w^{\frac{2m-1}{1-m}}\right)_r &= \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{n-1} \cdot \frac{w^{\frac{m}{1-m}}}{r^{1-b_0}} (a_0 - v(r)) \quad \forall r > 0 \\ \Rightarrow v_{1,r} + \frac{b_0}{r} v_1 + \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{n-1} \frac{w(r)}{r} v_1 &= \frac{1-2m}{1-m} \cdot \frac{v(r)^2}{rw(r)} - \frac{b_0 a_0}{r} \quad \forall r > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

Let $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that $r_i \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemma 2.3, there exist positive constants C_1, C_2 and C_3 such that (2.13) and (2.14) hold. Then

by (2.14), there exists a subsequence of the sequence $\{v(r_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, which we still denote by $\{v(r_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that the subsequence converges to some constant v_{∞} as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

$$C_2 \leq v_{\infty} \leq C_3. \quad (2.23)$$

Let

$$\psi_1(r) = \exp\left(\frac{\bar{\beta}}{n-1} \int_1^r \rho^{-1} w(\rho) d\rho\right).$$

Then by (2.22),

$$\begin{aligned} r^{b_0} \psi_1(r) v_1(r) &= f_1(1) v_1(1) - a_0 b_0 \int_1^r \rho^{b_0-1} \psi_1(\rho) d\rho \\ &\quad + \frac{1-2m}{1-m} \int_1^r \rho^{b_0-1} v(\rho)^2 \psi_1(\rho) w(\rho)^{-1} d\rho \quad \forall r \geq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

By (2.15) there exists a constant $r_0 > 1$ such that

$$w(r) > \frac{n-1}{\bar{\beta}} (|b_0| + 2) \quad \forall r \geq r_0.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1(r) &\geq \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{|b_0|+2} \quad \forall r \geq r_0 \\ \Rightarrow r^{b_0-1} \psi_1(r) &\geq \frac{r^{|b_0|+b_0+1}}{r_0^{|b_0|+2}} \geq r_0^{b_0-2} r \quad \forall r \geq r_0 \\ \Rightarrow r^{b_0-1} \psi_1(r) &\rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad r \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

By (2.14), (2.15), (2.25), and the l'Hospital rule,

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r^{b_0-1} \psi_1(r)}{w(r)} &= \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(b_0 - 1 + \frac{\bar{\beta}}{n-1} w(r)\right) r^{b_0-1} \psi_1(r)}{r w_r(r)} = \infty \\ \Rightarrow \int_1^r \frac{r^{b_0-1} v(\rho)^2 \psi_1(\rho)}{w(\rho)} d\rho &\rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

On the other hand by (2.15), (2.25) and the l'Hospital rule,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_1^r \rho^{b_0-1} \psi_1(\rho) d\rho}{r^{b_0} \psi_1(r)} &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r^{b_0-1} \psi_1(r)}{b_0 r^{b_0-1} \psi_1(r) + \frac{\bar{\beta}}{n-1} r^{b_0-1} w(r) \psi_1(r)} \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b_0 + \frac{\bar{\beta}}{n-1} w(r)} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

By (2.15), (2.23), (2.24), (2.25), (2.26), (2.27), and the l'Hospital rule,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} v_1(r_i) &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi_1(1) v_1(1) - a_0 b_0 \int_1^{r_i} \rho^{b_0-1} \psi_1(\rho) d\rho + \frac{1-2m}{1-m} \int_1^{r_i} \frac{\rho^{b_0-1} v(\rho)^2 \psi_1(\rho)}{w(\rho)} d\rho}{r_i^{b_0} \psi_1(r_i)} \\ &= \frac{1-2m}{1-m} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_i^{b_0-1} v(r_i)^2 \psi_1(r_i) w(r_i)^{-1}}{b_0 r^{b_0-1} \psi_1(r_i) + \frac{\bar{\beta}}{n-1} r^{b_0-1} w(r_i) \psi_1(r_i)} \\ &= \frac{1-2m}{1-m} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v(r_i)^2 w(r_i)^{-1}}{b_0 + \frac{\bar{\beta}}{n-1} w(r_i)} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} v(r_i) = a_0$. Since the sequence $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is arbitrary, $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} v(r) = a_0$ and the theorem follows. \square

Theorem 2.5. *For any constant $A > 0$, there exists a solution f of (1.5) which satisfies (1.7), (1.8) and (1.20).*

Proof. Let g be the unique solution of (2.6) given by Theorem 2.1 with $\eta = A$. Then the function f given by (1.18) satisfies (1.5). By (1.18), (1.19), (2.6) and Theorem 2.4, (1.7) and (1.8) hold. By (1.6), (1.19) and (2.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha f(r) + \beta r f_r(r) &= \beta \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} f(r) + r f_r(r) \right) \\ &= \beta r^{-\frac{n-2}{m}} \left(\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} - \frac{n-2}{m} \right) g(r^{-1}) - r^{-1} g_r(r^{-1}) \right) \\ &= \tilde{\beta} r^{-\frac{n-2}{m}} \left(\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}} g(r^{-1}) + r^{-1} g_r(r^{-1}) \right) > 0 \quad \forall r > 0 \end{aligned}$$

and (1.20) follows. \square

We next observe that the proof of Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.3, and Remark 2 of [HK] still holds when α, β are given by (1.6). Hence we have the following results.

Lemma 2.6 (cf. Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3 of [HK]). *Let $n \geq 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, and α, β be as given by (1.6). Let f be a solution of (1.5) which satisfies (1.8) for some positive constant A . Let $g, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ be as given by (1.15) and (1.17) respectively. Then f satisfies (1.20) and g is equal to the solution of (2.6) given by Theorem 2.1 with $\eta = A$.*

Remark 2.7 (cf. Remark 2 of [HK]). *Let f_λ be as given by (1.21). Then*

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} f_\lambda(r) < 0 \quad \forall r > 0, \lambda > 0.$$

Moreover for any $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > 0$, there exists a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that

$$c_0 f_{\lambda_2}(r) \leq f_{\lambda_1}(r) < f_{\lambda_2}(r) \quad \forall r > 0.$$

We are now ready for the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Theorem 2.5, for any $A > 0$, there exists a solution $f = f_{\beta, A}$ of (1.5) which satisfies (1.7), (1.8) and (1.20). It remains to prove the uniqueness of solution of (1.5) that satisfies (1.8). Suppose (1.5) has two solutions f_1, f_2 , that satisfy (1.8). Let g_1, g_2 be given by (1.15) with $f = f_1, f_2$. By Lemma 2.6 both g_1 and g_2 are the solution of (2.6) with $\eta = A$ given by Theorem 2.1. Hence by (1.15) and Theorem 2.1,

$$g_1(r) = g_2(r) \quad \forall r \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad f_1(r) = f_2(r) \quad \forall r > 0$$

and the theorem follows. \square

3. HIGHER ORDER ASYMPTOTICS OF ETERNAL SELF-SIMILAR SOLUTIONS NEAR THE ORIGIN

In this section, we will use a modification of the technique of [CD] and [Hs4] to prove the higher order asymptotic of the eternal self-similar solutions near the origin. Since the proofs are similar to that of [CD] and [Hs4], we will only sketch the proofs here.

By (2.10),

$$\widetilde{w}_{ss} = \left(\frac{1-2m}{1-m} \right) \frac{\widetilde{w}_s^2}{\widetilde{w}} + \frac{n-2-(n+2)m}{1-m} \widetilde{w}_s + \frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{n-1} \left(\frac{2(n-1)(n-2-nm)}{(1-m)\widetilde{\beta}} - \widetilde{w}_s \right) \widetilde{w} \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.1)$$

Note that this is the same as (2.2) of [Hs4] if $\widetilde{\beta}$ is replaced by the constant β in the paper and the sign of the second term on the right hand side of (3.1) is change to negative sign. Let

$$h(s) = \widetilde{w}(s) - \frac{2(n-1)(n-2-nm)}{(1-m)\widetilde{\beta}} s. \quad (3.2)$$

Then by (3.1),

$$h_{ss} + \left(\frac{2(n-2-nm)}{1-m} s + \frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{n-1} h - \frac{n-2-(n+2)m}{1-m} \right) h_s = \frac{1-2m}{1-m} \cdot \frac{\widetilde{w}_s^2}{\widetilde{w}} + b_2 \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$b_2 = \frac{2(n-1)(n-2-nm)(n-2-(n+2)m)}{(1-m)^2 \widetilde{\beta}}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let $n \geq 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $m \neq \frac{n-2}{n+2}$ and $\widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{\beta}$ satisfy (1.19). Then h satisfies*

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(s)}{\log s} = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s h_s(s) = \frac{(1-m)b_2}{2(n-2-nm)} = \frac{(n-1)(n-2-(n+2)m)}{(1-m)\widetilde{\beta}}. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. We will use a modification of the proof of Lemma 2.3 of [Hs4] to prove this lemma. We first observe that by Theorem 2.4,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\widetilde{w}_s^2(s)}{\widetilde{w}(s)} = \frac{\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{w}_s^2(s)}{\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s \cdot (\widetilde{w}(s)/s)} = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Then by (3.3) and (3.5) for any $0 < \varepsilon < |b_2|/2$ there exists a constant $s_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$b_2 - \varepsilon \leq h_{ss} + \left(\frac{2(n-2-nm)}{1-m} s + \frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{n-1} h - \frac{n-2-(n+2)m}{1-m} \right) h_s \leq b_2 + \varepsilon \quad \forall s \geq s_1. \quad (3.6)$$

Let

$$\psi(s) = \exp \left(\frac{n-2-nm}{1-m} s^2 + \frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{n-1} \int_1^s h(z) dz - \frac{n-2-(n+2)m}{1-m} s \right). \quad (3.7)$$

Multiplying (3.6) by ψ and integrating over (s_1, s) ,

$$\frac{\psi(s_1)h_s(s_1) + (b_2 - \varepsilon) \int_{s_1}^s \psi(z) dz}{s^{-1}\psi(s)} \leq s h_s(s) \leq \frac{\psi(s_1)h_s(s_1) + (b_2 + \varepsilon) \int_{s_1}^s \psi(z) dz}{s^{-1}\psi(s)} \quad (3.8)$$

holds for any $s \geq s_1$. Note that by Theorem 2.4, $h(s) = o(s)$ and $h(s)/s \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $\psi(s) \rightarrow \infty$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$. Thus by the l'Hospital rule, we have

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(s)}{s} = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \psi(s) \left(\frac{2(n-2-nm)}{1-m} s + \frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{n-1} h(s) - \frac{n-2-(n+2)m}{1-m} \right) = \infty \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{s_1}^s \psi(z) dz}{s^{-1}\psi(s)} &= \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(s)}{-s^{-2}\psi(s) + s^{-1}\psi(s) \left(\frac{2(n-2-nm)}{1-m} s + \frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{n-1} h(s) - \frac{n-2-(n+2)m}{1-m} \right)} \\ &= \frac{(1-m)}{2(n-2-nm)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Letting first $s \rightarrow \infty$ and then $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (3.8), by (3.9) and (3.10) we get (3.4) and the lemma follows. \square

We introduce the result for the case $m = \frac{n-2}{n+2}$ in Proposition 3.1 of [Hs4] and Proposition 2.3 of [CD].

Lemma 3.2. [CD, Hs4] *Let $n \geq 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $m = \frac{n-2}{n+2}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ satisfy (1.19). Then*

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s^2 h_s(s) = \frac{(n-1)(1-2m)}{(1-m)\tilde{\beta}} \quad (3.11)$$

holds.

Proof. Let ψ be as given by (3.7). Since $m = \frac{n-2}{n+2}$, multiplying (3.3) by ψ and integrating over $(1, s)$,

$$s^2 h_s(s) = \frac{\psi(s_1)h_s(s_1) + \frac{(1-2m)}{(1-m)} \int_1^s \frac{\tilde{w}_s^2(z)}{\tilde{w}(z)} \psi(z) dz}{s^{-2}\psi(s)} \quad \forall s \geq 1. \quad (3.12)$$

Hence by (3.9) and the l'Hospital rule,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s^2}{\psi(s)} = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2s}{\psi(s) \left(\frac{2(n-2-nm)}{1-m} s + \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{n-1} h(s) \right)} = 0. \quad (3.13)$$

By Theorem 2.4 and the l'Hospital rule,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_1^s \frac{\tilde{w}_s^2(z)}{\tilde{w}(z)} \psi(z) dz}{s^{-2}\psi(s)} &= \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{\tilde{w}_s^2(s)}{\tilde{w}(s)} \psi(s)}{-2s^{-3}\psi(s) + s^{-2}\psi(s) \left(\frac{2(n-2-nm)}{1-m} s + \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{n-1} h(s) \right)} \\ &= \frac{1-m}{2(n-2-nm)} \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{w}_s^2(s)}{\tilde{w}(s)/s} \\ &= \frac{1-m}{2(n-2-nm)} \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{w}_s \\ &= \frac{n-1}{\tilde{\beta}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Letting $s \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.12), by (3.13) and (3.14) we get (3.11) and the lemma follows. \square

For $m \neq \frac{n-2}{n+2}$, let

$$h_1(s) = h(s) - \frac{(n-1)(n-2-(n+2)m)}{(1-m)\tilde{\beta}} \log s. \quad (3.15)$$

Then, h_1 satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} h_{1,ss} &+ \left(\frac{2(n-2-nm)}{(1-m)} s + \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{n-1} h - \frac{n-2-(n+2)m}{1-m} \right) h_{1,s} \\ &= \frac{1-2m}{1-m} \cdot \frac{\tilde{w}_s^2}{\tilde{w}} + a_3 \left[\frac{1}{s^2} - \left(\frac{\tilde{\beta}}{n-1} h - \frac{n-2-(n+2)m}{1-m} \right) \frac{1}{s} \right] \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

where

$$a_3 = \frac{(n-1)(n-2-(n+2)m)}{(1-m)\tilde{\beta}}.$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let $n \geq 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $m \neq \frac{n-2}{n+2}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ satisfy (1.19). Then*

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s^2 h_{1,s}(s)}{\log s} = -\frac{(n-1)(n-2-(n+2)m)^2}{2(n-2-nm)(1-m)\tilde{\beta}}. \quad (3.17)$$

Proof. We will use a modification of the proof of Lemma 2.5 of [Hs4] to prove this lemma. Let

$$\psi_2(s) = \frac{1-2m}{1-m} \cdot \frac{\tilde{w}_s^2}{\tilde{w}} + a_3 \left[\frac{1}{s^2} - \left(\frac{\tilde{\beta}}{n-1} h - \frac{n-2-(n+2)m}{1-m} \right) \frac{1}{s} \right].$$

Then by Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 3.1,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s\psi_2(s)}{\log s} = -\frac{(n-2-(n+2)m)}{1-m} a_3 = -a_4, \quad (3.18)$$

where

$$a_4 = \frac{(n-1)(n-2-(n+2)m)^2}{(1-m)^2 \tilde{\beta}}. \quad (3.19)$$

By (3.16) and (3.18) for any $0 < \varepsilon < a_4/2$ there exists a constant $s_1 > 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (-a_4 - \varepsilon) \frac{\log s}{s} &\leq h_{1,s} + \left(\frac{2(n-2-nm)}{1-m} s + \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{n-1} h - \frac{n-2-(n+2)m}{1-m} \right) h_{1,s} \\ &\leq (-a_4 + \varepsilon) \frac{\log s}{s} \quad \forall s \geq s_1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

Let ψ be given by (3.7). Multiplying (3.20) by ψ and integrating over (s_1, s) ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{\psi(s_1)h_{1,s}(s_1) + (-a_4 - \varepsilon) \int_{s_1}^s \frac{\log z}{z} \psi(z) dz}{s^{-2}\psi(s) \log s} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{s^2 h_{1,s}(s)}{\log s} \leq \left(\frac{\psi(s_1)h_{1,s}(s_1) + (-a_4 + \varepsilon) \int_{s_1}^s \frac{\log z}{z} \psi(z) dz}{s^{-2}\psi(s) \log s} \right) \quad \forall s \geq s_1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

By the l'Hospital rule and Lemma 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{s_1}^s \frac{\log z}{z} \psi(z) dz}{s^{-2}\psi(s) \log s} \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{\log s}{s} \psi(s)}{s^{-3}\psi(s)(1-2\log s) + s^{-2}\psi(s) \left(\frac{2(n-2-nm)}{1-m} s + \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{n-1} h(s) - \frac{n-2-(n+2)m}{1-m} \right) \log s} \\ &= \frac{1-m}{2(n-2-nm)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Letting first $s \rightarrow \infty$ and then $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (3.21), by (3.22) we get (3.17) and the lemma follows. \square

Corollary 3.4. *Let $n \geq 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $m \neq \frac{n-2}{n+2}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ satisfy (1.19). Then*

$$K(\eta, \tilde{\beta}) := \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} h_1(s) \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{exists} \quad (3.23)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(s) &= K(\eta, \tilde{\beta}) + \frac{(n-1)(n-2-(n+2)m)^2}{2(n-2-nm)(1-m)\tilde{\beta}} \left(\frac{1+\log s}{s} \right) + o\left(\frac{1+\log s}{s} \right) \\ &\text{as } s \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

Proof. We will use a modification of the proof of Corollary 2.6 of [Hs4] to prove this lemma. By Lemma 3.3 there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{s^2 h_{1,s}(s)}{\log s} \right| &\leq C_1 \quad \forall s \geq 2 \\ \Rightarrow |h_1(s_1) - h_1(s_2)| &\leq \int_{s_1}^{s_2} |h_{1,s}(z)| dz \leq C_1 \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{\log z}{z^2} dz \leq C \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{1}{z^{3/2}} dz \leq \frac{C'}{\sqrt{s_1}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

for any $s_2 > s_1 \geq 2$. Hence (3.23) holds. Then by Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} K(\eta, \tilde{\beta}) - h_1(s) &= \int_s^\infty h_{1,s}(z) dz \\ &= \left(-\frac{(n-1)(n-2-(n+2)m)^2}{2(n-2-nm)(1-m)\tilde{\beta}} + o(1) \right) \int_s^\infty \frac{\log z}{z^2} dz \\ &= \left(-\frac{(n-1)(n-2-(n+2)m)^2}{2(n-2-nm)(1-m)\tilde{\beta}} + o(1) \right) \left(\frac{1+\log s}{s} \right) \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

and (3.24) follows. \square

Corollary 3.5. Let $n \geq 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $m = \frac{n-2}{n+2}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ satisfy (1.19). Then

$$K(\eta, \tilde{\beta}) := \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} h(s) \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{exists} \quad (3.26)$$

and

$$h(s) = K(\eta, \tilde{\beta}) - \frac{(n-1)(1-2m)}{(1-m)\tilde{\beta}} \cdot \frac{1}{s} + o(s^{-1}) \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.27)$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.2 and an argument similar to the proof of Corollary 3.4, (3.26) holds. Then by Lemma 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} K(\eta, \tilde{\beta}) - h(s) &= \int_s^\infty h_s(z) dz \\ &= \left(\frac{(n-1)(1-2m)}{(1-m)\tilde{\beta}} + o(1) \right) \int_s^\infty \frac{1}{z^2} dz \\ &= \left(\frac{(n-1)(1-2m)}{(1-m)\tilde{\beta}} + o(1) \right) \frac{1}{s} \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

and (3.27) follows. \square

For $m \neq \frac{n-2}{n+2}$, let

$$h_2(s) = h_1(s) - K(\eta, \tilde{\beta}) - \frac{(n-1)(n-2-(n+2)m)^2}{2(n-2-nm)(1-m)\tilde{\beta}} \left(\frac{1+\log s}{s} \right). \quad (3.28)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& h_{2,ss} + \left(\frac{2(n-2-nm)}{(1-m)}s + \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{n-1}h - \frac{n-2-(n+2)m}{1-m} \right) h_{2,s} \\
&= \frac{1-2m}{1-m} \frac{\tilde{w}_s^2}{\tilde{w}} + \frac{(n-1)(n-2-(n+2)m)^2}{(1-m)^2 \tilde{\beta}} \cdot \frac{1}{s} - \frac{n-2-(n+2)m}{1-m} \frac{h_1}{s} + \frac{a_3}{s^2} \\
&+ \frac{(n-1)(n-2-(n+2)m)^2}{2(n-2-nm)(1-m)\tilde{\beta}} \left[\frac{(1-2\log s)}{s^3} + \left(\frac{\tilde{\beta}}{n-1}h(s) - \frac{n-2-(n+2)m}{1-m} \right) \frac{\log s}{s^2} \right] \\
&=: \psi_3(s).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

Lemma 3.6. Let $n \geq 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $m \neq \frac{n-2}{n+2}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ satisfy (1.19). Then

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s^2 h_{2,s}(s) = \frac{(1-m)a_2(\eta, \tilde{\beta})}{2(n-2-nm)\tilde{\beta}}, \tag{3.30}$$

where $a_2(\eta, \tilde{\beta})$ is given by (1.31) with $K(\eta, \tilde{\beta})$ given by (3.23).

Proof. Let ψ be as given by (3.7). By Theorem 2.4, Lemma 3.1, and Corollary 3.4,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s \psi_3(s) = \frac{a_2(\eta, \tilde{\beta})}{\tilde{\beta}}, \tag{3.31}$$

where $a_2(\eta, \tilde{\beta})$ is given by (1.31) with $K(\eta, \tilde{\beta})$ given by (3.23). Then for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, there exists a constant $s_2 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{(a_2(\eta, \tilde{\beta})/\tilde{\beta}) - \varepsilon}{s} &\leq h_{2,ss} + \left(\frac{2(n-2-nm)}{(1-m)}s + \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{n-1}h - \frac{n-2-(n+2)m}{1-m} \right) h_{2,s} \\
&\leq \frac{(a_2(\eta, \tilde{\beta})/\tilde{\beta}) + \varepsilon}{s} \quad \forall s \geq s_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

Multiplying (3.32) by ψ and integrating over (s_2, s) ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{a_2(\eta, \tilde{\beta})}{\tilde{\beta}} - \varepsilon \right) \frac{\psi(s_2)h_{2,s}(s_2) + \int_{s_2}^s z^{-1}\psi(z) dz}{s^{-2}\psi(s)} &\leq s^2 h_{2,s}(s) \\
&\leq \left(\frac{a_2(\eta, \tilde{\beta})}{\tilde{\beta}} + \varepsilon \right) \frac{\psi(s_2)h_{2,s}(s_2) + \int_{s_2}^s z^{-1}\psi(z) dz}{s^{-2}\psi(s)} \quad \forall s \geq s_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

Letting first $s \rightarrow \infty$ and then $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (3.33), by Lemma 3.1 and the l'Hospital rule,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s^2 h_{2,s}(s) \\
&= \frac{a_2(\eta, \tilde{\beta})}{\tilde{\beta}} \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s^{-1}\psi(s)}{-2s^{-3}\psi(s) + s^{-2}\psi(s) \left(\frac{2(n-2-nm)}{1-m}s + \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{n-1}h(s) - \frac{n-2-(n+2)m}{1-m} \right)} \\
&= \frac{(1-m)a_2(\eta, \tilde{\beta})}{2(n-2-nm)\tilde{\beta}}
\end{aligned}$$

and the lemma follows. \square

Corollary 3.7. *Let $n \geq 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $m \neq \frac{n-2}{n+2}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ satisfy (1.19). Then*

$$h_2(s) = -\frac{(1-m)a_2(\eta, \tilde{\beta})}{2(n-2-nm)\tilde{\beta}} \cdot \frac{1}{s} + o(s^{-1}) \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3.34)$$

where $a_2(\eta, \tilde{\beta})$ is given by (1.31) with $K(\eta, \tilde{\beta})$ given by (3.23).

Proof. Since $h_2(s) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$, by Lemma 3.6,

$$h_s(s) = \int_{\infty}^s h_{2,s}(z) dz = \left(\frac{(1-m)a_2(\eta, \tilde{\beta})}{2(n-2-nm)\tilde{\beta}} + o(1) \right) \int_{\infty}^s z^{-2} dz = \left(\frac{(1-m)a_2(\eta, \tilde{\beta})}{2(n-2-nm)\tilde{\beta}} + o(1) \right) \frac{1}{s}$$

as $s \rightarrow \infty$ and the corollary follows. \square

Let

$$h_3(s) = h_2(s) + \frac{(1-m)a_2(\eta, \tilde{\beta})}{2(n-2-nm)\tilde{\beta}s}, \quad (3.35)$$

where $a_2(\eta, \tilde{\beta})$ is given by (1.31) with $K(\eta, \tilde{\beta})$ given by (3.23).

Corollary 3.8. *Let $n \geq 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $m \neq \frac{n-2}{n+2}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ satisfy (1.19). Then*

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s^2 h_{3,s}(s) = 0. \quad (3.36)$$

Proof. By (3.35) and Lemma 3.6,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s^2 h_{3,s}(s) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s^2 h_{2,s}(s) - \frac{(1-m)a_2(\eta, \tilde{\beta})}{2(n-2-nm)\tilde{\beta}} = 0$$

and the corollary follows. \square

Since $\tilde{w}(s) = w(r)$, where $s = \log r$, by (1.19), (2.7), (3.2), (3.15), (3.28), (3.34), Corollary 3.5, we have the following the proposition.

Proposition 3.9. *Let $n \geq 3$ and $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$. Let a_1 and K_0 be given by (1.29) and (1.30) respectively. Let $g_{1,1}$ be the unique solution of (2.6) given by Theorem 2.1 with $\eta = 1$, $\tilde{\beta} = 1$ and $\tilde{\alpha}$ be given by (1.19). Then the following holds.*

$$g_{1,1}(r)^{1-m} = \frac{2(n-1)(n-2-nm)}{(1-m)r^{\frac{n-2-nm}{m}}} \left\{ \log r + \frac{(n-2-(n+2)m)}{2(n-2-nm)} \log(\log r) + K_0 \right. \\ \left. + \frac{a_1}{\log r} + \frac{(n-2-(n+2)m)^2}{4(n-2-nm)^2} \cdot \frac{\log(\log r)}{\log r} + o\left(\frac{1}{\log r}\right) \right\} \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.37)$$

Recall that for any constants $\beta < 0$ and $A > 0$, we let α be as given by (1.6) and $f_{\beta,A}$ be the unique solution of (1.5) which satisfies (1.7), (1.8) given by Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 3.10. *Let $n \geq 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $A_1 > 0$, $A_2 > 0$, $\beta_1 < 0$, $\beta_2 < 0$, and γ_1 be given by (1.28). Then*

$$f_{\beta_1, A_1}(r) = (A_2/A_1)^{\frac{2}{(1-m)\gamma_1}} f_{\beta_1, A_2} \left((A_2/A_1)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_1}} r \right) \quad \forall r > 0 \quad (3.38)$$

and

$$f_{\beta_1, (\beta_2/\beta_1)^{\frac{1}{1-m}} A_1}(r) = (\beta_2/\beta_1)^{\frac{1}{1-m}} f_{\beta_2, A_1}(r) \quad \forall r > 0. \quad (3.39)$$

Proof. Let

$$F_1(r) = \mu^{\frac{2}{1-m}} f_{\beta_1, A_2}(\mu r) \quad \forall \mu > 0, r > 0$$

and

$$F_2(r) = (\beta_2/\beta_1)^{\frac{1}{1-m}} f_{\beta_2, A_1}(r) \quad \forall r > 0,$$

where $\mu = (A_2/A_1)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_1}}$. Then both F_1 and F_2 satisfies (1.5) with $\alpha = \frac{2\beta_1}{1-m}, \beta = \beta_1$. Moreover

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{\frac{n-2}{m}} F_1(r) = \mu^{\frac{2}{1-m} - \frac{n-2}{m}} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (\mu r)^{\frac{n-2}{m}} f_{\beta_1, A_2}(\mu r) = A_2 \mu^{\frac{2}{1-m} - \frac{n-2}{m}} = A_1$$

and

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{\frac{n-2}{m}} F_2(r) = (\beta_2/\beta_1)^{\frac{1}{1-m}} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{\frac{n-2}{m}} f_{\beta_2, A_1}(r) = (\beta_2/\beta_1)^{\frac{1}{1-m}} A_1.$$

Hence by Theorem (1.1),

$$F_1(r) = f_{\beta_1, A_1}(r) \quad \forall r > 0$$

and

$$F_2(r) = f_{\beta_1, (\beta_2/\beta_1)^{\frac{1}{1-m}} A_1}(r) \quad \forall r > 0.$$

Thus (3.38) and (3.39) follows. \square

Lemma 3.11. *Let $n \geq 3$ and $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, and $\eta_1 > 0, \eta_2 > 0, \tilde{\beta}_1 > 0, \tilde{\beta}_2 > 0$. Then*

$$g_{\tilde{\beta}_1, \eta_1}^-(r) = (\eta_1/\eta_2) g_{\tilde{\beta}_1, \eta_2}^-\left((\eta_1/\eta_2)^{\frac{m(1-m)}{n-2-nm}} r\right) \quad \forall r \geq 0 \quad (3.40)$$

and

$$g_{\tilde{\beta}_1, (\tilde{\beta}_2/\tilde{\beta}_1)^{\frac{1}{1-m}} \eta_1}^-(r) = (\tilde{\beta}_2/\tilde{\beta}_1)^{\frac{1}{1-m}} g_{\tilde{\beta}_2, \eta_1}^-(r) \quad \forall r \geq 0. \quad (3.41)$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{\alpha}_1$ be given by (1.19) with $\tilde{\alpha} = \tilde{\alpha}_1$ and $\tilde{\beta} = \tilde{\beta}_1$. Let

$$G_1(r) := (\eta_1/\eta_2) g_{\tilde{\beta}_1, \eta_2}^-\left((\eta_1/\eta_2)^{\frac{m(1-m)}{n-2-nm}} r\right) \quad \forall r \geq 0$$

and

$$G_2(r) := (\tilde{\beta}_2/\tilde{\beta}_1)^{\frac{1}{1-m}} g_{\tilde{\beta}_2, \eta_1}^-(r) \quad \forall r \geq 0.$$

Then G_1 satisfies (2.6) with $\eta = \eta_1$ and $\tilde{\alpha} = \tilde{\alpha}_1, \tilde{\beta} = \tilde{\beta}_1$, and G_2 satisfies (2.6) with $\eta = (\tilde{\beta}_2/\tilde{\beta}_1)^{\frac{1}{1-m}} \eta_1$ and $\tilde{\alpha} = \tilde{\alpha}_1, \tilde{\beta} = \tilde{\beta}_1$. Hence by Theorem 2.1,

$$G_1(r) = g_{\tilde{\beta}_1, \eta_1}^-(r) \quad \forall r \geq 0$$

and

$$G_2(r) = g_{\tilde{\beta}_1, (\tilde{\beta}_2/\tilde{\beta}_1)^{\frac{1}{1-m}} \eta_1}^-(r) \quad \forall r \geq 0.$$

Thus (3.40) and (3.41) follows. \square

We are now ready for the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By Proposition 3.9,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{-1,1}^{1-m}(r) &= r^{-\frac{n-2}{m}(1-m)} g_{1,1}^{1-m}(r^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{2(n-1)(n-2-nm)}{(1-m)r^2} \left\{ \log r^{-1} + \frac{(n-2-(n+2)m)}{2(n-2-nm)} \log(\log r^{-1}) + K_0 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{a_1}{\log r^{-1}} + \frac{(n-2-(n+2)m)^2}{4(n-2-nm)^2} \cdot \frac{\log(\log r^{-1})}{\log r^{-1}} + o\left(\frac{1}{\log r^{-1}}\right) \right\} \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.42) \end{aligned}$$

Hence by (3.42) and Lemma 3.10,

$$\begin{aligned}
& f_{\beta,A}(r)^{1-m} \\
&= (A(-\beta)^{\frac{1}{1-m}})^{-\frac{2}{\gamma_1}} f_{\beta,(-\beta)^{-\frac{1}{1-m}}}^{1-m} \left((A(-\beta)^{\frac{1}{1-m}})^{-\frac{1}{\gamma_1}} r \right) \\
&= (A(-\beta)^{\frac{1}{1-m}})^{-\frac{2}{\gamma_1}} (-\beta)^{-1} f_{-1,1}^{1-m} \left((A(-\beta)^{\frac{1}{1-m}})^{-\frac{1}{\gamma_1}} r \right) \\
&= \frac{2(n-1)(n-2-nm)}{(1-m)|\beta|r^2} \left\{ \log r^{-1} + \frac{(n-2-(n+2)m)}{2(n-2-nm)} \log \left(\log \left((A(-\beta)^{\frac{1}{1-m}})^{\frac{1}{\gamma_1}} r^{-1} \right) \right) \right. \\
&\quad + K_0 + \frac{1}{\gamma_1} \log A + \frac{1}{\gamma_1(1-m)} \log |\beta| + \frac{a_1}{\log r^{-1}} \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{(n-2-(n+2)m)^2}{4(n-2-nm)^2} \cdot \frac{\log \left(\log \left((A(-\beta)^{\frac{1}{1-m}})^{\frac{1}{\gamma_1}} r^{-1} \right) \right)}{\log \left((A(-\beta)^{\frac{1}{1-m}})^{\frac{1}{\gamma_1}} r^{-1} \right)} + o((\log r^{-1})^{-1}) \right\} \text{ as } r \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.43}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\log \left(\log \left((A(-\beta)^{\frac{1}{1-m}})^{\frac{1}{\gamma_1}} r^{-1} \right) \right) &= \log(\log r^{-1}) + \log \left(1 + \frac{\log(A(-\beta)^{\frac{1}{1-m}})}{\gamma_1 \log r^{-1}} \right) \\
&= \log(\log r^{-1}) + \frac{\log(A(-\beta)^{\frac{1}{1-m}})}{\gamma_1 \log r^{-1}} + o((\log r^{-1})^{-1}) \text{ as } r \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.44}$$

Hence by (3.43) and (3.44) we get (1.33). Putting $A = \lambda^{-\gamma_1}$ in (1.33) we get (1.34) and the theorem follows. \square

Corollary 3.12. *Let $n \geq 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$ and $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > 0$. Then there exist constants $0 < \delta_2 < 1$ and $c_4 > c_3 > 0$ such that*

$$c_3 r^{-\frac{2}{1-m}} (\log r^{-1})^{\frac{m}{1-m}} \leq f_{\lambda_2}(r) - f_{\lambda_1}(r) \leq c_4 r^{-\frac{2}{1-m}} (\log r^{-1})^{\frac{m}{1-m}} \quad \forall 0 < r \leq \delta_2. \tag{3.45}$$

Proof. By the mean value theorem for any $r > 0$ there exists a constant $\xi_1(r)$ between $f_{\lambda_1}^{1-m}(r)$ and $f_{\lambda_2}^{1-m}(r)$ such that

$$f_{\lambda_2}(r) - f_{\lambda_1}(r) = (f_{\lambda_2}^{1-m}(r))^{\frac{1}{1-m}} - (f_{\lambda_1}^{1-m}(r))^{\frac{1}{1-m}} = \frac{1}{1-m} (f_{\lambda_2}^{1-m}(r) - f_{\lambda_1}^{1-m}(r)) \xi_1(r)^{\frac{m}{1-m}}. \tag{3.46}$$

By (1.34) and (3.46),

$$f_{\lambda_2}(r) - f_{\lambda_1}(r) \approx \frac{2(n-1)(n-2-nm)}{(1-m)^2 |\beta| r^2} \cdot \left(\frac{2(n-1)(n-2-nm)}{(1-m)|\beta|} \left(\frac{\log r^{-1}}{r^2} \right) \right)^{\frac{m}{1-m}} \log(\lambda_1/\lambda_2) \tag{3.47}$$

as $r \rightarrow 0$. Hence by (3.47) there exist constants $0 < \delta_2 < 1$ and $c_4 > c_3 > 0$ such that (3.45) holds and the lemma follows. \square

Since $g_{\beta,\eta}^-(r) = r^{-\frac{n-2}{m}} f_{\beta,\eta}(r^{-1})$, where $f_{\beta,\eta}$ is the unique solution of (1.5) which satisfies (1.8) with $A = \eta$, by Theorem 1.3 we have the following result.

Corollary 3.13. *Let $n \geq 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $\eta > 0$ and $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ be given by (1.19). Let K_0 be given by (1.30) and $a_3(\eta, \tilde{\beta})$ be given by (1.32) with $A = \eta$. Then the following holds.*

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{\tilde{\beta}, \eta}^{1-m}(r) &= \frac{2(n-1)(n-2-nm)}{(1-m)\tilde{\beta}r^{\frac{n-2-nm}{m}}} \left\{ \log r + \frac{(n-2-(n+2)m)}{2(n-2-nm)} \log(\log r) \right. \\
&\quad + K_0 + \frac{1}{\gamma_1} \log \eta + \frac{m}{n-2-nm} \log \tilde{\beta} \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{a_3(\eta, \tilde{\beta})}{\log r} + \frac{(n-2-(n+2)m)^2}{4(n-2-nm)^2} \cdot \frac{\log(\log r)}{\log r} + o\left(\frac{1}{\log r}\right) \right\} \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.14. One can also prove Corollary 3.13 by using Proposition 3.9, Lemma 3.11 and an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 1.3.

By Theorem 2.4 and Corollary 3.13 and an argument similar to the proof of Corollary 3.12, we have the following result.

Corollary 3.15. Let $n \geq 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $\lambda > 0$, $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > 0$, and $\alpha, \beta, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ be given by (1.6) and (1.17) respectively. Let g_{λ_i} , $i = 1, 2$, be as given by (1.22) with $\lambda = \lambda_1, \lambda_2$. Then there exist constants $R_1 > 1$, $R_2(\lambda) > 0$ and $c_6 > c_5 > 0$ such that

$$c_5 r^{\frac{2}{1-m} - \frac{n-2}{m}} (\log r)^{\frac{m}{1-m}} \leq g_{\lambda_2}(r) - g_{\lambda_1}(r) \leq c_6 r^{\frac{2}{1-m} - \frac{n-2}{m}} (\log r)^{\frac{m}{1-m}} \quad \forall r \geq R_1.$$

and

$$c_5 |x|^{-\frac{n-2-nm}{m}} \log |x| \leq g_{\lambda}^{1-m}(x) \leq c_6 |x|^{-\frac{n-2-nm}{m}} \log |x| \quad \forall |x| \geq R_2(\lambda).$$

4. EXISTENCE, UNIQUENESS AND ASYMPTOTIC LARGE TIME BEHAVIOUR OF SINGULAR SOLUTIONS

In this section, we will prove the existence, uniqueness, and asymptotic large time behaviour of singular solutions of (1.3). We first prove the L^1 -contraction result with weight $|x|^{-\mu}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We will use a modification of the proof of Lemma 4.1 of [DS1] and Theorem 1.2 of [HK] to prove the theorem. We choose $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, $\eta = 1$ for $|x| \leq 1$, and $\eta = 0$ for $|x| \geq 2$. For any $R > 2$, and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, let $\eta_R(x) := \eta(x/R)$, $\eta_\varepsilon(x) := \eta(x/\varepsilon)$, and $\eta_{\varepsilon, R}(x) = \eta_R(x) - \eta_\varepsilon(x)$. Then $|\nabla \eta_{\varepsilon, R}|^2 + |\Delta \eta_{\varepsilon, R}| \leq C\varepsilon^{-2}$ for $\varepsilon \leq |x| \leq 2\varepsilon$, and $|\nabla \eta_{\varepsilon, R}|^2 + |\Delta \eta_{\varepsilon, R}| \leq CR^{-2}$ for $R \leq |x| \leq 2R$. Let r_1 and $\delta_1 \in (0, 1)$ be as given in Remark 1.2 and Corollary 3.12 respectively and $R_0 = \max(2, r_1/\lambda_2)$.

Recall that by the Kato inequality ([DK], [K]),

$$\Delta(\phi_1 - \phi_2)_+ \geq \chi_{\{\phi_1 > \phi_2\}} \Delta(\phi_1 - \phi_2) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega),$$

where ϕ_1, ϕ_2 are C^2 functions on a domain Ω . Since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u_1 - u_2)_+ = \chi_{\{u > v\}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u_1 - u_2) = \chi_{\{u_1 > u_2\}} \frac{n-1}{m} \Delta(u_1^m - u_2^m) \quad \text{in } (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, \infty),$$

by the Kato inequality,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u_1 - u_2)_+ \leq \frac{n-1}{m} \Delta(u_1^m - u_2^m)_+ & \text{in } \mathcal{D}'((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, \infty)) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u_1 - u_2)_- \leq \frac{n-1}{m} \Delta(u_1^m - u_2^m)_- & \text{in } \mathcal{D}'((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, \infty)). \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|u_1 - u_2| \leq \frac{n-1}{m} \Delta|u_1^m - u_2^m| \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, \infty)).$$

Thus for any $t > 0$, $0 < \varepsilon \leq \delta_1$, and $R \geq R_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |u_1 - u_2|(x, t) \eta_{\varepsilon, R}(x) |x|^{-\mu} dx \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |u_1^m - u_2^m|(x, t) \Delta(\eta_{\varepsilon, R}(x) |x|^{-\mu}) dx \\
& = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |u_1^m - u_2^m|(x, t) (|x|^{-\mu} \Delta \eta_{\varepsilon, R}(x) + 2 \nabla \eta_{\varepsilon, R} \cdot \nabla |x|^{-\mu} + \eta_{\varepsilon, R} \Delta |x|^{-\mu}) dx \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |u_1^m - u_2^m|(x, t) (|x|^{-\mu} \Delta \eta_{\varepsilon, R}(x) + 2 \nabla \eta_{\varepsilon, R} \cdot \nabla |x|^{-\mu}) dx
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

since $0 < \mu \leq \mu_1 < n - 2$ and $\Delta |x|^{-\mu} = \mu(\mu - (n - 2)) |x|^{-\mu-2} < 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

Let $T_0 > 0$. By integrating (4.1) over $(0, t)$, by (1.23), (1.36) and Remark 1.2, for any $0 < t < T_0$, $0 < \varepsilon \leq \delta_1$, and $R \geq R_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |u_1 - u_2|(x, t) \eta_{\varepsilon, R}(x) |x|^{-\mu} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |u_{0,1}(x) - u_{0,2}(x)| \eta_{\varepsilon, R}(x) |x|^{-\mu} dx \\
& \leq CR^{-2-\mu} \int_0^t \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_R} U_{\lambda_2}^m(x, s) dx ds + C\varepsilon^{-2-\mu} \int_0^t \int_{B_{2\varepsilon} \setminus B_\varepsilon} (U_{\lambda_2}^m(x, s) - U_{\lambda_1}^m(x, s)) dx ds \\
& = CR^{-2-\mu} \int_0^t e^{-m\alpha s} \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_R} f_{\lambda_2}^m(e^{-\beta s} x) dx ds \\
& \quad + C\varepsilon^{-2-\mu} \int_0^t e^{-m\alpha s} \int_{B_{2\varepsilon} \setminus B_\varepsilon} (f_{\lambda_2}^m(e^{-\beta s} x) - f_{\lambda_1}^m(e^{-\beta s} x)) dx ds \\
& \leq CR^{-2-\mu} \int_0^t e^{-m\alpha s} \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_R} |e^{-\beta s} x|^{2-n} dx ds \\
& \quad + C\varepsilon^{-2-\mu} \int_0^t e^{-m\alpha s} \int_{B_{2\varepsilon} \setminus B_\varepsilon} (f_{\lambda_2}^m(e^{-\beta s} x) - f_{\lambda_1}^m(e^{-\beta s} x)) dx ds \\
& \leq CR^{-\mu} + C\varepsilon^{-2-\mu} \int_0^t e^{-m\alpha s} \int_{B_{2\varepsilon} \setminus B_\varepsilon} (f_{\lambda_2}^m(e^{-\beta s} x) - f_{\lambda_1}^m(e^{-\beta s} x)) dx ds \\
& \leq CR^{-\mu} + I_1.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

By Corollary 3.12 for sufficiently small $\varepsilon \in (0, \delta_1)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 & \leq C\varepsilon^{-2-\mu} \int_0^t \int_{B_{2\varepsilon} \setminus B_\varepsilon} |x|^{-\frac{2m}{1-m}} (\log((e^{-\beta s} |x|)^{-1}))^{\frac{m}{1-m}-1} dx ds \\
& \leq C\varepsilon^{n-\frac{2}{1-m}-\mu} (\log \varepsilon^{-1})^{\frac{2m-1}{1-m}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Since T_0 is arbitrary, letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $R \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.2), by (1.38) and (4.3), (1.39) follows. By an argument similar to the proof of (1.39) we get (1.40) and the theorem follows. \square

We are ready to prove Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. We first observe that uniqueness of solutions of (1.3) follows directly from Theorem 1.4. Since the proof of the existence of solutions of (1.3) is similar to the proof of Theorem 1.3 of [HK], we will only sketch the proof here. By Theorem 2.2 of

[H2] there exists a unique solution $u_R \in C(\overline{A_R} \times (0, \infty)) \cap C^\infty(A_R \times (0, \infty))$ of

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u^m & \text{in } A_R \times (0, \infty), \\ u = U_{\lambda_1} & \text{in } \partial A_R \times (0, \infty), \\ u(\cdot, 0) = u_0 & \text{in } A_R, \end{cases}$$

which satisfies (1.1), (1.42) and (1.43) in $A_R \times (0, \infty)$ and

$$\|u_R(\cdot, t) - u_0\|_{L^1(A_R)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\{R_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \in (0, \infty)$ be a sequence such that $R_i \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Since u_{R_i} satisfies (1.43) in $A_{R_i} \times (0, \infty)$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, the equation (1.1) for the sequence $\{u_{R_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is uniformly parabolic on every compact subset K of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Hence by the parabolic Schauder estimates [LSU] the sequence $\{u_{R_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is uniformly bounded in $C^{2+\mu_0, 1+(\mu_0/2)}(K)$ on every compact subset K of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ for some constant $\mu_0 \in (0, 1)$. Then by the Ascoli Theorem and a diagonalization argument the sequence $\{u_{R_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ has a subsequence which we may assume without loss of generality to be the sequence itself that converges uniformly in $C^{2,1}(K)$ for any compact subset K of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ to the solution u of (1.3) which satisfies (1.42) and (1.43) as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

Finally if u_0 is radially symmetric, then by uniqueness of solution of (1.3) for any $t > 0$, $u(x, t)$ is radially symmetric in $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and the theorem follows. \square

We next will prove several technical lemmas before proving the L^1 -contraction result with weight $f_\lambda^{m\gamma}$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $n \geq 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, and $\alpha, \beta, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ be given by (1.6) and (1.17) respectively. Let $f = f_1$ be the unique solution of (1.5) that satisfies (1.8) with $A = 1$ and let g be as given by (1.15) with $f = f_1$. Then*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{rg_r(r)}{g(r)} = -\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}} \quad (4.4)$$

and

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{rf_r(r)}{f(r)} = -\frac{2}{1-m}. \quad (4.5)$$

Hence there exist constants $r_3 > 0$, $r'_3 > 0$, $C_1 > C_2 > 0$ and $C'_1 > C'_2 > 0$ such that

$$-C_1 rf_r(r) \leq f(r) \leq -C_2 rf_r(r) \quad \forall 0 < r \leq r_3 \quad (4.6)$$

and

$$-C'_1 rg_r(r) \leq g(r) \leq -C'_2 rg_r(r) \quad \forall r \geq r'_3. \quad (4.7)$$

Proof. Let w be as given by (2.7). By Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned} rw_r &= (1-m)w(r) \left(\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}} + \frac{rg_r(r)}{g(r)} \right) \quad \forall r > 0 \\ \Rightarrow (1-m) \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}} + \frac{rg_r(r)}{g(r)} \right) &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{rw_r(r)}{w(r)} = \frac{\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} rw_r(r)}{\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} w(r)} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and (4.4) follows. By (1.18), (1.19) and (4.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{rf_r(r)}{f(r)} &= -\frac{n-2}{m} - \frac{r^{-1}g_r(r^{-1})}{g(r^{-1})} \quad \forall r > 0 \\ \Rightarrow \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{rf_r(r)}{f(r)} &= -\frac{n-2}{m} - \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{r^{-1}g_r(r^{-1})}{g(r^{-1})} = -\frac{n-2}{m} + \frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}} = -\frac{2}{1-m} \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

and (4.5) follows. By (4.4) and (4.5) there exist constants $r_3 > 0$, $r'_3 > 0$, $C_2 > C_1 > 0$ and $C'_2 > C'_1 > 0$ such that (4.6) and (4.7) hold and the lemma follows. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let $n \geq 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$ and let $f = f_1$ be the solution of (1.5) which satisfies (1.8) with $A = 1$. Let g be as given by (1.15) with $f = f_1$. Then*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} rg_r(r) = 0, \quad g(0) = 1, \quad (4.9)$$

and

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{rf_r(r)}{f(r)} = -\frac{n-2}{m}. \quad (4.10)$$

Hence there exist constants $r_4 > 0$, $r'_4 > 0$, and $C_3 > C_4 > 0$ such that

$$-C_3rf_r(r) \leq f(r) \leq -C_4rf_r(r) \quad \forall r \geq r_4 \quad (4.11)$$

and

$$|rg_r(r)| \leq g(r) \quad \forall 0 \leq r \leq r'_4. \quad (4.12)$$

Proof. By (1.8), (4.8) and Theorem 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} rg_r(r) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad g(0) = 1 \\ \Rightarrow & \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{rg_r(r)}{g(r)} = 0 \\ \Rightarrow & \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{rf_r(r)}{f(r)} = -\frac{n-2}{m} - \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r^{-1}g_r(r^{-1})}{g(r^{-1})} = -\frac{n-2}{m} \end{aligned}$$

and (4.9), (4.10), follows. By (4.9) and (4.10) there exist constants $r_4 > 0$, $r'_4 > 0$, and $C_3 > C_4 > 0$ such that (4.11) and (4.12) hold and the lemma follows. \square

By (1.21), (1.22), Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, we have the following three corollaries.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $n \geq 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $\lambda > 0$, $f = f_\lambda$ be as given by (1.21) and $r_3 > 0$, $C_1 > C_2 > 0$ be as given by Lemma 4.1. Then*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{rf_{\lambda,r}(r)}{f_\lambda(r)} = -\frac{2}{1-m}$$

and

$$-C_1rf_{\lambda,r}(r) \leq f_\lambda(r) \leq -C_2rf_{\lambda,r}(r) \quad \forall 0 < r \leq r_3/\lambda.$$

Corollary 4.4. *Let $n \geq 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $\lambda > 0$, $f = f_\lambda$ be as given by (1.21) and $r_4 > 0$, $C_3 > C_4 > 0$ be as given by Lemma 4.2. Then,*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{rf_{\lambda,r}(r)}{f_\lambda(r)} = -\frac{n-2}{m}$$

and

$$-C_3rf_{\lambda,r}(r) \leq f_\lambda(r) \leq -C_4rf_{\lambda,r}(r) \quad \forall r \geq r_4/\lambda.$$

Corollary 4.5. *Let $n \geq 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $\lambda > 0$, and $\alpha, \beta, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ be given by (1.6) and (1.17) respectively. Let $f = f_1$ be the unique solution of (1.5) that satisfies (1.8) with $A = 1$ and let g_λ be as given by (1.22). Let $r'_3 > 0$ and $r'_4 > 0$ be as given by Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 respectively. Then*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{rg_{\lambda,r}(r)}{g_\lambda(r)} = -\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\beta}} \quad (4.13)$$

$$-C'_1rg_{\lambda,r}(r) \leq g_\lambda(r) \leq -C'_2rg_{\lambda,r}(r) \quad \forall r \geq \lambda r'_3 \quad (4.14)$$

and

$$|rg_{\lambda,r}(r)| \leq g_{\lambda}(r) \quad \forall 0 \leq r \leq \lambda r'_4. \quad (4.15)$$

Proof. By (1.21) and (1.22),

$$g_{\lambda}(r) = r^{-\frac{n-2}{m}} \lambda^{\frac{2}{1-m}} f_1(\lambda r^{-1}) = \lambda^{\frac{2}{1-m} - \frac{n-2}{m}} g_1(r/\lambda) \quad (4.16)$$

$$\Rightarrow rg_{\lambda,r}(r) = \lambda^{\frac{2}{1-m} - \frac{n-2}{m}} (r/\lambda) g_{1,r}(r/\lambda) \quad \forall r \geq 0. \quad (4.17)$$

By (4.4), (4.7), (4.12), (4.16), and (4.17), we get (4.13), (4.14), and (4.15), and the corollary follows. \square

We will now prove Theorem 1.7.

Proof of Theorem 1.7. We will use a modification of the proof of Lemma 4.1 of [DS1] and Theorem 1.2 of [HK] to prove the theorem. Let r_1 , $r_2(\lambda)$, r_3 , and r_4 be given by Remark 1.2, Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, respectively. Let $T_0 > 0$,

$$\varepsilon_0 = \frac{\min(r_2(\lambda_1), r_2(\lambda_3), r_3, 1)}{e^{2|\beta|T_0} \max(\lambda_3, 2)} \quad \text{and} \quad R_0 = \max\left(2, \frac{\max(r_1, r_4)}{\min(\lambda_2, \lambda_3)}\right)$$

and

$$h(x) = f_{\lambda_3}^m(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

Since $\frac{n-2}{n+2} \leq m < \frac{n-2}{n}$ implies $0 < \gamma_2 \leq 1$, by (1.5) and (1.20),

$$\Delta h^{\gamma_2} = \gamma_2(\gamma_2 - 1)h^{\gamma_2-2}(h_r)^2 + \gamma_2 h^{\gamma_2-1} \Delta h < 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}. \quad (4.18)$$

Let $q(x, t) = |u_1(x, t) - u_2(x, t)|$ and $\eta_{\varepsilon, R}$ be as in the proof of Theorem 1.4. By Kato's inequality ([DK], [K]),

$$q_t \leq \frac{n-1}{m} \Delta(a(x, t)q) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, \infty)), \quad (4.19)$$

where

$$mU_{\lambda_2}^{m-1}(x, t) \leq a(x, t) := \int_0^1 \frac{m ds}{\{su_1 + (1-s)u_2\}^{1-m}} \leq mU_{\lambda_1}^{m-1}(x, t) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, t > 0. \quad (4.20)$$

Then by (1.23), (1.36), (4.18), (4.19), (4.20), Remark 1.2, Corollary 3.12, Corollary 4.3, and Corollary 4.4, for any $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, $R \geq R_0$ and $0 < t < T_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} q(x, t) \eta_{\varepsilon, R}(x) f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_2}(x) dx \\
& \leq \frac{n-1}{m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} a(x, t) q(x, t) \left(f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_2} \Delta \eta_{\varepsilon, R} + 2 \nabla f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_2} \cdot \nabla \eta_{\varepsilon, R} + \eta_{\varepsilon, R} \Delta f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_2} \right) dx \\
& \leq \frac{n-1}{m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} a(x, t) q(x, t) \left[f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_2} \Delta \eta_{\varepsilon, R} + 2 \nabla f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_2} \cdot \nabla \eta_{\varepsilon, R} \right] dx \\
& \leq CR^{-2} \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_R} U_{\lambda_1}^{m-1}(x, t) U_{\lambda_2}(x, t) f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_2}(x) dx \\
& \quad + C\varepsilon^{-2} \int_{B_{2\varepsilon} \setminus B_\varepsilon} U_{\lambda_1}^{m-1}(x, t) q(x, t) f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_2}(x) dx \tag{4.21} \\
& \leq CR^{-2} \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_R} U_{\lambda_1}^{m-1}(x, t) U_{\lambda_2}(x, t) f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_2}(x) dx \\
& \quad + C\varepsilon^{-2} \int_{B_{2\varepsilon} \setminus B_\varepsilon} U_{\lambda_1}^{m-1}(x, t) (U_{\lambda_2}(x, t) - U_{\lambda_1}(x, t)) f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_2}(x) dx \\
& \leq CR^{-2} e^{-mat} \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_R} f_{\lambda_1}^{m-1}(e^{-\beta t} x) f_{\lambda_2}(e^{-\beta t} x) f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_2}(x) dx \\
& \quad + C\varepsilon^{-2} e^{-mat} \int_{B_{2\varepsilon} \setminus B_\varepsilon} f_{\lambda_1}^{m-1}(e^{-\beta t} x) (f_{\lambda_2}(e^{-\beta t} x) - f_{\lambda_1}(e^{-\beta t} x)) f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_2}(x) dx \\
& \leq CR^{n-2} e^{-mat} (e^{-\beta t} R)^{2-n} R^{-(n-2)\gamma_2} \\
& \quad + C\varepsilon^{-2} e^{-mat} \int_{B_{2\varepsilon} \setminus B_\varepsilon} \left(\frac{e^{-2\beta t} \varepsilon^2}{\log[(e^{-\beta t} \varepsilon)^{-1}]} \right) \varepsilon^{-\frac{2}{1-m}} \left(\log[(e^{-\beta t} \varepsilon)^{-1}] \right)^{\frac{m}{1-m}} \left(\frac{\log \varepsilon^{-1}}{\varepsilon^2} \right)^{\frac{m\gamma_2}{1-m}} dx \\
& \leq CR^{-(n-2)\gamma_2} + C \left(\log \varepsilon^{-1} \right)^{\frac{n-4}{2}}. \tag{4.22}
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating (4.22) over $(0, t)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} q(x, t) \eta_{\varepsilon, R}(x) f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_2}(x) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} q(x, 0) \eta_{\varepsilon, R}(x) f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_2}(x) dx \\
& \leq C \left(R^{-(n-2)\gamma_2} + \left(\log \varepsilon^{-1} \right)^{\frac{n-4}{2}} \right) t \leq CT_0 \quad \forall 0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0, R \geq R_0, 0 < t < T_0. \tag{4.23}
\end{aligned}$$

When $n = 3$, letting $R \rightarrow \infty$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (4.23), we get that (1.47) holds for $n = 3$ and any $0 < t < T_0$. Since T_0 is arbitrary, (1.47) holds for $n = 3$.

When $n = 4$, letting $R \rightarrow \infty$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (4.23), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} q(x, t) f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_2}(x) dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} q(x, 0) f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_2}(x) dx + CT_0 \leq C' \\
& \Rightarrow \int_0^{T_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} q(x, t) f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_2}(x) dx ds \leq C' T_0 < \infty. \tag{4.24}
\end{aligned}$$

Then by (4.21) and an argument similar to the above one,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} q(x, t) \eta_{\varepsilon, R}(x) f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_2}(x) dx \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} q(x, 0) \eta_{\varepsilon, R}(x) f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_2}(x) dx + CR^{-(n-2)\gamma_2} + \frac{C}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} q(x, s) f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_2}(x) dx ds \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

holds for any $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, $R \geq R_0$ and $0 < t < T_0$. Letting $R \rightarrow \infty$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (4.25), by (4.24), we get that (1.47) holds for $n = 4$ and any $0 < t < T_0$. Since T_0 is arbitrary, (1.47) holds for $n = 4$ and the theorem follows. \square

Corollary 4.6. *Let $n = 3, 4$, $\frac{n-2}{n+2} \leq m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, and α, β, γ_2 be as given by (1.6) and (1.45) respectively. Let $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > 0$, $\lambda_3 > 0$, and f_{λ_i} be as given by (1.21) with $\lambda = \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$. Let $u_{0,1}, u_{0,2}$ satisfy (1.35), (1.46), and u_1, u_2 be the solutions of (1.3) with initial values $u_{0,1}, u_{0,1}$, respectively, which satisfy (1.36). Let \bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2 be given by (1.10) with $u = u_1, u_2$, respectively. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |\bar{u}_1 - \bar{u}_2|(x, t) f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_2}(x) dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |\bar{u}_{0,1} - \bar{u}_{0,2}|(x) f_{e^{-\beta t} \lambda_3}^{m\gamma_2}(x) dx \quad \forall t > 0. \quad (4.26)$$

Proof. We first observe that by (1.6) and (1.45),

$$\alpha - n\beta = -\frac{2\beta m\gamma_2}{1-m}.$$

Hence by (1.10), (1.21), Theorem 1.7 and Remark 2.7,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |\bar{u}_1 - \bar{u}_2|(x, t) f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_2}(x) dx &= e^{\alpha t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |u_1 - u_2|(e^{\beta t} x, t) f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_2}(x) dx \\ &= e^{(\alpha - n\beta)t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |u_1 - u_2|(y, t) f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_2}(e^{-\beta t} y) dy \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |u_1 - u_2|(y, t) \left(e^{-\frac{2\beta t}{1-m}} f_{\lambda_3}(e^{-\beta t} y) \right)^{m\gamma_2} dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |u_1 - u_2|(y, t) f_{e^{-\beta t} \lambda_3}^{m\gamma_2}(y) dy \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |u_{0,1}(y) - u_{0,2}(y)| f_{e^{-\beta t} \lambda_3}^{m\gamma_2}(y) dy \quad \forall t > 0 \end{aligned}$$

and (4.26) follows. \square

Lemma 4.7. *Let $n \geq 3$, $0 < m < \frac{n-2}{n}$, $\lambda > 0$ and $f = f_\lambda$ be as given by (1.21). Then f_λ converges uniformly to zero on $[a, \infty)$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ for any constant $a > 0$.*

Proof. Let $a > 0$. Since f_1 satisfies (1.8) with $A = 1$, $|\lambda x|^{\frac{n-2}{m}} f_1(\lambda x)$ converges to 1 as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Hence by (1.21),

$$f_\lambda(x) = \lambda^{-\frac{n-2-m}{m(1-m)}} |x|^{-\frac{n-2}{m}} |\lambda x|^{\frac{n-2}{m}} f_1(\lambda x) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{uniformly on } [a, \infty) \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty$$

and the lemma follows. \square

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.8.

Proof of Theorem 1.8. Note that by (1.43), \tilde{u} satisfies

$$f_{\lambda_1}(x) \leq \tilde{u}(x, t) \leq f_{\lambda_2}(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, t > 0. \quad (4.27)$$

Hence the equation (1.11) for \tilde{u} is uniformly parabolic on $A_{2R} \times (1/2, \infty)$ for any $R > 0$. By the Schauder estimates for uniformly parabolic equation [LSU] \tilde{u} is uniformly bounded in $C^{2+\mu, 1+(\mu/2)}(A_R \times (1, \infty))$ for some constant $0 < \mu < 1$ and any $R > 0$. Let $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset (1, \infty)$ be such that $t_i \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ and $\tilde{u}_i(x, t) = \tilde{u}(x, t_i + t)$. Then by the Ascoli Theorem and a diagonalization argument the sequence $\{\tilde{u}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ has subsequence which we may assume without loss of generality to be the sequence itself that converges uniformly in $C^{2,1}(K)$ for any compact subset K of $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times [0, \infty)$ to some function \tilde{u}_∞ which satisfies (1.1) in $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times [0, \infty)$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $v_0(x) = \tilde{u}_\infty(x, 0)$. By Corollary 4.6,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |\tilde{u}(x, t) - f_{\lambda_0}(x)| f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_2}(x) dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |\tilde{u}_0 - f_{\lambda_0}(x)| f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_2}(x) dx \quad \forall t > 0. \quad (4.28)$$

Putting $t = t_i$ and letting $i \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.28), by (1.48), Remark 2.7, Lemma 4.7 and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |v_0(x) - f_{\lambda_0}(x)| f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_2}(x) dx = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad v_0(x) \equiv f_{\lambda_0}(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$$

Since t_i is arbitrary, $\tilde{u}(\cdot, t)$ converges to f_{λ_0} uniformly in $C^2(K)$ on every compact subset K of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.28), by Remark 2.7, Lemma 4.7 and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |\tilde{u}(x, t) - f_{\lambda_0}(x)| f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_2}(x) dx = 0.$$

and (1.49) follows. \square

5. ASYMPTOTIC LARGE TIME BEHAVIOUR OF RADially SYMMETRIC SINGULAR SOLUTIONS

In this section we will study the asymptotic large time behaviour of radially symmetric solution u of (1.3) when the initial value u_0 is a radially symmetric function in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. We will use the inversion formula (1.12) to convert the problem into the study of the asymptotic large time behaviour of the inversion function \bar{u} .

We start by first proving a weighted L^1 -contraction result for the inversion problem (1.14).

Proposition 5.1. *Let n, m satisfy (1.50) and $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \gamma_3$ be as given by (1.17) and (1.51) respectively. Let $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > 0, \lambda_3 > 0$, and $g_{\lambda_i}, \bar{U}_{\lambda_i}, i = 1, 2, 3$, be as given by (1.22) and (1.24) respectively with $\lambda = \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$. Let $\bar{u}_{0,1}, \bar{u}_{0,2}$ satisfy*

$$g_{\lambda_1}(x) \leq \bar{u}_{0,i}(x) \leq g_{\lambda_2}(x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \quad \forall i = 1, 2 \quad (5.1)$$

and

$$\bar{u}_{0,1} - \bar{u}_{0,2} \in L^1(g_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_3}; \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}).$$

Let \bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2 be the solutions of (1.14) with initial values $\bar{u}_{0,1}, \bar{u}_{0,2}$, respectively which satisfy

$$\bar{U}_{\lambda_1} \leq \bar{u}_i \leq \bar{U}_{\lambda_2} \quad \text{in } (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, \infty) \quad \forall i = 1, 2. \quad (5.2)$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |\bar{u}_1 - \bar{u}_2|(x, t) g_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_3}(x) dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |\bar{u}_{0,1} - \bar{u}_{0,2}|(x) g_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_3}(x) dx \quad \forall t > 0. \quad (5.3)$$

Proof. We will use a modification of the proof of Theorem 1.2 of [HK] to prove this theorem. Let η and $\eta_{\varepsilon,R}$ be as in the proof of Theorem 1.4 and let $R_1 > 0$, $R_2(\lambda) > 0$, $r'_3 > 0$, $r'_4 > 0$ be as given by Corollary 3.15, Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 respectively. Let $h_1(x) = g_{\lambda_3}^m(x)$. Since by (1.50) and (1.51) $0 < \gamma_3 \leq 1$, by (1.19), (1.50), (1.51), (2.4) and (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \left(|x|^{n+2-\frac{n-2}{m}} g_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_3}(x) \right) \\
&= h_1^{\gamma_3}(x) \Delta |x|^{n+2-\frac{n-2}{m}} + 2 \nabla |x|^{n+2-\frac{n-2}{m}} \cdot \nabla h_1^{\gamma_3}(x) + |x|^{n+2-\frac{n-2}{m}} \Delta h_1^{\gamma_3}(x) \\
&= \left(\frac{n-2}{m} - (n+2) \right) \left(\frac{n-2}{m} - 2n \right) |x|^{n-\frac{n-2}{m}} h_1^{\gamma_3}(x) - 2 \left(\frac{n-2}{m} - (n+2) \right) \gamma_3 |x|^{n+1-\frac{n-2}{m}} h_1^{\gamma_3-1} h_{1,r} \\
&\quad + \left(\gamma_3(\gamma_3-1) h_1^{\gamma_3-2} |\nabla h_1|^2 + \gamma_3 h_1^{\gamma_3-1} \Delta h_1 \right) |x|^{n+2-\frac{n-2}{m}} \\
&\leq \left(\frac{n-2}{m} - (n+2) \right) |x|^{n-\frac{n-2}{m}} h_1^{\gamma_3-1}(x) \left(\left(\frac{n-2}{m} - 2n \right) h_1 - 2\gamma_3 r h_{1,r} \right) \\
&= \left(\frac{n-2}{m} - (n+2) \right) |x|^{n-\frac{n-2}{m}} h_1^{\gamma_3-1}(x) g_{\lambda_3}^{m-1}(x) \left(\left(\frac{n-2}{m} - 2n \right) g_{\lambda_3}(x) - 2m\gamma_3 r g_{\lambda_3,r}(x) \right) \\
&< \left(\frac{n-2}{m} - (n+2) \right) |x|^{n-\frac{n-2}{m}} h_1^{\gamma_3-1}(x) g_{\lambda_3}^m(x) \left(\left(\frac{n-2}{m} - 2n \right) + 2m\gamma_3 \left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\bar{\beta}} \right) \right), \\
&= - \left(\frac{n-2}{m} - (n+2) \right) \frac{(n-2-nm)}{m(1-m)} |x|^{n-\frac{n-2}{m}} h_1^{\gamma_3-1}(x) g_{\lambda_3}^m(x), \\
&< 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, \tag{5.4}
\end{aligned}$$

where $r = |x|$.

Let $q(x, t) = |\bar{u}_1(x, t) - \bar{u}_2(x, t)|$. By Kato's inequality ([DK], [K]),

$$q_t \leq \frac{n-1}{m} |x|^{n+2-\frac{n-2}{m}} \Delta (a(x, t)q) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, \infty)), \tag{5.5}$$

where

$$m \bar{U}_{\lambda_2}^{m-1}(x, t) \leq a(x, t) := \int_0^1 \frac{m ds}{\{s\bar{u}_1 + (1-s)\bar{u}_2\}^{1-m}} \leq m \bar{U}_{\lambda_1}^{m-1}(x, t) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, t > 0. \tag{5.6}$$

Let $T_0 > 0$,

$$\varepsilon_1 = \min(1/2, \lambda_3 r'_4) \quad \text{and} \quad R_3 = e^{2\bar{\beta}T_0} \max(2, R_1, R_2(\lambda_1), R_2(\lambda_3), \lambda_3 r'_3).$$

Since g_λ is continuous on \mathbb{R}^n for any $\lambda > 0$, by the Kato inequality ([DK], [K]), (1.24), (5.2), (5.4), (5.5), (5.6), Corollary 3.15 and Corollary 4.5, for any $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1$, $R \geq R_3$ and

$0 < t < T_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |\bar{u}_1 - \bar{u}_2|(x, t) \eta_{\varepsilon, R}(x) g_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_3}(x) dx \right) \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |\bar{u}_1^m - \bar{u}_2^m|(x, t) \Delta \left(|x|^{n+2-\frac{n-2}{m}} \eta_{\varepsilon, R}(x) g_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_3}(x) \right) dx \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |\bar{u}_1^m - \bar{u}_2^m|(x, t) \left(|x|^{n+2-\frac{n-2}{m}} g^{m\gamma_3}(x) \Delta \eta_{\varepsilon, R}(x) + 2 \nabla \eta_{\varepsilon, R} \cdot \nabla (|x|^{n+2-\frac{n-2}{m}} g_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_3}(x)) \right) dx. \\
& \leq CR^{n-\frac{n-2}{m}} \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_R} \bar{U}_{\lambda_1}^{m-1}(x, t) (\bar{U}_{\lambda_2}(x, t) - \bar{U}_{\lambda_1}(x, t)) g_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_3}(x) dx \\
& \quad + C\varepsilon^{-2} \int_{B_{2\varepsilon} \setminus B_\varepsilon} \bar{U}_{\lambda_2}^m(x, t) |x|^{n+2-\frac{n-2}{m}} g_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_3}(x) dx \\
& \leq CR^{n-\frac{n-2}{m}} e^{-m\bar{\alpha}t} \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_R} g_{\lambda_1}^{m-1}(e^{-\bar{\beta}t}x) \left(g_{\lambda_2}(e^{-\bar{\beta}t}x) - g_{\lambda_1}(e^{-\bar{\beta}t}x) \right) g_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_3}(x) dx \\
& \quad + C\varepsilon^{n-\frac{n-2}{m}} e^{-m\bar{\alpha}t} \int_{B_{2\varepsilon} \setminus B_\varepsilon} g_{\lambda_2}^m(e^{-\bar{\beta}t}x) g_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_3}(x) dx \\
& \leq CR^{n-\frac{n-2}{m}} e^{-m\bar{\alpha}t} \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_R} \left(\frac{|e^{-\bar{\beta}t}|x|^{\frac{n-2}{m}-n}}{\log(e^{-\bar{\beta}t}|x|)} \right) |e^{-\bar{\beta}t}x|^{\frac{2}{1-m}-\frac{n-2}{m}} (\log(e^{-\bar{\beta}t}|x|))^{\frac{m}{1-m}} (|x|^{-\frac{n-2-nm}{m}} \log|x|)^{\frac{m\gamma_3}{1-m}} dx \\
& \quad + C\varepsilon^{2n-\frac{n-2}{m}} e^{-m\bar{\alpha}t} \\
& \leq CR^{n+\frac{2}{1-m}-\frac{n-2}{m}-\frac{n-2-nm}{1-m}\gamma_3} (\log R)^{\frac{m}{1-m}(1+\gamma_3)-1} + C\varepsilon^{2n-\frac{n-2}{m}} \\
& = C(\log R)^{\frac{m}{1-m}(1+\gamma_3)-1} + C\varepsilon^{2n-\frac{n-2}{m}} \tag{5.7}
\end{aligned}$$

since $\bar{\beta}T_0 \leq (1/2) \log R$. By integrating (5.7) over $(0, t)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |\bar{u}_1 - \bar{u}_2|(x, t) \eta_{\varepsilon, R}(x) g_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_3}(x) dx \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |\bar{u}_{0,1} - \bar{u}_{0,2}|(x) \eta_{\varepsilon, R}(x) g_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_3}(x) dx + C(\log R)^{\frac{m}{1-m}(1+\gamma_3)-1} t + C\varepsilon^{2n-\frac{n-2}{m}} t \tag{5.8}
\end{aligned}$$

holds for any $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1$, $R \geq R_3$ and $0 < t < T_0$. Since by (1.50) and (1.51) we have

$$\frac{m}{1-m}(1+\gamma_3) < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 2n > \frac{n-2}{m},$$

by letting first $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and then $R \rightarrow \infty$ in (5.8) we get that (5.3) holds for any $0 < t < T_0$. Since T_0 is arbitrary, (5.3) holds and the proposition follows. \square

Corollary 5.2. *Let n, m satisfy (1.50) and $\alpha, \beta, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, \gamma_3$ be as given by (1.6), (1.17) and (1.51), respectively. Let $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > 0$, $\lambda_3 > 0$, and $f_{\lambda_i}, U_{\lambda_i}, i = 1, 2, 3$, be as given by (1.21) and (1.23), respectively, with $\lambda = \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$. Let $u_{0,1}, u_{0,2}$ be radially symmetric that satisfy (1.35) and*

$$u_{0,1} - u_{0,2} \in L^1 \left(|x|^{\frac{n-2}{m}+(n-2)\gamma_3-2n} f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_3}(x); \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \right). \tag{5.9}$$

Let u_1, u_2 be the solutions of (1.3) with initial values $u_{0,1}, u_{0,2}$, respectively which satisfy (1.36) and let \bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2 be given by (1.10) with $u = u_1, u_2$, respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |u_1 - u_2|(x, t) |x|^{\frac{n-2}{m} + (n-2)\gamma_3 - 2n} f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_3}(x) dx \\ \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |u_{0,1} - u_{0,2}|(x) |x|^{\frac{n-2}{m} + (n-2)\gamma_3 - 2n} f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_3}(x) dx \quad \forall t > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |\bar{u}_1 - \bar{u}_2|(x, t) |x|^{\frac{n-2}{m} + (n-2)\gamma_3 - 2n} f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_3}(x) dx \\ \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |u_{0,1} - u_{0,2}|(x) |x|^{\frac{n-2}{m} + (n-2)\gamma_3 - 2n} f_{e^{-\beta t} \lambda_3}^{m\gamma_3}(x) dx \quad \forall t > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

Proof. Since $u_{0,1}, u_{0,2}$ are radially symmetric, by uniqueness of solution of (1.3) both u_1 and u_2 are radially symmetric in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ for any $t > 0$. Let \bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2 be given by (1.12) with $u = u_1, u_2$, respectively. Then \bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2 are the solutions of (1.14) with initial values $\bar{u}_{0,1} := |x|^{-\frac{n-2}{m}} u_{0,1}(|x|^{-1}), \bar{u}_{0,2} := |x|^{-\frac{n-2}{m}} u_{0,2}(|x|^{-1})$, respectively. By (1.12), (1.25), and (1.36), we have (5.2). Hence by Proposition 5.1 we get that (5.3) holds.

Therefore, by (5.3), we obtain (5.10), since

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |\bar{u}_{0,1} - \bar{u}_{0,2}|(x) g_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_3}(x) dx \\ &= \omega_n \int_0^\infty r^{n-1 - \frac{n-2}{m} - (n-2)\gamma_3} |u_{0,1}(r^{-1}) - u_{0,2}(r^{-1})| f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_3}(r^{-1}) dr \\ &= \omega_n \int_0^\infty \rho^{\frac{n-2}{m} + (n-2)\gamma_3 - n - 1} |u_{0,1}(\rho) - u_{0,2}(\rho)| f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_3}(\rho) d\rho \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |u_{0,1}(y) - u_{0,2}(y)| |y|^{\frac{n-2}{m} + (n-2)\gamma_3 - 2n} f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_3}(y) dy \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |\bar{u}_1 - \bar{u}_2|(x, t) g_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_3}(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |u_1 - u_2|(y, t) |y|^{\frac{n-2}{m} + (n-2)\gamma_3 - 2n} f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_3}(y) dy \quad \forall t > 0$$

holds. Let $\delta_3 = \frac{n-2}{m} + (n-2)\gamma_3 - 2n$. Then by (1.6) and (1.51),

$$\alpha - n\beta - \beta\delta_3 + \frac{2\beta m\gamma_3}{1-m} = \beta \left(n - \frac{2}{1-m} \right) \left(\frac{n + \frac{2}{1-m} - \frac{n-2}{m}}{n - \frac{2}{1-m}} - \gamma_3 \right) = 0. \quad (5.12)$$

Hence by (1.21), (5.10) and (5.12),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |\bar{u}_1 - \bar{u}_2|(x, t) |x|^{\delta_3} f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_3}(x) dx \\
&= e^{\alpha t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |u_1 - u_2|(e^{\beta t} x, t) |x|^{\delta_3} f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_3}(x) dx \\
&= e^{(\alpha - n\beta)t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |u_1 - u_2|(y, t) (e^{-\beta t} |y|)^{\delta_3} f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_3}(e^{-\beta t} y) dy \\
&= e^{(\alpha - n\beta - \beta\delta_3 + \frac{2\beta m\gamma_3}{1-m})t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |u_1 - u_2|(y, t) |y|^{\delta_3} \left(e^{-\frac{2\beta t}{1-m}} f_{\lambda_3}^{m\gamma_3}(e^{-\beta t} y) \right) dy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |u_1 - u_2|(y, t) |y|^{\delta_3} f_{e^{-\beta t} \lambda_3}^{m\gamma_3}(y) dy \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |u_{0,1} - u_{0,2}|(x) |x|^{\frac{n-2}{m} + (n-2)\gamma_3 - 2n} f_{e^{-\beta t} \lambda_3}^{m\gamma_3}(x) dx \quad \forall t > 0
\end{aligned}$$

and (5.11) follows. \square

By an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 1.8 but with Corollary 5.2 replacing Corollary 4.6 in the proof there Theorem 1.9 follows.

REFERENCES

- [A] D.G. Aronson, *The porous medium equation, CIME Lectures, in Some problems in Nonlinear Diffusion*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1224, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.
- [CD] B. Choi and P. Daskalopoulos, *Yamabe flow: steady solutions and type II singularities*, *Nonlinear Analysis* 173 (2018), 1–18.
- [DK] P. Daskalopoulos and C.E. Kenig, *Degenerate diffusion-initial value problems and local regularity theory*, *Tracts in Mathematics* 1, European Mathematical Society, 2007.
- [DKS] P. Daskalopoulos, J. King and N. Sesum, *Extinction profile of complete non-compact solutions to the Yamabe flow*, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* 27 (2019), no. 8, 1757–1798.
- [DPS] P. Daskalopoulos, M. del Pino and N. Sesum, *Type II ancient compact solutions to the Yamabe flow*, *J. reine angew. Math.* 738 (2018), 1–71.
- [DS1] P. Daskalopoulos and N. Sesum, *On the extinction profile of solutions to fast diffusion*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 622 (2008), 95–119.
- [DS2] P. Daskalopoulos and N. Sesum, *The classification of locally conformally flat Yamabe solitons*, *Adv. Math.* 240 (2013), 346–369.
- [FVWY] M. Fila, J.L. Vazquez, M. Winkler and E. Yanagida, *Rate of convergence to Barenblatt profiles for the fast diffusion equation*, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 204 (2012), no. 2, 599–625.
- [FW1] M. Fila and M. Winkler, *Optimal rates of convergence to the singular Barenblatt profile for the fast diffusion equation*, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 146 (2016), no. 2, 309–324.
- [FW2] M. Fila and M. Winkler, *Rate of convergence to separable solutions of the fast diffusion equation*, *Israel J. Math.* 213 (2016), no. 1, 1–32.
- [FW3] M. Fila and M. Winkler, *Slow growth of solutions of super-fast diffusion equations with unbounded initial data*, *J. London Math. Soc.* 95 (2017), no. 2, 659–683.
- [Hs1] S.Y. Hsu, *Singular limit and exact decay rate of a nonlinear elliptic equation*, *Nonlinear Analysis TMA* 75 (2012), no. 7, 3443–3455.
- [Hs2] S.Y. Hsu, *Existence and asymptotic behaviour of solutions of the very fast diffusion*, *Manuscripta Math.* 140 (2013), no. 3–4, 441–460.
- [Hs3] S.Y. Hsu, *Some properties of the Yamabe soliton and the related nonlinear elliptic equation*, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 49 (2014), no. 1–2, 307–321.
- [Hs4] S.Y. Hsu, *Global behaviour of solutions of the fast diffusion equation*, *Manuscripta Math.* 158 (2019), no. 1–2, 103–117.
- [H1] K.M. Hui, *On some Dirichlet and Cauchy problems for a singular diffusion equation*, *Differential Integral Equations* 15 (2002), no. 7, 769–804.

- [H2] K.M. Hui, *Singular limit of solutions of the very fast diffusion equation*, Nonlinear Anal. TMA 68 (2008), 1120–1147.
- [H3] K.M. Hui, *Asymptotic behaviour of solutions of the fast diffusion equation near its extinction time*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 454 (2017), no. 2, 695–715.
- [HK] K.M. Hui and Soojung Kim, *Asymptotic large time behavior of singular solutions of the fast diffusion equation*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Series A 37 (2017), no. 11, 5943–5977.
- [HKs] K.M. Hui and Sunghoon Kim, *Existence and large time behaviour of finite points blow-up solutions of the fast diffusion equation*, Calculus of Variations and PDE 57, Article 112 (2018).
- [HP] M.A. Herrero and M. Pierre, *The Cauchy problem for $u_t = \Delta u^m$ when $0 < m < 1$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 291 (1985), no. 1, 145–158.
- [JX] T. Jin and J. Xiong, *Singular extinction profiles of solutions to some fast diffusion equations*, arXiv:2008.02059.
- [K] T. Kato, *Schrödinger operators with singular potentials*, Israel J. Math. 13 (1973), 135–148.
- [LSU] O.A. Ladyzenskaya, V.A. Solonnikov and N.N. Uraltceva, *Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type*, Transl. Math. Mono. vol. 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., U.S.A., 1968.
- [PS] M.del Pino and M. Sáez, *On the extinction profile for solutions of $u_t = \Delta u^{\frac{N-2}{N+2}}$* , Indiana Univ. Math. J. 50 (2001), no. 1, 611–628.
- [V1] J.L. Vazquez, *Nonexistence of solutions for nonlinear heat equations of fast-diffusion type*, J. Math. Pures Appl. 71 (1992), 503–526.
- [V2] J.L. Vazquez, *Smoothing and decay estimates for nonlinear diffusion equations*, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications 33, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006.
- [VW] J.L. Vázquez and M. Winkler, *The evolution of singularities in fast diffusion equations: infinite time blow-down*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 43 (2011), no. 4, 1499–1535.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI, TAIWAN, R. O. C.
 Email address: kmhui@gate.sinica.edu.tw

RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 08826, KOREA
 Email address: jinwann@snu.ac.kr