

Spherical functions for Gelfand pairs of complex reflection groups

Robin van Haastrecht

Abstract

In this article the zonal spherical functions of the Gelfand pair $(G(r, d, n), S_n)$ of complex reflection groups will be calculated. After this, a product formula for these spherical functions and a discrete analog of the Laplace operator which has the spherical functions as eigenfunctions will be given.

Keywords: Gelfand pairs of finite groups; Finite complex reflection groups; $(n + 1, m + 1)$ -hypergeometric functions; Zonal spherical functions; Product formulas; Laplace operator

1 Introduction

If G and H are groups and the induced representation 1_H^G splits multiplicity-free, (G, H) is a Gelfand pair. The theory of Gelfand pairs was originally started in the setting of Lie groups in a paper by I.M. Gelfand [5]. Since then, Gelfand pairs of locally compact groups and finite groups have also been studied, and have applications in areas such as special functions [1, 4, 8] and probability [2]. In this article we will study finite Gelfand pairs of complex reflection groups. The group theoretic approach of Gelfand pairs will be used to give some results of special functions as spherical functions of complex reflection groups and the spherical functions of more general complex reflection groups will be given. An interesting follow up question would be if we could quantise these spherical functions like in [7].

In Section 2 the preliminaries for the article will be given; we recall the theory of finite Gelfand pairs and spherical functions and we remind ourselves of the complex reflection groups $G(r, d, n)$. The results follow after. In Section 3 the spherical functions of the complex reflection groups $G(r, d, n)$ will be given. Sections 4 and 5 will focus on an application of the theory of Gelfand pairs to special functions, respectively a product formula for the hypergeometric function and a discrete analogue of the Laplace operator which has the spherical functions as eigenfunctions.

Notation

In this article \mathbb{N} is defined to be the natural numbers including 0. The binomial $\binom{n}{k}$ and multinomial $\binom{n}{k_1, \dots, k_n}$ are zero when $k < 0$ or a $k_i < 0$ respectively. The Pochhammer symbol is defined as: $(x)_n = x(x+1) \dots (x+n-1)$ where $(x)_0 = 1$. This gives us $\binom{x}{m} = (-1)^m \frac{(-x)_m}{m!}$. The groups considered in this article will be assumed to be finite. The inner product spaces V considered here are complex. The dihedral group D_r is of order $2r$.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Gelfand pairs and zonal spherical functions

We will introduce Gelfand pairs and zonal spherical functions. In this section G will be a finite group and $H \subseteq G$ a subgroup. $C(G)$ will be the \mathbb{C} -vector space of functions from G to \mathbb{C} . This vector space comes equipped with a natural inner product, i.e. $\langle f, h \rangle = \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \overline{h(g)}$. Similarly, $C(G/H)$ is the vector space of functions on the space G/H , which can be identified with the subspace of right H -invariant functions of $C(G)$. The vector space of functions on $C(H \backslash G/H)$ can be similarly identified with the subspace of bi- H -invariant functions of $C(G)$. The space $C(G)$ can be turned into an algebra by using the convolution product:

$$(f * h)(g) = \sum_{x, y : xy=g} f(x)h(y) = \sum_{t \in G} f(t)h(t^{-1}g).$$

Note that this makes $C(G)$ isomorphic to the group algebra and both $C(G/H)$ and $C(H \backslash G/H)$ are subalgebras as subspaces of $C(G)$.

Definition 2.1. A group G with a subgroup H form a *Gelfand pair* (G, H) if the representation $1_H^G = \text{Ind}_H^G(1_H) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s V_i$ is multiplicity-free.

We have the equality $s = |H \backslash G/H|$ from [2, Corollary 4.4.3]. We will state a useful criterium for Gelfand pairs.

Lemma 2.2 (Gelfand's Lemma [2, Exercise 4.3.3]). *Let G be a group and $H \subseteq G$ a subgroup. If there exists an automorphism τ of G such that $g^{-1} \in H\tau(g)H$, then (G, H) is a Gelfand pair. We call such a Gelfand pair *weakly symmetric*. \square*

Let (G, H) be a Gelfand pair, so 1_H^G splits multiplicity-free as a $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -module, i.e. $1_H^G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s V_i$. This means by Frobenius reciprocity that $\langle 1_H, \text{Res} V_i \rangle_H = \langle 1_H^G, V_i \rangle_G = 1$. Thus every V_i has a 1-dimensional subspace V_i^H of H -invariant vectors. Remember each V_i comes equipped with a G -invariant inner product. Now choose a unit vector $v_1^i \in V_i^H \subseteq V_i$. Note that in each case $\{v_1^i\}$ can be extended to an orthonormal basis $\{v_j^i\}_{j=1}^{\dim(V_i)}$ for V_i . Let $C(G/H) \subseteq C(G)$ and define a linear map:

$$\phi_i : V_i \rightarrow C(G/H)$$

$$v \mapsto (g \mapsto \langle v | gv_1^i \rangle).$$

This map ϕ_i is in fact a $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -homomorphism because:

$$\phi_i(gv)(k) = \langle gv, kv_1^i \rangle = \langle v, g^{-1}kv_1^i \rangle = \phi_i(v)(g^{-1}k) = (g(\phi_i(v)))(k).$$

Note that ϕ_i is injective. It follows that $V_i \cong \phi_i(V_i)$ and we deduce: $C(G/H) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \phi_i(V_i)$. We are now ready to define the zonal spherical functions.

Definition 2.3. The *zonal spherical functions* ω_i are the $\omega_i = \phi_i(v_1^i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq |H \backslash G/H|$. This means that $\omega_i(g) = \langle v_1^i | gv_1^i \rangle = \rho_{11}^i(g)$.

We list some properties of zonal spherical functions.

Proposition 2.4 ([2, Proposition 4.5.7]). *The zonal spherical functions have the following properties:*

- (1) $\omega_i(h_1gh_2) = \omega_i(g)$ for any $g \in G$ and $h_1, h_2 \in H$.
- (2) $\omega_i(e) = 1$ and $\omega_i(g^{-1}) = \overline{\omega_i(g)}$ for any $g \in G$.
- (3) $\omega_i * \omega_j = \frac{|G|}{\dim(V_i)} \delta_{ij} \omega_i$. □

Corollary 2.5. *Let D_k be a double coset in $H \backslash G / H$, which can be indexed by k ranging from 1 to s . It follows that if we write $\omega_i(D_k) = \omega_i(g)$ for any $g \in D_k$, then:*

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{k=1}^s |D_k| \omega_i(D_k) \overline{\omega_j(D_k)} = \delta_{ij} \dim(V_i)^{-1}.$$

Note that this means the spherical functions are orthogonal for the inner product of $C(G)$. Furthermore, the $\{\omega_i\}_{i=1}^s$ form an orthogonal basis for the subspace $C(H \backslash G / H) \subseteq C(G)$.

Proof. This follows by filling in $\omega_i * \omega_j(e)$ and using Proposition 2.4. □

Remark. Because $C(G/H) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \phi_i(V_i)$ and we have an orthonormal basis $\{v_j^i\}_{j=1}^{\dim(V_i)}$ for each V_i , we know that $\{\phi_i(v_j^i)\}_{i,j}$ forms a basis for $C(G/H) \subseteq C(G)$. We know that $\phi_i(v_j^i)(g) = \langle v_j^i | gv_1^i \rangle = \rho_{j1}^i(g)$.

We have another characterisation of spherical functions.

Theorem 2.6 ([2, Theorem 4.5.3]). *Let (G, H) be a Gelfand pair. A bi- H -invariant non-zero function ω is a zonal spherical function if and only if:*

$$\forall_{g,k \in G} \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} \omega(ghk) = \omega(g)\omega(k). \quad \square \tag{1}$$

2.2 Complex reflection groups

In this section we will introduce the complex reflection groups $G(r, d, n)$. More information on these groups can be found in Shephard and Todd's article [10]. We begin by defining $G(r, 1, n)$. From now on $\xi = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{r})$ and $C_r = \langle \xi \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 2.7. $G(r, 1, n) = C_r \wr S_n := C_r^n \rtimes S_n$ where if $\sigma \in S_n$ and $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in C_r^n$ then $\sigma(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = (\xi_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, \xi_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})$.

Remark. This group can be represented by the set of monomial matrices that have r -th roots of unity as entries. In the case of $r = 2$ the group $G(2, 1, n) = H_n$ is the hyperoctahedral group, the Weyl group of type B_n [6].

We see that $|G(r, 1, n)| = r^n n!$. We now move on to the definition of $G(r, d, n)$ which is defined when $d|r$ and can be realised as a subgroup of $G(r, 1, n)$. We set $p = \frac{r}{d}$ and remark that $\langle \xi^p \rangle = \langle \zeta \rangle = C_d$ for $\zeta = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{d})$.

Definition 2.8. If Φ_r^d denotes the surjective group homomorphism:

$$\Phi_r^d : G(r, 1, n) \rightarrow C_d$$

$$(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \sigma) \mapsto (\xi_1 \dots \xi_n)^P$$

for $d|r$, the group $G(r, d, n)$ is defined as $\text{Ker}(\Phi_r^d)$.

Remark. A more direct realisation is given by:

$$G(r, d, n) = \{(\xi^{a_1}, \dots, \xi^{a_n}, \sigma) \in G(r, 1, n) \mid a_1 + \dots + a_n = 0 \pmod{d}\}.$$

Important examples of these groups include $G(2, 2, n)$, the Weyl group of type D_n , and $G(r, r, 2)$, which is the dihedral group of order $2r$ as well as the Weyl group of type $I_2(r)$ [6]. We remark that $G(r, d, n)$ is normal and:

$$G(r, 1, n)/G(r, d, n) \cong C_d.$$

From here it follows that $|G(r, d, n)| = \frac{r^n}{d} n!$. We have $S_n \subseteq G(r, d, n)$ as a subgroup if we set $S_n = \{(1, \dots, 1, \sigma) \in G(r, d, n) \mid \sigma \in S_n\}$. We will study $(G(r, d, n), S_n)$, which will turn out to be a Gelfand pair. First we obtain some results about the representatives of the left cosets and double cosets of $G(r, d, n)$ by S_n , contained in $G(r, d, n)/S_n$ and $S_n \backslash G(r, d, n)/S_n$.

Proposition 2.9.

(1) A complete set of representatives for the left cosets of S_n in $G(r, d, n)$ is given by $\{(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, id) \in G(r, d, n)\}$.

(2) A complete set of representatives for the double cosets of S_n in $G(r, d, n)$ is given by:

$$\left\{ \underbrace{(1, \dots, 1)}_{l_0}, \dots, \underbrace{(\xi^{r-1}, \dots, \xi^{r-1})}_{l_{r-1}}, id \in G(r, d, n) \mid \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} l_i = n, \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} i l_i = 0 \pmod{d} \right\}$$

These representatives can be represented by an r -tuple (l_0, \dots, l_{r-1}) such that $\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} l_i = n$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} i l_i \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$.

Proof. (1): This can be seen by remarking that $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \sigma) \cdot \tau = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \sigma\tau)$. This means that $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \sigma)S_n = \{(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \tau) \mid \tau \in S_n\}$.

(2): A left coset is described by a $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, id) \in G(r, d, n)$. Note that a double coset is completely determined by the number of ξ^i for $0 \leq i \leq r-1$ in an element of the coset. We call the number of times ξ^i appears l_i . We obtain $\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} l_i = n$. Because $(\xi^{a_1}, \dots, \xi^{a_n}, \sigma) \in G(r, d, n)$ it is also necessary that $\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} i l_i = 0 \pmod{d}$. \square

Proposition 2.10. $(G(r, d, n), S_n)$ is a (weakly symmetric) Gelfand pair.

Proof. $(G(r, d, n), S_n)$ is a weakly symmetric Gelfand pair if there is a group automorphism f such that $g^{-1} \in S_n f(g) S_n$ by Lemma 2.2. Consider the group automorphism:

$$f : G(r, 1, n) \rightarrow G(r, 1, n)$$

$$(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \sigma) \mapsto (\xi_1^{-1}, \dots, \xi_n^{-1}, \sigma).$$

By restriction to $G(r, d, n)$ we find a group automorphism of $G(r, d, n)$. Notice that:

$$(\xi^{a_1}, \dots, \xi^{a_n}, \sigma)^{-1} = (\xi^{-a_{\sigma(1)}}, \dots, \xi^{-a_{\sigma(n)}}, \sigma^{-1}) \in S_n f((\xi^{a_1}, \dots, \xi^{a_n}, \sigma)) S_n.$$

Hence the condition of Lemma 2.2 is satisfied and the proposition is proven. \square

We are now ready to state the irreducible representations making up $1_{S_n}^{G(r, 1, n)}$ as stated by Mizukawa [8]. The group $G(r, d, n)$ acts on the ring of polynomials in n complex variables as:

$$(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \sigma) f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(\xi_{\sigma(1)}^{-1} x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \xi_{\sigma(n)}^{-1} x_{\sigma(n)}).$$

There is a map from \mathbb{N}^r to the set of partitions:

$$\psi : \mathbb{N}^r \ni (k_0, \dots, k_{r-1}) \mapsto (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{k_0}, \dots, \underbrace{r-1, \dots, r-1}_{k_{r-1}}).$$

Proposition 2.11 ([8, Proposition 3.2]). *The induced representation $1_{S_n}^{G(r, 1, n)}$ is decomposed as:*

$$1_{S_n}^{G(r, 1, n)} \cong \bigoplus_{\{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1}) \mid \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} k_i = n\}} V^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}.$$

Here $V^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}$ is an irreducible $G(r, 1, n)$ -module realised as:

$$V^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})} = \bigoplus_{f \in M_n(\psi(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1}))} \mathbb{C} f.$$

Here $M_n(\lambda) = \{x_{\sigma(1)}^{\lambda_1} \dots x_{\sigma(n)}^{\lambda_n} \mid \sigma \in S_n\}$ for $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$. \square

Before we can give the zonal spherical functions of $(G(r, 1, n), S_n)$ we need to define a generalised class of hypergeometric functions, originally introduced by Aomoto and Gelfand.

Definition 2.12. An $(n+1, m+1)$ -hypergeometric functions is defined as:

$$F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, X) = \sum_{(a_{ij}) \in M_{n, m-n-1}(\mathbb{N})} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i)_{\sum_{j=1}^{m-n-1} a_{ij}} \prod_{i=1}^{m-n-1} (\beta_i)_{\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ji}} \prod_{i,j} X_{ij}^{a_{ij}}}{(\gamma)_{\sum_{i,j} a_{ij}} \prod_{i,j} a_{ij}!}.$$

Here $X \in M_{n, m-n-1}(\mathbb{C})$, α is an n -tuple, β is an $(m-n-1)$ -tuple and $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. We adopt the convention that γ is a negative integer and we sum over the $(a_{ij})_{i,j}$ such that $\sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \leq -\gamma$, so the above sum is finite and we will not have to worry about convergence issues. Note that $(2, 4)$ -hypergeometric functions give the usual Gauss hypergeometric functions ${}_2F_1$. We are now ready to give the spherical functions for the Gelfand pair $(G(r, 1, n), S_n)$, first calculated by Mizukawa [8]. They are parametrised by the $(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1}) \in \mathbb{N}^r$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} k_i = n$. We denote a zonal spherical function indexed by (k_0, \dots, k_{r-1}) evaluated on a double coset parametrised by (l_0, \dots, l_{r-1}) as $\omega_{(l_0, \dots, l_{r-1})}^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}$.

Theorem 2.13 ([8, Theorem 4.6]). *The zonal spherical functions of $(G(r, 1, n), S_n)$ have the $(n + 1, m + 1)$ -hypergeometric expressions:*

$$\omega_{(l_0, \dots, l_{r-1})}^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})} = F((-l_1, \dots, -l_{r-1}), (-k_1, \dots, -k_{r-1}), -n, \tilde{\Xi}_r).$$

Here $\tilde{\Xi}_r = (1 - \xi^{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq r-1}$. □

From now on, we will write $k = (k_1, \dots, k_{r-1})$ and $l = (l_1, \dots, l_{r-1})$.

3 Spherical functions for $(G(r, d, n), S_n)$

We will calculate the spherical functions of the Gelfand pair $(G(r, d, n), S_n)$ using our knowledge of the spherical functions of the Gelfand pair $(G(r, 1, n), S_n)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose (G, H) is a Gelfand pair, ω a spherical function of this Gelfand pair and $K \subseteq G$ is a subgroup of G such that $H \subseteq K$ and (K, H) is a Gelfand pair. Then $\omega|_K$ is a spherical function for the Gelfand pair (K, H) . All spherical functions of (K, H) are obtained in this way.*

Proof. Notice that if $\omega \in C(G)$ is right and left H -invariant implies $\omega|_K \in C(H \backslash K / H) \subseteq C(K)$ is left and right H -invariant and $\omega|_K \neq 0$. By Theorem 2.6 the function $\omega|_K$ satisfies equation (1) for all $k \in K$ and so it is zonal spherical.

If Ω is a spherical function for the Gelfand pair (K, H) , $\Omega \in C(K)$, we can extend Ω to $C(G)$ as a bi- H -invariant function, which we will call ω . We define $\omega(g) := \Omega(g)$ for $g \in K$ and $\omega(g) := 0$ for $g \in G \setminus K$. Then:

$$\omega = \sum c_i \omega_i.$$

We restrict again to K (in which case $\omega_i|_K = \Omega_i$ are spherical functions). Then:

$$\Omega = \sum c_i \Omega_i.$$

We know that the spherical functions form a basis for $C(H \backslash K / H)$, thus we conclude that $\Omega_i = \Omega$ for one of the zonal spherical functions. □

The spherical functions of $G(r, d, n)$ come from restriction of the spherical functions of $G(r, 1, n)$. Let $p = \frac{r}{d}$ and $\gamma = (0 \ 1 \dots r-1)^p \in S_r$. Then $\Gamma = \langle \gamma \rangle \cong C_d$. Let S_r act on the r -tuple (k_0, \dots, k_{r-1}) in the usual way, i.e. $\sigma(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1}) = (k_{\sigma^{-1}(0)}, \dots, k_{\sigma^{-1}(r-1)})$. We restrict $V^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}$ to $G(r, d, n)$. These restricted representations do not have to be irreducible in general, but we do know they contain an irreducible representation contained in $1_{S_n}^{G(r, d, n)}$. This irreducible space is generated by $w = \sum_{f \in M_n(\psi(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1}))} f$ over $\mathbb{C}[G(r, d, n)]$, an S_n -invariant element in $V^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}$. By $W^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}$ we will denote this space generated by w . We notice that the spherical functions connected to $W^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}$ are the restrictions to $G(r, d, n)$ of the spherical functions connected to $V^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}$.

Definition 3.2. Let ϕ_1 be the linear map:

$$\phi_1 : V^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})} \rightarrow V^{\gamma(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}$$

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto x_1^p \dots x_n^p f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \pmod R.$$

Here the mod R means that this map is modulo the relationship $x_i^r = 1$ for all i . We write $\phi_a = \phi_1^a$.

Proposition 3.3. *The map ϕ_1 gives an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}[G(r, d, n)]$ -modules:*

$$V^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})} \cong V^{\gamma(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}.$$

Here $\gamma = (0 \ 1 \dots r-1)^p$.

Proof. First notice that the map ϕ_1 is a bijection, because there is an inverse $\phi_1^{-1} = \phi_{d-1}$. We need to show that it is an intertwiner. Let $(\xi^{a_1}, \dots, \xi^{a_n}, \sigma) \in G(r, d, n)$, then $p(a_1 + \dots + a_n) \equiv 0 \pmod r$. Now:

$$\begin{aligned} (\xi^{a_1}, \dots, \xi^{a_n}, \sigma) \phi_1(f(x_1, \dots, x_n)) &= (\xi^{a_1}, \dots, \xi^{a_n}, \sigma) (x_1^p \dots x_n^p f(x_1, \dots, x_n)) \stackrel{(1)}{=} \\ (\xi^{-a_{\sigma(1)}} x_{\sigma(1)}^p \dots (\xi^{-a_{\sigma(n)}} x_{\sigma(n)}^p) f(\xi^{-a_{\sigma(1)}} x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \xi^{-a_{\sigma(n)}} x_{\sigma(n)}) &= \\ x_1^p \dots x_n^p f(\xi^{-a_{\sigma(1)}} x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \xi^{-a_{\sigma(n)}} x_{\sigma(n)}) &= \phi_1((\xi^{a_1}, \dots, \xi^{a_n}, \sigma) f(x_1, \dots, x_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that (1) still holds with the extra identity $x_i^r = 1$ because $(\xi^{a_i})^r = 1$ holds. Thus the map ϕ is $\mathbb{C}[G(r, d, n)]$ -linear and so the two modules are isomorphic as $\mathbb{C}[G(r, d, n)]$ -modules. \square

Corollary 3.4. *Two zonal spherical functions of $(G(r, 1, n), S_n)$ restricted to $G(r, d, n)$ indexed by (k_0, \dots, k_{r-1}) and (k'_0, \dots, k'_{r-1}) are the same if there is $\beta \in \Gamma$ such that $\beta(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1}) = (k'_0, \dots, k'_{r-1})$.*

Proof. This follows from the isomorphism of Proposition 3.3. \square

In Corollary 3.7, we will see that the restrictions of the zonal spherical functions are only the same when connected to $V^{\gamma^k(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}$ for any k . Now let $G = G(r, 1, n)$, $K = G(r, d, n)$, $H = S_n$ and $p = \frac{r}{d}$. Now $G/K \cong C_d = \langle \xi^p \rangle$. Identify $G/K \cong C_d$ with the subgroup $\{(\mu, \dots, \mu, id) \in G(r, 1, n) \mid \mu \in C_d\}$. We notice that the group H commutes with $\{(\mu, \dots, \mu, id) \in G(r, 1, n) \mid \mu \in C_d\}$. We will denote by $(G/K)H$ the product of the group $\{(\mu, \dots, \mu, id) \in G(r, 1, n) \mid \mu \in C_d\}$ with H , which is isomorphic to $G/K \times H$. By Proposition 2.9 each double coset in $H \backslash G / H$ can be identified with an r -tuple (l_0, \dots, l_{r-1}) such that $\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} l_i = n$. We see that in the case of $H \backslash G / ((G/K)H)$ the double cosets can be identified with the orbits of (l_0, \dots, l_{r-1}) under the group $\Gamma = \langle (0 \dots r-1)^p \rangle \subseteq S_r$. We write $X_n^{r-1} = \{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1}) \mid \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} k_i = n\}$. If $|H \backslash K / H| = |H \backslash G / ((G/K)H)|$ then the spherical functions are exactly indexed by the orbits in X_n^{r-1} / Γ . This is because on the orbits of $\Gamma \cong C_d$ the zonal spherical functions are the same, so there can be at most $|H \backslash G / ((G/K)H)|$ different restrictions and restriction is surjective, so there are $|H \backslash K / H|$ different restrictions (the amount of spherical functions).

Lemma 3.5. *Let r be an integer and $(l_1, \dots, l_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$. The number of n -tuples $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})^n$ satisfying $a_1 l_1 + \dots + a_n l_n \pmod r = 0 \pmod r$ is $\gcd(r, l_1, \dots, l_n) r^{n-1}$*

Proof. Set $k = \gcd(r, l_1, \dots, l_n)$. First, let r be a prime power, i.e. $r = p^a$. We know that $k = \gcd(r, l_1, \dots, l_n) = p^b$ for some $0 \leq b \leq a$. We assume without loss of generality that $\gcd(r, l_1) = p^b$, which means that $\langle l_1 \pmod r \rangle = \langle p^b \pmod r \rangle$ as subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$. We now choose a_i for $i > 1$ freely in r^{n-1} ways, and we wonder how many a_1 there can be such that:

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 l_1 + \dots + a_n l_n \pmod r &= 0 \pmod r \\ a_1 l_1 \pmod r &= (-a_2 l_2 - \dots - a_n l_n) \pmod r. \end{aligned}$$

At least one such a_1 exists, so this question is synonymous with asking how many c there are such that $cl_1 \pmod r = 0 \pmod r$. This number is $\gcd(l_1, r) = p^b = k$. Hence in this case the amount of n -tuples $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})^n$ satisfying our conditions is kr^{n-1} .

Now let r be a general integer, then $r = p_1^{b_1} \dots p_m^{b_m}$ with the p_i all distinct prime numbers. We notice that:

$$k = \gcd(r, l_1, \dots, l_n) = \prod_{i=1}^m \gcd(p_i^{b_i}, l_1, \dots, l_n).$$

We study the $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})^n$ such that $l_1 a_1 + \dots + l_n a_n \pmod r = 0 \pmod r$. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem we know that this coincides with the $((a_1^1, \dots, a_1^m), \dots, (a_n^1, \dots, a_n^m)) \in (\mathbb{Z}/p_1^{b_1}\mathbb{Z} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}/p_m^{b_m}\mathbb{Z})^n$ such that (where in a^i i is now used as an index):

$$\forall_i l_1 a_1^i + \dots + l_n a_n^i \pmod{p_i^{b_i}} = 0 \pmod{p_i^{b_i}}.$$

By the earlier part of the proof, there are $\gcd(p_i^{b_i}, l_1, \dots, l_n) (p_i^{b_i})^{n-1}$ such (a_1^i, \dots, a_n^i) . This means the amount of n -tuples $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})^n$ such that $l_1 a_1 + \dots + l_n a_n \pmod r = 0 \pmod r$ is $\prod_{i=1}^m (\gcd(p_i^{b_i}, l_1, \dots, l_n) (p_i^{b_i})^{n-1}) = \gcd(r, l_1, \dots, l_n) r^{n-1} = kr^{n-1}$. \square

Proposition 3.6. $|H \backslash K/H| = |H \backslash G/((G/K)H)|$.

Proof. First we notice that each left coset of K/H can be represented by a $(\xi^{a_1}, \dots, \xi^{a_n})$ such that $a_1 + \dots + a_n \pmod d = 0 \pmod d$ and each left coset of $G/((G/K)H)$ can be represented by a $(\xi^j, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)$ where $\xi_i \in C_r$ and $0 \leq j \leq p-1$. Each such element uniquely determines a coset. We notice that H acts from the left on $X = G/((G/K)H)$ and $Y = K/H$ and that the orbits under these actions can be identified with the double cosets of $H \backslash K/H$ and $H \backslash G/((G/K)H)$. Hence by Burnside's Lemma:

$$|H \backslash G/((G/K)H)| = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{\sigma \in H} |X^\sigma|$$

$$|H \backslash K/H| = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{\sigma \in H} |Y^\sigma|.$$

Remember $H = S_n$. We will prove $\forall_{\sigma \in S_n} |X^\sigma| = |Y^\sigma|$. If $\sigma \in S_n$, then σ can be written as a product of disjoint cycles, i.e. $\sigma = \tau_1 \dots \tau_{n_\sigma}$. Let l_i be the length of the cycle τ_i and n_σ the number of cycles. We write $k = \gcd(d, l_1, \dots, l_{n_\sigma})$.

Claim 1: $|Y^\sigma| = kpr^{n_\sigma-1}$.

We know that for every $y \in Y$ there is a representative $(\xi^{a_1}, \dots, \xi^{a_n})$ such that $a_1 + \dots + a_n \pmod d = 0 \pmod d$. For $(\xi^{a_1}, \dots, \xi^{a_n}) \in Y^\sigma$ to hold we know that a_i must be constant for each i in the same cycle τ_j . So we need to count the n_σ -tuples $(a_1, \dots, a_{n_\sigma}) \in (\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})^{n_\sigma}$ such that $a_1 l_1 + \dots + a_{n_\sigma} l_{n_\sigma} \pmod d = 0 \pmod d$. By Lemma 3.5 there are $kd^{n_\sigma-1}$ such integers where the $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$. However, the original a_i can be in $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$. This means that the amount of n_σ -tuples $(a_1, \dots, a_{n_\sigma}) \in (\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})^{n_\sigma}$ such that $a_1 l_1 + \dots + a_{n_\sigma} l_{n_\sigma} \pmod d = 0 \pmod d$ is $kd^{n_\sigma-1} p^{n_\sigma} = \gcd(d, l_1, \dots, l_{n_\sigma}) p r^{n_\sigma-1}$. Hence $|Y^\sigma| = kpr^{n_\sigma-1}$.

Claim 2: $|X^\sigma| = kpr^{n_\sigma-1}$.

If $x \in X$, choose a representative $(\xi^j, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)$, where $0 \leq j \leq p-1$. Now let σ act on $(\xi^j, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)$ and let $\xi_1 = \xi^j$. Then $\sigma(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) = (\xi_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, \xi_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})$ where $(\xi_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, \xi_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})$ represents the class $(\xi_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, \xi_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}, id)(G/K)H$. We know that $\sigma = \tau_1 \dots \tau_{n_\sigma}$ where the τ_i are disjoint cycles of length l_i . We know that σ acts trivially if and only if there is $\mu \in C_d$ such that: $\forall_i \xi_i = \mu \xi_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}$. We obtain $\mu^{l_i} = 1$ for every l_i . On the other hand, if we have such a μ , it can give $pr^{n_\sigma-1}$ distinct $(\xi^j, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) \in X^\sigma$ (decide ξ_i for exactly one number in each cycle τ_j). We obtain:

$$|X^\sigma| = |\{\mu \in C_d \mid \forall_i \mu^{l_i} = 1\}| p r^{n_\sigma-1}.$$

So we need to count the number of μ satisfying our conditions. Recall that $\mu = \xi^{ap}$ for an $a \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$. Now we need to count the $a \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\forall_i a \cdot l_i \pmod d = 0 \pmod d$. We know that by the Euclidean algorithm there are integers m, x_i such that:

$$x_1 l_1 + \dots + x_{n_\sigma} l_{n_\sigma} \pmod d = k \pmod d.$$

If we multiply by an a satisfying our conditions we get:

$$0 \pmod d = a(x_1 l_1 + \dots + x_{n_\sigma} l_{n_\sigma}) \pmod d = ak \pmod d.$$

Hence the number of a satisfying our conditions is $|\langle \frac{d}{k} \rangle| = k$. So $|X^\sigma| = kpr^{n_\sigma-1} = |Y^\sigma|$. \square

Corollary 3.7. *The zonal spherical functions of $G(r, d, n)$ are exactly indexed by the orbits of X_n^{r-1} under the group Γ .* \square

Now we know the spherical functions are indexed by the orbits of X_n^{r-1} under the group Γ , we will also be wanting to know about the dimensions of the irreducible representations $W^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}$ belonging to the zonal spherical functions so we can deduce the values of the inner product $\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{k=1}^s |D_k| \omega_i(D_k) \overline{\omega_j(D_k)} = \delta_{ij} \dim(V_i)^{-1}$ from Corollary 2.5. Denote the stabilizer of an element $x \in X_n^{r-1}$ by Γ_x and the orbit of x by Γx . We know that if $S \subseteq X_n^{r-1}$ is a set of representatives of the orbits of X_n^{r-1} by Γ then $1_{S_n}^{G(r, d, n)} = \bigoplus_{s \in S} W^s$.

Proposition 3.8. $\dim(W^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}) = \frac{\dim(V^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})})}{|\Gamma_{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}|} = |\Gamma_{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}|^{-1} \binom{n}{k_0, \dots, k_{r-1}}$.

Proof. Remember that for an arbitrary finite group acting on a finite space X the equality $|G| = |G_x| \cdot |Gx|$ holds. We will prove that $\dim(W^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}) \leq \frac{\dim(V^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})})}{|\Gamma_{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}|}$. Once we

know that, then:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{r^n}{d} &= \dim(1_{S_n}^{G(r,d,n)}) = \sum_{s \in S} \dim(W^s) \leq \sum_{s \in S} \frac{\dim(V^s)}{|\Gamma_s|} = \sum_{x \in X_n^{r-1}} \frac{\dim(V^x)}{|\Gamma_x||\Gamma_x|} = \\ &= \frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \sum_{x \in X_n^{r-1}} \dim(V^x) = \frac{1}{d} r^n = \frac{r^n}{d}. \end{aligned}$$

The above inequality is an equality and we would obtain that:

$$\dim(W^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}) = \frac{\dim(V^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})})}{|\Gamma_{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}|}.$$

If $g \in G(r, 1, n)$, then $gW^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}$ is a $\mathbb{C}[G(r, d, n)]$ -submodule because $G(r, d, n)$ is normal. By the irreducibility of $W^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}$ we obtain that each $gW^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}$ is irreducible and either $g_1W^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})} \cap g_2W^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})} = \{0\}$ or $g_1W^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})} = g_2W^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}$. Hence $V^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}$ is a direct sum of some of these spaces and $\dim(W^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}) | \dim(V^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})})$.

We know that $\Gamma_{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})} \subseteq \Gamma$ is a subgroup and because Γ is cyclic we know that $\Gamma_{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})} = \langle (0 \dots r-1)^{ap} \rangle$ for some $a|d$ and $|\Gamma_{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}| = \frac{d}{a}$. If $\gamma \in \Gamma_{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}$ the function ϕ_a from Definition 3.2 is a $\mathbb{C}[G(r, d, n)]$ -endomorphism of $V^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}$. We study what this function does on the spaces $gW^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}$. First notice that if $w = \sum_{f \in M_n(\psi^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})})} f$ then $\phi_a(w) = w$. Now let $g = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \sigma) \in G(r, 1, n)$. If $v \in gW^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}$, i.e. $v = gcw$, where $c \in \mathbb{C}[G(r, d, n)]$, we see that:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(gcw) &= x_1^{ap} \dots x_n^{ap}(gcw) = (\xi_1 \dots \xi_n)^{ap}(g(x_1 \dots x_n)^{ap})(gcw) \\ &= (\xi_1 \dots \xi_n)^{ap} g \phi_a(cw) = (\xi_1 \dots \xi_n)^{ap} g c \phi_a(w) = (\xi_1 \dots \xi_n)^{ap} gcw. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the $gW^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}$ are eigenspaces of ϕ with eigenvalues $(\xi_1 \dots \xi_n)^{ap}$. We see there can be $\frac{d}{a}$ different eigenvalues and thus at least $\frac{d}{a} = |\Gamma_{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}|$ different eigenspaces. We know that these eigenspaces must be disjoint and each of these eigenspaces contains $gW^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}$ for some g . This means $\frac{d}{a} \dim(W^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}) \leq \dim(V^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})})$ and thus:

$$\dim(W^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}) \leq \frac{\dim(V^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})})}{|\Gamma_{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}|}.$$

□

Let $Y_{n,d}^{r-1} = \{(l_1, \dots, l_{r-1}) | \sum l_i \leq n, \sum i l_i = 0 \pmod{d}\}$ where $d|r$. We get some orthogonality relations for the hypergeometric functions.

Corollary 3.9. *If $(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1}), (k'_0, \dots, k'_{r-1}) \in X_n^{r-1}$ and we set $l_0 = n - l_1 - \dots - l_{r-1}$:*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{r^n} \sum_{l \in Y_{n,d}^{r-1}} \binom{n}{l_0, \dots, l_{r-1}} F(-l, -k, -n, \tilde{\Xi}_r) \overline{F(-l, -k', -n, \tilde{\Xi}_r)} = \\ 1_{\Gamma_{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}}((k'_0, \dots, k'_{r-1})) |\Gamma_{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}| \binom{n}{k_0, \dots, k_{r-1}}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here 1_X is the indicator function.

Proof. This follows from the orthogonality relations of spherical functions in Corollary 2.5 applied to the spherical functions of the Gelfand pair $(G(r, d, n), S_n)$. \square

We will now give some examples of Gelfand pairs of complex reflection groups for illustration and to look at some notable groups.

Example of the dihedral group

We will now look at the special case $G(r, r, 2)$, the dihedral group D_r . This group is generated by the elements a and b with the relations $a^r = b^2 = (ab)^2 = e$ the identity. We will show that the spherical functions coincide with the known spherical functions of D_r in the literature. Note that $G(r, r, 2) = \{(\xi^i, \xi^{-i}, (12)^j) \mid i, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. This coincides with the dihedral group if we set $a \sim (\xi, \xi^{-1}, id)$ and $b \sim (1, 1, (12))$. Let $D_1 = \langle b \rangle = \{e, b\} \cong S_2$. We know (D_r, D_1) or $(G(p, p, 2), S_2)$ is a Gelfand pair.

Proposition 3.10 ([1, Theorem 4.3]). *Each double coset can be represented by a k with $0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor$, the double cosets being represented by $D_1 a^k D_1 = \{a^k, a^k b, a^{-k}, a^{-k} b\}$. The spherical functions of (D_r, D_1) are indexed by $0 \leq m \leq \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor$ and are given by:*

$$\omega_m(a^k) = \cos\left(\frac{2\pi km}{r}\right).$$

\square

The spherical functions of $(G(p, p, 2), S_2)$ we found are indexed by the orbits of $X_2^{r-1} = \{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1}) \mid \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} k_i = 2\}$ under the group $\Gamma = \langle (0 \dots r-1) \rangle \subseteq S_r$. A full set of representatives is $\{e_0 + e_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor}$ (here $e_i = (\delta_{ki})_{0 \leq k \leq r-1}$). We then see that the double cosets corresponding to $D_1 a^k D_1$ ($0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor$) are indexed by $(e_k + e_{-k \pmod r})$. We find that on the orbit represented by $e_0 + e_i$, where $0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor$:

$$\omega_{e_k + e_{-k \pmod r}}^{e_0 + e_i} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}(1 - \xi^{ik}) - \frac{1}{2}(1 - \xi^{-ik}) = \cos\left(\frac{2\pi ik}{r}\right).$$

Hence the spherical functions here and those resulting from [1] are the same, as they should be.

Example of $G(2, 2, n)$

The group $G(2, 2, n) \subseteq G(2, 1, n)$ is a subgroup of the hyperoctahedral group $G(2, 1, n) = H_n$ and is the Weyl group of type D_n [6]. We will further study the spherical functions of this group. We know that:

$$G(2, 2, n) = \{((-1)^{a_1}, \dots, (-1)^{a_n}, \sigma) \in G(2, 1, n) \mid a_1 + \dots + a_n \pmod 2 \equiv 0\}.$$

By Proposition 2.9 the double cosets in $S_n \backslash G(2, 2, n) / S_n$ can be represented by a 2-tuple (l_0, l_1) such that $l_0 + l_1 = n$ and $l_1 \pmod 2 \equiv 0$, i.e. l_1 is even. This means each double coset is uniquely decided by an even number l_1 such that $0 \leq l_1 \leq n$.

Proposition 3.11. *The spherical functions of $G(2, 2, n)$ are Gauss hypergeometric functions indexed by the $0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, where the value on the double coset indexed by l_1 on the spherical function indexed by k is:*

$$\omega_{(l_0, l_1)}^{n-k, k} = {}_2F_1(-l_1, -k, -n, 2).$$

Proof. The spherical functions are indexed by the orbits of the 2-tuples (k_0, k_1) under the group $\Gamma = \langle (12) \rangle = S_2$. We take the representative of each orbit such that $k_0 \geq k_1$, which means $0 \leq k_1 \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. Each such k_1 gives a unique orbit. \square

4 Product formula

Recall the product formula of Theorem 2.6. In this section we will apply it to explicitly find a product formula for hypergeometric functions. We consider the Gelfand pair $(G(r, 1, n), S_n)$.

Theorem 4.1. *For the $(n+1, m+1)$ -hypergeometric functions we have a product formula:*

$$\begin{aligned} & F(-l, -k, -n, \tilde{\Xi}_r) \cdot F(-l', -k, -n, \tilde{\Xi}_r) = \\ & \left(\binom{n}{l'_0, \dots, l'_{r-1}} \right)^{-1} \cdot \sum_{A \in M_{r-1 \times r-1}(\mathbb{N})} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{r-1} \binom{l_i}{a_{i0}, \dots, a_{i(r-1)}} \right). \\ & F\left(-\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} a_{i((-i+1) \bmod r)}, \dots, -\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} a_{i((r-1-i) \bmod r)}, -k, -n, \tilde{\Xi}_r\right) \end{aligned}$$

where l, l' and k are $(r-1)$ -tuples in \mathbb{N}^{r-1} , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sum_{i=1}^n l_i \leq n$, $\sum_{i=1}^n l'_i \leq n$, $\sum_{i=1}^n k_i \leq n$, $\tilde{\Xi}_r = (1 - \xi^{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq r-1}$ and $\xi = \exp((2\pi i)/r)$, $l_0 = n - \sum_{i=1}^n l_i$, $l'_0 = n - \sum_{i=1}^n l'_i$, $a_{0i} = l'_i - \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} a_{ji}$ ($i > 0$), $a_{i0} = l_i - \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} a_{ij}$ ($i > 0$), $a_{00} = n + \sum_{i, j \geq 1} a_{ij} - \sum_{i=1}^n l_i - \sum_{i=1}^n l'_i$.

Proof. Recall Theorem 2.6. By Theorem 2.13, for $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n, \sigma)$ and $|\{j \mid g_j = \xi^i\}| = l_i$ the zonal spherical function associated to (k_0, \dots, k_n) evaluated in g is:

$$F((-l_1, \dots, -l_{r-1}), (-k_1, \dots, -k_{r-1}), -n, \tilde{\Xi}_r).$$

Here F is an $(n+1, m+1)$ -hypergeometric function. We set

$$x = (\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{l_0}, \underbrace{\xi, \dots, \xi}_{l_1}, \dots, \underbrace{\xi^{r-1}, \dots, \xi^{r-1}}_{l_{r-1}}, id) \text{ and}$$

$$y = (\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{l'_0}, \underbrace{\xi, \dots, \xi}_{l'_1}, \dots, \underbrace{\xi^{r-1}, \dots, \xi^{r-1}}_{l'_{r-1}}, id). \text{ Let } \omega \text{ is the spherical function indexed by}$$

(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1}) . We know by equation (1) that $\omega(x)\omega(y) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \omega(x\sigma y)$, which is equal to the left-hand side of the formula in the theorem. We study $x\sigma y$:

$$x\sigma y = (x_1 y_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, x_n y_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}, \sigma) \sim (x_1 y_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, x_n y_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}, 1).$$

This means by equation (1):

$$\omega(x)\omega(y) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \omega(x_1 y_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, x_n y_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}, 1) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \omega(x_1 y_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_n y_{\sigma(n)}, id).$$

We have $\sigma y = \tau y$ if and only if $\tau\sigma^{-1} \in S_{l'_0} \times \cdots \times S_{l'_{r-1}}$. This means:

$$\omega(x)\omega(y) = \frac{l'_0! \cdots l'_{r-1}!}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n / (S_{l'_0} \times \cdots \times S_{l'_{r-1}})} \omega(x_1 y_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_n y_{\sigma(n)}, id). \quad (2)$$

Now we create a matrix $A \in M_{r \times r}(\mathbb{N})$, $A = (A_{ij})_{0 \leq i, j \leq r-1}$ for a $\sigma \in S_n / (S_{l'_0} \times \cdots \times S_{l'_{r-1}})$. It is created like this:

$$a_{ij} = |\{k | x_k = \xi^i\} \cap \{k | y_{\sigma(k)} = \xi^j\}|.$$

Then $\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} a_{ij} = l_i$, $\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} a_{ji} = l'_i$. If A is a matrix with $\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} a_{ij} = l_i$ and $\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} a_{ji} = l'_i$ then it can be seen that there is a σ that gives rise to this matrix. If some σ gives rise to such a matrix, and we associate an r -tuple $(l_0^\sigma, \dots, l_{r-1}^\sigma)$ to $(x_1 y_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_n y_{\sigma(n)}, 1)$ in the usual way we find that:

$$l_k^\sigma = \sum_{\{(i,j) | i+j=k \pmod r\}} a_{ij} = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} a_{i((k-i) \pmod r)}.$$

This means A uniquely decides the double coset. We see there are $\prod_{i=0}^{r-1} \binom{l_i}{a_{i0}, \dots, a_{i(r-1)}}$ different $\sigma \in S_n / (S_{l'_0} \times \cdots \times S_{l'_{r-1}})$ such that they give rise to the matrix A . Now define:

$$\mathcal{A} = \{A \in M_{r \times r}(\mathbb{N}) \mid \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} a_{ji} = l_j, \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} a_{ij} = l'_i\}.$$

By (2) we obtain:

$$\omega(x)\omega(y) = \binom{n}{l'_0, \dots, l'_{r-1}}^{-1} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{r-1} \binom{l_i}{a_{i0}, \dots, a_{i(r-1)}} \right) \cdot F\left(-\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} a_{i((1-i) \pmod r)}, \dots, -\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} a_{i((r-1-i) \pmod r)}, -k, -n, \tilde{\Xi}_r\right).$$

Now we relabel the $A \in \mathcal{A}$. If $A \in M_{(r-1) \times (r-1)}(\mathbb{N})$ (where $A = (A_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq r-1}$). Define: $a_{0i} = l'_i - \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} a_{ji}$ ($i > 0$), $a_{i0} = l_i - \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} a_{ij}$ ($i > 0$), $a_{00} = n + \sum_{i,j \geq 1} a_{ij} - \sum_{i \geq 1} l'_i + l_i$. \square

Remark. The spherical functions for $(G(r, d, n), S_n)$ are the same hypergeometric functions as for $(G(r, 1, n), S_n)$. This means the product formula gives roughly the same equalities, with only the second argument possibly differing in the hypergeometric function.

We will prove that the identity, in the case of $r = 2$, coincides with a special case of an identity stated by Dunkl [3]. We use the formulation by Rahman [9]:

$$K_n(x; p, N) K_n(y; p, N) = \sum_{s=0}^x \binom{x}{s} \frac{(y-N)_s (-y)_{x-s}}{(-N)_x} \sum_{r=0}^{x-s} \binom{x-s}{r} \left(\frac{2p-1}{p} \right)^r \left(\frac{1-p}{p} \right)^{x-s-r} K_n(2s+y-x+r; p, N).$$

Here $K_n(x; p, N) = {}_2F_1(-x, -n; -N; \frac{1}{p})$ the Krawtchouk polynomial. In our case $p = \frac{1}{2}$, so the formula degenerates to:

$$K_n(x; \frac{1}{2}, N)K_n(y; \frac{1}{2}, N) = \sum_{s=0}^x \binom{x}{s} \frac{(y-N)_s (-y)_{x-s}}{(-N)_x} K_n(2s+y-x; \frac{1}{2}, N).$$

Our product formula gives us (take $x \leq y$):

$$\begin{aligned} K_n(x; \frac{1}{2}, N)K_n(y; \frac{1}{2}, N) &= K_n(y; \frac{1}{2}, N)K_n(x; \frac{1}{2}, N) \\ &= \binom{N}{x}^{-1} \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{N-y}{x-i} \binom{y}{i} K_n(x+y-2i; \frac{1}{2}, N). \end{aligned}$$

Now $\max\{0, x+y-N\} \leq i \leq x$. Define $s = x - i$, this implies $0 \leq s \leq \min\{x, N-y\}$. Then the sum above equals:

$$= \sum_{s=0}^x \binom{N}{x}^{-1} \binom{N-y}{s} \binom{y}{x-s} K_n(y+2s-x; \frac{1}{2}, N).$$

Because $\binom{N}{x}^{-1} \binom{N-y}{s} \binom{y}{x-s} = \binom{x}{s} \frac{(y-N)_s (-y)_{x-s}}{(-N)_x}$ we see that the identities coincide.

5 Laplace operator

In this section we will find an analog of the Laplace operator for a finite space of which the spherical functions are eigenfunctions. The motivation for finding such an operator is that for Gelfand pairs of Lie groups there exist such operators and for a certain category of discrete Gelfand pairs (G, H) discussed in [2, Chapter 5].

Definition 5.1. Let the Hamming distance on $G(r, d, n)/S_n$ be: $d(xS_n, yS_n) = |\{i \mid x_i \neq y_i\}|$.

We will simply write $d(x, y) = d(xS_n, yS_n)$ for representatives x and y . We remark that the Hamming distance makes $G(r, d, n)/S_n$ a $G(r, d, n)$ -invariant metric space.

Definition 5.2. If (X, d) is a finite metric space the operator Δ_k is defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_k : C(X) &\rightarrow C(X) \\ f &\mapsto (x \mapsto \sum_{\{y \mid d(x,y)=k\}} f(y)). \end{aligned}$$

We call Δ_1 the Laplace operator, and it acts as summing over the nearest neighbours.

Theorem 5.3. *If $(G(r, d, n)/S_n, d)$ has the structure of a $G(r, d, n)$ -invariant metric space, the zonal spherical functions ω_i are eigenfunctions of the differential operators Δ_k . The eigenvalues are $\sum_{\{y \mid d(e,y)=k\}} \omega_i(y)$.*

Proof. We turn a right- S_n -invariant function f on $G(r, d, n)$ into a function on $G(r, d, n)/S_n$ by setting $\tilde{f}(gS_n) = f(g)$. First we prove Δ_k commutes with every $g \in G(r, d, n)$. Let $g \in G(r, d, n)$, $f \in C(G(r, d, n)/S_n)$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} g(\Delta_k f)(x) &= (\Delta_k f)(g^{-1}x) = \sum_{\{y \mid d(y, g^{-1}x)=k\}} f(y) = \sum_{\{y \mid d(gy, x)=k\}} f(y) = \\ &= \sum_{\{z \mid d(z, x)=k\}} f(g^{-1}z) = \sum_{\{z \mid d(z, x)=k\}} gf(z) = \Delta_k(gf)(x). \end{aligned}$$

If ω_i is a spherical function, $\omega_i \in C(S_n \backslash G(r, d, n)/S_n) \subseteq C(G(r, d, n)/S_n) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \phi_i(V_i)$. If $h \in S_n$:

$$h(\Delta_k \tilde{\omega}_i) = \Delta_k(h\tilde{\omega}_i) = \Delta_k \tilde{\omega}_i.$$

So $\Delta_k \tilde{\omega}_i \in C(S_n \backslash G(r, d, n)/S_n)$. We evaluate $\Delta_k \tilde{\omega}_i(x)$, choose a $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n, id)$ such that $x = gS_n$:

$$\Delta_k \tilde{\omega}_i(x) = \Delta_k \tilde{\omega}_i(gS_n) = g^{-1} \Delta_k \tilde{\omega}_i(eS_n) = \Delta_k g^{-1} \tilde{\omega}_i(eS_n) = \sum_{\{y \mid d(e, y)=k\}} g^{-1} \tilde{\omega}_i(y).$$

For each y we can choose a $y' = (y_1, \dots, y_n, id)$ such that $y = y'S_n$. Then $gy' = y'g$. Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_k \tilde{\omega}_i(x) &= \sum_{\{y \mid d(e, y)=k\}} g^{-1} \tilde{\omega}_i(y) = \sum_{\{y \mid d(e, y)=k\}} \tilde{\omega}_i(gy) = \sum_{\{y \mid d(e, y)=k\}} \overline{\rho_{11}^i}(gy') \\ &= \sum_{\{y \mid d(e, y)=k\}} \overline{\rho_{11}^i}(y'g) = \sum_{\{y \mid d(e, y)=k\}} \sum_j \overline{\rho_{1j}^i}(y') \overline{\rho_{j1}^i}(g) = \sum_j \left(\sum_{\{y \mid d(e, y)=k\}} \overline{\rho_{1j}^i}(y') \right) \overline{\rho_{j1}^i}(g) \end{aligned}$$

Recall the function ϕ_i is from the section on zonal spherical functions. We obtain:

$$\Delta_k \omega = \sum_j \left(\sum_{\{y \mid d(e, y)=k\}} \overline{\rho_{1j}^i}(y') \right) \widetilde{\phi_i(v_j^i)}.$$

Remember that the $(\widetilde{\phi_i(v_j^i)})_{i,j}$ form a basis for $C(G(r, d, n)/S_n)$ and the $(\widetilde{\phi_i(v_1^i)})_i$ a basis for $C(S_n \backslash G(r, d, n)/S_n)$. Because $\Delta_k \tilde{\omega}_i \in C(S_n \backslash G(r, d, n)/S_n) \subseteq C(G(r, d, n)/S_n)$ we deduce by comparison of bases that the terms before $\widetilde{\phi_i(v_j^i)}$ must be 0 if $j \neq 1$. \square

We will only consider the group $G(r, 1, n)$ in the following.

Corollary 5.4. *In case of the Hamming distance and the Laplace operator Δ_1 , the eigenvalues of $\omega^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}$ are $\lambda_{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})} = n(r-1) - r \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} k_i$.*

Proof. We look at the Hamming distance. The eigenvalue of a spherical function $\omega^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}$ is $\sum_{\{y \mid d(e, y)=1\}} \omega^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}(y)$. The r -tuple connected to a y with $d(e, y) = 1$ is $(n-1, 0, \dots, 0, l_j = 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ where $j \neq 0$ and a y with such an r -tuple connected to it appears n times, only one of the entries of (y_1, \dots, y_n, id) is not 1. Hence we know that the eigenvalue of $\omega^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}$ is:

$$\sum_{\{y \mid d(e, y)=k\}} \omega^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}(y) = \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} n \omega_{(n-1, 0, \dots, 0, l_j=1, 0, \dots, 0)}^{(k_0, \dots, k_{r-1})}.$$

We see that:

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_{(n-1,0,\dots,0,l_j=1,0,\dots,0)}^{(k_0,\dots,k_{r-1})} &= F((0,\dots,0,-l_j=-1,0,\dots,0),(-k_1,\dots,-k_{r-1});-n;\tilde{\Xi}_r) \\ &= 1 - \sum_i \frac{k_i}{n} (1 - \xi^{ij}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus we find that the eigenvalue $\lambda_{(k_0,\dots,k_{r-1})}$ of Δ_1 with $\omega^{(k_0,\dots,k_{r-1})}$ as eigenvector is:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=1}^{r-1} n \omega_{(n-1,0,\dots,0,l_j=1,0,\dots,0)}^{(k_0,\dots,k_{r-1})} &= n(r-1) - \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} k_i (1 - \xi^{ij}) = \\ n(r-1) - \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} k_i (r-1 - \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \xi^{ij}) &= n(r-1) - \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} k_i (r-1 - (\frac{\xi^{ri}-1}{\xi^i-1} - 1)) = \\ n(r-1) - \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} k_i (r-1 - (0-1)) &= n(r-1) - \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} k_i r = n(r-1) - r \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} k_i.\end{aligned}$$

□

We directly obtain the eigenvalues of Δ_1 of the $\omega^{(k_0,\dots,k_{r-1})}$ are not unique in general, hence the Laplace operator does not split the zonal spherical functions into different eigenspaces for the Hamming distance.

Now we investigate what $\Delta_1 \omega = \lambda_{(k_0,\dots,k_{r-1})} \omega$ means for concrete values of the hypergeometric functions. If x is the double coset indexed by (l_0, \dots, l_{r-1}) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_1 \omega^{((k_0,\dots,k_{r-1}))}(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{y_i \neq x_i} \omega^{((k_0,\dots,k_{r-1}))}(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, y_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n, id) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} l_i \sum_{k \neq i} \omega_{(l_0,\dots,l_{i-1},\dots,l_k+1,\dots,l_{r-1})}^{((k_0,\dots,k_{r-1}))}.\end{aligned}$$

This means that (if $e_i = (\delta_{ij})_{j=1}^{r-1}$, where δ the Kronecker delta function):

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{(k_0,\dots,k_{r-1})} F(-l, -k; -n; \tilde{\Xi}_r) &= \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} l_i F(-(l+e_i), -k; -n; \tilde{\Xi}_r) + \\ \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} l_i (F(-(l-e_i), -k; -n; \tilde{\Xi}_r) + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{r-1} F(-(l-e_i+e_j), -k; -n; \tilde{\Xi}_r)).\end{aligned}$$

In the case $r = 2$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}(N-2n) {}_2F_1(-x, -n; -N, 2) &= \\ (N-x) {}_2F_1(-x-1, -n; -N, 2) + x {}_2F_1(-x+1, -n; -N, 2).\end{aligned}$$

This coincides with a result from [2, Remark 5.3.3]. Compare with the special case of the product formula when $r = 2$.

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to professor Hirofumi Yamada of Kumamoto University, who graciously received me when I visited Japan and discussed mathematics with me. I would also like to express my gratitude to professor Hiroshi Mizukawa from the National Defense Academy of Japan, whose articles I read and who travelled to Kumamoto University while I was visiting. Finally, I would like to thank professor Gert Heckman and professor Erik Koelink for their help.

References

- [1] Akazawa, H., & Mizukawa, H. (2003). *Orthogonal polynomials arising from the wreath products of a dihedral group with a symmetric group*. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 104 (2003), no. 2, 371–380. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcta.2003.09.001>
- [2] Ceccherini-Silberstein, T., Scarabotti, F., & Tolli, F. (2008). *Harmonic analysis on finite groups: representation theory, Gelfand pairs and Markov chains* (Vol. 108). Cambridge University Press. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511619823>
- [3] Dunkl, C.F. (1976). *A Krawtchouk polynomial addition theorem and wreath products of symmetric groups*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 25 (1976), no. 4, 335–358. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1090/pspum/034>
- [4] Dunkl, C. F. (1979). *Orthogonal functions on some permutation groups*. Relations between combinatorics and other parts of mathematics. (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Ohio State Univ., Columbus, Ohio, 1978), (ed. Ray-Chaudhuri, D.K.), pp. 129–147, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIV, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/pspum/034/525324>
- [5] Gelfand, I. M. (1950). *Spherical functions on symmetric Riemannian spaces*. Collected papers. II . (ed. Gindikin, S. G., Guillemin, V. W., Kirillov, A. A., Konstant, B., & Sternberg, S.), pp. 31-35, Springer Collect. Works Math. Springer, Heidelberg, 2015.
- [6] Humphreys, J.E. (1990). *Reflection groups and Coxeter groups*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 29. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511623646>
- [7] Koelink, H. T. (2000). *q -Krawtchouk polynomials as spherical functions on the Hecke algebra of type B* . Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 352 (2000), no. 10, 4789–4813.
- [8] Mizukawa, H. (2004). *Zonal spherical functions on the complex reflection groups and $(n + 1, m + 1)$ -hypergeometric functions*. Adv. Math. 184 (2004), no. 1, 1–17. DOI: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0001-8708\(03\)00092-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0001-8708(03)00092-6)
- [9] Rahman, M. (1979). *An elementary proof of Dunkl's addition theorem for Krawtchouk polynomials*. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 10 (1979), no. 2, 438–445. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/0510040>

- [10] Shephard, G. C., & Todd, J. A. (1954). *Finite unitary reflection groups*. *Canad. J. Math.* 6 (1954), 274–304. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4153/CJM-1954-028-3>