

COMPARISON ESTIMATES ON THE FIRST EIGENVALUE OF A QUASILINEAR ELLIPTIC SYSTEM

ABIMBOLA ABOLARINWA* AND SHAHROUD AZAMI

ABSTRACT. We study a system of quasilinear eigenvalue problems with Dirichlet boundary conditions on complete compact Riemannian manifolds. In particular, Cheng comparison estimates and inequality of Faber-Krahn for the first eigenvalue of a (p, q) -Laplacian are recovered. Lastly, we reprove a Cheeger type estimates for p -Laplacian, $1 < p < \infty$, from where a lower bound estimate in terms of Cheeger's constant for the first eigenvalue of a (p, q) -Laplacian is built. As a corollary, the first eigenvalue converges to Cheeger's constant as $p, q \rightarrow 1, 1$.

1. PRELIMINARIES AND MAIN RESULTS

1.1. Introduction. Let Ω be a bounded domain in an N -dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) . We prove some comparison estimates of Cheng-type, Cheeger type and Faber-Krahn on the principal Dirichlet eigenvalue for the following quasilinear elliptic system

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p u + \lambda |u|^{\alpha-1} |v|^{\beta-1} v = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \subseteq M, \\ \Delta_q v + \lambda |u|^{\alpha-1} |v|^{\beta-1} u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \subseteq M, \\ u = 0, v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ (u, v) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,q}(\Omega), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $1 < p, q < \infty$, $\alpha, \beta > 0$ are real numbers satisfying $\alpha/p + \beta/q = 1$.

The principal eigenvalue of (1.1) denoted by $\lambda_{1,p,q}(\Omega)$ is the least positive real number for which the system has a nontrivial solution (u, v) called an eigenvector in the product Sobolev space $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ with $u \neq 0$ and $v \neq 0$. The differential operator in (1.1) is the so called p -Laplacian, that is

$$\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|du|^{p-2} du) \quad \text{for } u \in W_0^{1,p},$$

where div and d are respectively the divergence and gradient operators. When $p = 2$, Δ_p is the usual Laplace-Beltrami operator. The p -Laplace operator arises in problems from pure Mathematics such as in the theories of quasiregular and quasiconformal mappings as well as in modelling problems of physical phenomena in non-Newtonian fluids, nonlinear elasticity, glaciology, petroleum extraction, porous media flows and reaction-diffusion processes, See for instance [25] for detail description of the p -Laplace operator.

Date: April 03, 2020.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35P15, Secondary 47J10; 53C21.

Key words and phrases. p -Laplacian, eigenvalue problems, Cheng-type estimates, Faber-Krahn inequality, Cheeger constant.

1.2. Eigenvalue problem for the p -Laplacian. The nonlinear eigenvalue problem for the p -Laplacian is the following

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p f + \lambda |f|^{p-2} f = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ f = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

with $p \in [1, \infty)$. In local coordinates system, p -Laplacian is written as

$$\Delta_p f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} (\sqrt{|g|} g^{ij} |\nabla f|^{p-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} f),$$

where $|g| = \det(g_{ij})$ and $g^{ij} = (g_{ij})^{-1}$ is the inverse metric. The first p -eigenvalue $\lambda_{1,p}(\Omega)$ of the p -Laplacian is the smallest nonzero number λ for which the Dirichlet problem (1.2) has a nontrivial solution $f \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, where the Sobolev space $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is the completion of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to the Sobolev norm

$$\|f\|_{1,p} = \left(\int_{\Omega} (|f|^p + |\nabla f|^p) d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and $d\mu$ is the Riemannian volume element of (M, g) . The first p -eigenvalue can be variationally characterized by

$$\lambda_{1,p}(\Omega) = \inf_f \left\{ \frac{\int_{\Omega} |df|^p d\mu}{\int_{\Omega} |f|^p d\mu} \mid f \neq 0, f \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \right\} \quad (1.3)$$

satisfying the following constraint $\int_{\Omega} |f|^{p-2} f d\mu = 0$. The corresponding eigenfunction is the minimizer of (1.3) and satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\int_{\Omega} |df|^{p-2} \langle df, d\phi \rangle d\mu - \lambda \int_{\Omega} |f|^{p-2} \langle f, \phi \rangle d\mu = 0 \quad (1.4)$$

for $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in the sense of distribution. Here and in the rest of the paper, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the inner product with respect to the metric g . We know that (1.2) has weak solutions with only partial regularity in general [18, 25, 32].

There have been many interesting geometric results on $\lambda_{1,p}$ in the recent years, see the following references [1, 20, 24, 26, 27, 31] for examples. In particular the first author, Abolarinwa [1], Takeuchi [31], Matei [27], Mao [26] and Lima et al [24] obtained the classical estimates of Faber-Krahn, Cheeger, Mackean and Cheng-type inequalities on $\lambda_{1,p}$. For evolving manifolds see [2, 3, 4, 5, 6].

1.3. Eigenvalue problem for a (p, q) -Laplacian. Here we say that λ is an eigenvalue of the system (1.1) provided $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $v \in W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ satisfy the system of Euler-Lagrange equations

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} |du|^{p-2} \langle du, d\phi \rangle d\mu - \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\alpha-1} |v|^{\beta-1} \langle v, \phi \rangle d\mu = 0 \\ \int_{\Omega} |dv|^{q-2} \langle dv, d\psi \rangle d\mu - \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\alpha-1} |v|^{\beta-1} \langle u, \psi \rangle d\mu = 0 \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

for $\phi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $\psi \in W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$. The pair (u, v) , $u > 0, v > 0$ is the corresponding eigenfunctions. In a similar manner to the first p -eigenvalue, the principal (p, q) -eigenvalue is variationally characterized as

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(\Omega) = \inf \{ \mathbb{A}(u, v) \mid (u, v) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,q}(\Omega), \mathbb{B}(u, v) = 1 \},$$

where

$$\mathbb{A}(u, v) = \frac{\alpha}{p} \int_{\Omega} |du|^p d\mu + \frac{\beta}{q} \int_{\Omega} |dv|^q d\mu \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{B}(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\alpha-1} |v|^{\beta-1} \langle u, v \rangle d\mu$$

for

$$\alpha > 0, \beta > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\alpha}{p} + \frac{\beta}{q} = 1.$$

The existence, simplicity, stability and some other properties of $\lambda_{1,p,q}(\Omega)$ have been studied in [8, 11, 14, 18, 19, 22, 28], see also the references therein. Indeed, $\lambda_{1,p,q}(\Omega)$ has been proved to be positive and simple for bounded and unbounded domains in \mathbb{R}^N . Recently, the second author [9] applied the approach of symmetrization and co-area formula used by the first author [1] to obtain some geometric results of Faber-Krahn and Cheeger inequality for $\lambda_{1,p,q}(\Omega)$. This shows that the classical approaches work well for system (1.1) without much difficulty involved.

1.4. Main results. The major aim of this paper is to prove Cheng-type comparison estimates [16, 17], Faber-Krahn-type inequality and Cheeger-type estimates [15, 27] for $\lambda_{1,p,q}(\Omega)$. Precisely, let $B(x_0, r_0)$ be the open geodesic ball of radius r_0 centred at x_0 in M and $V_N(k, r_0)$ be a geodesic ball of the same radius r_0 in an N -dimensional space form M_k of constant sectional curvature k . Denote the first eigenvalue of (1.1) on $\overline{B(x_0, r_0)}$ by $\lambda_{1,p,q}(B(x_0, r_0))$ and on $\overline{V_N(k, r_0)}$ by $\lambda_{1,p,q}(V_N(k, r_0))$. Then in Section 2 we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be an N -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold such that its Ricci curvature $\text{Ric}(M) \geq (N-1)k$, $k \in \mathbb{R}$. Then for any $x_0 \in M$ and $r_0 \in (0, d_M)$, where d_M denotes the diameter of M , we have*

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(B(x_0, r_0)) \leq \lambda_{1,p,q}(V_N(k, r_0)). \quad (1.6)$$

Equality holds if and only if $B(x_0, r_0)$ is isometric to $V_N(k, r_0)$

In a simple language, Cheng's eigenvalue comparison estimate says that when a domain is large, its first Dirichlet eigenvalue is small and the size of the domain accounts for its curvature. A natural consequence of Theorem 1.1 is the following:

Corollary 1.2. *Let M be an N -dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with Ricci curvature $\text{Ric}(M) \geq (N-1)k$, $k \in \mathbb{R}$. Then*

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(M) \leq \lambda_{1,p,q}\left(V_N\left(k, \frac{d_M}{2}\right)\right), \quad (1.7)$$

where d_M denotes the diameter of M .

In Section 3, we consider the case where M is compact with positive Ricci curvature $\text{Ric}(M) \geq (N-1)k$, $k > 0$. We first prove the Faber-Krahn-type inequality for any domain Ω in a complete, simply connected Riemannian manifold of constant sectional curvature.

Theorem 1.3. *Let Ω be a domain and $B(x_0, R)$ be the geodesic ball of radius $R > 0$, both in a complete, simply connected Riemannian manifold M_k of constant sectional curvature k , such that $\text{Vol}(\Omega) = \text{Vol}(B(x_0, R))$. Then the following inequality holds.*

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(\Omega) \geq \lambda_{1,p,q}(B(x_0, R)) \quad (1.8)$$

The equality in (1.8) holds if and only if Ω is the geodesic ball $B(x_0, R)$.

Theorem 1.3 says in particular that the geodesic balls are with smallest $\lambda_{1,p,q}$ among all domains of a given volume. A generalization of the Faber-Krahn inequality for Δ_p on a ball in the Euclidean N -sphere with radius $1/k^2$ has been established by Matei [27, Theorem 2.1] (case $p = 2$ is due to Berard and Meyer [13]). Finally, Matei's result for the case of (p, q) -Laplacian will be discussed at the end of the proof of Theorem 1.3. In this case, Ω will be a domain in a compact Riemannian manifold M with positive Ricci curvature $Ric(M) \geq (N - 1)k, k > 0$.

To state the last result, we let $h(\Omega)$ be the Cheeger constant defined by

$$h(\Omega) := \inf_{\Omega'} \frac{Vol_{N-1}(\partial\Omega')}{Vol_N(\Omega')},$$

where the infimum is taken all over open submanifolds Ω' with compact closure in Ω and smooth boundary $\partial\Omega'$. Here $Vol_{N-1}(\partial\Omega')$ and $Vol_N(\Omega')$ denote the $(N - 1)$ -dimensional and N -dimensional Riemannian volumes on $\partial\Omega'$ and Ω' , respectively.

Proposition 1.4. Let Ω be a bounded domain with smooth boundary in a complete Riemannian manifold. Then

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(\Omega) \geq \frac{\alpha}{p} \left(\frac{h(\Omega)}{p} \right)^p \|u\|_p^p + \frac{\beta}{q} \left(\frac{h(\Omega)}{q} \right)^q \|v\|_q^q, \quad (1.9)$$

where the pair (u, v) is the corresponding eigenfunctions to $\lambda_{1,p,q}(\Omega)$ and $\|u\|_r$ is $L^r(\Omega)$ -norm $\|u\|_{L^r(\Omega)} = (\int_{\Omega} |u|^r d\mu(x))^{1/r}$. Moreover, $\lambda_{1,p,q}(\Omega)$ converges to Cheeger's constant as $p \rightarrow 1$ and $q \rightarrow 1$.

2. PROOFS OF THEOREM 1.1 AND COROLLARY 1.2

2.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof. Let there exist a first pair of eigenfunctions, $(\bar{u}, \bar{v}), \bar{u} > 0, \bar{v} > 0$, of (p, q) -Laplacian on $\overline{V_N(k, r_0)}$ with Dirichlet boundary with $(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in W_0^{1,p}(\overline{V_N(k, r_0)}) \times W_0^{1,q}(\overline{V_N(k, r_0)})$. Then \bar{u}, \bar{v} are radial (since $V_N(k, r_0)$ is a ball in a simply connected space form which is two-points homogeneous). Let r be the distance function on M with respect to the point x_0 , then $(\bar{u} \circ r, \bar{v} \circ r) \in W_0^{1,p}(B(x_0, r_0)) \times W_0^{1,q}(B(x_0, r_0))$ satisfy the boundary conditions. Therefore by definition

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(B(x_0, r_0)) \leq \frac{\alpha}{p} \int_{B(x_0, r_0)} |d(\bar{u} \circ r)|^p d\mu + \frac{\beta}{q} \int_{B(x_0, r_0)} |d(\bar{v} \circ r)|^q d\mu \quad (2.1)$$

with $\int_{B(x_0, r_0)} |\bar{u}|^{\alpha-1} |\bar{v}|^{\beta-1} \langle \bar{u}, \bar{v} \rangle d\mu = 1$.

Define a C^∞ -map $\theta : (0, r_\xi) \times \mathbb{S}^{N-1} \rightarrow M$ by $\theta(t, \xi) := \exp_{x_0}(t, \xi)$, where \mathbb{S}^{N-1} is the $(N - 1)$ -sphere in $T_{x_0}M$ and \exp_{x_0} is a local diffeomorphism from a neighbourhood of x_0 in M and

$$r_\xi = r_\xi(x_0) := \sup\{t > 0 : \exp_{x_0}(s, \xi) \text{ is the unique minimal geodesic from } x_0\}.$$

Since M is complete, $B(x_0, r_0) = \{\exp_{x_0}(t, \xi) : \xi \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1} \text{ and } t \in [0, a(\xi)]\}$, where $a(\xi) := \min\{r_\xi, r_0\}$. Then integration over $B(x_0, r_0)$ can be pulled back to the tangent

space using geodesic polar coordinates. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(x_0, r_0)} |d(\bar{u} \circ r)|^p d\mu &= \int_{\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}} d\mathbb{S}^{N-1} \int_0^{a(\xi)} \left| \frac{d\bar{u}}{dt} \right|^p \times t^{N-1} \theta(t, \xi) dt, \\ \int_{B(x_0, r_0)} |d(\bar{v} \circ r)|^q d\mu &= \int_{\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}} d\mathbb{S}^{N-1} \int_0^{a(\xi)} \left| \frac{d\bar{v}}{dt} \right|^q \times t^{N-1} \theta(t, \xi) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where $d\mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ is the canonical measure of $\mathbb{S}^{N-1} = \mathbb{S}_{x_0}^{N-1}$ and $\theta(t, \xi) \times t^{N-1} = \sqrt{\det(g_{ij})}$ is the volume density induced by \exp_{x_0} and $a(\xi) \leq r_0$ such that $\exp_{x_0}(a(\xi), \xi)$ is the cut point of x_0 along the geodesic $t \rightarrow \exp_{x_0}(t, \xi)$.

Since we have assumed that \bar{u} is everywhere nonnegative and $\bar{u}(r_0) = 0$, we have $\frac{d\bar{u}}{dt}(r_0) < 0$ in r_0 -neighbourhood. We have $\frac{d\bar{u}}{dt} < 0$ in $(0, r)$ (see Proposition 2.1 below). Integrating by parts then yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{a(\xi)} \left(-\frac{d\bar{u}}{dt} \right)^p t^{N-1} \theta(t, \xi) dt &= (-\bar{u}) \left(-\frac{d\bar{u}}{dt} \right)^{p-1} t^{N-1} \theta(t, \xi) \Big|_0^{a(\xi)} - \int_0^{a(\xi)} \frac{(-\bar{u})}{t^{N-1} \theta(t, \xi)} \\ &\quad \times \frac{d}{dt} \left(t^{N-1} \theta(t, \xi) \left(-\frac{d\bar{u}}{dt} \right)^{p-1} \right) t^{N-1} \theta(t, \xi) dt. \end{aligned}$$

By a straightforward computation we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{t^{N-1} \theta(t, \xi)} \frac{d}{dt} \left(t^{N-1} \theta(t, \xi) \left(-\frac{d\bar{u}}{dt} \right)^{p-1} \right) \\ = - \left(-\frac{d\bar{u}}{dt} \right)^{p-2} \left[(p-1) \frac{d^2 \bar{u}}{dt^2} + \left(\frac{N-1}{t} + \frac{1}{\theta(t, \xi)} \frac{d\theta(t, \xi)}{dt} \right) \frac{d\bar{u}}{dt} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then using the facts that $\frac{d\bar{u}}{dt}(0) = 0$ and $\left(-\bar{u} \left| \frac{d\bar{u}}{dt} \right|^{p-1} t^{N-1} \theta(t, \xi) \right) a(\xi) \leq 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{a(\xi)} \left| \frac{d\bar{u}}{dt} \right|^p t^{N-1} \theta(t, \xi) dt \\ \leq - \int_0^{a(\xi)} \bar{u} \left| \frac{d\bar{u}}{dt} \right|^{p-2} \left[(p-1) \frac{d^2 \bar{u}}{dt^2} + \left(\frac{N-1}{t} + \frac{1}{\theta(t, \xi)} \frac{d\theta(t, \xi)}{dt} \right) \frac{d\bar{u}}{dt} \right] t^{N-1} \theta(t, \xi) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_p \cdot &= \operatorname{div}(|d \cdot|^{p-2} d \cdot) \\ &= |d \cdot|^{p-2} \Delta \cdot + (p-2) |d \cdot|^{p-2} d \cdot \cdot. \end{aligned}$$

Since \bar{u} is radial, writing Δ in geodesic polar coordinates at k we have

$$\Delta \bar{u} = \frac{d^2 \bar{u}}{dt^2} + \left(\frac{N-1}{t} + \frac{1}{\theta_k^N(t, \xi)} \frac{d\theta_k^N(t, \xi)}{dt} \right) \frac{d\bar{u}}{dt},$$

where $\theta_k^N(t, \xi)$ is the corresponding volume density on $V_N(k, r_0)$ viewed through the exponential map of M_k . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_p \bar{u} &= \left| \frac{d\bar{u}}{dt} \right|^{p-2} \left[\frac{d^2 \bar{u}}{dt^2} + \left(\frac{N-1}{t} + \frac{1}{\theta_k^N(t, \xi)} \frac{d\theta_k^N(t, \xi)}{dt} \right) \frac{d\bar{u}}{dt} + (p-2) \frac{d^2 \bar{u}}{dt^2} \right] \\ &= \left| \frac{d\bar{u}}{dt} \right|^{p-2} \left[(p-1) \frac{d^2 \bar{u}}{dt^2} + \left(\frac{N-1}{t} + \frac{1}{\theta_k^N(t, \xi)} \frac{d\theta_k^N(t, \xi)}{dt} \right) \frac{d\bar{u}}{dt} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

By the assumption on the Ricci curvature and the classical Bishop's comparison theorem,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\theta(t, \xi)}{\theta_k^N(t, \xi)} \right) \leq 0 \quad (2.5)$$

which implies

$$\frac{d\bar{u}}{dt} \cdot \frac{1}{\theta(t, \xi)} \frac{d\theta(t, \xi)}{dt} \geq \frac{d\bar{u}}{dt} \cdot \frac{1}{\theta_k^N(t, \xi)} \frac{d\theta_k^N(t, \xi)}{dt}.$$

Hence by (2.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\bar{u} \left| \frac{d\bar{u}}{dt} \right|^{p-2} \left[(p-1) \frac{d^2 \bar{u}}{dt^2} + \left(\frac{N-1}{t} + \frac{1}{\theta(t, \xi)} \frac{d\theta(t, \xi)}{dt} \right) \frac{d\bar{u}}{dt} \right] \\ \leq -\bar{u} \left| \frac{d\bar{u}}{dt} \right|^{p-2} \left[(p-1) \frac{d^2 \bar{u}}{dt^2} + \left(\frac{N-1}{t} + \frac{1}{\theta_k^N(t, \xi)} \frac{d\theta_k^N(t, \xi)}{dt} \right) \frac{d\bar{u}}{dt} \right] \\ = -\bar{u} \Delta_p \bar{u} \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

by using definition (2.5). Therefore, combining (2.3) and (2.6) yields

$$\int_0^{a(\xi)} \left| \frac{d\bar{u}}{dt} \right|^p \times t^{N-1} \theta(t, \xi) dt \leq - \int_0^{a(\xi)} \bar{u} \Delta_p \bar{u} dt \Big|_{V_N(k, r_0)} \quad (2.7)$$

and by (2.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(x_0, r_0)} |d(\bar{u} \circ r)|^p d\mu &\leq - \int_{\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}} d\mathbb{S}^{N-1} \int_0^{a(\xi)} \bar{u} \Delta_p \bar{u} dt \\ &= - \int_{V_N(k, r_0)} \bar{u} \Delta_p \bar{u} d\mu \\ &= \int_{V_N(k, r_0)} |d(\bar{u} \circ r)|^p d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\int_{B(x_0, r_0)} |d(\bar{v} \circ r)|^q d\mu \leq \int_{V_N(k, r_0)} |d(\bar{v} \circ r)|^q d\mu.$$

Then the required inequality in (1.6) follows from (2.1), that is,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{1,p,q}(B(x_0, r_0)) &\leq \frac{\alpha}{p} \int_{B(x_0, r_0)} |d(\bar{u} \circ r)|^p d\mu + \frac{\beta}{q} \int_{B(x_0, r_0)} |d(\bar{v} \circ r)|^q d\mu \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha}{p} \int_{V_N(k, r_0)} |d(\bar{u} \circ r)|^p d\mu + \frac{\beta}{q} \int_{V_N(k, r_0)} |d(\bar{v} \circ r)|^q d\mu \\ &= \lambda_{1,p,q}(V_N(k, r_0)).\end{aligned}$$

In conclusion, the equality

$$\lambda_{1,p}(B(x_0, r_0)) = \lambda_{1,p}(V_k(k, r_0))$$

holds when there is equality in (2.3) and (2.6). Then we see that $a(\xi) \equiv r_0$ for almost all ξ and by continuity for all ξ . Hence $\theta(t, \xi) = \theta_k^N(t, \xi)$ which implies equality in Bishop's inequality (2.5). This then proves that $B(x_0, r_0)$ is isometric to $V_N(k, r_0)$. \square

The Cheng comparison result is valid regardless of the cut locus, since the Lebesgue measure of the cut locus is 0 with respect to the N -dimensional Lebesgue measure of the manifold, which implies that integration over the cut locus vanishes.

Proposition 2.1. [26, Proposition 3.1] Let $\phi(s)$ be any solution of

$$[|\phi'(s)|^{p-2} \theta(s)^{N-1} \phi'(s)]' + \lambda \theta(s)^{N-1} |\phi(s)|^{p-2} \phi(s) = 0, \quad 1 < p < \infty, \quad (2.8)$$

where $\theta(s) > 0$ on $(0, a)$. Then $\phi'(s) < 0$ on $(0, a)$ whenever $\phi(s) > 0$ on $(0, a)$ and $\lambda > 0$. Here $' = \frac{d}{ds}$.

Proof. Integrating (2.8) from 0 to s yields

$$|\phi'(s)|^{p-2} \theta(s)^{N-1} \phi'(s) = -\lambda \int_0^s \theta(s)^{N-1} |\phi(s)|^{p-2} \phi(s) ds.$$

The claim of the proposition follows since $\theta(s) > 0$ on $(0, a)$. \square

Remark 2.2. Using (2.4), functions \bar{u} and \bar{v} which are radial satisfy

$$\begin{cases} |\bar{u}'|^{p-2} \left[(p-1)\bar{u}'' + \left(\frac{N-1}{t} + \frac{d\theta_N^k(t, \xi)/dt}{\theta_N^k(t, \xi)} \right) \bar{u}' \right] + \lambda |\bar{u}|^{\alpha-1} |\bar{v}|^{\beta-1} \bar{v} = 0 \\ |\bar{v}'|^{q-2} \left[(q-1)\bar{v}'' + \left(\frac{N-1}{t} + \frac{d\theta_N^k(t, \xi)/dt}{\theta_N^k(t, \xi)} \right) \bar{v}' \right] + \lambda |\bar{u}|^{\alpha-1} |\bar{v}|^{\beta-1} \bar{u} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Notice that each equation in the last system is of the form (2.8), this can be clearly seen by putting $p = q$.

2.2. Proof of Corollary 1.2. We can mimick the steps in the proof of Corollary 1.1 in [27] (see also [16, Theorem 2.1]) to establish the proof of Corollary 1.2.

Proof. Let x_i be a point in M , such that $B(x_i, \frac{d_M}{2})$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ are pairwise disjoint. Let r_i be the distance function with respect to x_i and $\varphi_i = \varphi \circ r_i$, $\psi_i = \psi \circ r_i$, where (φ, ψ) is the first pair of radial eigenfunctions of $V_N(k, \frac{d_M}{2})$. Then by Theorem 1.1, we have

$$\frac{\alpha}{p} \int_{B(x_i, \frac{d_M}{2})} |d\varphi_i|^p d\mu + \frac{\beta}{q} \int_{B(x_i, \frac{d_M}{2})} |d\psi_i|^q d\mu \leq \lambda_{1,p,q}(V_N(k, \frac{d_M}{2}))$$

with $\int_{B(x_i, \frac{d_M}{2})} |\varphi_i|^{\alpha-1} |\psi_i|^{\beta-1} \langle \varphi_i, \psi_i \rangle d\mu = 1$.

We can extend φ_i and ψ_i to be zero outside $B(x_i, \frac{d_M}{2})$, then by elementary linear algebra there exist constants $C_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ not all equal zero such that

$$\int_M \left(\sum_{i=1}^m C_i \varphi_i \right)^{\alpha-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m C_i \psi_i \right)^{\beta-1} d\mu = 0.$$

Since $B(x_i, \frac{d_M}{2})$ are pairwise disjoint, $\sum_{i=1}^m C_i \varphi_i \not\equiv 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m C_i \psi_i \not\equiv 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{1,p,q}(M) &\leq \frac{\alpha}{p} \int_M \left| d \sum_{i=1}^m C_i \varphi_i \right|^p d\mu + \frac{\beta}{q} \int_M \left| d \sum_{i=1}^m C_i \psi_i \right|^q d\mu \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{p} \left(\int_{B(x_1, \frac{d_M}{2})} |C_1 d\varphi_1|^p d\mu + \dots + \int_{B(x_m, \frac{d_M}{2})} |C_m d\varphi_{m+1}|^p d\mu \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\beta}{q} \left(\int_{B(x_1, \frac{d_M}{2})} |C_1 d\psi_1|^q d\mu + \dots + \int_{B(x_m, \frac{d_M}{2})} |C_m d\psi_m|^q d\mu \right) \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{p} \int_{B(x_i, \frac{d_M}{2})} \left| d \sum_{i=1}^m C_i \varphi_i \right|^p d\mu + \frac{\beta}{q} \int_{B(x_i, \frac{d_M}{2})} \left| d \sum_{i=1}^m C_i \psi_i \right|^q d\mu \\ &\leq \lambda_{1,p,q}(V_N(k, \frac{d_M}{2})). \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

Remark 2.3. Suppose M has nonnegative Ricci curvature $Ric(M) \geq 0$, then the above inequality reads

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(M) \leq \lambda_{1,p,q}(V_N(0, \frac{d_M}{2})).$$

Thus an upper bound can be explicitly found for $\lambda_{1,p,q}(M)$ by estimating $\lambda_{1,p,q}(V_N(0, \frac{d_M}{2}))$. The case $p, q = 2$ for Δ_p is found as

$$\lambda_1(M) \leq \frac{2m^2 N(N+4)}{(d_M)^2}, m = 1$$

by Cheng in [16, Corollary 2.2].

3. FABER-KRAHN TYPE INEQUALITY

The main tools that will be employed in the proof of Theorem 1.3 are symmetrization procedure and inequalities of Pólya-Szegő and Hardy-Littlewood. We first recall the definition of the symmetrization of a function and its properties

Definition 3.1. Let Ω be an open bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^N . Let f be a nonnegative measurable function in Ω which vanishes on the boundary. The set $\{x \in \Omega : f(x) > t, t > 0\}$ is called the level set of f . Let Ω^* be the ball centred at the origin in \mathbb{R}^N with the same volume as Ω . The function $f^* : \Omega^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is the nonincreasing symmetric rearrangement of f with

$$Vol\{x \in \Omega^* : f^*(x) > t\} = Vol\{x \in \Omega : f(x) > t\}$$

For more details on symmetrization see [23, 30]. Now using the above symmetrization we have the following Lemma

Lemma 3.2. *Let Ω be a compact domain. Let $f, g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a nonnegative measurable functions and $f^*, g^* : B(x, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be radially nonincreasing functions such that $\text{Vol}(\Omega) = \text{Vol}(B(x, R))$. Then*

- (1) $\int_{\Omega} f = \int_{B(x, R)} f^*$ - equimeasurability of level sets.
- (2) $\int_{\Omega} |df|^p \geq \int_{B(x, R)} |df^*|^p$, for $p > 1$ - Pólya-Szegő inequality.
- (3) $\int_{\Omega} fg \leq \int_{B(x, R)} f^* g^*$ - Hardy-Littlewood inequality.

Pólya-Szegő inequality says the Dirichlet integral $\int_{\Omega} |df|^p$ decreases under the influence of symmetrization. This inequality can be realized by combining the co-area formula and Hölders inequality. A version of the proof of (1) and (2) is in Aubin [7, Proposition 2.17]. The Hardy-Littlewood inequality's proof is contained in [10, Theorem 2.2, p. 44]. Though, the nonincreasing rearrangement does not preserve product of functions in general, the equality in (3) is attainable for suitable functions, see [10] for details.

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let u^* and v^* be the nonincreasing rearrangement of $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $v \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ respectively. Let (u, v) be the minimizing eigenfunction satisfying

$\int_{\Omega} |u|^{\alpha-1} |v|^{\beta-1} \langle u, v \rangle d\mu = 1$ for $\alpha, \beta > 0$. We know that $u > 0$, $v > 0$. It follows from Pólya-Szegő inequality that

$$\int_{\Omega} |du|^p d\mu \geq \int_{B(x_0, R)} |du^*|^p d\mu \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} |dv|^q d\mu \geq \int_{B(x_0, R)} |dv^*|^q d\mu.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{1,p,q}(\Omega) &= \frac{\alpha}{p} \int_{\Omega} |du|^p d\mu + \frac{\beta}{q} \int_{\Omega} |dv|^q d\mu \\ &\geq \frac{\alpha}{p} \int_{B(x_0, R)} |du^*|^p d\mu + \frac{\beta}{q} \int_{B(x_0, R)} |dv^*|^q d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand it is clear from Hardy-Littlewood inequality in Lemma 3.2 that $\int_{\Omega} u^{\alpha} v^{\beta} d\mu \leq \int_{B(x_0, R)} u^{*\alpha} v^{*\beta} d\mu$ for real numbers $\alpha, \beta > 0$, where $u^* \in W^{1,p}(B(x_0, R))$ and $v^* \in W^{1,q}(B(x_0, R))$. Note also that one can identify $\int_{\Omega} u^{\alpha} v^{\beta} d\mu$ with $\int_{\Omega} |u|^{\alpha-1} |v|^{\beta-1} \langle u, v \rangle d\mu$. Clearly since $u > 0, v > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} B(u, v) &= \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\alpha-1} |v|^{\beta-1} \langle u, v \rangle d\mu = \int_{\Omega} u^{\alpha} v^{\beta} d\mu \\ &\leq \int_{B(x_0, R)} u^{*\alpha} v^{*\beta} d\mu = \int_{B(x_0, R)} |u^*|^{\alpha-1} |v^*|^{\beta-1} \langle u^*, v^* \rangle d\mu = \mathbb{B}(u^*, v^*). \end{aligned}$$

We therefore conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\alpha}{p} \int_{B(x, R)} |du^*|^p d\mu + \frac{\beta}{q} \int_{B(x, R)} |dv^*|^q d\mu \\ &\geq \inf \{ \mathbb{A}(u^*, v^*) \mid (u^*, v^*) \in W_0^{1,p}(B(x, R)) \times W_0^{1,q}(B(x, R)), \mathbb{B}(u^*, v^*) = 1 \} \\ &= \lambda_{1,p,q}(B(x, R)). \end{aligned}$$

Equation (1.8) is therefore proved. □

Matei's result [27] for the case of (p, q) -Laplacian can be stated as follows

Theorem 3.3. *Let M be an N -dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with $\text{Ric}(M) \geq (N - 1)k$ and $B(R)$ be a geodesic ball of Radius $R > 0$ in the Euclidean N -sphere \mathbb{S}_k^N with constant sectional curvature k such that for a bounded domain $\Omega \in M$*

$$\frac{\text{Vol}(\Omega)}{\text{Vol}(M)} = \frac{\text{Vol}(B(R))}{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}_k^N)}.$$

Then

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(\Omega) \geq \gamma \lambda_{1,p,q}(B(R)), \quad (3.1)$$

where $\gamma = \text{Vol}(M)/\text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}_k^N)$. There is equality if and only if there is an isometry which sends Ω to $B(R) \subset \mathbb{S}_k^N$.

The inequality (3.1) reduces to (1.8) of Theorem 1.3 if $M = \mathbb{S}_k^N$.

4. LOWER BOUND ESTIMATES (CHEEGER-TYPE)

In this section, we want to give a lower bound for $\lambda_{1,p,q}(\Omega)$ in terms of the so-called Cheeger's constant and a lower bound estimate on the first eigenvalue of p -Laplacian.

Definition 4.1. The Cheeger's constant $h(\Omega)$ of a domain Ω is defined to be

$$h(\Omega) := \inf_{\Omega'} \frac{\text{Vol}_{N-1}(\partial\Omega')}{\text{Vol}_N(\Omega')}, \quad (4.1)$$

where Ω' ranges over smooth subdomains of Ω with compact closure in Ω with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega'$, and $\text{Vol}_{N-1}(\partial\Omega')$ and $\text{Vol}_N(\Omega')$ denote the volumes of $\partial\Omega'$ and Ω' , respectively.

Let D vary over all smooth subdomain of Ω whose boundary ∂D does not touch $\partial\Omega$, the quantity $\mathcal{Q}(D) := \text{Vol}(\partial D)/\text{Vol}(D)$ is called the Cheeger quotient of D . Any subdomain $E \subset \Omega$ which realizes the infimum in (4.1) is referred to as Cheeger domain in Ω while Ω is called self-Cheeger if it is a minimizer. Problems involving Cheeger's constant/domains are very interesting in Geometric Analysis. For existence, (non)uniqueness and regularity of Cheeger domains see [21]. For introductory survey and some physical applications of Cheeger's constant see [29] and the references therein, and see [12] for further results in manifold setting.

Theorem 4.2. *(Cheeger type estimate) Let Ω be a bounded domain with smooth boundary in a complete Riemannian manifold. Then*

$$\lambda_{1,p}(\Omega) \geq \left(\frac{h(\Omega)}{p} \right)^p, \quad 1 < p < \infty. \quad (4.2)$$

The above theorem was originally proved by Cheeger [15] for $p = 2$ in the case of manifolds without boundary, and an extension for the general p was given by Matei [27]. See also [1, 21, 31, 26] for the general manifolds and $p > 1$. For completeness and the importance of Theorem 4.2 to the proof of Proposition 1.4, we repeat the proof here.

Proof. (Theorem 4.2) Suppose $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ is a positive function and we let $A(t) := \{x \in \Omega : \varphi(x) > t\}$ and $\partial A(t) := \{x \in \Omega : \varphi(x) = t\}$. Using the co-area formula

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |d\varphi| d\mu &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{A(t)} dA(t) \right) dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{Vol}_{N-1}(\partial A(t)) dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\text{Vol}_{N-1}(\partial A(t))}{\text{Vol}_N(A(t))} \cdot \text{Vol}_N(A(t)) dt \\ &\geq \inf_{\Omega' \subset \subset \Omega} \frac{\text{Vol}_{N-1}(\partial \Omega')}{\text{Vol}_N(\Omega')} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{Vol}_N(A(t)) dt = h(\Omega) \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

The above condition also holds for $\varphi \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$ since $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ is dense in $W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$. Now for any $p > 1$ and $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, define $\Phi(u) := u^p$. Then, by Hölder's inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} |d\Phi(u)| d\mu = \int_{\Omega} |du^p| d\mu \leq p \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^p d\mu \right)^{(p-1)/p} \left(\int_{\Omega} |du|^p d\mu \right)^{1/p}. \quad (4.3)$$

Letting $\varphi = u^p$, we have by (4.3)

$$\begin{aligned} h(\Omega) &\leq \frac{\int_{\Omega} |d\varphi| d\mu}{\int_{\Omega} |\varphi| d\mu} = \frac{\int_{\Omega} |du^p| d\mu}{\int_{\Omega} |u^p| d\mu} \leq \frac{p \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^p d\mu \right)^{(p-1)/p} \left(\int_{\Omega} |du|^p d\mu \right)^{1/p}}{\int_{\Omega} |u|^p d\mu} \\ &= p \left(\frac{\int_{\Omega} |du|^p d\mu}{\int_{\Omega} |u|^p d\mu} \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ was arbitrary we arrive at

$$\frac{h(\Omega)}{p} \leq \lambda_{1,p}^{1/p}(\Omega),$$

which concludes the result. \square

Corollary 4.3. ([21] *The first eigenvalue of p -Laplacian $\lambda_{1,p}(\Omega)$ converges to Cheeger's constant $h(\Omega)$ as $p \rightarrow 1$.*

The proof is in [21] and we omit it here. This corollary simply implies that if we take $\lambda_{1,1}(\Omega) := \limsup_{p \rightarrow 1} \lambda_{1,p}(\Omega) = h(\Omega)$, Then one asks for the solvability of the limiting problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\text{div} \left(\frac{du}{|du|} \right) &= \lambda_{1,1}(\Omega) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

The proof of Proposition 1.4 is based on the proof of Theorem 4.2, it is therefore summarised below.

Proof of Proposition 1.4. Let $(u, v) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ be the pair of eigenfunctions corresponding to $\lambda_{1,p,q}(\Omega)$ with $u > 0$, $v > 0$ by definition. Then

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(\Omega) = \frac{\alpha}{p} \int_{\Omega} |du|^p d\mu + \frac{\beta}{q} \int_{\Omega} |dv|^q d\mu \quad \text{with} \quad \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\alpha-1} |v|^{\beta-1} \langle u, v \rangle d\mu = 1.$$

Using Theorem 4.2 we have

$$\lambda_{1,p}(\Omega) = \frac{\int_{\Omega} |du|^p d\mu}{\int_{\Omega} |u|^p d\mu} \geq \left(\frac{h(\Omega)}{p} \right)^p \quad (4.5)$$

which implies

$$\int_{\Omega} |du|^p d\mu \geq \left(\frac{h(\Omega)}{p} \right)^p \|u\|_p^p \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} |dv|^q d\mu \geq \left(\frac{h(\Omega)}{p} \right)^p \|v\|_q^q$$

since u and p in Theorem 4.2 were arbitrary and for the compact embedding of $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega)$. Therefore

$$\lambda_{1,p,q}(\Omega) = \frac{\alpha}{p} \int_{\Omega} |du|^p d\mu + \frac{\beta}{q} \int_{\Omega} |dv|^q d\mu \geq \frac{\alpha}{p} \left(\frac{h(\Omega)}{p} \right)^p \|u\|_p^p + \frac{\beta}{q} \left(\frac{h(\Omega)}{q} \right)^q \|v\|_q^q,$$

which proves (1.9). Next thing to do is to obtain the limiting behaviour of $\lambda_{1,p,q}$ as $p \rightarrow 1$ and $q \rightarrow 1$. Heuristically as $p \rightarrow 1$ and $q \rightarrow 1$, $\alpha/p + \beta/q \rightarrow \alpha + \beta = 1$. Taking $\|u\|_r = 1$, i.e., we normalize the eigenfunction of r -Laplacian and then observe that the lower bound in the last inequality converges to $h(\Omega)$:

$$\limsup_{p \rightarrow 1, q \rightarrow 1} \lambda_{1,p,q}(\Omega) = h(\Omega).$$

It therefore suffices to obtain a finite bound for $\lambda_{1,p,q}(\Omega)$ as $p \rightarrow 1$ and $q \rightarrow 1$. To obtain a suitable upper bound for $\lambda_{1,r}(\Omega)$ (resp. $\int_{\Omega} |du|^r d\mu$, $r > 1$), we can follow the proof of Corollary 6 of [21] and then conclude that

$$\lambda_{1,1,1}(\Omega) := \limsup_{p \rightarrow 1, q \rightarrow 1} \lambda_{1,p,q}(\Omega) = h(\Omega).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Abolarinwa, The first eigenvalue of p -Laplacian and geometric estimates, *Nonl. Anal. Diff. Eq.*, 2(3)(2014), 105–115
- [2] A. Abolarinwa, Evolution and monotonicity of the first eigenvalue of p -Laplacian under the Ricci-harmonic flow, *J. Appl. Anal.*, 21(2)(2015), 147–60.
- [3] A. Abolarinwa, O. Adebimpe and E. A. Bakare, Monotonicity formulas for the first eigenvalue of the weighted p -Laplacian under the Ricci-harmonic flow, *J. Ineq. Appl.*, (2019) 2019:10.
- [4] A. Abolarinwa, Eigenvalues of weighted-Laplacian under the extended Ricci flow, *Adv. Geom.*, 19(1) (2019), 131–143.
- [5] A. Abolarinwa, S. O. Edeki and J. Ehigie, On the spectrum of the weighted p -Laplacian under the Ricci-harmonic flow, *J. Ineq. Appl.*, (2020)(58), 1–14.
- [6] A. Abolarinwa, C. Yang and D. Zhang, On the spectrum of the p -biharmonic operator under the Ricci flow, *Res. Math.*, (2020)(54), 1–16.
- [7] T. Aubin, *Some nonlinear problems in Riemannian geometry*, Springer, Berlin (1998).
- [8] G. A. Afrouzi, M. Mirzapour and Q. Zhang, Simplicity and stability of the first eigenvalue of a (p, q) Laplacian system, *Electr. J. Diff Eqn.*, 2012(8)(2012), 1–6.
- [9] S. Azami, The first eigenvalue of some (p, q) -Laplacian and geometric estimates, *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.*, 33(1) (2018), 317–323.
- [10] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of Operators*, Vol. 120 Pure and Applied Math., Academic press Inc. (1998).
- [11] N. Benouhiba and Z. Belyacine, A class of eigenvalue problems for the (p, q) -Laplacian in \mathbb{R}^N , *Int. J. of pure appl. Math.*, 80(5)(2012), 727–737.
- [12] B. Benson, The Cheeger constant, isoperimetric problems, and hyperbolic surfaces, arxiv.org/abs/1509.08993

- [13] P. Berard and D. Meyer, Inequalities isoperimétriques et applications, *Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup. 4e Ser.* 15 (1982) 513–542.
- [14] F. Bonder and J. P. Pinasco, Estimates for eigenvalues of quasilinear elliptic systems. Part II, *J. Diff. Eq.* 245 (2008), 875–891
- [15] J. Cheeger, A lower bound for the smallest eigenvalue of the Laplacian. In Problems in analysis, (Papers dedicated to Salomon Bochner, 1969), pp. 195–7199. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1970.
- [16] S. Y. Cheng, Eigenvalue comparison theorems and its geometric applications, *Math. Z.* 141(1975), 289–297.
- [17] S. Y. Cheng, Eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of Laplacian, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.*, 27(1975), 185–193.
- [18] J. Fleckinger, R. F. Manásevich, N. M. Stavrakakis and F. de Thélin, Principal eigenvalues for some quasilinear elliptic equations on \mathbb{R}^N , *Adv. Diff. Eq.* 2(6) (1997), 981–1003.
- [19] J. P. Garzia Azorero and I. Peral Alonso, Existence and nonuniqueness for the p -Laplacian eigenvalues, *Comm. Part. Diff. Eq.* 12(1987) 1389–1430.
- [20] S. Kawai and N. Nakauchi, The first eigenvalue of the p -Laplacian on a compact manifold, *Nonl. Anal.*, 55 (2003), 33–46.
- [21] B. Kawohl and V. Fridman, Isoperimetric estimates for the first eigenvalue of the p -Laplace operator and the Cheeger constant, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.*, 44 (2003) 659–667.
- [22] A. E. Khalil, S. E. Manouni, M. Ouanan, Simplicity and stability of the first eigenvalue of a nonlinear elliptic system, *Int. J. Math. and Math. Sci.*, 10 (2005) 1555–1563.
- [23] E. H. Lieb and M. Loss, *Analysis*, Graduate Studies in Mathematic, Vol. 14 AMS, Providence, Rhode Island (2007).
- [24] B. P. Lima, J. F. Montenegro and N. L. Santos, Eigenvalues estimates for the first eigenvalue of the p -Laplace operator on manifolds, arXiv:0808.2028[math.DG] 14 Aug 2008.
- [25] P. Lindqvist, On the equation $\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) + |u|^{p-2}u = 0$, *Res. Reportsc*, vol. A 263, Helsinki Univ. Tech. Inst. Math., Helsinki, 1988.
- [26] J. Mao, Eigenvalue inequalities for the p -Laplacian on a Riemannian manifold and estimates for the heat kernel, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 101 (2014) 372393
- [27] A. M. Matei, First eigenvalue for the p -Laplace operator, *Nonl. Anal.* 39(2000) 1051–1068.
- [28] P. L. De Nápoli and J. P. Pinasco, Estimates for eigenvalues of quasilinear elliptic systems, *J. Diff. Eq.* 227 (2006), 102–115.
- [29] E. Parini, An introduction to the Cheeger problem, *Surveys Math. Appl.*, 6 (2011), 9–22.
- [30] A. Taheri, *Function spaces and partial differential equations I & II*, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications 40 & 41, Oxford University Press, 2015.
- [31] H. Takeuchi, On the first eigenvalue of the p -Laplacian in a Riemannian manifold, *Tokyo J. Math.*, 21(1)(1998), 136–140.
- [32] P. Tolksdorf, Regularity for a more general class of quasilinear elliptic equations, *Jour. of Diff. Eq.*, 5(1)(1984), 126–150.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LAGOS, AKOKA, LAGOS STATE, NIGERIA.
E-mail address: A.Abolarinwa1@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, IMAM KHOMEINI INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY, QAZVIN, IRAN.
E-mail address: azami@sci.ikiu.ac.ir